

Identification of *Theileria parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene sequence variants in the African Buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) in southern Africa

Mamohale E. Chaisi^{a,b}, Kgomotso P. Sibeko^a, Nicola E. Collins^a, Fred T. Potgieter^c, Marinda C. Oosthuizen^{a*}

^aDepartment of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X04, Onderstepoort, 0110, South Africa

^bDepartment of Biology, National University of Lesotho, Roma 180, Lesotho

^cAgricultural Research Council-Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute, Private Bag X05, Onderstepoort, 0110, South Africa

***Corresponding author.** Mailing address: Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X04, Onderstepoort, 0110 South Africa. Phone: (+27 12) 529 8390. Fax: (+27 12) 5298312. E-mail: marinda.oosthuizen@up.ac.za

Key words: African buffalo, *Theileria parva*, *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), reverse line blot hybridization assay, 18S rRNA gene, phylogenetic analysis

Abstract

Theileria parva is the causative agent of Corridor disease in cattle in South Africa. The African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) is the reservoir host, and, as these animals are important for eco-tourism in South Africa, it is compulsory to test and certify them disease free prior to translocation. A *T. parva*-specific real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test based on the small subunit ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA) gene is one of the tests used for the diagnosis of the parasite in buffalo and cattle in South Africa. However, because of the high similarity between the 18S rRNA gene sequences of *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), the latter is also amplified by the real-time PCR primers, although it is not detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes. Preliminary sequencing studies have revealed a small number of sequence differences within the 18S rRNA gene in both species but the extent of this sequence variation is unknown. The aim of the current study was to sequence the 18S rRNA genes of *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), and to determine whether all identified genotypes can be correctly detected by the real-time PCR assay. The reverse line blot (RLB) hybridization assay was used to identify *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) positive samples from buffalo blood samples originating from the Kruger National Park, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, the Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park, and a private game ranch in the Hoedspruit area. *Theileria parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) were identified in 42 % and 28 %, respectively, of 252 samples, mainly as mixed infections. The full-length 18S rRNA gene of selected samples was amplified, cloned and sequenced. From a total of 20 sequences obtained, 10 grouped with previously published *T. parva* sequences from GenBank while 10 sequences grouped with a previously published *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequence. All these formed a monophyletic group with known pathogenic *Theileria* species. Our phylogenetic analyses confirm the distinction between *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. parva* and indicate the existence of a single group of *T. parva* and two *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene variants in the African buffalo. Despite the observed variation in the full-length parasite 18S rRNA gene sequences, the area in the V4 hypervariable

region where the RLB and real-time PCR hybridization probes were developed was relatively conserved. The *T. parva* specific real-time PCR assay was able to successfully detect all *T. parva* variants and, although amplicons were obtained from *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA, none of the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA sequence variants were detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes.

1. Introduction

The haemoprotozoan parasite, *Theileria parva*, transmitted mainly by the ticks *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* and *Rhipicephalus zambeziensis*, is the causative agent of Corridor disease in cattle in South Africa, and East Coast fever (ECF) in eastern and central Africa (Norval et al., 1991; Uilenberg, 1999). ECF was introduced into South Africa in the early 1900s and eventually eradicated in the 1950s, but a different form of theileriosis, Corridor disease, persists (Lawrence et al., 1994). The African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) is the reservoir host; infections are asymptomatic in buffalo but potentially fatal in cattle. It is thought that Corridor disease is transmitted mainly from buffalo to cattle but not between cattle, as infected bovines usually die before piroplasms appear or piroplasms are too few to infect new ticks (Lawrence et al., 1994; Uilenberg, 1999). Cattle that survive an acute ECF infection are able to mount an immune response that results in an asymptomatic carrier state and therefore become sources of infection for tick vectors (Potgieter et al., 1988; Norval et al., 1992; Altay et al., 2008, Beck et al., 2009). The strict control measures that were put in place to control theileriosis in South Africa after the eradication of ECF were designed to prevent the creation of carrier animals and the subsequent spread of the disease in the cattle population.

In South Africa, *T. parva* is endemic in buffalo in the Kruger National Park (KNP) and the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, and Corridor disease occurs in neighbouring farms and game parks where cattle and buffalo are in close contact in the presence of vector ticks (Collins, 1997; Mashishi, 2002). In addition to *T. parva*, the African buffalo is the natural host of the relatively benign *Theileria mutans* and the apathogenic *Theileria velifera*, both of which are transmitted by *Amblyomma hebraeum* (Norval et al., 1992). *Theileria buffeli* and the hitherto uncharacterized *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) have also been identified in some buffalo populations in South Africa (Stoltz, 1996; Zwegarth et al., 2009; Mans et al., 2011) and the local tick vectors of these parasites are unknown. *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) was first reported in 1993 from a buffalo in Kenya (Allsopp et al., 1993), and very little is known about this parasite.

Buffalo play an important role in the epidemiology of several other livestock diseases in South Africa, including foot-and-mouth disease, bovine brucellosis and bovine tuberculosis. Infected buffalo are isolated by approved fences in national and provincial game parks as well as in a limited number of buffalo breeding projects, under veterinary supervision. Farming of buffalo and cattle on the same farm is not allowed. In South Africa, buffalo are important for eco-tourism and this has led to an increasing demand for buffalo (Collins et al., 2002). There are several breeding projects, regulated by the veterinary authorities, that breed ‘disease-free’ buffalo and it is compulsory to test all buffalo for *T. parva* and other infectious diseases prior to translocation in order to protect cattle and non-infected buffalo from infection (Collins et al., 2002). The animals undergo a series of parasitological, serological and molecular diagnostic tests before they are certified “disease-free” and fit for translocation. Conventional parasitological methods include microscopic examination of blood smears for the presence of piroplasms and/or lymph node biopsies in suspected clinical cases for schizonts. Serological assays such as the indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) and the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) detect specific antibodies to parasite schizonts or

sporozoites (Katende et al., 1998; Ogden et al., 2003, Oura et al., 2004). However, these tests sometimes lack specificity and sensitivity especially in the case of low level infections, as is the case with carrier animals (Allsopp et al., 1993; Billouw et al., 2005). A molecular test based on PCR amplification of the *Theileria* 18S rRNA gene followed by probing with species-specific oligonucleotide probes (Allsopp et al., 1993), has recently been superseded by a real-time PCR test for the diagnosis of *T. parva* in cattle and buffalo in South Africa (Sibeko et al., 2008).

Due to the similarities in the 18S rRNA gene sequences of *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), the real-time PCR amplification primers currently in use also amplify *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA. The hybridization probe set is specific for *T. parva*, and although an amplicon is obtained from *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA, a melting curve is generated for *T. parva* DNA only (Sibeko et al., 2008). Preliminary evidence obtained in our laboratory suggests that *T. parva* 18S rRNA gene sequence variants exist, although to date, no sequences with differences in the probe region have been identified. The specificity of the real-time PCR test could be compromised if strains of *T. parva* with such sequence differences exist, or if there are strains of *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) with sequences similar to *T. parva* in the probe region. Therefore the aim of this study was to investigate the extent of sequence variation in the 18S rRNA gene within and between these two species in South Africa.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Blood samples and DNA extraction

Ninety-eight blood samples spotted on filter-paper, collected from buffalo in the KNP, and 100 whole blood samples (in EDTA) collected from buffalo in the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, South Africa, were investigated. Five buffalo blood samples from a private game ranch located in the Hoedspruit area bordering the KNP and 49 samples from the Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park

(Mozambique) close to the KNP border were also included in the study. Genomic DNA was extracted from the filter paper blood spots using the QIAmp DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and from whole blood using the High Pure Template Preparation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturers' protocols. DNA was eluted in 100 µl elution buffer and stored at -20 °C pending further analysis.

2.2 PCR amplification and reverse line blot (RLB) assay

The V4 hypervariable region of the piroplasm 18S rRNA gene was amplified using the *Theileria* and *Babesia* genus-specific primers RLB-F2 (5'-GAC ACA GGG AGG TAG TGA CAA G-3') and RLB-R2 (5'-biotin-CTA AGA ATT TCA CCT CTG ACA GT-3') (Nijhof et al., 2003; Nijhof et al., 2005). Platinum Quantitative PCR SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen, The Scientific Group, South Africa) was used to prepare PCRs according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each reaction contained 2.5 µl genomic DNA (~75 ng), 0.1 µM of each primer, 3 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM each of dGTP, dATP and dCTP, 400 µM dUTP, 0.75 U Platinum® Taq DNA polymerase, 0.5 U uracil deoxy-glycosylase (UDG) and nuclease-free water to a total volume of 25 µl. Amplification was done using a touchdown PCR programme as previously described (Nijhof et al., 2003). DNA from a *T. parva* positive buffalo, KNP 102 (Sibeko et al., 2008) and water were used as positive and negative controls respectively and were included in all amplifications. Amplicons were analysed on 2% ethidium bromide stained agarose gels and then screened by the RLB hybridization assay as previously described (Gubbels et al., 1999; Nijhof et al., 2005). The *Theileria* and *Babesia* genus- and species-specific oligonucleotide probes that were used are shown in Table 1.

2.3 Amplification, cloning and sequencing of the 18S rRNA gene

Thirteen selected samples that tested positive for *T. parva* and/or *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) on RLB were characterized by cloning and sequencing of the near full-length 18S rRNA gene. The full

length (~1 700 bp) genes were amplified by conventional PCR using forward primer Nbab-1F and reverse primer TB 18S-Rev (Oosthuizen et al., 2008; Bhoora et al., 2009). The High Fidelity PCR Master System (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) was used to prepare PCRs according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each reaction contained 2.5 µl (~75 ng) genomic DNA, 0.1 µM of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM dNTPs, High Fidelity Enzyme blend (concentration unknown) and nuclease-free water to a total volume of 25 µl. Amplification was performed using an initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 1 min. Final extension was at 72°C for 7 min. For each sample, four PCR reactions were done and the resulting amplicons were pooled as previously reported (Oosthuizen et al., 2008, 2009). The QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Southern Cross Biotechnologies) was used for PCR product purification. Purified products were then ligated into the pGEM-T Easy Vector and transformed into JM109 High Efficiency Competent cells (Promega, Madison, WI). At least 5 white colonies were selected per sample and screened by colony PCR using primers RLB-F2 and RLB-R2. Recombinant plasmid DNA was extracted from overnight bacterial cultures using the High Pure Plasmid Isolation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Sequencing was performed using the ABI BigDyeTM Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit (PE Applied Biosystems), 350 ng plasmid DNA and 3.2 pmol of primer RLB-F2 as an initial screen. The sequences obtained were subjected to a BLASTn homology search (Altschul et al., 1990). The full-length 18S rRNA gene of those recombinants with sequences closely related to the published 18S rRNA gene sequences of *T. parva* or *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) were sequenced using the vector primers SP6 (5'-TTA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GG-3') and T7 (5'-TAT TTA GGT GAC ACT ATA-3'), and internal sequencing primers, RLB-F2, RLB-R2, Nbab_1F, 18SRev-TB, BT18S_2F, BT18S_3F, BT18S_4F, BT18S_4R (Oosthuizen et al., 2008; Bhoora et al., 2009).

Purified sequencing reactions were analyzed on an ABI3100 genetic analyzer at the ARC-OVI (South Africa) sequencing facility.

2.4 Phylogenetic analysis

Sequences were assembled and edited using the GAP4 program of the Staden package (version 1.6.0 for Windows) (Bonfield et al., 1995; Staden et al., 2000) and aligned with sequences of related genera from Genbank using the MAFFT v5 multiple sequence alignment programme (Kato et al., 2005). The alignment was truncated to the size of the smallest sequence using BioEdit v7 (Hall, 1999). The TrN + I +G model was determined to be the best-fit for the data by using the Modeltest v3.7 software package (Posada and Crandall, 1998) and was subsequently used in the construction of the phylogenetic trees. A total of 44 sequences with 1590 characters were analysed. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by the neighbor-joining (Saitou and Nei, 1987), maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood methods using PAUP* v4b10 (Swofford, 2003). Distance and parsimony methods were done in combination with the bootstrap method (Felsenstein, 1985) using 1 000 replicates/tree for each method. Bayesian analysis was done using MrBayes v3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). In all instances, the 18S rRNA sequences of *Sarcocystis muris* (M64244), *Prorocentrum micans* (M14649) and *Toxoplasma gondii* (X68523) were included as outgroups to root the phylogenetic trees. All consensus trees were edited using the MEGA 4 (Tamura et al., 2007) software package.

2.5 Real-time PCR

The thirteen selected field samples as well as the *Theileria parva* clones (240b, 240c, 240e, 241a, 241e, B15d, B15e, Mz6c, Mz13j, Q15b) and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) clones (A21a, G2d, H22c, H22e, K1c, Mz20a, Q4c, Q15c, Q15e, V8b) were subjected to the *T. parva* specific real-time PCR assay as previously described (Sibeko et al., 2008).

2.6 Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The 18S rRNA gene sequences of the sequences identified in this study have been submitted to GenBank with accession numbers HQ895968 – HQ895987.

3. Results

The RLB results (Table 2) obtained from the buffalo samples from the four study areas demonstrated the presence of *T. parva*, *T. mutans*, *T. velifera*, *T. buffeli* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) in 174 samples (69.0%), either as single or as mixed infections. In 17.1% of the samples (n = 43), the PCR products hybridized only with the *Theileria* and/or the *Babesia/Theileria* genus-specific probes and not with any of the *Babesia* or *Theileria* species-specific probes. Thirty-five samples (13.9%) were negative (or below detection limit of the test) for the presence of *Theileria* species.

The most prevalent *Theileria* spp. present in buffalo from the KNP were *T. mutans* (52.0%), *T. parva* (45.9%) and *T. velifera* (29.6%) (Table 2). In the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, *T. buffeli* (55.0%) was the most prevalent *Theileria* spp. followed by *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) (38.0%) and *T. parva* (29.0%). *Theileria parva* (59.2 %) and *T. mutans* (34.7 %) were the most common species in the Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park. *Theileria buffeli* was not detected in buffalo from the KNP and the Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park (Table 2).

The RLB results indicated that 26.2% (n = 66) of the samples had single infections, while multiple infections with two or more species were found in 42.9% (n = 108) of the samples (Table 2). Details of the multiple *Theileria* spp. infections in buffalo in KNP, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, the Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park, and private game ranch in Hoedspruit are shown in Figure 2. A total of 18 different combinations of multiple infections were found from the four localities.

Double and triple infections with *T. velifera* and *T. mutans*, and *T. velifera*, *T. mutans*, *T. parva* were the most common combinations (Figure 1).

The RLB results obtained from the samples collected from buffalo from the game ranch near Hoedspruit revealed a single infection of *T. parva* in one sample, and mixed *Theileria* spp. infections in the other four specimens (Figure 1). None of these five samples tested positive for the presence of *T. mutans* or *T. buffeli* DNA.

Near-full length parasite 18S rRNA genes from 13 selected samples that were positive for *T. parva* and/or *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) on RLB were amplified and cloned, and of 54 clones sequenced, 23 *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) clones were identified. The RLB results of these 13 samples (Table 3) indicated that all the samples had single or mixed *Theileria* spp. infections. Since recombinant DNA molecules can be generated during the PCR when multiple templates containing homologous regions are present (Wang and Wang, 1997; Thompson et al., 2002), the sequences were compared across their full-length to identify possible PCR artifacts and these were eliminated from the dataset. The sequences from three clones, Mz6g, V8a and G8b were shown to be recombinants between *T. parva* and *T. mutans*; *T. parva*, *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. mutans*; and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. mutans* respectively. BLASTn homology searches indicated that the remaining 20 clones were closely related (99.9% identity) to published 18S rRNA gene sequences of cattle-derived *T. parva* [Accession numbers: L02366 (Allsopp et al., 1993), L28999 (Kibe et al., 1994)], buffalo-derived *T. parva* [Accession numbers: AF013418 (Collins and Allsopp, 1999) and HQ684067 from buffalo 102 (Sibeko et al., 2008)], and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) [Accession no. DQ641260 (Sibeko et al., 2008)].

The previously published 18S rRNA gene sequences of *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) differ by 11 bp. Estimated evolutionary divergences between the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequences obtained in this study were compared by determining the number of base differences per sequence (Table 4). Some sequences were identical, whereas others differed from each other by between 1 and 15 bp. The sequences of clones 240e, 241a, 241e and B15d were identical to the published *T. parva* 18S rRNA gene sequences, AF013418, L02366 and L28999. The sequences of clones Q15b and 240b were identical to the South African buffalo-derived *T. parva* 18S rRNA gene sequence, HQ684067, which differs by 1 base pair from the other published *T. parva* sequences. The sequences of the remaining four *T. parva* clones differed from the published sequences by 1 to 3 nucleotides. None of the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequences were identical to the published *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequence, DQ641260, although six of the sequences (A21a, H22c, H22e, Mz20a, Q4c and V8b) differed by only 1 to 3 bp. The remaining four *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequences (G2b, K1c, Q15c and Q15e) differed from DQ641260 by 9 or 10 nucleotides. The V4 hypervariable region of the 18S rRNA gene from these clones is identical to the recently published partial sequence (GU570997) of *Theileria* sp. (buffalo)(bougasvlei) (Zweygarth et al., 2009; Mans et al., 2011) (results not shown).

The observed sequence similarities were confirmed by phylogenetic analyses. Neighbor-joining, maximum likelihood, maximum parsimony and Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were used to reveal the relationships between the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene variants and other related *Theileria* and *Babesia* species. No significant differences in the topology of the trees obtained using different algorithms, or in the bootstrap values, were found. A representative tree obtained by the neighbor-joining method is shown in Figure 2a. The in depth phylogenetic relationships amongst the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) variants as indicated by neighbour-joining analysis is shown in Figure 2b. The new *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequences

formed a monophyletic group with *Theileria taurotragi*, and the pathogenic *Theileria annulata* and *Theileria lestoquardi*. Ten sequences grouped with the previously published *T. parva* sequences and 10 sequences grouped together with the previously published *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA sequence. The *T. parva* sequences formed a monophyletic group, whereas the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) group formed two distinct clusters.

A sequence alignment of the area in which the RLB and real-time PCR probes were designed indicated that the *T. parva* real-time PCR anchor probe region was identical in all sequences (Figure 3). All *T. parva* sequences were identical under the *T. parva* real-time PCR sensor probe and under the *T. parva* RLB probe. In the sensor probe region, six of the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) clones (A21a, H22e, H22c, Mz20a, V8b and Q4e) were identical in sequence to the available *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) gene sequence on GenBank (DQ641260) (Figure 3). It has previously been shown that this *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequence is not detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes because of these nucleotide differences (Sibeko et al., 2008). The remaining four *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) clones (G2d, K1c, Q15c and Q15d) had more sequence difference in this specific region (three nucleotide differences and one deletion) and are therefore also unlikely to be detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes. In addition, these four sequences differed from the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo)-specific RLB probe by one substitution and one deletion. Samples KNP_G2, KNP_K1 and Mz6 were negative for *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) using RLB (Table 3), indicating that the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo)-specific RLB probe probably does not detect this 18S rRNA sequence variant. Although RLB indicated that sample KNP_Q15 was *Theileria* sp. (buffalo)-positive (Table 3), only *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) variant sequences were identified in this sample. It is possible that RLB detectable *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequences would have been identified from this sample if more clones had been examined.

All 13 selected field samples (Table 3), as well as the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) clones were subsequently subjected to the *T. parva* real-time PCR assay as described by Sibeko et al. (2008). The *T. parva*-specific melting peak at 63°C was observed for the *T. parva* positive control DNA samples, 12 of the 13 field samples and for all the *T. parva* clones (Figures 4a and b, Table 3). Although amplicons were obtained from *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA, the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) positive control DNA and all 10 clones identified as *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) were not detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes.

4. Discussion

Simultaneous detection and differentiation of *Theileria* and *Babesia* spp. by the reverse line blot hybridization assay was initially described by Gubbels and colleagues in 1999. This assay has since been used by several authors to detect these organisms in infected hosts and vectors (Gubbels et al., 2000; Georges et al., 2001; Nijhof et al., 2003, 2005; Schnittger et al., 2003; Brigido et al., 2004; Nagore et al., 2004; Oosthuizen et al., 2008, 2009; Oura et al., 2011). In our study, the RLB results demonstrated the presence of *T. parva*, *T. mutans*, *T. velifera*, *T. buffeli* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), either as single or as mixed infections, in the buffalo blood samples collected in the Kruger National Park, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park and from a game ranch near Hoedspruit. This was not an unexpected finding as it is well-known that the African buffalo is the natural reservoir host of both pathogenic and non-pathogenic *Theileria* species (Young et al., 1978; Uilenberg, 1995). In our study, a total of 18 different combinations of mixed infections by these parasites were observed. Salih et al. (2007) reported 17 combinations of these species (together with *T. taurotragi*, *B. bovis*, *B. bigemina*) from cattle in Southern Sudan. In both studies co-infection by *T. parva*, *T. mutans* and *T. velifera* was the most common combination. Oura et al. (2011) identified these *Theileria* species in buffalo samples originating from four geographically different national

parks in Uganda, with prevalences of 0% to 95% for *T. parva*, 92 - 100% for *T. mutans*, 80 - 100% for *T. velifera*, and 0 - 100% for *T. buffeli* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo).

Theileria mutans and *T. parva* were the most prevalent *Theileria* spp. present in buffalo from the KNP and the Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park, but *T. buffeli* was not detected in these buffalo, or from five buffalo from the game ranch near Hoedspruit. In contrast, *T. buffeli* was the most prevalent *Theileria* sp. in the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, followed by *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. parva*. We have also detected *T. buffeli* DNA in buffalo from the Addo Elephant National Park in the Eastern Cape Province (data not shown). In Australia, Asia and Europe, *T. buffeli* is transmitted by ticks of the genus *Haemaphysalis* but the vector is still unknown in America and Africa (Uilenberg, 1995; M'ghirbi et al., 2008). Our results suggest that the tick vector of *T. buffeli* is not present in the KNP, the Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park and the game ranch near Hoedspruit but is present in the Hluhluwe-iMfolozi region of KwaZulu-Natal and in the Eastern Cape. Although detailed transmission studies are needed to elucidate the vector of *T. buffeli* in South Africa, *Haemaphysalis silacea* ticks could be a possible vector. This tick has a wide host range (Horak et al., 1983) and it has been recovered from nyalas in Umfolozi (now Hluhluwe-iMfolozi), Mkuzi and Ndumu game parks in north-eastern KwaZulu-Natal, and from kudu in the Addo Elephant National Park and Andries Vosloo Kudu Reserve in the Eastern Cape, but not from animals in the KNP (Horak et al., 1992, 1995).

The RLB hybridization assay was not able to detect any *Theileria* and/or *Babesia* spp. in 13.9% of the samples. These could be either true negative results or due to a very low *Theileria* spp. parasitaemia which was below the detection limit of the test. The RLB hybridization assay has previously been shown to be able to detect *Theileria* and *Babesia* spp. at a parasitaemia of $1 \times 10^{-6}\%$, enabling detection of the carrier state of most parasites (Gubbels et al., 1999). Bhoora et al. (2010)

demonstrated that real-time PCR is more sensitive than the RLB hybridization assay and that *Theileria* and *Babesia* spp. infections can be detected by real-time PCR in samples that test negative by the RLB hybridization assay. The prevalence of *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) as indicated by RLB is under-represented in our study, and in all previous RLB studies, since the *Theileria* sp. (buffalo)-specific RLB probe does not detect the novel *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) variant identified here. This highlights an inherent limitation of the RLB: a novel species or variant will not be detected by RLB in a mixed infection containing parasites for which species-specific probes are incorporated in the assay.

The 18S rRNA sequences identified in this study were shown to be highly similar to the published *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequences and intraspecific variation in the 18S rRNA genes of both species was revealed. Although the published *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene sequences are highly similar (11 bp difference over a 1501 bp region), Zweygarth et al. (2009) indicated that *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) seems not to be merely a region-specific genetic variant of *T. parva* circulating in South Africa. Our phylogenetic analyses confirm the distinction between *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. parva* and further indicate the existence of a single group of *T. parva* and two *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene variants in the African buffalo. In a recent study, Mans et al. (2011) cloned and sequenced the V4 hypervariable region of the *Theileria* 18S rRNA gene from 62 buffalo and 49 cattle samples and identified, in addition to the *T. parva* genotypes identified in our study, two other *T. parva* variants from buffalo and five from cattle.

Although variation in the 18S rRNA gene sequence has been widely used to characterize and classify previously unknown *Theileria* and *Babesia* parasites (Birkenheuer et al., 2004; Gubbels et al., 2000; Nijhof et al., 2003, 2005; Schnittger et al., 2003; Oosthuizen et al., 2008), there is no

universally used criterion for classifying organisms to species level based on this variation (Chae et al., 1999). It remains difficult to establish how much 18S rRNA gene sequence variation must exist for the source organism to be considered a different species or to be considered merely a variant/genotype of a species (Allsopp and Allsopp, 2006; Chae et al., 1999). Based on this, as well as the fact that we do not have any data on the morphology of the parasites, their possible vectors or their role in clinical disease, we suggest that these variants/genotypes cannot be classified as new *Theileria* species, but rather as variants of *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo).

Our phylogenetic analyses showed that the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) variants grouped together with other pathogenic *Theileria* spp., namely, *T. annulata* and *T. lestoquardi*. The observed relationship between these parasites has been reported previously (Allsopp et al., 1994; Katzer et al. 1998; Chae et al., 1999; Brigido et al., 2004; Nijhof et al., 2005), and is considered as an indication of a common ancestry (Allsopp et al., 1994; Katzer et al. 1998). Zwegarth et al. (2009) established a macroschizont-infected lymphoblastoid cell line from an African buffalo infected with *Theileria* sp. (buffalo), suggesting that *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) is able to transform lymphocytes. However, to date this species has only ever been identified in buffalo and not in cattle and is not pathogenic, so it can be considered an “unusual” member of the group.

Despite the variation found in the full-length parasite 18S rRNA gene sequences, the area in the V4 hypervariable region where the RLB and real-time PCR hybridization probes were developed is relatively conserved. The *T. parva* specific real-time PCR assay was able to successfully detect all *T. parva* variants identified in this study and although amplicons were obtained from *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) DNA, this species and its variants were not detected by the *T. parva*-specific hybridization probes. Although the specificity of the existing *T. parva*-specific real-time PCR test does not seem to be compromised by the presence of 18S rRNA gene sequence variants as indicated in this study

and by Mans et al. (2011) the close similarity between the 18S rRNA of the two species still poses a challenge to the sensitivity of the test because of competition for primers in cattle and buffalo samples which contain mixed *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) and *T. parva* infections (Sibeko et al. 2008). It is possible that other as yet unidentified *T. parva* 18S rRNA gene variants may exist. In order to develop a more sensitive diagnostic test for *T. parva*, it will be necessary to identify an alternative genetic marker that is both specific for and highly conserved in *T. parva*.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the South African National Research Foundation (NRF ICD2006072000009) and University of Pretoria Research Development Programme. It also falls under the Belgian Directorate General for Development Co-operation Framework agreement ITM/DGCD. Sequencing was done at the sequencing facility of the ARC-OVI. We thank Drs Roy Bengis and Dave Cooper for providing buffalo blood samples and Drs Raksha Bhoora and Habib Golezardy for assistance with phylogenetic analyses.

References

Allsopp, B.A., Baylis, H.A., Allsopp, M.T., Cavalier-Smith, T., Bishop, R.P., Carrington, D.M., Sohanpal, B., Spooner, P., 1993. Discrimination between six species of *Theileria* using oligonucleotide probes which detect small subunit ribosomal RNA sequences. *Parasitology* 107, 157-165.

- Allsopp, M.T., Cavalier-Smith, T., De Waal D.T., Allsopp, B.A., 1994. Phylogeny and evolution of the piroplasms. *Parasitology* 108, 147-152.
- Allsopp, M.T., Allsopp, B.A., 2006. Molecular sequence evidence for the reclassification of some *Babesia* species. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1081, 509-517.
- Altay, K., Aydın, M.F., Dumanli, N., Aktas M., 2008. Molecular detection of *Theileria* and *Babesia* infections in cattle. *Vet. Parasitol.* 158, 295-301.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers E.W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215, 403-410.
- Beck, H., Blake, D., Dardé, M., Felger, I., Pedraza-Díaz, S., Regidor-Cerrillo, J., Gómez-Bautista, M., Ortega-Mora, L.M., Putignani, L., Shiels, B., Tait, A., Weir, W., 2009. Molecular approaches to diversity of populations of apicomplexan parasites. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 39, 175-189.
- Bhoora, R., Franssen, L., Oosthuizen, M.C., Guthrie, A.J., Zwegarth, E., Penzhorn, B.L., Jongejan, F., Collins, N.E., 2009. Sequence heterogeneity in the 18S rRNA gene within *Theileria equi* and *Babesia caballi* from horses in South Africa. *Vet. Parasitol.* 159, 112-120.
- Bhoora, R., Quan, M., Franssen, L., Butler, C.M., Van der Kolk, J.H., Guthrie, A.J., Zwegarth, E., Jongejan, F., Collins, N.E., 2010. Development and evaluation of real-time PCR assays for the quantitative detection of *Babesia caballi* and *Theileria equi* infections in horses from South Africa. *Vet. Parasitol.* 168, 201-211.

- Billiouw, M., Brandt, J., Vercruyse, J., Speybroeck, N., Marcotty, T., Mulumba, M., Berkvens, D., 2005. Evaluation of the indirect fluorescent antibody test as a diagnostic tool for East Coast fever in eastern Zambia. *Vet. Parasitol.* 127, 189-198.
- Birkenheuer, A.J., Neel, J., Ruslander, D., Levy, M.G., Breitschwerdt, E.B., 2004. Detection and molecular characterization of a novel large *Babesia* species in a dog. *Vet. Parasitol.* 124, 151-160.
- Bonfield, J.K., Smith, K., Staden R., 1995. A new DNA sequence assembly program. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 23, 4992-4999.
- Bosman, A.M., Venter, E.H., Penzhorn, B.L., 2007. Occurrence of *Babesia felis* and *Babesia leo* in various wild felid species and domestic cats in Southern Africa, based on reverse line blot analysis. *Vet. Parasitol.* 144, 33-38.
- Brígido, C., Fonseca, I.P., Parreira, R., Fazendeiro, I., Rosário, V.E.d., Centeno-Lima, S., 2004. Molecular and phylogenetic characterization of *Theileria* spp. parasites in autochthonous bovines (Mirandesa breed) in Portugal. *Vet. Parasitol.* 123, 17-23.
- Butler, C.M., Nijhof, A.M., Jongejan, F., Van der Kolk, J.H., 2008. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infection in horses in the Netherlands. *Vet. Rec.* 162, 216-218.
- Chae, J-S., Allsopp, B.A., Waghela, S.D., Kakuda, J-H.P.T., Sugimoto, C., Allsopp, M.T.E.P., Wagner, G.G., Holman, P.J., 1999. A study of the systematics of *Theileria* spp. based upon small-subunit ribosomal RNA gene sequences. *Parasitol. Res.* 85, 877-883.

- Collins, N.E., 1997. The relationship between *Theileria parva parva* and *T. p. lawrencei* as shown by sporozoite antigen and ribosomal RNA gene sequences. PhD. Thesis. University of Witwaterstrand. South Africa.
- Collins, N.E., Allsopp, B.A., 1999. *Theileria parva* ribosomal internal transcribed spacer sequences exhibit extensive polymorphism and mosaic evolution: application to the characterization of parasites from cattle and buffalo. *Parasitology* 118, 541-551.
- Collins, N.E., Allsopp, M.T.E.P., Allsopp, B.A., 2002. Molecular diagnosis of theileriosis and heartwater in bovines in Africa. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 96, S217-S224.
- Felsenstein, J., 1985. Confidence Limits on Phylogenies: An Approach Using the Bootstrap. *Evolution* 39, 783-791.
- Georges, K., Loria, G.R., Riili, S., Greco, A., Caracappa, S., Jongejan, F., Sparagano, O., 2001. Detection of haemoparasites in cattle by reverse line blot hybridisation with a note on the distribution of ticks in Sicily. *Vet. Parasitol.* 99, 273-286.
- Gubbels, J.M., Vos, A.P., Weide, M., Viseras, J., Schouls, L.M., Vries, E., Jongejan, F., 1999. Simultaneous detection of bovine *Theileria* and *Babesia* species by reverse line blot hybridization. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 37, 1782-1789.

- Gubbels, M.J., Hong, Y., Weide, M., Qi, B., Nijman, I.J., GuangYuan, L., Jongejan, F., 2000. Molecular characterisation of the *Theileria buffeli/orientalis* group. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 30, 943-952.
- Hall, T.A., 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl. Acid. Symp. Ser.* 41, 95-98.
- Horak, I.G., Potgieter, F.T., Walker, F.T., Jane, B., De Vos, V., Boomker, J., 1983. The ixodid tick burdens of various large ruminant species in South African nature reserves. *Onderstepoort J. Vet.* 50, 221-228.
- Horak, I.G., Boomker, J., Spickett, A.M., De Vos, V., 1992. Parasites of Domestic and Wild Animals In South Africa. XXX. Ectoparasites of Kudus in the Eastern Transvaal Lowveld and the Eastern Cape Province. *Onderstepoort J. Vet.* 59, 259-273.
- Horak, I.G., Boomker, J., Flamand, J.R.B., 1995. Parasites of domestic and wild animals in South Africa XXXIV. Arthropod parasites of nyalas in north-eastern KwaZulu-Natal. *Onderstepoort J. Vet.* 62, 171-179.
- Katende, J., Morzaria, S., Toye, P., Skilton, R., Nene, V., Nkonge, C., Musoke, A., 1998. An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of *Theileria parva* antibodies in cattle using a recombinant polymorphic immunodominant molecule. *Parasitol. Res.* 84, 408-416.
- Katoh, K., Kuma, K., Toh, H., Miyata, T., 2005. MAFFT version 5: improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 33, 511-518.

- Katzer, F., McKellar, S., Kirvar, E., Shiels, B., 1998. Phylogenetic analysis of *Theileria* and *Babesia equi* in relation to the establishment of parasite populations within novel host species and the development of diagnostic tests. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 95, 33-44.
- Kibe, M.K., ole-MoiYoi, O.K., Nene, V., Khan, B., Allsopp, B.A., Collins, N.E., Morzaria, S.P., Gobright, E.I., Bishop, R.P., 1994. Evidence for two single copy units in *Theileria parva* ribosomal RNA genes. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 66, 249-259.
- Lawrence, J.A., de Vos A.J., Irvin A.D., 1994. Corridor disease. In: Coetzer, J.A.W., Thomson, G.R., Tustin, R.C. (Eds.), *Infectious Diseases of Livestock with special reference to Southern Africa*. Oxford University Press, pp. 326-328.
- Mans, B.J., Pienaar, R., Latif, A.A., Potgieter, F.T., 2011. Diversity in the 18S SSU rRNA V4 hyper-variable region of *Theileria* spp. in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle from southern Africa. *Parasitology.* 138, 766–779.
- Mashishi, M.S.K. (Ed.) 2002. *Diseases shared by wildlife and livestock*. Department of Agriculture, South Africa, 3 pp.
- Matjila, P.T., Penzhorn, B.L., Bekker, C.P., Nijhof, A.M., Jongejan, F., 2004. Confirmation of occurrence of *Babesia canis vogeli* in domestic dogs in South Africa. *Vet. Parasitol.* 122, 119-125.

- M'ghibi, Y., Hurtado, A., Brandika, J., Khlif, K., Ketata, Z., Bouattour, A., 2008. A molecular survey of *Theileria* and *Babesia* parasites in cattle, with a note on the distribution of ticks in Tunisia. *Parasitol. Res.* 103, 435-442.
- Nagore, D., García-Sanmartín, J., García-Pérez, A.L., Juste, R.A., Hurtado, A., 2004. Detection and identification of equine *Theileria* and *Babesia* species by reverse line blotting: epidemiological survey and phylogenetic analysis. *Vet. Parasitol.* 123, 41-54.
- Nijhof, A.M., Penzhorn, B.L., Lynen, G., Mollel, J.O., Morkel, P., Bekker, C.P.J., Jongejan, F., 2003. *Babesia bicornis* sp. nov. and *Theileria bicornis* sp. nov.: tick-borne parasites associated with mortality in the black rhinoceros (*Diceros bicornis*). *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41, 2249-2254.
- Nijhof, A.M., Pillay, V., Steyl, J., Prozesky, L., Stoltsz, W.H., Lawrence, J.A., Penzhorn, B.L., Jongejan, F., 2005. Molecular characterization of *Theileria* species associated with mortality in four species of African antelopes. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 43, 5907-5911.
- Norval, R.A.I., Lawrence, J.A., Young, A.S., Perry, B.D., Dolan, T.T., Scott, J., 1991. *Theileria parva*: influence of vector, parasite and host relationships on the epidemiology of theileriosis in southern Africa. *Parasitology* 102, 347-356.
- Norval, R. A. I. Perry, B D, Young, A.S., 1992. The epidemiology of theileriosis in Africa. Academic Press, London.

- Ogden, N.H., Gwakisa, P., Swai, E., French, N.P., Fitzpatrick, J., Kambarage, D., Bryant, M., 2003. Evaluation of PCR to detect *Theileria parva* in field-collected tick and bovine samples in Tanzania. *Vet. Parasitol.* 112, 177-183.
- Oosthuizen, M.C., Zwegarth, E., Collins, N.E., Troskie, M., Penzhorn, B.L., 2008. Identification of a novel *Babesia* sp. from a sable antelope (*Hippotragus niger* Harris, 1838). *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 46, 2247-2251.
- Oosthuizen, M.C., Allsopp, B.A., Troskie, M., Collins, N.E., Penzhorn, B.L., 2009. Identification of novel *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in South African giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*, Linnaeus, 1758) and roan antelope (*Hippotragus equinus*, Desmarest 1804). *Vet. Parasitol.* 163, 39-46.
- Oura, C.A.L., Bishop, R.P., Wampande, E.M., Lubega, G.W., Tait, A., 2004. Application of a reverse line blot assay to the study of haemoparasites in cattle in Uganda. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 34, 603-613.
- Oura, C.A.L., Tait, A., Asiimwe, B., Lubega, G.W., Weir, W., 2011. Haemoparasite prevalence and *Theileria parva* strain in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) in Uganda. *Vet. Parasitol.* 175, 212-219.
- Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 1998. MODELTEST: testing and model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14, 817-818.

- Potgieter, F.T., Stoltsz, W.H., Blouin, E.F., Roos, J.A., 1988. Corridor disease in South Africa: a review of the current status. *J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc.* 59, 155-160.
- Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19, 1572-1574.
- Saitou, N., Nei M., 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406-425.
- Salih, D.A., El Hussein, A.M., Seitzer, U., Ahmed, J.S., 2007. Epidemiological studies on tick-borne diseases of cattle in Central Equatoria State, Southern Sudan. *Parasitol. Res.* 101, 1035-1044.
- Schnittger, L., Hong, Y., Gubbels, M.J., Beyer, D., Niemann, S., Jongejan, F., Ahmed, J.S., 2003. Phylogeny of sheep and goat *Theileria* and *Babesia* parasites. *Parasitol. Res.* 91, 398-406.
- Schnittger, L., Yin, H., Qi, B., Gubbels, M.J., Beyer, D., Niemann, S., Jongejan, F., Ahmed, J.S., 2004. Simultaneous detection and differentiation of *Theileria* and *Babesia* parasites infecting small ruminants by reverse line blotting. *Parasitol. Res.* 92, 189-196.
- Sibeko, K.P., Oosthuizen, M.C., Collins, N.E., Geysen, D., Rambritch, N.E., Latif, A.A., Groeneveld, H.T., Potgieter, F.T., Coetzer, J.A.W., 2008. Development and evaluation of a real-time polymerase chain reaction test for the detection of *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and cattle. *Vet. Parasitol.* 155, 37-48.

- Staden, R., Beal, K.F., Bonfield, J.K., 2000. The Staden package, 1998. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 132, 115-130.
- Stoltz, W.H., 1996. Theileriosis in the African Buffalo: In: *Proceedings of a Symposium on the African Buffalo as a Game Ranch Animal*, Wildlife Group of the South African Veterinary Association, Onderstepoort, South Africa.
- Swofford, D.L., 2003. PAUP*. *Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony (*and other methods)*. Version 4b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M., Kumar, S., 2007. MEGA4: *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 24, 1596-1599.
- Thompson, J.R., Marcelino, L.A., Polz, M.F., 2002. Heteroduplexes in mixed-template amplifications: formation, consequence and elimination by 'reconditioning PCR'. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 2083-2088.
- Uilenberg, G., 1995. International collaborative research: significance of tick-borne hemoparasitic diseases to world animal health. *Vet. Parasitol.* 57, 19-41.
- Uilenberg, G., 1999. Immunization against diseases caused by *Theileria parva*: a review. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 4, A12-20.

- Wang, G.C-Y., Wang, Y., 1997. Frequency of formation of chimeric molecules as a consequence of PCR coamplification of 16S rRNA genes from mixed bacterial genomes. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 63, 4645-4650.
- Young, A.S., Brown, C.D.G., Burridge, M.J., Grootenhuis, J.G., Kanhai, G.K., Purnell, R.E., Stagg, D.A., 1978. The incidence of Theilerial parasites in East African buffalo (*Syncercus caffer*). *Tropenmed. Parasitol.* 29, 281-288.
- Zweygarth, E., Koekemoer, O., Josemans, A.I., Rambritch, N., Pienaar, R., Putterill, J., Latif, A., Potgieter, F.T., 2009. *Theileria*-infected cell line from an African buffalo (*Syncercus caffer*). *Parasitol. Res.* 105, 579-581.

Table 1: *Theileria* and *Babesia* oligonucleotide probe sequences used in this study. The degenerate position R denotes either A or G, W denotes either A or T, and Y denotes either C or T.

Oligonucleotide probe	Sequence (5' – 3')	Reference
<i>Theileria/Babesia</i> genus-specific	TAA TGG TTA ATA GGA RCR GTT G	Gubbels et al., 1999
<i>Theileria</i> genus-specific	ATT AGA GTG TTT CAA GCA GAC	Nijhof ^a (unpublished)
<i>Babesia bicornis</i>	TTG GTA AAT CGC CTT GGT C	Nijhof et al., 2003
<i>Babesia bigemina</i>	CGT TTT TTC CCT TTT GTT GG	Gubbels et al., 1999
<i>Babesia bovis</i>	CAG GTT TCG CCT GTA TAA TTG AG	Gubbels et al., 1999
<i>Babesia caballi</i>	GTG TTT ATC GCA GAC TTT TGT	Butler et al., 2008
<i>Babesia canis canis</i>	TGC GTT GAC GGT TTG AC	Matjila et al., 2004
<i>Babesia canis rossii</i>	CGG TTT GTT GCC TTT GTG	Matjila et al., 2004
<i>Babesia canis vogeli</i>	AGC GTG TTC GAG TTT GCC	Matjila et al., 2004
<i>Babesia divergens</i>	ACT RAT GTC GAG ATT GCA C	Nijhof et al., 2003
<i>Babesia felis</i>	TTA TGC TTT TCCGAC TGG C	Bosman et al., 2007
<i>Babesia major</i>	TCC GAC TTT GGT TGG TGT	Georges et al., 2001
<i>Babesia microti</i>	GRC TTG GCA TCW TCT GGA	Nijhof et al., 2003
<i>Theileria annulata</i>	CCT CTG GGG TCT GTG CA	Georges et al., 2001
<i>Theileria bicornis</i>	GCG TTG TGG CTT TTT TCT G	Nijhof et al., 2003
<i>Theileria buffeli</i>	GGC TTATTT CGG WTT GAT TTT	Gubbels et al., 2000
<i>Theileria equi</i>	TTC GTT GAC TGC GYT TGG	Butler et al., 2008
<i>Theileria lestoquardi</i>	CTT GTG TCC CTC CGG G	Schnittger et al., 2004
<i>Theileria mutans</i>	CTT GCG TCT CCG AAT GTT	Gubbels et al., 1999
<i>Theileria parva</i>	GGA CGG AGT TCG CTT TG	Nijhof et al., 2003
<i>Theileria separata</i>	GGT CGT GGT TTT CCT CGT	Schnittger et al., 2004
<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)	CAG ACG GAG TTT ACT TTG T	Oura et al., 2004
<i>Theileria</i> sp. (kudu)	CTG CAT TGT TTC TTT CCT TTG	Nijhof et al., 2005
<i>Theileria</i> sp. (sable)	GCT GCA TTG CCT TTT CTC C	Nijhof et al., 2005
<i>Theileria taurotragi</i>	TCT TGG CAC GTG GCT TTT	Gubbels et al., 1999
<i>Theileria velifera</i>	CCT ATT CTC CTT TAC GAG T	Gubbels et al., 1999

^a Dr. Ard M. Nijhof, (Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands)

Table 2: The occurrence of *Theileria* species infections in buffalo blood samples from four localities in South Africa and Mozambique as determined by the RLB hybridization assay.

	Kruger National Park (n = 98)	Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park (n = 100)	Private game ranch Hoedspruit (n = 5)	Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park (n = 49)	TOTAL (n= 252)
Single infections:	23 (23.4%)	21 (21%)	1 (20%)	21 (42.9%)	66 (26.2%)
<i>T. buffeli</i>	0	17 (17.0%)	0	0	17 (6.8%)
<i>T. mutans</i>	10 (10.2%)	0	0	4 (8.2%)	14 (5.6%)
<i>T. parva</i>	10 (10.2%)	2 (2.0%)	1 (20.0%)	13 (26.5%)	26 (10.3%)
<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)	2 (2.0%)	2 (2.0%)	0	4 (8.2%)	8 (3.2%)
<i>T. velifera</i>	1 (1.0%)	0	0	0	1 (0.4%)
Mixed <i>Theileria</i> spp. infection:	47 (47.9%)	40 (40.0%)	4 (80.0%)	17 (34.7%)	108 (42.9%)
<i>T. buffeli</i>	0	38 (38%)	0	0	38 (15.1%)
<i>T. mutans</i>	41 (41.8%)	14 (14%)	0	13 (26.5%)	68 (30.0%)
<i>T. parva</i>	35 (35.7%)	27 (27%)	1 (20.0%)	16 (32.7%)	79 (31.3%)
<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)	17 (17.3%)	36 (36%)	3 (60.0%)	6 (12.2%)	62 (24.6%)
<i>T. velifera</i>	28 (28.6%)	21 (21%)	4 (80%)	6 (12.2%)	59 (23.4%)
<i>Theileria/Babesia</i> genus-specific only	22 (22.5%)	21 (21.0%)	0	0	43 (17.1%)
Negative/below detection limit	6 (6.1%)	18 (18.0%)	0	11 (22.5%)	35 (13.9%)

Table 3: Origin and results of buffalo blood samples selected for 18S rRNA characterization

Sample nr	Place of origin (Locality)	RLB results	<i>T. parva</i> real-time PCR results	Clone nr	Sequence length (bp)	Phylogenetic classification
KNP_B15	KNP ¹ (Masorini)	<i>T. parva</i>	+	d	1582	<i>T. parva</i>
				e	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
KNP_G2	KNP (Mahubyeni)	<i>T. parva, T. mutans</i>	+	d	1581	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)*
KNP_K1	KNP (Graspan Dam)	<i>T. parva, T. mutans, T. velifera</i>	+	c	1581	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)*
KNP_Q4	KNP (Shikokola)	<i>T. parva, Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo), <i>mutans</i>	+	c	1583	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)
KNP_Q15	KNP (Shikokola)	<i>T. parva, Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)	+	b	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
				c	1581	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)*
				e	1581	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)*
KNP_V8	KNP (Mangeva)	<i>T. parva, Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo), <i>T. mutans</i>	+	b	1583	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)
HI_A21	HI ²	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo) (faint signal)	-	a	1583	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)
HI_H22	HI	<i>T. parva, T. buffeli</i>	+	c	1583	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)
				e	1583	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)
Hoed_240	PGR ³ , Hoedspruit	<i>T. parva</i>	+	b	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
				c	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
				e	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
Hoed_241	PGR, Hoedspruit	<i>T. parva, Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo), <i>T. velifera</i>	+	a	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
				e	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
Mz6	GLTP ⁴ , Mozambique	<i>T. parva</i>	+	c	1583	<i>T. parva</i>
Mz13	GLTP, Mozambique	<i>T. parva</i>	+	j	1581	<i>T. parva</i>
Mz20	GLTP, Mozambique	<i>T. parva, Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)	+	a	1583	<i>Theileria</i> sp. (buffalo)

¹ KNP = Kruger National Park² HI = Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park³ PGR, Hoedspruit = Private game ranch, Hoedspruit⁴ GLTP = Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park

* These *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA sequences differed from the published *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) sequence (DQ641260) by one nucleotide substitution and one deletion in the region under the RLB probe, explaining why *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) was not detected in some of these samples using RLB.

Table 4: Estimates of evolutionary divergence between the *T. parva* and *Theileria* sp. (buffalo) 18S rRNA gene sequences. The number of base differences per sequence from analysis between sequences is shown. All results are based on the pairwise analysis of 25 sequences. Analyses were conducted in MEGA4 (Tamura et al., 2007). All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset (complete deletion option). There were a total of 1505 positions in the final dataset.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	17	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
1. <i>T. parva</i> (L02366)																										
2. <i>T. parva</i> (L28999)	0																									
3. <i>T. parva</i> (AF013418)	0	0																								
4. <i>T. parva</i> (HQ684067)	1	1	1																							
5. B15d	0	0	0	1																						
6. 240e	0	0	0	1	0																					
7. 241e	0	0	0	1	0	0																				
8. 241a	0	0	0	1	0	0	0																			
9. Mz6c	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1																		
10. Q15b	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2																	
11. 240b	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0																
12. B15e	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3															
13. 240c	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	2														
14. Mz13j	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	2	2	5	5													
15. Mz20a	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	13	12	12	11	11	10												
16. Q4c	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	12	11	11	10	10	9	3											
17. <i>Theileria</i> sp. buffalo (DQ641260)	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	12	11	11	10	10	9	3	2										
18. H22e	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	12	11	11	10	10	9	3	2	2									
19. V8b	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	11	10	10	9	9	8	2	1	1	1								
20. H22c	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	8	8	7	3	2	2	2	1							
21. A21a	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	7	7	6	4	3	3	3	2	1						
22. Q15c	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	15	14	14	13	13	12	11	10	10	10	9	9	10					
23. G2d	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	14	13	13	12	12	11	10	9	9	9	8	8	9	1				
24. K1c	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	14	13	13	12	12	11	10	9	9	9	8	8	9	1	0			
25. Q15e	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	14	13	13	12	12	11	10	9	9	9	8	8	9	1	0	0		





Figure 1: Composition of mixed *Theileria* spp. infections, as determined using the RLB hybridization assay, in buffalo blood samples from the Kruger National Park, Hluhluwe-iMfolozi Park, Greater Limpopo Transfrontier Park and a private game farm in Hoedspruit.






Figure 2: Phylogenetic tree showing (a) relationship of the *T. parva* and *Theileria sp. (buffalo)* variants identified in this study with other *Theileria* and *Babesia* species and (b) relationships amongst the *T. parva* and *Theileria sp. (buffalo)* variants based on the near full-length 18S rRNA gene sequences as indicated by neighbour-joining analysis. Values at the nodes are bootstrap values indicating the degree of support for each cluster. Vertical lengths in each tree are not significant and are merely set for clarity. GenBank accession numbers are indicated in parentheses. The tree was outgroup rooted using *Prorocentrum micans*, *Sarcocystis muris* and *Toxoplasma gondii*.




Figure 3: Nucleotide alignment of a 56 bp region of the V4 hypervariable region of the published 18S rRNA gene of *T. parva* and *Theileria sp. (buffalo)*, as well as the variants identified in the study. Regions where the *T. parva* real-time PCR and RLB probes were designed are indicated with boxes.





Figure 4: *Theileria parva*-specific real-time PCR results obtained with the cloned *T. parva* and *Theileria sp.* (buffalo) variants obtained in this study. (a) Amplification curves showing increase in fluorescence at 640 nm for both *T. parva* and *Theileria sp.* (buffalo) clones. (b) Melting curve analysis at 640 nm, showing the *T. parva*-specific melting peak at 63°C only for *T. parva* clones.