



# Advanced Low Order Orthotropic Finite Element Formulations

## Abstract

by

**Susanna Elizabeth Geyer**

Title: Advanced Low Order Orthotropic Finite Element Formulations

Author: Susanna Elizabeth Geyer

Degree: A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of

Supervisor: **Master of Engineering**

in the Department of Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering,  
University of Pretoria

December 2000

The objective of this research was to develop advanced low order orthotropic finite element formulations. These elements were developed to overcome the disadvantages of existing low order elements, where they result in large numerical errors due to the lack of higher order terms in the element stiffness matrix. This leads to reduced computational efficiency and accuracy.

Firstly, a variational formulation was developed for quadrilateral elements with arbitrary degrees of freedom. This was done by using the Ritz method and the Galerkin method. The Galerkin method was used to derive the element stiffness matrix and the element force vector. The element stiffness matrix was derived by using the Ritz method and the element force vector by using the Galerkin method.

Secondly, a variational formulation was developed for quadrilateral elements with arbitrary degrees of freedom. This was done by using the Ritz method and the Galerkin method. The element stiffness matrix was derived by using the Ritz method and the element force vector by using the Galerkin method.

Supervisor:

Prof. Albert A. Groenwold

Secondly, the reference number of the document is:

# Abstract

**Title:** Advanced Low Order Orthotropic Finite Element Formulations

**Author:** Susanna Elizabeth Geyer

**Degree:** M.Eng (Mechanical)

**Department:** Mechanical Engineering

**Supervisor:** Prof. Albert A. Groenwold

**Keywords:** Drilling d.o.f., Assumed stress, Membrane, Flat shell, Finite element, Orthotropy

In this study advanced low order finite elements for the linear analysis and ultimately, the global optimization of orthotropic shells structures, are presented. Low order quadrilaterals are attractive in optimization, since they result in low connectively of the structural stiffness matrix, and hence, reduced computational effort. However, standard 4-node quadrilaterals are notorious for their low accuracy.

Both drilling degrees of freedom and assumed stress interpolations have the potential to improve the modeling capabilities of low order quadrilateral finite elements. Therefore, it seems desirable to formulate low order elements with both an assumed stress interpolation field and drilling degrees of freedom, on condition that the elements are rank sufficient and invariant.

**Firstly**, a variational basis for the formulation of two families of assumed stress membrane finite elements with drilling degrees of freedom, is presented. This formulation depends on the formulation of Hughes and Brezzi, and is derived using the unified formulation presented by Di and Ramm. The recent stress mode classification method presented by Feng *et al.* is used to derive the stress interpolation matrices. The families, denoted  $8\beta(M)$  and  $8\beta(D)$ , are rank sufficient, invariant, and free of locking. The membrane locking correction suggested by Taylor ensures that the consistent nodal loads of both families are identical to those of a quadrilateral 4-node membrane finite element with two translational degrees of freedom per node.

**Secondly**, the rectangular assumed strain plate element presented by Bathe and Dvorkin

is combined with the above mentioned membrane families to form flat shell finite elements. The strain-displacement measures of these elements are modified on the element level to incorporate the effect of element warp.

**Thirdly**, the constitutive relationship of the flat shell elements is extended to include symmetric orthotropy. In opposition to the general trend to employ quadratic or even cubic elements for orthotropic analyses, it is shown that the simpler 4-node assumed stress families with drilling degrees of freedom presented herein are highly accurate and effective.

**Finally**, the influence of the stability parameter  $\gamma$ , the integration scheme order and the effect of the membrane locking correction are evaluated. The numerical value of the parameter  $\gamma$  is shown to be irrelevant in the patch test. The effect of the previously proposed membrane-bending locking correction when included in in-plane analysis is demonstrated.

The elements have been incorporated in the EDSAP/CALSAP finite element infrastructure.

# Opsomming

**Titel:** Gevorderde Lae-Orde Ortotropiese Eindige Element Formulerings

**Outeur:** Susanna Elizabeth Geyer

**Graad:** M.Ing (Meganies)

**Departement:** Meganiese Ingenieurswese

**Sudieleier:** Prof. Albert A. Groenwold

**Sleutelwoorde:** Boor-vryheidsgraad, Aangename-spanning, Membraan, Plat dop,  
Eindige-element, Ortotropie

In hierdie studie word gevorderde lae-orde eindige-elemente vir die lineêre analise van ortotropiese dop-strukture ontwikkel. Die uiteindelike doel van hierdie elemente is die globale optimering van ortotropiese dop-strukture. Lae-orde vierhoekige elemente is aantreklik in optimering, omdat dit lei tot lae koppeling in die stofheidsmatriks. Dit lei weer tot verminderde berekeningstyd. Vier-node vierhoekige elemente is egter berug vanweë hulle lae akkuraatheid.

Beide boor-vryheidsgrade ('drilling degrees of freedom') en aangename-spanningsinterpolasies ('assumed stress interpolations') het die potensiaal om die modelleringseienskappe van lae-orde vierhoekige elemente te verbeter. Daarom is dit wenslik om lae-orde elemente te formuleer met beide 'n aangename-spanningsinterpolasieveld en boor-vryheidsgrade, op voorwaarde dat die elemente se rang voldoende is en dat die elemente invariant is.

Eerstens word 'n variasionele basis vir die formulering van twee aangename-spanning membraan eindige-element families met boor-vryheidsgrade aangebied. Dit is gebaseer op die formulasie van Hughes en Brezzi en is afgelei deur gebruik te maak van die genormaliseerde formulasie van Di en Ramm. Die spanningsmode klassifikasie van Feng *et al.* is gebruik vir die afleiding van die spanningsinterpolasie-matrikse. Die families, genoem  $8\beta(M)$  en  $8\beta(D)$ , se rang is voldoende en is invariant. Hierdie families toon ook geen sluitingsgedrag nie. Die membraan-sluittingskorreksie wat voorgestel is deur Taylor verseker dat die nodale kragte in dié families ooreenstem met 'n vier-node vierhoekige membraan eindige-element wat twee verplasings-vryheidsgrade per node besit.

**Tweedens** word die reghoekige aangename-vervorming plaat element van Bathe en Dvorkin gekombineer met bogenoemde membraan element families om plat dop eindige-elemente te vorm. Die vervorming-verplasing verwantskap van hierdie elemente word op die element vlak gemanipuleer om die effek van element uit-vlak distorsie te akkomodeer.

**Derdens** word die materiaalverwantskap van die plat dop elemente uitgebrei om simmetriese ortotropie in te sluit. In teenstelling met die algemene gebruik om kwadratiese of kubiese elemente vir ortotropiese analises te gebruik, word die eenvoudiger vier-node aangename-spanning families met boor-vryheidsgrade hier voorgestel. Hierdie elemente lewer baie goeie resultate en is effektief.

**Laastens** word die invloed van die stabiliteitsparameter  $\gamma$ , die integrasiekema-orde en die effek van die membraan-sluittingskorreksie geëvalueer. Daar word getoon dat die numeriese waarde van die parameter  $\gamma$  irrelevant is in die laptoets ('patch test'). Die effek van die voorheen voorgestelde membraan-sluittingskorreksie wanneer dit ingesluit word in in-vlak analises word gedemonstreer.

Die elemente is geakkomodeer in die EDSAP/CALSAP eindige-element infrastrukturur.

# Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude towards the following persons:

- Dr. Albert Groenwold, for his support throughout this study. It was an honor to work with him. His availability and willingness to assist made this work a pleasure. Sincere thanks to his wife for always being friendly.
- Prof E.E. Rosinger of the Department of Mathematics at the University of Pretoria, for the opportunity for discussions.
- Willy Calder, for the time and effort he put into this work, in his holidays, to ensure that the language is acceptable.
- Detlef Grygier and Justin Mann of KENTRON, for financial support, information on composite materials and the interest they took in this study.
- SASOL, for the opportunity to complete this study.
- My family, for their support, encouragement and prayers that helped me through this study.
- Jana van Graan, my cousin, and her mother, for knowing that the only way they would be able to see me was by offering a free meal.
- Gerrie van der Westhuizen, for understanding even if he did not understand what I was talking about.
- Last but not least, our study group and in particular Michael Hindley (our social organizer), for ‘Let’s go and play pool’ when the office got to small.

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	ii
<b>Opsomming</b>	iv
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	vi
<b>List of figures</b>	xi
<b>List of tables</b>	xiii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Objectives . . . . .	2
1.3 Approach . . . . .	3
1.4 Thesis overview . . . . .	3
<b>2 Assumed stress membranes with drilling d.o.f.</b>	4
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	4
2.1.1 Summary of recent research . . . . .	4
2.1.2 This study . . . . .	6
2.2 A framework for independently interpolated rotation fields . . . . .	6
2.2.1 Variational formulation . . . . .	6
2.2.2 Finite element interpolations by Ibrahimbegovic <i>et al.</i> . . . . .	13
2.2.3 On the numerical value of $\gamma$ . . . . .	14
2.3 Assumed stress membrane element with drilling degrees of freedom formulation . . . . .	14
2.3.1 Variational formulation . . . . .	14
2.3.2 Finite element interpolation . . . . .	15
2.3.3 Developing and constraining the assumed stress field . . . . .	18

2.4	Membrane locking correction . . . . .	20
<b>3</b>	<b>Numerical results: Isotropic membrane elements</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Element rank . . . . .	24
3.2	Membrane patch tests . . . . .	25
3.2.1	Constant extension and constant shear patch tests . . . . .	25
3.2.2	Modified shear patch test . . . . .	25
3.3	Taylor's patch test and Ramm's cantilever beam . . . . .	26
3.4	Cook's membrane . . . . .	27
3.5	Thick walled cylinder . . . . .	28
3.6	Cook's beam . . . . .	29
3.7	Higher order patch test . . . . .	30
<b>4</b>	<b>Isotropic flat shell elements</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1	Plate formulation . . . . .	43
4.1.1	Mindlin plates: Bending theory and variational formulation . . . . .	43
4.1.2	Finite element interpolation . . . . .	48
4.1.3	Assumed strain interpolations . . . . .	49
4.2	Shell formulation . . . . .	51
4.2.1	Element formulation . . . . .	51
4.2.2	A general warped configuration . . . . .	52
<b>5</b>	<b>Numerical results: Isotropic plates and shells</b>	<b>54</b>
5.1	Plate patch tests . . . . .	55
5.2	Cantilever under transverse tip loading . . . . .	56
5.3	Thin simply supported plate under uniformly distributed load . . . . .	56
5.4	Pinched hemispherical shell with $18^\circ$ hole . . . . .	56
5.5	Warped pinched hemisphere . . . . .	57
5.6	Thick pinched cylinder with open ends . . . . .	58
5.7	Thin pinched cylinder with open ends . . . . .	58
5.8	Pinched cylinder with end membranes . . . . .	59
5.9	Thick pre-twisted beam . . . . .	59
5.10	Thin pre-twisted beam . . . . .	60
5.11	Scordelis-Lo roof . . . . .	60
5.12	Slender cantilever . . . . .	60

<b>6 Orthotropic flat shell elements</b>	<b>71</b>
6.1 Constitutive relationship . . . . .	71
6.2 Compliance matrix . . . . .	73
<b>7 Numerical results: Orthotropic problems</b>	<b>75</b>
7.1 Plane stress membrane cantilever under transverse tip loading . . . . .	75
7.1.1 Stacking sequence [0] . . . . .	75
7.1.2 Stacking sequence [30] . . . . .	76
7.1.3 Stacking sequence [0/90] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	76
7.1.4 Stacking sequence [30/ − 30] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	76
7.1.5 Stacking sequence [0/45/ − 45/90] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	76
7.2 Clamped cylinder under internal pressure . . . . .	77
7.2.1 Stacking sequence [90] . . . . .	77
7.2.2 Stacking sequence [−45/45] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	77
7.2.3 Stacking sequence [90/0] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	77
7.2.4 Stacking sequence [0/90] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	77
7.2.5 Stacking sequence [0] . . . . .	78
7.3 Clamped hemisphere with 30° hole . . . . .	78
7.3.1 Ply orientation $E_\theta = E_{11}$ . . . . .	78
7.3.2 Ply orientation $E_\phi = E_{11}$ . . . . .	78
7.4 Pre-twisted beam . . . . .	80
7.4.1 Stacking sequence [0/90] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	80
7.4.2 Stacking sequence [−45/45] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	80
7.4.3 Stacking sequence [30/60] <sub>s</sub> . . . . .	80
<b>8 Conclusions and recommendations</b>	<b>93</b>
8.1 Isotropic membrane elements . . . . .	93
8.2 Isotropic plate elements . . . . .	94
8.3 Isotropic shell elements . . . . .	94
8.4 Orthotropic formulation . . . . .	94
8.5 Recommendations . . . . .	95
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>A Element operators</b>	<b>101</b>
A.1 Membrane element operators . . . . .	101

A.2	Plate element operators . . . . .	102
<b>B</b>	<b>Classification of stress modes</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Constraining the assumed stress field</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Reduced integration</b>	<b>105</b>
D.1	Derivation of numerical integration schemes[1] . . . . .	105
D.2	A 5-point integration scheme . . . . .	106
D.3	An 8-point integration scheme . . . . .	108
<b>E</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>110</b>
E.1	Subroutines for the isotropic $8\beta$ element . . . . .	110
<b>F</b>	<b>List of definitions</b>	<b>129</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Membrane finite element . . . . .	16
3.1	Regular and distorted element geometries for eigenvalue analysis . . . . .	24
3.2	Mesh used in patch tests . . . . .	25
3.3	Constant extension patch test and constant shear patch test . . . . .	26
3.4	Modified constant shear patch test . . . . .	26
3.5	Taylor's patch test and Ramm's cantilever beam . . . . .	27
3.6	Cook's membrane . . . . .	28
3.7	Thick walled cylinder . . . . .	29
3.8	Cook's beam . . . . .	29
3.9	Higher order patch test . . . . .	30
4.1	Four-node shell element . . . . .	44
4.2	Mindlin theory . . . . .	45
4.3	Interpolation functions for the transverse shear strains . . . . .	50
4.4	Warped and projected quadrilateral shell element . . . . .	53
5.1	Constant curvature patch test and constant shear patch test with zero rotations . . . . .	55
5.2	Constant twist patch test . . . . .	55
5.3	Cantilever under transverse tip loading . . . . .	56
5.4	Thin simply supported plate under uniformly distributed load . . . . .	57
5.5	Pinched hemisphere . . . . .	58
5.6	Warped pinched hemisphere . . . . .	59
5.7	Pinched cylinder with open ends . . . . .	60
5.8	Pinched cylinder with end membranes . . . . .	61
5.9	Pre-twisted beam . . . . .	62
5.10	Scordelis-Lo roof . . . . .	62
5.11	Slender cantilever . . . . .	63

6.1	Laminate stacking convention . . . . .	72
6.2	Local coordinate system for laminated structures . . . . .	72
7.1	Cantilever under transverse tip loading and irregular mesh . . . . .	75
7.2	Clamped cylinder under internal pressure . . . . .	77
7.3	Clamped hemisphere with $30^\circ$ hole . . . . .	79
D.1	5-point integration scheme . . . . .	107
D.2	8-point integration scheme . . . . .	108

# List of Tables

2.1	Unified formulation for the $5\beta$ , $8\beta$ and $9\beta$ families . . . . .	20
3.1	Eigenvalues of square $8\beta(M)$ -NT and $9\beta(M)$ -NT elements . . . . .	24
3.2	Taylor's patch test and Ramm's cantilever beam: Numerical results . . . . .	31
3.2	Taylor's patch test and Ramm's cantilever beam: Numerical results (continued) . . . . .	32
3.3	Cook's membrane: Center displacement $u_{2C}$ . . . . .	32
3.3	Cook's membrane: Center displacement $u_{2C}$ (continued) . . . . .	33
3.4	Cook's membrane: Stress analysis . . . . .	33
3.4	Cook's membrane: Stress analysis (continued) . . . . .	34
3.5	Cook's membrane: Influence of $\gamma$ for the $2 \times 2$ mesh . . . . .	35
3.6	Cook's membrane: Effect of integration scheme order . . . . .	36
3.7	Thick-walled cylinder: Radial displacement . . . . .	37
3.7	Thick-walled cylinder: Radial displacement (continued) . . . . .	38
3.8	Cook's beam: Tip displacement $u_{2A}$ . . . . .	38
3.8	Cook's beam: Tip displacement $u_{2A}$ (continued) . . . . .	39
3.9	Cook's beam: Stress analysis . . . . .	39
3.9	Cook's beam: Stress analysis (continued) . . . . .	40
3.10	Higher order patch test: Numerical results . . . . .	41
3.10	Higher order patch test: Numerical results (continued) . . . . .	42
5.1	Cantilever under transverse tip loading: Tip displacement $u_{3A}$ . . . . .	62
5.2	Thin simply supported plate under uniformly distributed load: Hard supported . . . . .	63
5.3	Thin simply supported plate under uniformly distributed load: Soft supported . . . . .	63
5.4	Pinched Hemisphere with $18^\circ$ Hole: Radial displacement $u_{1A}$ . . . . .	64
5.5	Pinched Hemisphere with $18^\circ$ Hole: Influence of $\gamma$ for the $2 \times 2$ mesh . . . . .	64
5.6	Pinched Hemisphere with $18^\circ$ Hole: Effect of integration scheme order . . . . .	65

5.7	Warped pinched hemisphere: Radial displacement $u_{1_A}$	66
5.8	Thick pinched cylinder with open ends: Radial displacement $-u_{3_A}$	66
5.9	Thin pinched cylinder with open ends: Radial displacement $-u_{3_A}$	67
5.10	Pinched cylinder with end membranes: Radial displacement $-u_{3_A}$	67
5.11	Thick pre-twisted beam: Numerical results	68
5.12	Thin pre-twisted beam: Numerical results	69
5.13	Scordelis-Lo roof: Center displacement $u_{3_A}$	69
5.14	Slender cantilever: Numerical results	70
7.1	Plane stress membrane cantilever: Stacking sequence [0]	80
7.2	Plane stress membrane cantilever ([0]): Influence of $\gamma$ on irregular mesh	81
7.3	Plane stress membrane cantilever ([0]): Effect of integration scheme order	81
7.4	Plane stress membrane cantilever: Stacking sequence [30]	81
7.5	Plane stress membrane cantilever ([30]): Influence of $\gamma$ on irregular mesh	82
7.6	Plane stress membrane cantilever ([30]): Effect of integration scheme order	82
7.7	Plane stress membrane cantilever: Stacking sequence [0/90] <sub>s</sub>	82
7.8	Plane stress membrane cantilever: Stacking sequence [30/ - 30] <sub>s</sub>	83
7.9	Plane stress membrane cantilever: Stacking sequence [0/45/ - 45/90] <sub>s</sub>	83
7.10	Clamped cylinder under internal pressure: Stacking sequence [90]	83
7.11	Clamped cylinder under internal pressure: Stacking sequence [-45/45] <sub>s</sub>	84
7.12	Clamped cylinder under internal pressure: Stacking sequence [90/0] <sub>s</sub>	84
7.13	Clamped cylinder under internal pressure: Stacking sequence [0/90] <sub>s</sub>	85
7.14	Clamped cylinder under internal pressure: Stacking sequence [0]	85
7.15	Clamped hemisphere with 30° hole: Ply orientation $E_\theta = E_{11}$	86
7.16	Clamped hemisphere with 30° hole ( $E_\theta = E_{11}$ ): Influence of $\gamma$ on 4 × 4 mesh	87
7.17	Clamped hemisphere with 30° hole ( $E_\theta = E_{11}$ ): Effect of integration scheme order	88
7.18	Clamped hemisphere with 30° hole: Ply orientation $E_\phi = E_{11}$	89
7.19	Clamped hemisphere with 30° hole ( $E_\phi = E_{11}$ ): Influence of $\gamma$ on 4 × 4 mesh	90
7.20	Clamped hemisphere with 30° hole ( $E_\phi = E_{11}$ ): Effect of integration scheme order	91
7.21	Pre-twisted beam: Stacking sequence [0/90] <sub>s</sub>	92
7.22	Pre-twisted beam: Stacking sequence [-45/45] <sub>s</sub>	92
7.23	Pre-twisted beam: Stacking sequence [30/60] <sub>s</sub>	92