



Coupled cluster analysis of model non-adiabatic Hamiltonians

Coupled cluster analysis of model
non-adiabatic Hamiltonians

by
David Michael van der Walt

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Philosophiae Doctor (Physics)
David Michael van der Walt

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

April 1999

Supervisor: Prof. H. M. Carter

Co-supervisor: Dr N. J. Dautzenberg

In this thesis we undertake a theoretical many-body modeling approach to the

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Philosophiae Doctor (Physics) in addition to more

models of a quantised harmonic oscillator. We explore the use of topical frameworks

in the Faculty of Science

quantum optics, solid state quantum mechanics, and we focus

University of Pretoria

here in particular on the Rabi Hamiltonian for Jaynes-Cummings models

Pretoria

without the rotating wave approximation, in quantum optics, and the case of

April 1999

Ecke-Jahn-Teller and pseudo Jahn-Teller systems in quantum chemistry.

Due to their simplicity, these Hamiltonians exhibit interesting symmetries, allowing them to serve as useful testing grounds for quantum many-

body techniques. Here we apply the coupled cluster method (CCM) to the Rabi Hamiltonian, by means of the the coupled cluster method (CCM). The CCM is an important tool in quantum chemistry, and consists of making a basis function expansion of the total molecular energy in terms of one- and two-particle operators. The CCM has been applied to a wide variety of systems, including atoms, molecules, nuclei and clusters.

Abstract

Coupled cluster analysis of model non-adiabatic Hamiltonians

by

David Michael van der Walt

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Philosophiae Doctor (Physics)
applied for at the University of Pretoria
in the Faculty of Science
submitted from Teller, University of Pretoria

Pretoria

April 1999

Supervisor: Prof. R. M. Carter

Co-supervisor: Dr N. J. Davidson

In this thesis, we undertake a theoretical many-body investigation into the ground and first excited states of a class of model non-adiabatic Hamiltonians describing the interaction of a two-level fermionic system with one or more modes of a quantized bosonic field. These models are of topical interest in quantum optics, solid state physics and quantum chemistry, and we focus here in particular on the Rabi Hamiltonian (or Jaynes–Cummings model without the rotating wave approximation) in quantum optics, and the linear $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller and pseudo Jahn–Teller systems in quantum chemistry.

Due to their simplicity, these Hamiltonians exhibit interesting symmetries, allowing them to serve as useful testing grounds for quantum many-

body techniques. Here we analyze these models by means of the the coupled cluster method (CCM). The CCM has an impressive record as a powerful and versatile *ab initio* method, having been successfully applied in nuclear physics, quantum chemistry, lattice gauge and continuum field theories, and spin and electron lattice models. For comparison, we also present results for our model Hamiltonians obtained via a variety of other many–body methods. In particular, we present an excellent variational calculation for the Rabi Hamiltonian in which the importance of the incorporation of the correct symmetry in the variational ansatz is highlighted, as well as an elegant operator method useful in the analysis of the linear $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller and pseudo Jahn–Teller models.

The CCM analysis of the class of Hamiltonians considered here displays a critical dependence on the choice of the model state and corresponding creation operators which characterize the method. For certain physically reasonable choices, we present a formal demonstration of an essential incompleteness, to any finite order, in the CCM ansatz for the ground–state wave function of the system. As a result, the CCM results for these systems strongly suggest a phase transition which does not in fact exist. We also show that, for certain other choices of the model state and creation operators, the CCM breaks down as a result of the non–Hermiticity of the method.

This breakdown of the CCM is closely related to the marked change in character of the ground state of the systems considered here. Using a model state which mimics this change in character, excellent CCM results for these systems can be obtained; in particular, we present a simple yet extremely accurate CCM calculation for the linear $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller and pseudo Jahn–

Teller models. The dependence of the CCM results for the Hamiltonians considered here on the choice of the model state and creation operators is of considerable importance, given that the CCM formalism does not *a priori* specify this choice beyond the overall symmetry requirements of the Hamiltonian. The results demonstrate that the gross physical properties of the exact solution need to be reproduced by the model state if even qualitatively correct behaviour is to be obtained from a CCM calculation.

Submitted in accordance with the regulations of the University of Pretoria

Philosophiae Doctor (Fisika)

in die Departement Nuclierelekskope

Engels-taalige Fisiologie

Pretoria

April 1999

Proefschrift van D. M. Van der Walt

Medegepresenteer de K.J. Dokter

*

- In hierdie proses word 'n konsistent en selfduale model bereken gebruik om te toon dat enkele belangrike groenewaardiale en 'n bepaalde klasse van groenewaardiale en selfduale operatoren wat op die enkelvallei van groenewaardiale Hamiltoniaanse en een of meer groote hulpmiddels gebruik word, 'n gekonsistente konservasionele gesigstelling van die model.
- Hierdie model is van belang in kwantumfisika, soos in kwantummechaniek en kwantumchimie, en ons sal hier spesifiek op die Pauli-Hamiltoniaan van later (of Jaynes-Cummings model), op die entropieselfduale gedrag van kwantumoptika, en die huidige Eiste en John-Teller en Eeste-John-Teller-kriteeriume in kwantummechaniek.

As gevolg van hierdie gevind word hierdie Hamiltoniaanse en laterse

Samevatting

Gekoppelde–bondel–analise van model nie–adiabatiese Hamilton operatore

deur

David Michael van der Walt

Voorgelê ter gedeeltelike vervulling van die vereistes vir die graad

Philosophiae Doctor (Fisika)

beskerming van my aan die Fakulteit Natuurwetenskappe

Universiteit van Pretoria

Die 17 Maart 1999

Pretoria

April 1999

Promotor: Prof. R. M. Carter

Medepromotor: Dr. N. J. Davidson

In hierdie tesis word 'n teoretiese veeldeeltjie–ondersoek geloods na die grondtoestand en die laagste opgewekte toestand van 'n bepaalde klas nie–adiabatiese model–Hamilton operatore wat die interaksie beskryf tussen 'n tweevlak fermionsisteem en een of meer modes van 'n gekwantiseerde bosoniese veld. Hierdie modelle is tans van belang in kwantumoptika, vastetoestandfisika en kwantumchemie, en ons fokus hier spesifiek op die Rabi Hamilton operator (of Jaynes–Cummings model sonder die roterende–golf–benadering) in kwantumoptika, en die lineêre $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller en kwasi–Jahn–Teller sisteme in kwantumchemie.

As gevolg van hul eenvoud vertoon hierdie Hamilton operatore interes-

sante simmetrieë, wat hul in staat stel om as 'n toetsterrein te dien vir veeldeeltjietegnieke. Ons analyseer hierdie modelle hier deur middel van die gekoppelde–bondel–tegniek (CCM). Die CCM het 'n indrukwekkende rekord, en is al suksesvol aangewend in kernfisika, kwantumchemie, rooster–yk– en kontinuumveldteorië, en spin– en elektron–matriksmodelle. Ter vergelyking wys ons ook resultate vir ons model–Hamilton operatore wat deur middel van 'n verskeidenheid ander metodes verkry is. In die besonder bied ons 'n akkurate variasie berekening vir die Rabi Hamilton operator aan wat die belang van die insluiting van die korrekte simmetrieë in die variasie aanname beklemtoon, asook 'n elegante operatormetode wat in die analise van die lineêre $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller en kwasi–Jahn–Teller sisteme benut kan word.

Die CCM analise van die klas Hamilton operatore wat hier beskou word toon 'n kritiese afhanklikheid van die keuse van modeltoestand en ooreenstemmende skeppingsoperatore wat die metode karakteriseer. Vir bepaalde fisies verantwoordbare keuses, toon ons formeel aan dat daar 'n essentiële ontoereikendheid bestaan, tot enige eindige orde, in die CCM-aanname vir die grondtoestand–golffunksie van die sisteem. As gevolg hiervan bied die CCM resultate sterk getuienis vir 'n fase–oorgang wat in werklikheid nie bestaan nie. Ons toon ook aan dat die CCM, vir sekere ander keuses van die modeltoestand en skeppingsoperatore, faal as gevolg van die nie–Hermitiese aard van die metode.

Hierdie mislukkings van die CCM is nou verwant aan die skerp gedragsverandering in die grondtoestand van die sisteme wat ons hier beskou. Mits 'n modeltoestand gebruik word wat hierdie gedragsverandering naboots, kan uitstekende CCM resultate vir hierdie sisteme verkry word; ons vertoon in

besonder 'n eenvoudige dog uiters akkurate CCM berekening vir die lineêre $E \otimes e$ Jahn-Teller en kwasi-Jahn-Teller sisteme. Die modeltoestand- en skeppingsoperatorafhanklikheid van die CCM resultate vir die Hamilton operator wat hier beskou word is van groot belang, gegewe dat die CCM nie *a priori* die keuse van of die modeltoestand of skeppingsoperatore voorskryf buiten die oorkoepelende simmetrieë van die Hamilton operator nie. Die resultate demonstreer dat die uitstaande fisiese eienskappe van die eksakte oplossing in die modeltoestand vervat moet word indien selfs kwalitatief korrekte gedrag deur middel van 'n CCM berekening verlang word.

Prof. Dr. A. Brink, University of Pretoria

For his guidance and support during the preparation of this thesis.

Also, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. J. P. G. Snyders and Prof. Dr. J. C. R. Mikkelsen.

My thanks also go to Prof. Dr. J. C. R. Mikkelsen.

The Foundation for Research Development, the University of Pretoria –
Chair Department for Research Development –
Chair Department for Research Development.

I also thank the Physics Department at the University of Pretoria,
Aberthorp and the CCF, for their valuable contributions to my research.

Prof. Dr. A. Brink, University of Pretoria, for accompanying the examination.

Dr. Alvin Jansen, for proofreading the manuscript, for his valuable support and encouragement.

Finally, my wife Lynette and son Michael, for continued support and encouragement, and for patiently sitting without whining and father's responsibilities whenever I was "working on my PhD".

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following persons and institutions for their assistance in the preparation and completion of this thesis:

My supervisors, Prof. Rachel Carter and Dr Neil Davidson, for continued guidance, support and encouragement. I could not have asked for two better supervisors.

Prof. Ray Bishop at UMIST, UK, for useful guidance and discussion.

Hermann Uys, at the time an undergraduate student at the University of Pretoria, for his assistance with the numerical work on the strong-coupling perturbative approach to the Rabi Hamiltonian.

The Foundation for Research Development, the University of Pretoria, and Vista University, for financial assistance.

The secretaries of the Physics Department at the University of Pretoria, Mrs. A. Schickerling and Mrs. C. J. Vos, for their friendly assistance on numerous occasions.

Prof. D. J. Brink, University of Pretoria, for proofreading the manuscript.

Dr Glyn Jones, for proofreading the manuscript, and for providing much support and encouragement.

Finally, my wife Lynnette and son Michael, for continued support and encouragement, and for patiently doing without a husband and father, respectively, whenever I was “working on my PhD”.

5	Simple Applications of the CCM to the Rabi Hamiltonian	51
5.1	Earlier CCM analyses of the Rabi Hamiltonian	51
5.2	Evidence for a spontaneous symmetry-breaking phase transition	53
5.3	Incompleteness of the CCM ground-state ansatz	53

Contents

model calculations	53	
6	Successful Application of the CCM to the Rabi Hamiltonian	55
1	Introduction	1
2	The Model Hamiltonians	9
2.1	The general model Hamiltonian	9
2.2	Special cases of the general Hamiltonian	11
2.2.1	The Rabi Hamiltonian	11
2.2.2	The linear $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller and pseudo Jahn–Teller Hamiltonians	12
3	The Coupled Cluster Method	15
4	The Rabi Hamiltonian	23
4.1	Discussion of the Rabi Hamiltonian	23
4.1.1	Exact limits of the Hamiltonian	26
4.1.2	Juddian solutions and the configuration–interaction (CI) method	29
4.2	Physical characteristics of the Rabi ground state	34
4.3	Approximate many–body approaches to the Rabi Hamiltonian	38
4.3.1	Time–independent perturbation theory	38
4.3.2	Variational results for the Rabi Hamiltonian	43

5 Simple Applications of the CCM to the Rabi Hamiltonian	61
5.1 Earlier CCM analyses of the Rabi Hamiltonian	62
5.2 Evidence for a spurious symmetry-breaking phase transition . .	63
5.3 Incompleteness of the CCM ground-state ansatz	72
5.4 An alternative CCM calculation based on the noninteracting model state	76
6 Successful Application of the CCM to the Rabi Hamiltonian	78
6.1 Coupling-dependent CCM model states for the Rabi Hamiltonian	79
6.2 The method of unitary transformations	85
7 The Linear $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller and Pseudo Jahn–Teller Hamiltonians	88
7.1 Discussion of the Hamiltonians	89
7.1.1 Vibronic interactions and non-adiabaticity in quantum chemistry	90
7.1.2 Analytic solutions in the limit of zero coupling	93
7.1.3 Numerical diagonalization of the JT and PJT models .	94
7.1.4 Juddian solutions for the JT and PJT models	100
7.2 Physical characteristics of the JT and PJT ground states . . .	105
7.3 Approximate many-body approaches to the JT and PJT models	107
8 Application of the CCM to Linear $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller Systems	109
8.1 Previous CCM calculations for the linear $E \otimes e$ Jahn–Teller Hamiltonian	110
8.2 Naive applications of the CCM to H_{JT} and H_{PJT}	111

8.3	Successful CCM calculations for H_{JT} and H_{PJT}	113
9	Conclusions	126
A	Derivation of the General Model Hamiltonian	132
A.1	The two-level atom	132
A.2	Quantization of the electromagnetic field	133
A.3	The dipole interaction Hamiltonian	135
B	Useful Identities and Commutation Relations	138
B.1	Operators for two-level systems	139
B.2	General commutation relations	140
B.2.1	The Hausdorff expansion	141
B.2.2	Operators for which the commutator is a number	142
B.3	Bosonic commutation relations	143
C	Explicit Forms for Variational Expressions	145
C.1	The mixed-parity two-parameter ansatz (4.30)	146
C.2	The good-parity two-parameter ansatz (4.38)	147
C.3	The good-parity three-parameter ansatz (4.41)	148
D	Explicit Forms for CCM Expressions	153
D.1	NCCM Scheme I	156
D.1.1	Termination of the even-parity NCCM Scheme I calculation	158
D.2	ECCM Scheme I	160
D.2.1	The SUB-1 case	162
D.3	NCCM Scheme II	162

D.3.1	Analytics for the SUB-1 and SUB-2 cases	163
D.4	NCCM Scheme III	164
D.5	NCCM Scheme IV	165
E	Acronyms and Abbreviations	170

List of Figures

- 1.1 The expectation value ($\langle r^2 \rangle$) and the fluctuation Δr^2 in the ground state of the nuclear $\text{P}(0)$ Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g .
- 1.2 The total halo energy E_{halo} of the ground-state configuration Ψ_0 as a function of the coupling g , obtained from the Hartree-Fock calculations. The right-hand panel is taken from Hartree-Fock calculations.
- 1.3 The expectation value ($\langle r^2 \rangle$) in the ground state of the nuclear $\text{P}(0)$ with parity $p = +1$ obtained by Hartree-Fock calculations based on a function of the coupling g .
- 1.4 The ground-state energy E_0 of the nuclear $\text{P}(0)$ state as a function of the coupling g obtained via Hartree-Fock calculations based on a mixed-parity two-parameter coherent state and via a one-parity projection after variationally Ψ_0 based on the coherent state.
- 1.5 The expectation value ($\langle r^2 \rangle$) in the ground state of the nuclear $\text{P}(0)$ Hamiltonian, as determined via variational calculations, as a function of the coupling g .

List of Figures

4.1	The expectation value $\langle \sigma^z \rangle$ and the fluctuation $\Delta\sigma^z$ in the ground state of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g .	36
4.2	The ground-state energy E_0 of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g as determined via time-independent (Rayleigh–Schrödinger) perturbation theory.	41
4.3	The expectation value $\langle \sigma^z \rangle$ and the fluctuation $\Delta\sigma^z$ in the even-parity $\omega_0 = 0$ ground state $ \Psi_+\rangle$ of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g .	43
4.4	The ground-state energy E_0 of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g as determined via a variational calculation based on a mixed parity two-parameter coherent state, and via an even-parity projection after variation (PAV) based on the same state.	45
4.5	The expectation value $\langle \sigma^z \rangle$ in the ground state of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian, as determined via various variational calculations, as a function of the coupling g .	52

4.6	The expectation value $\langle b^\dagger b \rangle$ in the ground state of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian, as determined via various variational calculations, as a function of the coupling g	53
4.7	The percentage error in the ground-state energy of the Rabi Hamiltonian obtained from the even-parity three-parameter PBV ansatz (4.41), as a function of the coupling g/ω and the two-level splitting ω_0/ω	58
4.8	The percentage error in the first excited state energy of the Rabi Hamiltonian obtained from the odd-parity three-parameter PBV ansatz (4.41), as a function of the coupling g/ω and the two-level splitting ω_0/ω	59
5.1	The ground-state energy E_0 of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g as determined via an NCCM analysis based on Scheme I.	66
5.2	The critical coupling $g_c^{(N)}$ as a function of the level of approximation N in the NCCM Scheme I analysis of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian.	67
5.3	The ground-state energy E_0 of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g as determined via an ECCM analysis based on Scheme I.	69
6.1	The expectation value of σ_z in the ground state of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g as determined via NCCM calculations based on Schemes I and III.	82

8.1	The percentage error in the ground-state energy of the scaled linear $E \otimes e$ PJT Hamiltonian obtained from a SUB-1 CCM calculation based on the RPJT scheme, as a function of the coupling k^2 and the two-level splitting ω_0 .	119
8.2	Comparison of the ground-state expectation value $\langle \sigma^z \rangle$ for the scaled linear $E \otimes e$ PJT Hamiltonian, in the representative cases $\omega_0 = 0.0$ and $\omega_0 = 2.0$, obtained as a function of the coupling k^2 from a SUB-1 CCM calculation based on the RPJT scheme, with the results of a CI diagonalization.	121
8.3	The percentage error in the first excited state energy of the scaled linear $E \otimes e$ PJT Hamiltonian obtained from a SUB-1 CCM calculation based on the RPJT scheme, as a function of the coupling k^2 and the two-level splitting ω_0 .	125
D.1	The behaviour of the three roots for the single CCM coefficient $s_2^{(2)}$ in the even-parity SUB-2 NCCM Scheme I analysis of the scaled resonant Rabi Hamiltonian	159

5.1 The behaviour of the SU(3) and CM spherical coefficients

Plot of the coupling ratios for the ground state of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian.

Plot of the coupling ratios for the first excited state of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian.

List of Tables

4.1 Comparison of the results of a numerical diagonalization of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian with a typical exact Juddian solution.	33
4.2 Comparison of the ground-state energy of the Rabi Hamiltonian obtained from an even-parity two-parameter PBV calculation with the results of a CI diagonalization in a basis of 101 even-parity states.	49
4.3 Comparison of the first excited state energy of the Rabi Hamiltonian obtained from an odd-parity two-parameter PBV calculation with the results of a CI diagonalization in a basis of 101 odd-parity states.	51
4.4 Comparison of the ground-state energy of the Rabi Hamiltonian obtained from an even-parity three-parameter PBV calculation with the results of a CI diagonalization in a basis of 101 even-parity states.	56
4.5 Comparison of the first excited state energy of the Rabi Hamiltonian obtained from an odd-parity three-parameter PBV calculation with the results of a CI diagonalization in a basis of 101 odd-parity states.	57

5.1	The behaviour of the SUB-3 ECCM Scheme I coefficients as a function of the coupling g for the ground state of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian.	71
6.1	The ground-state energy of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g as determined via NCCM calculations based on Schemes III and IV.	80
6.2	The first excited state energy of the resonant Rabi Hamiltonian as a function of the coupling g as determined via an NCCM calculation based on Scheme IV.	84
8.1	Comparison of the ground-state energy of the scaled linear $E \otimes e$ JT Hamiltonian obtained as a function of the coupling k^2 from a SUB-1 CCM calculation based on the RPJT scheme, with the results of other many-body calculations.	115
8.2	Comparison of the ground-state energy of the scaled linear $E \otimes e$ PJT Hamiltonian, in the sub-resonant cases $\omega_0 = 0.0$ and $\omega_0 = 0.5$, obtained as a function of the coupling k^2 from a SUB-1 CCM calculation based on the RPJT scheme, with the results of a CI diagonalization.	117
8.3	Comparison of the ground-state energy of the scaled linear $E \otimes e$ PJT Hamiltonian, in the supra-resonant cases $\omega_0 = 1.5$ and $\omega_0 = 2.0$, obtained as a function of the coupling k^2 from a SUB-1 CCM calculation based on the RPJT scheme, with the results of a CI diagonalization.	118

8.4 Comparison of the first excited state energy of the scaled linear $E \otimes e$ PJT Hamiltonian, in the cases $\omega_0 = 0.5$ and $\omega_0 = 1.0$, obtained as a function of the coupling k^2 from a SUB-1 CCM calculation based on the RPJT scheme, with the results of a CI diagonalization.	123
D.1 The four schemes employed in the ground-state CCM analysis of the Rabi Hamiltonian	154
D.2 The four schemes employed in the CCM analysis of the first excited state of the Rabi Hamiltonian	155

Non-uniform modelling of a molecular system is often required to describe the dynamical modes of a molecule accurately. This is particularly true for excited states. Thus, the consideration of the electronic and vibrational modes of a molecule when either a magnetic or applied electric field is present, is of considerable interest. The combined effect of the two fields is equivalent to the famous Coulomb and magnetostatic perturbations (CMW), in a quantum-mechanical model of the form $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{\text{int}}$, where the coupling between two electronic levels and two different normal vibrational modes in a molecule in crystal. This is known as the *true* or *Jahn-Teller* model in the case where the electronic levels are degenerate, as the *false* or *pseudo-Jahn-Teller* model in the case where the electronic