## **IMMUNIZATION OF MICE AND GUINEA-PIGS AGAINST** SALMONELLA DUBLIN INFECTION WITH LIVE AND INACTIVATED VACCINE

## C. M. CAMERON and W. J. P. FULS, Veterinary Research Institute, Onderstepoort

#### ABSTRACT

CAMERON, C. M. & FULS, W. J. P., 1975. Immunization of mice and guinea-pigs against Salmonella dublin infection with live and inactivated vaccines. Onderstepoort J. vet. Res. 4. (2), 63-70 (1975).

The immunogenicity of a number of avirulent rough Salmonella dublin mutants was compared in mice and guinea-pigs.

The influtiogenerity of a number of average form of the formation indicates was compared in mice and guinea-pigs. Live vaccine prepared from Strain HB 1/17 at doses of  $5 \times 10^7$  per mouse usually gave an immunity of between 70 and 80% but in certain experiments the results were more variable and always poorer. This strain gave a cross protection of 28,5% to *S. typhimurium* in mice. In guinea-pigs it evoked an average rotection of approximately 46% to homologous challenge and approximately 26% to challenge with *S. typhimurium*. Strain 5765 protected up to 80% of mice against *S. dublin* infection and was generally superior to Strain HB 1/17 in this respect. It was, however, less effective in protecting mice against *S. typhimurium* (20%). In guinea-pigs it was also less effective than Strain HB 1/17, giving 34% protection against homologous and 20% against heterologous challenge. Other strains also produced immunity in mice but they were not studied in detail. Formalin-inactivated alum-precipitated vaccine prepared from avirulent smooth strain and containing 0,5% packed cells proved to be extremely effective in protecting mice against *S. dublin* infection. It produced an average immunity of 75% and was often 100% effective. It also protected 60% of mice against challenge with *S. typhimurium*. against challenge with both S. dublin and S. typhimurium.

#### INTRODUCTION

The question of whether a better immunity to Salmonella infections is evoked by live or inactivated vaccines is a controversial one. This theme has been extensively studied, using S. typhimurium infection in mice as the experimental model, by Cameron & Fuls (1974), who showed that a very good immunity can be induced by means of a formalin-inactivated alumprecipitated vaccine. Similarly, a good immunity was obtained by immunizing mice with a number of aviru-lent rough mutants of S. typhimurium, though, unfortunately only mutants that retained some degree of residual virulence were effective. This finding naturally precludes their use in a live vaccine and, since the paratyphoid vaccine currently produced at this institute is prepared from a rough avirulent mutant of S. dublin, a combined live vaccine could not be formulated.

With the exception of the report by Henning (1953), little definitive work has been done on the efficacy of inactivated S. dublin vaccines. He was able to immunize mice effectively with a formalininactivated vaccine and also found such a vaccine to be effective in protecting calves against S. dublin infection. Botes (1964), however, contended that such a vaccine deteriorated rapidly on storage and he obtained far better results with a live vaccine. Smith (1965) also reported very good results with a live vaccine.

Since no finality regarding the relative value of live or inactivated vaccines has been established, experiments were undertaken to elucidate the question of live versus inactivated S. dublin vaccines.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Experimental animals

Mice: Conventionally reared male albino mice obtained from the colony maintained at this institute were housed in plastic cages on wood shavings and fed a balanced ration. All immunization experiments were done in 6-week-old male mice whereas 8-week-old animals were used for the virulence assays.

Guinea-pigs: Young male albino guinea-pigs which were reared at this institute were used in all the experiments. They were fed a balance pelleted ration supplemented with fresh green lucerne.

Received 24 February 1975-Editor

#### **Bacterial** strains

Rough strains: S. dublin strains HWS 51 and HWS 17A were obtained from Dr H. Williams-Smith\*. Strain HB 1/17 is currently used for vaccine production at Onderstepoort and has been described by Botes (1964). It agglutinates in acriflavine and has a low pathogenicity for mice. Strains 5765, 5565Kl, 6792, 6785 and HB 2267 57X were available local strains. All the strains were maintained in the lyophilized state.

Virulent smooth strains: S. dublin strain 2652V was originally isolated from a case of calf paratyphoid (Botes, unpublished data, 1964). S. typhimurium strain 2656V has been described previously (Cameron & Fuls, 1974).

#### Characterization of rough strains of S. dublin

Virulence for mice: The strains to be tested were grown at 37º C on nutrient agar overnight, the growth washed off, suspended in tryptone water and nephelometrically adjusted to give a density of approximately 1×109 bacteria/ml. Five-fold dilutions of this suspension were prepared and 0,2 ml of each dilution injected intraperitoneally and subcutaneously into 6-8-week-old male mice. Deaths were recorded for 14 days.

Agglutination in acriflavine: In order to determine their degree of roughness, the ability of strains to agglutinate in acriflavine solutions was determined as described previously (Cameron, Fuls & Van Reenen, 1972).

Preparation and titration of pathogenicity of challenge strains

Since it was desirable to use challenge doses of bacteria which would cause a protracted disease with deaths occurring over a period of 10-12 days, graded doses of bacteria were injected intraperitoneally into mice and guinea-pigs in order to find satisfactory challenge doses.

The challenge strains S. dublin 2652V and S. typhimurium 2656V were grown in D15 broth (Schlect & Westphal, 1966) shake cultures at 37° C for 24h. The bacteria were collected by centrifugation, dense suspensions were prepared in BLP (Cameron & Fuls, 1974) and 1,0 ml aliquots lyophilized in vacuo.

\* Houghton Poultry Research Station, Houghton, Huntington, England

The number of viable bacteria/vial was determined by means of plate counts. Dilutions were made according to these counts to give doses of approximately  $5 \times 10^7$ ,  $1 \times 10^7$ ,  $2 \times 10^6$  and  $4 \times 10^5$  for mice and  $2, 5 \times 10^8$ ,  $1 \times 10^8$ ,  $5 \times 10^7$ ,  $2 \times 10^7$  and  $1 \times 10^7$  for guinea pigs. Groups of 10 mice and 6 guinea-pigs were injected intraperitoneally with each of the abovementioned dosages and deaths recorded for 14 days.

Based on the outcome of the titrations, appropriate doses were selected for challenging immunized animals and controls (see below).

#### Preparation of vaccines

Live fresh vaccines: All the rough S. dublin strains used for vaccine production were grown on nutrient agar at 37 °C for 24 h and the growth suspended in tryptone water. The density was nephelometrically adjusted to contain approximately 1×10<sup>9</sup> or  $2,5-3,0\times10^8$  bacteria/ml. From these suspensions 5-fold dilutions were made in tryptone water in order to obtain the desired dosage of bacteria for each particular experiment. In one experiment two combined vaccines were prepared from strains HB 1/17 and 5765. Equal numbers of each strain were mixed to give total doses of  $1 \times 10^8$  and  $5 \times 10^7$  live bacteria/ mouse.

Formalin inactivated alum precipitated vaccine: The procedure followed was exactly as described by Cameron & Fuls (1974) except that S. dublin 2656V was used instead of S. typhimurium. The final vaccines contained either 0,1% or 0,5% packed cells.

#### Immunization of experimental animals

Mice: Groups of 24 mice were immunized with varying doses of live bacteria of a number of different strains or with inactivated vaccine. Doses of 0,2 ml of either dilutions of live vaccines or inactivated vaccine were administered subcutaneously. Depending on the requirements of a particular experiment a single injection or 2 injections at an interval of 14 days were given. When 2 injections were given, the 1st was given at 4 weeks of age and the 2nd at 6 weeks of age. For the live vaccines doses of approximately  $1 \times 10^8$ ,  $5 \times 10^7$ ,  $1 \times 10^7$ ,  $2 \times 10^6$ ,  $4 \times 10^5$  and  $8 \times 10^4$  live bacteria/ mouse were employed.

Guinea-pigs: Groups of 8 or 12 guinea-pigs were used throughout and 1,0 ml of either live or inactivated vaccine was injected subcutaneously. For the live vaccines doses of either approximately  $1 \times 10^9$  or  $3 \times 10^8$  bacteria/guinea-pig were used. When 2 injections were used, they were given 14 days apart.

#### Challenge of experimental animals

Mice: Immunized mice were challenged 14 days after the last injection of vaccine. The number of animals in each group was reduced to 20 and 20 non-immunized control animals were challenged simultaneously. Ten mice in each group were challenged by injecting intraperitoneally approximately  $5 \times 10^7$  S. dublin 2652V or approximately  $3 \times 10^6$ S. typhimurium and 10 mice were challenged with approximately  $1 \times 10^7$  S. dublin 2652V or approximately  $6 \times 10^5$  S. typhimurium 2656V. Deaths were recorded for 14 days and the percentage protection calculated as outlined previously (Cameron & Fuls, 1974).

Guinea-pigs: Four or 6 animals were challenged by injecting intraperitoneally approximately 2,5×108 and 4 or 6 with approximately  $5 \times 10^7$  live bacteria of either S. dublin or S. typhimurium. Deaths were recorded for 14 days.

#### RESULTS

#### Characterization of rough strains

Agglutination in acriflavine: The agglutinability of the strains in different concentrations of acriflavine is shown in Table 1. On the basis of these results strains HWS 51, HWS 17A, HB 1/17 and HB 2267 57X can be classified as rough, while strains 5765, 5565 K1, 6792 and 6785 cannot be distinguished from virulent ones.

Virulence for mice: The pathogenicity of various dosages of S. dublin strains injected intraperitoneally and subcutaneously into mice is shown in Table 2. None of the strains was completely avirulent and strains HWS 17A and 5565 K1 in particular killed numerous mice. A noteworthy observation is that strain 6792 was the least virulent despite the fact that it did not agglutinate in acriflavine.

#### Infectivity of challenge strain

Examples of titrations of S. dublin 2652V in mice and guinea-pigs are given in Tables 3a and 3b respectively.

In mice doses of approximately  $5 \times 10^7$  and  $1 \times 10^7$ bacteria of S. dublin 2652V given intraperitoneally resulted in an infection that killed them in 3-10 days. These 2 levels of exposure were used in all the immunity experiments in mice.

TABLE 1 Agglutination	of	S.	dublin	strains	in	acriflavine	
-----------------------	----	----	--------	---------	----	-------------	--

Strain	Acriflavine concentration								
	1: 500	1:1000	1:2000	1: 5000	1:10000				
HWS 51	++++	++++	++	-	-				
HWS 17A HB 1/17	++++	+++	+++	+					
765	++++	TTTTT.	+++	-					
565 (K1)		=	-	_					
792	-	-	三	-	-				
785	-	_							
HB 2267 57X	++++	++++	++++	++					

Rapid coarse agglutination L =

Distinct agglutination -

Fine agglutination Slight agglutination -

No agglutination

TABLE 2	Virulence	of	S.	dublin	strains	for	mice	

		Intraper	ritoneally	Subcuta	neously
Strain	Bacteria/ mouse		Total deaths/ 18 mice	Deaths/6 mice	Total deaths/ 18 mice
HWS 51	$2 \times 10^{8}$ $5 \times 10^{7}$ $1 \times 10^{7}$	6 4 1	} 11	4 2 0	} 6
HWS 17A	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ \times \ 10^8 \\ 5 \ \times \ 10^7 \\ 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \end{array}$	6 5 6	} 17	5 5 1	} 11
HB 1/17	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ \times \ 10^8 \\ 5 \ \times \ 10^7 \\ 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \end{array}$	6 0 0	} 6	2 3 1	} 7
5765	$2 \times 10^{8} \\ 5 \times 10^{7} \\ 1 \times 10^{7}$	6 4 3	} 13	2 1 0	} 3
5565 K1	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ \times \ 10^8 \\ 5 \ \times \ 10^7 \\ 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \end{array}$	6 6 2	} 14	6 6 6	} 18
5792	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 \ \times \ 10^8 \ 5 \ \times \ 10^7 \ 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \end{array}$	0 3 0	} 3	1 1 1	} 3
6785	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 \ \times \ 10^8 \ 5 \ \times \ 10^7 \ 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \end{array}$	0 4 0	} 4	5 5 2	} 12
НВ 2267 57Х	$2 \times 10^{8}$ $5 \times 10^{7}$ $1 \times 10^{7}$	5 0 0	} 5	000	} 0

# TABLE 3a Titration of S. dublin 2652V in mice by the intraperitoneal route

						Cumul	ative d	eaths/10	mice					
Approximate dosage /mouse	Days													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
5 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	0	0	3	4	7	8	9	10						
L × 10 <sup>7</sup>	0	0	0	2	3	4	6	7	9	10				
2 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7
4 × 10 <sup>5</sup>	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	5	6	7	8	8	8	8

# TABLE 3b Titration of infectivity S. dublin 2652V in guinea-pigs by the intraperitoneal route

				C	umulat	ive deat	ths/6 gu	inea-pi	gs					
Approximate dosage /guinea-pig	Days													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$\begin{array}{c} 2,5 \times 10^8\\ 1 \times 10^8\\ 5 \times 10^7\\ 2 \times 10^7\\ 1 \times 10^7\\ \end{array}$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 0	5 0 2 1 0	5 2 4 4 0	64650	4 5 1	4 6 3	4	4	4	4

## IMMUNIZATION OF MICE AND GUINEA-PIGS AGAINST SALMONELLA DUBLIN INFECTION

The infectivity of different doses of *S. dublin* 2652V in guinea-pigs was more erratic. Nevertheless doses of approximately  $2,5 \times 10^8$  and  $5 \times 10^7$  bacteria consistently killed all experimental animals within 8–10 days. These challenge levels were consequently used in all the immunity experiments in guinea-pigs.

#### Immunogenicity of S. dublin strains in a live vaccine

*Mice:* The immunity induced in mice by various strains is shown in Table 4a.

The efficacy of the strains varied with the challenge and immunizing doses but the average percentage protection of all the strains at immunizing doses of approximately  $1 \times 10^7$  and  $2 \times 10^6$  was at least 57,5% (strain HWS 17A). The only exception was strain HB 2267 57X which only afforded 25% protection.

All the strains could not, however, be used in all the ensuing experiments: many had to be omitted for practical reasons. Strains HWS 17A and 5565 K1 were considered to be too virulent (Table 2) and strain 5565 K1 grows poorly, so they were discarded. The immunogenicity of the 4 remaining local strains, HB 1/17, 5765, 6792 and 6785, was very similar and an arbitrary decision was taken to employ Strains HB 1/17 and 5765 in further experiments in mice.

Guinea-pigs: As shown in Table 4b there was a marked variation in the results obtained in different experiments and it is difficult to draw definite con-

clusions. However, as in the case of mice, Strain HB 2267 57X is obviously a poor immunogen while Strains HB 1/17 and 5765 are good.

# Attempted immunization against S. typhimurium infection with S. dublin strains

*Mice:* Despite repeated experiments, it is clear from the data shown in Table 5a that none of the *S. dublin* strains afforded any appreciable immunity to challenge with *S. typhimurium* 2656V. The only interesting finding is that while Strain 5765 produces a better immunity to *S. dublin* than Strain HB 1/17 (Table 4a), the reverse is true when the mice are challenged with *S. typhimurium*.

Guinea-pigs: The results in guinea-pigs (Table 5b) were equally poor. Under our experimental conditions Strains HWS 51, HB 1/17 and 5765 were almost equally ineffective and produced only 19–25% immunity to S. typhimurium.

## Immunization with combined live S. dublin vaccines

*Mice*: Immunization with a combination of Strains HB 1/17 and 5765 was superior to either of the strains alone when the mice were challenged with *S. dublin* (Table 6a). Whereas Strains HB 1/17 and 5765 gave an immunity of 45% and 77,5% respectively, the combined vaccine protected 82,5% of mice. As found earlier the superiority of Strain 5765 over Strain HB 1/17 for mice is noteworthy (Table 4a).

TABLE 4a Comparison of the immunogenicity of S. dublin rough mutants for mice against infection with S. dubl	in 2652V
--	----------

Strain	Approximate vaccine dose	%Protection to challenge with $\pm$ 5 × 10° bacteria	% Protection to challenge with $\pm 1 \times 10^7$ bacteria	Average % protection
HWS 51	$\begin{array}{c}1\times10^{7}\\2\times10^{6}\end{array}$	80 40	80 80	} 70
	$4  imes 10^5 \ 8  imes 10^4$	nt nt	nt nt	} nt
HWS. 17A	$egin{array}{cccc} 1 &  imes 10^7 \ 2 &  imes 10^6 \ 4 &  imes 10^5 \ 8 &  imes 10^4 \end{array}$	20 100 nt nt	20 90 nt nt	} 57,5 } nt
НВ 1/17	$egin{array}{cccc} 1 \  imes \ 10^7 \ 2 \  imes \ 10^6 \ 4 \  imes \ 10^5 \ 8 \  imes \ 10^4 \end{array}$	40 60 40 0	80 60 60 0	<pre></pre>
5765	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \\ 2 \ \times \ 10^6 \\ 4 \ \times \ 10^5 \\ 8 \ \times \ 10^4 \end{array}$	100 60 40 20	80 60 60 60	<pre></pre>
5565 (K1)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \\ 2 \ \times \ 10^6 \\ 4 \ \times \ 10^5 \\ 8 \ \times \ 10^4 \end{array}$	80 100 60 20	80 80 80 20	<pre>85 45</pre>
5792	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \\ 2 \ \times \ 10^6 \\ 4 \ \times \ 10^5 \\ 8 \ \times \ 10^4 \end{array}$	60 60 nt nt	60 60 nt nt	} 60 } nt
5785	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ \times \ 10^7 \\ 2 \ \times \ 10^6 \\ 4 \ \times \ 10^5 \\ 8 \ \times \ 10^4 \end{array}$	100 40 nt nt	80 80 nt nt	} 75 } nt
HB 2267 57 X	$egin{array}{cccc} 1 \  imes \ 10^7 \ 2 \  imes \ 10^6 \ 4 \  imes \ 10^5 \ 8 \  imes \ 10^4 \end{array}$	0 0 0 20	40 60 80 20	<pre>     25     30 </pre>

nt = not tested

TADIE	A .1	C C 1 1 1	
TABLE 4b Comparison of	the immunogenicity	of V dublin rough	strains for minea-plos
THELE TO COMPANISON OF	i ine minunogementy	or b. <i>aubun</i> tough	strains for guinea-Digs

Vaccine strain	Experiment No.	Survivors/4 guinea- pigs to challenge with $\pm 2.5 \times 10^8$ bacteria	Survivors/4 guineapigs to challenge with $\pm$ 5 $\times$ 10 <sup>7</sup> bacteria	Total survivors
HWS 51	12	0	2 }	4/16
НВ 1/17	1 2 3 4	4 1 2 0	$\left.\begin{array}{c}3\\4\\2\\2\end{array}\right\}$	<sup>18</sup> / <sub>32</sub>
5765	1 2 3 4	4 0 0 0	$\left.\begin{array}{c}4\\1\\0\\2\end{array}\right\}$	11/32
5565	1 2	0	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 3\\2 \end{array} \right\}$	5/16
5792	1	1	4	5/8
5785	1	2	2	5/8
HB 2267 57X	1	0	0	0/8
Controls	1 2 3 4	0 0 0 0		0/32

All guinea-pigs were immunized with approximately  $3 \times 10^8$  bacteria

TABLE 5a Protection of mice immunized with S. dublin strains and challenged with S. typhimurium 2656V

Vaccine strain	Experiment No.	Approximate dosage/mouse	% protection to challenge with $\pm 3 \times 10^6$ bacteria	% protection to challenge with $\pm 6 \times 10^{5}$ bacteria	Average % Protection
HWS 51	1 2 3 4	$5 \times 10^{7}$ $5 \times 10^{7}$ $5 \times 10^{7}$ $5 \times 10^{7}$ $5 \times 10^{7}$	0 40 40 20	20 30 10 0	} 20
НВ 1/17	1 2 3 4 5	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \times 10^{7} \\ 1 \times 10^{7} \end{array}$	80 20 0 0 50	70 50 0 10 40	} 28,75
5765	1 2 3 4 5	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \times 10^{7} \\ 5 \times 10^{7} \\ 5 \times 10^{7} \\ 5 \times 10^{7} \\ 1 \times 10^{7} \end{array}$	20 20 10 0 20	60 40 20 10 10	} 22,5

TABLE 5b Attempted immunization of guinea pigs with S. dublin rough mutants against challenge with S. typhimurium 2656V

Vaccine strain	Experiment No.	Survivors/4 guinea- pigs challenged with $\pm 2,5 \times 10^8$ bacteria	Survivors/4 guinea- pigs challenged with $\pm 5 \times 10^7$ bacteria	Total survivors
HWS 51	12	12	0 1	} 4/16
НВ 1/17	1 2 3 4	0 0 0 0	4 2 0 2	8/32
5765	1 2 3 4	0 0 1 0	1 1 2 1	6/32
Controls	1 2 3 4	0 0 0 0		0/32

All guinea-pigs were immunized with  $\pm$  3 imes 10<sup>8</sup> live bacteria subcutaneoulsy

# IMMUNIZATION OF MICE AND GUINEA-PIGS AGAINST SALMONELLA DUBLIN INFECTION

Vaccine strains	Total vaccine dose/mouse	% protection against challenge with ±5 × 10 <sup>7</sup> S. dublin bacteria	% protection against challenge with $\pm 1 \times 10^7$ S. dublin bacteria	Average % protection against challenge with S. dublin	% protection against challenge with $\pm 3 \times 10^6$ S. tm bacteria	% protection against challenge with $\pm 6 \times 10^{5}$ S. tm bacteria	Average % protection against challenge with S. tm
HB 1/17 HB 1/17	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \times 10^8 \\ 5 \times 10^7 \end{array}$	10 30	70 70	} 45	30	40	} 37,5
5765 5765	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \times 10^8 \\ 5 \times 10^7 \end{array}$	70 80	90 70	} 77,5	60 50	10 30	} 37,5
Combined	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \times 10^{8} \\ 5 \times 10^{7} \end{array}$	50 80	100 100	} 82,5	40 50	30 30	} 37,5

TABLE 6a Immunization of mice with combined S. dublin live vaccines against challenge with S. dublin and S. typhimurium

S. tm = S. typhimurium

TABLE 6b Immunization of guinea-pigs with combined S. dublin live vaccines against challenge with S. dublin and S. typhimurium

Vaccine strain	Survivors/6 guinea-pigs challenged with $\pm 2,5 \times 10^{8}$ S. dublin bacteria	Survivors/6 guinea-pigs challenged with $\pm 5 \times 10^7$ S. dublin bacteria	Total Survivors/12 guinea-pigs challenged with S. dublin	Survivors/6 guinea-pigs challenged with $\pm 2,5 \times 10^8$ S. tm bacteria	Survivors/6 guinea-pigs challenged with $\pm 5 \times 10^7$ S. tm bacteria	Total Survivors/12 guinea-pigs challenged with S. tm
HB 1/17	2	5	7	2	5	7
5765	0	1	1	1	4	5
Combined	2	5	7	0	3	3
Controls	0	0	0	0	0	0

All guinea-pigs were immunized with  $\pm 1 \times 10^{\rm o}$  live bacteria subcutaneously S. tm = S. typhimurium

TABLE 7a Immunization of mice with formalin-inactivated	S. dublin vaccine against challenge with S. dublin and S. typhimurium
---	---

Exp. No.	Vaccine concen- tration (% pcv)	No. of injections		% Protection to challenge with $\pm 1 \times 10^7$ S. dublin bacteria	Average % protection to challenge with S. dublin	% protection to challenge with $\pm 3 \times 10^{6}$ S. tm bacteria	% protection to challenge with $\pm 6 \times 10^5$ S. tm bacteria	Average % protection to challenge with S. tm
1 2 3 4	0,1 0,5 0,5 0,5	2 1 1 2	20 50 100 100	0 60 80 60	10 } 75	nt 50 50 80	nt 50 80 50	

S. tm = S. typhimurium

TABLE 7b Immunization of guinea-pigs with formalin-inactivated S. dublin vaccine against infec	tion with S. dublin and S. typhimurium
--	--

Experiment No.	Vaccine concen- tration (% pcv)	No. of injections	$Survivors/4guinea-pigschallengedwith\pm 2,5 \times 10^8S. dublinbacteria$	Survivors/4 guinea-pigs challenged with $\pm 5 \times 10^7$ S. dublin bacteria	Total survivors challenged with S. dublin	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Survivors/4}\\ \text{guinea-pigs}\\ \text{challenged}\\ \text{with}\\ \pm 2,5 \times 10^8\\ S. tm\\ \text{bacteria} \end{array}$	Survivors/4 guinea-pigs challenged with $\pm 5 \times 10^7$ S. tm bacteria	Total survivors challenged with S. tm
1 2 3	0,1 0,5 0,5	1 1 1	0000	2 0 0	2/24	nt nt nt	nt nt nt	
4	0,1 0,5	2 1	0	0 2	} 2/16	0	0 0	} 0/16
Controls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

nt - not tested

Combination of the 2 above-mentioned strains had no beneficial effect when the mice were challenged with *S. typhimurium*. In fact all the groups showed an average protection of 37, 5% (Table 6a).

*Guinea-pigs:* The results in guinea-pigs were essentially similar (Table 6b). As shown previously (Table 4b), Strain HB 1/17 was superior to Strain 5765 with respect to homologous challenge while they were essentially identical with respect to heterologous challenge (Table 5b). In neither instance was any advantage gained by combining the 2 strains.

#### Immunization with formalin-inactivated alum-precipitated vaccines

*Mice:* A vaccine containing 0,1% packed cells was ineffective, but a single injection of vaccine containing 0,5% packed cells consistently gave a good protection (Table 7a). The administration of 2 injections did not improve the degree of protection. Moreover, the inactivated vaccine also protected an average of 60% of the mice against challenge with *S. typhimurium*, which is appreciably superior to the 20-28,5% obtained with live vaccines (Table 5a).

*Guinea-pigs:* The results obtained with formalin inactivated vaccines in guinea-pigs were very poor (Table 7b). When immunized animals were challenged with *S. dublin*, a protection of less than 8% was recorded and no cross protection whatsoever could be demonstrated against *S. typhimurium*.

#### DISCUSSION

Despite the availability and reported efficacy of S. dublin live vaccine (Botes, 1964), calf paratyphoid has remained a major problem (Cameron, unpublished data, 1974), especially in calf-rearing establishments where injudicious administration of antibiotics probably jeopardizes the immunogenicity of a live Moreover, cases of paratyphoid are vaccine. periodically encountered in calves under 2 weeks of age before they can be effectively immunized by means of a live vaccine. Previously it has been found that a safe live S. typhimurium vaccine could not be formulated (Cameron & Fuls, 1974) and this precluded the production of a combined live S. dublin/S. typhimurium vaccine. Attention was therefore directed towards either finding an S. dublin strain that also protects against S. typhimurium or formulating an effective inactivated vaccine.

A number of important observations were made relating to Salmonella immunity in general and S. dublin immunity in particular. It was found that certain strains of S. dublin, such as 5765, 6792 and 6785, do not agglutinate in acriflavine although they have a low virulence for mice. They are not, therefore, typical rough strains, such as Strains HB 1/17 and HWS 51, and cannot readily be distinguished from virulent strains. Consequently it would be difficult to employ them for routine vaccine production. On the other hand not all rough strains are immunogenic, e.g. Strain HB 2267 57X. Similar poorly immunogenic strains of S. typhimurium have been described previously (Cameron & Fuls, 1974). Another interesting finding was the discrepancy in immunogenicity of Strains HB 1/17 and 5765 for mice and guinea-pigs. Whereas Strain 5765 was superior to Strain HB 1/17 in mice, the reverse was true in guinea-pigs. Conversely, although of a low order, Strain HB 1/17 produced a better cross immunity to *S. typhimurium* in mice than Strain 5765.

Furthermore, we were unable to obtain the same degree of cross immunity that was reported by Smith (1965) in mice. He demonstrated that his strain of S. dublin (HWS 51) produced a good cross protection to both S. typhimurium and S. cholerae-suis. We could not confirm these findings either with S. dublin strain HWS 51 or with our strains. Similarly Smith & Halls (1966) reported that Strain HWS 51 would protect guinea-pigs against S. typhimurium and S. choleraesuis. Although our results were similar to theirs with respect to homologous challenge with S. dublin we were unable to demonstrate cross protection to challenge with S. typhimurium.

Our results with inactivated vaccines in mice agree with those of Henning (1953), who was also able to demonstrate a good immunity to homologous challenge. As in the case of live vaccines there was, however, a marked species variation. Whereas an inactivated S. dublin vaccine afforded a high level of immunity to both S. dublin and S. typhimurium in mice, it was ineffective in guinea-pigs. The results obtained in 1 species cannot, therefore, be extrapolated to another.

In the light of the finding that individual species vary in their response to *Salmonella* immunization a reassessment of the efficacy of both live and inactivated vaccines must be undertaken in calves.

#### REFERENCES

- BOTES, H. J. W., 1964. Calf paratyphoid immunity: Evaluation of formol-killed and live attenuated vaccines. Bull. Off. int. Epiz., 62, 581-605.
- CAMERON, C. M. & FULS, W. J. P., 1974. Comparative study on the immunogenicity of live and inactivated Salmonella typhimurium vaccines in mice. Onderstepoort J. vet. Res. 41,81-92.
- CAMERON, C. M., FULS, W. J. P. & VAN REENEN, LUCILLE, 1972. Characterization of eight rough mutants of Salmonella gallinarum. Onderstepoort J. vet. Res., 34, 139–146.
- HENNING, M. W. 1953. Calf paratyphoid II. Artificial immunization. Onderstepoort J. vet. Res., 26, 25-44.
- SCHLECT, S. & WESTPHAL, O., 1966. Wachestum und lipopolysaccharid (O-Antigen)-gehalt von Salmonellen bei züchtung auf Agarnährböden. Abl. Bakt. I. Orig., 200, 241–259.
- SMITH, H. W., 1965. The immunization of mice, calves and pigs against Salmonella dublin and S. cholerae-suis infections. J. Hyg. Camb., 63, 117–135.
- SMITH, H. W. & HALLS, SHEILA, 1966. The immunity produced by a rough Salmonella dublin variant against Salmonella typhimurium and Salmonella cholerae-suis infection in guinea-pigs. J. Hyg. Camb., 64, 357-359.

Printed by and obtainable from The Government Printer, Private Bag X85, Pretoria, 0001