Supplementary Material 3. Study quality of included studies.

		Daywa and Black Overtions													Total a-priori score			
Study	Downs and Black Questions														(Qualitative rating)	Q1	Q2	Final rating
	1	2	3	6	7	10	11	12	16	17	18	20	25	27				
Attenborough et al.[18]	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	10 (Good)	1	1	Good
Bissell & Lorentzos[48]	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	9 (Fair)	0	0	Very poor
Coetzee et al.[49]	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5 (Poor)	0	0	Very poor
Franettovich et al.[50]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	12 (Good)	0	1	Fair
Hopper & Elliot[51]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	11 (Good)	0	0	Poor
Hopper et al.[52]	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	8 (Fair)	0	0	Very poor
Hopper et al.[6]	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	7 (Poor)	0	0	Very poor
Hopper et al.[53]	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	9 (Fair)	0	1	Poor
Horgan et al.[54]	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	11 (Good)	1	1	Good
Langeveld et al.[55]	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6 (Poor)	1	0	Very poor
Maulder[56]	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	9 (Fair)	0	0	Very poor
McManus et al.[57]	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	7 (Poor)	0	1	Very poor
Pickering et al.[19]	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	10 (Good)	1	1	Good
Sinclair et al.[58]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	12 (Good)	0	1	Fair
Singh et al.[59]	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5 (Poor)	0	0	Very poor
Smith et al.[60]	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	11 (Good)	1	1	Good
Smyth et al.[7]	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	9 (Fair)	1	1	Fair

Downs and Black score ratings: excellent = 13-14, good = 10-12, fair = 8-9, poor ≤7 Q1 = Do they provide a clear definition for injury including if 'medical attention' only, or 'time-loss'? Q2 = Do they provide a measure of risk (e.g., risk ratio, odds ratio, rate ratio)?