



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

**THE TRANSITION FROM DISTRICTS TO REGIONS: A CASE STUDY OF
RESTRUCTURING IN A PROVINCIAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT OF
SOUTH AFRICA**

By

ELIJAH PHUKWANA MASHELE

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Philosophiae Doctor in the Faculty of Education, University of Pretoria.**

SUPERVISOR: PROFESSOR M.T. SEHOOLE

OCTOBER 2009



DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is my original work submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Education in the University of Pretoria.

Elijah Phukwana Mashele

30 October 2009

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is the product of a great deal of support, encouragement, and motivation from a wide range of individuals. First and foremost I would like to express my gratitude to my wife, Pertunia and my daughters, Noxolo, Masego, Sinamile and Neliswa. Thank you for allowing me to sacrifice the valuable time that I should have spent with you; your support generated the energy which drove me to complete the study. The current and former officials of the Mpumalanga Education Department have been most helpful, as were the former MECs of Education in the Mpumalanga Government, the officials at Ehlanzeni Region, and the circuit managers of various circuits which were included in the study. They all offered me unwavering support that propelled me in my quest for knowledge.

I thank my colleagues in the teaching fraternity those who are principals and educators. My sincere appreciation is due to my fellow PhD students who were a source of inspiration every time we met. The staff in the Department of Education Management, Law and Policy and other lecturers at the University of Pretoria contributed to my success through their comments during contact sessions and proposal defenses. My appreciation also goes to all those who participated in the interviews – your contributions are highly valued. Lastly, I would not have been able to persevere without a supervisor of Professor M.T. Sehoole's calibre – you motivated me through everything you did as I traveled along this journey; you were like fuel in a car that enabled me to reach my destination. Finally I want to thank my parents who have encouraged me to continue with my studies over the years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES.....	x
ACRONYMS.....	xi
ABSTRACT.....	xv
CHAPTER 1	
PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.2. Purpose of the study.....	2
1.3. Background and context of the study.....	4
1.4. Rationale of the study	7
1.5. Argument	8
1.6. Thesis overview	8
CHAPTER 2	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1. Introduction.....	13
2.2. International trends in decentralization.....	13
2.3. Forms of decentralization	17

2.4. Decentralization and re-centralization	25
2.5. Challenges of decentralization	37
2.6. Decentralization efforts in South Africa	38
2.7. Organizational subdivisions	47
2.8. Theoretical framework	48

CHAPTER 3

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

3.1. Introduction	52
3.2. Research approach	52
3.3. Research methodology	53
3.4. Data collection methods	56
3.5. Document analysis	58
3.5.1. Examination of primary sources	59
3.5.2. Examination of secondary sources	60
3.6. Personal journal	61
3.7. Data analysis	61
3.8. Trustworthiness	65
3.9. Limitations of the study	66
3.10. Ethical considerations	67
3.11. Challenges encountered during the study	67
3.12. Mentoring programme	69
3.13. Lesson learned and how they affected me	69

CHAPTER 4

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF DISTRICTS IN MPUMALANGA (1994-2002)

4.1. Introduction.....	71
4.2. Background of policy and legislative framework.....	73
4.3. Establishment of districts and circuits	81
4.4. Operation of districts and circuits	88
4.5. The relationship between districts and circuits.....	92
4.6. Powers and responsibilities.....	98

CHAPTER 5

HISTORY OF SERVICE DELIVERY UNDER DISTRICTS (1994-2002)

5.1. Introduction.....	102
5.2. Lack of power and authority	104
5.3. Lack of resources	110
5.3.1. Physical resources.....	110
5.3.2. Human resources.....	116
5.3.3. Financial resources.....	118
5.3.4. Policy implementation	121
5.3.5. Lack of support to schools	123
5.4. Why districts were abolished.....	128
5.5. Conclusion	129

CHAPTER 6

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONS (1998-2002)

6.1. Introduction.....	135
6.2. Background and context	135
6.3. Education governance restructuring across the globe.....	141
6.4. The process of establishing regions	145
6.5. How regions operate	146
6.6. Relationship between circuits and regions.....	150
6.7. Powers and responsibilities of regions.....	152
6.8. Service delivery under regions.....	154
6.9. Conclusion	158

CHAPTER 7

THE EFFECT OF THE SHIFT FROM DISTRICTS TO REGIONS ON SERVICE DELIVERY TO SCHOOLS (2002-2009)

7.1. Introduction.....	159
7.2. Policy framework.....	160
7.3. Resource provision.....	162
7.4. Curriculum support	166
7.5. Specialization.....	170
7.6. In-service training and teacher development	171
7.7. Communication.....	172
7.8. Efficiency and effectiveness of regions	173

7.8.1. Effects of the shift on service delivery	177
7.9. The regions' challenges	178
7.10. Conclusion	180
 CHAPTER 8	
CONCLUSION	
8.1. Introduction.....	185
8.2. Decentralization perspective	187
8.3. Education structures and boundaries	200
8.4. Future of districts and regions.....	201
8.5. Findings of the study.....	202
8.6. Implications for the decentralization literature	203
8.7. Conclusion	204
 REFERENCES	 206
 APPENDICES	 222
Appendix 1: List of respondents	223
Appendix 2: Interview schedules.....	225
A2.1. Overview of activities undertaken over the period of the study	226
A2.2. List of Interview schedules	227
A2.3. Interview protocol number 1: Political Heads	228
A2.4. Interview protocol number 2: Provincial Director (Resource planning).230	
A2.5. Interview protocol number 3: Provincial Directors	231
A2.6. Interview protocol number 4: Regional Officials	233



A2.7. Interview protocol number 5: Circuit Managers.....	235
A2.8. Interview schedule protocol number 6: School Principals.....	237
A2.9. Interview schedule protocol number 7: Educators.....	239
Appendix 3: Regional profile.....	241

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: Schools without facilities	111
Figure 2: Schools without telecommunication facilities.....	114
Figure 3: Mpumalanga Grade 12 results in percentages (2001-2008)	150
Figure 4: State of facilities in Ehlanzeni (2009).....	162
Figure 5: State of classrooms depicted in percentages for Ehlanzeni (2009)...	163
Figure 6: State of toilet facilities in Ehlanzeni (2009).....	164
Figure 7: Comparison of national performance with Mpumalanga province..	167
Table 1: Schools with computers by province in 2002.....	113
Table 2: Regional pass rate in percentages: 2001-2008.....	149



ACRONYMS

ANC	African National Congress
AGM	Annual General Meeting
CASS	Continuous Assessment
CES	Chief Education Specialist
CMT	Circuit Management Team
CODESA	Convention of the Democratic South Africa
CSR	Civil Service Reform
DDP	District Development Project
DETC	District Education and Training Council
DMT	District Management Team
DoE	Department of Education
DTSTs	District Teacher Support Teams
ERS	Education Renewal Strategy
ETC	Education and Training Council
FET	Further Education and Training
GDE	Gauteng Department of Education



GEA	Ghana Education Authority
GET	General Education and Training
HSRC	Human Science Research Council
JD	Juntas Distrial
ICT	Information Computer Technology
IMF	International Monetary Fund
INSET	In Service Training
IQMS	Integrated Quality Management System
MDE	Mpumalanga Department of Education
MEC	Member of the Executive Council
MPETC	Mpumalanga Provincial Education and Training Council
LEAs	Local Education Authorities
LLP	Ley de Participacion Popular
NCS	National Curriculum Statement
NEPI	National Education Policy Investigation
NGO	Non Government Organization
NPM	New Public Management



OBE	Outcome Based Education
PRF	Policy Reserve Fund
PTAs	Parent Teacher Associations
RNCS	Revised National Curriculum Statement
RDP	Reconstruction and Development Programme
RSA	Republic of South Africa
SASA	South African Schools Act
SEAs	Scotland Education Authorities
SBM	School Based Management
SGB	School Governing Bodies
SMT	Strategic Management Team
SRN	School Register of Needs
SSBs	School Sponsoring Bodies
UCT	University of Cape Town
UNESCO	United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
USA	United States of America



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

USAID

United States Agency for International
Development

ABSTRACT

The birth of a new South Africa in 1994 necessitated various reforms in education, and like in many other countries, decentralization was imperative in order to address, among other issues, the undemocratic governance practices and inequalities of the former apartheid educational system. This study interrogates the establishment of districts and circuits in Mpumalanga as nodes of service delivery, immediately after South Africa's first democratic elections in 1994. It also focuses on their demise in 2001 and the birth of regions.

The study investigates the rationale behind these processes that took place in Mpumalanga, through posing three main research questions:

1. Which decentralization model(s) informed the formation of districts and circuits in the Mpumalanga Department of Education?
2. What circumstances led to the dismantling of districts and their amalgamation into regions?
3. What has been the effect of this shift on service delivery in circuits and schools? (Did it lead to an improvement or decline in service delivery, education governance in the province, effectiveness and efficiency?)

The methodology used in this study is the qualitative framework. The qualitative research approach is appropriate for investigating the rationale behind the shift from the district structure to the regional structure in Mpumalanga, with the Ehlanzeni region as a case study. This phenomenon can be best understood by using a qualitative approach to investigate how those involved conceptualized and

experienced the whole process. The data collection method is face-to-face, unstructured, in-depth interviews.

The study argues that the shift from districts to regions in Mpumalanga is the result of inadequacies in the district structure which caused districts to fail. These inadequacies filtered down to the performance of roles and responsibilities which led to the demise of districts and their amalgamation into regions, which were given more powers and resources in order to fulfill their mandate.

This study uses Samoff's (1990) decentralization typologies as a lens through which the establishment of districts and circuits, their demise, and the reorganization into regions can be conceptualized. This study found that districts and circuits were established in line with the government's principle of taking government to the people; in that they were closer to the people they served. Districts and circuits were field offices that were strategically established to assist the province in delivering services to the people as well as encouraging community participation in education.

Districts and circuits failed to perform their roles and responsibilities as expected, due to lack of power and authority, resources (physical; human and financial), capacity, coordination and financial support. This led to their restructuring into regions in order to reduce costs, consolidate expertise, provide resources, and grant more powers for them to perform well and achieve efficacy. This study contributes to the body of literature and understanding of the ramifications of decentralization.

Keywords: Decentralization, centralization, district, circuit, region, alignment, curriculum implementers, amalgamation, school support, shift, power and authority