Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Science and Animal Industry, Volume 24, Numbers 1 and 2, April, 1950.

Printed in the Union of South Africa by the Government Printer, Pretoria.

The Availability of the Calcium and Phosphorus in "Electrofos" for Bone Formation. I.—In the Rat.

By N. REINACH and J. G. LOUW, Section of Biochemistry, Onderstepoort.

On account of its high fluorine content rock phosphate is not an acceptable substitute for bone meal in the nutrition of farm animals. However, this objection has during the recent war, when a serious shortage of phosphatic supplements had to be faced, been overcome by the introduction of methods (Hill et al, 1945) for removing the fluorine thermally to a level which makes the resulting product safe for feeding to live stock. Unfortunately, it would appear (Bird et al, 1945; Ellis et al, 1945; Fraser et al, 1943; Barrentine et al, 1944) that some of the products thus obtained are not satisfactory sources of calcium and phosphorus for certain animals. The temperature at which defluorination is effected seems to play a role in that poorly available forms of calcium and phosphorus such as the meta- and pyrophosphates of calcium are formed under certain conditions. Tests for biological availability of all new materials of this nature are, therefore, indicated.

The technologists of a South African firm, African Metals Corporation, were not slow in appreciating the possibilities which the thermal defluorination process held out for the utilization of the phosphorus and calcium in local rock phosphate deposits. Experiments were started by them and in due course samples of two products, in finely powdered form, "electrofos I" and "electrofos II", were submitted to this laboratory for biological tests. The composition of these products is shown in Table 1.

Table 1.

Analysis of Products used in Experiments.

Mineral.	Per Cent. Calcium.	Per Cent. Phosphorus.	Per Cent. Silica.	Per Cent. Fluorine.
Electrophos I	30 · 3	18.3	11.8	0.024
Electrophos II	17.1	27.2	$12 \cdot 1$	0.015

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE.

Using the diets described in table 2 a series of four experiments were conducted. Bone ash, total calcium and phosphorus retention, and growth were used as criteria for evaluating the test materials. In a preliminary test (experiment 1) electrophos I was compared with dicalcium phosphate at a level which furnished

0.16 per cent. phosphorus in the diet. For this purpose a basal ration containing 0.05 per cent. P and 0.06 per cent. Ca was supplemented with the appropriate amounts of the two phosphatic products to yield diets A and B (see Table 2). Calcium carbonate was added to the diets to ensure a Ca: P ratio of more or less 2:1. The salt mixture used was that of Day and McCollum (1939) with the calcium carbonate omitted.

Eight trios of litter-mate albino rats of the same sex and weight were selected. At the beginning of the experiment one member of each trio was sacrificed and analysed as described below. The remaining two were pair-fed in individual cages, provided with false bottoms of $\frac{3}{8}$ inch mesh galvanized wire screen, for a period of six weeks on diets A and B, respectively.

Table 2.

Composition of Diets.

	A.	В.	C.	D.	E.	F.	Gt.
Egg White	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Gelatine	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Butterfat	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Bacto Agar	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Harris Yeast	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Cod Liver Oil	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Sucrose	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Dextrinized Starch	$606 \cdot 9$	$606 \cdot 7$	$606 \cdot 0$	$607 \cdot 1$	$596 \cdot 9$	$606 \cdot 2$	$594 \cdot 7$
Salt Mixture	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Dicalcium Phosphate		5.5	$6 \cdot 1$				
Electrofos I	3.7	-	_	$5 \cdot 9$	$14 \cdot 6$	_	_
Electrofos II	-		_	_	_	4.1	$10 \cdot 1$
Calcium Carbonate	4.4	2.8	2.9	2.0	3.5	4.7	10.2
Тотац	1000 · 0	1000.0	1000.0	1000.0	1000 · 0	1000 · 0	1000 · 0
Per Cent. Ca	0.33	0.28	0.30	0.31	0.62	0.31	0.64
Per Cent. P	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.19	0.36	0.19	0.38

The rats were fed daily and weighed weekly. Fresh distilled water was provided at all times. At the end of the experimental period they were killed with ether. The left femur of each rat was removed and its ash content determined on a dry fat-free basis. The entire rat, freed of the contents of the digestive tract, was then dried at 100° C, ashed at a low-red heat in an electric muffle, and, after the addition of the femur ash, analysed for total calcium and phosphorus.

The second experiment was essentially the same as the first one except that an extra group of rats receiving the basal ration supplemented with electrofos I at a level furnishing about 0.32 per cent. P in the diet was included. Eight quartos of albino rats were accordingly selected for a comparison of the availability of the calcium and phosphorus in diets C, D and E (see Table 2), respectively, one rat of each quarto being again sacrificed at the beginning of the experiment for the purpose of arriving at base values for the calcium and phosphorus contents of the rats. It was not possible to have litter-mates in the quartos. Rats within quartos were, however, uniform in respect of age, sex and live weight.

Experiment 3 was run concurrently with experiment 2 and was a repetition of the latter with this difference that paired feeding was dispensed with and that diets C, D and E were offered *ad lib*. to six trios of rats selected to correspond in respect of age, sex and live weight to the first 6 quartos of experiment 2. The rats sacrificed at the commencement of experiment 2 could thus also serve as controls for experiment 3.

In a final experiment electrofos II, at two levels of intake (see diets F and G in Table 2), was compared with dicalcium phosphate (see diet C in Table 2). The technique employed corresponded in every respect with that used in experiment 2.

Calcium was determined by the volumetric method of McCrudden (1911) and phosphorus by the colorimetric procedure of Fiske and Subbarow (1925).

All data were analysed statistically by Fisher's analysis of variance method.

Table 3. Weekly Feed Consumption of Rats in pair-fed Experiments (grams).

Rat No.	1st Week.	2nd Week.	3rd Week.	4th Week.	5th Week.	6th Week.	Total
1		Experim	ENT I (DIE	rs A. and B	.).		1
1	52	50	56	60	56	60	334
3	41	38	41	34	29	33	216
5	54	54	55	54	49	55	321
7	53	56	57	54	60	51	331
9	39	41	48	40	24	34	226
11	43	49	36	38	36	37	239
3	52	56	54	56	39	58	315
5	57	53	54	56	57	54	331
Average	49	50	50	49	44	48	290
		Experim	ENT II (DIE	rs C, D and	E).		
		1	1	I		1	1
1	45	49	59	44	41	38	276
4	39	42	52	47	48	44	272
7	45	38	49	55	50	66	303
10	44	49	48	61	56	56	314
13	45	52	32	52	48	48	277
16	35	46	50	51	62	67	311
19	44	54	39	50	57	57	301
22	52	48	61	66	64	70	361
AVERAGE	44	47	49	53	53	56	302
		Experim	ENT IV (DI	ETS C, F AND	G).		
	(1	(1	1	1	1
1	32	41	45	40	36	45	239
4	38	41	38	37	48	41	243
7	38	41	47	46	53	55	280
10	48	46	57	56	53	43	303
13	40	46	52	52	54	57	301
16	37	46	37	36	31	39	226
19	38	44	42	48	54	60	286
22	44	42	40	44	30	33	233
		-			45	47	264

RESULTS.

Experiment I.

Figures for weekly feed consumption and body weights are given in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Since the feed consumption of the respective groups of rats in experiments I, II and IV has been equalized figures for only one group in each of the experiments are tabulated. Reference to Table 4 shows that the rats on diet B (dicalcium phosphate supplemented) made greater live weight gains than those on diet A (electrofos I supplemented). This difference was found significant at P = .05 with a coefficient of variation of 6.19 per cent.

Data relating to the percentage retention of the ingested calcium and phosphorus are presented in Table 5. For the purpose of calculating these figures it was assumed that at the time of selection the rats of a trio or quarto contained the same amounts of calcium and phosphorus, so that the initial calcium and phosphorus contents of the experimental rats were represented by the amounts of these minerals found in the rat sacrificed at the commencement of an experiment. On an average only 23.2 per cent. of the calcium and 48.9 per cent. of the phosphorus of diet A as against 64.5 per cent. of the calcium and 76.8 per cent. of the phosphorus of diet B were retained. The differences in favour of the diet containing dicalcium phosphate were significant at P = .001, with coefficients of variation of 6.6 per cent. and 6.1 per cent. for the calcium and phosphorus retentions, respectively. Since the level of intake was the same for the two diets the variations in the observed retentions can be explained only on the basis of differences in availability. Electrofos I thus appears to be a poor source of calcium and phosphorus for the growing rat. Further evidence of this property of the test material is supplied by the data in Table 6. The mean weight of the ash of the femurs of the electrofos group of rats was 0.0707 gm. as against a figure of 0.1061 gm. for the dicalcium phosphate group.

Table 4.

Weekly Weights of Rats in Experiment I (grams).

Diet.	Rat No.	Initial Weight.	lst Week.	2nd Week.	3rd. Week.	4th Week.	5th Week.	6th Week. (Final Weight.)	Total Gain.
	1	74	88	102	124	140	151	166	92
f	2	54	65	75	91	98	100	100	46
	3 5	65	82	98	111	124	133	143	78
A	7	67	81	100	119	132	135	157	90
	9	52	63	79	97	100	102	107	55
	11	67	74	87	94	104	111	114	47
	13	84	96	113	132	145	149	168	84
	15	67	85	97	109	124	136	147	80
Average		66 · 3	79.3	93 · 9	109 · 6	120.9	127 · 1	137.8	71.5
(9	74	86	103	127	145	156	174	100
1	2 4	54	69	80	90	103	104	111	57
	6	65	79	100	121	136	147	159	94
В	8	66	81	102	120	136	154	162	96
	10	53	63	74	93	101	99	107	54
	12	67	76	92	100	110	116	122	55
	14	83	93	110	131	145	145	163	80
Ĺ	16	68	85	98	117	134	148	159	91
AVERAGE		66.3	79.0	94.9	112.4	126.3	133.6	144.6	78.4

TABLE 5. Calcium and Phosphorus Retained by Rats in Experiment I.

The state of the s	The second secon											The part of the latest and the lates
I	Diet.	Rat No.	Total Ca Intake.	Ca in Rat at begin- ning.	Cain Rat at End.	Ca gain.	Per Cent. Ca retention.	Total P Intake.	P in Rat at Begin- ning.	P in Bat at End.	P gain.	Per Cent. P retention.
		1	1,095.5	571.1	794.5	223.4	20.4	481.0	395.0	633.0	238.0	49.5
		ಣ	708-5	410.8	580.6	169.8	24.0	311.0	292.5	438.6	146.1	47.0
		ı çı	1,052.9	533.0	672.3	139.3	13.2	462.3	370.0	541.6	171.6	37.1
A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ 0	741.3	416.8	613.8	197.0	26.6	395.4	285.0	450.5	165.5	800.08
		1.	783.9	507.0	713.1	206.1	26.3	344.2	355.0	520.8	165.8	48.9
01		13	1.033.0	619.2	898.9	279.7	27.0	453.7	430.0	672.6	242.6	53.4
		15	1,086.0	491.0	797.1	306.1	28.2	476.8	360.0	612.2	252.2	52.9
AVER	AVERAGE	To a second seco	948.4	510.5	728.0	217.5	23.2	416.4	356.6	559.6	203.0	48.9
	The state of the s											
		ଚୀ	921.8	$571 \cdot 1$	1,179.0	6.709	65.9	537.8	395.0	842.8	447.8	83.3
		4	596.2	410.8	789.4	378.6	63.4	347.8	292.5	551.4	258.9	74.4
		9	0.988	533.0	1,072.0	539.0	8.09	516.8	370.0	753.7	383.7	74.2
B	~	00	913.6	$535 \cdot 1$	1,054.0	518.9	62.5	532.9	365.0	750.1	385.1	72.3
		10	623.8	416.8	814.9	398.1	63.8	363.8	285.0	555.5	270.5	74.4
		12	659.6	507.0	947.3	440.3	8.99	384.8	355.0	6.099	305.9	79.4
		14	869.4	619.2	1,161.0	541.8	62.3	507.2	430.0	824.1	$394 \cdot 1$	77.8
		91	913.6	491.0	1,080.0	0.689	6.07	532.9	360.0	777 - 1	417.1	78.3
AVEB	AVERAGE	1	198.0	510.5	1,012.2	501.7	64.5	465.5	356.6	714.5	357.9	8.92
		Gran.										

Table 6.

Ash in Femurs of Rats in Experiment I.

Diet.	Rat No.	Weight of Rat (gm.).	Weight of Fat-Free dry Femur per 100 gm. Rat.	Total Ash per Bone (gm.)	Percentage Ash of Femur.
(1	166	0.1028	0.0779	45.61
	3 5	100	· 1374	$\cdot 0544$	39.59
	5	143	.0958	.0600	43.80
	7	157	· 1067	.0719	$42 \cdot 89$
	9	107	· 1351	$\cdot 0615$	$42 \cdot 53$
i	11	114	· 1388	$\cdot 0705$	44.53
	13	168	· 1202	.0915	$45 \cdot 29$
ĺ	15	147	·1138	$\cdot 0777$	46.41
Average		137.8	0.1188	0.0707	43.80
(2	174	0 · 1293	0.1226	54.49
	$\frac{2}{4}$	111	· 1473	.0779	47.61
	6	159	·1332	.1130	53.35
	8	162	.1313	.1084	50.94
	10	107	· 1495	.0809	50.56
İ	12	122	$\cdot 1497$.0960	$52 \cdot 58$
	14	163	· 1489	$\cdot 1302$	$53 \cdot 63$
	16	159	·1440	·1199	$52 \cdot 36$
AVERAGE		144.6	0.1417	0.1061	51.90

Values for percentage ash were 43.8 and 51.9 for the two groups, respectively. Both these differences were also found significant at P=.001 with coefficients of variation of 1.5 per cent. for percentage ash and 9.3 per cent. for total ash in the femurs.

Experiment II.

In this trial dicalcium phosphate was compared with two levels of electrofos I. The results obtained are detailed in tables 7, 3, 8, and 9 in respect of body weights, food consumption, calcium and phosphorus retentions and femur ash, respectively.

Table 7.

Weekly Weights of Rats in Experiment II (Grams).

Diet.	Rat No.	Initial Weight.	lst Week.	2nd Week.	3rd Week.	4th Week.	5th Week.	6th Week. (Final Weight).	Gain.
_	1	52	70	84	99	118	123	128	76
	4	50	59	72	86	105	119	128	78
	7	52	57	77	92	115	129	150	98
······································	10	53	67	83	100	121	135	145	92
					90	109	119	130	76
	13	54	73	90					
	16	55	62	75	92	111	132	154	99
	19	61	74	94	99	115	131	147	86
	22	63	87	98	122	146	160	180	117
AVERAGE		55.0	68.6	84 · 1	97.5	117.5	131.0	145.3	90.3
(2	52	63	77	96	104	110	113	61
1	2 5	50	65	77	95	111	120	127	77
	8	52	61	75	89	110	124	150	98
)	11	53	64	80	96	119	132	144	91
	14	54	69	84	89	109	119	130	76
	17	59	62	79	94	114	135	153	94
	20	60	70	90	95	114	130	146	86
	23	63	77	88	112	134	147	169	106
AVERAGE		55 · 4	66.4	81.3	95.8	114.4	127.1	141.5	86 · 1
-	9	52	64	78	98	109	113	119	67
	3	51	64	79	98	110	121	128	77
	6					110	121		
,	9	52	66	77	91			141	89
3	12	53	66	84	98	119	132	141	88
	15	54	70	88	88	109	117	124	70
	18	58	64	- 78	96	114	137	156	98
	21	62	72	81	99	114	129	140	78
Ĺ	24	64	76	88	111	137	150	171	107
AVERAGE		55.8	67.8	81.6	97.1	115.3	127.8	140.0	84.3

Table 8.

Calcium and Phosphorus retained by Rats in Experiment II.

(Unless otherwise stated all figures are in milligram).

			Ottess Otte	בו ועומב מומ	ica an lig	(Onicas offici was stated att figures are in minigram).	iningiani	./.			
Diet.	Rat No.	Total Ca Intake.	Ca in Rat at begin- ning.	Cain Rat at End.	Ca gain.	Per Cent. Ca retention.	Total P Intake.	P in Rat at Begin- ning.	P in Rat at End.	P gain.	Per Cent. Pretention.
3	1 4 4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	828 816 909 942 831 933 903 1,083	391.2 427.9 427.1 431.9 464.5 470.6	921.5 854.9 972.7 1,019.0 941.9 936.9 1,054.0 1,218.0	530.3 463.7 569.4 591.1 554.8 505.0 589.5 747.4	6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.66 6.65.6	491 484 639 559 493 554 536 643	287 283 289 305 316 347	664 630 711 735 652 691 735 857	3377 422 430 359 375 510	76.8 77.7 72.8 72.8 72.4 72.4 72.4
AVERAGE]	905.6	420.9	8.686	568.9	62.7	537.4	308.4	709.4	401	74.5
D	25 8 11 14 17 20 23	861 849 945 980 864 970 939 1,127	391.2 391.2 403.3 427.9 387.1 431.9 464.5 470.6	706.5 691.0 691.0 767.9 742.3 880.4 875.4	315·3 299·8 287·7 340·0 355·2 374·4 415·9	38 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 8	522 514 573 593 588 569 682	287 283 289 305 293 347	517 532 574 595 630 652 670	230 249 285 290 282 314 305	4 4 4 4 4 8 4 4 4 8 8 4 4 4 8 8 4 4 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
AVEBAGE		941.9	420.9	770.1	349.1	37.1	570.5	308.4	593.1	284.8	50.0
E.	3 6 112 115 118 21 24	1,764 1,738 1,936 2,008 1,770 1,988 1,924 2,308	391.2 391.2 403.3 427.9 387.1 441.9 464.5 470.6	990.6 934.4 982.8 1141.0 972.7 1,080.0 1,101.0	599.4 543.2 579.5 713.1 585.6 648.1 636.5 768.4	34.0 231.2 29.0 29.0 23.1 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3 23.3	966 952 1,061 1,099 969 1,088 1,053	2887 2889 3005 316 3477	675 664 664 698 785 655 765 761	388 381 409 480 480 362 444 414 520	40.2 40.0 38.6 48.7 41.3 41.3 41.3 41.3
AVERAGE		1,929.5	421.0	1,055.2	634.2	32.8	1,056.5	308.4	733.8	425.4	40.8

TABLE 9.

Ash in Femurs of Rats in Experiment II.

Diet.	Rat No.	Weight of Rat (gm.).	Weight of Fat-Free dry Femur per 100 gm. Rat.	Total Ash per Bone (gm.)	Percentage Ash of Femur.
(1	128	0.1403	0.0964	53.7
	4	128	.1358	.0897	51.6
	7	150	.1260	.1000	52.9
······································	10	145	.1390	.1101	54.6
	13	130	1425	.1012	54.7
	16	154	1223	.0973	$51 \cdot 7$
	19	147	.1388	$\cdot 1127$	55.2
	22	180	.1406	1384	54.6
AVERAGE		145 · 3	0.1357	0 · 1057	53 · 6
(9	113	0.1251	0.0703	49.8
	2 5	127	1193	.0722	47.6
	8	150	1193	.0702	45.6
)	11	144	1167	.0818	48.7
7	14	130	1196	.0770	49.5
	17	153			48.5
	20	146	·1158	.0858	51.4
	23	169	·1175 ·1157	.0883 .0961	49.2
AVERAGE		141.5	0.1166	0.0802	48.8
	0	110	0.1574	0.1050	×0.0
[3	119	0.1574	0.1052	56.2
	6	128	· 1434	.0983	53.5
	9	141	·1376	.1073	55.3
······································	12	141	1585	.1259	56.3
	15	124	1501	.1022	54.9
	18	156	·1394	.1171	53.8
	21 24	140 171	· 1562 · 1459	$-1208 \\ -1400$	$\begin{array}{c} 55 \cdot 2 \\ 56 \cdot 1 \end{array}$
AVERAGE		140 · 0	0.1486	0.1146	55.2

Inspection of the data again leads to the conclusion that electrofos I is inferior to dicalcium phosphate as a source of calcium and phosphorus for the nutrition of the rat.

Slightly, though significantly, better growth was registered for the dicalcium phosphate group (see Table 7). Rats fed this product developed distinctly larger bones than those fed electrofos I at the same level of intake, the average values for the total ash of the femurs of the two groups being 0·1057 gram and 0·0802 gram, respectively (see Table 9). The degree of mineralization of these bones was, furthermore, higher for the animals on diet C than for those on diet D: figures for percentage ash on the dry fat-free basis were 53·6 and 48·8, respectively. These results correspond with those obtained for the retention of the relevant minerals as detailed in table 8, 62·7 per cent. of the calcium and 74·5 per cent. of the phosphorus in the dicalcium phosphate supplemented diet as against figures of only 37·1 per cent. of the calcium and 50·0 per cent. of the phosphorus in the electrofos I supplemented diet being on an average retained by the animals. The differences, in favour of the dicalcium phosphate, relating to total femur ash, percentage femur ash and retentions of calcium and phosphorus were all significant at P = 0·001.

With regard to the rats receiving a double dose of electrofos I (diet E) attention must be directed to the finding that whilst, as may be expected, the percentages of calcium and phosphorus retained were lower than those for the animals in the lower intake group (diet D), the higher total amount of calcium and phosphorus retained, apparently sufficed for normal bone development. Thus, the mean total weight of the femur ash of the rats on diet E was 0.1146 gram and the percentage ash of these femurs 55.1, these figures being significantly better than the corresponding values for diet D at P=0.001.

Experiment III.

The weekly body weights and feed consumption of the groups of rats fed diets C, D and E ad lib. are detailed in tables 10 and 11, respectively. Comparison of these figures with those for the rats pair-fed on the same diets (see Tables 3 and 7) reveals higher feed consumption for all the ad lib. groups, resulting in greater live weight increases for these animals. It is to be noted that the highest figures for feed consumption and increase in weight were recorded for the rats on diet D. This was contrary to expectations, in view of the fact that this diet seemed to be deficient in available phosphorus (c.f. tables 9 and 13), a circumstance which usually is taken to have an adverse effect on feed consumption. In this case it would

Table 10.

Weekly Weights (gram) of rats in Experiment III (Ad lib. feeding.)

Diet.	Rat No.	Initial Weight.	1st Week.	2nd Week.	3rd Week.	4th Week.	5th Week.	6th Week. (Final Weight).	Gain.
(49	50	66	84	105	125	145	167	117
	52	53	78	103	116	131	155	179	126
3	55	56	79	102	122	137	154	170	114
	58	59	80	100	117	138	149	163	104
	61	43	59	73	91	100	112	120	77
j	64	59	71	96	117	124	151	167	108
AVERAGE	-	53 · 3	72 · 2	93.0	111.3	125.8	144.3	161.0	107 · 7
(50	48	68	91	101	130	157	184	136
1	53	54	75	95	116	138	155	178	124
)	56	58	79	97	117	140	154	175	117
3	59	58	82	101	111	130	141	156	98
1	62	43	55	79	94	112	128	138	95
l	65	61	75	94	106	137	159	174	113
Average		53 · 7	$72 \cdot 3$	92.8	107.5	131 · 2	149.0	167.5	113.8
(51	51	68	87	101	119	139	150	99
	54	53	70	84	102	123	149	173	120
E	57	59	79	96	115	132	149	162	103
	60	60	78	97	121	143	165	182	122
	63	47	60	81	99	114	129	150	103
-	66	62	78	99	121	140	156	169	107
Average	-	55.3	72.2	90.7	109.8	128.5	147.8	164.3	109.0

almost appear that the rats were trying to compensate for the low availability of the phosphorus with a high feed intake. It should be added here that if the 6-weekly gains in weight (see Table 10) are adjusted for the feed intake, by the application of the analysis of co-variance, they become 114·8 gm. for diet C, 108·0 gm. for diet D and 107·6 gm. for diet E, a sequence of weight increase superiority similar to that recorded for the same diets in experiment II. The difference in favour of diet C was, however, found to be insignificant.

The percentages of calcium and phosphorus retained by the rats are given by Table 12 while data relating to the total and percentage ash of the femurs occur in Table 13. The outstanding feature in these results is their essential similarity to those obtained with the same diets using the paired feeding technique: low retention of calcium and phosphorus in electrofos I resulting in poor bone formation in the case of the lower level of supplementation (diet D) but quite satisfactory in this respect at the higher level of phosphorus intake (diet E). Mean calcium retentions were $62 \cdot 4$, $33 \cdot 5$ and $36 \cdot 0$ and phosphorus retentions $70 \cdot 2$, $45 \cdot 7$ and $41 \cdot 8$ for diets C, D and E, respectively, the differences in favour of the dicalcium phosphate supplemented diet being highly significant at $P = 0 \cdot 001$. In the same order average figures for total femur ash were $0 \cdot 1141$ gm., $0 \cdot 0825$ gm. and $0 \cdot 1409$ gm. and those for percentage ash in these bones $53 \cdot 8$, $48 \cdot 6$ and $57 \cdot 1$, the odds that the differences in these results were due to chance being 1 in 1000.

TABLE 11.

Weekly Feed Consumption (grams) of Rats in Experiment III (Ad lib. feeding).

Diet.	Rat No.	Ist Week.	2nd Week.	3rd Week.	4th Week.	5th Week.	6th Week.	Total
(49	39	51	51	59	58	67	325
	52	48	65	51	57	71	74	366
;	55	51	65	65	56	63	64	364
	58	50	60	60	65	57	61	353
1	61	43	50	53	46	43	48	283
ĺ	64	39	63	62	41	70	70	345
AVERAGE		45	59	57	54	60.3	64	339 · 3
(50	44	58	58	68	83	90	401
	53	49	61	62	68	70	75	385
)	56	51	64	64	66	70	68	383
	59	52	60	52	61	62	65	352
	62	39	56	52	54	60	60	321
	65	47	59	48	67	80	68	369
AVERAGE		47	59.7	56	64	70.8	71	368.5
(51	37	51	52	58	64	64	326
1	54	50	49	59	61	72	79	370
	57	50	58	55	61	61	64	349
	60	49	57	60	63	75	78	382
	63	44	58	56	52	57	65	332
-	66	50	61	67	69	72	73	392
AVERAGE		46.7	55.7	58.2	60.7	66.8	70.5	358.5

Calcium and Phosphorus Retained by Rats in Experiment III (ad lib. feeding). (Unless otherwise stated all figures are in milligram). TABLE 12.

Diet,	Rat No.	Total Ca Intake.	Ca in Rat at begin- ning.	Ca in Rat at End.	Ca gain.	Per Cent. Ca retention.	Total P Intake.	P in Rat at Begin- ning.	P in Rat at End.	P gain.	Per Cent. P retention.
C	52 52 58 58 64 64	975 1,098 1,092 1,059 849 1,035	387.1 431.9 464.5 470.6 346.3 429.8	1,029·0 1,177·0 1,098·0 1,195·0 793·4 1,065·0	641.9 745.1 633.5 724.4 447.1 635.2	65.8 67.8 68.0 68.0 7.25.7 61.4 7.4	578 651 648 628 504 614	293 316 347 347 259 323	735 802 769 802 562 769	442 486 422 455 303 446	76.5 74.7 65.1 72.5 60.1 72.7
AVERAGE		1,018	421.7	1,059.6	637.9	62.4	603.8	314.2	739.8	425.7	70.2
D	50 53 59 62 65	1,252 1,202 1,195 1,098 1,002 1,152	387.1 431.9 464.5 470.6 346.3 429.8	813.9 834.5 839.5 855.7 829.2	426.8 402.6 375.0 386.8 306.4	34.1 33.5 33.5 30.6 34.7 4.7	758 727 724 665 606 697	293 316 347 347 259 323	646 667 652 635 530 667	353 351 305 288 271 344	46.6 48.3 42.1 43.3 44.7 49.4
AVEBAGE		1,150.2	421.7	804.5	382.8	33.5	696.2	314.2	632.8	318.7	45.7
я	51 54 57 60 63 68	2,365 2,365 2,231 2,442 2,122 2,506	387·1 431·9 464·5 470·6 346·3 429·8	1,162.0 1,200.0 1,285.0 1,421.0 1,140.0 1,267.0	774.9 768.1 820.5 950.4 793.7 837.2	2.22 2.22 2.02.83 2.03.84 3.34 4.44	1,142 1,295 1,222 1,337 1,163 1,373	293 316 347 347 259 323	789 820 833 932 798 852	496 504 486 585 539 529	4 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
AVERAGE	:	2,291.7	421.7	1,245.8	824.1	36.0	1,255.3	314.2	837.3	523.2	41.8

TABLE 13.
Ash in Femurs of Rats in Experiment III.

Diet.	Rat No.	Weight of Rat (gm.).	Weight of Fat-Free dry Femur per 100 gm. Rat.	Total Ash per Bone (gm.)	Percentage Ash of Femur.
	(49	167	0.1250	0.1108	53.1
	52	179	1275	$\cdot 1293$	56.6
J	. 55	170	1289	.1182	53.9
	58	163	1476	1324	55.0
	61	120	.1347	.0817	50.5
	64	167	·1246	.1123	53.9
AVERAGE	., –	161.0	0.1314	0.1141	53.8
	50	184	0.0959	0.0840	47.6
	53	178	·1041	.0863	46.5
	56	175	1047	.0864	47.2
**********************	59	156	1167	.0896	49.2
	62	138	1008	.0620	44.6
	65	174	1070	0864	46.4
Average		167.5	0 · 1049	0.0825	48.6
	51	150	0.1515	0.1296	57.0
	54	173	1353	.1319	56.3
1	3 57	162	1605	. 1495	57.5
	60	182	.1815	.1634	57.6
	63	150	.1516	$\cdot 1268$	55.8
	66	169	·1464	·· 1440	$58 \cdot 2$
AVERAGE		164.3	0.1545	0 · 1409	57.1

To simplify comparison the average values obtained for the three diets by the pair-fed and *ad lib* methods are summarized in table 14.

TABLE 14.
Summary of Results of Experiments II and III.

Diet.	Total consume		Total Ash	Femur (gm.)		t. Ash in nur.		ent. Ca lined.		Cent. Pained.
	Pair Fed.	Ad lib.	Pair Fed.	Ad lib.	Pair Fed.	Ad lib.	Pair Fed.	Ad lib.	Pair Fed.	Ad lib.
C	292 · 0	339 · 3	0 · 1057	0.1141	53 · 6	53.8	$62 \cdot 7$	62 · 4	74.5	70.2
D	292.0	368 · 5	0.0802	0.0825	48.8	48.6	$37 \cdot 1$	33.5	$50 \cdot 0$	45.7
E	292.0	358.5	0.1146	0.1409	$55 \cdot 2$	57 · 1	$32 \cdot 8$	36.0	40.8	41.8

^{*} Average of only first 6 rats of a group.

Experiment IV.

Data obtained in this final test on the relative values of electrofos II, at two levels of intake, and dicalcium phosphate as sources of calcium and phosphorus for growth and bone development in the rat are presented in Tables 15, 16 and 17.

In spite of considerable differences in composition (c.f. Table 1) electrofos I and electrofos II seem to suffer from the same defect: low availability of their calcium and phosphorus. That this is so becomes evident from a study of the results obtained with the two products.

In conformity with those of previous experiments the data on gain in body weight (Table 15) are not particularly illuminating, even though it would appear that the rats on diet C grew on an average better than those on the electrofos II supplemented diets. However, it is when the figures on calcium and phosphorus retentions (table 16) and femur ash (table 17) are considered, that more convincing evidence for the inferiority of electrofos II is revealed. At the same level of calcium and phosphorus intake the rats retained on an average only 25.8 per cent. of the calcium and 35.7 per cent. of the phosphorus in the electrofos supplemented diet F as against percentage retentions of 66.0 and 70.5 for the two respective minerals in diet C fortified with dicalcium phosphate. These results were reflected in the data on bone development described in table 17: average values for total femur ash were 0.1064 gm. and 0.0677 gm. and for percentage ash in the femur 55.4 and 46.3 for diets C and F, respectively. All differences in favour of the first-mentioned diet were found significant at the 0.1 per cent. level.

TABLE 15.

Weekly Weights of Rats in Experiment IV (grams).

Diet.	Rat No.	Initial Weight.	lst Week.	2nd Week.	3rd Week.	4th Week.	5th Week.	6th Week. (Final Weight).	Gain
- (1	52	56	72	87	95	104	116	64
1	4	56	64	75	85	90	102	114	58
1	7	67	70	82	97	106	125	139	72
1	10	77	86	99	120	134	148	154	77
}	13	52	64	82	100	116	131	147	95
1	16	54	62	80	84	91	95	107	53
	19	59	67	83	94	112	128	146	87
Ĺ	22	64	77	89	97	110	111	116	52
AVERAGE		60.1	68.3	82.8	95.5	106.8	118.0	129.9	69.8
ſ	2	52	61	75	87	96	101	116	64
ì	2 5	57	64	74	82	90	102	108	51
	8	67	76	85	98	106	119	129	62
r	11	77	90	99	115	129	136	143	66
	14	51	61	75	91	103	116	127	76
	17	53	63	77	81	88	92	104	51
İ	20	59	71	82	92	109	126	144	85
Ĺ	23	64	79	90	97	107	105	109	45
AVERAGE		60 · 0	70.6	82 · 1	92.9	103.5	112.1	122 · 5	62 · 5
(2	52	60	72	83	89	94	108	56
Ī	3 6	57	64	74	86	95	108	117	60
-	9	67	78	88	99	112	126	143	76
}	12	75	89	99	116	126	130	130	55
}	15	52	61	71	81	92	106	118	66
-	18	56	64	72	79	83	84	88	32
	21	59	68	78	86	102	117	133	74
	24	64	76	83	89	95	96	100	36
AVERAGE		60.3	70.0	79-6	89.9	99.3	107.6	117.1	56.9

TABLE 16.

Calcium and Phosphorus Retained by Rats in Experiment IV (Unless otherwise stated all figures are in milligram).

Diet.	Rat No.	Total Ca Intake.	Cain Rat at begin- ning.	Cain Rat at End.	Ca gain.	Per Cent. Ca retention.	Total P Intake.	P in Rat at Begin- ning.	P in Rat at End.	Pgain.	Per Cent. Pretention.
	H 4	717	415.7	895.8	480.1	67.0	425	308	614	306	72.0
C	10	909 909	484.9 601.0	1,023.0	538-1 599-0	65·9 64·1	498 539 898	366 435	811	358 376 397	69.7
	16	678	433.9	883.1	449.2	6.99	402	306	586	280	9.69
	19	858	448.2	993.1	544.9	63.5	509	337	691	354 272	65.5
AVERAGE		791.6	473.7	994.8	521.1	0.99	469.6	347.8	9.629	331.9	70.5
	63	729	415.7	578.5	162.8	22.3	447	308	467	159	35.6
	rt a	741	431.9	652.7	220.8	29.8	455	324	575	157	34.5
F.	11	924	601.0	839.5	238.5	955.8	567	435	627	192	33.0
	14	918	417.7	4.899	951.0	27.3	563	314	642	328	35.7
	17 06	689	433.9	632.3	198.4	20 60 20 00 20 00 20 00	535	306	530	193	36.1
	23	711	556.2	729.5	173.3	24.4	436	392	532	140	32.1
		4 00	6	1 000	1 000	0	0 007	1	940	100.9	5.00
AVERAGE		804.8	419.1	099.1	7.022	0.07	499.0	0.176	0.50	0.761	00
	က	1,485	415.7	673.2	257.5	17.3	851	308	489	181	21.3
	9	1,516	431.9	788.3	356.4	23.5	865	324	562	238	27.5
	6	1,740	484.9	957.2	472.3	27.1	266	366	685	319	32.0
~	12	1,883	601.0	970.3	369.3	9.61	1,078	435	663	228	21.2
	15	1,870	417.7	765.4	347.7	18.6	1,072	314	536	222	20.7
	18	1,404	433.9	1.969	262.2	18.7	805	306	454	148	18.4
	21	1,777	448.2	844.7	396.5	22.3	1,018	337	600	263	25.8
	24	1,448	7.000	048.0	0.987	e.07	nee	720	000	*11*	0.17
AVEDAGE	ļ	1.640.4	473.7	818.1	344.4	90.9	939.5	347.8	569.4	221.6	23.5

TABLE 17.

Ash in Femurs of Rats in Experiment IV.

Diet.	Rat No.	Weight of Rat (gm.).	Weight of Fat-Free dry Femur per 100 gm. Rat.	Total Ash per Bone (gm.)	Percentage Ash of Femur.
	1	116	0.1533	0.0974	54.8
	4	114	1507	.0973	56.6
	7	139	1412	.1084	55.2
	10	154	1521	1327	56.6
	15	147	.1321	1027	55.7
	16	107	1521	.0956	55.9
	19	146	1372	.1070	53.4
-	22	116	1637	.1046	55.0
Average		129.9	0.1488	0.1064	55.4
2	2	116	0.1198	0.0587	$42 \cdot 2$
	5	108	1242	.0624	46.5
	8	129	1242	.0809	52.0
	11	143	1282	.0860	46.9
	14	127	0974	.0536	43.8
	17	104	1253	.0615	$43.8 \\ 47.2$
	20	104	1056	.0650	42.7
J	23	109	1385	.0738	48.9
Average		122.5	0.1199	0.0677	46 · 3
2	3	108	0.1289	0.0636	45.7
1	6	117	1469	·0818	49.9
	9	143	1315	.0974	51.8
	12	130	1313	.0980	52.2
······	15	118	1329	.0770	49.1
1	18	88	1329	.0622	47.8
	21	133	1240	.0843	51.0
	24	100	1586	0825	$52 \cdot 0$
AVERAGE		117.1	0.1394	0.0808	49.9

Although it somewhat depressed the percentage retention of the relevant minerals increasing the level of electrofos II intake (diet G) resulted in an improvement in bone development. Thus, femur ash rose from $0.0677~\mathrm{gm}$ to $0.0808~\mathrm{gm}$ and the percentage of this constituent in the dry fat-free bone from 46.3 to 49.9, the improvements being significant at the 1 per cent. level in the latter case and at the $0.1~\mathrm{per}$ cent. level in the former case.

The mean results of all the tests are summarized in table 18. Compared with dicalcium phosphate there seems to be no doubt that the calcium and phosphorus of the electrofos products are poorly utilized. From what is known about the method of their production these products may be expected to contain large amounts of calcium metaphosphate, a circumstance which may account for their observed low availability for bone formation in the rat. Whether this low availability will also apply to our large ruminant animals will be decided by experiments now under way with sheep.

In conclusion attention may be directed to the finding that bone formation at the higher level of electrofos supplementation (diets E and G) was apparently much the same as that obtained with dicalcium phosphate at the lower level (diet C). Consequently, should it be found feasible to produce electrofos at a cost only half or less of that of dicalcium phosphate it could be expected to compete successfully with the latter product as a source of calcium and phosphorus for bone formation.

Table 18.
Summary of Mean Values, Experiments I—IV.

Experi-	Level of		 Initial	In 6 V	Veeks.		Per Cent.		Per Cent.
ment No.	P in Diet. Per Cent.	Supplement.	Weight (gm.).	Gain in Weight (gm.).	Feed ('on- sumed (gm.).	Re- tained.	Re- tained.	Femur Ash (gm.).	Ash in Femur.
I	0.15	Electrofos I	66.3	71.5	290	23 - 2	48.9	0.0707	43.8
II	0.18	Electrofos I	55 · 4	86 · I	302	37 - 1	50.0	0.0802	48.8
111	0 · 19	Electrofos I	$53 \cdot 7$	113.8	368	33 · 5	45.7	0.0825	48.6
IV	0.19	Electrofos II	60.0	62 · 5	264	25.8	35-7	0.0677	46.3
I	0.16	———— Ca HPO₄	66 · 3	78.4	290	64.5	76.8	0.1061	51.9
Π	0.18	Ca H PO ₄	55.0	90.3	302	$62 \cdot 7$	$74 \cdot 5$	0.1057	53.6
Ш	0.18	CaHPO ₄	53 · 3	107 - 7	339	62 · 4	$70 \cdot 2$	0.1141	53.8
1V	0.18	CaHPO ₄	60 - 1	69.8	264	66+0	70.5	0 · 1064	55.4
II	0.36	Electrofos I	55.8	84.3	302	32.8	40.8	0.1146	55.2
Ш	0.36	Electrofos I	55.3	190.0	358	36.0	41.8	0 · 1409	57 - 1
IV	0.35	Electrofos II	60 · 3	56 - 9	264	$-20 \cdot 9$	$23 \cdot 5$	0.0808	49.9

SUMMARY.

A basal diet, containing 0.06 per cent. calcium and 0.05 per cent. phosphorus, and albino rats, 4 to 5 weeks old and weighing approximately 60 grams, were used to ascertain the availability of electrofos I and electrofos II in comparison with dicalcium phosphate for bone formation. These phosphatic products, prepared by a thermal process, were studied at two levels giving diets containing 0.18 per cent. phosphorus and 0.36 per cent. phosphorus, the Ca: P ratio being maintained at about 2:1. Apart from live weight gains percentage retention of the relevant minerals as determined by slaughter tests and femur ash were used as criteria for evaluating the products.

The averages of the results obtained are summarized in Table 18.

It was found that both electrofos I and electrofos II were significantly less available for bone formation than calcium phosphate. However, more or less equal bone formation was obtained when these products were supplemented at about twice the level of calcium phosphate.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

The authors wish to express their indebtedness to Dr. G. B. Laurence for carrying out the statistical analysis of the results.

AVAILABILITY OF CALCIUM AND PHOSPHORUS IN ELECTROFOS.

REFERENCES.

- BARRENTINE B. F., MAYNARD, L. A. and LOOSLI, J. K. (1944). The availability of the calcium and phosphorus of defluorinated rock phosphate for the rat. J. Nutr. Vol. 27, pp. 35-42.
- BIRD, H. R., TITUS, H. W. AND CLARK, T. B. (1945). Nutritive evaluation of defluorinated phosphates and other phosphorus supplements. II. Defluorinated phosphates as phosphorus supplements for chicks. J.A.O.A.C. Vol. 28, pp. 118-129.
- DAY, H. G. AND McCOLLUM, E. V. (1939). Mineral metabolism, growth and symptomatology of rats on a diet extremely deficient in phosphorus. J.B.C. Vol. 130, p. 269.
- ELLIS, N. R., CABELL, C. A., ELMSLIE, W. P., FRAPS, G. S., PHILLIPS, P. H. AND WILLIAMS, D. E. (1945). Nutritive evaluation of defluorinated phosphates and other phosphorus supplements. III Utilization experiments with rats. J.A.O.A.C. Vol. 28, pp. 129-142.
- FISKE, C. H. AND SUBBAROW, Y. (1925). The colorimetric determination of phosphorus. J.B.C. Vol. 66, p. 375.
- FRASER, H. F., HOPPE, T. C., SULLIVAN, J. H. and SMITH, E. R. (1943). Phosphate fertilizers as possible source of phosphorus for animals. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* Vol. 35, pp.1087–90.
- HILL, W. L., REYNOLDS, D. S., HENDRICKS, S. B. and JACOB, K. D. (1945). Nutritive evaluation of defluorinated phosphates and other phosphorus supplements. I. Preparation and properties of the samples. J.A.O.A.C. Vol. 28, pp. 105–118.
- McCRUDDEN, F. H. (1911). The determination of calcium in the presence of magnesium and phosphates. J.B.C. Vol. 10, p. 187.