Landing Preference and Reproduction of Rhopalosiphum padi (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in the Laboratory on Three Maize, Potato, and Wheat Cultivars
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Current preventative measures include the use of seed with low PVY incidence, because aphids tend to land in high numbers at the edge of a field and the crop border acts as a virus sink. This study determined R. padi landing and settling preferences and reproductive rates on three cultivars of maize and wheat compared with potato in the laboratory as a basis for identifying an attractive crop border plant. Aphids were reared on maize and wheat to control for bias due to previous experience. Irrespective of origin, alates preferred to land almost exclusively on maize and wheat rather than on potato cultivars in choice experiments. Aphid settling on the maize and wheat cultivars depended on aphid origin. In no-choice experiments, R. padi produced the highest number of offspring on the potato cultivars, irrespective of origin. Plant nitrogen content and trichome density did not influence R. padi reproduction. The study demonstrates that host plant preference of aphids may vary between plant cultivars and can therefore influence the effectiveness of a crop border. The high landing rate and reproduction suggest that maize cultivars ‘6Q-121’ and ‘78-158’ could be suitable crop border plants in regions where R. padi is abundant. Before testing potential crop border plants in the field, cultivars should be screened using aphid landing, settling and reproduction as selection criteria.
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**ABSTRACT.** The bird cherry—oat aphid Rhopalosiphum padi (L.) transmits the nonpersistent Potato virus Y (PVY) to seed potatoes. Planting a nonvirus host plant around the main crop can reduce PVY incidence, because aphids tend to land in high numbers at the edge of a field and the crop border acts as a virus sink. This study determined R. padi landing and settling preferences and reproductive rates on three cultivars of maize and wheat compared with potato in the laboratory as a basis for identifying an attractive crop border plant. Aphids were reared on maize and wheat to control for bias due to previous experience. Irrespective of origin, alates preferred to land almost exclusively on maize and wheat rather than on potato cultivars in choice experiments. Aphid settling on the maize and wheat cultivars depended on aphid origin. In no-choice experiments, R. padi produced the highest number of offspring on the potato cultivars, irrespective of origin. Plant nitrogen content and trichome density did not influence R. padi reproduction. The study demonstrates that host plant preference of aphids may vary between plant cultivars and can therefore influence the effectiveness of a crop border. The high landing rate and reproduction suggest that maize cultivars ‘6Q-121’ and ‘78-158’ could be suitable crop border plants in regions where R. padi is abundant. Before testing potential crop border plants in the field, cultivars should be screened using aphid landing, settling and reproduction as selection criteria.
landed on maize and wheat than potato, the relative attractiveness of \textit{R. padi} to different maize and wheat cultivars was not considered (Schröder and Krüger 2014). It is known that aphid preference may vary between plant cultivars of the same species (Storer et al. 1993; Storer and van Emden 1995; Alla et al. 2003), and using a more attractive cultivar that supports a low population density may increase the number of aphids landing in the border crop without becoming a source of aphid vectors. Therefore, the relative attractiveness of different crop cultivars compared with the main crop needs to be considered when evaluating potential trap crops to be used as crop border plants. This study evaluated the landing and settling preferences, and reproduction of \textit{R. padi} on three cultivars each of maize, potato, and wheat in a laboratory study as a basis for identifying wheat and maize varieties with the best combination of attractiveness to \textit{R. padi} and low population density.

Materials and Methods

\textbf{Plants.} Three cultivars each of maize (cultivars ‘CRN 3505’, ‘6Q-121’, and ‘78-15B’), wheat (cultivars ‘Duzi’, ‘Kariga’, and ‘Krokodil’), and potato (cultivars ‘BP1’, ‘Hertha’, and ‘Mondial’) were used in the experiments. Three potato cultivars were included to control for possible effects of previous experience, separate experiments were produced on the respective host plants before being used in the experiments. Plants were grown in an autoclaved soil mixture consisting of river sand and coco peat in a ratio of 4:1 in 12.5-cm-diameter pots. Three maize seeds and two rows of wheat seeds, each row containing 10 seeds, of the same cultivar were sown into pots. The seeds were treated with fungicides. In addition, two presprouted potato mini tubers of the same cultivar were planted per pot. The number of seeds and tubers was chosen to ensure that all plants had a comparable leaf area when used in the experiments. No pesticides were applied to the plants during the study. Agricultural lime (5 ml per pot) and slow release fertilizer [c. 1.6 g per pot; Grovida, Khula Kahle Fruit and Flower, N:P:K (3:1:5)] were added to the soil upon planting. Two weeks after planting, a weekly foliage treatment of micronutrients (Trelmix trace element solution) was applied to the plants according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The plants were grown in a climate controlled room at 25 \(^\circ\)C, ambient relative humidity (RH), and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. The maize and wheat plants were used in experiments at growth stages 11 and 12 with two to three leaves unfolded, and the potato plants at growth stages 17 and 18 with seven and eight leaves unfolded (Meier 2001). The leaf area was determined with a leaf area meter (Li-3100C, Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA).

\textbf{Insects.} A culture of \textit{R. padi} was established at the University of Pretoria in 2009 with aphids obtained from a culture maintained on wheat at the Agricultural Research Council—Small Grain Institute (ARC—SGI) in Bethlehem, South Africa. The aphids were originally collected from wheat plants at the Tygerhoek experimental farm, Riviersonderend, Western Cape (34\(^\circ\)9’ S, 19\(^\circ\)54’ E), and supplemented with individuals collected from wheat. Aphids were reared in ventilated wooden cages with a glass panel at the top (45 x 55 x 32 cm) in a climate-controlled room at 22 \(^\circ\)C, ambient RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. The insects were reared either on mixed cultivars of maize or on mixed cultivars of wheat. Aphids were reared on respective host plants for more than 6 months before use in experiments. \textit{R. padi} takes 6 and 22 d to complete a generation at 13 and 26 \(^\circ\)C, respectively (Villanueva and Strong 1964). Therefore, several generations of \textit{R. padi} were produced on the respective host plants before being used in experiments and were thus adapted to both host plant and environmental conditions.

Aphid virginaeapar were produced by crowding the aphids on plants. Only actively moving/walking alates of various ages were collected with a paint brush from the top glass panel of the cages and carefully placed into a glass vial with a gauze covered opening in the lid. To control for possible effects of previous experience, separate experiments were carried out with aphids reared on maize and wheat.

\textbf{Landing and Settling Preference, and Reproduction—Choice Experiment.} For aphids reared on wheat, five rows of plants were placed in a randomized block design in a light gray-walled climate-controlled room with a gray cement floor. Each row contained nine pots, each with one of the plant cultivars, placed 40 cm apart. Alatae aphids were released by placing glass vials containing the aphids with their lids removed on release podiums (height: 30 cm). In total, 115 alate aphids reared on wheat were released evenly within the five rows of plants for each replicate. The aphids were released in groups of 10 aphids for every four plants, except for the uneven rows where five aphids were released between groups of two plants, at plant canopy height to ensure that each plant had an equal chance of aphids landing and colonizing. The experiment was carried out at 24.1 \pm 0.1 \(^\circ\)C, 50.6 \pm 2.7\% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h (cool white fluorescent lights; Osram, Indonesia). The experiment was repeated five times.

Aphids reared on maize produced a considerably lower number of alates than those reared on wheat, and the experimental design was adjusted accordingly. Pots with plants were arranged in a circle consisting of one pot of each plant cultivar placed randomly. Twenty-three alate aphids reared on maize were released in the center of the plant circle at plant canopy height. The experiment was carried out in a climate-controlled room under the conditions described earlier, except that a gauze cage (80 x 80 x 30 cm) was placed over the plants on the floor. The experiment was repeated five times.

For both aphids reared on wheat and on maize, alates were counted on the plants 4 h (landing) and 24 h (settling) postrelease. The time that alate \textit{R. padi} took to land on plants after being released was determined in a pilot study in which the aphids were observed until they landed on plants. Aphids were left to reproduce for 14 d, after which the number of nymphs and apterous adults produced on each plant was counted.

\textbf{Aphid Reproduction—No-Choice Experiment.} The three maize and wheat cultivars were randomly arranged in six rows in a greenhouse, with each row containing one of each crop cultivar. A modified ventilated 2 liters plastic bottle was placed over the plants in each pot to contain the aphids. Potato was excluded because it is not a host plant for \textit{R. padi} and no offspring were recorded in the choice experiment. Five actively moving adults were collected in small glass vials, and the vials were placed on the soil in each pot where the aphids were allowed to move freely onto the plants. The study was undertaken separately with alate from maize and from wheat, as well as apterous from maize and from wheat. The greenhouse temperature was 20.5 \pm 0.6 \(^\circ\)C, 43.1 \pm 1.5\% RH at midday, with average maximum and minimum temperatures of 24.8 \pm 0.6 \(^\circ\)C and 10.3 \pm 0.5 \(^\circ\)C, a maximum and minimum of 71.9 \pm 1.5\% and 26.8 \pm 1.5\% RH, and with natural light conditions from May to August 2012. The number of aphids was counted at 24-h intervals for 14 consecutive days. The experiment was replicated five times.

\textbf{Nitrogen Analysis.} To determine the nitrogen content of maize and wheat cultivars, leaves of a subset of plants were weighed and dried in an oven at 55 \(^\circ\)C for 48 h. The dried plant material was weighed using a Mettle Toledo PB303-L (Mettler-Toledo AG, Laboratory & Weighing Technologies, Greifensee, Switzerland) scale and ground into a fine powder with a Tector sample mill (Cyclotec 1093, Foss Tector AB, Höganas, Sweden). To obtain enough material for the analysis, leaves from five plants were pooled to form a replicate. Five replicate samples of each cultivar were submitted for nitrogen analysis. The nitrogen content of the plants was determined at the UP Nutrilab, Department of Animal Science, University of Pretoria, with the Dumas method (AOAC 2000). Potato was excluded from the nitrogen analysis because \textit{R. padi} did not set or reproduce on potato during the choice trial.

\textbf{Trichome Density.} To determine leaf trichome density, 1-cm-long sections were cut from the leaf blades across the width of maize and wheat leaves. The trichome density of potato leaves was not determined because \textit{R. padi} did not set or reproduce on potato during the choice trial. The leaf sections were cleared in a 1:1 (v:v) mixture of phenol and chloral hydrate for 24 h. Leaf sections were transferred to lactic acid
and mounted on microscope slides (Hoxie et al. 1975). Photographs of the trichomes were taken using a Nikon Optihot microscope and a Nikon digital camera (DXM 1200F) (Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan) at 40× magnification. All trichomes on the abaxial side of the leaf surface along the midvein as well as the leaf midsection were counted in 1 × 1 mm squares. Aphids feed on the abaxial side of leaves, therefore the adaxial leaf surfaces was excluded.

**Statistical Analyses.** The numbers of landing and settling aphids, as well as the number of offspring produced on the three maize, potato, and wheat cultivars in the choice experiment with *R. padi* reared on maize were analyzed with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The data on *R. padi* reared on wheat were analyzed with a nested ANOVA with cultivar as factor and landing, settling, and reproduction as variates. Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test was used to separate means. Potato was excluded from all analyses because only one aphid landed on one of the cultivars, and none settled or reproduced on potato. For the no-choice experiment, linear mixed model repeated measurement analysis was used to determine differences in aphid counts on maize and wheat cultivars over 14 d. The counts were transformed by \( \log_{e}(x + 0.5) \) to normalize data and stabilize treatment variances. Fisher's LSD test was used to determine differences in aphid counts on maize and wheat cultivars over 14 d. The counts were transformed by \( \log_{e}(x + 0.5) \) to normalize data and stabilize treatment variances. Fisher's LSD test was used to distinguish between means (LSD test: \( P < 0.05 \)).

For *R. padi* reared on maize, the number of alates landing did not differ significantly between the maize and wheat cultivars (\( F_{5,41} = 1.73, P = 0.16; \) Fig. 1a). However, the number of alates settling on wheat ‘Kariega’ was approximately three times higher than settling on maize ‘6Q-121’ and six times higher than alates settling on maize ‘78-15B’ and wheat ‘Duzi’ (\( F_{5,41} = 2.97, P = 0.03; \) Fig. 1b). No significant differences were observed in the number of adult apterae and nymphs produced after 14 d (\( F_{5,41} = 1.34, P = 0.274; \) Fig. 1a).

For *R. padi* reared on wheat, a similar number of alatae landed on the three maize and wheat cultivars (\( F_{5,149} = 0.49, P = 0.785; \) Fig. 1c). However, the number of alate aphids that settled on wheat ‘Krokodil’ was more than twice that settling on the three maize cultivars (\( F_{5,149} = 3.22, P = 0.009; \) Fig. 1d). After 14 d the number of *R. padi* nymphs and adult apterae was significantly higher on wheat ‘Kariega’ than on any other maize or wheat cultivar, and higher on wheat ‘Duzi’ and ‘Krokodil’ compared with the maize cultivars (\( F_{5,149} = 19.80, P < 0.001; \) Fig. 1b).

**Aphid Reproduction—No-Choice Experiment.** Reproduction of *R. padi* on the three different maize and wheat cultivars was significantly influenced by plant cultivar, and also by the origin of the aphids (morph and plant species). Significant interactions were observed between the number of days and origin, and between origin and plant cultivar (\( P < 0.05; \) Table 1). Over the 14-d trial period, significantly higher numbers of *R. padi* were recorded on the three wheat cultivars in comparison to the three maize cultivars (Table 3). The origin of *R. padi* had a significant effect on the number of adults and nymphs counted over 14 d, with the highest number produced from apterae reared on wheat, followed by alatae reared on maize and wheat and apterae reared on maize (Table 1; Fig. 1).

*R. padi* alatae reared on maize and wheat produced a significantly higher number of offspring on the three wheat cultivars compared with the three maize cultivars (Fig. 1a and b). Likewise, the number of offspring produced by *R. padi* apterae originating from both maize and wheat was significantly higher on the three wheat cultivars compared with the three maize cultivars (Fig. 1c and d). In addition, for *R. padi* apterae originating from maize, the number of offspring produced was significantly lower on maize ‘6Q-121’ than maize ‘78-15B’ (Table 1; Fig. 1c).
Nitrogen Analysis. No significant differences were found in leaf nitrogen content of the three maize and wheat cultivars \( (F_{3,24} = 1.77, \ P = 0.16; \text{Table 2}) \).

Trichome Density. No trichomes were found on the leaves of the three maize cultivars. However, intraspecific differences in trichome density were found in wheat cultivars for both the midvein \( (F_{2,27} = 8.8, \ P < 0.01; \text{Table 2}) \) and the middle section of the leaf \( (F_{2,26} = 11.83, \ P < 0.01; \text{Table 2}) \). Along the midvein of the leaves, trichome density of wheat 'Krokodiil' was significantly lower than in the wheat cultivars 'Kariega' and 'Duzi'. In the middle section of the leaves between the midvein and the edge of the leaf blade, wheat 'Duzi' had the highest trichome density, followed by the wheat cultivars 'Kariega' and 'Krokodiil'.

Discussion

Developing nonpersistent virus control strategies, such as the use of crop border plants, relies on an understanding of interactions between the insect vector and crop plant. In this study, we show that \( R. \ padi \) landed in similar numbers on maize and wheat cultivars, regardless of the plant species they originated from, whereas only one individual landed on the potato cultivars. Moreover, \( R. \ padi \) showed intraspecific differences in settling and reproduction rates between the maize and wheat cultivars, depending on the plant species they originated from. This suggests that alate \( R. \ padi \) use plant cues to orientate toward their host but will only make a final choice among host plants after plant contact and initial probing has taken place. In a field study on aphid plant preference, a higher number of \( R. \ padi \) landed on maize, wheat and lucerne (nonhost plant) than in potato plots, but colonized (settled and reproduced) maize and wheat (Schröder and Krüger 2014). Taken together, the results of the cited field study and the current laboratory study suggest that maize and wheat are suitable crop border plants for potato.

After plant contact and gustatory evaluation, arrestment or take-off occurs depending on the plant characteristics perceived by the aphids (Bruce et al. 2005; Powell et al. 2006). In this study, \( R. \ padi \) alatae landed in similar numbers on maize and wheat cultivars but subsequently settled in significantly different numbers on these cultivars. More individuals settled on wheat 'Kariega' than maize '6Q-121', '78-15B' and wheat 'Duzi' when reared on maize. Aphids reared on wheat settled in higher numbers on wheat 'Krokodiil' than maize cultivars. The difference in the number of aphids settling between the three maize cultivars and wheat 'Duzi' was not significant, supporting previous findings that settling is influenced by further evaluation of plant surface characteristics and gustatory cues perceived during initial probing behavior (Kennedy et al. 1959; Orlob 1961; Powell 1991). The change in aphid behavior between dispersal flight and landing or settling on a plant is gradual, so that an aphid may take several short flights before being behaviorally ready to settle (Kennedy et al. 1961; Kennedy and Booth 1963). This repeated alighting and taking-off favors the spread of nonpersistent viruses, such as PVY (Kennedy et al. 1959; Kennedy and Booth 1963; Swenson 1968). Once the aphid has landed it probes the plant during the plant contact evaluation phase, which is sufficient for aphids to lose the ability to transmit the virus, and is therefore unlikely to contribute to virus spread when taking-off from the crop border plant (Powell 1991; Powell et al. 1992; DiFonzo et al. 1996). Therefore, planting a crop border plant that is attractive to alate aphids and that is a nonvirus host combines two mechanisms of crop borders; trap crop and virus sink (Hooks and Fereres 2006). Strengthening the edge effect by attracting aphids to the border crop away from the main crop has the potential to reduce aphid activity and in turn reduce the risk of PVY incidence in potato fields.

Using trap crops as border crops may cause pest populations to increase and become a source of alate aphids (Hokkanen 1991; Müller et al. 2001). It is therefore important to select a plant on which aphid population increase is low. From among the maize and wheat cultivars combined, \( R. \ padi \) reared on wheat plants settled most frequently on wheat 'Krokodiil' and reproduced most successfully on the wheat cultivars evaluated. Aphids reared on maize, on the other hand, settled most frequently on wheat 'Kariega', in comparison to maize '6Q-121', '78-15B' and wheat 'Duzi'. However, no difference was found in the number of offspring produced between the maize and wheat cultivars.

### Table 1. Test statistics generated from the linear mixed model repeated measurement analysis of the number of \( Rhopalosiphum padi \) offspring recorded over 14 d on maize 'CRN 3505', '78-15B', '6Q-121' and wheat 'Duzi', 'Kariega', and 'Krokodiil'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed term</th>
<th>Wald statistic</th>
<th>n.d.f.</th>
<th>F statistic</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>1,160.54</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>386.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivar</td>
<td>112.82</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days × origin</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days × cultivar</td>
<td>26.26</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin × cultivar</td>
<td>271.52</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days × origin × cultivar</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( R. \ padi \) was reared on maize and wheat.

### Table 2. Nitrogen content of three maize and wheat cultivars and trichome density of three wheat cultivars (mean ± SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop Cultivar</th>
<th>Nitrogen content (g/100 g dry mass)</th>
<th>Trichome density (count per mm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midleaf abaxial surface</td>
<td>Midvein abaxial surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize '6Q-121'</td>
<td>4.41 ± 0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'CRN 3505'</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.22</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'78-15B'</td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.24</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat 'Duzi'</td>
<td>5.16 ± 0.27</td>
<td>48.4 ± 8.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Kariega'</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.34</td>
<td>31.9 ± 1.36b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Krokodiil'</td>
<td>5.41 ± 0.21</td>
<td>17.3 ± 3.61b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Letters within columns indicate significant differences (LSD test: \( P < 0.05 \)).
The higher number of offspring produced on wheat cultivars by aphids reared on wheat could have been due to the higher number of aphids settling on the wheat cultivars rather than wheat being a more suitable host plant. However, in the no-choice trial, the number of offspring produced was higher on wheat than maize for *R. padi* reared on either maize or wheat. Several studies have reported that *R. padi* prefers barley or rye to wheat, as these cereals are more suitable for reproduction (Leather and Dixon 1982; Farrell and Stufkens 1989). However, these studies did not include maize. The results of the present study indicate that wheat may be a more suitable host for *R. padi* than maize. However, this could be due to the aphid population being better adapted to wheat than maize.

The feeding and oviposition preferences of an insect can be modiﬁed by a host plant that it has been previously exposed to Guldemond (1990), Barron (2001), and Gorur et al. (2007). This had a discernible effect on settling and reproductive behavior of *R. padi*. Aphids reared on wheat reproduced higher numbers on wheat in both choice and no-choice experiments. Differences in reproductive behavior are unlikely to result from a genetic effect or due to environmental factors such as cues transmitted by the parental host plant (Guldemond 1990; Barron 2001). Our ﬁndings suggest that wheat has a stronger conditioning effect than maize.

Both wheat and maize are used as rotational crops (crops planted in the same field after a potato planting to avoid accumulation of pathogens in the soil) in producing seed potatoes, and *R. padi* may therefore originate from both crops as well as grasses. However, wheat is a winter crop and maize a summer crop in South Africa. Maize would thus be better suited as a crop border plant on which aphid populations do not rapidly reach high levels. However, wheat may be used in regions where potatoes are planted during the winter months.

Plant characteristics such as nitrogen content and trichomes have been found to influence aphid reproduction and population density (Roberts and Foster 1983; Bethke et al. 1998; Ponder et al. 2001). It is unlikely that plant nitrogen content contributed to the observed differences in reproduction in our study because no differences were found in the nitrogen content of the plants tested. Differences in trichome density were found between the wheat cultivars, but no relationship was found between trichome density and reproduction of *R. padi*. In the choice trials, the higher trichome density in wheat ‘Duzi’ may have contributed to the lower number of *R. padi* produced in comparison to wheat ‘Kariega’. However, no difference was observed in the number of *R. padi* produced on the three wheat cultivars in the no-choice trials. Roberts and Foster (1983) observed a negative relationship between trichome density and aphid numbers in the wheat cultivars studied. It is unlikely that plants with a high trichome density will be good crop border plants because aphids may not transmit the virus before leaving the plant. That said, the maize cultivars did not have any trichomes and the reproduction rate of *R. padi* in the present study was generally lower on the maize cultivars than the wheat cultivars, indicating that other factors may also be involved.

In summary, *R. padi* preferred to land on maize and wheat cultivars compared with potato. Wheat ‘Kariega’ and ‘Krokodil’ may be more suitable as crop border plants than the three maize cultivars based on aphid settling rates. However, the three wheat cultivars may be inferior because they supported higher aphid numbers than maize. An accumulation of aphids on the border crop will result in high aphid populations, heightening the risk of virus transmission due to increased aphid activity. Therefore, the maize cultivars may be more suitable as crop border plants in potato-producing regions where *R. padi* is abundant. Consequently, it may be necessary to adapt the strategy for different regions by selecting an attractive border plant suitable for the major vector aphid species in the region.

The study contributes to the development of selection criteria for crop border plants. A crop border plant should be more attractive to the main aphid vectors in a potato growing region than the main crop and support a relatively low aphid population. In addition, plant characteristics such as trichome density can aid in selecting potential crop border plants. Before testing a plant in the field, such characteristics and selection criteria can be used to screen potential crop border plant species and cultivars in the laboratory. It would be beneficial to the development of crop border plants to identify further such characteristics that

![Graph A](image1.png)  
**Fig. 3.** Number of *R. padi* offspring (mean ± SE) from alatae reared on maize (A) or wheat (B) and apterae reared on maize (C) or wheat (D) recorded daily over 14 d on three maize and three wheat cultivars.
can be used to develop crop border selection criteria, taking aphid behavior into consideration as well as current farming practices.
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