REFERENCES

African National Congress. (1995). *A Policy Framework for Education and Training*. Swaziland: Macmillan Boleswa Publishers (Pty) Ltd.

Andrews, C.W., & De Vries, M.S. (2007). High expectations, varying outcomes: decentralization and participation in Brazil, Japan, Russia and Sweden. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 73(3), 424-451.

Baker, B.D., & Elmer, D.R. (2009). The politics of off-the shelf school finance reform. *Educational Policy*, 23(1), 66-105.

Bhaktawar, N., & Burger, D. (2009). *South African Yearbook 2008/09*. Pretoria: Government Communication and Information System (GSIC)

Bjork, C. (2006). *Educational Decentralization: Asian Experiences and Conceptual Contributions.* New York: USA. Vassar College, Poughkeepsie.

Borg W.R., & Gall M.D. (1989). *Educational Research: An introduction.* 5th ed. London: Longman.

Brinkerhoff, D.W., & Goldsmith, A.A. (1988). The challenge of administrative reform in post-Duvalier Haiti: efficiency, equity and the prospects for systematic change. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 54, 89-114.

Bryman, A. (2004). *Social Research Methods*. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press.

Buckland, P. (1995). *Towards a New Framework for Schools Organization*. Durban: KwaZulu Natal. Proceedings of a Conference "Commission: Government Structures, Participants, Powers and Processes" held on 25-26 April 1995.

Burger, D., & Tibane, E. (2007). *South African Yearbook 2007/08*. Pretoria: Government Communication and Information System (GSIC)

Carrion-i-Silvestre, J.L. Espasa, M., & Mora, T. (2008). Fiscal Decentralization and Economic Growth in Spain. *Public Finance Review*, 36 (2), 194-218.

Chinsamy, B. (2003). Successful school improvement and the educational district office in South Africa. *Education Africa Forum*. Johannesburg: Education Africa.

Chisholm, L., Motala, S., & Vally, S. (2003). *South African Education Policy Review*. Cape Town: Heinemann Publishers.

Chrisman, V. (2005). How Schools Improve. *Educational leadership.* Association for supervision and curriculum development, 62 (5), 16-20.

Chuenyane, G. (2008). *Foundation stones are laid for learners.* Johannesburg: City Press, 20 April 2008.

Cohen, M.C., & Peterson, S.B. (1995). Administrative Decentralization: A New Framework for Improved Governance, Accountability, and Performance, pp. 4-16, *United Nation Secretariat*, November 1995

Cohen, L., & Manion L. (1995). *Research Methods in Education.* 4th ed. New York: Routlegde.

Common, R.K. (1998). Convergence and Transfer: a review of the globalization of new public management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 11(6), 440-450.

Coombe, C., & Godden, J. (1996). *Local/District Governance in Education: Lessons for South Africa.* Braamfontein: Centre for Education Policy Development.

Cucchiara, M. (2003). The evolution of reform: Studying "Community Partnerships" in Philladephia. Penn GSE, *Perspectives on Urban Education*. 2(2), 1-9.

Cummings, W.K., & McGinn, N.F. (1997). *International handbook of Education and Development*: *Preparing Schools, Students and Nations for the twenty- first century.* New York: Elsevier Science.

Davies, E.H. (1999). *Administration of School Governance.* Pretoria: Centre for

Education Law and Policy.

De Clercq, F. (2001). The strategic role of the implementing agents of education reforms:

A case study of the struggle for provincial leadership and organizational alignment.

Perspective in Education, 19 (3), 37-52.

De Clercq, F. (2002). Education policy implementation and the bureaucratic struggle for

efficiency, equity, quality and democracy. *Journal of education*, 27, 80-99. University of

Natal: School of Education.

Denscombe, M. (2004). *The good research guide: for small-scale social research

projects*. 2nd ed. England: Open University Press.

Department of Education. (1995a). Eastern Transvaal *White Paper on Education and

Trainingl (draft discussion document)* .Middleburg: Mpumalanga Department of

Education.

Department of Education. (1995b). *White Paper on Education and Training. Notice No.

196 of 1995.* Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Department of Education. (1995c). *Mpumalanga School Education Act. No. 8 of 1995*. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Department of Education. (1996a). *National Education Policy Act. No. 27 of 1996.* Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Department of Education. (1996b). *South African Schools Act. No. 84 of 1996*. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Department of Education. (1997a). *Mpumalanga education statistics*, Snap Survey document, Nelspruit: Mpumalanga Department of Education.

Department of Education. (1997b). *Consultancy on the Assessment and enhancement of head office and district management.* (30 November 1997) Nelspruit: Mpumalanga Department of Education.

Department of Education. (1999). *A strategic plan for developing and coordinating INSET support services across the province,* pp.1-39, Middelburg: Mpumalanga Department of Education.

Department of Education. (2001a). *Mpumalanga Education News.* 6th ed. July/August 2001, Middelburg: Mpumalanga Communication Services.

Department of Education. (2001b). *Education change and transformation in South Africa: A Review 1994-2001*. Department of Education.

Department of Education. (2002). *Report on assessment of the 2001 Mpumalanga Senior Certificate results*, 8 April 2002, pp. 1-26. Nelspruit: Mpumalanga Department of Education.

Department of Education. (2004). *White Paper on e-Education.* Notice 1869 of 2004. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Department of Education. (2005a). *Education statistics in South Africa at a glance*. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Department of Education. (2005b). *Mpumalanga Education News, Vol.1/2005*, Special edition, Middelburg: Mpumalanga Communication Services.

Department of Education. (2006). *Mpumalanga Education News, Vol.1/2006,* Nelspruit: Mpumalanga Communication Services.

Department of Education. (2007a). *Mpumalanga Education News, Vol.1/2007,* Nelspruit: Mpumalanga Communication Services.

Department of Education. (2007b). *Mpumalanga Education News, Vol.3/2007*, Nelspruit: Mpumalanga Communication Services.

Fleisch, B. (2002). *Managing educational change: The state and school reform in South Africa*. Cape Town: Heinemann Publishers.

Fleisch,B. (2006). Bureaucratic accountability in the education action zones of South Africa*. South African Journal of Education*, 26(3), 368-382.

Gedikli, B. (2009). The role of leadership in the success of participatory planning processes: Experience From Turkey. *European Urban and Regional Studies,* 16(2), 115-130.

Gershberg, A.I., & Jacobs, M. (1998). Decentralization and recentralization report: Lessons from the social sectors in Mexico and Nicaragua. *Inter-America Development Bank.*

Heller, P. (2009). Democratic deepening in India and South Africa. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 44(1), 123-149.

Henly, J., & Young, J. (2008). School boards and education finance in Manitoba: The

politics of equity, access and local autonomy. *Canadian Journal of Educational Administration and Policy*, 17, 1-26.

Henning, E. Van Rensburg, W. & Smit, B. (2004). *Finding your way in qualitative Research.* 1st ed. Pretoria: Van Schaik.

Hentschke, G.C., Nayfack, M.B., & Wohlstetter, P. (2009). Exploring superintendent leadership in smaller urban districts: Does district size influence superintendent behavior. *Education and Urban Society*, 41, 317-337.

Hopkin, J. (2009). Party Matters: Devolution and party politics in Britain and Spain. *Party Politics,* 12(2), 179-198.

Jansen, J., & Taylor, N. (2003). Educational change in South Africa 1994-2003: Case studies in large- scale education reform. *Education Reform and Management Publication Series,* 2(1), 1-51.

Kallaway, P., Kruss, G., Donn, G., & Fataar, A.(1998). *Education after Apartheid: South African education in transition.* South Africa. UCT Press.

Kanjee, A. (2006). Tackling the challenge of quality education. *Human Science Research Council*, 4(2), 11.

Karlsen, G.E. (1999). "Decentralized-Centralism" governance in gducation: Evidence from Norway and British Colombia, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Educational Administration and Policy.* Issue 13, Norway: Sor-Trondelag College.

Karlsson, J., Pampallis, J., & Sithole, S. (1996). Restructuring educational governance at sub-national levels in South Africa. South Africa: *Education Policy Unit.* University of Natal

Kauzya, J.M. (2007). *Political decentralization in Africa: Experiences of Uganda, Rwanda and South Africa.* Discussion paper. New York: Department of Economic and Social Affairs. United Nations.

Kgosana, C. (2008). *University body refuses to lower standards: Higher Education South Africa blames schools for first-year students' high drop-out rate*. Johannesburg: City Press, 29 June 2008.

Khamsi, G.S., & Stolpe, I. (2004). Decentralization and Recentralization reforms in Mongolia: Tracing the swing of the pendulum. *Comparative Education*, 40(1), 1-30.

Kohl, B. (2003). Democratising decentralization in Bolivia: The law of popular participation. *Journal of Planning Education and Research,* 23,153-164.

Lauglo, J. (1995). Forms of decentralization and their implications for education.

Comparative Education, 31(10), 5- 29.

Leung, F.K.S. (2004). Educational centralization and decentralization in East Asia: *A Paper presented at the APEC Educational Reform Summit*. Beijing, China: University of Hong Kong.

Lim, P.W.O., & Fritzen, S.A. (2006). *Problems and prospects of decentralization in developing countries*. National University of Singapore: LKY School of Public Policy.

Litvack, J., Ahmad. J., & Bird, R. (1998). *Rethinking decentralization in developing countries.* USA: World Bank.

Malherbe, R. (2005). Centralization of power: Have provinces become national agents*? Paper presented the third international conference on federalism*, 2-3 March 2005, Brussels: Belgium.

Mangelsdorf, K.R. (1988). Administrative decentralization and development: some conflicting evidence from Ecuador. *International Review of Administrative Sciences,* 54, 67-88.

Marchiori, M., & De Lourdes Oliveira. (2009). Perspective, challenges, and future directions for organizational communication research in Brazil. *Management Communication Quarterly,* 22(4), 671-676.

Mardones, R.Z. (2007). The congressional politics of decentralization: The case of Chile. *Comparative Political Studies,* 40(3), 333-358.

McMillan, J.H., & Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in Education. A conceptual introduction*. 5th ed. New York: Priscilla McGeehon Publishers.

Mintrom, M. (2008). Promoting local democracy in education: Challenges and prospects. *Education Policy.* 23(2), 329-354.

Mohlala, T. (2007a). Making districts a key support. *The Teacher*, 12(7), 4. Johannesburg: M & G Media Ltd.

Mohlala, T. (2007b).Literacy is the key, *The Teacher*, 12(3), 8. Johannesburg: M & G Media Ltd.

Motala, E., & Pampallis, J. (2001). *Education and equity: The impact of the state policies on South African education*. Cape Town: Heinemann Publishers

Mouton, J. (2004). *How to succeed in your master's and doctoral studies: A South African guide and resource book*. Pretoria: Van Schaick Publishers.

Narsee, H. (2006). *The common and contested meaning of education districts in South Africa*, South Africa: University of Pretoria.

National Education Policy Investigation (NEPI), 1992. *Governance and Administration.* New York: Oxford University Press.

Nickson, A. (2001). *Education Reform in Latin America: Decentralization or Restructuring.* A paper presented at Conference and Engagement on Social Policy in Latin America, March 22-23. University of London: Institute of Latin America Studies.

Oluwa, D. (2001). A paper on *decentralization policies and practices under structural adjustment and democratization in Africa.* United Nations: Research Institute for Social Development.

Panayides, A. (2003). Decentralization Policies and Strategies in Education: A paper on *Cyprus Education System and Decentralization delivered at the International Seminar on Decentralization of Education Policies.* Buenos Aires, pp. 1-11, 30 June – 03 July 2003.

Pandor, N. (2007). *Slide in grades: Teachers' strike and poor administration among causes of low pass rate.* Johannesburg: City press (30 December 2007.

Parker, A., & Kirsten, J. (1995). *Decentralization: Towards a revitalized strategy for*

rural development. Washington DC: World Bank.

Potterton.M. (2008). A curriculum that failed, *The Teacher*, 13(2), 15. Johannesburg: M & G Media Ltd.

Punch, K., F. (2005). *Introduction to social research: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. London: Sage Publications.

Reddy, P.S., & Sabelo. T. (1997). Democratic decentralization and central/provincial/local relations in South Africa. *International Journal of Public Sector Management,* 10(7), 572-588.

Republic of South Africa (1993). *The constitution of the Republic of South Africa.* Act 200 of 1993. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Republic of South Africa (1994a). *Public Service Act, 103 of 1994*. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Republic of South Africa (1994b). *White Paper on Reconstruction and Development, Notice No. 1954 of 1994.* Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Republic of South Africa (1996). *The constitution of the Republic of South Africa.* Act 108 of 1996. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Republic of South Africa (RSA), (1997). *Draft White Paper on transforming public service delivery*, May. 1997. Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

Republic of South Africa (RSA), (2005). Report of the presidential review commission on the reform and transformation of the pubic service. Pretoria: Government printing Work.

Rondinelli, A.D., McCullough, J.S., & Johnson, R.W. (1989). Analyzing decentralization policies in developing countries: a political-economy framework. *Development and change,* 20, 57-87.

Rondinelli, A.D. (1981). Government decentralization in comparative perspective: theory and practice in developing countries. *International Review of Administrative Sciences,* .47(2), 133-145.

Samoff, J. (1990). Decentralization: the politics of interventionism. *Development and Change,* 21, 513-530.

Sayed, Y., & Jansen, J. (2001). *Implementing education policies: The South African experience.* Cape Town: UCT Press.

Sayed, Y. (2002). Democratizing education in a democratized system: South African

policy and practice, *Compare*, 32(1), 35-46.

Sebidi, S. (2008) Analysis of administrative governance in education: The case study of Mpumalanga Department of Education. Masters thesis submitted to the Faculty of Education, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

Sehoole, M.T. (2005). *Democratizing higher education policy*: Constraints of reform in post-apartheid South Africa. London: Routledge.

Seller, W. (2005). Special issues and school districts in educational reform. *Journal Of Educational Change,* 6,(1), USA: Lynch School of Education.

Shepherd, A. (2000). Governance, good government and poverty reduction. *International review of Administrative Sciences,* 66(2), 269-284.

Shube, C.G. (1995). *Interim district head's address to all principals of the Malelane District (unpublished) on 25 April 1995.*

Silverman, D. (2004). *Qualitative research: Theory, method and practice.* 2nd ed. London: Sage Publications.

Smit, P.J., & Cronjé, G.J De J. (1997). *Management principles: A contemporary edition for Africa*. 2nd ed. Cape Town: Creda Press.

Slater, D. (1989). Territorial power and the peripheral state: The issue of decentralization, *Development and Change,* 20, 501-531.

Steyn, J.C. (2000). Quality education and equality in education: a dilemma for democratic South Africa. *South African Journal of Education*, 20(1), 46-52.

Thwala, N. (2007). *Reflections on the mother tongue, The Teacher,* 12(3), 8. Johannesburg: M & G Media Ltd.

Ts'oele, M. (2006). Case studies: Decentralization in Uganda and South Africa. *Sustaining Livelihood in Sub-Saharan Africa.* Issue.19,1-8, May 2006.

Vulliamy, G., Lewin, K., & Stephens, D. (1990). *Doing educational research in developing countries.* United Kingdom: The Falmer Press.

USAID, (2005). Decentralization in education September. *Educational Quality Review in the developing world,* 3(4), 1-4.

UNESCO, (2001). Education reforms in East Asia: Policy, process, and impact. World data on education. *International Journal of Educational Development,* 13(3), 1-20.

Wa Kivili,M., & Diko, M. (2008). The Language of instruction remains unresolved, *Human Science Research Council (HSRC) review*, 6(1), March 2008.

Wehmeier, S., Mclntosh, C., Turnbull, J., & Ashby, M. (2005) Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary. New York: Oxford University Press.

Weiler, H.N. (1990). Comparative perspective on educational decentralization: An exercise in contradiction, *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis,* 12(4), 433-448.

Welman, C., Kruger, F., & Mitchell,B. (2007). *Research Methodology.* 3rd ed. Oxford Southern Africa: University Press.

Wilkinson, D. (2003). T*he research's toolkit: The complete guide to practitioner research.* London: Routledge Falmer.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1: LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Individual Interviews

No	Date	Name of Person or School	Organization/Position
1	07 March 2007	Mr S.S. Motshana	MDE: Director-Strategic Planning and Project Planning
2	28 March 2007	Mr S.E. Sukati	MDE:Director-Human Resource Management
3	30 March 2007	Mr P.J. Masilela	MDE:Director-Resource Planning
4	18 April 2007	Mr S.A. Sukati	MDE: Chief Education Specialist-Quality Management and Project Coordination/ Former District Head for Hazyview District.
5	28 April 2007	Mrs P.N. Mbatsane	Ehlanzeni Region: Former Chief Education Specialist for Systems and Planning, and Acting Regional Director in Bohlabelo Region
6	24 May 2007	Mrs D.D. Mashego	MDE: Chief Director- Systems and Planning
7	19 June 2007	Mr Craig Padayachee	MDE:Former MEC of Education (1999-2004)
8	26 July 2007	Lubombo High School	Principal
9	26 July 2007	Lubombo High School	Teacher

No	Date	Name of Person or School	Organization/Position
10	30 July 2007	Maqhekeza H.P. School	Principal
11	30 July 2007	Maqhekeza H.P. School	Teacher
12	31 July 2007	Lubombo Circuit	Circuit Manager
13	01 August 2007	Nkomazi West Circuit	Circuit Manager
14	03 August 2007	Mahhushe Agric. School	Principal
15	03 August 2007	Mahhushe Agric. School	Teacher
16	17 August 2007	Sidlamafa High School	Principal
17	17 August 2007	Sidlamafa High School	Principal
18	24 August 2007	Nkomazi East Circuit	Circuit Manager
19	28 August 2007	Mbambiso High School	Principal
20	28 August 2007	Mbambiso High School	Teacher
21	04 September 2007	Valencia Combined School	Principal
22	04 September 2007	Valencia Combined School	Teacher
23	10 September 2007	Kaapmuiden H.P. School	Principal
24	10 September 2007	Kaapmuiden H.P. School	Teacher
25	11 September 2007	Nelspruit Primary School	Principal
26	11 September 2007	Nelspruit Primary School	Teacher
27	15 September 2007	Mr C.M. Mabuza	Former member of District Council , Lowveld and the Escarpment
28	17 September 2007	Lowveld High School	Principal
30	17 September 2007	Lowveld High School	Teacher
31	20 September 2007	Mr M.S.A. Masango	MDE: MEC of Education (2004-2007)
32	02 October 2007	Hoërskool Nelspruit	Principal
33	02 October 2007	Hoërskool Nelspruit	Teacher

No	Date	Name of Person or School	Organization/Position
34	08 October 2007	Mr M.W. Mokoena	Ehlanzeni Region- Deputy Chief Education Specialist: Intermediate and Senior phase.
35	09 October 2007	Mr S.S. Mahlalela	Ehlanzeni Region- Circuit Coordination
36	09 October 2007	Mr J.C. Khoza	Ehlanzeni Region -Chief Education Specialist: GET and FET

APPENDIX 2: INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

A 2.1. Overview of activities undertaken over the period of the study

Research activities	Estimated time frames
Finalizing the research proposal	**September/October 2006**
Preparing research instruments	**October –November 2006**
Field Work: **Interviews:** **Archival work: Document analysis**	**November 2006 – June 2007**
Preliminary data analysis	**July 2007 – August 2007**
Further field work and archival work	**September – December 2007**
Data processing and drafting of report	**January 2008 – April 2008**
Report writing	**May 2008 – August 2008**
Completion of first draft	**August 2008**
Finalization of research report	**October 2008 − May 2009**
Submission of final research report	**June 2009**

A2.2 LIST OF INTERVIEW SCHEDULES

1	**Political Heads**
2	**Provincial Officials**
3	**Regional Officials**
4	**Circuit Managers**
5	**Principals**
6	**Teachers**
7	**District Council Official**

A2.3. Interview protocol number 1: Political Heads

The purpose of this interview schedule was to find out about the process that was followed before the establishment of districts and circuits. It was also intended to probe the rationale for the formation of districts, their performance and the reasons for their abolition. Lastly, the process leading up to the establishment of regions was investigated, as well as their performance as compared to districts.

1. Can you explain to me the process of establishing the different educational structures for education governance from the provincial level to school level after the 1994 elections or the establishment of the new provincial government?

Investigation:
- Establishment of districts and circuits
- Process followed
- Role players

2. What were the particular reasons for opting for this structure? Please explain.

  Investigation:
- Rationale for districts and circuits

3. What challenges did you experience in the early days of embarking on this process?

Investigation:
- Challenges encountered

4. How effective have these structures been in facilitating service delivery in the province?

Investigation:

- Effectiveness of districts and circuits
- Service delivery
- Provincial performance

5. What measures were put in place to enhance capacity in these structures for service delivery?

Investigation:
- Capacity building
- Strategies

6. In 2001 districts were abolished as nodes of service delivery and regions were established in their place: Where did this idea originate from?

Investigation:
- Rationale for regions

7. What were the initial responses to this idea?

Investigation:
- Stakeholders' opinion

8. What processes were followed to implement this idea?

Investigation:
- Protocol
- Study on districts

9. It has been five years since these changes were implemented; what is your assessment of the decision to implement this idea?

Investigation:
- Effectiveness of regions
- Evaluation

10. What challenges are you facing in relation to the regions' effectiveness?

Investigation:
- Room for improvement

A2.4. Interview protocol number 2: Provincial Director (Resource planning)

The purpose of this interview schedule was to find out about the resources that were earmarked for districts and circuits, as well as the professional support that was provided to enhance service delivery in schools.

1. What resources and administrative support were earmarked to assist districts and circuits in rendering their duties?

Investigation:
- Human, physical and financial resources
- Support base

2. What challenges did districts face in rendering their services and what was the source or root cause of these challenges?

Investigation:
- Hindrances
- Major stumbling block
- Rationale for districts' limited powers

3. How were these challenges addressed?

Investigation:
- Approach to challenges
- Recommendations of the study
- Formation of regions

4. In most cases organizations are blaming poor performance on lack of resources. In the light of this statement what resources were put in place to develop and support schools?

Investigation:
- Resource provision
- Capacity
- Professional support

5. How would you describe school performance during the era of districts?

Investigation:
- School performance
- Effectiveness of the system

6. In your opinion, how effective and efficient were districts in delivering services to schools and circuits?

Investigation:
- Overall assessment
- Efficacy

7. What informed the demarcation of districts in 1995?

Investigation:
- Rationale for districts

8. What influenced the shift from districts to regions in 2001?

Investigation:
- Shortcomings of districts
- Resources
- Power and authority

A2.5. Interview protocol number 3: Provincial Directors

The purpose of this interview schedule was to find out about the process that was followed before the establishment of districts and circuits. It was also intended to probe the rationale for the formation of districts, their performance and the reasons for their abolition. Lastly, the process leading up to the establishment of regions was investigated, as well as their performance as compared to districts.

1. Can you explain to me the process of establishing the different educational structures for education governance from the provincial level to school level after the 1994 elections or the establishment of the new provincial government?

Investigation:
- Establishment of districts and circuits
- Process followed
- Role players

2. What were the particular reasons for opting for this structure? Please explain.

   Investigation:
   - Rationale for districts and circuits

3. What challenges did you experience in the early days of embarking on this process?

Investigation:
- Challenges encountered

4. How effective have these structures been in facilitating service delivery in the province?

Investigation:
- Effectiveness of districts and circuits
- Service delivery
- Provincial performance

5. What measures were put in place to enhance capacity in these structures for service delivery?

Investigation:
- Capacity building
- Strategies

6. In 2001 districts were abolished as nodes of service delivery and regions were established in their place: Where did this idea originate from?

Investigation:
- Rationale for regions

7. What were the initial responses to this idea?

Investigation:
- Stakeholders' opinion

8. What processes were followed to implement this idea?

Investigation:
- Protocol
- Study on districts

9. It has been five years since these changes have been implemented; what is your assessment of the decision to implement this idea?

Investigation:
- Effectiveness of regions
- Evaluation

10. What challenges are you facing in relation to the regions' effectiveness?

Investigation:
- Room for improvement

A2.6. Interview protocol number 4: Regional Officials

The purpose of this interview schedule was to find out the reasons for the establishment of districts and circuits, including the source of reference for these structures, powers and authority that they had, their roles and responsibilities and the challenges they faced during their existence. Lastly the rationale for the establishment of regions was investigated, including the assessment of their performance.

1. During the process of restructuring in 1995 districts and circuits were chosen as nodes of service delivery in Mpumalanga Education Department. Please explain why.

Investigation:
- Rationale for districts and circuits

2. Which decentralization model informed the establishment of districts and circuits?

Investigation:
- Source of reference
- Theory or ideology

3. What power and authority did districts hold in order to carry out their mandate of delivering services?

Investigation:
- Power and authority

4. What was the role of districts and circuits in terms of service delivery to schools?

Investigation:
- Responsibility of districts and circuits

5. What informed the demarcation of the former districts?

Investigation:
- Attributes

6. How did districts and circuits fare in terms of carrying out their mandate or duties of service delivery?

Investigation:
- Assessment

7. What challenges if any were hindering their effectiveness towards rendering their services?

Investigation:
- Obstacles

8. In your opinion what led to the phasing out of districts and their amalgamation into the three regions?

Investigation:
- Reasons for districts' demise
- Rationale for regions

9. How has the shift from districts to regions impacted on service delivery?

Investigation:
- Effectiveness of regions

10. What informed the present demarcation of regions into three entities?

Investigation:
- Attributes

A2.7. Interview schedule protocol number 5: Circuit Managers

The purpose of this interview schedule was to find out how districts and circuits fared in terms of service delivery to schools, capacity building, curriculum matters, and resources amid challenges faced by schools then as opposed to the present situation. The rationale for the formation of regions, assessment of their effectiveness was investigated and lastly finding out areas for improvement in the regional structure.

1. Immediately after 1994 districts and circuits were established as nodes of service delivery, in your experience how did these structures perform in terms of general service delivery to schools?

Investigation:
- Assessment of districts and circuits

2. How did they perform in terms of institutional development and support to schools?

Investigation:
- Capacity building
- Professional support

3. How did they perform in relation to learning programme facilitation and development to schools?

Investigation:
- Curriculum
- Development of educators

4. What resources and administrative support were put in place to cater for schools?

Investigation:
- Availability of resources
- Management support

5. Which challenges were faced by schools then as opposed to the present situation?

Investigation:
- Challenges

6. In your opinion what led to the phasing out of districts and their amalgamation into the three regions as it is the case today?

Investigation:
- Rationale for regions

7. In which areas would you ascribe school improvement because of the establishment of regions if the any?

Investigation:
- Assessment of regions

8. In terms of standards and quality of education how would you describe the present situation?

Investigation:
- Effectiveness of the system

9. Which area do you think should be improved and why?

Investigation:
- Shortcomings

10. What is a circuit or why do we need a circuit?

Investigation:
- Definition
- Role of a circuit

A2.8. Interview schedule protocol number 6: Principals

The purpose of this interview schedule was to find out how districts and circuits fared in terms of service delivery to schools, capacity building, curriculum matters, and resources amid challenges faced by schools then, as opposed to the present situation. The rationale for the formation of regions, and assessment of their effectiveness were investigated, as well as areas for improvement in the regional structure.

1. Immediately after 1994 districts and circuits were established as nodes of service delivery, in your experience how did these structures perform in terms of general service delivery to schools?

Investigation:
   ▪ Assessment of districts and circuits

2. How did they perform in terms of institutional development and support to schools?

Investigation:
   ▪ Capacity building
   ▪ Professional support

3. How did they perform in relation to learning programme facilitation and development to schools?

Investigation:
   ▪ Curriculum
   ▪ Development of educators

4. What resources and administrative support were put in place to cater for schools?

Investigation:
   ▪ Availability of resources

- Management support

5. What challenges were faced by schools then as opposed to the present situation?

Investigation:
- Challenges

6. In your opinion what led to the phasing out of districts and their amalgamation into the three regions as is the case today?

Investigation:
- Rationale for regions

7. In which areas would you ascribe school improvement due to the establishment of regions, if any?

Investigation:
- Assessment of regions

8. In terms of standards and quality of education how would you describe the present situation?

Investigation:
- Effectiveness of the system

9. Which areas do you think should be improved and why?

Investigation:
- Shortcomings

A2.9. Interview schedule protocol number 7: Educators

The purpose of this interview schedule was to find out how districts and circuits fared in terms of service delivery to schools, capacity building, curriculum matters, and resources amid challenges faced by schools then, as opposed to the present situation. The rationale for the formation of regions, and assessment of their effectiveness were investigated, as well as areas for improvement in the regional structure.

1. Immediately after 1994 districts and circuits were established as nodes of service delivery. In your experience how did these structures perform in terms of general service delivery to schools?

Investigation:
- Assessment of districts and circuits

2. How did they perform in terms of institutional development and support to schools?

Investigation:
- Capacity building
- Professional support

3. How did they perform in relation to learning programme facilitation and development to schools?

Investigation:
- Curriculum
- Development of educators

4. What resources and administrative support were put in place to cater for schools?

Investigation:
- Availability of resources
- Management support

5. What challenges were faced by schools then as opposed to the present situation?

Investigation:
- Challenges

6. In your opinion what led to the phasing out of districts and their amalgamation into the three regions as is the case today?

Investigation:
- Rationale for regions

7. In which areas would you ascribe school improvement due to the establishment of regions, if any?

Investigation:
- Assessment of regions

8. In terms of standards and quality of education how would you describe the present situation?

Investigation:
- Effectiveness of the system

9. Which areas do you think should be improved and why?

Investigation:
- Shortcomings

APPENDIX 3: REGIONAL PROFILE

EHLANZENI REGION PROFILE AS AT NOVEMBER 2008

Area of information	Quantity
Total number of schools in the region	422
Total number of primary schools in the region	279
Number of secondary schools	114
Number of combined schools	56
Number of special schools of reform	03
Number of Independent Schools	51
Total number of educators in the region	9 232
Number of primary school educators	4 858
Number of secondary school educators	3 442
Number of ABET Centres	124
Number of Educators' Development Centres	28
Number of Directors	01
Number of Deputy Directors	03
Number of Chief Education Specialist	05

Total number of DECS	17
Total number of personnel in the region	581
Total number of Curriculum Implementers	124
Number of circuits in the region	15

.