

- (2) It is also possible that one or more of the many harmless bacteria encountered in the udder may under certain conditions assume a mildly pathogenic nature and produce inflammation when the necessary predisposing factors are present. Confirmation of this theory can only be supplied by isolation of such an organism and proving its pathogenicity by transmission experiments.
- (3) Although it has not yet been proved experimentally one cannot disregard the possibility of the initial damage to the affected portion of the gland having been inflicted by a primary and more potent pathogenic agent. In this connection the view expressed by some workers that a virus may be responsible is entitled to a certain amount of respect.

If secretory disturbance is produced by infection with a specific organism, it is evident that this will weaken or destroy the natural bacteriostatic powers of the quarter. The efficacy of the inhibitory mechanism is then impaired, and the udder bacteria are enabled to multiply with greater freedom. The damage done to the udder in this manner is frequently of a permanent nature and is manifested not only in an increase in bacteria and cells but also in chloride and chloride-lactose index, and a decrease in lactose and solids not fat.

All the evidence produced supports the view that the common udder micrococci in themselves do not produce secretory disturbance in the first instance. They appear to be of the nature of secondary invaders after the resistance of the udder has been "softened up" by the primary factor. Nevertheless there still remains the possibility of these organisms playing a contributory rôle after the acute attack of mastitis in so far as their invasion of and unrestricted multiplication in the affected quarter may to a large extent be responsible for preventing complete recovery of the gland.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.

An investigation was undertaken with the object of ascertaining to what extent quarters which have always been free from infection with known pathogenic bacteria secrete abnormal milk, and to determine the rôle played by the various aetiological factors in the production of such milk.

The data forming the basis of the investigation were derived from the results obtained by regular analysis of milk samples from the individual quarters of ten grade Friesland cows over a period of four and a half years. Two cows completed three lactations, one completed three and a half lactations and the remaining seven each completed four lactations during the period under review. The cows, which were recruited as pregnant heifers before their first calving, remained free from tuberculosis and contagious abortion, and bacteriological examination of the milk at four-weekly intervals, or more frequently when circumstances warranted it, consistently failed to detect mastitis streptococci or other pathogenic micro-organisms in the quarters. Infection of the udder could, therefore, not be incriminated in those cases in which the milk was abnormal.

The following six factors were used as criteria, namely, solids-not-fat, fat, chloride, lactose, chloride-lactose index and cell content. Tests for solids-not-fat and fat were conducted at weekly intervals during the first two years and fortnightly subsequently. The other four factors were determined from afternoon samples of milk at four-weekly intervals.

The mean obtained for each of the six factors conformed in every case with the standard prescribed for normal milk. Nevertheless a large proportion of the individual samples at various times yielded results which failed to reach the required standards. The proportion of the total which thus yielded abnormal results to the different criteria was:—

Solids-not-fat ... ..	41·3 per cent.
Chloride-lactose index ... ..	39·5 per cent.
Chloride ... ..	28·3 per cent.
Lactose ... ..	28·1 per cent.
Fat ... ..	3·0 per cent.

Every one of the forty quarters at some time or other secreted milk which was of abnormal composition, and all twelve quarters of three cows yielded milk which was abnormal in the majority of the tests.

The results obtained furnish striking evidence of the unreliability of all the recognised tests for streptococcus mastitis, which are based on detecting changes in the composition of the milk.

*Aetiological Factors.*

(i) *Age.*—All quarters excepting those that showed evidence of secretory disturbance revealed a slight increase—which was statistically insignificant—in solids-not-fat content in the second lactation. After that the quality of the milk declined, the difference in solids-not-fat content between the second and third lactations being significant.

Fat percentage decreased consistently after the first lactation.

Most of the cases of secretory disturbance were encountered during the second lactation. This was responsible for a marked increase in the mean chloride percentage from 0·1123 in the first to 0·1378 in the second lactation. The third and fourth lactations revealed a slight drop to 0·1367 and 0·1354 respectively. The mean chloride content of the milk in the first lactation was significantly lower than that of the other three periods.

There was a significant decline in the lactose content in the second and third lactations, followed by an insignificant rise in the fourth. This was accompanied by a rise in the chloride-lactose index in the first three lactations and an insignificant drop in the fourth.

The cellular content of the milk showed a considerable increase in every lactation.

There was a progressive deterioration in the quality of the milk of most quarters during successive lactations, and several quarters which commenced their lactation life by secreting normal milk in the first period subsequently became abnormal. This gradual degeneration of the quarters is also revealed in the increasing proportion of samples which gave abnormal reactions in successive lactations. This is illustrated by the following percentages of abnormal results obtained in the first and fourth lactations:—

	Solids not Fat.	Fat.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells.
1st Lactation.....	33·8	2·0	12·1	19·1	16·6	10·2
4th Lactation.....	51·7	9·0	31·9	32·0	42·9	42 4

The cause of this deterioration is attributed to normal "wear and tear" in the udder, and to a certain extent this is unavoidable. The process may, however, be accelerated by bad management, for instance by prolonged lactation, insufficiently long dry periods, incomplete milking, slow milking, udder injuries and infection with non-pathogenic as well as with pathogenic bacteria. The unnatural strain placed on the udder of the modern dairy cow by requiring it to remain in an almost constant state of high functional activity is also considered to predispose to rapid deterioration of the udder tissues.

(ii) *Season*.—A fairly even distribution of the calving dates throughout the year eliminated the marked influence which stage of lactation would have exerted on season if the calvings were confined to a certain period of the year.

Contrary to the results obtained by European and American workers, it was found that the quality of the milk secreted by the animals in this investigation was at its lowest level during the winter months (June to August), when the mean solids-not-fat percentage failed to attain the legal limit of 8.50. Fat and lactose showed a similar drop, and chloride and chloride-lactose index increased correspondingly to reach their highest level in June. The advent of spring and early summer was characterized by a marked increase in the quality of the milk which reached its peak during the quarter October to December. Solids-not-fat and lactose were then at their highest level, and chloride and chloride-lactose index at their lowest.

The largest number of abnormal samples were obtained in winter. This is illustrated by the following percentages of abnormal results for the months of June and December.

	Solids not Fat.	Fat.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride- lactose Index.	Cells.
June.....	50.0	2.3	48.2	45.6	62.1	27.0
December.....	32.0	10.0	10.4	14.8	11.1	22.1

No correlation between high environmental temperature and poor quality milk could be established. On the contrary the best milk was secreted during some of the hottest months of the year and the poorest in mid-winter.

It is suspected that malnutrition is mainly responsible for the poor quality of the milk in winter, and the suggestion is made that the average South African dairy cow has to exist on a subnormal plane of nutrition during the greater part of the year.

(iii) *Stage of Lactation*.—Solids-not-fat declined rapidly from 8.69 per cent. in the first month of lactation to 8.40 per cent. in the third month. It fluctuated round this low level for three months and then increased consistently to reach its highest point (8.71 per cent.) in the final month. The mean solids-not-fat percentage for the first and last months was significantly higher than that for the second to seventh months. The mean percentage was below the legal limit from the 88th to the 172nd day after parturition.

Fat also reached its lowest percentage in the same period though it never fell below the legal standard.

The largest percentage (58.3) of samples that were deficient in solids-not-fat occurred during the third month and the lowest (25.8) in the final month of lactation.

The mean chloride content of the milk increased consistently with advancing lactation from 0.118 per cent. in the first to 0.153 per cent. in the tenth month. Lactose showed a corresponding decrease from 4.87 per cent. to 4.58 per cent. Chloride-lactose index increased from 2.52 to 3.26 during the same period, and cells from 657,000 to 1,524,000 per ml.

The inverse relationship between solids-not-fat and chloride was not maintained during the second half of the lactation period, since chloride content of the milk increased simultaneously with the increase in solids-not-fat while lactose dropped. This is attributed to the fact that the synthetic cells of the gland gradually decline in activity, particularly in the pregnant animal, and the alveolar epithelium becomes more permeable. This permits unchanged blood constituents like serum albumin, serum globulin and salts to pass into the milk unchanged. These constituents maintain solids-not-fat at a high level despite the deficiency in lactose towards the end of lactation.

The practical significance of the effect of lactation stage on milk composition lies in the fact that breeding programmes should be so planned that calving dates are more or less evenly distributed throughout the year, so that at any period the cows in the herd represent all stages of lactation. Particularly should calving of a large proportion of the herd in late summer and autumn be avoided, because in such cases the unfavourable effects of stage of lactation and season will coincide and produce marked depression in the quality of the winter milk.

(iv) *Individuality*.—Three of the ten cows (7905, 7909 and 7921) persistently secreted milk which was abnormal in all respects excepting fat, and cells in the case of 7905. The low cell content of all four quarters of this cow eliminates infection and abnormal bacterial activity as causal factors in her case, and it is concluded that an inherent weakness of the cow herself or of the udder was responsible for her poor quality milk. In the other two cows secretory disturbance and unknown pathogens as well as hereditary weakness were probably jointly concerned.

High milk quality is not always associated with high milk yield, and the final criterion in assessing the value of a cow for milk production and breeding should be the amount of fat and fat-free solids produced rather than the volume of milk.

(v) *Quarter Differences*.—In both composition and yield the milk produced by the two forequarters is very similar, as also is that secreted by the two hindquarters. The two rear quarters, however, secrete milk of a higher quality than the two forequarters, and the milk obtained from the anterior half of the udder gave a higher percentage of abnormal reactions to the various tests than that of the posterior half.

The mean chloride-lactose index for both forequarters was above the standard prescribed for normal milk.

The poorest quality milk was secreted by the left forequarter and the best by the left hind. There was no difference in the quality of the milk from the right and left halves of the udder.

(vi) *Conformation and Structure.*—Conformation of the udder was judged by means of a score card on which points were awarded for symmetry, evenness, teats, skin, yieldability, softness, free space and collapsibility.

A description based on visual and physical examination is given of each udder.

The udders which showed the most pronounced inter-quarter differences in the composition of milk are those characterized by marked asymmetry. The poor quality of the milk secreted by underdeveloped quarters of certain udders is attributed to anatomical defects of such quarters. It is suggested that this may be due to a deficiency of glandular tissue and consequent inability of such quarters to synthesize sufficient fat, lactose and casein for the volume of milk produced. On the other hand there may be a reduction in the storage capacity and elasticity of such quarters whereby intramammary pressure is raised at an unduly fast rate between milkings. From this the further deduction is made that the secretion of inferior quality milk by udders that are well shaped but show evidence of excessive fibrous tissue is due to inability of the gland to expand properly in order to accommodate the volume of milk secreted without unduly raising intramammary pressure. It is suggested that the persistent secretion of poor milk by such quarters is due to defective histological structure which is probably of an hereditary nature.

(vii) *Non-specific mastitis.*—This condition in which there was definite evidence of acute inflammation but not pathogenic micro-organisms, was observed five times in two cows (7912 and 7913). Although clinically the affected quarters all appeared to have recovered completely after the attacks, examinations of their milk revealed that only one of the quarters was restored to full normal functioning. In two quarters the deterioration was so marked that the milk secreted subsequently was significantly lower in quality than that yielded by the other quarters of the same cows.

All five cases of non-specific mastitis occurred in the second half of the first lactation and in the first half of the second lactation. This and the number of secretory disturbances which were observed at the same time suggest that the udder undergoes its maximum development at that period, and is, therefore, more susceptible to adverse influences at this stage than at any other.

The cause of the non-specific mastitis was not determined. The possibility of a virus being concerned cannot be disregarded, nor can the likelihood of one or more of the "normal" udder bacteria becoming pathogenic be ignored.

In two of the five cases the disease was heralded by an increase in the cell content of the milk a month or two before other symptoms appeared. This indicates that the causal factor was probably active in the quarters for some time before the natural resistance of the udder was overcome.

(viii) *Micro-organisms.*—The bacterial counts for all quarters were uniformly low during the first lactation, but when once micro-organisms were established in the udder they increased progressively with successive lactations. An abnormal increase in both bacteria and cells was observed in those quarters that were affected with non-specific mastitis or showed evidence of secretory disturbance.

High bacterial count was frequently, though not invariably, found to be accompanied by a high cellular content of the milk.

## SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS.

Poor quality milk was not necessarily characterized by high bacterial and cell content. Where the abnormalities in the milk were found to be due to hereditary factors, bad conformation of the udder and seasonal effects, the bacterial and cell counts were frequently very low.

Micro-organisms may be concerned in the production of non-specific mastitis, for instance by (a) an abnormally big increase in the number of "normal" udder bacteria; (b) one or more of these "normal" bacteria becoming pathogenic; (c) or after the initial resistance of the udder has been broken down by a more potent agent they may assume the rôle of secondary invaders and provide a constant source of mild irritation which is responsible for the permanent deterioration in the quality of the milk produced by the affected quarters.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The author is greatly indebted to the Director of Veterinary Services, Dr. P. J. du Toit, for granting all the facilities necessary to conduct these investigations, to Dr. E. M. Robinson, senior officer in charge of mastitis research for permission to make use of the data required for this work and for the bacteriological tests performed by him and members of his staff, and to Dr. J. W. Groenewald for many helpful suggestions in connection with the biological analyses.

Appreciation is expressed to Mr. D. van der Reyden for his advice on the statistical treatment of the data, and to Mr. V. de Kock who undertook the arduous task of making the necessary calculations and recording the tests for the milk solids.

The writer is very grateful to Dr. F. N. Bonsma and Dr. B. S. Parkin for reading the manuscript and for their helpful criticism.

Special thanks are due to Mr. H. C. Luitingh, a student of the Agricultural Faculty, Pretoria University for his neat execution of the various curves and graphs, and to Mr. T. Meyer for the photographs.

The extent of my indebtedness would not be fully revealed without a reference to the great help received from my late wife in the analysis of the data and the compilation of the tables and appendix. Despite failing health and much suffering her interest was maintained throughout. In grateful recognition of the constant inspiration and encouragement received from her in all my endeavours during the past 18 years this work is dedicated to her memory.

### REFERENCES.

- ANON (1940). Low quality milk. *Dairy Industries*, Vol. No. 5, p. 122.
- ASSOCIATION OF OFFICIAL AGRIC. CHEMISTS (1934). Standard Methods of Milk Analysis 6th Edition. *American Public Health Assn.* Washington, D.C.
- BABCOCK, S. M. (1889). Variations in the yield and quality of milk. *Sixth Ann. Rep. Wis. Agr. Exp. Sta.*
- BARTLETT, S. (1935). Influence of high environmental temperature on secretion and composition of milk. *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 283-288.
- BEACH, C. L. AND CLARK, A. B. (1904). Yield of the front and rear udder of the cow. *Conn. (Storrs) Agr. Exp. Sta. Rept.*, p. 131.
- BENDIXEN, H. C., ET AL (1937). The milk problem. *League of Nations Bull. of Hlth. Organisation*, Vol. 6, pp. 371-504.

- BLACK, A., AND VORIS, L. (1934). A statistical study of the relationship between the constituents of milk. *Jnl. Agr. Res.*, Vol. 48, pp. 1025-1032.
- BLACKWOOD, J. H., AND STIRLING, J. D. (1932). The absorption of milk precursors by the mammary gland. *Biochem. Jnl.*, Vol. 26, pp. 1127-1137.
- BONSMA, F. N. (1943). The influence of environmental factors on the age correction factors for milk yield of Friesland cows in South Africa. *Dept. of Agr. and For. Agr. Res. Inst. Series No. 2, Bull. No. 242*, pp. 18.
- BREED, R. S. (1929). The sanitary significance of leucocytes in milk. *N.Y. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bull.*, No. 568, pp. 14.
- CAULFIELD, W. J., AND RIDDELL, W. H. (1935). The chloride content of cow's milk. *Corn. Vet.*, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 333-343.
- COOLEGE (1918). *Mich. Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech Bull.*, No. 41 (cited by Thomas).
- COPELAND, L., AND OLSON, F. M. (1926). The bacterial flora of normal cow's udders. *S. Dak. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul.*, No. 218, pp. 19.
- COOKE, W. W. (1892). Variations in quality and quantity of milk. *Vermont Agr. Expt. St.*, 6th Annual Rept., pp. 90-126.
- CRANFIELD, H. T., GRIFFITHS, D. G., AND LING, E. R. (1927). Composition of milk. Pt. 1. Variations in solids not fat and protein content of cow's milk and their relationship. *Jnl. Agr. Sc.*, Vol. 17, pp. 62-71.
- DAVEL, H. B. (1929). Dairy research in the Union. *Pan African Agr. and Vet. Conf.*, Pretoria, Agric. Section, pp. 317-326.
- DAVIES, W. L. (1936). The chemistry of milk. Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London.
- DAVIES, W. L. (1937). The chemical composition of milk low in solids not fat. *11th World Dairy Congress, Berlin*, Vol. 2, p. 10.
- DAVIES, W. L. (1938). The chloride content of milk. *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 327-335.
- ECKL, K. (1937). Das Milchzellbild des Nordbohmischen hohenfleckviehes und seine bedeutung für die qualitätsbeurteilung der milch. *Milchwertschaf Welt Kongres*, Vol. 1, No. 2, p. 277.
- ECKLES, C. H. (1939). Dairy cattle and milk production. The Macmillan Company, New York.
- EMMERSON, M. A. (1940). Studies of the macroscopic anatomy of the bovine udder and teat. *Vet. Extn. Quat. Univ. of Penn.*, Vol. 41, No. 80, p. 13.
- ESPE DWIGHT, L. (1938). Secretion of milk. Collegiate Press Inc., Ames, Iowa.
- FILIPOVIC, S. (1932). Das verhältnis der ausgescheidenen milchmengen aus den vier kuheuterviervierteln. *Milch Forsch.*, Vol. 13, p. 578.
- FOLLEY, S. J. (1940). Lactation. *Biol. Rev.*, Vol. 15, pp. 421-458.
- FOLLEY, S. J. (1944). Recent advances in lactational endocrinology as applied to farm animals. *Vet. Rec.*, Vol. 56, Mo. 2, pp. 9-10.
- FOOT, A. S., AND SHATTOCK, P. M. F. (1938). The incidence of mastitis in cows yielding milk low in solids not fat. *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 9, pp. 166-173.
- GAINES, W. L. AND SANMAN (1927). *Am. Jnl. of Phys.*, Vol. 80, p. 691.
- GOLDINI, E. (1914). The quantity and quality of milk secreted from the four quarters of the udder. *Atti. Soc. Nat. e. Mat. Modena*, Vol. 5, p. 61.
- GOWEN, J. W., AND TOBEY, E. R. (1927). Udder size in relation to milk secretion. *Jnl. Gen. Phys.*, Vol. 10, pp. 949-960.
- GOWEN, J. W. AND TOBEY, E. R. (1928). The significance of the chemical composition of the secreting and dry mammary gland to milk secretion. *Jnl. Gen. Phys.*, Vol. 12, pp. 123-128.

SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS.

- GROENEWALD, J. W. (1935). The influence of rations low in certain minerals on the composition of the blood and milk of cows, and on the blood of their progeny. *Onderstepoort J.*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 93-165.
- HAMMOND, J. (1927). The physiology of reproduction in the cow. Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- HAMMOND, J. (1936). The physiology of milk and butter fat secretion. *Vet. Rec.*, Vol. 16, No. 17, pp. 519-535.
- HARDY, E. C. (1929). Dairy Legislation. *Pan African Agric. and Vet. Conf., Agric. Section, Union Dept. of Agr.*, pp. 329-335.
- HASTINGS, E. G., AND BEACH, B. A. (1937). The production of milk of abnormal composition by animals free from udder streptococci. *Jnl. Agr. Res.*, Vol. 54, No. 3, pp. 199-220.
- HASTINGS, E. G., AND BEACH, B. A. (1939). A further study of the production of milk of abnormal composition by cows free from udder streptococci. *Jnl. Agr. Res.*, Vol. 58, No. 7, pp. 543-556.
- HASTINGS, E. G., AND PETERSON, E. H. (1940). The deterioration of the bovine udder in the absence of streptococci. *Jnl. Agr. Res.*, Vol. 60, No. 3, pp. 145-156.
- HAYES, W. (1926). The effect of environmental temperature on the percentage of fat in cow's milk. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 9, pp. 219-235.
- HERMAN, H. A. (1938). Variations in the composition of milk and the effect on solids not fat. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 21, p. 146.
- HILLS, J. L. (1892). The effect of weather upon the quality and quantity of milk. *Vermont Agr. Expt. Sta. 6th Annual Rept.*, pp. 128-135.
- HOUSTON AND HALE (1932). *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 4, p. 294 (cited by Davies).
- INGLE, H. (1902). Variations in the composition of cows' milk. *Trans, Highl. and Agr. Soc.*, Scotland, Vol. 5, p. 135.
- JACOBSEN, D. H., AND WALTERS, G. C. (1939). Factors affecting the composition of milk. *S. Dak. Sta. Bul.*, No. 331, pp. 28.
- JORGENSEN, H. C. O., AND OSTERGAARD, P. S. (1939). Examination of lactose content in normal milk. *Abstract in Nutr. Alsts. and Rev.*, 1940, Vol. 9, No. 3, p. 550.
- KAY, H. D. (1937). The biochemistry of milk secretion. *Jnl. Roy. Soc. of Arts.*, Vol. 86, pp. 841-857.
- KOESTLER (1922). *Landw. Jahb. Schweiz.*, Vol. 36, p. 133 (cited by Davies).
- LEATHER, J. W., AND DOBBS, A. C. (1914). The yield and composition of the Montgomery herd at Pusa, and errors in milk tests. *Mens. Dept. Agr. India Chem. Services*, Vol. 3, p. 147.
- LENFERS, P. (1907). Zur histologie der milchdruse des rindes. *Zeits. Fleisch. u. Milchhyg.*, Vol. 17, pp. 340, 383 and 424.
- LESSER, J. (1932). Milk deficient in solids not fat. *Jnl. of Min. of Agr.*, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 340-345.
- LITTLE, R. B. (1938). Bovine mastitis 111. A comparison of the bacteriological and physiological reactions of normal and mastitis milk from young cows. *Corn. Vet.*, Vol. 28, pp. 23-33.
- LITTLE, R. B. (1939). The effect of advanced lactation in the cow on the physical and chemical composition of the milk. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 22, No. 9, pp. 689-695.
- MACKINTOSH, J. (1925). *Trans. Highl. and Agr. Soc.*, Scotland, Vol. 36, p. 126 (cited by White and Drakely).
- MACKINTOSH, J. (1933). *Berks. Farmers Yearbook* (cited by Davies).
- MATHIEU AND FERRÉ (1914). *Ann. Falsif.*, Vol. 7, p. 12 (cited by Davies).



- MATTHEWS, C. A., SWETT, W. W., AND GRAVES, R. R. (1941). Milk yields and milking rates of the individual quarters of the dairy cow udder. *U.S. Dept. of Agr. Tech. Bul.*, No. 827, pp. 32.
- MATTICK, E. C. V., AND HALLETT, H. S. (1929). Studies in lactation. 1. Variations in some chemical and physical properties of the milk from individual quarters of a cow. *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 1, p. 35.
- MESSNER, E. (1937). *An. Fac. Vet.*, Vol. 3, pp. 11-40 (Abst. in *Vet. Bull.*, Vol. 11, No. 10).
- MUNCH PETERSEN, E. (1938). Bovine mastitis. Survey of the literature till the end of 1935. Imperial Bureau An Hlth., Weybridge.
- MUNCH PETERSEN, E., MURNANE, D., AND BULL, L. B., (1940). Studies on bovine mastitis. *Austr. Council for Industr. and Scientific Res. Bul.*, No. 134, pp. 107.
- NOTTBOHM, F. E. (1928). Vorwiegendes auftreten von sekretionsstorung. en in linken schenkelviertel. *Ziets. Fleisch. u. Milchhyg.*, Vol. 38, p. 118.
- OVERMAN, O. R., AND WRIGHT, K. E. (1927). The effect of inanition upon the yield and composition of cows' milk. *Jnl. Agr. Res.*, Vol. 35, pp. 637-644.
- PESKETT, G. L., AND FOLLEY, S. J. (1933). *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 4, p. 279.
- PETERSEN, W. E. (1942). Theory and art of milking. *Hoards Dairyman*, Vol. 87, No. 23, pp. 642-651.
- PETERSEN, W. E., AND RIGOR, T. V. (1932). Relation of pressure to rate and quality of milk secreted. *Proc. Soc. Expt. Biol. and Med.*, Vol. 30, pp. 254-256.
- PETERSON, E. H., HASTINGS, E. G., AND HADLEY, F. B. (1938). The pathology of non-specific mastitis and consideration of possible aetiological agents. *Corn. Vet.*, Vol. 28, pp. 307-324.
- PLUMB, C. S. (1896). The udder of the cow. *Ind. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul.*, No. 62.
- PRESCOTT, S. C. AND BREED, R. S. (1910). The determination of the number of body cells in milk by a direct method. *Jnl. Inf. Dis.*, Vol. 7, pp. 632-640.
- PROKS, J. (1928). De Lindividualite des glandes mammaires chez la vache. *Le Lait*, Vol. 8, p. 553.
- QUIN, J. (1937). The physiology of the udder. *Jnl. S. Afr. Vet. Med. Ass.*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 10-13.
- QUIN, J. (1943). Personal communication.
- RAGSDALE, A. C., AND BRODY, D. (1922). The effect of temperature on the percentage of fat in milk. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 5, pp. 212-215.
- RAGSDALE, A. C., TURNER, C. W., AND BRODY, S. (1924). The rate of milk secretion as affected by an accumulation of milk in the mammary gland. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 7, pp. 249-254.
- RALSTON, N. P., TURNER, C. W., AND RAGSDALE, A. C. (1939). Studies on milk and fat production of dairy cows and goats as influenced by thyroxine. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 22, No. 6, pp. 441-442.
- RAST, W. (1854). *Schweiz, Archiv. Tierhkl.*, Vol. 13, p. 289 (cited by Munch Petersen).
- REIMERS, J. H. W. T. (1943). The better dairy cow. *Fmg. in S. Africa*, Vol. 18, No. 204, pp. 237-238.
- RICHMOND, H. D. (1920). Dairy Chemistry. C. Griffin and Co., London.
- RIDDET, W., CAMPBELL, I. L., McDOWALL, F. H. AND COX, G. A. (1941). The relation of plane of nutrition to milk production and milk composition in New Zealand. I and II. Effect of subnormal feeding. *N.Z. Jnl. Sc. and Tech.*, Vol. 23, pp. 80A-112A.
- ROUX, L. L., MURRAY, G. N. AND SCHUTTE, D. J. (1935). The effect of type of feed on the solids not fat content of milk. *Onderstepoort Jnl.*, Vol. 4, pp. 167-197.

SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS.

- ROWLAND, S. J. (1938). The protein distribution in normal and abnormal milk. *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 47-51.
- ROWLAND, S. J. AND ZEIN-EL-DINE, M. (1938). The casein number. A chemical method for the diagnosis of mastitis. *Jnl. Dairy Res.*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 174-181.
- SCHUTTE, D. J. (1929). Solids not fat content of cows' milk. *Fmg. in S. Africa.*, Vol. 4, pp. 181-183.
- SMIT, B. J. (1929). Variations in solids not fat. *Pan African Agr. and Vet. Conf., Agr. Section, Union Dept. of Agric.*, pp. 326-329.
- SUNDBERG (1931). *Zeits. Unters. Leberam.*, Vol. 62, p. 509 (cited by Davies).
- SVOBODA, G. (1905). Ueber gebrochenes melken unter anwendung der Hegolundschen melkmetods. *Chem. Zeit.*, Vol. 29, p. 468.
- SWANSON, E. W. AND TURNER, C. W. (1941). Evidence of the presence of smooth muscle elements surrounding the alveoli of the mammary gland. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 24, pp. 635-638.
- SWETT, W. W., MILLER, F. W., GRAVES, R. R., AND CREECH, G. T. (1932). Quality, size, capacity, gross anatomy and histology of cows' udders in relation to milk production. *Jnl. Agr. Res.*, Vol. 45, No. 10, pp. 577-607.
- THOMAS, S. B. (1941). The cellular content of milk. *Dairy Indust.*, Vol. 6, pp. 41-42 and 102-105.
- TOCHER, J. F. (1925). Variations in the composition of milk. H.M. Stationary Office.
- TRAMBICUS, J. (1938). Einfluss des jahreszeit auf die zusammensetzung der milch. *Milchwirtsch. Forsch.*, Vol. 19, pp. 353-361.
- TURNER, C. W. (1934). The functional individuality of the mammary glands of the udder of the dairy cow. *Miss. Agr. Expt. Res. Bull.*, No. 211, pp. 51.
- TURNER, C. W. (1935). Structure of the cow's udder. *Miss. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul.*, No. 344, pp. 16.
- TURNER, C. W. (1935). The secretion of milk and the milking process. *Miss. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul.*, No. 346, pp. 18.
- TURNER, C. W. (1936). Factors affecting the composition of milk. *Miss. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul.* No. 365.
- VANLANDINGHAM, A. H., WEAKLEY, C. E., JR., MOORE, E. N., AND HENDERSON, H. D. (1941). Mastitis. The relationship of the development of mastitis to changes in the chloride, lactose and casein number of milk. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 24, No. 5, pp. 383-398.
- VAN RENSBURG, S. W. J. (1937). The incidence and diagnosis of streptococcic mastitis. *Jnl. S. A. Vet. Med. Assn.*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 14-22.
- VAN RENSBURG, S. W. J. (1939). Bovine mastitis. *Fmg. in S. Africa.* Vol. 14, No. 161, pp. 334-338.
- VAN RENSBURG, S. W. J. (1941). The diagnosis of chronic streptococcus mastitis. *Onderstepoort Jnl.*, Vol. 16, Nos. 1 and 2, pp. 69-102.
- VAN SLYKE, L. L. (1912). Modern methods of testing milk and milk products. Orange Judd Company, New York.
- WAYNE, R., AND MACY, H. (1933). The effect of various methods for drying up cows on the bacterial and cell content of milk. *Jnl. Dairy Sc.*, Vol. 16, pp. 79-91.
- WEAVER, E., AND MATHEWS, C. A. (1928). The influence of temperatures and certain other factors upon the percentage of fat in milk. *Iowa State Coll. Res. Bul.*, No. 107, pp. 23.
- WHITE, M. K., AND DRAKELEY, T. J. (1927). The influence of the age of the cow on the yield and quality of the milk. *Jnl. Agr. Sc.*, Vol. 17, pp. 420-427.
- WILDE, W. (1938). Ueber den zellgehalt der milch gesunder tiere. *Inaug. Diss. Leipzig*, pp. 36.
- ZIEGLER, H. (1941). Zur baulichen eigenart der milchgange. *Schweiz, Archiv. Tierhkl.*, Vol. 83, pp. 47-52.

Detailed results of tests carried out on the individual  
COW 7904.

Days after Calving.	Right Fore.						Right Hind.								
	Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride-lactose Per Cent.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	
	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	
32.....	8.53	3.49	.106	5.14	2.07	47	10	8.50	3.57	.101	4.76	2.13	—	0	
60.....	8.54	3.39	.086	5.14	1.67	53	0	8.59	3.29	0.91	4.86	1.88	—	18	
88.....	8.76	3.46	.071	—	—	18	10	8.53	3.76	.086	4.67	1.84	24	30	
116.....	8.46	3.95	.101	5.05	2.01	47	240	8.97	4.15	.086	4.48	1.92	12	310	
144.....	8.37	3.78	.101	5.24	1.93	89	10	8.46	3.86	.096	4.86	1.98	65	20	
172.....	8.60	4.03	.091	4.76	1.92	142	0	8.67	4.28	.111	5.05	2.26	65	0	
200.....	8.79	3.93	.101	4.95	2.05	160	0	8.81	3.93	.106	4.76	2.23	124	0	
228.....	8.80	4.14	—	4.76	—	272	—	9.42	4.78	.122	4.83	2.52	166	0	
256.....	8.92	4.51	.122	4.76	2.55	65	20	9.47	3.85	.132	4.95	2.66	118	—	
284.....	8.82	4.57	.132	4.76	2.77	65	—	8.82	4.62	.106	4.95	2.15	77	—	
AVERAGE.....	8.63	3.84	.101	4.95	2.12	96	36	8.75	3.93	.104	4.82	2.16	74	49	
SECOND LACTATION.															
32.....	8.43	4.42	.132	4.95	2.66	24	400	8.43	4.23	.127	4.86	2.60	41	100	
60.....	8.60	3.88	.132	4.57	2.88	18	100	8.70	3.92	.071	4.86	1.46	41	100	
88.....	8.40	3.82	.157	4.95	3.17	243	0	8.41	3.65	.157	4.86	3.23	194	50	
116.....	8.51	3.83	.137	4.86	2.81	9	0	8.55	3.80	.137	4.86	2.81	41	30	
144.....	8.54	3.83	.122	4.57	2.66	284	60	8.60	3.93	.101	4.95	2.05	71	30	
172.....	8.60	3.79	.127	4.76	2.66	450	0	8.58	3.49	.116	4.95	2.35	195	30	
200.....	8.87	4.12	.106	4.95	2.15	840	50	8.82	3.87	.096	4.86	2.35	201	0	
228.....	8.62	3.74	.101	4.76	2.13	—	60	8.70	3.90	.101	4.76	2.13	—	40	
256.....	8.30	3.76	—	—	—	124	—	8.57	3.69	.157	4.95	3.17	—	—	
284.....	8.74	4.10	.137	4.19	3.26	—	0	8.68	3.83	.157	4.95	3.17	—	—	
AVERAGE.....	8.56	3.94	.128	4.73	2.71	249	74	8.60	3.84	.118	4.88	2.46	105	44	
THIRD LACTATION.															
32.....	8.56	3.82	.172	4.86	3.54	71	—	8.76	4.43	.106	4.76	2.23	112	—	
60.....	8.47	2.88	0.75	4.95	1.53	260	—	8.51	3.94	.081	4.76	1.70	189	—	
88.....	8.24	3.35	.116	4.57	2.55	959	—	8.35	3.44	.086	4.95	1.74	41	—	
116.....	8.63	3.44	.106	4.86	2.19	189	—	8.33	3.82	.127	4.95	—	71	—	
144.....	8.36	3.74	.142	4.57	3.10	77	—	8.32	4.18	.106	4.76	2.23	53	—	
172.....	7.99	2.46	.122	4.95	2.46	71	—	8.30	2.70	.071	4.95	1.43	41	—	
200.....	8.24	3.14	.147	4.29	4.42	7,505	—	8.55	3.69	.127	4.57	2.77	3,006	—	
228.....	8.52	3.82	.162	4.57	3.31	1,189	—	8.63	4.17	.137	4.95	2.76	7,734	—	
256.....	8.34	4.50	.162	4.10	3.95	1,456	—	8.42	3.92	.127	4.76	2.66	1,751	—	
284.....	8.26	4.48	.187	4.19	4.47	3,006	—	8.43	4.80	.182	4.10	4.45	645	—	
AVERAGE.....	8.38	3.43	.139	4.59	3.05	1,478	—	8.47	3.87	.115	4.73	2.44	1,364	—	

the individual quarters of each cow.

304.

Left Fore.							Left Hind.						
Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
8.48	3.38	.106	4.95	2.15	—	10	8.46	3.49	.106	4.86	2.19	—	30
8.45	3.20	.106	4.67	2.28	24	70	8.64	3.32	.091	4.57	2.77	12	30
8.42	4.47	.076	4.48	1.70	41	20	8.36	4.21	.106	4.57	2.33	18	20
8.31	4.20	.106	4.86	2.19	47	900	8.25	4.39	.101	4.95	2.05	6	—
8.48	3.64	.111	5.05	2.21	18	10	8.47	4.13	.091	5.05	1.81	12	10
8.64	4.17	.106	4.76	2.23	249	10	8.58	4.04	.101	4.76	2.13	160	0
8.74	3.88	.127	4.86	2.61	183	10	8.84	4.12	.111	4.76	2.34	284	0
8.81	4.11	.116	4.76	2.45	278	0	8.79	4.27	.111	4.76	2.34	160	10
8.86	4.37	.132	4.76	2.77	219	—	8.86	4.49	.132	4.67	2.82	237	310
8.77	4.50	.132	4.86	2.71	237	—	8.77	4.34	.127	4.57	2.77	284	—
8.57	3.92	.112	4.80	2.33	133	129	8.55	4.00	.108	4.75	2.28	230	51
8.41	4.36	.132	4.86	2.71	65	500	8.44	4.36	.122	4.86	2.50	9	500
8.57	3.87	.152	4.95	3.07	71	100	8.53	4.01	.127	4.57	2.77	12	25
8.37	3.82	.157	4.86	3.23	77	30	8.46	3.85	.142	4.95	2.86	47	75
8.53	3.72	.132	4.76	2.77	24	80	8.61	3.86	.147	4.76	3.09	18	10
8.58	3.89	.111	4.76	2.34	36	180	8.63	4.13	.106	5.14	2.07	124	300
8.61	3.69	.142	4.86	2.92	130	100	8.64	3.72	.122	4.95	2.46	83	20
8.70	3.66	.111	4.76	2.34	183	0	8.80	3.85	.096	4.95	1.94	63	100
8.66	3.66	.106	4.86	2.19	—	30	8.70	4.04	.101	4.95	2.05	—	60
8.59	3.95	—	—	—	—	—	8.50	3.56	—	—	—	—	—
8.76	4.11	.157	4.29	3.66	71	50	8.64	3.68	.142	4.76	2.98	160	0
8.58	3.88	.133	4.77	2.80	82	119	8.59	3.92	.123	4.88	2.52	57	120
8.58	3.84	.106	4.95	2.15	154	—	8.68	4.06	.122	4.76	2.55	219	—
8.62	3.24	.096	4.86	1.99	343	—	8.50	4.18	.096	4.95	1.94	793	—
8.25	3.35	.137	4.86	2.81	379	—	8.25	3.45	.096	4.76	2.02	166	—
8.36	3.56	.106	4.95	2.15	47	—	8.50	3.95	.091	4.95	1.84	108	—
8.23	3.71	.122	4.76	2.55	107	—	8.30	3.94	.122	4.48	2.71	1,071	—
8.31	3.12	.122	4.76	2.55	18	—	8.44	2.65	.101	5.05	2.01	59	—
8.42	3.57	.132	4.38	3.01	870	—	8.32	3.45	.142	4.29	3.31	675	—
8.59	3.85	.152	4.57	3.32	1,020	—	8.60	3.92	.127	4.67	2.71	1,092	—
8.40	3.87	.147	3.81	3.81	1,308	—	8.22	3.13	.132	4.67	2.82	888	—
8.39	4.43	.213	—	—	1,356	—	8.65	5.03	.167	—	—	1,465	—
8.42	3.59	.133	4.66	2.71	560	—	8.45	3.77	.120	4.73	2.43	654	—

SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS

COW 7905 (1).

Days after Calving.	Right Fore.						Right Hind.							
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
FIRST LACTATION.														
32.....	8.31	3.21	.127	4.86	2.61	24	20	8.29	3.69	.061	4.76	1.28	18	10
60.....	7.78	3.53	.076	4.67	1.63	12	10	7.87	3.65	.066	4.57	1.44	6	30
88.....	7.70	2.96	.127	5.24	2.42	12	940	7.69	3.50	.132	4.67	2.82	6	—
116.....	7.70	3.07	.137	4.76	2.87	12	20	7.86	3.53	.127	4.76	2.66	18	30
144.....	8.05	3.67	.116	—	—	83	0	8.14	3.54	.142	4.76	2.98	30	0
172.....	8.06	3.35	.182	4.29	4.25	—	10	8.25	3.82	.132	4.76	2.77	10	10
200.....	8.12	3.36	.147	4.57	3.21	136	0	8.44	3.70	.122	4.57	2.66	101	10
228.....	8.21	3.48	.137	4.48	3.05	154	0	8.49	4.20	.132	4.57	2.88	55	—
256.....	8.13	3.92	.157	4.38	3.58	53	—	8.32	3.99	.152	4.67	3.25	71	—
284.....	8.03	3.80	.162	—	—	213	—	8.23	3.84	.152	—	—	18	—
AVERAGE.....	8.00	3.40	.137	4.66	2.94	78	125	8.14	3.72	.122	4.69	2.53	36	15
SECOND LACTATION.														
32.....	8.13	2.88	.152	4.57	3.32	142	—	8.18	3.66	.157	4.57	3.44	83	—
60.....	8.05	3.38	.147	4.95	2.97	47	—	7.99	3.60	.137	4.95	2.76	65	—
88.....	7.83	3.57	.162	4.67	3.47	290	0	7.82	3.70	.172	4.76	3.62	521	0
116.....	7.75	4.06	.167	4.57	3.66	331	0	7.88	3.71	.167	4.48	3.73	219	50
144.....	7.77	3.56	.177	4.29	4.13	71	0	7.84	3.63	.203	4.19	4.83	148	0
172.....	7.97	3.93	.208	3.90	5.32	284	150	7.94	3.88	.208	3.81	5.45	373	75
200.....	7.95	3.84	.187	4.29	4.37	183	—	7.97	3.91	.213	3.70	5.75	491	50
228.....	8.20	3.79	.203	4.00	5.06	396	50	8.04	3.46	.192	3.81	5.05	479	0
256.....	8.31	3.88	.167	4.48	3.73	160	100	8.16	4.00	.157	4.19	3.75	254	20
284.....	8.51	4.22	.192	3.81	5.05	112	0	8.40	4.37	.108	4.10	2.62	189	30
AVERAGE.....	8.03	3.60	.176	4.35	4.11	202	43	8.00	3.68	1.71	4.26	4.10	282	28



Left Fore.						Left Hind.							
Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Per Cent.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
8.50	3.51	.111	4.76	2.34	36	10	8.12	3.80	.101	4.57	2.22	6	10
7.85	3.52	.086	4.76	1.81	3	0	7.88	3.67	.091	4.76	1.92	6	20
7.67	3.08	.147	4.76	3.09	30	40	7.62	3.55	.122	4.57	2.66	36	20
7.59	3.09	.116	4.29	2.72	30	0	7.93	3.43	.137	4.76	2.87	47	10
7.78	3.64	.147	4.95	2.97	136	0	8.12	3.51	.142	4.29	3.31	53	10
7.77	3.25	.172	4.19	4.11	—	0	8.22	3.76	—	—	—	—	—
7.93	3.40	.142	4.29	3.31	83	0	8.34	3.78	.127	4.57	2.68	53	20
8.13	4.05	.177	4.29	4.13	207	1,200	8.54	4.20	.152	4.76	3.19	142	1,320
8.04	3.96	.167	4.29	3.90	178	—	8.30	4.05	.162	4.57	3.55	36	—
7.82	3.81	.137	—	—	101	—	8.15	3.92	.147	—	—	296	—
7.92	3.49	.140	4.57	3.15	89	156	8.14	3.74	.131	4.61	2.80	75	371
7.95	3.39	.147	4.48	3.28	651	—	8.08	3.52	.167	4.67	3.58	41	—
7.89	3.44	.157	4.86	3.23	83	—	7.99	3.78	.162	4.76	3.40	47	—
7.95	3.49	.172	4.57	3.77	130	60	8.06	3.88	.152	4.57	3.32	83	—
7.85	4.02	.177	4.76	3.72	65	1,500	7.88	3.75	.162	4.76	3.40	47	50
7.81	3.55	.203	4.10	4.94	53	400	7.85	3.62	.187	4.00	4.68	100	300
8.05	4.09	.223	3.62	6.16	142	10	7.83	4.06	.208	4.09	5.08	325	10
7.95	3.87	.208	4.00	5.19	166	20	7.95	3.95	.192	4.09	4.70	260	100
8.05	3.61	.192	4.29	4.49	101	0	8.06	3.56	.182	4.18	4.36	195	0
8.18	4.03	.172	4.57	3.77	154	200	8.16	3.96	.152	4.86	3.13	260	0
8.50	4.32	.213	4.10	5.19	148	200	8.58	4.32	.192	4.00	4.81	107	50
8.00	3.69	.186	4.34	4.37	169	299	8.02	3.79	.176	4.40	4.05	147	73

SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS

COW 7905 (2).

Days after Calving.	Right Fore.						Right Hind.							
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat, Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat, Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
32.....	8.35	3.41	—	4.38	—	—	—	7.86	3.44	.132	4.48	2.94	—	—
60.....	7.97	3.49	.162	4.86	3.33	959	300	7.81	3.17	.162	4.76	3.40	456	500
88.....	7.76	3.11	.208	4.57	4.54	219	200	7.81	2.80	.203	4.38	4.62	363	500
116.....	8.02	3.27	.162	4.19	3.87	172	100	8.10	3.05	.172	4.38	3.93	159	300
144.....	8.01	3.20	.187	—	—	266	500	7.84	2.88	.187	—	—	1,136	200
172.....	7.88	3.84	—	—	—	515	—	7.80	3.49	—	—	—	544	—
200.....	7.96	3.70	.137	4.48	3.05	550	800	7.81	3.74	.172	4.57	3.77	142	500
228.....	7.85	3.30	.152	3.71	4.09	278	—	7.77	3.02	.147	4.99	3.50	166	—
284.....	7.95	3.22	.187	4.19	4.47	290	—	8.09	3.39	.213	4.00	5.31	420	—
AVERAGE.....	8.01	3.40	.171	4.33	3.91	379	380	7.89	3.20	.173	4.38	3.92	410	400
THIRD LACTATION.														
32.....	8.41	4.65	.167	4.48	3.73	—	—	8.40	4.30	.152	4.57	3.33	—	—
60.....	8.00	3.50	.172	4.67	3.68	296	20	8.07	4.12	.162	4.48	3.62	142	10
88.....	8.23	3.14	.167	4.48	3.73	870	—	8.20	2.81	.162	4.48	3.62	402	—
116.....	8.01	3.80	.172	4.18	4.11	1,172	—	8.33	3.18	.177	4.38	4.04	442	—
144.....	8.22	3.36	.182	4.19	4.34	337	—	8.38	3.66	.157	4.38	3.58	195	—
172.....	7.58	3.08	.167	3.91	4.27	1,308	—	7.44	3.35	—	—	—	—	—
AVERAGE.....	8.12	3.66	.172	4.32	3.98	797	20	8.17	3.60	.162	4.46	3.64	295	10
FOURTH LACTATION.														

195-196b



195-196a

S. W. J. VAN RENSBURG

5 (2).

Left Fore.						Left Hind.							
Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
8.04	3.41	.172	4.76	3.62	—	—	8.00	3.15	.197	—	—	—	—
7.83	3.98	.162	4.76	3.40	166	10	7.75	3.42	.147	4.67	3.14	254	0
7.84	3.11	.177	4.29	4.13	2,686	100	8.42	3.48	.157	4.19	3.75	53	80
8.10	3.37	.157	4.76	3.30	77	300	8.30	3.93	.142	4.38	3.24	53	10
8.01	3.72	.157	—	—	277	120	7.92	3.08	.172	—	—	136	50
7.93	4.13	.142	—	—	53	—	7.79	3.31	—	—	—	195	—
7.90	3.25	.142	4.76	2.98	101	—	8.00	3.54	.137	4.76	2.87	148	—
7.81	3.53	.142	4.38	3.24	163	—	8.08	3.45	.137	4.57	2.99	254	—
8.09	3.49	.192	4.48	4.30	332	—	8.49	3.82	.192	3.91	4.92	467	—
7.59	3.53	.164	4.58	3.60	443	155	8.01	3.31	.160	4.38	3.54	212	38
7.92	4.40	.162	4.19	3.87	—	—	8.48	4.18	.167	4.38	3.84	—	—
8.17	3.88	.192	4.38	4.38	1,462	100	7.85	3.29	.152	4.48	3.41	414	10
8.27	3.17	.172	4.29	4.01	1,337	—	8.28	3.36	.182	4.48	4.06	539	—
7.70	2.50	.147	4.29	3.43	290	—	8.04	2.97	.132	4.57	2.89	249	—
8.22	3.49	.182	4.10	4.44	243	—	8.42	3.31	.177	4.00	4.43	107	—
7.61	3.36	.147	4.38	3.36	1,124	10	7.72	3.29	.127	4.29	2.97	272	—
8.00	3.50	.167	4.17	3.91	891	55	8.15	3.41	.156	4.55	3.60	316	10

← 195-196a

195-196b



Days after Calving.	Right Fore.						Right Hind.							
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
32.....	8.17	3.24	.152	4.76	3.19	24	0	8.11	3.49	.127	4.67	2.71	—	90
60.....	7.98	2.95	.127	4.38	2.89	65	70	8.04	3.15	.137	4.57	2.99	125	40
88.....	7.83	3.00	.132	—	—	24	350	7.85	3.08	.137	4.48	3.05	178	1,000
116.....	7.92	3.40	.127	4.86	2.61	130	0	8.05	3.62	.142	4.95	2.86	302	370
144.....	8.19	3.31	.122	4.57	3.66	30	0	8.20	3.64	.132	4.10	3.21	254	0
172.....	8.20	3.39	.132	4.57	2.88	284	0	8.16	3.51	.127	4.67	2.71	95	80
200.....	8.27	3.83	.152	4.57	3.32	4,745	20	8.55	3.78	.142	4.76	2.98	219	130
228.....	8.45	4.01	.142	4.38	3.25	893	2,040	8.46	4.07	.152	4.38	3.47	432	1,640
256.....	8.31	4.11	.162	4.38	3.70	716	—	8.34	4.08	.157	4.38	3.58	195	—
284.....	8.27	4.09	.157	—	—	224	0	8.41	4.04	.147	—	—	189	10
AVERAGE.....	8.13	3.45	.141	4.56	3.06	714	276	8.17	3.61	.140	4.55	3.06	221	—

  

Days after Calving.	FIRST LACTATION.						SECOND LACTATION.							
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
32.....	8.11	3.12	.127	4.57	2.77	71	—	8.22	3.15	.167	4.57	3.66	219	—
60.....	8.04	3.12	.157	4.38	3.58	1,722	—	8.05	3.71	.147	4.57	3.21	314	—
88.....	7.92	3.28	.167	4.38	3.82	10,000	10,000	7.92	3.63	.152	4.29	3.54	632	600
116.....	7.98	3.21	.172	3.53	4.88	15,976	600	8.07	3.52	.172	4.38	3.93	757	1,500
144.....	7.81	3.45	.182	—	—	1,379	—	7.96	3.50	.167	—	—	314	—
172.....	7.83	3.46	.197	4.10	5.82	2,337	1,200	8.02	3.51	.187	4.48	4.18	284	1,600
200.....	7.99	3.60	.172	3.90	4.41	1,207	1,500	8.13	3.74	.167	4.00	4.18	538	750
228.....	8.09	3.63	.213	—	—	13,372	2,500	8.19	3.67	.172	4.76	3.62	811	800
256.....	8.30	3.49	.218	4.10	5.31	1,544	1,500	8.38	3.70	.182	4.57	3.99	828	180
284.....	8.60	3.62	.187	4.86	3.86	1,396	1,000	8.80	3.88	.182	4.95	3.68	538	400
AVERAGE.....	8.03	3.31	.179	4.23	4.31	4,010	2,614	8.13	3.56	.170	4.51	3.78	524	790

  

Days after Calving.	THIRD LACTATION.					
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).
32.....	8.16	3.78	.157	4.00	4.42	692
60.....	8.04	3.34	.177	4.57	3.88	1,260
88.....	8.15	3.09	.162	4.48	3.62	2,021
116.....	7.93	3.06	.177	4.00	4.43	1,207
144.....	7.94	3.37	.137	—	—	8,166
172.....	7.70	3.02	.157	4.48	3.50	2,290
200.....	7.74	3.28	.182	4.38	4.16	1,044
228.....	7.96	3.30	.147	4.29	3.42	3,858
256.....	8.00	4.06	.177	4.29	4.13	1,710
284.....	8.25	3.59	.182	4.48	4.07	—
AVERAGE.....	8.01	3.40	.166	4.33	4.01	2,472

Left Fore.						Left Hind.							
Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride.	Lactose.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
8.96	2.88	.132	4.38	3.01	—	120	8.15	3.72	.122	4.86	2.50	—	10
7.73	2.89	.111	4.38	2.54	18	10	8.09	2.90	.116	4.38	2.66	503	30
7.71	3.26	.116	4.76	2.45.	41	10	7.78	3.02	.137	4.76	2.87	990	30
7.87	3.48	.132	4.95	2.66	65	0	7.98	3.42	.127	4.76	2.66	852	10
8.20	3.44	.127	4.76	2.66	467	10	8.21	3.59	.132	4.29	3.07	195	0
8.37	3.50	.147	4.67	3.15	136	10	8.22	3.38	.137	4.48	3.05	1,302	0
8.50	3.85	.147	4.57	3.21	112	10	8.29	3.86	.147	4.57	3.21	880	0
8.32	4.15	.152	4.29	3.54	77	0	8.46	4.33	.161	4.57	3.52	1,166	5,800
8.25	3.84	.167	4.48	3.75	95	—	8.30	4.20	.161	4.38	3.68	2,249	—
		.167	—	—	89	0	8.44	3.90	.152	—	—	527	100
8.21	3.46	.140	4.58	2.99	122	19	8.17	3.57	.139	4.56	3.02	963	664
8.07	3.16	.162	4.58	3.54	1,970	—	8.32	3.37	—	—	—	1,065	—
8.04	3.18	.152	4.48	3.17	3,024	—	8.07	3.55	.147	4.57	3.21	2,414	—
7.90	3.28	.162	4.29	3.78	639	5,000	7.95	3.38	.157	4.29	3.66	1,284	3,000
7.93	3.41	.172	4.00	4.30	965	2,400	7.95	3.26	.152	4.10	3.71	987	600
7.91	3.33	.177	—	—	432	—	7.89	3.59	.157	—	—	538	—
7.90	3.43	.182	4.29	4.25	880	1,200	7.91	3.55	.177	4.29	4.13	550	200
8.01	3.89	.182	4.09	4.48	1,550	1,500	8.08	3.79	.192	4.09	4.70	1,361	750
8.06	3.48	.197	3.90	5.06	3,438	1,000	8.11	3.66	.203	4.29	4.72	1,249	500
8.27	3.53	.208	4.57	4.54	1,189	2,500	8.39	3.70	.187	4.29	4.37	947	160
8.72	3.64	.172	4.95	3.48	—	2,000	8.85	3.80	.182	4.15	3.55	—	600
8.04	3.36	.177	4.35	4.07	1,567	2,229	8.12	3.52	.173	4.38	4.01	1,155	830
8.16	3.71	.147	4.57	3.21	—	—	8.18	4.21	.162	4.57	3.31	—	—
7.85	3.20	.162	4.00	4.05	870	1,000	7.86	3.27	.147	4.38	3.35	1,201	200
8.01	3.02	.167	4.10	4.08	657	1,000	7.97	3.32	.162	4.57	3.31	1,379	100
7.97	3.22	.172	3.91	4.40	840	2,000	8.02	3.29	.172	4.10	4.20	538	500
7.88	3.47	.177	—	—	337	1,000	8.00	3.46	.142	—	—	1,604	200
7.81	3.56	.162	4.48	3.62	1,009	2,000	7.89	3.49	.152	4.29	3.54	314	800
7.78	3.68	.142	4.76	2.98	101	—	7.77	3.33	.137	4.76	2.87	148	—
7.94	3.07	.172	4.57	3.77	1,858	—	8.05	3.17	.137	4.48	3.05	1,343	—
8.17	3.32	.157	4.29	3.66	200	—	8.10	2.96	.182	4.38	4.16	1,308	—
8.26	3.58	.152	4.29	3.54	1,544	—	8.38	3.54	.177	4.76	3.72	1,077	—
7.99	3.38	.161	4.33	3.70	824	1,400	8.02	3.44	.157	4.48	3.50	990	360

SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS

COW 7910 (1):

Days after Calving.	Right Fore.						Right Hind.							
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
32.....	8.71	5.12	.101	—	—	—	40	8.96	5.34	.061	—	—	—	10
60.....	8.77	5.43	.101	4.57	2.22	—	—	8.81	5.14	.106	4.76	2.23	—	10
88.....	8.52	4.78	.157	5.14	3.05	—	10	8.86	4.87	.092	5.33	1.71	—	20
116.....	8.75	4.43	.096	5.24	1.84	450	0	8.94	4.38	.086	4.95	1.74	71	0
144.....	8.47	4.21	.096	4.86	1.98	59	20	8.42	4.60	.111	5.33	2.09	379	60
172.....	8.43	4.85	.111	5.05	2.21	148	10	8.40	4.94	.101	5.33	1.90	550	10
200.....	8.59	5.24	.106	4.86	2.19	491	10	8.71	4.82	.111	4.76	2.34	639	0
228.....	8.75	4.83	.127	4.86	2.61	219	0	8.81	4.91	.127	4.86	2.61	302	0
256.....	8.56	5.10	.127	4.57	2.77	402	0	8.83	5.36	.116	4.76	2.45	456	0
284.....	8.82	5.78	.161	4.29	3.75	367	0	8.99	5.53	.157	4.48	3.50	8,520	10
AVERAGE.....	8.64	4.95	.118	4.83	2.51	305	10	8.78	4.94	.107	4.95	2.29	1,559	12
SECOND LACTATION.														
32.....	8.95	4.74	.101	5.14	1.97	272	—	9.05	4.34	.127	5.24	2.42	195	—
60.....	9.33	4.33	.111	5.05	2.21	362	—	9.41	4.27	.122	5.24	2.32	615	—
88.....	9.02	4.69	.101	4.95	2.05	183	—	9.05	5.12	.106	4.95	2.15	219	—
116.....	8.54	3.99	.142	4.76	2.98	450	2,000	8.61	4.00	.132	4.57	2.82	142	—
144.....	8.62	4.35	.142	4.19	3.38	172	10,000	8.57	4.19	.142	4.76	3.10	450	1,000
172.....	8.61	5.13	.132	4.76	2.77	142	10,000	8.67	4.61	.142	4.76	2.98	314	2,400
200.....	8.41	4.73	.172	4.10	4.20	160	5,000	8.41	4.58	.152	4.48	3.39	752	5,000
228.....	8.52	5.16	.167	4.67	3.58	41	400	8.52	5.07	.152	4.76	3.19	59	3,600
256.....	8.27	5.03	—	—	—	—	—	8.32	5.02	—	—	—	—	—
284.....	8.50	5.26	—	—	—	—	—	8.55	5.17	—	—	—	—	—
AVERAGE.....	8.77	4.62	.134	4.70	2.89	223	4,350	8.81	4.54	.134	4.83	2.80	343	3,000



Left Fore.					Left Hind.								
Solids not Fat.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
8.92	5.15	.071	—	—	—	10	9.01	5.35	.081	5.71	1.43	—	30
8.74	5.00	.091	4.47	2.04	—	—	8.80	5.30	.086	4.57	1.88	—	—
8.33	5.08	.116	4.86	2.40	—	0	8.51	4.98	.116	4.86	2.40	—	20
8.54	4.27	.091	4.95	1.84	828	130	8.73	4.45	.101	4.86	2.08	213	110
8.40	4.40	.101	4.38	2.31	59	120	8.49	4.64	.106	5.71	1.86	36	120
8.48	4.78	.111	4.95	2.25	213	80	7.91	4.80	.096	5.71	1.69	47	130
8.67	5.11	.106	4.76	—	—	—	8.76	5.00	.116	4.76	2.45	—	0
8.73	4.88	.116	4.95	2.15	359	10	8.96	4.87	.122	4.95	2.46	290	0
8.90	4.81	.116	4.76	2.45	420	0	8.90	5.12	.122	4.95	2.46	450	0
9.07	5.75	.142	4.76	2.98	302	20	9.10	5.77	.152	4.86	3.13	160	0
8.67	4.89	.105	4.76	2.30	363	46	8.70	5.01	.110	5.09	2.18	199	46
9.03	4.64	.106	5.33	2.00	18	—	9.20	4.40	.101	5.52	1.83	30	—
9.44	4.16	.101	5.24	1.93	160	—	9.57	4.51	.091	5.33	1.71	107	—
9.16	4.80	.096	5.24	1.84	118	—	9.24	5.07	.081	5.24	1.55	30	—
8.82	4.11	.111	5.05	2.21	41	0	8.75	4.09	.101	5.05	2.01	30	—
8.80	4.54	.147	5.05	2.91	101	—	8.86	4.58	.122	5.52	2.20	83	—
8.75	5.29	.116	5.05	2.31	47	1,200	8.81	5.13	.116	4.76	2.45	36	500
8.68	5.17	.147	4.38	3.35	83	0	8.68	5.10	.132	4.86	2.71	112	100
8.74	5.89	.157	4.38	3.58	47	150	8.88	5.91	.137	4.76	2.87	101	1,600
8.45	5.35	—	—	—	—	—	8.57	5.54	—	—	—	—	—
8.75	5.99	—	—	—	—	—	8.73	5.65	—	—	—	—	—
8.92	4.81	.123	4.97	2.52	77	338	8.92	4.90	.110	5.13	2.17	66	733

## SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS

COW 7910 (2).

Days after Calving.	Right Fore.							Right Hind.						
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
THIRD LACTATION.														
32.....	8.95	4.05	.111	4.86	2.29	325	5,000	8.89	4.27	.091	4.95	1.84	1,563	2,000
60.....	8.58	3.78	.101	4.85	2.08	—	600	8.33	5.34	.091	4.95	1.84	—	500
88.....	8.32	5.05	.132	4.48	2.94	722	500	8.39	4.55	.122	5.05	2.41	1,320	200
116.....	8.83	4.32	.122	4.48	2.71	503	1,500	8.89	3.84	.132	4.76	2.77	610	2,000
144.....	8.62	3.76	.142	4.76	2.98	1,107	1,000	8.84	4.02	.106	5.14	2.07	1,716	1,000
172.....	8.67	4.24	.132	4.57	2.88	758	1,000	8.71	4.41	.111	4.48	2.49	1,083	800
200.....	8.69	4.41	.142	4.76	2.98	467	1,500	8.87	4.57	.111	4.95	2.25	453	1,000
228.....	8.45	4.90	.147	4.76	3.09	116	—	8.63	5.14	.142	4.95	2.86	450	—
256.....	9.70	5.73	.157	4.95	3.17	207	—	8.74	5.42	.116	4.95	2.35	1,196	—
284.....	8.40	4.47	.122	4.76	2.55	959	—	8.63	4.80	.122	4.86	2.40	117	—
AVERAGE.....	8.70	4.39	.131	4.72	2.77	579	1,586	8.69	4.58	.114	4.90	2.34	941	1,071
FOURTH LACTATION.														
32.....	8.72	3.78	.091	4.76	1.91	479	3,000	8.68	4.46	.101	5.14	1.97	793	3,000
60.....	8.60	3.17	.152	4.95	3.07	491	—	8.60	3.55	.127	5.14	2.47	580	—
88.....	8.15	3.21	.106	4.86	2.18	83	—	8.22	3.82	.116	4.95	2.36	172	—
116.....	8.16	4.35	.142	4.76	2.98	574	5,000	8.02	4.37	.116	4.95	2.36	1,124	1,000
144.....	8.65	4.63	.142	4.48	3.17	219	1,500	8.67	3.86	.147	4.38	3.36	438	1,000
172.....	8.58	3.09	.132	4.95	2.67	509	10,000	8.57	3.18	.147	5.14	2.86	379	3,000
200.....	8.16	3.31	.137	4.95	2.77	1,207	—	8.29	2.69	.137	4.86	2.82	610	—
228.....	8.54	4.56	.172	4.57	3.76	657	—	8.68	4.55	.157	4.86	3.23	899	—
256.....	7.73	3.17	.223	4.48	4.98	1,077	—	8.52	5.37	.051	4.76	1.07	846	1,000
284.....	8.36	4.19	.147	4.57	3.22	243	1,000	8.47	3.90	.096	4.86	1.97	1,828	—
AVERAGE.....	8.42	3.71	.144	4.73	3.07	554	4,100	8.48	3.94	.120	4.90	2.45	767	1,800



10 (2).

Left Fore.							Left Hind.						
Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
8.90	3.93	.086	4.95	1.74	47	30	9.07	4.46	.081	5.01	1.62	89	60
8.54	3.78	.081	4.95	1.64	—	20	8.36	5.68	.086	4.76	1.81	—	50
8.28	4.64	.116	4.76	2.45	36	0	8.35	4.67	.116	5.14	2.27	734	1,000
8.95	3.93	.106	5.05	2.11	278	100	8.83	4.09	.127	—	—	325	1,000
8.85	4.06	.111	5.14	2.17	77	70	8.76	4.13	.111	4.86	2.29	1,438	2,000
9.02	4.38	.142	4.29	3.31	166	10	8.89	4.50	.132	4.57	2.88	420	1,500
9.00	4.54	.122	4.76	2.55	59	30	8.73	4.66	.127	4.57	2.77	166	1,500
8.65	5.39	.152	4.95	3.07	373	—	8.58	5.26	.122	4.95	2.46	636	—
8.74	5.45	.122	4.86	2.50	645	—	8.66	5.07	.127	4.76	2.66	722	—
8.59	5.66	.127	4.76	2.66	225	—	8.71	5.24	.106	4.76	2.23	515	—
8.75	4.46	.117	4.85	2.32	212	37	8.69	4.74	.114	4.82	2.33	558	650
8.87	3.93	.076	5.05	1.50	228	80	9.03	5.06	.081	5.33	1.52	1,087	3,000
8.75	3.79	.132	4.76	2.77	71	—	8.68	3.65	.127	5.05	2.51	1,009	—
8.50	4.39	.106	4.95	2.14	47	—	8.26	3.09	.116	5.05	2.30	491	—
8.23	4.08	.142	4.95	2.87	254	50	8.08	3.98	.132	4.76	2.77	755	5,000
8.51	3.83	.127	4.48	2.83	996	1,500	8.46	3.53	.157	—	—	343	800
8.63	3.13	.142	5.05	2.81	988	5,000	8.54	3.07	.147	4.86	3.02	828	3,000
8.41	2.85	.127	5.05	2.51	1,367	—	8.34	3.27	.132	4.95	3.67	1,038	—
8.54	4.21	.142	5.05	2.81	978	—	8.36	3.64	.147	4.86	3.02	1,065	—
8.26	4.41	.177	4.95	3.57	2,172	2,300	8.37	4.87	.162	4.57	3.70	1,201	4,000
8.58	4.79	.147	4.67	3.15	1,680	—	8.33	4.11	.152	4.57	3.32	1,037	—
8.56	3.89	.132	4.90	2.70	878	1,286	8.50	3.83	.135	4.89	2.76	885	3,160

← 201-202a

201-202b

## SECRETION OF ABNORMAL MILK BY QUARTERS FREE FROM KNOWN PATHOGENS

COW 7912 (1).

Days after Calving.	Right Fore.							Right Hind.						
	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
FIRST LACTATION.														
32.....	8.84	4.55	.106	4.95	2.15	—	10	8.58	3.90	.111	4.66	2.39	—	10
60.....	8.89	4.01	.116	4.29	2.72	—	30	8.95	3.28	.101	4.29	2.36	—	0
88.....	8.72	3.76	.046	5.43	0.84	—	0	8.71	3.92	.056	5.24	1.06	—	0
116.....	8.73	4.66	.091	4.76	1.92	—	0	8.93	3.29	.091	4.85	1.88	—	0
144.....	8.84	4.08	.091	5.05	1.81	24	0	8.76	4.11	.091	5.81	1.57	—	20
172.....	8.75	4.48	.101	—	—	—	0	8.53	3.91	.116	—	—	—	40
200.....	8.71	4.44	.076	5.24	1.45	101	30	8.50	4.62	.101	4.19	2.42	1,680	30
228.....	8.42	4.18	.076	5.33	1.43	18	0	8.35	4.50	.122	4.48	2.71	1,231	530
256.....	8.63	3.83	.086	5.14	1.68	24	50	8.61	4.23	.101	5.43	1.87	781	0
284.....	8.68	4.55	.137	4.57	2.99	95	10	8.70	4.63	.091	5.14	1.77	1,124	30
AVERAGE.....	8.73	4.23	.093	4.97	1.89	53	13	8.67	3.98	.098	4.90	2.00	1,079	66
SECOND LACTATION.														
32.....	8.89	5.50	.096	4.86	1.98	41	—	8.77	4.36	.122	4.86	2.50	77	—
60.....	8.75	5.21	—	—	—	—	—	8.78	4.58	—	—	—	—	—
88.....	8.75	5.00	.106	4.86	2.19	462	500	8.71	4.11	.116	4.76	2.45	621	200
116.....	8.70	4.59	.122	4.48	2.71	3,195	300	8.64	4.42	.142	4.76	2.98	846	600
144.....	8.57	4.71	.137	4.95	2.76	5,136	400	8.52	4.46	.127	4.86	2.60	1,550	1,200
172.....	8.28	4.70	.172	4.29	4.01	7,160	100	8.37	4.15	.127	4.76	2.66	183	600
200.....	8.49	5.08	.157	4.48	3.50	14,438	100	8.62	4.95	.137	4.48	3.05	639	750
228.....	8.64	4.95	.167	4.76	3.51	3,266	150	8.61	4.63	.157	4.66	3.37	1,657	200
256.....	8.71	4.85	.172	4.95	3.48	5,503	1,500	8.89	4.88	.157	5.24	3.00	1,438	1,000
284.....	8.73	4.70	.147	4.76	3.09	4,337	5,000	8.93	5.06	.106	5.24	2.03	1,973	250
AVERAGE.....	8.67	4.97	.142	4.71	3.03	4,837	1,006	8.67	4.51	.132	4.85	2.74	998	488

203-204b



(1).

Left Fore.							Left Hind.						
Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.	Solids not Fat. Per Cent.	Fat. Per Cent.	Chloride. Per Cent.	Lactose. Per Cent.	Chloride-lactose Index.	Cells (thousands per ml.).	Bacteria.
9.04	4.35	.111	4.95	2.25	—	0	9.03	4.84	.106	—	—	—	260
8.78	4.14	.116	5.24	2.22	—	10	8.88	3.84	.137	4.57	2.99	—	0
8.76	3.78	.066	5.52	1.19	—	0	8.58	4.09	.061	5.81	1.05	—	70
8.96	4.79	.111	4.38	2.54	—	0	8.85	4.28	.086	4.95	1.74	—	—
8.59	3.89	.091	5.43	1.68	4,083	270	8.76	4.30	.086	5.52	1.56	—	110
8.38	4.30	.192	—	—	—	70	8.59	4.14	.081	—	—	—	—
8.28	4.88	.106	—	—	2,308	40	8.73	4.59	.081	5.14	1.58	533	40
8.43	4.31	.116	4.95	2.35	53	80	8.32	4.53	.096	5.06	1.90	805	10
8.59	4.27	.106	5.14	2.07	49	10	7.99	4.16	.122	5.33	2.28	752	50
8.62	4.57	.122	4.48	2.71	1,451	0	8.67	4.73	.106	4.95	2.15	1,485	90
8.70	4.28	.114	5.01	2.13	1,589	48	8.66	4.33	.096	5.17	1.91	894	85
8.72	4.10	.147	4.57	3.21	101	—	8.78	4.73	.127	4.76	2.66	160	—
8.34	5.00	—	—	—	—	—	8.73	5.08	—	—	—	—	—
8.48	4.59	.137	4.76	2.87	1,615	250	8.68	4.33	.111	5.14	2.17	243	0
8.44	4.09	.137	4.95	2.76	2,195	300	8.73	4.72	.106	4.95	2.15	101	400
8.37	4.44	.147	5.24	2.84	1,225	3,000	8.67	4.74	.116	4.76	2.45	219	200
8.28	4.22	.167	4.76	3.51	852	300	8.39	4.44	.132	4.38	3.01	681	100
8.55	4.77	.152	4.28	3.55	781	250	8.48	4.69	.116	4.86	2.40	462	750
8.63	4.84	.157	5.05	3.11	965	500	8.65	4.76	.142	4.95	2.86	1,219	750
8.83	4.95	.142	4.76	2.98	402	1,200	8.83	4.95	.142	4.76	2.98	3,195	500
8.93	4.99	.127	5.24	2.42	965	2,000	8.93	4.99	.147	5.24	2.80	1,402	1,500
8.53	4.57	.146	4.85	3.03	1,011	967	8.68	4.72	.127	4.87	2.61	854	525