

pubs.acs.org/est

Article

# New Drinking Water Genome Catalog Identifies a Globally Distributed Bacterial Genus Adapted to Disinfected Drinking Water Systems

Ashwin S Sudarshan, Zihan Dai, Marco Gabrielli, Solize Oosthuizen-Vosloo, Konstantinos T. Konstantinidis, and Ameet J Pinto\*



**ABSTRACT:** Genome-resolved insights into the structure and function of the drinking water microbiome can advance the effective management of drinking water quality. To enable this, we constructed and curated thousands of metagenome-assembled and isolate genomes from drinking water distribution systems globally to develop a Drinking Water Genome Catalog (DWGC). The current DWGC disproportionately represents disinfected drinking water systems due to a paucity of metagenomes from nondisinfected systems. Using the DWGC, we identify core genera of the drinking water microbiome including a genus (UBA4765) within the order Rhizobiales that is frequently detected and highly abundant in disinfected drinking water systems. We demonstrate that this genus has been widely detected but incorrectly classified in previous amplicon sequencing-based investigations of the drinking water systems included in this study. We propose a name for this uncultured bacterium as *"Raskinella chloraquaticus"* and describe the genus as *"Raskinella"* (endorsed by SeqCode). Metabolic annotation and modeling-based predictions indicate that this bacterium is capable of necrotrophic growth, is able to metabolize halogenated compounds, proliferates in a biofilm-based environment, and shows clear indications of disinfection-mediated selection.

KEYWORDS: drinking water microbiome, genome catalog, disinfection, metabolic predictions, Raskinella

# INTRODUCTION

Downloaded via UNIV OF PRETORIA on January 9, 2025 at 08:09:03 (UTC). See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles.

The drinking water microbiome<sup>1</sup> is a diverse collection of bacteria and archaea,<sup>2</sup> eukaryotes,<sup>3</sup> and viruses<sup>4</sup> and varies in composition spatially and temporally<sup>5,6</sup> from source to tap.<sup>7</sup> Considering the myriad ways in which biological activity in drinking water infrastructure – from treatment to distribution and in the built environment – can affect the safety and aesthetic quality of drinking water,<sup>8,9</sup> understanding the structure and function of the drinking water microbiome is critical. One approach to develop generalizable insights involves direct comparative analysis of microbial community composition and associated selective pressures (e.g., disinfection, nutrient availability) across different systems (i.e., meta-analysis). While differences in methodological choices (e.g., DNA extraction or sequencing protocol) across studies can limit the utility of such meta-analysis,<sup>10</sup> it is still possible to

obtain important generalizable insights from such cross-study comparisons.  $^{1} \ \,$ 

Previous meta-analyses of the drinking water microbiome have relied on 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data sets.<sup>10,11</sup> Both studies showed that the drinking water microbiome consists of a small core community that exhibits signs of selection from both water treatment and distribution practices. For instance, Thom et al. determined that the assembly of drinking water microbial communities postdisinfection was primarily deterministic.<sup>11</sup> This deterministic

Received:May 22, 2024Revised:August 28, 2024Accepted:August 29, 2024Published:September 5, 2024



community assembly results in a community composition that can impact disinfectant residuals (e.g., via nitrification) and harbor bacterial genera of concern (i.e., Legionella and Mycobacterium). While amplicon sequencing studies have indeed provided extensive insights into the composition and biogeography of the drinking water microbiome, they can only indirectly infer limited functional information. Functional information can shed light on processes governing phenomena like biocorrosion, biofilm formation, and interactions between microbial community members that could impact pathogen prevalence<sup>12</sup> and also provide clues on how treatment and distribution practices exert selective pressures. Santos et al. (2016) highlighted that a significant portion of 16S rRNA gene sequences from drinking water systems do not have genome representatives in reference databases and 16S rRNA gene sequence-based functional predictions are reliant on adequate genomic representation in reference databases which makes such analysis less informative.<sup>10</sup>

Metagenomic studies have discovered specific metabolic and stress tolerance traits that may enable survival and growth in drinking water distribution systems (DWDSs). For instance, studies have shown the enrichment of functional traits (e.g., necrotrophy) in a treatment and disinfection strategy-specific manner.<sup>13-16</sup> Metagenomics has also helped uncover pathogenic traits<sup>15</sup> and antibiotic resistance genes<sup>17,18</sup> and further elucidated the prevalence of phages<sup>4</sup> and antiphage defense mechanisms<sup>19</sup> which can impact microbial community dynamics. Recently, Liu et al. (2024)<sup>15</sup> conducted a metaanalysis involving reconstruction and consolidation of metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) from multiple drinking water metagenomes. They elucidated core organisms across studies, but their analysis was largely focused on (1) potential pathogenic bacteria and their likely associations with other community members and (2) on the metabolic traits of specific populations (e.g., comammox bacteria); they do not delve into the association between selective pressures shaping the drinking water microbiome and the functional potential of populations being selected.

The present study utilizes publicly available metagenomes to reconstruct and consolidate MAGs and develop an opensource Drinking Water Genome Catalog (DWGC) to identify populations that tend to be enriched in drinking water systems and its implications broadly on the microbial ecosystems in DWDSs. Through this analysis, this study finds that the core drinking water microbiome is highly structurally constrained. In the course of delineating the core drinking water microbiome, this study identified a globally distributed and potentially consequential, but consistently misannotated, uncultured bacterial genus and a highly abundant bacterial genomovar within it that is present in disinfected DWDS globally. The MAGs from this group were used to infer its ecology and model their potential metabolism to predict their niche within the DWDS as well as potential for regrowth and survival within this ecosystem. The ability to do this highlights the utility of DWGC for contextualizing the role of novel microorganisms in this understudied ecosystem and the benefits of an ecosystem-specific database.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Data Retrieval and Curation.** Metagenomes were retrieved from studies indexed on Web of Science on or before September 5, 2022 using search string "drinking water" (All Fields) AND metagenom\* (All Fields). Only meta-

genomes, MAGs or isolates genomes from finished water at the drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) or DWDSs or point-of-use (PoU) were included in this study. This resulted in the retrieval of raw reads for 208 metagenomes from 85 DWDSs (Supplementary Table S1A) and 55 isolate genomes from NCBI (Supplementary Table S1B). In this study, we define systems where a disinfectant residual is maintained within the distribution system as "disinfected systems" and those systems where no disinfectant residual was maintained within the distribution system as "non-disinfected" systems. Metagenomes were grouped by DWDS with the exception of the Ke et al.  $(2022)^5$  data sets which were processed according to the sampling site by using one replicate per time point due to high redundancy between replicate metagenomes. MAGs were also generated from unpublished metagenomes from a Boston (USA) drinking water system.<sup>20</sup>

**Metagenome Data Processing and Coassembly.** Adapters and poor-quality sequences were trimmed and filtered with fastp v0.20.1/v0.22.0/v0.23.2<sup>21</sup> using the flags --qualified\_quality\_phred 20, --trim\_poly\_g, --trim\_poly\_x and --length\_required 20. Vector contamination in metagenomes was detected by mapping reads to the UniVec Core 10.0 database using BWA-MEM v0.7.17/BWA-MEM2 v2.2.1,<sup>22,23</sup> filtered using SAMtools v1.9/v1.16.1<sup>24</sup> and reads were extracted using bedtools v2.30.0/samtools v1.16.1.<sup>24,25</sup> Subsequently, metagenomic reads from multiple locations within a single DWDS were combined for coassembly. Metagenomic assembly was performed with MetaSPades v3.10.1/3.15.3/3.15.5 using a set of custom kmers (21,33,55,77).<sup>26</sup> This resulted in a total of 85 metagenomic assemblies representing 85 DWDSs.

Binning and Refinement of MAGs. Quality filtered reads were mapped to contigs greater than 499 bps in their respective assemblies using BWA-MEM v0.7.17<sup>22</sup> and bam files were sorted and indexed using SAMtools v1.3.1/v1.9/ v1.16.1.24 Contig coverage depth profiles for MetaBAT2 and VAMB were obtained using jgi\_summarize\_bam\_contig\_depths from bowtie2 v2.1.0/ MetaBAT2 v2.15.27,28 Contigs were binned using CONCOCT<sup>29</sup> for coassemblies or MetaBAT2<sup>28</sup> for single sample assemblies and VAMB.<sup>30</sup> CONCOCT binning was performed within Anvi'o v5.5/7.1<sup>31</sup> using contigs larger than 2500 bps. MetaBAT2 v2.12.1/v2.15 binning was performed using contigs greater than 2500 bps and VAMB v3.0.8 was used with a minimum contig length of 2500 bps and minimum bin size of 200,000 bps. In the event that dereplicated MAGs from multiple binning approaches were available from a study,<sup>13,32,16</sup> we only performed VAMB based-binning prior to further dereplication. In some instances,<sup>20,33</sup> MAGs were used directly as they were generated using the workflow adopted in this study. The quality of the bins was estimated using CheckM v1.0.13/v1.2.2<sup>34</sup> and bins with completeness > 50% and redundancy greater than 10% manually refined using anvi-refine from Anvi'o v5.5/7.1.

**Dereplication of Bins and Construction of the Drinking Water Genome Catalog (DWGC).** CheckM2 v0.1.3<sup>35</sup> was used to evaluate the quality of bins prior to dereplication as it does not rely exclusively on marker genes to assess quality (see results and discussion section for further details). Bin quality was determined using the following formula: Quality Score = Completeness –  $5 \times$  Contamination. Bins with a minimum quality score of 50 were retained for further dereplication using dRep v3.4.0.<sup>36</sup> First, dereplication was performed with a secondary alignment criterion of 0.99, minimum completeness of 50%, and maximum contamination of 10% (-sa 0.99 -comp 50 -con 10) with FastANI.<sup>37</sup> This resulted in a nonredundant set of MAGs that constitute the DWGC. These nonredundant MAGs were further dereplicated using a secondary alignment criterion of 0.95 and a coverage threshold of 0.3 to obtain representative MAGs at the specieslevel. Species-level representative MAGs were selected by calculating the quality score of each MAG within a species cluster using the following formula: Completeness  $-5 \times$ Contamination + 0.5 log(N50) + (centrality - S\_ani) and the MAG with the highest score within a species cluster. This formula is a modification from Almeida et al. (2021)<sup>38</sup> and emphasizes centrality weight to select the most representative MAG within a given species cluster.

Annotation and Phylogenetic Placement of MAGs. Taxonomic annotation of MAGs was performed using the classify workflow (classify\_wf) from gtdb-tk v2.1.1 using the GTDB reference database release 207 v2.39 Bacterial MAGs from the data set were functionally annotated using Bakta  $v1.7^{40}$  using the flags - meta - compliant - keep-contigheaders and the archaeal MAGs from the data set were annotated using Prokka v1.14.6<sup>41</sup> using the flags – kingdom Archaea - metagenome - compliant to annotate tRNAs, 5S, 16S and 23S rRNA genes from the genomes. MAGs were categorized as "High-Quality draft" and "Medium-Quality draft" according to the MIMAG/MISAG criteria.<sup>42</sup> High-Quality MAGs were defined as those with a completeness > 90%, contamination < 5%, tRNAs for 18 out of the 20 amino acids, and the presence of 23S, 16S and 5S rRNA genes. MAGs that failed to satisfy the high-quality MAGs criteria but with completeness above 50% and contamination < 10% are considered medium-quality MAGs. Multiple sequence alignment file from gtdb-tk<sup>39</sup> was used to construct the phylogenetic tree for all bacterial MAGs. The alignment was trimmed using trimal v1.4.rev15<sup>43</sup> using the flag -gappyout. The trimmed alignment file was used to construct a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree with RAxML v8.2.12<sup>44</sup> using the command raxmlHPC-PTHREADS with the PROTGAMMA-WAG model and using seed 3301. Tree visualization and annotations were performed on iTOL v6.45 Faith's phylogenetic diversity<sup>46</sup> for select groups within the DWGC was calculated using the constructed tree with the abdiv package<sup>4</sup> on R.<sup>48</sup>

Identification of Core Drinking Water Microbiome. Reads from all metagenomes were competitively mapped against all species-level representative MAGs using BWA-MEM v0.7.17.22 Sorting and indexing of BAM files was performed using anvi-init-bam from Anvio v7.1.31 CoverM v0.6.1<sup>49</sup> was used with the following parameters: --min-readpercent-identity 0.95 --min-read-aligned-percent 0.75 and -m covered fraction and relative abundance to determine the relative abundance and covered fraction for each MAG in each metagenome; the latter parameter captures the proportional base pairs within a MAG with at least one mapped read from the metagenome. By default, CoverM requires that at least 10% covered fraction for a MAG to be detected in a metagenome. The average relative abundance of a genus was calculated by dividing the cumulative relative abundance of all MAGs within that genus by the number of metagenomic assemblies in which the MAGs from that genus were detected. Further, we used a detection frequency threshold of 30% and 60% to identify genera of relevance to drinking water distribution systems and make up the core microbiome within this ecosystem. Ecologically relevant core taxa were also identified as described previously by Shade and Stopnisek.<sup>50</sup> Briefly, the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity between metagenomic assemblies was estimated at the genus level in a stepwise manner by ranking the genera by detection frequency and average relative abundance (if detection frequency was the same for more than one genus). Proportional Bray–Curtis dissimilarity (fraction of the total average Bray–Curtis dissimilarity) was used to assess the contribution of the ranked genera to the total beta-diversity within these communities. Ecologically relevant core genera were identified by setting a threshold at the point where the addition of further genera contributes to less than 2% of the overall Bray–Curtis dissimilarity.

Comparative Analysis between Genus UBA4765 and Phreatobacter. Comparative analysis of genus UBA4765 and Phreatobacter was performed since UBA4765 is misannotated as Phreatobacter in SSU amplicon studies (Refer to Results and Discussion section). 16S rRNA gene sequences from the UBA4765 MAGs were extracted using barrnap v0.9<sup>51</sup> (n = 20) while 16S rRNA gene sequences from the genus Phreatobacter (order: Rhizobiales) were obtained from SILVA v138.1 (n = 50) (Supplementary Table S2). Pairwise sequence comparisons between 16S rRNA gene sequences were performed using blast 2.5.0<sup>52</sup> using default alignment parameters. MAGs from genus UBA4765 were compared with Phreatobacter genomes from GTDB R207\_v2 database and a Phreatobacter oligotrophus<sup>53</sup> genome that was obtained as a part of the DWGC. Pairwise average amino acid identity (AAI) and proteome coverage between UBA4765 and Phreatobacter MAGs was estimated using EzAAI v1.2.2<sup>54</sup> using default parameters. Proteome coverage refers to the genes shared between two genomes that are used to calculate AAI. Pairwise ANI between MAGs was calculated using FastANI v1.33<sup>37</sup> with default parameters.

Genome Annotation and Metabolic Modeling for **UBA4765\_DW1549.** Gapseq v1.2<sup>55</sup> was used to construct the metabolic model for select UBA4765 species (UBA4765 DW1549) using the species-level MAG obtained after dereplication at 95% ANI. Annotation was performed with the flags -p all and -l all followed by the function "findtransport" to annotate transporters using default parameters. Draft metabolic model was constructed using these annotations using the function "draft". Gaps in the model were filled using the "fill" function in gapseq using a custom medium created for the growth of this organism using the function "medium". All MAGs within this species (n = 42) were used for comparative genome analysis and were annotated using dbCAN,<sup>36</sup> MEROPS,<sup>57</sup> KEGG<sup>58</sup> and a custom database using METABOLIC v4.0<sup>59</sup> with the flag -p meta. Biosynthetic gene clusters were annotated using antiSMASH v7.0.1<sup>60</sup> using the flags --cb-general --cb-knownclusters --cb-subclusters --asf --pfam2go --smcog-trees --genefinding-tool prodigal-m. BacArena<sup>61</sup> simulations were performed with different carbon and nitrogen sources to verify potential for growth on these sources and these results were used to curate metabolic annotation predictions.

**Statistical Analyses.** All statistical tests to differentiate between groups used Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test and pairwise comparisons between groups were performed using Wilcox test using the stats package on R with a significance cutoff of P < 0.05.



Figure 1. A) Redundancy and Completeness for the 1141 species-level MAGs that form the DWGC. Colors represent the MIMAG quality and density plots depict the redundancy and completeness for the different MIMAG groups. B) Phylogenetic tree of 1138 bacterial species MAGs capturing the major phyla in drinking water systems. C) Comparisons of CheckM vs CheckM2 estimated completeness for Proteobacteria (top) and Patescibacteria (bottom). D) Difference between CheckM2 and CheckM completeness for the major phyla in the DWGC. E) Relative abundance and number of systems detected for Patescibacteria across different drinking water distribution systems using CheckM Vs CheckM2 while using the same dRep parameters.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proteobacteria (Pseudomonadota) and Patescibacteria Represent the Most Commonly Detected Phyla in Drinking Water Distribution Systems. A total of 13,647 bins were obtained of which 3,170 MAGs/isolate genomes were considered good quality (i.e., quality score > 50) (Supplementary Table S3). Dereplication at 99% ANI cutoff resulted in 1581 good quality nonredundant MAGs which were further clustered at 95% ANI to obtain 1141 species-level clusters. A total of 183 species-level clusters had high-quality draft MAGs as representatives, whereas the remaining 958 species-level clusters had "medium-quality draft" MAGs using MIMAG criteria<sup>42</sup> due to the absence of one or all genes within the rRNA operon. Of the medium-quality draft specieslevel MAGs, 739 were greater than 90% complete with less than 5% contamination and 837 had 18 or greater number of unique tRNAs (Figure 1A) (Supplementary Table S4). Challenges with assembly of conserved rRNA operons can lead to their inability to bin into MAGs and is likely the primary issue for a large number of "medium-quality draft" MAGs.<sup>6</sup>

Proteobacteria (Pseudomonadota) was the most commonly detected and abundant phylum in the species-level clusters (n = 563, Alphaproteobacteria = 338, Gammaproteobacteria = 225) (Figure 1B) (Supplementary Table S4) with an average relative abundance of  $41.29 \pm 27.22\%$  in DWDSs. The 563 proteobacterial species-level clusters contributed to 32.62% of the phylogenetic diversity in the DWGC. Surprisingly, Patescibacteria was the second largest phylum in DWDSs with 156 species-level clusters (Figure 1B). Despite the

detection of significantly fewer Patescibacteria species relative to Proteobacteria (Pseudomonadota), they capture 24.14% of the phylogenetic diversity. Patescibacteria are seldom detected and described in drinking water studies<sup>14,15</sup>; this could be due to two possible reasons. First, Patescibacteria are underrepresented or missed in gene centric studies (i.e., SSU rRNA gene) due to divergent 16S rRNA gene sequences.<sup>63</sup> Further, Patescibacteria have highly reduced genomes and lack of several ribosomal proteins commonly found in bacteria<sup>64</sup> and thus genome-centric studies often discard genome bins from this phylum due to lower estimates of genome completeness using CheckM. In contrast, CheckM2 outperforms CheckM in predicting MAG quality for taxa lacking sufficient representation in reference databases and reduced genome sizes while maintaining comparable estimates with CheckM for other  $\tan^{35}$  (Figure 1C). Specifically, the average difference between completeness predictions between CheckM2 and CheckM for Patescibacteria was significantly higher  $(28.08 \pm 8.84\%)$  than for the other prominent phyla in drinking water systems (P <1.6e-10, Pairwise Wilcox test) (Figure 1D). Patescibacteria were detected in 42.5% of the systems when competitively mapping reads to MAGs passing quality threshold using CheckM2 estimates compared to detection in 18.75% of metagenomes when relying on CheckM (Figure 1E). It is important to note that Patescibacteria had an average relative abundance of 3.73  $\pm$  5.08% which was comparable to other abundant phyla like Actinobacteriota (Actinomycetota), Planctomycetota and Bacteroidota even if these phyla were observed in more systems than Patescibacteria.

Article



**Figure 2.** A) Density plot depicting the percentage of mapped reads across all 85 distribution systems against the DWGC separated by treatment strategy. B) Five genera were detected in more than 60% of the systems. B) The five-most frequently detected genera constitute > 90% of the proportional Bray–Curtis dissimilarity of the entire DWGC. D) Detection frequency of different species within the five genera. Size of the bubble indicates the average relative abundance of these species in the detected systems.

An Uncultured Genus of High Prevalence Was Observed in Drinking Water Systems Globally. Competitive read mapping from all metagenomes against the 1141 species-level cluster MAGs was used to estimate their detection frequency and relative abundance. The DWGC captures a significantly (P = 0.0007) larger proportion of reads from metagenomes from disinfected (56.3  $\pm$  24.89%) as compared to nondisinfected systems  $(23.5 \pm 15.68\%)$  (Figure 2A). This result is expected since majority of the metagenomes used for construction of the DWGC were from disinfected (44.7%) as compared to nondisinfected (11.8%) system. Further, approximately 43.5% of metagenomes used in this study were from studies that did not specify the type of disinfectant residual, yet the proportions of reads mapping (54.09  $\pm$ 23.83%) to the DWGC was not significantly (P = 0.7) different from disinfected systems. It is likely that these metagenomes from studies with no specified disinfection residual could be disinfected systems. The low representativeness of the DWGC for nondisinfected systems demonstrates that additional effort is required to populate the DWGC with genomes from these systems. It is important to note that nondisinfected systems are significantly more diverse as compared to disinfected systems<sup>3,4,11,13,14</sup> and thus ensuring a DWGC representative for nondisinfected systems will be challenging.

Core microbiome analysis has been widely used to identify and further characterize microbial community members that are universally found in a given environment and contribute disproportionately to ecosystem functions.<sup>65</sup> It is important to note that even low abundance taxa can disproportionately contribute to ecosystem function<sup>66</sup> and their low abundance may be indicative of a unique ecological niche that is consistently observed across multiple DWDSs. We use detection frequency<sup>67</sup> as opposed to abundance<sup>15</sup> to identify core taxa since our primary goal was to identify organisms enriched through the treatment process. Furthermore, a recent study also demonstrated that detection frequency-based approach is likely to accurately define core-memberships within an ecosystem.<sup>68</sup> For this analysis, we only used metagenomes (From 80 DWDSs which represents 94.1% of the studied systems) where at least 10% of the reads mapped to the DWGC MAGs (Supplementary Table S5). The genera detected in more than 30% and 60% detection frequencies were used to identify "potentially" core genera (Figure 2B). A total of 33 genera were detected in more than 30% of the DWDSs of which five were seen in more than 60% (Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S6). Interestingly, we observed 10 families that were seen in more than 60% of the distribution systems with three of them without valid taxonomic names (i.e., SG8-41, TH1-2 and UBA4765) indicating that these taxonomic groups could be an important focus for future studies (Supplementary Figure 1A).

Consistent with previous findings, <sup>10,11,15</sup> Sphingomonas was the most commonly observed genus and was detected in 85% of the DWDSs with an average relative abundance of 7.55  $\pm$ 10.9%. Interestingly, an uncultured genus (UBA4765) within the order *Rhizobiales* was just as highly prevalent in the drinking water metagenomes (detection frequency = 82.5%) and at a high average relative abundance (9.29  $\pm$  17.6%). This was the only uncharacterized core genera with more than 60% detection frequency. A similar trend was observed in the genome distribution data from Liu et al. (2024)<sup>15</sup> where this genus was observed in 80.2% of their samples. Furthermore,





**Figure 3.** A) Density plot depicting pairwise sequence identity of 16S rRNA gene sequences from UBA4765 MAGs (n = 20) and the sequences of genus *Phreatobacter* (n = 50) obtained from SILVA. Identity cutoffs for species (98.5%), genus (95.5%), family (92.5%) and order (89.5%) are depicted using dotted lines. B) Violin plot depicting identity distribution between 16S rRNA gene sequences from UBA4765 (n = 20) and the three cultured *Phreatobacter* species (oligotrophus, stygius and cathodiphilus. n = 1 for each species) on LPSN (DSMZ). C) Amino acid identity values from comparing UBA4765 MAGs with genomes from genus *Phreatobacter*. Density plot indicates the proteome coverage between the different groups.

organisms within UBA4765 were not detected in systems where disinfectant residual was not maintained in the distribution system. It was first reported by Parks et al. (2017) as a part of multiple uncultured groups with the representative genome for UBA4765 assembled from a drinking water system.<sup>69</sup>

The 33 genera identified in the above analysis contributed to 96.5% of the dissimilarity between DWDSs with the five genera observed in 60% of the systems contributing to 91.4% of the dissimilarity (Figure 2C) estimated as described previously.<sup>50</sup> Interestingly, only two genera (i.e., *Sphingomonas* and UBA4765) explained nearly all of the BC dissimilarity (89.3% of the total dissimilarity) between all DWDSs suggesting a high-level of selection. This further shows that the drinking water microbial community is highly structurally constrained where a few taxa make up a major portion of betadiversity.

A total of 34 species-level clusters were identified within the genus *Sphingomonas*, while UBA4765 only had three species-level clusters. Of these UBA4765 species, one species (UBA4765\_DW1549) was detected in a large number of systems (82.5%) at a very high relative abundance as well (8.21  $\pm$  16%) (Figure 2D); this MAG shares 90.38% ANI with UBA4765 MAG recently reported by Liu et al. (2024).<sup>15</sup> Considering the global distribution of this MAG in disinfected drinking water systems, it likely represents the genome of a bacterium that is a very important part of the core drinking water microbiome in disinfected DWDSs. It was also the only species across the DWGC that was observed in more than 60% of the distribution systems (Supplementary Figure 1B).

UBA4765 Has Been Historically Misannotated as *Phreatobacter* in 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing Studies. A previously assembled UBA4765 MAG,<sup>69</sup> used as a representative for this genus and family, contains a 16S rRNA gene sequence that matches (100% identity) to that of an organism classified as *Phreatobacter* in the SILVA database (accession number: JQ924015.1.1443). Further, a phreatobacterial sequence from the SILVA database (accession number: JQ684446.1.1463) exhibited 100% sequence identity

to the majority of the UBA4765 16S rRNA gene sequences (16 out of 20 sequences extracted from 52 MAGs in this study) across the entire length of the extracted sequence; four of these were partial genes while the remaining were full-length 16S rRNA genes. The same sequence (JQ684446.1.1463) exhibited  $\sim$  98.5% sequence identity across the entire 16S rRNA gene length against two other 16S rRNA gene sequences extracted from UBA4765 MAGs. It should also be noted that JQ684446.1.1463 was obtained from a DWDS. Pairwise sequence identity comparisons indicated that on average 16S rRNA gene sequences from obtained UBA4765 showed 99.01  $\pm$  1.79% identity with each other (Figure 3A). This is expected given that most of these sequences were obtained from UBA4765 DW1549 (19 out of 20). In contrast, a pairwise ANI between sequences classified as Phreatobacter in the SILVA database was  $91.84 \pm 1.79\%$  and thus are unlikely to be derived from organisms within the same genus.<sup>70</sup> Indeed, we contend that there are currently several sequences placed within the genus "Phreatobacter" in the SILVA database that originate from a distinct poorly classified genera (like UBA4765) and leading to mis-annotation of 16S rRNA genes sequenced derived from drinking water systems. While multiple 16S rRNA gene sequencing-based studies have previously detected Phreatobacter as one of the most common drinking water microbes,<sup>11,71-73</sup> we only detected it in 5% of metagenomes assembled in this study. In contrast, UBA4765 was detected in 82.5% of metagenomes. Therefore, it is highly likely that previous studies reporting "Phreatobacter" in drinking water systems were likely detecting UBA4765. Based on our assessment of the 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities between UBA4765 and the validated species from the genus Phreatobacter (Identity values between UBA4765 and Phreatobacter species: P. oligotrophus =  $93.76 \pm 0.74\%$ , P. stygius = 93.35  $\pm$  0.75% and P. cathodhiphilus = 93.86  $\pm$ 0.63%), it appears that UBA4765 and *Phreatobacter* may share the same family (*Phreatobacteraceae*) but are distinct genera<sup>70</sup> (Figure 3B).

Differentiating between UBA4765 and Phreatobacter is critical because these two genera exhibit significant differences



Figure 4. A) Comparisons of the proteome coverage and amino acid identity of genomes within genus UBA4765 with UBA4765\_DW1549 Lineage 1. B) Average nucleotide identity analysis between the two lineages of UBA4765\_DW1549. All comparisons are against UBA4765\_DW1549 lineage 1. C) Percentage of UBA4765 reads that map to UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1 and UBA4765\_DW1549\_L2 MAGS split based on whether UBA4765\_DW1549 MAGs were recovered or detected in different systems.

at the genomic level and by extension their functional relevance in drinking water systems. The AAI of 52 MAGs recovered from the genus UBA4765 were compared with nine Phreatobacter MAGs obtained from GTDB and this study (Figure 3C). Phreatobacter MAGs were significantly different from UBA4765. The pairwise AAI values between Phreato*bacter* and UBA4765 (59.98  $\pm$  0.31%) were significantly different than the intragenus values for *Phreatobacter* (78.46  $\pm$ 5.52%) and UBA4765 (93.16 ± 8.33%) MAGs (Wilcox pairwise comparison:  $P < 2.2^*e-16$ ). Furthermore, the proteome coverage analysis indicated that the gene content of Phreatobacter and UBA4765 is very different with a shared proteome of  $39.99 \pm 6.64\%$  which contrasts significantly with intragenus shared proteomes for *Phreatobacter* ( $64.50 \pm 9.8\%$ , P < 2.2\*e-16) and UBA4765 MAGs (77.32 ± 12.12%, P < 2.2\*e-16).

Genus UBA4765 Consists of Discrete Populations with Varying Prevalence and a Globally Distributed Single Genomovar Indicative of Selection in Drinking Water Treatment and Distribution Systems. Of the 52 unique MAGs from three different species within the genus UBA4765, 42 belonged to a single species (i.e., UBA4765\_DW1549) which were independently assembled and binned from 37 independent DWDSs globally with multiple genomes recovered from some systems likely representing two distinct lineages. While the two lineages exhibit ~ 95% ANI with each other, lineage one (UBA4765 DW1549 L1) consists of multiple MAGs that share nearly 99.5% ANI with each other and lineage two (UBA4765\_DW1549\_L2) consists of multiple MAGs that share  $\sim$  98% ANI with each other. Pairwise AAI comparisons between all 52 MAGs resulted in four distinct clusters (Figure 4A) representing three distinct species with two lineages within one species; all AAI values shown are relative to comparisons with UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1. Interestingly, the detection frequency of these species decreases as they become more dissimilar to UBA4765 DW1549 L1 MAGs. The proteome coverage of these groups (species and lineages) also showed variations with more distant clusters exhibiting lower proteome coverage compared to UBA4765 DW1549 L1 MAGs. These differences are not an artifact of MAG completeness, as MAGs with very similar completeness values still display lower proteome coverage.

A further evaluation of UBA4765\_DW1549 MAGs using ANI analysis (Figure 4B) indicated that nearly all MAGs reconstructed within UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1 likely represent a single genomovar (i.e., all share ANI values greater than 99.5%).<sup>74</sup> This suggests that these organisms display very similar phenotypes which could explain their survival and persistence within drinking water distribution systems. While

Article



Figure 5. A) Predicted metabolism of UBA4765\_DW1549 determined using METABOLIC, Antismash and Gapseq. B) Chlorite dismutase and neighboring genes in UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1 and UBA4765\_DW1549\_L2

there are pairwise ANI comparisons outside this threshold for UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1, this is due to the fragmentation of some of the MAGs used for comparison. In order to evaluate the prevalence of this genomovar across the drinking water metagenomes, we performed competitive mapping of reads mapped to all UBA4765 DW1549 MAGs from metagenomes against all five nonredundant UBA4765 DW1549 MAGs (99% ANI clustering) using a read identity threshold of 99% with a minimum of 75% of the read length mapping. Mapping results indicate that most of these reads mapped to MAGs from UBA4765 DW1549 L1 even if both lineages were detected in DWDS. This suggests that not only is UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1 being selected for in disinfected drinking water systems, but also that likely there is competitive exclusion between UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1 and UBA4765\_DW1549\_L2 as they are never detected at comparable relative abundances in the same DWDS (Figure 4C). A likely explanation could be variable fitness between genomovars of UBA4765 DW1549 in drinking water systems depending on environmental conditions and possibly disinfectant residual. This genomovar (UBA4765 DW1549 L1) is globally distributed in drinking water metagenomes where it was detected in 60 out of the 66 systems (overall detection frequency: 0.75) where the genus UBA4765 was detected. Given that organisms within these species have only been

identified in DWDSs based on the genomes available on public databases, it is likely that these organisms are adapted to the drinking water ecosystem.

It is important to note here that the differentiation of UBA4765 DW1549 into two lineages is provisional. These two lineages share an ANI value right around the 95% threshold with an AAI value above 95% which is why we conclude that they belong to the same species. However, given the trends in differing prevalence of the two lineages within DWDSs, it is also possible that they could represent two different species based on their preference, for as yet unknown, ecosystem conditions and by extension, their phenotypic differences. Therefore, it is likely that they are either: (1) distantly related lineages within the same species and at a point of speciation as indicative of their potential phenotypic differences inferred from competitive mapping or (2) they are two distinct closely related species.<sup>75</sup> Culturing this organism would help us better understand the phenotypic differences between the lineages in order to characterize them better.

UBA4765\_DW1549 Genomic Content Indicates Disinfection-Mediated Selection and Metabolism of High Relevance to Survival and Growth within the Drinking Water Ecosystem. Metabolic annotation (Supplementary Table S7) suggests that UBA4765 DW1549 is capable of degrading amino acids and utilizing them for growth (Figure 5A) with BacArena simulations indicating that it is capable of using 17 of the 20 amino acids which are degraded into the intermediates of the citric acid (TCA) cycle. Interestingly, UBA4765\_DW1549 does not possess the metabolic potential to degrade three aromatic amino acids (i.e., phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine) and in general seems to be unable to degrade aromatic compounds. The occurrence of amino acids in drinking water has been shown in other studies and these could potentially be used as growth substrates.<sup>76</sup> It also has the ability to degrade fatty acids since all the UBA4765\_DW1549 MAGs contain the beta-oxidation pathway (KEGG:M00087) responsible for breaking down fatty acids into acetyl-CoA which can enter the TCA cycle. This organism also contains multiple peptidases and carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes capable of degrading complex molecules like chitin, xylan, peptidoglycan, and peptides (to sugars and amino acids) which can be utilized for growth. The presence of these pathways and the BacArena simulation results indicate that UBA4765 DW1549 could likely utilize decaying biomass within distribution systems for growth (i.e., nectrotrophic lifestyle). Necrotrophy is defined as the ability to use dead bacterial cells as a nutrient source to sustain and regrow which could be an abundant nutrient source, especially due to microbial inactivation with disinfection.<sup>13,77,78</sup> Based on this definition, any biomolecule could potentially serve as a nutrient source for the regrowth of organisms that survive disinfection. UBA4765 DW1549 has the ability to utilize C1 carbons like formate and CO like other members within the order Rhizobiales and their genomes harbor haloacid dehalogenase (KEGG:K01560) required to degrade halogenated compounds (e.g., 2-haloacids). UBA4765\_DW1549 also exhibits metabolic traits that are of high relevance to the disinfected drinking water environment. It has the metabolic potential to synthesize homoserine lactones which has been associated with quorum sensing and biofilm formation.<sup>79</sup> Based on the Gapseq construction of the metabolic model, this organism is incapable of producing riboflavin and thiamine. Therefore, adaptation to a biofilm environment serves as an opportunity to obtain these essential nutrients via proximity to organisms that produce them while also providing protection from disinfectant residuals. Interestingly, UBA4765 DW1549 MAGs include a gene encoding for chlorite dismutase (KEGG:K09162) which is implicated in the degradation of chlorite. The chlorite dismutase gene was detected in vast majority of independently assembled UBA4765\_DW1549 MAGs in both lineages without the perchlorate reductase (PCRA) gene; this could suggest selection since the occurrence of the gene has been linked to chlorite presence in the environment.<sup>80</sup> Chlorite dismutase gene is only observed in 1% of the genomes and 5% of the genera in the NCBI taxonomy and is not widely distributed.<sup>80</sup> Inspection of the neighborhood of the chlorite dismutase genes further highlighted genetic potential that may allow for persistence in a disinfected DWDS and fine-scale differences between the two lineages that may explain the selection of UBA4765 DW1549 L1 over UBA4765 DW1549 L2. Nearly all MAGs from both lineages encoded S-(hydroxymethyl)glutathione dehydrogenase (KEGG:K00121) which is associated with formaldehyde detoxification but also with redox regulation<sup>81</sup> and could play a role in oxidative stress response. Further, all UBA4765 DW1549 MAGs encode a deoxyribodipyrimidine photolyase (KEGG: K01669) which is associated

with repair of UV radiation-induced DNA damage.<sup>82</sup> Similarly, all UBA4765\_DW1549 encode cyclopropane-fatty-acyl-phospholipid synthase (KEGG: K00574) responsible for the synthesis of cyclopropane fatty acids (CFA) which is associated bacterial membrane protection against environmental stressors<sup>83</sup> and CFAs have also been detected in DWDS.<sup>84</sup>

Nearly all UBA4765 DW1549 L1 MAGs genes encode for toxin-antitoxin system HicAB (Figure 5B) immediately downstream of the chlorite dismutase gene. The HicAB toxin-antioxin system is associated with persister/dormancy phenotypes allowing the cell to function under high stress conditions.<sup>85</sup> Interestingly, UBA4765\_DW1549\_L2 only had the hicB gene downstream of chlorite dismutase, with the hicA gene found on a different contig; this was not due to contig fragmentation. Thus, it is plausible that the HicAB toxinantioxin system is more tightly regulated in UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1 as compared to UBA4765 DW1549 L2. In addition to the potential differential regulation of persister phenotype, the ability to oxidize thiosulfate was only detected in UBA4765 DW1549 L1 and not in UBA4765 DW1549 L2. The ability to cycle sulfur compounds would be particularly advantageous in a chlorinestressed environment.<sup>86</sup> These differences need to be further studied to better understand their role in fitness of both two lineages considering UBA4765\_DW1549\_L1 is far more prevalent. It is interesting that all of these genes associated with stress tolerance, DNA repair, persister phenotype are colocated with the chlorite dismutase gene. This could suggest disinfection-mediated selection for UBA4765 DW1549 in disinfected DWDSs. Indeed, we observed a significant increase in the relative abundance of UBA4765\_DW1549 postdisinfection in multiple data sets (Supplementary Figure 2). $^{87-9}$ 

Proposal of a New Name for UBA4765 DW1549. We demonstrate that UBA4765 DW1549 has likely been persistently detected in most culture-independent investigations of DWDSs but was incorrectly annotated as Phreatobacter. This species represents the only uncharacterized group of organisms that was detected in a vast majority of drinking water metagenomes (i.e., > 80%) and at very high relative abundance, suggesting that it likely constitutes a vast majority of the microbial community (and possibly biomass) in disinfected DWDSs. Further, it is remarkable that even within this select group, there are signs of selection. Specifically, a single genomovar within this species is globally distributed and harbors traits that indicate disinfection-mediated selection (i.e., chlorite dismutase without PCRA) along with colocalized genes that confer additional advantages in a stressed environment. This along with the ability to utilize decaying biomass and the ability to form biofilms makes the detailed physiological characterization of UBA4765 DW1549 critical for understanding microbial growth and biofilm formation in DWDSs. Indeed, if cultured, UBA4765\_DW1549 would represent the ideal model organism for understanding the ecology and physiology of the drinking water microbiome in disinfected systems. To facilitate a better understanding of this group of organisms and its ecology, we urge researchers to exercise caution when interpreting amplicon sequencing results of 16S rRNA genes from the drinking water microbiome and to manually validate the presence of UBA4765 in the community if the genus "Phreatobacter" is detected in these studies. Alternatively, researchers could utilize newer databases

like Greengenes2<sup>93</sup> which has a 16S rRNA sequence from genus UBA4765 as a reference to study the drinking water microbiome using amplicon sequencing.

To facilitate systematic future investigations of this important bacterium, we propose to name the uncultured genus UBA4765 as "*Raskinella*" (Syllabication: Ras.ki.ne'lla) and for the species UBA4765\_DW1549 as "*Raskinella chloraquaticus*". "*Raskinella*" is named after Dr. Lutgarde Raskin for her extensive contributions to the field of drinking water microbiology and microbial ecology. The species name *Chloraquaticus* (Syllabication: Chlor.a.qua'ti.cus) is attributed to the observation that this bacterium is only detected in disinfected drinking water systems and appears to be selected for through the process of drinking water disinfection. The names are registered under SeqCode<sup>94</sup> and the registered list accession is seqco.de/r:sd2bsaye. The SeqCode table providing the etymology of the names, its description and type strains are provided in Supplementary Table S8.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

# Data Availability Statement

The nonredundant MAGs that form the basis of the DWGC and species-level representative MAGs are available on FigShare (DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.c.7245403.v1). This database will be updated on a routine basis on the project page https://github.com/AshSudarshan/Drinking-Water-Genome-Catalogue.

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.4c05086.

Supplementary Table S1: (A) details of metagenomes used to construct the DWGC, (B) accession numbers for isolate genomes obtained from NCBI and their isolation sources; Supplementary Table S2: SILVA v138.1 Phreatobacter sequences used for comparative analysis; Supplementary Table S3: summary of metagenome assembly and bins across different distribution systems; Supplementary Table S4: detailed taxonomy and genomic information for 1141 MAGs within the DWGC; Supplementary Table S5: relative abundance of species across the 80 distribution systems used to determine the core microbiome; Supplementary Table S6: detection frequency and average relative abundance of genera in the DWGC; and Supplementary Table S7: metabolism annotation results for Lineage 1 (L1) and Lineage 2 (L2) MAGs (XLSX)

Supplementary Table S8: complete list of names proposed in the current register SeqCode list; Supplementary Figure S1: (A) detection frequency vs log of average relative abundance of the DWGC genomes at the family level, (B) detection frequency vs log of average relative abundance of the DWGC genomes at the species level; and Supplementary Figure 2: relative abundance of MAGs from five genera observed in more than 60% of the systems pre- and post-disinfection in studies where pre-disinfection metagenomes were available PDF

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Ameet J Pinto – School of Civil and Environmental Engineering and School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States; o orcid.org/0000-0003-1089-5664; Email: ameet.pinto@ce.gatech.edu

# Authors

- Ashwin S Sudarshan School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States
- Zihan Dai School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States

Marco Gabrielli – Department of Environmental Microbiology, Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Dubendorf CH-8600, Switzerland; orcid.org/0000-0003-3885-3079

- Solize Oosthuizen-Vosloo Institute for Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Department of Immunology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0084, South Africa
- Konstantinos T. Konstantinidis School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c05086

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) (CBET Award Number: 2220792).

# REFERENCES

(1) Hull, N. M.; Ling, F.; Pinto, A. J.; Albertsen, M.; Jang, H. G.; Hong, P.-Y.; Konstantinidis, K. T.; LeChevallier, M.; Colwell, R. R.; Liu, W.-T. Drinking Water Microbiome Project: Is It Time? *Trends in Microbiology* **2019**, *27* (8), 670–677.

(2) Proctor, C. R.; Hammes, F. Drinking Water Microbiology from Measurement to Management. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **2015**, 33, 87–94.

(3) Gabrielli, M.; Dai, Z.; Delafont, V.; Timmers, P. H. A.; van der Wielen, P. W. J. J.; Antonelli, M.; Pinto, A. J. Identifying Eukaryotes and Factors Influencing Their Biogeography in Drinking Water Metagenomes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2023**, *57* (9), 3645–3660.

(4) Hegarty, B.; Dai, Z.; Raskin, L.; Pinto, A.; Wigginton, K.; Duhaime, M. A Snapshot of the Global Drinking Water Virome: Diversity and Metabolic Potential Vary with Residual Disinfectant Use. *Water Res.* **2022**, *218*, No. 118484.

(5) Ke, Y.; Sun, W.; Jing, Z.; Zhao, Z.; Xie, S. Seasonal Variations of Microbial Community and Antibiotic Resistome in a Suburb Drinking Water Distribution System in a Northern Chinese City. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* **2023**, *127*, 714–725.

(6) Pinto, A. J.; Schroeder, J.; Lunn, M.; Sloan, W.; Raskin, L.; Moran, M. A. Spatial-Temporal Survey and Occupancy-Abundance Modeling To Predict Bacterial Community Dynamics in the Drinking Water Microbiome. *mBio* **2014**, 5 (3), No. e01135-14.

(7) Pinto, A. J.; Xi, C.; Raskin, L. Bacterial Community Structure in the Drinking Water Microbiome Is Governed by Filtration Processes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46* (16), 8851–8859.

(8) Prest, E. I.; Hammes, F.; van Loosdrecht, M. C. M.; Vrouwenvelder, J. S. Biological Stability of Drinking Water: Controlling Factors, Methods, and Challenges. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 45.

(9) Proctor, C.; Garner, E.; Hamilton, K. A.; Ashbolt, N. J.; Caverly, L. J.; Falkinham, J. O.; Haas, C. N.; Prevost, M.; Prevots, D. R.; Pruden, A.; Raskin, L.; Stout, J.; Haig, S.-J. Tenets of a Holistic

pubs.acs.org/est

Approach to Drinking Water-Associated Pathogen Research, Management, and Communication. *Water Res.* **2022**, *211*, No. 117997.

(10) Bautista-de los Santos, Q. M.; Schroeder, J. L.; Sevillano-Rivera, M. C.; Sungthong, R.; Ijaz, U. Z.; Sloan, W. T.; Pinto, A. J. Emerging Investigators Series: Microbial Communities in Full-Scale Drinking Water Distribution Systems – a Meta-Analysis. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2016**, *2* (4), 631–644.

(11) Thom, C.; Smith, C. J.; Moore, G.; Weir, P.; Ijaz, U. Z. Microbiomes in Drinking Water Treatment and Distribution: A Meta-Analysis from Source to Tap. *Water Res.* **2022**, *212*, No. 118106.

(12) Berry, D.; Xi, C.; Raskin, L. Microbial Ecology of Drinking Water Distribution Systems. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **2006**, 17 (3), 297–302.

(13) Dai, Z.; Sevillano-Rivera, M. C.; Calus, S. T.; Bautista-de los Santos, Q. M.; Eren, A. M.; van der Wielen, P. W. J. J.; Ijaz, U. Z.; Pinto, A. J. Disinfection Exhibits Systematic Impacts on the Drinking Water Microbiome. *Microbiome* **2020**, *8* (1), 42.

(14) Gomez-Alvarez, V.; Siponen, S.; Kauppinen, A.; Hokajärvi, A.-M.; Tiwari, A.; Sarekoski, A.; Miettinen, I. T.; Torvinen, E.; Pitkänen, T. A Comparative Analysis Employing a Gene- and Genome-Centric Metagenomic Approach Reveals Changes in Composition, Function, and Activity in Waterworks with Different Treatment Processes and Source Water in Finland. *Water Res.* **2023**, *229*, No. 119495.

(15) Liu, H.; Jiao, P.; Guan, L.; Wang, C.; Zhang, X.-X.; Ma, L. Functional Traits and Health Implications of the Global Household Drinking-Water Microbiome Retrieved Using an Integrative Genome-Centric Approach. *Water Res.* **2024**, *250*, No. 121094.

(16) Potgieter, S. C.; Dai, Z.; Venter, S. N.; Sigudu, M.; Pinto, A. J.; McMahon, K. Microbial Nitrogen Metabolism in Chloraminated Drinking Water Reservoirs. *mSphere* **2020**, *5* (2), No. e00274-20.

(17) Sevillano, M.; Dai, Z.; Calus, S.; Bautista-de los Santos, Q. M.; Eren, A. M.; van der Wielen, P. W. J. J.; Ijaz, U. Z.; Pinto, A. J. Differential Prevalence and Host-Association of Antimicrobial Resistance Traits in Disinfected and Non-Disinfected Drinking Water Systems. *Science of The Total Environment* **2020**, 749, No. 141451.

(18) Tiwari, A.; Gomez-Alvarez, V.; Siponen, S.; Sarekoski, A.; Hokajärvi, A.-M.; Kauppinen, A.; Torvinen, E.; Miettinen, I. T.; Pitkänen, T. Bacterial Genes Encoding Resistance Against Antibiotics and Metals in Well-Maintained Drinking Water Distribution Systems in Finland. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *12*, No. 803094.

(19) Huang, D.; Yuan, M. M.; Chen, J.; Zheng, X.; Wong, D.; Alvarez, P. J. J.; Yu, P. The Association of Prokaryotic Antiviral Systems and Symbiotic Phage Communities in Drinking Water Microbiomes. *ISME Communications* **2023**, 3 (1), 46.

(20) Solize, V. Genome Centric and Flow Cytometric Characterization of the Boston Water Microbiome. Ph.D. Dissertation, Northeastern University: Boston, MA 2022.

(21) Chen, S.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, Y.; Gu, J. Fastp: An Ultra-Fast All-in-One FASTQ Preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 2018, 34 (17), i884–i890.
(22) Li, H. Aligning Sequence Reads, Clone Sequences and Assembly Contigs with BWA-MEM. arXiv, 2013.

(23) Vasimuddin, Md.; Misra, S.; Li, H.; Aluru, S. Efficient Architecture-Aware Acceleration of BWA-MEM for Multicore Systems. In 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS); IEEE 2019; pp 314–324.

(24) Danecek, P.; Bonfield, J. K.; Liddle, J.; Marshall, J.; Ohan, V.; Pollard, M. O.; Whitwham, A.; Keane, T.; McCarthy, S. A.; Davies, R. M.; Li, H. Twelve Years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* **2021**, *10* (2), giab008.

(25) Quinlan, A. R.; Hall, I. M. BEDTools: A Flexible Suite of Utilities for Comparing Genomic Features. *Bioinformatics* **2010**, *26* (6), 841–842.

(26) Nurk, S.; Meleshko, D.; Korobeynikov, A.; Pevzner, P. A. metaSPAdes: A New Versatile Metagenomic Assembler. *Genome Res.* **2017**, 27 (5), 824–834.

(27) Langmead, B.; Salzberg, S. L. Fast Gapped-Read Alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **2012**, *9* (4), 357–359.

(28) Kang, D. D.; Li, F.; Kirton, E.; Thomas, A.; Egan, R.; An, H.; Wang, Z. MetaBAT 2: An Adaptive Binning Algorithm for Robust and Efficient Genome Reconstruction from Metagenome Assemblies. *PeerJ* **2019**, *7*, No. e7359.

(29) Alneberg, J.; Bjarnason, B. S.; de Bruijn, I.; Schirmer, M.; Quick, J.; Ijaz, U. Z.; Lahti, L.; Loman, N. J.; Andersson, A. F.; Quince, C. Binning Metagenomic Contigs by Coverage and Composition. *Nat Methods* **2014**, *11* (11), 1144–1146.

(30) Nissen, J. N.; Johansen, J.; Allesøe, R. L.; Sønderby, C. K.; Armenteros, J. J. A.; Grønbech, C. H.; Jensen, L. J.; Nielsen, H. B.; Petersen, T. N.; Winther, O.; Rasmussen, S. Improved Metagenome Binning and Assembly Using Deep Variational Autoencoders. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2021**, 39 (5), 555–560.

(31) Eren, A. M.; Kiefl, E.; Shaiber, A.; Veseli, I.; Miller, S. E.; Schechter, M. S.; Fink, I.; Pan, J. N.; Yousef, M.; Fogarty, E. C.; Trigodet, F.; Watson, A. R.; Esen, Ö. C.; Moore, R. M.; Clayssen, Q.; Lee, M. D.; Kivenson, V.; Graham, E. D.; Merrill, B. D.; Karkman, A.; Blankenberg, D.; Eppley, J. M.; Sjödin, A.; Scott, J. J.; Vázquez-Campos, X.; McKay, L. J.; McDaniel, E. A.; Stevens, S. L. R.; Anderson, R. E.; Fuessel, J.; Fernandez-Guerra, A.; Maignien, L.; Delmont, T. O.; Willis, A. D. Community-Led, Integrated, Reproducible Multi-Omics with Anvi'o. *Nat Microbiol* **2021**, *6* (1), 3–6.

(32) Sevillano, M.; Vosloo, S.; Cotto, I.; Dai, Z.; Jiang, T.; Santiago Santana, J. M.; Padilla, I. Y.; Rosario-Pabon, Z.; Velez Vega, C.; Cordero, J. F.; Alshawabkeh, A.; Gu, A.; Pinto, A. J. Spatial-Temporal Targeted and Non-Targeted Surveys to Assess Microbiological Composition of Drinking Water in Puerto Rico Following Hurricane Maria. *Water Research X* **2021**, *13*, No. 100123.

(33) Vosloo, S.; Huo, L.; Anderson, C. L.; Dai, Z.; Sevillano, M.; Pinto, A. Evaluating de Novo Assembly and Binning Strategies for Time Series Drinking Water Metagenomes. *Microbiology Spectrum* **2021**, 9 (3), e01434–21.

(34) Parks, D. H.; Imelfort, M.; Skennerton, C. T.; Hugenholtz, P.; Tyson, G. W. CheckM: Assessing the Quality of Microbial Genomes Recovered from Isolates, Single Cells, and Metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **2015**, 25 (7), 1043–1055.

(35) Chklovski, A.; Parks, D. H.; Woodcroft, B. J.; Tyson, G. W. CheckM2: A Rapid, Scalable and Accurate Tool for Assessing Microbial Genome Quality Using Machine Learning. *Nat Methods* **2023**, *20* (8), 1203–1212.

(36) Olm, M. R.; Brown, C. T.; Brooks, B.; Banfield, J. F. dRep: A Tool for Fast and Accurate Genomic Comparisons That Enables Improved Genome Recovery from Metagenomes through de-Replication. *The ISME Journal* **2017**, *11* (12), 2864–2868.

(37) Jain, C.; Rodriguez-R, L. M.; Phillippy, A. M.; Konstantinidis, K. T.; Aluru, S. High Throughput ANI Analysis of 90K Prokaryotic Genomes Reveals Clear Species Boundaries. *Nat Commun* **2018**, *9* (1), 5114.

(38) Almeida, A.; Nayfach, S.; Boland, M.; Strozzi, F.; Beracochea, M.; Shi, Z. J.; Pollard, K. S.; Sakharova, E.; Parks, D. H.; Hugenholtz, P.; Segata, N.; Kyrpides, N. C.; Finn, R. D. A Unified Catalog of 204,938 Reference Genomes from the Human Gut Microbiome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2021**, 39 (1), 105–114.

(39) Chaumeil, P.-A.; Mussig, A. J.; Hugenholtz, P.; Parks, D. H. GTDB-Tk v2: Memory Friendly Classification with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* **2022**, *38* (23), 5315–5316.

(40) Schwengers, O.; Jelonek, L.; Dieckmann, M. A.; Beyvers, S.; Blom, J.; Goesmann, A. Bakta: Rapid and Standardized Annotation of Bacterial Genomes via Alignment-Free Sequence Identification. *Microbial Genomics* **2021**, 7 (11), No. 000685.

(41) Seemann, T. Prokka: Rapid Prokaryotic Genome Annotation. *Bioinformatics* **2014**, 30 (14), 2068–2069.

(42) Bowers, R. M.; Kyrpides, N. C.; Stepanauskas, R.; Harmon-Smith, M.; Doud, D.; Reddy, T. B. K.; Schulz, F.; Jarett, J.; Rivers, A. R.; Eloe-Fadrosh, E. A.; Tringe, S. G.; Ivanova, N. N.; Copeland, A.; Clum, A.; Becraft, E. D.; Malmstrom, R. R.; Birren, B.; Podar, M.; Bork, P.; Weinstock, G. M.; Garrity, G. M.; Dodsworth, J. A.; Yooseph, S.; Sutton, G.; Glöckner, F. O.; Gilbert, J. A.; Nelson, W. C.; Hallam, S. J.; Jungbluth, S. P.; Ettema, T. J. G.; Tighe, S.; Konstantinidis, K. T.; Liu, W.-T.; Baker, B. J.; Rattei, T.; Eisen, J. A.; Hedlund, B.; McMahon, K. D.; Fierer, N.; Knight, R.; Finn, R.; Cochrane, G.; Karsch-Mizrachi, I.; Tyson, G. W.; Rinke, C.; Lapidus, A.; Meyer, F.; Yilmaz, P.; Parks, D. H.; Murat Eren, A.; Schriml, L.; Banfield, J. F.; Hugenholtz, P.; Woyke, T. Minimum Information about a Single Amplified Genome (MISAG) and a Metagenome-Assembled Genome (MIMAG) of Bacteria and Archaea. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2017**, 35 (8), 725–731.

(43) Capella-Gutiérrez, S.; Silla-Martínez, J. M.; Gabaldón, T. trimAl: A Tool for Automated Alignment Trimming in Large-Scale Phylogenetic Analyses. *Bioinformatics* **2009**, *25* (15), 1972–1973.

(44) Stamatakis, A. RAXML Version 8: A Tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-Analysis of Large Phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **2014**, 30 (9), 1312–1313.

(45) Letunic, I.; Bork, P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: Recent Updates to the Phylogenetic Tree Display and Annotation Tool. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2024**, *52*, W78–W82.

(46) Faith, D. P. Conservation Evaluation and Phylogenetic Diversity. *Biological Conservation* **1992**, *61* (1), 1–10.

(47) Bittinger, K. (2020). *abdiv: Alpha and Beta Diversity Measures.* R package version 0.2.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=abdiv.

(48) R Core Team (2023). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.

(49) Aroney, S. T. N.; Newell, R. J. P.; Nissen, J.; Camargo, A. P.; Tyson, G. W.; Woodcroft, B. J., & (2024). CoverM: Read coverage calculator for metagenomics (v0.7.0). Zenodo. DOI: .

(50) Shade, A.; Stopnisek, N. Abundance-Occupancy Distributions to Prioritize Plant Core Microbiome Membership. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **2019**, *49*, 50–58.

(51) Seemann, T barrnap 0.9: rapid ribosomal RNA prediction https://github.com/tseemann/barrnap.

(52) Altschul, S. F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E. W.; Lipman, D. J. Basic Local Alignment Search Tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1990**, *215* (3), 403–410.

(53) Tóth, E. M.; Vengring, A.; Homonnay, Z. G.; Kéki, Z.; Spröer, C.; Borsodi, A. K.; Márialigeti, K.; Schumann, P. Phreatobacter Oligotrophus Gen. Nov., Sp. Nov., an Alphaproteobacterium Isolated from Ultrapure Water of the Water Purification System of a Power Plant. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2014**, *64* (Pt 3), 839–845.

(54) Kim, D.; Park, S.; Chun, J. Introducing EzAAI: A Pipeline for High Throughput Calculations of Prokaryotic Average Amino Acid Identity. *J Microbiol.* **2021**, *59* (5), 476–480.

(55) Zimmermann, J.; Kaleta, C.; Waschina, S. Gapseq: Informed Prediction of Bacterial Metabolic Pathways and Reconstruction of Accurate Metabolic Models. *Genome Biology* **2021**, 22 (1), 81.

(56) Yin, Y.; Mao, X.; Yang, J.; Chen, X.; Mao, F.; Xu, Y. dbCAN: A Web Resource for Automated Carbohydrate-Active Enzyme Annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2012**, *40* (W1), W445–W451.

(57) Rawlings, N. D.; Barrett, A. J.; Thomas, P. D.; Huang, X.; Bateman, A.; Finn, R. D. The MEROPS Database of Proteolytic Enzymes, Their Substrates and Inhibitors in 2017 and a Comparison with Peptidases in the PANTHER Database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2018**, 46 (D1), D624–D632.

(58) Kanehisa, M.; Furumichi, M.; Sato, Y.; Kawashima, M.; Ishiguro-Watanabe, M. KEGG for Taxonomy-Based Analysis of Pathways and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2023**, *51* (D1), D587–D592.

(59) Zhou, Z.; Tran, P. Q.; Breister, A. M.; Liu, Y.; Kieft, K.; Cowley, E. S.; Karaoz, U.; Anantharaman, K. METABOLIC: High-Throughput Profiling of Microbial Genomes for Functional Traits, Metabolism, Biogeochemistry, and Community-Scale Functional Networks. *Microbiome* **2022**, *10* (1), 33.

(60) Blin, K.; Shaw, S.; Augustijn, H. E.; Reitz, Z. L.; Biermann, F.; Alanjary, M.; Fetter, A.; Terlouw, B. R.; Metcalf, W. W.; Helfrich, E. J. N.; van Wezel, G. P.; Medema, M. H.; Weber, T. antiSMASH 7.0: New and Improved Predictions for Detection, Regulation, Chemical Structures and Visualisation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2023**, *51* (W1), W46–W50.

(61) Bauer, E.; Zimmermann, J.; Baldini, F.; Thiele, I.; Kaleta, C. BacArena: Individual-Based Metabolic Modeling of Heterogeneous Microbes in Complex Communities. *PLOS Computational Biology* **2017**, *13* (5), No. e1005544.

(62) Zhang, Y.; Ji, P.; Wang, J.; Zhao, F. RiboFR-Seq: A Novel Approach to Linking 16S rRNA Amplicon Profiles to Metagenomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2016**, 44 (10), No. e99.

(63) Brown, C. T.; Hug, L. A.; Thomas, B. C.; Sharon, I.; Castelle, C. J.; Singh, A.; Wilkins, M. J.; Wrighton, K. C.; Williams, K. H.; Banfield, J. F. Unusual Biology across a Group Comprising More than 15% of Domain Bacteria. *Nature* **2015**, *523* (7559), 208–211.

(64) Castelle, C. J.; Banfield, J. F. Major New Microbial Groups Expand Diversity and Alter Our Understanding of the Tree of Life. *Cell* **2018**, 172 (6), 1181–1197.

(65) Shade, A.; Handelsman, J. Beyond the Venn Diagram: The Hunt for a Core Microbiome. *Environmental Microbiology* **2012**, *14* (1), 4–12.

(66) Jousset, A.; Bienhold, C.; Chatzinotas, A.; Gallien, L.; Gobet, A.; Kurm, V.; Küsel, K.; Rillig, M. C.; Rivett, D. W.; Salles, J. F.; van der Heijden, M. G. A.; Youssef, N. H.; Zhang, X.; Wei, Z.; Hol, W. H. G. Where Less May Be More: How the Rare Biosphere Pulls Ecosystems Strings. *ISME J* **2017**, *11* (4), 853–862.

(67) Neu, A. T.; Allen, E. E.; Roy, K. Defining and Quantifying the Core Microbiome: Challenges and Prospects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2021**, *118* (51), No. e2104429118.

(68) Custer, G. F.; Gans, M.; van Diepen, L. T. A.; Dini-Andreote, F.; Buerkle, C. A. Comparative Analysis of Core Microbiome Assignments: Implications for Ecological Synthesis. *mSystems* **2023**, 8 (1), e01066–22.

(69) Parks, D. H.; Rinke, C.; Chuvochina, M.; Chaumeil, P.-A.; Woodcroft, B. J.; Evans, P. N.; Hugenholtz, P.; Tyson, G. W. Recovery of Nearly 8,000 Metagenome-Assembled Genomes Substantially Expands the Tree of Life. *Nat Microbiol* **2017**, 2 (11), 1533–1542.

(70) Konstantinidis, K. T.; Rosselló-Móra, R.; Amann, R. Uncultivated Microbes in Need of Their Own Taxonomy. *ISME J* **2017**, *11* (11), 2399–2406.

(71) Perrin, Y.; Bouchon, D.; Delafont, V.; Moulin, L.; Héchard, Y. Microbiome of Drinking Water: A Full-Scale Spatio-Temporal Study to Monitor Water Quality in the Paris Distribution System. *Water Res.* **2019**, *149*, 375–385.

(72) Chavarria, K. A.; Gonzalez, C. I.; Goodridge, A.; Saltonstall, K.; Nelson, K. L. Bacterial Communities in a Neotropical Full-Scale Drinking Water System Including Intermittent Piped Water Supply, from Sources to Taps. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2023**, *9*, 3019.

(73) Vosloo, S.; Huo, L.; Chauhan, U.; Cotto, I.; Gincley, B.; Vilardi, K. J.; Yoon, B.; Bian, K.; Gabrielli, M.; Pieper, K. J.; Stubbins, A.; Pinto, A. J. Gradual Recovery of Building Plumbing-Associated Microbial Communities after Extended Periods of Altered Water Demand during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2023**, 57 (8), 3248–3259.

(74) Rodriguez-R, L. M.; Conrad, R. E.; Viver, T.; Feistel, D. J.; Lindner, B. G.; Venter, S. N.; Orellana, L. H.; Amann, R.; Rossello-Mora, R.; Konstantinidis, K. T. An ANI Gap within Bacterial Species That Advances the Definitions of Intra-Species Units. *mBio* **2024**, *15* (1), e02696–23.

(75) Zhao, C.; Shi, Z. J.; Pollard, K. S. Pitfalls of Genotyping Microbial Communities with Rapidly Growing Genome Collections. *Cell Syst.* **2023**, *14* (2), 160–176.e3.

(76) Brosillon, S.; Lemasle, M.; Renault, E.; Tozza, D.; Heim, V.; Laplanche, A. Analysis and Occurrence of Odorous Disinfection By-Products from Chlorination of Amino Acids in Three Different Drinking Water Treatment Plants and Corresponding Distribution Networks. *Chemosphere* **2009**, 77 (8), 1035–1042.

(77) Chatzigiannidou, I.; Props, R.; Boon, N. Drinking Water Bacterial Communities Exhibit Specific and Selective Necrotrophic Growth. *npj Clean. Water* **2018**, *1* (1), 1–4.

(78) Temmerman, R.; Vervaeren, H.; Noseda, B.; Boon, N.; Verstraete, W. Necrotrophic Growth of Legionella Pneumophila. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2006**, *72* (6), 4323–4328.

(79) Parsek, M. R.; Greenberg, E. P. Acyl-Homoserine Lactone Quorum Sensing in Gram-Negative Bacteria: A Signaling Mechanism Involved in Associations with Higher Organisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2000**, 97 (16), 8789–8793.

(80) Barnum, T. P.; Coates, J. D. Chlorine Redox Chemistry Is Widespread in Microbiology. *ISME J.* **2022**, *17*, 70–83.

(81) Imber, M.; Pietrzyk-Brzezinska, A. J.; Antelmann, H. Redox Regulation by Reversible Protein S-Thiolation in Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Redox Biology* **2019**, *20*, 130–145.

(82) Matallana-Surget, S.; Douki, T.; Cavicchioli, R.; Joux, F. Remarkable Resistance to UVB of the Marine Bacterium Photobacterium Angustum Explained by an Unexpected Role of Photolyase. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* **2009**, *8* (9), 1313–1320.

(83) Zhu, X.; Guo, Z.; Wang, N.; Liu, J.; Zuo, Y.; Li, K.; Song, C.; Song, Y.; Gong, C.; Xu, X.; Yuan, F.; Zhang, L. Environmental Stress Stimulates Microbial Activities as Indicated by Cyclopropane Fatty Acid Enhancement. *Science of The Total Environment* **2023**, *873*, No. 162338.

(84) Smith, C. A.; Phiefer, C. B.; Macnaughton, S. J.; Peacock, A.; Burkhalter, R. S.; Kirkegaard, R.; White, D. C. Quantitative Lipid Biomarker Detection of Unculturable Microbes and Chlorine Exposure in Water Distribution System Biofilms. *Water Res.* 2000, 34 (10), 2683–2688.

(85) Harms, A.; Brodersen, D. E.; Mitarai, N.; Gerdes, K. Toxins, Targets, and Triggers: An Overview of Toxin-Antitoxin Biology. *Mol. Cell* **2018**, *70* (5), 768–784.

(86) Gray, M. J.; Wholey, W.-Y.; Jakob, U. Bacterial Responses to Reactive Chlorine Species. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **2013**, *67*, 141–160.

(87) Dias, M. F.; da Rocha Fernandes, G.; de Paiva, M. C.; de Matos Salim, A. C.; Salim, A.; Santos, A. B.; Nascimento, A. M. A. Exploring the Resistome, Virulome and Microbiome of Drinking Water in Environmental and Clinical Settings. *Water Res.* **2020**, *174*, No. 115630.

(88) Gu, Q.; Sun, M.; Lin, T.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, X.; Wu, S.; Zhang, S.; Pang, R.; Wang, J.; Ding, Y.; Liu, Z.; Chen, L.; Chen, W.; Lin, X.; Zhang, J.; Chen, M.; Xue, L.; Wu, Q. Characteristics of Antibiotic Resistance Genes and Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria in Full-Scale Drinking Water Treatment System Using Metagenomics and Culturing. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *12*, No. 798442.

(89) Shi, P.; Jia, S.; Zhang, X.-X.; Zhang, T.; Cheng, S.; Li, A. Metagenomic Insights into Chlorination Effects on Microbial Antibiotic Resistance in Drinking Water. *Water Res.* **2013**, 47 (1), 111–120.

(90) Jia, S.; Shi, P.; Hu, Q.; Li, B.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, X.-X. Bacterial Community Shift Drives Antibiotic Resistance Promotion during Drinking Water Chlorination. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (20), 12271–12279.

(91) Chao, Y.; Ma, L.; Yang, Y.; Ju, F.; Zhang, X.-X.; Wu, W.-M.; Zhang, T. Metagenomic Analysis Reveals Significant Changes of Microbial Compositions and Protective Functions during Drinking Water Treatment. *Sci Rep* **2013**, *3* (1), 3550.

(92) Zhao, Q.; He, H.; Gao, K.; Li, T.; Dong, B. Fate, Mobility, and Pathogenicity of Drinking Water Treatment Plant Resistomes Deciphered by Metagenomic Assembly and Network Analyses. *Science of The Total Environment* **2022**, *804*, No. 150095.

(93) McDonald, D.; Jiang, Y.; Balaban, M.; Cantrell, K.; Zhu, Q.; Gonzalez, A.; Morton, J. T.; Nicolaou, G.; Parks, D. H.; Karst, S. M.; Albertsen, M.; Hugenholtz, P.; DeSantis, T.; Song, S. J.; Bartko, A.; Havulinna, A. S.; Jousilahti, P.; Cheng, S.; Inouye, M.; Niiranen, T.; Jain, M.; Salomaa, V.; Lahti, L.; Mirarab, S.; Knight, R. Greengenes2 Unifies Microbial Data in a Single Reference Tree. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2023**, *42*, 715–718.

(94) Hedlund, B. P.; Chuvochina, M.; Hugenholtz, P.; Konstantinidis, K. T.; Murray, A. E.; Palmer, M.; Parks, D. H.; Probst, A. J.; Reysenbach, A.-L.; Rodriguez-R, L. M.; Rossello-Mora, R.; Sutcliffe, I. C.; Venter, S. N.; Whitman, W. B. SeqCode: A Nomenclatural Code for Prokaryotes Described from Sequence Data. *Nat Microbiol* **2022**, 7 (10), 1702–1708.