

A Linguistic Semantic Analysis of the Discourse Relations in the Greek Text of Ephesians

By

Véroni Krüger

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree D. Litt (Greek) in the Faculty
of Arts

University of Pretoria

November 1982

Véroni Krüger 1982

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to the following persons.

Professor J.P. Louw, my promotor. My association with him has been far more enriching than is to be expected from an association with an academic tutor.

Professors A. B. du Toit, co-promotor, and W.S. Vorster and J. H. Roberts, external examiners.

The research for this dissertation has been made possible by financial grants, for which I thank the following:

The Human Sciences Research Council
The Apostolic Faith Mission of South Africa

To my family, many thanks for bearing with me, and for moral and spiritual support. With gratitude I make mention of the person who first stirred in me the desire for study, my father, the late L. L. Krüger

May this dissertation be εις ἔπαινον δόξης τῆς χάριτος αὐτοῦ ἧς ἐχαρίτωσεν ἡμᾶς ἐν τῷ ἡγαπημένῳ
(Eph 1.6)

Véroni Krüger

Pretoria, South Africa, 1982

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. COMMENTARY	6
2.1 PERICOPE 1: Ephesians 1.1-2	6
2.2 PERICOPE 2: Ephesians 1.3-14	11
2.3 PERICOPE 3: Ephesians 1.15-23	19
2.4 PERICOPE 4: Ephesians 2.1-10	26
2.5 PERICOPE 5: Ephesians 2.11-22	32
2.6 PERICOPE 6: Ephesians 3.1-13	39
2.7 PERICOPE 7: Ephesians 3.14-21	47
2.8 PERICOPE 8: Ephesians 4.1-16	52
2.9 PERICOPE 9: Ephesians 4.17-24	61
2.10 PERICOPE 10: Ephesians 4.25-5.5	68
2.11 PERICOPE 11: Ephesians 5.6-21	75
2.12 PERICOPE 12: Ephesians 5.22-6.9	82
2.13 PERICOPE 13: Ephesians 6.10-20	90
2.14 PERICOPE 14: Ephesians 6.21-24	95
3. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PERICOPEs AND THE OVERALL STRUCTURE OF EPHESIANS.....	96
4. SUMMARY	104
5. SUMMARY IN AFRIKAANS	105
6. BIBLIOGRAPHY	106
7. SUPPLEMENT: A schematic presentation of the Greek text of Ephesians..	112