Measuring the impact of climate change on South African agriculture: The case of sugarcane growing regions

by

Temesgen Tadesse Deressa

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

MSc Environmental Economics

in the

Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

PRETORIA, SOUTH AFRICA

January 2003

University of Pretoria

Abstract

Measuring the impact of climate change on South African agriculture: The case of sugarcane growing regions

by Temesgen Tadesse Deressa

Chairperson of Supervisory Committee: Professor Rashid Hassan

Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development

Center for Environmental Economics and Policy in Africa

This study utilized the Ricardian approach that captures farmer adaptations to varying environmental factors to analyze the impact of climate change on South African Sugarcane production. Two production systems, irrigated and dryland were taken for the study. A total of 11 districts, two from the irrigated and nine from the dryland-farming zone were selected for the study. Data for the period 1976/77 to 1997/98 were pooled over districts and net revenue per hectare was regressed on climatic and control variables. The results indicated that climate has a non-linear and significant impact on net revenue per hectare.

Based on the Inter Governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) benchmark warming scenario of increasing temperature by 2°C and precipitation by 7%, which is associated with the doubling of CO₂, it was found that climate change reduces net revenue per hectare in the South African sugarcane production. Moreover, the result of increasing temperature by 2.75°C(associated with the doubling of CO₂ under South Africa) across all seasons, by keeping other factors constant, indicated that both the irrigated and dryland farming zones were equally damaged by this scenario. Increasing precipitation levels by 7% across all seasons, keeping other factors constant benefited the irrigated farming whereas it damaged the dryland farming.

Additionally, the partial impacts of increasing a given season's temperature by 2.75°C or rainfall by 7% indicated that the seasonal effects of temperature and rainfall are differently distributed across seasons and production zones. Finally, the likely impacts of climate change on South African sugarcane production were analyzed based on the critical damage point analysis. The results indicated that sugarcane production in South Africa is more sensitive to future increases in temperature than precipitation as a consequence of climate change.

While the consensus is that arid and semi-arid regions are more vulnerable to warming, management options, such as irrigation, are thought to provide an adaptation mechanism. This however was not the case for sugar farming in South Africa, as irrigation did not reduce the harmful impacts of climate change significantly.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Background and Motivation	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	2
1.3 Approach and Methods	3
1.4 Organisation of the Study	3
Chapter 2: Agriculture, Sugar Farming and the South African Economy	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Contribution of Agriculture to the Economic Well-being in South Africa	4
2.2.1 Contributions to GDP	4
2.2.2 Food supply and Food Security	5
2.2.3 Agriculture as a Source of Foreign Exchange	7
2.2.4 Agriculture as a Source of Employment	8
2.2.5 Economy-wide Benefits and Economic Multipliers of Agriculture	9
2.3 Sensitivity of Agriculture to Climate Change	11
2.4 Agro-Ecological Features of South Africa	12
2.5 Sugarcane and the South African Agriculture	14
2.5.1 Share of Sugar Farming in Total Agricultural Output	14
2.5.2 Organizational Structure of the Industry	16
2.5.3 Sugar Marketing	16
2.5.4 Sugarcane Farming and Climate Change	18
Chapter 3: Review of Relevant Literature	20
3.1 Introduction	20
3.2 Climate Prediction Models	20
3.3 Economic Impact Assessment Models	22
3.3.1 Economy-Wide Models of Climate Change	22
3.3.2 Partial Equilibrium Models of Climate Change Impacts	24
3.3.2.1 Crop Growth Simulation Models	24
3.3.2.1.1 Crop Suitability Approach	24
3.3.2.1.2 The Production Function Approach	25
3.3.2.2 Econometric Approaches: The Ricardian Model	28
3.4 Climate Impact Studies in South Africa	30
3.4.1 Studies of Impact on Agriculture	30

3.4.2 Other Climate Change Impact Studies	31
3.5 Summary	32
Chapter 4: Approach and Methods of the Study	34
4.1 The Ricardian Approach	34
4.2 The Analytical Model	35
4.3 Specification of the Empirical Model Variables and Data	37
Chapter 5: Results of the Empirical Analysis	40
5.1 Estimation Procedures	40
5.2 Results and Discussion	41
5.3 Simulation of Climate Change Impacts	42
5.3.1 Simulation Using Elasticity Measures	43
5.3.2 Simulations Utilizing Estimated Regression Model Coefficients	45
5.3.2.1 Total Effect Scenarios	46
5.3.2.2 Partial Effects' analysis	47
5.3.2.2.1 Partial Temperature Effects	48
5.3.2.2.2 Partial Precipitation Effect	48
5.3.2.2.3 Seasonal Effects	49
5.3.2.2.3.1 Seasonal Temperature Effects	49
5.3.2.2.3.2 Seasonal precipitation Effect	52
5.4 Synthesis of the Likely Impacts of Climate Change on Sugar farming	
in South Africa	54
Chapter 6: Summary and Conclusions	61
References	65

List of Tables

Number	Page
Table 2. 1: South African food balance sheet, for the year 2000, (1000 Metric	tone) 6
Table 2. 2: The contribution of agriculture to the external trade balance in So	uth
Africa (1985-99)	8
Table 2.3: Purchases by agriculture from other sectors as a percent of gross fa	arm
income, at 1999 basic prices.	11
Table 2. 4: Area under cane and area harvested under irrigated and dryland	
agriculture, 1999.	16
Table 2. 5: International comparison of sugar production	17
Table 2. 6: Climatic elements influencing yield and quality of sugarcane	18
Table 4. 1: Description of model variables	39
Table 5. 1: Results of the regression analysis of determinants of net revenue	from
sugarcane production in South Africa.	43
Table 5. 2: Estimates of elasticity of net revenue of sugar farming to climate	variables
in the three growing seasons and the two production zones.	44
Table 5. 3: Average, agronomic optimal ranges and the estimated critical dar	nage
points of temperature for South African sugarcane production.	56
Table 5. 4: Average, agronomic optimal ranges and the estimated critical dar	nage
points of precipitation for South African sugarcane production.	59
Table 6. 1: Average change in net revenue per hectare (1995 R) for irrigated	and
dryland farming for increasing temperature by 2.75°C and Precipitation	
by 7%.	63

Figure 5, 10: Import of Infrastring ventor temperatury on his revenue per hecteur

List of Figures

Number	Page
Figure 2. 1: Share of agriculture in the gross domestic product of South Africa (1985-
1999)	5
Figure 2. 2: Price trends of agricultural products (1985-1999)	7
Figure 2. 3: Farm employment in commercial agriculture (1985-1996)	9
Figure 2. 4: Climatic features of South Africa	13
Figure 2. 5: Sugarcane-producing regions of South Africa	13
Figure 2. 6: Area planted: total agriculture, % under sugarcane and sugarcane	
Production (1985-1999)	14
Figure 2. 7: Value of exports: total agriculture and sugar (1985-1999)	15
Figure 2. 8: Total Sugar sold, export % of total (1988-2000)	17
Figure 5. 1: Impact of 2°C and 7% rise in temperature and precipitation, respecti	vely,
on net revenue per hectare of dryland and irrigated sugar	47
Figure 5. 2: Impact of increasing temperature by 2.75°C across all seasons on ne	t
revenue per hectare of dryland and irrigated sugar	48
Figure 5. 3: Impact of increasing precipitation by 7 % across all seasons on net	
revenue per hectare of dry land and irrigated sugar	49
Figure 5. 4: Impact of increasing winter temperature by 2.75°C on net revenue p	er
hectare of dry land and irrigated sugar	50
Figure 5. 5: Impact of increasing summer temperature by 2.75°C on net revenue	per
hectare of dryland and irrigated sugar	51
Figure 5. 6: Impact of increasing harvesting temperature by 2.75°C on net reven	ue
per hectare of dryland and irrigated sugar	51
Figure 5. 7: Impact of increasing winter precipitation by 7% on net revenue per	
hectare of dryland and irrigated sugar	52
Figure 5. 8: Impact of increasing summer precipitation by 7% on net revenue pe	r
hectare of dry land and irrigated sugar	53
Figure 5. 9: Impact of increasing harvesting precipitation by 7% on net revenue	per
hectare of dryland and irrigated sugar	53
Figure 5. 10: Impact of increasing winter temperature on net revenue per hectare	55
Figure 5. 11: Impact of increasing summer temperature on net revenue per hecta	re 55

Figure 5. 12:Impact of increasing harvesting temperature on net revenue per hectare	56
Figure 5. 13:Impact of increasing winter precipitation on net revenue per hectare	58
Figure 5. 14: Impact of increasing summer precipitation on net revenue per hectare	58
Figure 5. 15:Impact of increasing harvesting precipitation on net revenue per	
hectare	59

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the institutions and individuals that contributed to the success of this study. The South African Sugar Producers Association for providing the data for this study. The Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization for granting the financial assistance. Dr. Poonyth Ravin¹ provided useful assistance as a co-supervisor, his inputs are much appreciated. Professor Rashid Hassan² has been tremendously helpful in supervising the work and providing the necessary guidance.

I am very grateful to my family and friends (specially Mampity, Fufa, Yeshi and Bultosa) for their encouragements and assistance under all circumstances.

" Twill bless the Lord at all times: his praises shall continually be in my mouth."

Desatur 34.1

¹ Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Rome, Italy

² Center for Environmental Economics and Policy in Africa (CEEPA), University of Pretoria, South Africa