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**ABSTRACT**

Mycobacteria form lipid-rich biofilms that restrict the efficacy of antimicrobial chemotherapy, possibly necessitating the use of lipophilic antibiotics. In the current study, the activity of one such agent, clofazimine, against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* planktonic cells and biofilms was investigated. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of clofazimine were determined for planktonic cultures, whilst minimum bactericidal concentrations
(MBCs) were determined for planktonic, biofilm-producing and biofilm-encased organisms using standard bacteriological procedures. The effects of clofazimine on biofilm formation and the stability of pre-formed biofilm were measured using a crystal violet-based spectrophotometric procedure. In the case of *M. smegmatis*, clofazimine was found to be active against planktonic phase (MICs and MBCs of 2.5 mg/L and >20 mg/L, respectively) and biofilm-producing organisms (MBC of 2.5 mg/L); clofazimine demonstrated greater activity against *M. tuberculosis*, corresponding values of 0.06, 5 and 0.3 mg/L. Although clofazimine inhibited biofilm production both by *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis* (*P* < 0.05 at ≥0.07 mg/L and ≥0.3 mg/L, respectively) and appeared to reduce the pre-formed *M. tuberculosis* biofilm, addition of antimicrobial agent to pre-existing biofilm matrices failed to kill biofilm-encased organisms. In conclusion, clofazimine is more effective against *M. tuberculosis* than against *M. smegmatis*, exhibiting bactericidal activity both for actively growing and slowly replicating bacilli but not for non-replicating organisms of both species.

1. **Introduction**

Unlike the biofilms of most bacteria, which consist of an assemblage of exopolysaccharides, lipids, proteins and DNA, those of mycobacteria have a particularly high lipid content, including glycolipids and mycolic acids [1–3]. Mycobacterial subpopulations resident in biofilm matrices consist of planktonic (aerated, exponentially or actively growing) and sessile (slowly/non-replicating, dormant, persistent) bacteria that vary with respect to antibiotic susceptibility, the former being generally sensitive and the latter resistant [2–4]. Notwithstanding the slowly/non-replicating state, resistance of the sessile population to antimicrobial
agents is also attributable to poor penetration of the biofilm by ostensibly effective antibiotics [5]. In the setting of pulmonary tuberculosis (TB), the sessile organisms are insulated in sac-like granuloma lesions, somewhat similar to encasement in biofilm observed in in vitro cultures [6–8]. During TB chemotherapy with rifampicin and isoniazid, planktonic bacteria are killed rapidly (within 2 weeks), whilst eradication of sessile organisms necessitates extended exposure to higher concentrations of these agents [1,4,9]. Clearly, antibiotics that penetrate the biofilm and/or inhibit its formation [10,11] have the potential to improve the efficacy of TB chemotherapy.

The antimycobacterial riminophenazine agent clofazimine is categorised by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a last-resort option (group 5 drug) in the treatment of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB), largely because of its poor pharmacokinetic properties [12,13]. However, this antibiotic possesses several properties that may enable it to target both biofilm-forming as well as biofilm-insulated organisms, including those that are MDR [12–14]. Foremost amongst these are its lipophilicity and low-level resistance profile, as well as recently described inhibitory activity against mycobacterial persisters [15–18]. To address this issue, this study was undertaken with the primary objectives of determining: (i) the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) and minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) of clofazimine against actively growing planktonic and slowly replicating biofilm-producing mycobacteria as well as non-replicating biofilm-encased organisms; and (ii) the inhibitory effects of clofazimine both on biofilm formation and the structural resilience of pre-formed biofilm.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Strains and growth media

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv ATCC 25618 and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* Mc²155 laboratory strains were used in this study. 7H10 agar (Difco: Becton Dickinson, Sparks, Maryland, USA) containing 0.5% glycerol/10% oleic acid–dextrose–catalase (OADC) (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, Maryland, USA) as well as 7H9 broth (Difco) containing 0.2% glycerol/10% OADC/0.05% Tween 80 were prepared following the manufacturers’ instructions, whilst Sauton broth was prepared as described previously [1].

2.2. Chemicals, reagents and antibiotics

Unless otherwise stated, all other chemicals and reagents, including clofazimine, were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). Clofazimine was dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and was used at concentrations ranging from 0.01 mg/L to 20 mg/L. The final concentration of DMSO in the drug-containing and control systems was 1%, and solvent controls were included in all experiments.

2.3. Inoculum preparation

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis* were inoculated into 7H9 broth and were incubated at 37 °C for 7 days or 24 h under stirring conditions, respectively. Thereafter, the cultures were centrifuged at 3500 × g for 10 min at room temperature and the supernatants were discarded. The pellets were washed twice, re-suspended
in Sauton broth and the optical density at 540 nm was adjusted to 0.6, yielding ca. $10^7$–$10^8$ CFU/mL.

2.4. Minimum inhibitory concentration determination

The MICs of clofazimine for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis* were determined using broth and plate dilution procedures in a BACTEC TB system (Becton Dickinson Co., Maryland, USA) and on 7H10 plates, respectively, based on the proportion method as described previously [19,20]. The clofazimine concentrations used ranged from 0.01 mg/L to 5 mg/L. For *M. tuberculosis*, the procedure used was as described previously [19]. In the case of *M. smegmatis*, the various concentrations of clofazimine were incorporated into 7H10 agar plates. One set of drug-free and all drug-containing plates were inoculated with $10^4$ CFU/mL, whilst another set of drug-free plates, serving as controls, was inoculated with 100× dilution of the inoculum ($10^2$ CFU/mL). The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 72 h to allow for the appearance of colonies. The lowest concentration of the drug that yielded fewer colonies than those that grew on the 100× diluted controls was regarded as the MIC.

2.5. Planktonic and biofilm culture preparation

Approximately $10^5$ CFU/mL were inoculated into tissue culture plates containing 7H9 or Sauton broth with no detergent for planktonic or biofilm cultures, respectively. For *M. tuberculosis*, 1.5 mL volumes of bacterial cultures were added to the centre wells of 24-well tissue culture plates (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany), whilst peripheral wells were filled with the same volume of sterile distilled water to
prevent desiccation. In the case of *M. smegmatis*, 5 mL volumes of bacterial cultures were added to the wells of 6-well tissue culture plates (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany). All cultures were thoroughly mixed and incubated at 37 °C in the dark.

Planktonic culture plates were incubated for 10 days or 24 h with frequent shaking, whilst the corresponding biofilm culture plates were wrapped with Parafilm® and were incubated without shaking for 10 weeks or 5 days for biofilm formation and for 5 weeks or 5 days post biofilm development for pre-formed biofilm cultures for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively. Biofilm formation resulted in the formation of a white layer on the surface of the culture medium [1,21,22].

The rates of growth of the bacteria were determined by sampling, diluting and plating the cultures every 24 h and 6 h for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis* planktonic organisms, respectively, and bi-/weekly or daily for biofilm bacilli for each mycobacterial species, and monitoring thereafter for the appearance of colonies, which were compared with the number of viable bacteria in the initial inoculum.

2.6. *Minimum bactericidal concentration determination and rate of killing*

Clofazimine MBCs were determined for planktonic and biofilm cultures of both mycobacterial species. Varying concentrations of clofazimine were added to one set of wells, whilst drug-free control systems received DMSO. Planktonic organisms were treated with clofazimine (0.3–20 mg/L) for 10 days or 24 h, whilst the biofilm-forming bacilli were treated (0.01–5 mg/L) for 10 weeks or 5 days for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively. In the case of biofilm-encased bacilli, the
corresponding treatment (0.15–20 mg/L) times were 5 weeks and 5 days post biofilm development. The number of planktonic bacilli was determined by sampling each well and dilutions plated on 7H10 agar plates, followed by incubation at 37 °C in the dark for 3 weeks or 72 h for the appearance of colonies for *M. tuberculosis* or *M. smegmatis*, respectively. The number of clofazimine-treated biofilm-producing bacilli was determined by adding 100 µL of 0.2% Tween 80 to culture wells followed by incubation on a shaker at 37 °C for 24 h or 1 h for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively, to solubilise biofilm. The cultures were sampled and plated as described previously for planktonic organisms. The number of clofazimine-treated biofilm-encased bacilli was determined for 5 weeks or 5 days following addition of the antimicrobial agents for *M. tuberculosis* or *M. smegmatis*, respectively.

The rate of clofazimine-mediated killing of the various bacterial populations was determined by evaluating the MBCs daily or every 6 h for planktonic organisms, and bi-/weekly or daily for biofilm-producing and biofilm-encased *M. tuberculosis* or *M. smegmatis*, respectively. The MBC was regarded as the lowest concentration of clofazimine showing ≥2 log reduction in CFU/mL of the initial inoculum (Day 0) [20].

### 2.7. Biofilm quantification

Biofilms were quantitated using a crystal violet-based staining procedure [23]. Supernatants were removed and the residual biofilm biomasses were washed twice with distilled water and were air-dried. Crystal violet (1%) was added to each well and was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Unbound dye was removed by washing with distilled water and the wells were air-dried. The residue was dissolved
and the crystal violet was extracted in 70% ethanol, diluted and the optical density at 570 nm was measured using a Unicam Helios-γ UV spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.8. Statistical analyses

Results are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean for each series of experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad InStat 3 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). All figures were plotted using GraphPad Prism v.5 (GraphPad Software Inc.).

3. Results

3.1. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of clofazimine for planktonic bacilli

Using broth and plate dilution methods, clofazimine demonstrated dose-related inhibition of the growth of M. tuberculosis and M. smegmatis, with MICs of 0.06 mg/L and 2.5 mg/L, respectively.

3.2. Minimum bactericidal concentrations of clofazimine for planktonic bacilli

MBCs of clofazimine for planktonic bacilli are shown in Fig. 1. Both for M. tuberculosis and M. smegmatis planktonic cultures, the resident population consists of exponentially growing bacilli, as they replicate faster than the biofilm-producing and biofilm-encased populations. The number of bacteria in the control wells increased from $1.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.9 \times 10^4$ CFU/mL to $1.4 \times 10^9 \pm 1.6 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL in 10
Fig. 1. Clofazimine (0.3–20 mg/L) minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) and time–kill curves for planktonic bacilli of (a) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and (b) *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. The results are for three separate experiments performed in duplicate for each concentration of clofazimine and are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. (a) For *M. tuberculosis*, the number of bacteria on Day 0 was $1.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.9 \times 10^4$ CFU/mL and the maximum growth achieved in the control on Day 10 was $1.4 \times 10^9 \pm 1.6 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL. The MBC was achieved at 5 mg/L*. The rate of bacterial killing for each concentration of the antibiotic was determined daily for 10 days. (b) For *M. smegmatis*, the number of bacteria at 0 h was $2 \times 10^5 \pm 4.7 \times 10^3$ CFU/mL and the maximum growth achieved in the control at 24 h was $1.8 \times 10^8 \pm 5.8 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL. No MBC was achieved. The rate of killing for each concentration of the antibiotic was determined at 6-h time points for 24 h.
days and from $2 \times 10^5 \pm 4.7 \times 10^3$ CFU/mL to $1.8 \times 10^8 \pm 5.8 \times 10^7$ CFU/mL in 24 h for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively.

The MBC of clofazimine against *M. tuberculosis* planktonic organisms was 5 mg/L ($1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 2 \times 10^2$ CFU/mL) on Day 8, 10 mg/L ($2.7 \times 10^3 \pm 6.7 \times 10^2$ CFU/mL) on Day 6 and 20 mg/L ($1.3 \times 10^2 \pm 20$ CFU/mL) on Day 2. No lethal effect of clofazimine was achieved against *M. smegmatis* planktonic bacilli.

3.3. Activity of clofazimine against biofilm-producing mycobacterial bacilli

The activity of clofazimine against biofilm-producing mycobacterial bacilli is shown in Fig. 2. Both for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis* biofilm-producing cultures, the resident population consists predominantly of slowly replicating bacilli, as their replication rate was slower than that of planktonic organisms. The number of biofilm-producing bacteria in the control wells increased from $1.3 \times 10^5 \pm 3 \times 10^3$ CFU/mL to $6.2 \times 10^6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL in 10 weeks and from $2.6 \times 10^5 \pm 3.7 \times 10^4$ CFU/mL to $2.3 \times 10^8 \pm 7.2 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL in 5 days for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively.

The MBC of clofazimine for biofilm-producing *M. tuberculosis* was 0.15 mg/L at Week 2, resulting in a 3 log ($2.9 \times 10^2 \pm 39$ CFU/mL) reduction in CFU/mL. However, at Week 4 the number of bacilli in the wells treated with 0.15 mg/L clofazimine began to increase but stabilised at 0.3 mg/L. For *M. smegmatis*, the MBC was achieved at 2.5 mg/L on Day 5 and at 5 mg/L on Day 3.
Fig. 2. Clofazimine (0.01–5 mg/L) minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) and time–kill curves for biofilm-producing bacilli of (a) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and (b) *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. The results are for three separate experiments performed in duplicate for each concentration of clofazimine and are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. (a) For *M. tuberculosis*, the number of bacteria at Week 0 was $1.3 \times 10^5 \pm 3 \times 10^3$ CFU/mL and the maximum growth achieved in the control at Week 10 was $6.2 \times 10^6 \pm 2.6 \times 10^5$ CFU/mL. The MBC was achieved at 0.3 mg/L*. The rate of bacterial killing for each concentration of the antibiotic was determined biweekly for 10 weeks. (b) For *M. smegmatis*, the number of bacteria at Day 0 was $2.6 \times 10^5 \pm 3.7 \times 10^4$ CFU/mL and the maximum growth achieved in the control at Day 5 was $2.3 \times 10^8 \pm 7.2 \times 10^6$ CFU/mL. The MBC was achieved at 2.5 mg/L*. The rate of killing for each concentration was determined daily for 5 days.
Fig. 3. Clofazimine (0.15–20 mg/L) minimum bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) and time–kill curves for biofilm-encased bacilli of (a) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and (b) *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. The results are for three separate experiments performed in duplicate for each concentration of clofazimine and are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. (a) For *M. tuberculosis*, the number of bacteria in the control cultures at Week 0 was $1.5 \times 10^6 \pm 3.7 \times 10^5$ CFU/mL and did not increase until Week 5 post clofazimine treatment. No MBC of clofazimine was achieved against biofilm-encased *M. tuberculosis* bacilli. The rate of bacterial killing for each concentration of the antibiotic was determined weekly for 5 weeks post clofazimine treatment. (b) For *M. smegmatis*, the number of bacteria at Day 0 was $2.1 \times 10^9 \pm 1.1 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL and remained stable until Day 5 post clofazimine treatment. No MBC was achieved for biofilm-encased *M. smegmatis* bacilli. The rate of bacterial killing of each concentration of the antibiotic was determined daily for 5 days post clofazimine treatment.
3.4. Activity of clofazimine against biofilm-encased mycobacteria

The activity of clofazimine against biofilm-encased mycobacteria is shown in Fig. 3. Following biofilm formation, the biofilm-encased bacteria were treated with clofazimine and the numbers of surviving organisms were measured weekly or daily thereafter for 5 weeks or 5 days for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively. In the control wells, the numbers of bacteria remained unchanged at concentrations of $1.5 \times 10^6 \pm 3.7 \times 10^5$ CFU/mL and $2.1 \times 10^9 \pm 1.1 \times 10^9$ CFU/mL for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively. The observed absence of an increase in the number of bacilli in the biofilm-encased populations is consistent with the existence of predominantly non-replicating organisms that were unresponsive to clofazimine.

3.5. Effects of clofazimine on mycobacterial biofilm formation

The effects of clofazimine on mycobacterial biofilm formation are shown in Fig. 4. Biofilm formation both by *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis* was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner by clofazimine, attaining statistical significance at 0.07 mg/L and 0.3 mg/L, respectively. The corresponding values for complete inhibition were 0.15 mg/L and 0.6 mg/L. It was noted that clofazimine retained a red colouration during treatment of these cultures, indicating retention of the drug in the active, oxidised form during treatment of biofilm-forming cultures consistent with adequate aeration.
Fig. 4. Effects of clofazimine on biofilm formation by (a) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and (b) *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. The results are for three separate experiments performed in duplicate for each concentration of the antibiotic (0.01–5 mg/L) and are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. * Statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) in comparison with the positive control. The negative control is the absolute value for the growth medium without cells, whilst the positive control is the absolute value for the drug-free control system as described in the Materials and Methods section 2.6.
3.6. **Effects of clofazimine on pre-formed mycobacterial biofilm biomass**

The effects of clofazimine on pre-formed mycobacterial biofilm biomass are shown in Fig. 5. In the case of *M. tuberculosis*, addition of clofazimine to pre-formed biofilm resulted in a reduction in the biofilm mass that was statistically significant at ≥2.5 mg/L but was incomplete even when the concentration of clofazimine was increased. In the case of *M. smegmatis*, the biofilm biomass was unaffected by the addition of the antimicrobial agent (data not shown). Interestingly, clofazimine added to pre-formed *M. smegmatis* biofilm, but not to *M. tuberculosis* biofilm, became colourless consistent with very poor aeration.
4. Discussion

The results of the current study have demonstrated that clofazimine, a lipophilic, cationic, amphiphilic antimycobacterial agent, exhibits differential activities against actively growing and slowly replicating as well as non-replicating *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis* bacilli.

In the case of exponentially growing *M. tuberculosis* bacilli in planktonic cultures, the MICs and MBCs for clofazimine were 0.06 mg/L and 5 mg/L, respectively. The corresponding clofazimine MIC for *M. smegmatis* was 2.5 mg/L, with no achievable MBC, possibly due to the insolubility of the antimicrobial agent at concentrations >20 mg/L. However, it should also be noted that the exponentially growing cells were generated in a different medium (7H9) from that for biofilm production and the differences in effects of the antibiotic may be related not only to growth rates but also to the culture conditions.

Of the three types of microbial populations tested, the slowly replicating biofilm-producing bacilli of both mycobacterial species were found to be most susceptible to the bactericidal action of clofazimine, with MBCs of 0.3 mg/L and 2.5 mg/L for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively. Exposure to clofazimine also resulted in dose-related inhibition of biofilm formation that achieved statistical significance at concentrations of 0.07 mg/L and 0.3 mg/L for *M. tuberculosis* and *M. smegmatis*, respectively, probably secondary to inhibition of bacterial growth.

Addition of clofazimine to biofilm-encased, non-replicating bacilli of both mycobacterial species did not, however, affect survival on subsequent release of
these organisms from the biofilm matrix. The failure of clofazimine in this setting may result from insensitivity of non-replicating bacilli to the antibiotic and/or the impenetrable nature of the biofilm mass.

These findings are essentially in agreement with a recent study reported by Irwin et al. who described the differential efficacy of clofazimine monotherapy in several murine models of experimental pulmonary TB [24]. Clofazimine was found to be most effective against the rapidly growing, intracellular bacilli in multifocal, coalescing lesions in the lungs of BALB/c mice as well as extracellular bacilli in the initiation phase of granuloma formation in C3HeB/FeJ mice [24]. However, clofazimine was ineffective against extracellular bacilli encased in the well established, hypoxic, caseous, necrotic granulomas in the lungs of C3HeB/FeJ mice [24].

The findings of the current study taken together with those of Irwin et al. [24] and others [16,25] suggest that clofazimine and other antimycobacterial agents may be most effective in well aerated environments, seemingly consistent with the involvement of endogenously generated reactive oxygen species in antimicrobial activity. However, alternative mechanisms of clofazimine-mediated antimicrobial activity, probably also negated by dormancy, have also been proposed [15,19].

Irrespective of mechanisms of antimicrobial activity, of which there may be several, a number of clinical trials support the inclusion of clofazimine in the multidrug therapy of drug-resistant TB. When used throughout the entire treatment period, addition of clofazimine has been reported to improve cure rates in the setting of a shorter
duration of treatment [14,26,27]. Importantly, similar treatment outcomes both for MDR-TB and extremely drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) cases have been reported, probably reflecting the low level of resistance to clofazimine [28]. Based on these findings, the WHO has recommended that clofazimine be included in the multidrug therapy of XDR-TB [29]. It is noteworthy, however, that clofazimine has failed to demonstrate early bactericidal activity in the clinical setting, consistent with a relatively slow onset of antimicrobial action [18,19,30].

In conclusion, the findings of the current study have demonstrated that clofazimine is active against planktonic phase and slowly replicating *M. tuberculosis* but is ineffective against non-replicating, biofilm-encased bacilli. This limitation underscores the importance of combination chemotherapy of TB targeting the various phases of bacterial growth.
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