

OPTIMISATION TECHNIQUES FOR COMBUSTOR DESIGN

Oboetswe Seraga Motsamai

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR in Mechanical Engineering
in the
Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology
University of Pretoria

Supervisor: Prof J. P. Meyer

Pretoria

Co-Supervisor: Prof J. A. Snyman

September 2008



ABSTRACT

TITLE: OPTIMISATION TECHNIQUES FOR COMBUSTOR DESIGN

AUTHOR: O. S. Motsamai

SUPERVISOR: Prof J. P. Meyer

CO-SUPERVISOR: Prof J. A. Snyman

DEPARTMENT: Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering

UNIVERSITY: University of Pretoria

DEGREE: Philosophiae Doctor (Mechanical Engineering)

For gas turbines, the demand for high-performance, more efficient and longer-life turbine blades is increasing. This is especially so, now that there is a need for high-power and low-weight aircraft gas turbines. Thus, the search for improved design methodologies for the optimisation of combustor exit temperature profiles enjoys high priority. Traditional experimental methods are found to be too time-consuming and costly, and they do not always achieve near-optimal designs. In addition to the above deficiencies, methods based on semi-empirical correlations are found to be lacking in performing three-dimensional analyses and these methods cannot be used for parametric design optimisation. Computational fluid dynamics has established itself as a viable alternative to reduce the amount of experimentation needed, resulting in a reduction in the time scales and costs of the design process. Furthermore, computational fluid dynamics provides more insight into the flow process, which is not available through experimentation only. However, the fact remains that, because of the trial-and-error nature of adjusting the parameters of the traditional optimisation techniques used in this

field, the designs reached cannot be called "optimum". The trial-and-error process depends a great deal on the skill and experience of the designer. Also, the above technologies inhibit the improvement of the gas turbine power output by limiting the highest exit temperature possible, putting more pressure on turbine blade cooling technologies. This limitation to technology can be overcome by implementing a search algorithm capable of finding optimal design parameters. Such an algorithm will perform an optimum search prior to computational fluid dynamics analysis and rig testing. In this thesis, an efficient methodology is proposed for the design optimisation of a gas turbine combustor exit temperature profile. The methodology involves the combination of computational fluid dynamics with a gradient-based mathematical optimiser, using successive objective and constraint function approximations (Dynamic-Q) to obtain the optimum design. The methodology is tested on three cases, namely:

- (a) The first case involves the optimisation of the combustor exit temperature profile with two design variables related to the dilution holes, which is a common procedure. The combustor exit temperature profile was optimised, and the pattern factor improved, but pressure drop was very high.
- (b) The second case involves the optimisation of the combustor exit temperature profile with four design variables, one equality constraint and one inequality constraint based on pressure loss. The combustor exit temperature profile was also optimised within the constraints of pressure. Both the combustor exit temperature profile and pattern factor were improved.
- (c) The third case involves the optimisation of the combustor exit temperature profile with five design variables. The swirler angle and primary hole parameters were included in order to allow for the effect of the central toroidal recirculation zone on the combustor exit temperature profile. Pressure loss was also constrained to a certain maximum.

The three cases show that a relatively recent mathematical optimiser (Dynamic-Q), combined with computational fluid dynamics, can be considered a strong alternative to the



design optimisation of a gas turbine combustor exit temperature profile. This is due to the fact that the proposed methodology provides designs that can be called near-optimal, when compared with that yielded by traditional methods and computational fluid dynamics alone.

Keywords: combustor exit temperature profile, computational fluid dynamics, mathematical optimisation, gradient-based optimisation algorithm, successive approximation algorithm, temperature profile, design methodology



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Prof J. A. Visser for his guidance, wisdom and the many things learnt from him, which have not only been restricted to the subject of combustor design optimisation. It has been a privilege to work with such an excellent mentor and researcher.

I wish to specially thank my supervisor, Prof J. P. Meyer, and co-supervisor, Prof J. A. Snyman, for their helpful advice, which enabled me to successfully complete my work. The dedication and commitment of Prof Meyer to my work motivated me, especially during the final stage.

I would also like to thank Mr R. M. Morris and Dr D. J. de Kock for their technical support and friendship. I much appreciate the contribution of Dr V. B. Lunga for editing the language and grammar.

Lastly, I thank my wife and son for their encouragement, and my parents and other family members for their prayers, and the ALMIGHTY GOD of S.t Engenas for everything He has done for me.

V



PUBLICATIONS IN JOURNALS AND CONFERENCES

Refereed journal papers

(a) Motsamai, O. S., Visser, J. A., and Montressor, R. M, "Multi-Disciplinary Design Optimization of a Combustor", *Engineering Optimization*, vol. 40, No. 2, pp. 137-156, 2008.

Journal papers submitted for publication

• Motsamai, O. S., Snyman, J. A., and Meyer, J. P., Optimisation of Combustor Mixing for Improved Exit Temperature Profile, HTE, submitted.

Papers in refereed conference proceedings

- Motsamai, O. S., Snyman, J. A., and Meyer, J. P., "Mixing of multiple reacting jets in a gas turbine combustor" Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Heat Transfer, Fluid Mechanics and Thermodynamics, 30 June-3 July, Pretoria, South Africa, Paper No. MO1, 2008.
- Motsamai, O. S., Visser, J. A., Morris, R. M., and De Kock, D., "An efficient Strategy for the Design Optimization of Combustor Exit Temperature Profile", Proc. of ASME Turbo Expo, Paper No. GT2006-91325, Bacerlona, Spain, 2006.
- Motsamai, O. S., Visser, J. A., Morris, R. M., and De Kock, D., "Using CFD and Mathematical Optimization to Optimize Combustor Exit Temperature Profile", Proc. of ASME Power, Paper No. PWR2006-88120, Georgia Atlanta, USA, 2006.
- Motsamai, O. S., Visser, J. A., Morris, R. M., and De Kock, D., "Combustor Optimization with CFD and Mathematical Optimization" Proc. of Fifth SACAM, Cape Town, South Africa, 2006.
- 9 Motsamai, O. S., Visser, J. A., Morris, R. M., and De Kock, D., "Validation Case for Multidisciplinary Design Optimization of a Combustor", Proc. of Botswana Institution of Engineers, 2005.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
NOMENCLATURE	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	4
1.3 NEED FOR THE STUDY	11
1.4 AIM OF THE PRESENT WORK	12
1.5 ORGANISATION OF THE THESIS	13
CHAPTER 2: BACKGROUND TO GAS TURBINE COMBUSTION	15
2.1 PREAMBLE	15
2.2 THE BASIC FEATURES OF A GAS TURBINE COMBUSTOR	15
2.2.1 Diffuser	16
2.2.2 Liquid fuel injection	16
2.2.3 Swirler	17
2.2.4 Cooling air	18
2.2.5 Primary zone	18
2.2.6 Intermediate (secondary) zone	19
2.2.7 Dilution zone	20
2.3 OPTIMISATION PARAMETERS FOR COMBUSTOR EXIT	
TEMPERATURE PROFILE	20
2.4 CONCLUSION	23

CHAPTER 3: NUMERICAL COMBUSTION	25
3.1 PREAMBLE	25
3.1.1 Conservation of momentum	25
3.1.2 Mass conservation.	26
3.1.3 Conservation of energy (sum of sensible and kinetic energies)	28
3.2 TURBULENT NON-PREMIXED COMBUSTION	28
3.3 TURBULENT NON-PREMIXED COMBUSTION APPROACHES	29
3.3.1 RANS in turbulence modelling	29
3.3.2 Unclosed terms in Favre-averaged balance equations	32
3.3.3 Classical turbulence models for the Reynolds stresses	33
3.3.4 Standard k-ε turbulence model	34
3.3.5 Strengths and weaknesses of the standard k-ε turbulence model.	35
3.4 TURBULENCE CHEMISTRY INTERACTIONS	36
3.4.1 Primitive variable method	37
3.4.2 Reaction rate method	38
3.5 NEAR-WALL TURBULENCE MODELING	38
3.5.1 Wall functions	39
3.6 FLAME AND WALL INTERACTION	41
3.7 SPRAY MODELLING	43
3.7.1 Particle-source-in-cell model (PSICM), or discrete-droplet	
model	45
3.7.2 Liquid phase equations	46
3.7.2.1 Turbulent dispersion	47
3.7.2.2 Drop breakup	49
3.7.2.3 Turbulence modification	49
3.7.2.4 Drop collisions and coalescence	50
3.7.2.5 Heat and mass transfer	51
3 8 CONCLUSION	52

CHAPTER 4: THE DYNAMIC-Q OPTIMISATION METHOD	53
4.1 PREAMBLE	53
4.2 MATHEMATICAL OPTIMISATION	53
4.2.1 Constrained optimisation	53
4.2.2 Dynamic-Q method.	54
4.2.3 Constructing the successive approximate spherical quadrati	ic
subproblems	56
4.2.4 Gradient approximation of objective and constraint	
functions	59
4.2.5 Particular strengths of Dynamic-Q	61
4.2.7 Approximation of derivatives for noisy functions	62
4.3 CONCLUSION	62
CHAPTER 5: DESIGN OPTIMISATION METHODOLOGY	63
5.1 PREAMBLE	63
5.2 MODEL VALIDATION	63
5.2.1 Validation error control	64
5.2.2 Test case	65
5.2.3 Case set-up	67
5.2.4 Boundary conditions	68
5.2.5 Results and discussions	68
5.2.6 Conclusions	73
5.2.7 Results discrepancies	74
5.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF DESIGN OPTIMISATION	
METHODOLOGY	75
5.4 NUMERICAL TOOL FOR FLOW ANALYSIS	76
5.5 GEOMETRIC MODEL	77
5.6 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	80
5.7 FUEL SPRAY INJECTION MODEL	80
5.7.1 Experimental errors and equipment limitations	83
5.8 CONSISTENCY AND CONVERGENCE	84

5.9 COMBUSTOR NUMERICAL FLOW FIELDS	84
5.10 OPTIMISATION PROBLEM DEFINITION AND FORMULATION.	87
5.10.1 Numerical integration	87
5.10.2 Mathematical optimisation	89
5.11 CONCLUSION.	92
CHAPTER 6: RESULTS	93
6.1 PREAMBLE	93
6.2 OPTIMISATION CASE STUDIES	93
6.3 TWO DESIGN VARIABLES (Case 1)	94
6.3.1 Results for Case 1	95
6.4 FOUR DESIGN VARIABLES (Case 2)	98
6.4.1 Results for Case 2.	99
6.5 FIVE DESIGN VARIABLES (Case 3)	103
6.5.1 Results for Case 3	104
6.6 CONCLUSION.	110
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	112
7.1 CONCLUSIONS.	112
7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS.	114
7.2.1 Improvement of simulations capabilities	115
7.2.2 Further development of optimisation capability	115
7.2.3 Extension of design optimisation method process	115
REFERENCES	117
APPENDIX A	A1
APPENDIX B.	B1



LIST OF FIGURES

	1.5
Figure 2.1: The basic features of a gas turbine combustor	
Figure 2.2: Explanation of terms in exit temperature profile parameters	21
Figure 3.1: Interactions between walls, flames and turbulence [70]	42
Figure 5.1: Two-dimensional view of a Burner.	66
Figure 5.2: Meshed volume of the Burner	66
Figure 5.3: Axial velocity at 27 mm from the quarl exit	69
Figure 5.4: Axial velocity at 109 mm from the quarl exit	70
Figure 5.5: Axial velocity at 343 mm from the quarl exit	70
Figure 5.6: Flow field showing temperature (K) contours	71
Figure 5.7 Temperature at 27 mm from the quarl exit	72
Figure 5.8: Temperature at 109 mm from the quarl exit	72
Figure 5.9: Temperature at 343 mm from the quarl exit	73
Figure 5.10: Three-dimensional model of the combustor	78
Figure 5.11: Computational grid of the combustor	78
Figure 5.12: Flow diagram of FLUENT coupled to optimiser	79
Figure 5.13: The modified Rossin-Rammler plot of spray	82
Figure 5.14: Combustor velocity (m/s) vectors	84
Figure 5.15: Combustor temperature (K) contours	85
Figure 5.16: Target and actual combustor exit temperature profile	86
Figure 6.1: Target, non-optimised and optimised combustor exit temperature profit	le for
Case 1	95
Figure 6.2: Optimisation history of the objective function for Case 1	96
Figure 6.3: Optimisation history of design variables for Case 1, where $x_1 = \text{number}$	er of
dilution holes and x_2 = diameter of dilution holes	97
Figure 6.4: Temperature (K) contours on the centre plane (left side) and exit plane	e (right
side) of the combustor for the non-optimised case (a) and optimised Case 1 (b)	97
Figure 6.5: Target, non-optimised and optimised combustor exit temperature profit	le for
Case 2	100

Figure 6.6: Optimisation history of the objective function for Case 2
Figure 6.7: Optimisation history of the design variables for Case 2, where x_1 = diameter
of secondary holes, x_2 = number of secondary holes, x_3 = number of dilution holes and x_4
= diameter of dilution holes
Figure 6.8: Optimisation history of constraints for Case 2
Figure 6.9: Temperature (K) contours (exit plane) for non-optimised and optimised for
Case 2
Figure 6.10: Target, non-optimised and optimised combustor exit temperature profile for
Case 3
Figure 6.11: Optimisation history of the objective function for Case 3
Figure 6.12: Optimisation history of design variables for Case 3, where x_1 = diameter of
primary holes, x_2 = number of primary holes, x_3 = number of dilution holes, x_4 = diameter
of dilution holes and x_5 = swirler angle
Figure 6.13: Optimisation history of inequality constraint for Case 3
Figure 6.14: Temperature (K) contours (exit plane) for non-optimised and optimised for
Case 3
Figure 6.15: Swirl velocity at 30 mm from the dome face for non-optimised case and
Case 3
Figure 6.16: Axial velocity at 30 mm from the dome face for non-optimised case and
optimised Case 3
Figure 6.17: Temperature (K) contours of optimised Case 3 on the symmetrical plane.110

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Classes of turbulence models	34
Table 5.1: Wall thermal conditions	68
Table 5.2: Inlet flow boundary conditions	68
Table 5.3: Mole fractions in the inlet	68
Table 5.4: Boundary conditions at various inlets	80
Table 5.5: Discretised fuel spray data	81
Table 5.6: Prescribed cone fuel nozzle pattern	83
Table 6.1: Optimisation parameters for Case 2	99
Table 6.2: Optimisation parameters for Case 3	104



NOMENCLATURE

Symbols:

Variable	Description	Unit
а	Approximated curvature of the objective subproblem	_
A	Approximated Hessian matrix of the objective function	-
A	Combustor casing area	m^2
A_a	Annulus area	m^2
A_d	Area of the dilution hole	m^2
AFR_{avg}	Average air-fuel ratio	-
b	Impact parameter	-
b_{cr}	Critical impact parameter	-
B_D	Transfer number	-
b_j	Approximated curvature of $g_j(x)$ subproblem	-
B_j	Approximated Hessian matrix of $g_j(x)$ subproblem	-
C	empirical constant	-
C_{μ}	Empirical constant for k - ε turbulence model	-
$C_{arepsilon I}$	Empirical constant for k - ε turbulence model	-
$C_{arepsilon 2}$	Empirical constant for k - ε turbulence model	-
C_D	Particle drag coefficient	-
c_k	Approximated curvature of $h_k(x)$ subproblem	-
C_k	Approximated Hessian matrix of $h_k(x)$ subproblem	-
c_p	Specific heat at a constant pressure	J/kg K
C_{pg}	Specific heat capacity of gas	J/kg K
C_{pk}	Specific heat at a constant temperature for species k	J/kg K



Variable	Description	Unit
D	Diffusion coefficient	-
	Drop diameter	m
D_{CR}	Critical drop diameter	m
d_h	Dilution hole diameter	m
d_{j}	Diameter of the jet	m
D_k	Diffusion coefficient of species k	-
E	Empirical constant for the log-law mean velocity	-
	Energy	J
f	Any quantity	•
$f^{'},f^{"}$	Fluctuating component of quantity f	•
$\overline{f}, \widetilde{f}$	Mean component of quantity f	•
f(x)	Objective function	*
f_k	Volume force acting on species k	-
$f_{k,j}$	Volume force acting on species k in the direction j	-
g	Gravitational acceleration	m/s^2
$g_j(x)$	<i>j</i> -th inequality constraint function	•
G_k	Production of kinetic energy	-
H	Hessian matrix	-
$h_k(x)$	k-th equality constraint function	•
h_s	Enthalpy	J/kg
$h_{s,k}$	Enthalpy of species k	J/kg
I	Identity matrix	-
J	Momentum flux ratio	-
\hat{k}	Lower bound	-
k	Turbulent kinetic energy	m^2/s^2
	Species	-



$\overset{{}}{k}$		
	Upper bound	_
k_g	Gas thermal conductivity	W/m K
k_{opt}	Optimum ratio of flame tube area to casing area	-
k_p	Turbulent kinetic energy at point <i>p</i>	m^2/s^2
L_e	Length scale of ϵ	m
L	Reference Length	m
L_d	Latent heat of vaporization	J/kg
$\dot{m}_{_{fuel}}$	Fuel mass flow rate	kg/s
\dot{m}_{j}	Jet mass flow rate	kg/s
\dot{m}_{bp}	Mass flow at the base plate	kg/s
\dot{m}_{po}	Mass flow at the port	kg/s
\dot{m}_{cool}	Mass flow of cooling air	kg/s
m	Mass of mixture	kg
	Number of inequality constraints	-
\dot{m}_r	Recirculating mass flow	kg/s
\dot{m}_{air}	Air mass flow rate	kg/s
\dot{m}_g	Air mass flow rate	kg/s
m_k	Mass fraction of species k	-
n	Local coordinate normal to the wall	-
	Number of design variables	-
	Number of holes	-
N	Number of species	-
n_{opt}	Optimum number of holes	-
Nu	Nusselt number	-



Variable	Description	Unit
Nu_D	Nusselt number of the droplet	-
p	Pressure	Pa
$p(\mathbf{x})$	Penalty function	-
p(z)	pdf of mixture fraction variance z	-
P_3	Air pressure at the combustor inlet	Pa
P_4	Exhaust gas pressure at the combustor exit	Pa
P_k	Source term	-
Pr_D	Prandtl number of the droplet	-
$\dot{\mathcal{Q}}$	External heat source term	W
q	Heat flux	W
Q	External heat source term	J
Q_D	Rate of heat conduction to the droplet surface per unit area	W
r	Radius	m
r_D	Radius of the droplet	m
r_{D1}	Initial radius of the droplet	m
r_{D2}	Final radius of the droplet	m
Re_D	Droplet Reynolds number of the droplet	-
R^n	<i>n</i> -dimensional real space	-
Sc_D	Droplet Schmidt number	-
Sc_k	Schmidt number of species k	-
Sh_D	Droplet Sherwood number	-
S_m	Mass source	kg/m ³ s
t_R	Droplet transit time	S
T_F^0	State temperature of fuel	K
T_O^0	State temperature of oxidiser	K

[♦] Problem-dependent



Variable	Description	Unit
T	Temperature	K
t	Time	S
T_{θ}	Reference temperature	K
T_3	Temperature of air at the combustor inlet	K
T_{4avg}	Average temperature at the combustor exit	K
T_{4max}	Maximum individual temperature at the combustor exit	K
T_{4peak}	Maximum temperature in average radial profile	K
T_D	Droplet temperature	K
t_D	Viscous damping time	S
T_g	Gas temperature	K
T_w	Wall shear stress	Pa
и	Velocity in the <i>x</i> -direction	m/s
U^*	Dimensionless mean velocity	-
u_A	Velocity of air	m/s
u_D	Velocity of droplet	m/s
u_i	Instantaneous velocity in the <i>i</i> -th direction	m/s
u_i	Three-dimensional velocity field	m/s
u_i	Fluctuating part of velocity in the <i>i</i> -th direction	m/s
U_{j}	Velocity of the jet	m/s
V_i^c	Correlation velocity V^c in direction i	m/s
V_k	Component of diffusion velocity of species k	-
$V_{k,j}$	Diffusion velocity of species k in the direction j	m/s
$\dot{\mathcal{W}}_k$	Reaction rate of species k	W
$\dot{w}_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$	Reaction rate	W
w	Velocity difference between product and parent droplet	m/s

Variable	Description	Unit
w_k	Reaction rate of species k	-
x	Design vector	•
x^*	Optimum design variable	•
У	Droplet distortion	-
	Coordinate from the wall	m
Y_F^0	State mass fraction of fuel	-
Y_O^0	State mass fraction of oxidiser	-
y^{+}	Wall unit for law-of-the-wall	-
Y_D	Fuel vapour mass fraction	-
Y_{Ds}	Fuel vapour mass fraction at the droplet's surface	-
Y_F	Mass fraction of fuel	-
Y_k	Mass fraction of species k	-
Y_{max}	Maximum jet penetration	
Y_O	Mass fraction of oxidiser	-
\mathcal{Y}_{p}	Distance from point <i>P</i> to the wall	m
z	Mixture fraction variance	-
Greek syml	pols	
α	Penalty function parameter for inequality constraint	-
β	Penalty function parameter for equality constraint	-
$oldsymbol{eta}_k$	Penalty parameter	-
$\delta_{_{j}}$	Specified move limit for <i>i</i> -th design variable	•
Δf_{norm}	Normalised step size	-
δ_i	Move limit on <i>i</i> -th design variable	-
◆ Problem-d	lependent	



Variable	Description	Unit
$\Delta P_{overall}$	Change in overall pressure drop	-
Δx_i	Step size for <i>i</i> -th design variable	•
Δx_{norm}	Normalised step size	-
${\cal E}$	Rate of dissipation of turbulence	-
θ	Angle	degrees
μ_{i}	Penalty parameter	-
$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle t}$	Turbulent viscosity	Pa s
μ	Kinematic viscosity	m ^s /s
μ_l	Liquid viscosity	Pa s
μ_g	Gas kinematic viscosity	m ^s /s
\mathcal{U}_t	Kinematic viscosity	m^2/s
ho	Density	kg/m ³
$ ho_{j}$	Penalty parameter	-
$ ho_3$	Density of air at the combustor inlet	kg/m ³
$ ho_D$	Droplet density	kg/m ³
$ ho_g$	Average gas density	kg/m ³
$ ho_i$	Density of the mixture	kg/m ³
$ ho_k$	Density for each species k	kg/m ³
σ	Surface tension	N/m
σ_D	Droplet surface tension coefficient	-
σ_t	Effective turbulent Prandtl number	-
τ	Stress	Pa
$ au_B$	Characteristic breakup time	S
$ au_D$	Droplet relaxation time	S
$ au_E$	Eddy life time	S

[♦] Problem-dependent

Variable	Description	Unit
We	Weber number	-
$ au_{ij}$	Stresses in the <i>i</i> -th and <i>j</i> -th direction	m/s
$ au_{TR}$	Transit time scale	
ω	Turbulent vorticity	-
	Oscillatory frequency	1/s

Subscripts

3	Combustor inlet
4	Combustor exit
A	Air
В	Breakup
D	Droplet
F	Fuel
g	Gas
i	Index
	Index
INT	Interaction
j	Index
k	Species
	Source
m	Mass source
max	Maximum
norm	Normalised
0	Oxidiser
opt	Optimum

Subscripts

P Point

s Surface

t Turbulent

TR Transit

Abbreviations

CFD Computational fluid dynamics

RANS Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equation

RSM Reynolds stress method

LES Large eddy simulation

DNS Direct numerical simulation

SMD Sauter mean diameter