

REFERENCES

- Adkins, D.C. (1974). *Test construction: development and interpretation of achievement tests* (2nd ed.). Columbus, Otl: Charles Merrill Publishing.
- Adler, J. (2001). *Teaching mathematics in multilingual classrooms*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Aiken, L.R. (1987). Testing with multiple-choice items. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 20, 44-58.
- American Psychological Association (1963). Ethical standards of psychologists. *American Psychologist*, 23, 357-361.
- Andersen, E.B. (1973). A goodness of fit test for the Rasch model. *Psychometrika*, 38, 123-140.
- Andersen, E.B. (1977). Sufficient statistics and latent trait models. *Psychometrika*, 42, 69-81.
- Andersen, E.B. & Olsen, L.W. (1982). The life of Georg Rasch as a mathematician and as a statistician. In A. Boomsma, M.A.J. van Duijn & T.A.B. Sniders (Eds.), *Essays in item response theory*. New York: Springer.
- Anderson, J.R. (1995). *Cognitive psychology and its implications* (4th ed.). W.H. Freeman Publishers.
- Andresen, L., Nightingale, P., Boud, D. & Magin, D. (1993). *Strategies for assessing students*. Birmingham: SCED.
- Andrich, D. (1982). An index of person separation in latent trait theory the traditional KR.20 index, and the Guttman scale response pattern. *Educational Research and Perspectives, UWA*, 9(1), 95-104.
- Andrich, D. (1988). *Rasch models for measurements*. USA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Andrich, D. & Marais, I. (2006). EDU435/635. *Instrument Design with Rasch IRT and Data Analysis 1, Unit Materials - Semester 2*. Perth, Western Australia: Murdoch University.
- Angel, S.A. & LaLonde, D.E. (1998). Science success strategies: An interdisciplinary course for improving science and mathematics education. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 75(11), 1437-41.
- Angrosino, M.V. & Mays de Pérez, K.A. (2000). Rethinking observation: From method to context. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed.) (pp. 673-702). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Anguelov, R., Engelbrecht J. & Harding, A. (2001). Use of technology in undergraduate mathematics teaching in South African universities. *Quaestiones Mathematicae, Suppl.* 1, 183-191.
- Astin, A.W. (1991). *Assessment for excellence*. New York: Macmillan.

Aubrecht II, G.J. & Aubrecht, J.D. (1983). Constructing objective tests. *Am. J. Phys.*, 51(7), 613-620.

Baker, L. & Brown, A. (1984). Metacognitive skills and reading. In P.D. Pearson, M. Kamil, R. Barr & P. Rosenthal (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (pp. 353-394). New York: Longman.

Ball, G., Stephenson, B., Smith, G.H., Wood, L.N., Coupland, M. & Crawford, K. (1998). Creating a diversity of experiences for tertiary students. *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, 29(6), 827-841.

Baron, M.A. & Boschee, F. (1995). Outcome-based education: Providing direction for performance-based objectives. *Educational Planning*, 10(2), 25-36.

Barak, M. & Rafaeli, S. (2004). On-line question-posing and peer-assessment as means for web-based knowledge sharing in learning. *Int. J. Human – Computer Studies*, 61, 84-103.

Begle, E.G. & Wilson, J.W. (1970). Evaluation of mathematics programs. In E.G. Begle (Ed.), *Mathematics Education* (69th Yearbook of the National Society for the study of Education, Part I, 376-404). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Beichner, R. (1994). Testing student interpretation of kinematics graphs. *American Journal of Physics*, 62, 750-762.

Berg, C.A. & Smith, P. (1994). Assessing students' abilities to construct and interpret line graphs: Disparities between multiple-choice and free-response instruments. *Science Education*, 78, 527-554.

Biggs, J. & Collis, N.F. (1982). Mathematics Profile Series Operations Test. In J.B. Biggs (Ed.), *Evaluating the quality of learning: the SOLO Taxonomy* (pp. 82-89). New York: Academic Press.

Biggs, J. (1991). Student learning in the context of school. In J. Biggs (Ed.), *Teaching for learning: the view from cognitive psychology* (pp. 7-20). Hawthorn, Victoria: Australian Council for Educational Research.

Biggs, J. (1994). Learning outcomes: competence or expertise? *Australian and New Zealand Research*, 2(1), 1-18.

Biggs, J. (2000). *Teaching for quality learning at university*. Buckingham: Open University Press.

Birenbaum, M. & Dochy, F. (1996). *Alternatives in assessment of achievements, learning processes and prior knowledge*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Birnbaum, A. (1968). Some latent trait models and their uses in inferring an examinee's ability. In F.M. Lord & M.R. Novick (Eds.), *Statistical theories of mental test scores* (pp. 395-479). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Black, P. (1998). *Testing: friend or foe? Theory and practice of assessment and testing*. London: Falmer Press.

Blanton, H., Buunk, B.P., Gibbons, F.X. & Kuyper, H. (1999). When better-than-others compare upward: Choice of comparison and comparative evaluation as independent predictors of academic performance. *Journal of Personality and social Psychology* 76, 420-430.

Bless, C. & Higson-Smith, C. (1995). *Fundamentals of social research methods: An African perspective*. Boston: Allan & Bacon.

Bloom, B.S. (Ed.) (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives. The classification of educational goals. Handbook 1: The cognitive domain*. New York: David McKay.

Bloom, B.S., Hastings, J.T., & Madaus, G.F. (1971). *Handbook on formative and summative evaluation of student learning*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Bol, L. & Hacker, D.J. (2008). Focus on research: Understanding and improving calibration accuracy.

Retrieved on 1 March, 2007 from <http://uhaweb.hartford.edu/ssrl/research.htm>

Bond, T.G. & Fox, C.M. (2007). *Applying the Rasch model: Fundamental measurement in the human sciences*. Mahwah N J: Erlbaum Assoc.

Boone, W. & Rogan, J. (2005). Rigour in quantitative analysis: "The promise of Rasch analysis techniques". *African Journal of research in SMT Education*, 9(1), 25-38.

Bork, A. (1984). "Letter to the Editor". *Am. J. Phys.*, 52, 873-874.

Boud, D. (1990). Assessment and the promotion of academic values. *Studies in higher education*, 15(11), 101-111.

Boud, D. (1995). *Enhancing learning through self-assessment*. London: Kogan Page.

Braswell, J.S. & Jackson, C.A. (1995). *An introduction of a new free-response item type in mathematics*. Paper presented at the Annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education. San Francisco: CA.

Bridgeman, B. (1992). A comparison of quantitative questions in open-ended and multiple-choice format. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 29, 253-271.

Brown, G., Bull, J. & Pendlebury, M. (1997). *Assessing student learning in higher education*. New York: Routledge.

Brown, S. & Knight, P. (1994). *Assessing learners in higher education*. London: Kogan Page.

Brown, S. (1999). Institutional strategies for assessment. In S. Brown & A. Glasner (Eds.), *Assessment matter in higher education. Choosing and using diverse approaches* (pp. 3-13). Buckingham: Open University Press.

Burns, N. & Grove, S.K. (2003). *Understanding nursing research* (3rd ed.). Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company.

California Mathematics Council (CMC) and EQUALS. (1989). *Assessment alternatives in mathematics: An overview of assessment techniques that promote learning*. University of California, Berkeley: CMC and EQUALS.

Campione, J.C., Brown, A.L. & Connell, M.L. (1988). Metacognition: On the importance of understanding what you are doing. In R.I. Charles & E.A. Silver (Eds.), *The teaching and assessing of mathematical problem solving* (pp. 93-114). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Carvalho, M.K. (2007). Confidence judgments in real classroom settings: Monitoring performance in different types of tests. *International Journal of Psychology*, 1-16.

Case, S.M. & Swanson, D.B. (1989). Strategies for student assessment. In Boud, D. & Feletti, G. (Eds.), *The challenge of problem-based learning* (pp 269-283). London: Kogan Page.

Collis, K.F. (1987). Levels of reasoning and the assessment of mathematical performance. In T.A. Romberg & D.M. Stewart (Eds.), *The monitoring of school mathematics: Background papers*. Madison: Wisconsin Center for Education Research.

Corcoran, M. & Gibb, E.G. (1961). Appraising attitudes in the learning of mathematics. In *Yearbook (1961) – National Council of Teachers of Mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.

Cresswell, J.W. (1998). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five traditions*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Cresswell, J.W. (2002). *Educational Research: Planning, conducting and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc.

Critchley, P.C. (1999). An argument for more diversity in early undergraduate mathematics assessment. *Delta: 1999. The Challenge of Diversity*, 17-80.

Critchley, P.C. & Harman, C.J. (2001). Balancing the scales of confidence – computers in early undergraduate mathematics learning. *Quaestiones Mathematicae, Suppl.* 1, 17-25.

Crooks, T.J. (1988). The impact of classroom evaluation practices on students. *Review of Educational Research*, 58(4), 43-81.

Cumming, J.J. & Maxwell, G.S. (1999). Contextualising authentic assessment. *Assessment in Education*, 6(2), 177-194.

Dahlgren, L. (1984). Outcomes of learning. In F. Marton, D. Hounsell & N. Entwistle (Eds.), *The experience of learning*. Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press.

De Lange, J. (1994). Assessment: No change without problems. In T.A Romberg (Ed.), *Reform in School Mathematics and authentic assessment* (pp. 87-172). Albany NY: SUNY Press.

Dison, L. & Pinto, D. (2000). Example of curriculum development under the South African National Qualifications Framework. In S. Makoni (Ed.), *Improving teaching and learning in higher education. A handbook for Southern Africa* (pp. 201-202). Johannesburg, South Africa: Wits University Press.

Ebel, R. (1965). Confidence weighting and test reliability. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 2, 49-57.

Ebel, R. (1972). *Essentials of educational measurement*. New York: Prentice Hall.

Ebel, R. & Frisbie, D.A. (1986). *Essentials of educational measurement*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Ehrlinger, J. (2008). Skill level, self-views and self-theories as sources of error in self-assessment. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 2(1), 382-398.

Eisenberg, T. (1975). Behaviorism: The bane of school mathematics. *Journal of Mathematical Education, Science and Technology*, 6(2), 163-171.

Elton, L. (1987). *Teaching in higher education: Appraisal and training*. London: Kogan Page.

Engelbrecht, J. & Harding, A. (2002). Is mathematics running out of numbers? *South African Journal of Science*, 99(1/2), 17-20.

Engelbrecht, J. & Harding, A. (2003). Online assessment in mathematics: multiple assessment formats. *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics*, 32 (Supp.), 57-66.

Engelbrecht, J. & Harding, A. (2004). Combining online and paper assessment in a web-based course in undergraduate mathematics. *Journal of computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 23(3), 217-231.

Engelbrecht, J., Harding, A. & Potgieter, M. (2005). Undergraduate students' performance and confidence in procedural and conceptual mathematics. *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, 36(7), 701-712.

Engelbrecht, J. & Harding, A. (2006). Impact of web-based undergraduate mathematics teaching on developing academic maturity: A qualitative investigation. *Proceedings of the 8th Annual Conference on WWW Applications*. Bloemfontein, South Africa.

Entwistle, N. (1992). *The impact of teaching on learning outcomes in higher education: A literature review*. Sheffield: Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals of the Universities of the United Kingdom, Universities' Staff Development Unit.

Erwin, T.D. (1991). *Assessing student learning and development: A guide to the principles, goals and methods of determining college outcomes*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Freeman, J. & Byrne, P. (1976). *The assessment of postgraduate training in general practice* (2nd ed.). Surrey: SRHE.

Freeman, R. & Lewis, R. (1998). *Planning and implementing assessment*. London: Kogan Page.

Friel, S. & Johnstone, A.H. (1978). Scoring systems which allow for partial knowledge. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 55, 717-719.

Fuhrman, M. (1996). Developing good multiple-choice tests and test questions. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 44, 379-384.

Gall, M.D., Gall, J.P. & Borg, W.R. (2003). *Educational Research: an introduction* (7th ed.). USA: Pearson Education Inc.

Gay, S. & Thomas, M. (1993). Just because they got it right, does not mean they know it? In N.L. Webb and A.F. Coxford (Eds.), *Assessment in the mathematics classroom*. Reston, VA: NCTM.

Geyser, H. (2004). Learning from assessment. In S. Gravett & H. Geyser. (Eds.), *Teaching and learning in higher education* (pp. 90-110). Pretoria, South Africa: Van Schaik.

Gibbs, G. (1992). *Assessing more students*. Oxford: The Oxford Centre for Staff Development.

Gibbs, G., Habeshaw, S. & Habeshaw, T. (1988). *53 interesting ways to assess your students* (2nd ed.). Bristol: Technical and Educational Services Ltd.

Gifford, B.R. & O'Connor, M.C. (1992). *Changing assessments: Alternative views of aptitude, achievement and instruction*. Boston and Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Glaser, R. (1988). Cognitive and environmental perspectives on assessing achievement. In E. Freeman (Ed.), *Assessment in the service of learning: Proceedings of the 1987 ETS Invitational Conference* (pp. 40-42). Princeton, N.J.: Educational Testing Service.

Glass, G.V. & Stanley, J.C. (1970). Measurement, scales and statistics. *Statistical methods in education and psychology*, (pp. 7-25). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Greenwood, L., McBride, F., Morrison, H., Cowan, P. & Lee, M. (2000). Can the same results be obtained using computer-mediated tests as for paper-based tests for National Curriculum assessment? *Proceedings of the International Conference in Mathematics/Science Education and Technology*, 2000(1), 179-184.

Groen, L. (2006) Enhancing learning and measuring learning outcomes in mathematics using online assessment. *UniServe Science Assessment Symposium Proceedings*, 56-61.

Gronlund, N.E. (1976). *Measurement and evaluation in teaching* (3rd ed.). New York: Macmillan.

Gronlund, N.E. (1988). *How to construct achievement tests*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Haladyna, T.M. (1999). *Developing and validating multiple choice test items* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Hamilton, L.S. (2000). Assessment as a policy tool. *Review of Research in Education*, 27(1), 25-68.

Harlen, W. & James, M.J. (1977). Assessment and learning: differences and relationships between formative and summative assessment. *Assessment in Education*, 4(3), 365-380.

Harper, R. (2003). Correcting computer-based assessments for guessing. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(1), 208.

Harper, R. (2003). Multiple choice questions – a reprieve. *Bioscience Education e-Journal*, 2.

Retrieved on 18 May, 2004 from <http://bio.ltsn.ac.uk/journal/vol1/beej-2-6.htm>

Harvey, J.G. (1992). Mathematics testing with calculators: ransoming the hostages. In T.A. Romberg (Ed.). *Mathematics assessment and evaluation: Imperatives for mathematics education* (pp. 139-168). Albany, NY: Suny Press.

Harvey, L. (1993). An integrated approach to student assessment. Paper presented to *Measure for Measure*, Act III conference, Warwick.

Hasan, S., Bagayoko, D. & Kelley, E.L. (1999). Misconceptions and the certainty of response index (CRI). *Physics Education*, 34(5), 294-299.

Heywood, J. (1989). *Assessment in higher education*. London: Kogan Page.

Hibberd, S. (1996). The mathematical assessment of students entering university engineering courses. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 22(4), 375-384.

Hiebert, J. & Carpenter, T.P. (1992). Learning and teaching with understanding. In D.A. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 97-111). New York: Macmillan.

Hoffman, B. (1962). *The tyranny of testing*. New York: Greenwood Press.

Hounsell, D., McCulloch, M. & Scott, M. (Eds.) (1996). *The ASSHE Inventory: Changing assessment practices in Scottish higher education*. Sheffield: UCOSDA.

Hubbard, R. (1995). *53 ways to ask questions in mathematics and statistics*. Bristol: Technical and Educational Services.

Hubbard, R. (1997). Assessment and the process of learning statistics. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 5(1).

Retrieved on 17 June, 2007 from
<http://www.amstat.org/publications/jse/v5n1/hubbard.html>

Hubbard, R. (2001). The why and how of getting rid of conventional examinations. *Quaestiones Mathematicae, Suppl.* 1, 57-64.

Hughes, C. & Magin, D. (1996). Demonstrating knowledge and understanding. In P. Nightingale (Ed.), *Assessing learning in universities* (pp. 127-161) Sydney: University of New South Wales Press.

Huysamen, G.K. (1983). *Introductory statistics and research design for the behavioural sciences*, Volume 1. Bloemfontein: Department of Psychology, UOFS.

Isaacs, G. (1994). *Multiple choice testing: A guide to the writing of multiple choice tests and to their analysis*. Campbelltown, NSW: HEROSA.

Isaacson, R.M. & Fujita, F. (2006). Metacognitive knowledge monitoring and self-regulated learning: Academic success and reflections on learning. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 6, 39-55.

Jessup, G. (1991). *Outcomes: NVQs and the emerging model of education and training*. London: Falmer Press.

Johnson, J.K. (1989). ...Or none of the above. *The Science Teacher*, 56(2), 57-61.

Johnstone, A.H. & Ambusaidi, A. (2001). Fixed-response questions with a difference. *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice in Europe*, 2(3), 313-327.

Kehoe, J. (1995). Writing multiple choice tests items. *Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation*, 4(9).

Retrieved on 5 December, 2005 from <http://PAREonline.net/getvn>.

Kenney, P.A. & Silver, E.A. (1993). An examination of relationships between 1990 NAEP mathematics items for grade 8 and selected themes from NCTM Standards. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 24(2), 159-167.

Kerr, S.T. (1991). Lever and fulcrum: educational technology in teachers' thought and practice. *Teachers College Record*, 93(1), 114-136.

Kilpatrick, J. (1993). The chain and the arrow: From the history of mathematics assessment. In M. Niss (Ed.), *Investigations into assessment in mathematics education: An ICMI study* (pp. 31-46). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Knight, P. (1995). *Assessment for learning in higher education*. Published in association with the Staff and Educational Development Association. London: Kogan Page.

Krutetskii, V.A. (1976). *The psychology of mathematical abilities in school children*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Lajoie, S. (1991). A framework for authentic assessment in mathematics. *NCRMSE Research Review: The teaching and learning of Mathematics*, 1(1), 6-12.

Larisey, M.M. (1994). Student self assessment: a tool for learning. *Adult learning*, 5(6), 9-10.

Lawson, D. (1999). Formative assessment using computer-aided assessment. *Teaching Mathematics and its applications*, 18(4), 155-158.

Linacre, J.M. (1994). Sample Size and Item Calibration Stability. *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 7(2), 328.

Retrieved on 13 February, 2006 from <http://www.rasch.org/rmt/rmt74m.htm>

Linacre, J.M. & Wright, B.D. (1999). *Winsteps Rasch model program*. Chicago: MESA Press.

Linacre, J.M. (2002). Optimizing rating scale effectiveness. *Journal of Outcome Measurement*, 3, 85-106.

Linacre, J.M. (2005). *WINSTEPS Rasch measurement computer program*. Chicago: Winsteps.com.

Linacre, J.M. (2007). *Practical Rasch measurement, Lesson 2*.
Retrieved on 7 August, 2007 from www.statistics.com

Linn, R.L. (1989). *Educational measurement* (3rd ed.). New York: Macmillan.

Luckett, K. & Sutherland, L. (2000). Assessment practices that improve teaching and learning. In S. Makoni (Ed.), *Improving teaching and learning in higher education. A handbook for Southern Africa* (pp. 98-130). Johannesburg, South Africa: Wits University Press.

Makoni, S.(Ed.) (2000). *Improving teaching and learning in higher education. A handbook for Southern Africa* (pp. 98-130). Johannesburg, South Africa: Wits University Press.

Martinez, M. (1991). A comparison of multiple-choice and constructed figural response items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 28, 131-145.

Marton, F. & Saljö, R. (1984). Approaches to learning. In F. Marton, D. Hounsell & N. Entwistle (Eds.), *The experience of learning* (pp. 36-55). Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press.

Massachusetts Department of Education. (1987). *The 1987 Massachusetts Educational Assessment Program*. Quincy: Massachusetts Department of Education.

Mathematical Sciences Education Board (MSEB). (1989). *Everybody counts: A report to the nation on the future of mathematics education*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Mathematical Sciences Education Board (MSEB). (1993). *Measuring what counts: A conceptual guide for mathematics assessment*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

McDonald, M. (2002). *Systematic assessment of learning outcomes: Developing multiple-choice exams*. Massachusetts, USA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.

McFate, C. & Olmsted, J. (1999). Assessing student preparation through placement tests. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(4), 562-565.

McIntosh, H. (Ed.) (1974). *Techniques and problems of assessment*. London: Edward Arnold.

McMillan, J.H. & Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in Education: A conceptual introduction* (5th ed.). New York: Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.

Merriam, S.B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Messick, S. (1989). Validity. In R. Linn (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (3rd ed.) (pp. 13-103). New York: American Council on Education and Macmillan Publishing Company.

Minick, N., Stone, C.A. & Forman, E.A. (1993). *Contexts for learning: Sociocultural dynamics in children's development*. New York: Oxford University Press.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). (1989). *Curriculum and evaluation standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). (1995). *Assessment standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.

Retrieved on 7 September, 2006 from
<http://standards.nctm.org/previous/currevstds/9-12sb.htm>

Nightingale, P., Te Wiata, I., Toohey, S., Ryan, G., Hughes, C. & Magin, D. (1996). *Assessing learning in universities*. Sydney: University of New South Wales Press.

Niss, M. (1993). *Investigations into assessment in mathematics education. An ICMI Study*. Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Ochse, C. (2003). Are positive self-perceptions and expectancies really beneficial in an academic context? *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 17(1), 6-73.

Oosterhof, A. (1994). *Classroom applications of educational measurement*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Macmillan.

Ormell, C.P. (1974). Bloom's taxonomy and the objectives of education. *Educational Research*, 17, 3-18.

Osterlind, S.J. (1998). *Constructing test items: Multiple choice, constructed-response, performance and other formats* (2nd ed.). Boston: Kluwer Academic Publications.

Pallier, G., Wilkinson, R., Danthiir, V., Kleitman, S., Knezevic, G., Stankov, L., & Robertsw, R. (2002). The role of individual differences in the accuracy of confidence judgments. *Journal of General Psychology*, 129, 257-299.

Planinic, M., Boone, W.J., Krsnik, R. & Beilfuss, M.L. (2006). Exploring alternative conceptions from Newtonian dynamics and simple DC circuits: Links between item difficulty and item confidence. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 43(2), 150-171.

Potgieter, M., Rogan, J.M. & Howie, S. (2005). Chemical concepts inventory of Grade 12 learners and UP foundation year students. *African Journal of Research in SMT Education*, 9(2), 121-134.

Pressley, M., Ghatala, E.S., Woloshyn, V. & Pirie, J. (1990). Sometimes adults miss the main ideas and do not realise it: Confidence in responses to short-answer and multiple-choice comprehension questions. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25(3), 232-249.

Ramsden, P. (1984). The context of learning. In F. Marton, D. Hounsell & N. Entwistle (Eds.), *The experience of learning*. Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press.

Ramsden, P. (1992). *Learning to teach in higher education*. London: Routledge.

Rasch, G. (1960). *Probabilistic models for some intelligence and attainment tests*. Copenhagen: Danmarks Paedagogiske Institute.

Rasch, G. (1977). On specific objectivity. An attempt at formalizing the request for generality and validity of scientific statements. In Blegvad, M. (Ed.), *The Danish Yearbook of Philosophy* (pp. 58-94). Copenhagen: The Danish Institute of Educational Research.

Rasch, G. (1980). Foreword and introduction. *Probabilistic models for some intelligence and attainment tests* (pp. 3-12, pp. ix-xix). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Resnick, L.B. (1987). *Education and learning to think*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Resnick, L.R. & Resnick, D.P. (1992). Assessing the thinking curriculum: New tools for educational reform. In B.R. Gifford and M.C. O'Connor (Eds.), *Changing assessments: Alternative views of aptitude, achievement and instruction* (pp. 37-75). Boston and Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Robins, R.W. & Beer, J.S. (2001). Positive illusions about the self: Short-term benefits and long-term costs. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80, 340-352.

Romagnano, L. (2001). The myth of objectivity in mathematics assessment. *Mathematics Teacher*, 94(1), 31-37.

Romberg, T.A., Zarinnia, E.A. & Collis, K.F. (1990). A new world view of assessment in mathematics. In G.Kulm (Ed.), *Assessing higher order thinking in mathematics* (pp. 21-38). Washington, DC: American Association for the advancement of Science.

Romberg, T.A. (1992). *Mathematics assessment and evaluation. Imperatives for mathematics educators*. Albany: State University of New York Press.

Rowntree, D. (1987). *Assessing students: How shall we know them?* (2nd ed.). London: Kogan Page.

Schoenfeld, A.H. (Ed.) (1987). *Cognitive science and mathematics education*. Hillsdale, N.J: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Schoenfeld, A.H. (2002). Making mathematics work for all children: Issues of standards, testing and equity. *Educational Researcher*, 31(1), 13-25.

Schumacher, S. & McMillan, J.H. (1993). *Research in education: A conceptual introduction*. New York: Harper Collins.

Scouller, K. & Prosser, M. (1994). Students' experiences in studying for multiple-choice examinations. *Studies in Higher Education*, 19(3), 267-279.

Scriven, M. (1991). *Evaluation thesaurus*, 4th ed. London: Sage.

Senk, S.L., Beckmann, C.E. & Thompson, D.R. (1997). Assessment and grading in high school mathematics classrooms. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 28(2), 187-215.

Sinkovich, F.J. (1995). Performance and metamemory: Do students know what they don't know? *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 22(1), 77-87.

Sluijsmans, D., Moerkerke, G., van-Merriënboer, J. & Dochy, F. (2001). Peer assessment in problem based learning. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 27, 153-173.

Smith, G.H., Wood, L.N., Crawford, K., Coupland, M., Ball, G. & Stephenson, B. (1996). Constructing mathematical examinations to assess a range of knowledge and skills. *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, 27(1), 65-77.

Smith, G.H. & Wood, L.N. (2000). Assessment of learning in university mathematics. *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, 31(1), 125-132.

Smith, E.V., Jr. & Smith, R.M. (2004). *Introduction to Rasch Measurement*. Maple Grove, Minnesota: JAM Press.

South African Qualifications Authority (SAQA). (2001). *Criteria and guidelines for the assessment of NQF registered unit standards and qualifications: Policy document*. Pretoria: SAQA.

Steen, L.A. (1999). Assessing assessment. In B. Gold (Ed.), *Assessment practices in undergraduate mathematics* (pp. 1-8). Washington, DC: Mathematical Association of America.

Stenmark, J.K. (1991) *Mathematics assessment: myths, models, good questions and practical suggestions*. Reston, VA: NCTM.

Stewart, J. (2000). *Calculus International Student Edition* (5th ed.). United States of America: Thomson Learning, Inc.

Tamir, P. (1990). Justifying the selection of answers in multiple choice items. *International Journal of Science Education*, 12(5), 563-573.

Tang, H. (1996). What is Rasch? *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 10(2), 507.

Thorndike, R.M. (1997). *Measurement and evaluation in psychology and education* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Tobias, S. & Everson, H. (2002). Knowing what you know and what you don't: Further research on metacognitive knowledge monitoring. *College Board Report No. 2002-3*. New York: College Board.

- Traub, R.E. & Fisher, C.W. (1977). On the equivalence of constructed-response and multiple-choice tests. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 1, 355-369.
- Traub, R.E. & Rowley, G.L. (1991). Understanding reliability. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 19(1), 37-45.
- Treagust, D.F. (1988). Development and use of diagnostic tests to evaluate students' misconceptions in Science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 10, 159-169.
- Tyler, R.W. (1931). A generalized technique for constructing achievement tests. *Educational Research Bulletin*, 8, 199-208.
- Wagner, E.P., Sasser, H. & DiBiase, W.J. (2002). Predicting students at risk in general chemistry using pre-semester assessments and demographic information. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 79(6), 749-755.
- Webb, J.H. (1989). Multiple-choice questions in mathematics. *S.-Afr. Tydskr. Opvoedk.*, 9(1), 216-218.
- Webb, N. & Romberg, T.A. (1992) Implications of the NCTM standards for mathematics assessment. In T.A. Romberg (Ed.), *Mathematics Assessment and Evaluation: Imperatives for Mathematics Educators* (pp. 37-60). Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Webb, J.M. (1994). The effects of feedback timing on learning facts: the role of response confidence. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 19, 251-265.
- Wesman, A.G. (1971). Writing the test item. In R.L. Thorndike (Ed.), *Educational measurement*. Washington DC: American Council of Education.
- Wiggins, G. (1989). A true test: toward more authentic and equitable assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 703-713.
- Williams, E. (1992). Student attitudes towards approaches to learning and assessment. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 17, 45-58.
- Williams, J.B. (2006). Assertion – reason multiple-choice testing as a tool for deep learning: a qualitative analysis. *Assessment in Higher Education*, 31(3), 287-301.
- Wood, L.N. & Smith, G.H. (1999). Flexible assessment. In W. Spunde, P. Cretchley, & R. Hubbard (Eds.), *The Challenge of Diversity* (pp. 229-233). Laguna Quays: University of Southern Queensland Press.
- Wood, L.N. & Smith, G.H. (2001). Survey of the use of flexible assessment. *Quaestiones Mathematicae, Suppl.* 1, 73-82.
- Wood, L.N. & Smith, G.H. (2002). Students' perceptions of difficulty in mathematical tasks. In M. Boezi (Ed.), *2nd International Conference on the Teaching of Mathematics*, Crete, Greece, July. New Jersey, USA: John Wiley & Sons.

Wood, L.N., Smith, G.H., Petocz, P., Reid, A. (2002). Correlations between students' performance in assessment and categories of a taxonomy. In M. Boezi (Ed.), *2nd International Conference on the Teaching of Mathematics*, Crete, Greece, July. New Jersey, USA: John Wiley & Sons.

World Book Dictionary (1990). Chicago, London, Sydney Toronto: World Book. Inc.

Wright, B.D.(1992) Point-biserials and item fits. *Rasch Measurement Transactions*, 5(4), 174.

Wright, B.D. & Linacre, J.M. (1989). *Observations are always ordinal: measurements, however, must be interval*. Chicago, IL: MESA Psychometric Laboratory.

Wright, B.D. & Stone, M.H. (1979). *The measurement model. Best Test Design*. Chicago: MESA Press.

Retrieved on 15 April, 2006 from <http://www.rasch.org/books.htm>

Yorke, M. (1988). The management of assessment in higher education. *Assessment and evaluation in higher education*, 23, 101-116.

Zohar, A. & Dori, Y.J. (2002). Higher order thinking skills and low achieving students: are they mutually exclusive? *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 12(2), 145-182.



Academic Information Systems Unit

Private Bag 3, WITS 2050 South Africa
Tel +27 11 717 1211/2/4 or 1061 Fax +27 11 717 1229

29 January 2007

I, Belinda Huntley, Staff Number 08901381, hereby declare that I will not use the information furnished to me by the University of the Witwatersrand in a manner that will bring the University in disrepute or in a way that it could be traced back to the University. I further agree that my research may be used by the University if it so desired. The Registrar has approved the use of this e-mail contact because of the importance the University attaches to the survey. Permission was granted on the understanding that you are not obliged to respond and that you may curtail your involvement at any time in the process

Signature *B.Huntley*.....

Date: 2007/01/28.....



Table 1.2: Exit level outcomes (ELOs) of the undergraduate curriculum*

Exit Level Outcomes (ELOs)

The qualifying learner:

1. generates, explores and considers options and makes decisions about ways of seeing systems and situations, and considers different ways of applying and integrating scientific knowledge to solve theoretical, applied or real life problems *specifically through research and the production of a research project*
2. demonstrates an *advanced* understanding of key aspects of specified scientific systems and situations
3. demonstrates an *advanced* understanding of specified bodies of content and their interconnectedness in chosen disciplines
4. demonstrates an *advanced* understanding of the boundaries, inter-connections, value and knowledge creation systems of chosen disciplines within the sciences
5. reflects on possible implications for self and system of different ways of seeing and intervening in systems and situations
6. demonstrates an ability to reflect with self and others, critical of own and other peoples' thoughts and actions, and capable of self-organisation and working in groups in the face of continual challenge from the environment
7. demonstrates consciousness of, and engagement with own learning processes and the nature of knowledge, and how new knowledge can be acquired
8. demonstrates an ability to conduct oneself as an independent learner and practitioner.
9. *demonstrates an ability to reflect on the importance of scientific paradigms and methods in understanding scientific concepts and their changing nature*

(Source: Executive Information System, School of Mathematics, Academic Review 2000-2004, University of the Witwatersrand)

**italicised text* refers to the BScHons degree only; other text is common to the BSc and BScHons degrees

Table 1.3: Associated assessment criteria (AAC)*

A. The learner should demonstrate an ability to consider a range of options and make decision about:
A.1 ways of seeing systems and situations, and to consider different ways of applying and integrating scientific knowledge to solve theoretical, applied or real life problems
A.2 methods for integrating information to solve complex problems
A.3 appropriate methods to carry out investigations to solve problems
A.4 appropriate use of quantitative techniques in the chosen discipline
A.5 selecting and appropriate method for communicating a set of data
A.6 the most appropriate personal learning strategies and organisation of work.
A.7 <i>awareness of quality control, scientific standards and ethical norms as they pertain to the application of their chosen discipline in scientific investigations and the work place</i>
A.8 <i>awareness of the career path and professional responsibilities that accompany their chosen discipline.</i>
B. The learner should demonstrate an understanding of:
B.1 the use of critical thinking and logic in analysing situations
B.2 information storage and retrieval systems
B.3 <u>basic computing skills; effective communication and competent application of the relevant techniques including numerical and computer skills</u>
B.4 <u>how to prepare a written scientific document; how to design, execute and present scientific investigations such as through a small scale scientific report/research project</u>
B.5 modes of communicating, interpreting and translating data
B.6 relevant uses of quantitative methods to analyse and check for the plausibility of data
B.7 how to design and carry out scientific investigations
B.8 <u>fundamental/advances techniques in the discipline</u>
C. The learner should demonstrate an ability to reflect on and critically evaluate:
C.1 the use of <u>advanced</u> investigative techniques and their strengths and weaknesses
C.2 the appropriateness of own interventions including strengths and weaknesses and possible future improvement of these
C.3 the relative merits of issues raised by science and technology and the relevance of science to everyday life and global issues
C.4 successes, strengths and weaknesses and possible improvement of personal learning strategies
C.5 own and other peoples' participation in a culturally and racially diverse learning situations and society.
C.6 <i>scientific paradigms and methods in understanding scientific concepts and their changing nature</i>
C.7 <i>the practice and application of knowledge and understanding they have acquired of their chosen discipline in the workplace</i>

(Source: Executive Information System, School of Mathematics, Academic Review 2000-2004, University of the Witwatersrand)

*italicised text refers to the BScHons degree only; underlined text refers to the BSc degree only; other text is common to the BSc and BScHons degrees

Table 1.4: Critical cross-field outcomes (CCFOs)

CCFO (a)	identifying and solving problems in which responses display that responsible decisions using critical and creative thinking have been made.
CCFO (b)	working with others as a member of a team, group, organisation, community.
CCFO (c)	organising and managing oneself and one's activities responsibly and effectively.
CCFO (d)	collecting, analysing, organising and critically evaluating information.
CCFO (e)	communicating effectively using visual, mathematical and/or language skills in the modes of oral and/or written persuasion.
CCFO (f)	using science and technology effectively and critically, showing responsibility towards the environment and health of others.
CCFO (g)	demonstrating an understanding of the world as a set of related systems by recognising that problem-solving contexts do not exist in isolation.
CCFO (h)	contributing to the full personal development of each learner and the social and economic development of society at large, by making it the underlying intention of any programme of learning to make an individual aware of the importance of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. reflecting on and exploring a variety of strategies to learn more effectively; 2. participating as responsible citizens in the life of local, national and global communities; 3. being culturally and aesthetically sensitive across a range of social contexts; 4. exploring education and career opportunities; 5. developing entrepreneurial opportunities.

(Source: Executive Information System, School of Mathematics, Academic Review 2000-2004, University of the Witwatersrand)

Appendix A5

Table 6.2: Misfitting and discarded test items

Item	Item difficulty	Model SE	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR
			MnSQ	ZSTD	MnSQ	ZSTD	
C45MB7	-3.94	0.47	0.83	-0.3	0.25	-1.5	0.26
C561B	-3.47	0.62	0.74	-0.4	0.29	-1.2	0.44
C46MA6	1.72	0.23	1.21	2.0	1.67	3.0	0.33
I036M04	-2.71	0.22	0.91	-0.6	0.45	-2.3	0.50
C361B	-3.31	0.36	0.86	-0.4	0.49	-1.4	0.32
C35M02	-3.61	0.47	1.11	0.4	1.61	1.1	0.08
C45MB6	-2.1	0.17	1.19	2.0	1.64	2.8	0.36

Test items Rasch statistics

ITEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MNSQ	ZSTD	MNSQ	ZSTD	
C35M01	216	295	-0.36	0.15	1.02	0.3	1.02	0.2	0.49
C35M02	174	179	-3.94	0.47	0.83	-0.3	0.25	-1.5	0.26
C35M03	242	297	-0.97	0.17	0.99	0	1.06	0.4	0.44
C35M04	276	298	-2.27	0.24	1.02	0.2	0.75	-0.7	0.33
C35M05	214	295	-0.32	0.15	1.19	2.5	1.25	2.1	0.41
A35M06	185	296	0.26	0.14	0.87	-2.2	0.82	-2.3	0.62
A35M07	238	297	-0.89	0.16	0.95	-0.5	0.95	-0.2	0.48
A35M08	73	278	2.25	0.15	1.03	0.5	1.02	0.2	0.68
A45MA146	253	418	0.2	0.11	1.01	0.2	0.98	-0.2	0.54
A45MA246	300	415	-0.5	0.12	0.95	-0.8	0.91	-0.8	0.53
A45MA346	323	417	-0.85	0.13	0.96	-0.5	0.87	-1	0.5
A45MA4	80	197	1.11	0.16	1.04	0.6	1.1	1	0.58
C45MA5	148	200	-0.7	0.18	1	0.1	1.03	0.3	0.48
C45MA6	189	200	-2.84	0.33	0.98	0	0.69	-0.6	0.3
C45MA7	119	199	0.13	0.16	0.93	-1	0.93	-0.8	0.58
C45MA8	118	127	-2.98	0.36	1.14	0.6	1.2	0.6	0.2
A45MB146	115	215	0.34	0.16	0.88	-1.9	0.8	-2.1	0.58
A45MB246	118	215	0.25	0.16	0.91	-1.5	0.83	-1.8	0.56
A45MB346	171	216	-1.18	0.19	1.05	0.5	0.88	-0.6	0.39
A45MB4	43	116	1.56	0.22	1.02	0.2	1.2	1.2	0.46
C45MB5	36	117	1.91	0.23	1.18	1.6	1.24	1.2	0.35
C45MB6	46	49	-3.47	0.62	0.74	-0.4	0.29	-1.2	0.44
C45MB7	37	108	1.72	0.23	1.21	2	1.67	3	0.33
C45MB8	88	100	-1.94	0.34	0.94	-0.2	0.67	-0.8	0.42
C55M01	257	327	-0.5	0.15	1.1	1.3	1.06	0.4	0.36
C55M02	240	328	-0.13	0.14	0.95	-0.7	1.06	0.5	0.46
C55M03	179	322	0.9	0.13	1.16	2.8	1.28	2.8	0.44
C55M04	145	328	1.5	0.13	1.02	0.3	1.03	0.4	0.55
C55M05	227	328	0.12	0.14	0.91	-1.5	0.85	-1.1	0.51
A55M06	21	251	4.56	0.24	0.91	-0.5	0.66	-1.1	0.73
A55M07	226	284	-0.76	0.16	1.05	0.6	1.13	0.9	0.33
A55M08	223	324	0.15	0.14	0.86	-2.2	0.74	-2.2	0.53
I65M0166	396	664	0.27	0.09	1.2	4.9	1.34	5.2	0.37
I65M0266	303	652	0.98	0.09	0.99	-0.1	0.98	-0.4	0.54
I65M0366	516	638	-1.1	0.11	0.95	-0.9	0.88	-1	0.41
I65M0466	416	669	0.14	0.09	1.04	1.1	1.04	0.7	0.46
I65M0566	342	662	0.7	0.09	1.03	0.9	1.01	0.3	0.5
I65M06	279	324	-1.36	0.17	0.99	-0.1	1.1	0.6	0.32
I65M0766	546	675	-1.04	0.11	0.93	-1.1	1.01	0.1	0.41
I65M08	271	328	-1.04	0.16	0.98	-0.2	0.95	-0.3	0.35
I65M09	127	349	1.72	0.12	0.81	-3.7	0.77	-2.9	0.66
I65M10	125	343	1.73	0.13	0.91	-1.7	0.9	-1.2	0.61
I65M1166	395	644	0.18	0.09	0.99	-0.2	0.93	-1.1	0.5
I65M1266	218	631	1.62	0.09	1.13	2.9	1.23	3	0.49
A651A663	394	686	1.1	0.09	0.98	-0.6	0.87	-1.8	0.57
A651B	87	353	2.97	0.14	1.01	0.1	0.93	-0.5	0.61
A652A	283	369	-0.33	0.14	1	0	1.05	0.3	0.47
A652B561B	95	353	2.81	0.14	1.09	1.2	1.16	1.2	0.57
A653	274	369	-0.15	0.14	1.09	1.3	1.15	0.9	0.45
C651A662A	749	957	-0.9	0.09	0.87	-2.7	0.75	-2	0.54
C651B662B	512	652	-0.33	0.11	0.98	-0.3	1.06	0.5	0.45
C651C	250	369	0.27	0.13	0.99	-0.2	0.91	-0.7	0.53
C651D662E	506	686	0.1	0.1	1.01	0.2	0.97	-0.2	0.48
C651E662G	430	686	0.8	0.09	1	-0.1	1.03	0.3	0.53
C652A	273	335	-0.84	0.16	1.07	0.8	0.96	-0.2	0.41
C652B	254	369	0.2	0.13	0.99	-0.1	0.8	-1.5	0.53
C652C	260	369	0.1	0.13	1.01	0.2	0.83	-1.2	0.51
C652D	95	353	2.81	0.14	1.03	0.4	0.92	-0.6	0.6



ITEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MNSQ	ZSTD	MNSQ	ZSTD	
C653A	229	256	-1.93	0.22	1.06	0.4	1.14	0.6	0.31
C653B	282	335	-1.07	0.16	1.02	0.3	1.15	0.8	0.39
C654	249	369	0.29	0.13	1.08	1.3	1.22	1.6	0.48
A85M0184	279	771	1.22	0.08	0.97	-0.8	0.92	-1.3	0.52
A85M0284	427	773	0.24	0.08	1.17	5	1.19	3.7	0.36
A85M0384	472	771	-0.08	0.08	0.91	-2.6	0.86	-2.6	0.52
A85M0484	400	772	0.41	0.08	0.92	-2.6	0.88	-2.6	0.53
A85M0584	572	640	-2.31	0.14	0.93	-0.7	0.73	-2	0.38
C85M0684	182	754	1.96	0.09	1.15	2.9	1.32	3.4	0.38
C85M0784	565	724	-1.17	0.1	1	0.1	1.03	0.3	0.38
C85M0884	301	775	1.08	0.08	0.93	-2.1	0.98	-0.3	0.53
C85M0984	472	770	-0.08	0.08	1.04	1.1	1.05	0.9	0.44
C85M1084	382	772	0.53	0.08	0.98	-0.7	0.98	-0.4	0.49
I95M01	225	352	-0.61	0.13	0.97	-0.5	0.89	-1	0.54
I95M02	197	220	-3.22	0.24	0.95	-0.2	0.75	-0.9	0.34
I95M03	133	350	0.84	0.13	0.99	-0.2	0.99	-0.1	0.54
I95M04	208	355	-0.3	0.13	1.1	1.7	1.27	2.7	0.46
I95M05	104	346	1.3	0.13	1	-0.1	1.09	0.7	0.52
I95M06	197	351	-0.16	0.13	1	0	1.08	0.9	0.52
I95M07	94	348	1.49	0.14	1.07	1	1.17	1.1	0.48
I95M08	92	346	1.52	0.14	0.86	-2.1	0.74	-1.7	0.6
A951	185	363	0.67	0.12	1.02	0.5	1.02	0.2	0.5
A952A	188	363	0.63	0.12	0.99	-0.2	0.92	-0.8	0.52
A952B	270	341	-1.15	0.15	1.23	2.6	1.22	1.3	0.3
A952C	189	363	0.61	0.12	0.96	-0.8	0.97	-0.2	0.53
A952D	112	355	1.8	0.13	1.07	1.2	1.08	0.7	0.46
A953A	265	341	-1.04	0.15	1.02	0.3	1.1	0.7	0.42
A953B	273	341	-1.22	0.15	0.86	-1.7	0.68	-2.2	0.53
A953C	101	355	2	0.13	0.89	-1.7	0.83	-1.4	0.57
C951	172	359	0.86	0.12	1	0	0.96	-0.4	0.51
C952	183	363	0.7	0.12	1.03	0.5	1.01	0.2	0.5
C953A	28	29	-5.56	1.03	0.94	0.2	0.41	-0.3	0.15
C953B	80	345	2.4	0.14	1.31	3.6	1.36	2.3	0.31
C953CI	273	318	-1.83	0.18	0.91	-0.8	0.84	-0.7	0.44
C953CII	224	363	0.08	0.13	0.93	-1.2	0.84	-1.5	0.54
C953D	221	363	0.13	0.12	0.92	-1.6	0.85	-1.5	0.55
C954	272	341	-1.2	0.15	0.93	-0.8	0.95	-0.3	0.46
C955	251	288	-2.09	0.19	1.06	0.5	0.94	-0.2	0.34
I115M01	162	359	0.67	0.12	0.96	-0.8	0.96	-0.6	0.48
I115M02	142	368	1	0.12	0.86	-3	0.83	-2.3	0.56
I115M03	140	360	0.98	0.12	1.01	0.1	1	0	0.46
I115M04	133	356	1.07	0.12	1.07	1.4	1.13	1.6	0.41
I115M05	205	361	0.03	0.12	1.03	0.6	1.05	0.8	0.39
I115M06	142	370	1.01	0.12	1.04	0.8	1.03	0.5	0.43
I115M07	270	350	-1.12	0.14	0.96	-0.5	0.93	-0.6	0.39
I115M08	220	359	-0.19	0.12	0.97	-0.6	0.96	-0.5	0.43
I115M09	168	367	0.63	0.12	0.95	-1.1	0.95	-0.8	0.49
I115M10	134	364	1.1	0.12	0.88	-2.4	0.84	-2.1	0.55
I115M11	263	346	-1.03	0.14	1.07	1	1.09	0.8	0.3
I115M12	87	356	1.85	0.14	0.99	-0.2	0.98	-0.2	0.47
I115M13	188	362	0.34	0.12	1.07	1.6	1.07	1	0.4
I115M14	178	364	0.5	0.12	0.97	-0.8	0.96	-0.6	0.47
I115M15	116	355	1.33	0.13	1.19	3.2	1.27	2.8	0.33
A1151I	182	205	-2.92	0.25	1.04	0.3	1.17	0.7	0.38
A1151II	222	265	-2.08	0.19	1.1	0.9	1.1	0.5	0.4
A1152A	233	339	-0.58	0.14	1.02	0.3	0.94	-0.5	0.5
A1152B	55	325	2.93	0.17	0.9	-1	0.78	-1.1	0.54
A1152C	29	289	3.83	0.21	1.06	0.4	1.09	0.4	0.43
A1153A	211	348	-0.03	0.13	1.16	2.7	1.38	3.1	0.42
A1153B	188	344	0.34	0.13	1.15	2.7	1.22	2.2	0.43
A1154A	235	317	-1.05	0.15	1.04	0.5	0.98	-0.1	0.47
A1154BI	225	339	-0.43	0.13	0.89	-1.8	0.73	-2.5	0.57
A1154BII	65	330	2.66	0.16	0.85	-1.6	0.66	-2.1	0.57



ITEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MNSQ	ZSTD	MNSQ	ZSTD	
A1154BIII	187	344	0.35	0.13	0.91	-1.7	0.85	-1.6	0.56
A1155AI	218	339	-0.3	0.13	0.93	-1.2	0.89	-1	0.54
A1155AII	199	348	0.16	0.13	0.92	-1.4	0.87	-1.2	0.55
A1155BI	215	339	-0.25	0.13	1.13	2.1	1.19	1.7	0.44
A1155BII	84	342	2.23	0.15	1.09	1.2	1.06	0.4	0.46
A1155BIII	179	349	0.56	0.13	1.2	3.6	1.27	2.4	0.41
A1156A	139	349	1.2	0.13	0.98	-0.4	0.93	-0.6	0.53
A1156B	188	349	0.42	0.13	1.09	1.6	1.07	0.7	0.47
C1151A	217	348	-0.14	0.13	0.92	-1.4	0.92	-0.7	0.55
C1151B	164	349	0.8	0.13	0.97	-0.6	0.98	-0.1	0.53
C1152A	238	306	-1.37	0.16	0.96	-0.4	0.95	-0.3	0.5
C1152B	66	330	2.64	0.16	0.92	-0.8	0.75	-1.4	0.54
C1153A	166	349	0.76	0.13	0.92	-1.5	0.82	-1.8	0.56
C1153B	107	347	1.78	0.14	1.01	0.1	0.91	-0.6	0.51
C1154A	185	344	0.39	0.13	0.94	-1.2	0.88	-1.2	0.54
C1154B	157	349	0.91	0.13	1.05	1	1.05	0.5	0.49
C1154CI	190	344	0.31	0.13	0.92	-1.5	0.82	-1.9	0.55
C1154CII	129	345	1.36	0.13	1.18	3	1.34	2.8	0.4
C1155	240	306	-1.42	0.16	1.16	1.6	1.36	2.1	0.38
C1156A	213	339	-0.22	0.13	0.88	-2	0.8	-1.9	0.57
C1156B	125	347	1.46	0.13	0.93	-1.1	0.83	-1.5	0.55
C1157A	241	306	-1.45	0.16	1	0	1.15	1	0.46
C1157B	192	348	0.28	0.13	0.89	-2.2	0.84	-1.6	0.57
I036M01	74	285	1.85	0.15	1.1	1.3	1.14	1.1	0.43
I036M02	73	77	-5.05	0.54	0.96	0	0.95	0.1	0.29
I036M03	196	316	-0.38	0.14	1.1	1.6	1.1	0.9	0.49
I036M04	246	277	-2.71	0.22	0.91	-0.6	0.45	-2.3	0.5
I036M05	196	321	-0.31	0.13	1	0.1	0.95	-0.4	0.54
I036M06	205	313	-0.57	0.14	0.92	-1.1	0.87	-1.1	0.58
I036M07	109	313	1.19	0.14	1.04	0.6	1.03	0.3	0.51
I036M08	121	313	0.98	0.13	0.95	-0.8	1.03	0.3	0.55
A36A	239	275	-1.7	0.2	1	0.1	0.95	-0.1	0.38
A36B	243	310	-0.79	0.16	0.98	-0.2	0.75	-1.2	0.48
A36C	207	310	0.02	0.14	0.8	-3.1	0.66	-2.8	0.62
A36D	153	323	1.27	0.14	1.06	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.53
A36E	100	316	2.28	0.14	0.95	-0.7	0.83	-1.2	0.59
C361A	239	276	-1.68	0.19	0.98	-0.1	1.15	0.6	0.37
C361B	138	147	-3.31	0.36	0.86	-0.4	0.49	-1.4	0.32
C361C	252	310	-1.02	0.17	0.89	-1.2	0.83	-0.7	0.49
C362A	168	323	0.99	0.13	1.07	1.2	1.23	1.9	0.51
C362B	210	237	-2.09	0.22	1.04	0.3	1.29	1.1	0.27
C363A	226	310	-0.39	0.15	1.05	0.7	0.98	-0.1	0.46
C363B	38	264	3.94	0.2	0.89	-0.9	0.64	-1.6	0.57
C364A	207	310	0.02	0.14	0.95	-0.7	0.96	-0.3	0.53
C364BI	32	263	4.19	0.21	1.05	0.4	0.92	-0.2	0.47
C364BII	196	323	0.48	0.14	1.32	4.6	1.32	2.2	0.39
A46MA4	89	217	1.41	0.16	1.1	1.5	1.23	1.7	0.47
C46MA5	50	193	2.47	0.18	1.05	0.6	1.03	0.3	0.48
C46MA6	94	99	-3.62	0.47	1.11	0.4	1.61	1.1	0.08
C46MA7	152	218	-0.23	0.17	0.97	-0.3	0.9	-0.7	0.53
C46MA8	150	158	-3.18	0.38	1	0.1	0.81	-0.2	0.23
A46MB4	43	98	0.45	0.23	0.99	-0.1	0.97	-0.2	0.48
C46MB5	60	97	-0.41	0.23	1.03	0.3	1.04	0.4	0.4
C46MB6	72	83	-2.24	0.34	1.01	0.1	1.09	0.4	0.23
C46MB7	37	96	0.73	0.23	1.09	0.9	1.05	0.4	0.42
C46MB8	77	83	-2.96	0.44	1.04	0.2	0.78	-0.3	0.2
I56M01	42	328	3.07	0.18	0.86	-1.2	0.65	-1.8	0.49
I56M02	163	336	0.77	0.12	1.03	0.7	1.07	0.9	0.44
I56M03	241	322	-0.71	0.14	1.08	1.1	1.09	0.8	0.36
I56M04	263	323	-1.2	0.16	1	0	1.05	0.4	0.39
I56M05	251	322	-0.94	0.15	0.99	-0.1	1.01	0.1	0.42
I56M06	158	327	0.79	0.12	0.96	-0.8	0.96	-0.5	0.49
I56M07	80	330	2.13	0.14	1.13	1.7	1.21	1.5	0.33



ITEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MNSQ	ZSTD	MNSQ	ZSTD	
I56M08	189	329	0.33	0.13	0.92	-1.6	0.89	-1.5	0.52
A561A	222	304	-1.51	0.15	0.84	-2.2	0.65	-2.7	0.59
A562A	227	305	-1.62	0.15	0.86	-1.9	0.76	-1.6	0.57
A562B	166	298	-0.41	0.14	0.91	-1.5	0.95	-0.5	0.6
A562C	183	304	-0.72	0.14	0.92	-1.3	0.85	-1.5	0.6
A562D	218	304	-1.42	0.15	1.19	2.5	1.44	2.8	0.41
C561AI	263	305	-2.63	0.19	0.96	-0.3	0.78	-0.8	0.45
C561AII	149	159	-4.51	0.36	0.9	-0.3	0.53	-1.1	0.32
C561AIII	116	295	0.5	0.14	1.14	2.2	1.21	2.1	0.52
C561B	246	305	-2.1	0.17	1.19	2	1.64	2.8	0.36
C562	161	298	-0.31	0.13	1.08	1.4	1.09	1.1	0.52
C563AI	120	128	-4.74	0.4	0.86	-0.4	0.59	-0.8	0.31
C563AII	169	298	-0.46	0.14	1.16	2.6	1.17	2	0.48
C563C	213	304	-1.31	0.15	0.97	-0.3	0.9	-0.7	0.54
I66M06	242	315	-1	0.15	1.03	0.4	1.04	0.3	0.38
I66M08	243	278	-2.02	0.19	0.95	-0.4	0.74	-1.2	0.34
I66M09	194	309	-0.14	0.13	0.84	-3.1	0.73	-3	0.58
I66M10	132	284	0.73	0.14	0.88	-2	0.86	-1.7	0.6
A6611	161	171	-2.35	0.33	0.93	-0.2	0.49	-1.5	0.24
A6612	249	317	0.02	0.16	1.06	0.8	1.19	1	0.39
A6613	182	317	1.36	0.13	1.07	1.1	1.02	0.2	0.51
A6614	175	317	1.49	0.13	1.08	1.4	1.04	0.5	0.51
A6621	243	317	0.16	0.15	0.8	-2.8	0.63	-2.3	0.56
A6622	173	317	1.52	0.13	0.72	-5.3	0.59	-4.9	0.69
C661A	205	317	0.94	0.14	0.87	-2.2	0.88	-1	0.58
C661B	246	317	0.09	0.15	1	0	1.07	0.4	0.44
C662C	234	283	-0.47	0.17	0.78	-2.4	0.57	-2.4	0.5
C662D	181	317	1.38	0.13	1.04	0.8	1.02	0.3	0.52
C662F	60	277	3.75	0.16	1.3	3.2	1.44	2.4	0.4
C663A	209	317	0.86	0.14	0.99	-0.1	0.97	-0.2	0.51
C663B	250	317	0	0.16	1.22	2.5	1.16	0.8	0.33
C663C	255	317	-0.13	0.16	1.02	0.2	0.86	-0.6	0.42
C663D	225	317	0.55	0.14	0.97	-0.4	0.89	-0.8	0.51
C664A	212	317	0.81	0.14	1.07	1.1	1	0	0.48
C664B	204	317	0.96	0.14	1	0	0.97	-0.2	0.52
C664C	201	221	-1.61	0.25	1.03	0.2	1.23	0.8	0.2
C665	227	283	-0.27	0.17	0.96	-0.4	1.07	0.5	0.41

Confidence level items Rasch statistics

TEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MnSQ	ZSTD	MnSQ	ZSTD	
CC35M01	412	264	0.59	0.1	1.05	0.5	1.05	0.5	0.55
CC35M02	168	130	1.99	0.18	0.98	0	0.81	-1	0.53
CC35M03	301	221	1.33	0.12	1.08	0.7	0.89	-0.8	0.53
CC35M04	299	220	1.35	0.13	0.91	-0.7	0.81	-1.4	0.55
CC35M05	440	257	0.25	0.09	0.76	-2.8	0.76	-2.6	0.65
CA35M06	538	294	-0.13	0.08	0.79	-2.6	0.81	-2.3	0.68
CA35M07	431	259	0.34	0.09	0.87	-1.4	0.87	-1.3	0.62
CA35M08	748	288	-1.41	0.07	0.83	-2.4	0.82	-2.4	0.75
CA45MA146	829	392	-0.49	0.07	0.86	-2.1	0.91	-1.3	0.67
CA45MA246	748	387	-0.18	0.07	1.22	2.9	1.18	2.2	0.61
CA45MA346	556	357	0.73	0.08	0.84	-2	0.78	-2.4	0.6
CA45MA4	520	214	-0.93	0.09	0.82	-2.2	0.81	-2.1	0.7
CC45MA5	409	215	-0.04	0.09	0.93	-0.7	0.97	-0.3	0.61
CC45MA6	209	158	1.77	0.16	0.92	-0.4	0.86	-0.8	0.49
CC45MA7	357	212	0.38	0.1	0.85	-1.5	0.79	-1.8	0.61
CC45MA8	358	216	0.47	0.1	0.93	-0.6	0.93	-0.5	0.57
CA45MB146	327	154	-0.35	0.1	0.84	-1.5	0.9	-0.8	0.67
CA45MB246	321	155	-0.26	0.11	1.42	3.5	1.41	3.1	0.55
CA45MB346	250	153	0.6	0.12	0.74	-2.2	0.69	-2.2	0.66
CA45MB4	187	81	-0.73	0.14	0.68	-2.5	0.72	-2	0.72
CC45MB5	153	80	-0.06	0.15	0.7	-2.1	0.69	-1.9	0.69
CC45MB6	163	82	-0.2	0.15	0.94	-0.4	0.96	-0.2	0.64
CC45MB7	165	74	-0.67	0.15	1.18	1.2	1.12	0.8	0.64
CC45MB8	141	80	0.22	0.16	0.83	-1.1	0.83	-0.9	0.66
CC55M01	464	262	0.21	0.09	0.88	-1.4	0.96	-0.3	0.64
CC55M02	393	244	0.67	0.1	0.79	-2.2	0.82	-1.6	0.63
CC55M03	536	253	-0.43	0.08	1.25	2.8	1.21	2.2	0.65
CC55M04	445	259	0.32	0.09	0.8	-2.3	0.76	-2.4	0.68
CC55M05	386	237	0.62	0.1	0.95	-0.4	0.92	-0.6	0.62
CA55M06	571	254	-0.69	0.08	0.93	-0.9	0.94	-0.7	0.7
CA55M07	467	255	0.09	0.09	1.03	0.4	0.91	-0.8	0.67
CA55M08	524	251	-0.39	0.08	1.24	2.6	1.26	2.6	0.64
CI65M0166	768	338	-0.7	0.07	1.05	0.7	1.16	1.9	0.64
CI65M0266	773	334	-0.76	0.07	1.11	1.6	1.16	1.9	0.65
CI65M0366	502	320	0.76	0.09	1.54	5.2	1.45	3.8	0.51
CI65M0466	578	320	0.15	0.08	0.97	-0.3	1.07	0.8	0.59
CI65M0566	654	329	-0.2	0.07	1.06	0.8	1.04	0.5	0.63
CI65M06	280	187	1.03	0.12	0.95	-0.4	0.85	-1	0.59
CI65M0766	518	321	0.62	0.09	0.76	-3	0.76	-2.5	0.64
CI65M08	324	194	0.55	0.11	0.9	-0.9	0.9	-0.8	0.62
CI65M09	433	193	-0.6	0.09	1.08	0.9	1.07	0.7	0.64
CI65M10	396	192	-0.25	0.1	1.06	0.6	1.12	1.1	0.62
CI65M1166	649	312	-0.34	0.07	1.24	3	1.14	1.6	0.64
CI65M1266	746	302	-1.03	0.07	1.34	4.2	1.3	3.4	0.66
CA651A663	350	186	-0.05	0.1	1.09	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.59
CA651B	267	118	-0.64	0.12	1.34	2.6	1.28	2	0.59
CA652A	230	128	0.21	0.13	1.1	0.8	1.1	0.7	0.56
CA652B561B	465	224	-0.36	0.09	0.91	-1.1	0.85	-1.5	0.65
CA653	235	131	0.21	0.12	0.92	-0.6	1.01	0.1	0.57



TEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MnSQ	ZSTD	MnSQ	ZSTD	
CC651A662A	334	205	0.53	0.11	0.7	-3.1	0.76	-2.1	0.6
CC651B662B	331	189	0.26	0.11	0.7	-3.1	0.69	-2.8	0.61
CC651C	233	127	0.12	0.12	0.68	-2.8	0.65	-2.6	0.65
CC651D662E	337	181	0.02	0.1	0.81	-1.9	0.79	-1.9	0.62
CC651E662G	345	176	-0.18	0.1	0.68	-3.5	0.69	-2.9	0.67
CC652A	196	119	0.57	0.14	0.68	-2.5	0.65	-2.4	0.62
CC652B	216	122	0.31	0.13	0.75	-2	0.71	-2	0.63
CC652C	214	120	0.28	0.13	0.72	-2.3	0.7	-2.1	0.63
CC652D	249	107	-0.7	0.13	0.85	-1.2	0.86	-1	0.68
CC653A	175	115	0.94	0.15	0.87	-0.8	0.76	-1.4	0.57
CC653B	230	118	-0.04	0.13	1.02	0.2	1.11	0.8	0.59
CC654	208	107	-0.04	0.13	1.28	1.9	1.26	1.6	0.54
CA85M0184	1373	572	-0.71	0.05	1.09	1.7	1.2	3.2	0.62
CA85M0284	1344	570	-0.65	0.05	1.12	2.1	1.08	1.3	0.66
CA85M0384	1256	564	-0.43	0.05	1.2	3.5	1.14	2.2	0.66
CA85M0484	1119	568	0.01	0.06	1.11	1.9	1.07	1	0.63
CA85M0584	807	546	1.16	0.07	1.44	5.3	1.13	1.4	0.56
CC85M0684	1409	567	-0.83	0.05	1.22	3.9	1.32	4.9	0.58
CC85M0784	1043	567	0.28	0.06	1.01	0.2	0.97	-0.4	0.64
CC85M0884	1196	568	-0.22	0.06	1.06	1.1	1.07	1	0.64
CC85M0984	1037	562	0.25	0.06	1.08	1.2	1.03	0.4	0.63
CC85M1084	1355	562	-0.73	0.05	1.2	3.5	1.14	2.3	0.67
CI95M01	420	205	-0.11	0.09	1.6	5.5	1.55	4.6	0.54
CI95M02	353	206	0.54	0.1	1.19	1.8	1.08	0.7	0.58
CI95M03	469	206	-0.51	0.09	0.8	-2.4	0.86	-1.5	0.67
CI95M04	385	205	0.19	0.1	1.09	0.9	1.01	0.2	0.61
CI95M05	511	196	-1.02	0.09	1.34	3.4	1.36	3.3	0.6
CI95M06	469	203	-0.56	0.09	1.27	2.8	1.25	2.3	0.6
CI95M07	510	203	-0.87	0.09	1	0	1.02	0.3	0.64
CI95M08	489	199	-0.79	0.09	1.22	2.4	1.21	2.1	0.61
CA951	327	145	-0.52	0.11	1.06	0.6	1.13	1.1	0.64
CA952A	359	157	-0.6	0.1	0.8	-2.1	0.78	-2	0.67
CA952B	364	156	-0.65	0.1	0.86	-1.4	0.92	-0.7	0.65
CA952C	354	142	-0.87	0.11	0.92	-0.7	0.91	-0.7	0.65
CA952D	344	137	-0.9	0.11	1.05	0.5	1.05	0.5	0.64
CA953A	279	148	0.13	0.11	1.01	0.2	0.93	-0.5	0.64
CA953B	270	147	0.24	0.12	0.81	-1.7	0.74	-2.1	0.68
CA953C	307	138	-0.46	0.11	0.9	-0.9	0.86	-1.1	0.67
CC951	298	152	0.02	0.11	0.74	-2.5	0.89	-0.8	0.67
CC952	321	154	-0.21	0.11	0.68	-3.3	0.66	-3.1	0.7
CC953A	230	151	0.99	0.13	1.11	0.8	1.02	0.2	0.61
CC953B	270	146	0.26	0.12	1.01	0.2	0.92	-0.5	0.66
CC953CI	243	148	0.68	0.13	1.02	0.2	0.91	-0.5	0.64
CC953CII	268	134	-0.08	0.12	0.97	-0.2	0.92	-0.6	0.65
CC953D	267	139	0.09	0.12	0.98	-0.2	0.91	-0.6	0.66
CC954	278	152	0.24	0.11	0.85	-1.3	0.79	-1.6	0.67
CC955	204	134	0.97	0.14	1.16	1.1	0.94	-0.3	0.63
CI115M01	346	174	0.01	0.1	1.38	3.3	1.28	2.3	0.52
CI115M02	320	172	0.25	0.1	0.99	0	1.17	1.4	0.52
CI115M03	358	169	-0.21	0.1	1.3	2.7	1.28	2.4	0.51
CI115M04	431	163	-1.02	0.1	1.36	3.3	1.37	3.1	0.55
CI115M05	350	172	-0.09	0.1	1	0	0.96	-0.3	0.59
CI115M06	401	175	-0.52	0.09	1.05	0.6	1.17	1.6	0.52



TEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MnSQ	ZSTD	MnSQ	ZSTD	
CI115M07	335	175	0.11	0.1	1.02	0.2	1.02	0.2	0.56
CI115M08	345	172	-0.05	0.1	1.18	1.7	1.2	1.7	0.53
CI115M09	386	171	-0.47	0.1	1.14	1.4	1.08	0.8	0.57
CI115M10	352	166	-0.22	0.1	1.04	0.4	1.01	0.1	0.58
CI115M11	327	171	0.14	0.1	1.35	3	1.47	3.6	0.5
CI115M12	380	166	-0.51	0.1	1.3	2.9	1.24	2.2	0.53
CI115M13	308	163	0.19	0.11	1.26	2.2	1.15	1.2	0.55
CI115M14	342	162	-0.21	0.1	1.17	1.6	1.13	1.1	0.54
CI115M15	425	161	-1.04	0.1	1.22	2.1	1.24	2.2	0.54
CA1151I	231	131	0.38	0.12	1.15	1.1	1.14	1	0.55
CA1151II	248	131	0.12	0.12	0.76	-2.1	0.77	-1.8	0.63
CA1152A	241	122	-0.01	0.12	1.2	1.6	1.14	1	0.57
CA1152B	271	115	-0.68	0.12	1.11	1	1.13	1	0.59
CA1152C	277	114	-0.84	0.12	0.78	-2	0.79	-1.8	0.65
CA1153A	237	116	-0.16	0.12	0.91	-0.7	0.91	-0.7	0.6
CA1153B	245	112	-0.41	0.12	0.81	-1.6	0.82	-1.4	0.63
CA1154A	236	119	-0.05	0.12	0.89	-0.9	0.87	-0.9	0.61
CA1154BI	240	107	-0.5	0.12	0.73	-2.4	0.75	-2	0.66
CA1154BII	237	101	-0.65	0.12	1.01	0.1	1	0.1	0.62
CA1154BIII	242	100	-0.77	0.13	0.98	-0.1	0.92	-0.6	0.64
CA1155AI	227	111	-0.17	0.12	1.45	3.2	1.38	2.5	0.54
CA1155AII	188	98	0.07	0.14	1.25	1.7	1.24	1.5	0.59
CA1155BI	213	103	-0.21	0.13	0.87	-1	0.82	-1.3	0.64
CA1155BII	235	99	-0.72	0.13	0.79	-1.7	0.76	-1.9	0.68
CA1155BIII	208	97	-0.35	0.13	0.99	0	0.88	-0.8	0.64
CA1156A	245	103	-0.69	0.12	1.02	0.2	0.97	-0.1	0.63
CA1156B	210	100	-0.26	0.13	0.69	-2.6	0.66	-2.5	0.68
CC1151A	227	116	0.06	0.12	0.9	-0.8	0.96	-0.3	0.61
CC1151B	243	118	-0.14	0.12	0.87	-1	1.08	0.6	0.59
CC1152A	226	120	0.16	0.12	0.88	-0.9	0.86	-1	0.63
CC1152B	267	114	-0.62	0.12	0.99	0	0.97	-0.2	0.6
CC1153A	233	110	-0.21	0.12	0.91	-0.7	0.9	-0.7	0.62
CC1153B	255	102	-0.78	0.12	1.09	0.8	1.19	1.4	0.58
CC1154A	229	108	-0.26	0.12	0.97	-0.2	0.89	-0.7	0.62
CC1154B	230	109	-0.26	0.12	0.95	-0.3	0.93	-0.5	0.63
CC1154CI	263	113	-0.6	0.12	0.72	-2.5	0.75	-2.1	0.66
CC1154CII	244	105	-0.61	0.12	0.99	0	1.04	0.3	0.59
CC1155	228	113	-0.1	0.12	0.91	-0.7	1.06	0.5	0.6
CC1156A	227	108	-0.29	0.12	0.71	-2.5	0.76	-1.8	0.66
CC1156B	232	100	-0.61	0.13	1.12	1	1.09	0.7	0.59
CC1157A	181	104	0.39	0.14	1.06	0.5	0.92	-0.4	0.62
CC1157B	196	92	-0.31	0.13	0.93	-0.5	0.89	-0.7	0.64
CI036M01	382	220	0.26	0.1	1.03	0.3	1.14	1.2	0.51
CI036M02	165	130	2.07	0.18	1.06	0.4	0.98	0	0.33
CI036M03	373	218	0.31	0.1	0.85	-1.6	0.84	-1.4	0.58
CI036M04	240	180	1.57	0.14	0.9	-0.7	0.78	-1.4	0.47
CI036M05	363	221	0.46	0.1	0.71	-3.1	0.72	-2.6	0.61
CI036M06	461	228	-0.34	0.09	1.21	2.2	1.27	2.5	0.56
CI036M07	510	233	-0.65	0.08	0.92	-0.9	0.96	-0.4	0.66
CI036M08	393	224	0.2	0.1	1.03	0.3	0.95	-0.4	0.54
CA36A	192	128	0.89	0.14	1.28	1.8	1.08	0.5	0.41
CA36B	275	140	-0.27	0.11	0.89	-0.9	0.86	-1.1	0.61
CA36C	280	124	-0.84	0.12	0.67	-3.2	0.68	-2.8	0.73



TEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MnSQ	ZSTD	MnSQ	ZSTD	
CA36D	272	115	-1	0.12	0.87	-1.1	0.82	-1.4	0.72
CA36E	239	105	-0.87	0.13	0.64	-3.2	0.62	-3	0.75
CC361A	220	150	0.93	0.14	0.9	-0.7	0.9	-0.6	0.39
CC361B	97	79	2.28	0.25	0.98	0	0.78	-0.8	0.3
CC361C	227	144	0.66	0.13	1.11	0.8	1.07	0.5	0.46
CC362A	260	143	0.01	0.12	1.18	1.5	1.25	1.8	0.5
CC362B	260	150	0.22	0.12	0.89	-0.9	1	0.1	0.49
CC363A	226	142	0.56	0.13	1.02	0.2	1.05	0.4	0.41
CC363B	281	120	-1.04	0.12	0.93	-0.6	0.92	-0.6	0.67
CC364A	202	131	0.7	0.14	0.88	-0.8	0.85	-1	0.45
CC364BI	308	141	-0.65	0.11	0.8	-1.9	0.78	-1.9	0.67
CC364BII	252	124	-0.41	0.12	0.91	-0.7	0.94	-0.4	0.62
CA46MA4	402	171	-0.98	0.1	0.88	-1.2	0.91	-0.9	0.72
CC46MA5	299	170	0.05	0.11	0.77	-2.2	0.79	-1.7	0.66
CC46MA6	303	171	0.03	0.11	0.88	-1.1	0.88	-1	0.64
CC46MA7	275	173	0.43	0.12	0.85	-1.3	0.83	-1.2	0.62
CC46MA8	228	148	0.7	0.13	0.81	-1.5	0.8	-1.4	0.58
CA46MB4	182	73	-0.89	0.15	0.77	-1.6	0.72	-1.9	0.77
CC46MB5	152	71	-0.31	0.16	0.98	-0.1	1.2	1.1	0.64
CC46MB6	87	65	1.69	0.24	1.16	0.7	0.77	-0.8	0.46
CC46MB7	146	73	-0.05	0.16	0.87	-0.8	0.78	-1.3	0.68
CC46MB8	121	72	0.6	0.18	0.9	-0.5	0.81	-0.8	0.61
CI56M01	340	171	-0.16	0.1	0.99	0	1.15	1.2	0.67
CI56M02	290	168	0.39	0.12	0.97	-0.2	0.91	-0.6	0.65
CI56M03	288	165	0.33	0.11	1.19	1.6	1.04	0.4	0.63
CI56M04	296	167	0.27	0.11	0.95	-0.4	0.99	0	0.65
CI56M05	261	163	0.71	0.12	1	0.1	0.92	-0.5	0.64
CI56M06	357	163	-0.54	0.1	1.25	2.2	1.38	3	0.65
CI56M07	309	166	0.07	0.11	0.85	-1.4	0.83	-1.3	0.7
CI56M08	279	168	0.55	0.12	0.89	-0.9	0.87	-0.9	0.66
CA561A	198	98	-0.27	0.13	0.93	-0.5	0.88	-0.7	0.66
CA562A	209	106	-0.15	0.13	0.88	-0.9	0.94	-0.4	0.63
CA562B	192	96	-0.25	0.14	0.74	-2.1	0.71	-2	0.67
CA562C	202	94	-0.47	0.13	0.87	-1	0.84	-1.1	0.67
CA562D	181	89	-0.37	0.14	1.35	2.3	1.28	1.7	0.59
CC561AI	187	107	0.32	0.14	0.71	-2.2	0.72	-1.9	0.61
CC561AII	164	103	0.66	0.15	1.03	0.3	0.98	0	0.52
CC561AIII	190	93	-0.28	0.14	1.1	0.8	1.04	0.3	0.59
CC561B	172	102	0.43	0.15	0.83	-1.1	0.75	-1.5	0.6
CC562	203	93	-0.53	0.13	0.92	-0.6	0.93	-0.4	0.67
CC563AI	120	89	1.61	0.21	1.22	1.1	1.22	1	0.46
CC563AII	195	91	-0.53	0.14	0.94	-0.4	1.14	0.9	0.61
CC563C	173	86	-0.33	0.14	0.92	-0.5	1.15	0.9	0.59
CI66M06	234	125	-0.07	0.12	0.87	-1	1.33	2.1	0.59
CI66M08	215	121	0.16	0.13	1.15	1.1	0.97	-0.1	0.59
CI66M09	256	129	-0.36	0.12	0.79	-1.8	0.76	-1.8	0.69
CI66M10	284	116	-1.15	0.12	1.4	3	1.39	2.6	0.67
CA6611	114	69	0.44	0.18	1.15	0.8	0.98	0	0.58
CA6612	117	61	-0.22	0.18	1.04	0.3	1.09	0.5	0.55
CA6613	124	61	-0.52	0.17	1.09	0.6	1.01	0.1	0.62
CA6614	97	56	0.13	0.2	0.89	-0.5	0.77	-1	0.64
CA6621	97	60	0.52	0.2	0.83	-0.8	0.87	-0.5	0.67
CA6622	89	51	0	0.21	0.92	-0.3	0.96	-0.1	0.59



TEM	RAW SCORE	COUNT	MEASURE	MODEL S.E.	INFIT		OUTFIT		PTMEA CORR.
					MnSQ	ZSTD	MnSQ	ZSTD	
CC661A	101	65	0.62	0.2	0.77	-1.2	0.79	-0.9	0.61
CC661B	95	62	0.75	0.21	1	0.1	0.87	-0.4	0.59
CC662C	114	59	-0.2	0.18	0.69	-1.8	0.64	-2	0.67
CC662D	110	57	-0.2	0.18	0.59	-2.6	0.59	-2.3	0.68
CC662F	105	56	-0.15	0.19	0.77	-1.2	0.7	-1.5	0.63
CC663A	85	51	0.51	0.21	1.11	0.6	0.9	-0.3	0.59
CC663B	80	51	0.71	0.23	0.98	0	0.8	-0.7	0.61
CC663C	83	50	0.29	0.22	0.8	-0.9	0.84	-0.6	0.63
CC663D	94	53	0.08	0.2	0.57	-2.4	0.53	-2.3	0.66
CC664A	103	58	0.24	0.19	0.88	-0.6	0.87	-0.5	0.62
CC664B	73	53	1.39	0.26	0.9	-0.3	0.9	-0.2	0.54
CC664C	79	55	1.16	0.24	1.1	0.5	1.19	0.7	0.51
CC665	61	47	1.79	0.3	1.24	0.9	1.07	0.3	0.51

Item analysis data

Item	Diff	Adapted discrimination	Adapted confidence deviation	Adapted expert opinion deviation	QI_3	Component	Good/poor)
A6622	1.52	0.048	0.495	0.251	0.069	4	1
A35M06	0.26	0.192	0.271	0.267	0.076	1	1
A651B	2.97	0.213	0.291	0.240	0.079	1	1
C1151A	-0.14	0.336	0.244	0.285	0.107	3	1
A55M06	4.56	-0.035	0.537	0.550	0.112	1	1
A651A	1.1	0.295	0.385	0.236	0.119	1	1
C1157B	0.28	0.295	0.398	0.239	0.123	3	1
C85M0884	1.08	0.378	0.258	0.299	0.125	3	1
C1152B	2.64	0.357	0.247	0.342	0.128	2	1
C1151B	0.8	0.378	0.266	0.329	0.135	2	1
I65M09	1.72	0.110	0.351	0.608	0.138	3	1
A1152B	2.93	0.357	0.255	0.373	0.138	3	1
A45MB146	0.34	0.275	0.416	0.301	0.140	2	1
A36E	2.28	0.254	0.447	0.303	0.141	2	1
C651C	0.27	0.378	0.360	0.268	0.144	1	1
A953C	2	0.295	0.249	0.492	0.148	2	1
C1152A	-1.37	0.439	0.352	0.272	0.160	6	1
A95M01	-0.61	0.357	0.412	0.303	0.164	3	1
A35M08	2.25	0.069	0.842	0.355	0.165	2	1
C662D	1.38	0.398	0.326	0.351	0.166	3	1
C363B	3.94	0.295	0.274	0.574	0.177	2	1
A652B	2.81	0.295	0.465	0.360	0.178	5	1
A36M06	-0.57	0.275	0.570	0.307	0.180	2	1
I65M10	1.73	0.213	0.352	0.609	0.181	6	1
C95M08	1.52	0.233	0.524	0.398	0.183	3	1
C951	0.86	0.419	0.392	0.323	0.185	7	1
I65M0466	0.14	0.522	0.358	0.280	0.188	6	1
C36M03	-0.38	0.460	0.381	0.311	0.189	3	1
A562B	-0.41	0.233	0.477	0.461	0.190	3	1
A1154BII	2.66	0.295	0.229	0.713	0.191	1	1
C115M02	1	0.316	0.583	0.286	0.191	3	1
C652D	2.81	0.233	0.230	0.843	0.192	2	1
C36M05	-0.31	0.357	0.502	0.314	0.195	7	1
A6613	1.36	0.419	0.357	0.390	0.196	1	1
A45MA146	0.2	0.357	0.542	0.290	0.197	2	1
C115M01	0.67	0.481	0.352	0.343	0.197	1	1
C66M09	-0.14	0.275	0.508	0.406	0.198	7	1
A45MB246	0.25	0.316	0.367	0.501	0.198	3	1
A36M07	1.19	0.419	0.481	0.289	0.200	2	1
C45MA7	0.13	0.275	0.523	0.402	0.201	3	1
C953D	0.13	0.336	0.313	0.557	0.202	7	1
A953A	-1.04	0.604	0.315	0.308	0.205	2	1
C45MA5	-0.7	0.481	0.377	0.346	0.207	2	1



A45MA4	1.11	0.275	0.698	0.296	0.207	7	1
C651D662E	0.1	0.481	0.257	0.487	0.209	2	1
C561AIII	0.5	0.398	0.337	0.476	0.210	2	1
C954	-1.2	0.522	0.264	0.449	0.212	3	1
A45MB4	1.56	0.522	0.473	0.247	0.213	1	1
C1154A	0.39	0.357	0.342	0.537	0.215	3	1
C1157A	-1.45	0.522	0.249	0.483	0.218	3	1
C55M03	0.9	0.563	0.374	0.318	0.221	2	1
A36M08	0.98	0.336	0.544	0.371	0.221	1	1
C561AI	-2.63	0.543	0.460	0.262	0.222	2	1
C35M05	-0.32	0.625	0.349	0.304	0.223	2	1
C56M06	0.79	0.460	0.473	0.324	0.225	7	1
A953B	-1.22	0.378	0.267	0.655	0.227	2	1
C651B662B	-0.33	0.543	0.354	0.371	0.227	3	1
C1153B	1.78	0.419	0.470	0.369	0.228	2	1
A95M03	0.84	0.357	0.443	0.460	0.228	5	1
A95M04	-0.3	0.522	0.309	0.449	0.231	2	1
C45MB8	-1.94	0.604	0.410	0.284	0.232	3	1
C1154B	0.91	0.460	0.250	0.593	0.232	3	1
A85M0484	0.41	0.378	0.305	0.623	0.234	6	1
C651E662G	0.8	0.378	0.238	0.736	0.235	3	1
A55M07	-0.76	0.790	0.294	0.290	0.236	2	1
C362A	0.99	0.419	0.408	0.455	0.237	4	1
A45MA346	-0.85	0.439	0.601	0.277	0.239	3	1
A35M07	-0.89	0.481	0.312	0.508	0.239	1	1
A951	0.67	0.439	0.480	0.372	0.239	6	1
C664A	0.81	0.481	0.542	0.290	0.241	5	1
A952D	1.8	0.522	0.553	0.251	0.242	1	1
C652C	0.1	0.419	0.445	0.432	0.242	3	1
C1154CI	0.31	0.336	0.602	0.382	0.243	3	1
C95M06	-0.16	0.398	0.656	0.287	0.244	7	1
A6612	0.02	0.666	0.379	0.301	0.246	7	1
A85M0184	1.22	0.398	0.519	0.397	0.247	1	1
C46MA7	-0.23	0.378	0.495	0.441	0.247	3	1
I65M0566	0.7	0.439	0.244	0.680	0.248	6	1
A1156A	1.2	0.378	0.509	0.430	0.248	4	1
A653	-0.15	0.543	0.350	0.432	0.249	2	1
C661A	0.94	0.275	0.840	0.314	0.251	5	1
A952C	0.61	0.378	0.743	0.268	0.252	2	1
C1153A	0.76	0.316	0.240	0.919	0.254	3	1
C115M05	0.03	0.666	0.283	0.424	0.256	2	1
C953CII	0.08	0.357	0.267	0.796	0.256	7	1
C35M01	-0.36	0.460	0.587	0.309	0.257	5	1
A45MA246	-0.5	0.378	0.443	0.524	0.258	2	1
C651A662A	-0.9	0.357	0.448	0.543	0.259	5	1
C663D	0.55	0.419	0.381	0.554	0.261	7	1
C115M08	-0.19	0.584	0.294	0.492	0.261	3	1
A1153A	-0.03	0.604	0.345	0.418	0.262	1	1
C115M03	0.98	0.522	0.248	0.623	0.264	3	1
A1152A	-0.58	0.439	0.334	0.601	0.265	2	1
A55M08	0.15	0.378	0.479	0.504	0.265	4	1



C1156A	-0.22	0.295	0.472	0.617	0.265	5	1
A36B	-0.79	0.481	0.559	0.336	0.267	2	1
A1155AI	0.16	0.336	0.304	0.804	0.267	1	1
C85M0784	-1.17	0.687	0.230	0.514	0.272	7	1
A562A	-1.62	0.295	0.620	0.487	0.272	4	1
A652A	-0.33	0.501	0.318	0.574	0.273	1	1
I65M0766	-1.04	0.625	0.488	0.308	0.281	7	1
C952	0.7	0.439	0.251	0.779	0.281	5	1
C115M07	-1.12	0.666	0.343	0.416	0.281	1	1
					Median QI		
A46MA4	1.41	0.501	0.680	0.263	0.282	3	0
C115M06	1.01	0.584	0.420	0.409	0.284	7	0
C663A	0.86	0.419	0.746	0.295	0.284	3	0
A561A	-1.51	0.254	0.687	0.519	0.287	5	0
A1153B	0.34	0.584	0.459	0.379	0.287	1	0
I65M0266	0.98	0.357	0.598	0.475	0.289	6	0
A952A	0.63	0.398	0.545	0.490	0.294	3	0
C652B	0.2	0.378	0.484	0.577	0.295	2	0
C653B	-1.07	0.666	0.443	0.349	0.295	3	0
C46MA8	-3.18	0.996	0.284	0.322	0.301	2	0
C46MB5	-0.41	0.646	0.520	0.314	0.304	3	0
A95M02	-3.22	0.769	0.406	0.333	0.305	3	0
A36C	0.02	0.192	0.826	0.536	0.305	2	0
C652A	-0.84	0.625	0.487	0.361	0.306	2	0
A1155AI	-0.3	0.357	0.400	0.755	0.309	1	0
C654	0.29	0.481	0.248	0.819	0.310	7	0
A1156B	0.42	0.501	0.337	0.663	0.314	2	0
A6621	0.16	0.316	0.629	0.561	0.315	1	0
C1156B	1.46	0.336	0.405	0.799	0.315	2	0
A56M01	3.07	0.460	0.655	0.389	0.318	7	0
C56M05	-0.94	0.604	0.571	0.335	0.320	3	0
C46MB8	-2.96	1.058	0.317	0.298	0.323	2	0
C662C	-0.47	0.439	0.452	0.613	0.323	1	0
A36A	-1.7	0.687	0.565	0.287	0.324	2	0
A85M0384	-0.08	0.398	0.548	0.569	0.328	3	0
C56M04	-1.2	0.666	0.242	0.657	0.328	2	0
C85M0984	-0.08	0.563	0.391	0.571	0.332	7	0
C36M01	1.85	0.584	0.742	0.256	0.334	2	0
C46MB7	0.73	0.604	0.319	0.630	0.335	6	0
A56M03	-0.71	0.728	0.337	0.501	0.337	4	0
A85M05	-2.31	0.687	0.652	0.249	0.338	4	0
C953CI	-1.83	0.563	0.391	0.589	0.338	3	0
A1152C	3.83	0.584	0.300	0.691	0.340	2	0
C66M10	0.73	0.233	0.924	0.500	0.344	3	0
C364BI	4.19	0.501	0.501	0.547	0.346	2	0
A45MB346	-1.18	0.666	0.449	0.450	0.347	2	0
C55M01	-0.5	0.728	0.288	0.587	0.349	6	0
A562C	-0.72	0.233	0.691	0.703	0.351	3	0
A1155BII	2.23	0.522	0.347	0.736	0.356	1	0
C55M04	1.5	0.336	0.723	0.546	0.356	3	0
C95M07	1.49	0.481	0.587	0.510	0.358	4	0
C563AI	-4.74	0.831	0.545	0.273	0.359	2	0



C663C	-0.13	0.604	0.411	0.577	0.361	6	0
A46MB4	0.45	0.481	0.786	0.367	0.365	3	0
A36D	1.27	0.378	0.720	0.522	0.366	2	0
A6611	-2.35	0.975	0.324	0.410	0.367	1	0
A1151I	-2.92	0.687	0.468	0.470	0.374	2	0
C1154CII	1.36	0.646	0.422	0.561	0.378	1	0
C66M06	-1	0.687	0.452	0.496	0.379	5	0
C563AII	-0.46	0.481	0.688	0.466	0.379	4	0
C55M02	-0.13	0.522	0.686	0.430	0.380	2	0
C45MA8	-2.98	1.058	0.414	0.300	0.381	2	0
C46MA5	2.47	0.481	0.700	0.470	0.386	4	0
C361C	-1.02	0.460	0.520	0.673	0.389	2	0
C1155	-1.42	0.687	0.548	0.424	0.390	3	0
A56M02	0.77	0.563	0.643	0.453	0.393	1	0
C45MB5	1.91	0.749	0.521	0.409	0.394	2	0
I65M0366	-1.1	0.625	0.578	0.459	0.395	3	0
A1151II	-2.08	0.646	0.507	0.561	0.422	1	0
C364BII	0.48	0.666	0.434	0.657	0.438	1	0
A85M0284	0.24	0.728	0.650	0.395	0.441	1	0
I65M1166	0.18	0.439	0.437	0.945	0.442	7	0
A562D	-1.42	0.625	0.743	0.424	0.452	4	0
C562	-0.31	0.398	0.661	0.742	0.455	2	0
C115M04	1.07	0.625	0.770	0.415	0.459	2	0
C66M08	-2.02	0.769	0.467	0.568	0.460	2	0
C55M05	0.12	0.419	0.694	0.696	0.461	7	0
C653A	-1.93	0.831	0.561	0.431	0.462	1	0
A1154A	-1.05	0.501	0.446	0.896	0.465	1	0
A6614	1.49	0.419	0.584	0.827	0.465	3	0
A1155BIII	0.56	0.625	0.377	0.848	0.470	3	0
C363A	-0.39	0.522	0.560	0.745	0.475	2	0
A1155BI	-0.25	0.563	0.420	0.882	0.478	3	0
C663B	0	0.790	0.738	0.348	0.482	3	0
C36M02	-5.05	0.872	0.822	0.239	0.486	2	0
C65M08	-1.04	0.749	0.437	0.674	0.488	1	0
C364A	0.02	0.378	0.734	0.789	0.500	5	0
A1154BI	-0.43	0.295	0.661	1.048	0.518	2	0
C361A	-1.68	0.707	0.598	0.605	0.525	1	0
A1154BIII	0.35	0.316	0.717	0.964	0.529	6	0
C35M04	-2.27	0.790	0.796	0.394	0.543	2	0
C362B	-2.09	0.913	0.436	0.643	0.548	1	0
C955	-2.09	0.769	0.554	0.643	0.553	3	0
C561AII	-4.51	0.810	0.549	0.613	0.553	5	0
I65M06	-1.36	0.810	0.727	0.457	0.559	7	0
C953A	-5.56	1.161	0.497	0.434	0.562	3	0
I65M0166	0.27	0.707	0.681	0.596	0.567	7	0
C56M07	2.13	0.790	0.654	0.551	0.568	4	0
C662F	3.75	0.646	0.783	0.609	0.595	7	0
C35M03	-0.97	0.563	1.013	0.521	0.603	3	0
A952B	-1.15	0.852	0.897	0.370	0.611	3	0
C85M0684	1.96	0.687	0.475	0.935	0.611	4	0
I65M1266	1.62	0.460	0.679	0.972	0.615	2	0



C95M05	1.3	0.398	0.729	1.007	0.617	3	0
C563C	-1.31	0.357	0.695	1.144	0.628	2	0
C45MA6	-2.84	0.852	0.998	0.333	0.634	3	0
C56M08	0.33	0.398	0.681	1.112	0.637	3	0
C661B	0.09	0.563	0.782	0.797	0.655	3	0
C85M1084	0.53	0.460	0.656	1.090	0.658	7	0
C664C	-1.61	1.058	0.776	0.612	0.842	2	0
C953B	2.4	0.831	0.839	0.865	0.927	3	0
C46MB6	-2.24	0.996	1.047	0.544	0.933	7	0
C664B	0.96	0.398	1.399	0.891	0.935	5	0
C665	-0.27	0.625	1.469	0.758	1.085	3	0
Average diff	0.0617				Median QI	0.282	
Median diff	0.13						