Hypotheses, neuroscience and real persons: The theme of the 10th International Conference on Philosophy, Psychiatry and Psychology

Psychiatry faces three exciting developments as we enter the 21st century. First, and best recognised, are the dramatic advances in the neurosciences, notably in functional brain imaging and behavioural genetics, and the unique new insights that these are giving us into the causes of mental disorder.1 Second, less dramatic but no less significant, are the innovative developments in person-centred psychiatric services in many parts of the world: drawing on multidisciplinary and multi-agency approaches, new models of service delivery are emerging that aim to put the real needs of real people, as individual service users and carers with unique needs and expectations, at the heart of mental health and social care (confer with the work of the US Presidential Commission,2 the World Health Organization,3 the World Psychiatric Association,4 and the UK Department of Health5). Third, least well recognised perhaps and certainly least expected, has been the emergence and rapid expansion of a new philosophy of psychiatry, not as an academic add-on to the subject, but as a close partner to the practice of psychiatry in both its research and service delivery aspects.6

It is these three developments in psychiatry that are reflected in the title of the 10th Annual Conference of the International Network for Philosophy and Psychiatry (INPP) that is being generously co-hosted by the South African Society of Psychiatrists (SASOP) in Sun City this year, namely ‘Hypotheses, neuroscience and real persons’. This combination of themes underlines the ways in which hypotheses generated by the new philosophy of psychiatry are supporting developments both in the neurosciences and in person-centred psychiatric services. The fact that the conference is being held in South Africa furthermore reflects the leading role that SASOP has played in the new philosophy of psychiatry in general and in the International Network for Philosophy and Psychiatry in particular. The International Network was launched from Cape Town in 2002, on Heritage Day at the biennial meeting of SASOP. This event also coincided with the launch of SASOP’s own Special Interest Group in Philosophy of Psychiatry (POP-SIG), which by the end of the meeting had already grown to be the second-largest special interest group in SASOP.

The new philosophy of psychiatry has shown similar rapid growth in many other countries around the world. There are now over 40 new national academic and professional organisations with large groups in North America (see editorial by Potter in this issue), the Netherlands, Germany, Italy and France. Like POP-SIG in SASOP, the Philosophy Special Interest Group in the Royal College of Psychiatrists in the UK has grown well beyond a ‘special interest’ group, being the second largest of all the sections of the College. There are also new sections for philosophy in both the World Psychiatric Association (WPA) and the Association of European Psychiatrists. The INPP was set up specifically to co-ordinate and support all these individual organisations.

Other important developments in building the academic infrastructure of the subject include the establishment of a quarterly international peer-reviewed journal, Philosophy, Psychiatry, & Psychology, published by the Johns Hopkins University Press in the USA [now in its 14th year], new book series from the Netherlands, Germany, France, and Oxford University Press in the UK; and the establishment of several new professorial Chairs for the discipline, with corresponding research and teaching programmes, in a number of universities in the UK and Continental Europe. A notable recent addition to these has been the establishment of a doctoral scholarship in the Faculty of Philosophy of Oxford University (www.philosophy.ox.ac.uk), and the philosophy of psychiatry is now included in the Faculty’s Development Plan. The significance of the contributions of South Africa to these academic developments resulted in the Oxford University Press book series, which is on International Perspectives in Philosophy and Psychiatry, being ‘badged’ with a South African multi-colour motif.

Most recently, in the UK, an Institute for Philosophy, Diversity and Mental Health has been launched within the Centre for Ethnicity and Health in the University of Central Lancashire (www.uclan.ac.uk/philosophyandmentalhealth). As Lord Patel of Bradford (Head of the Centre) and Chris Heginbotham (Director of the Institute with Bill Fulford) describe in their editorial in this issue, the Institute has been set up specifically to respond to the challenges arising from the developments in psychiatry noted above: its objectives are to take forward work that (i) draws particularly on philosophical research; and (ii) leads to developments in service delivery that are fully person-centred, particularly in being responsive to the wide diversity of individual service user and carer needs. The Institute also has an explicit commitment to develop its programme through two-way partnerships with colleagues in other parts of the world, and to this end it has generously agreed to act as academic host for the International Network for Philosophy and Psychiatry.

More remarkable even than the rate and scope of these academic developments, however, has been the speed with which the resources of philosophy are already being translated into practical developments in the field. In relation to the neurosciences, joint programmes of work between brain imaging
researchers, philosophers of mind and those with personal experience of mental disorder have already produced important new hypotheses. Analytic philosophy, in the work of the American psychiatrist and philosopher, John Sadler, is providing a detailed understanding of the role of values in psychiatric diagnosis that will inform current revisions of both the American Psychiatric Association’s *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual* and the World Health Organization’s *International Classification of Diseases*. Phenomenology, too, has a vital role in relation to the neurosciences. There is of course a clear historical precedent for this, particularly in the work of the first philosopher-psychiatrist, Karl Jaspers, whose *General Psychopathology* was crucial to the foundations of modern scientific psychiatry. But phenomenology is no less important today, with innovative contributions from each of its several strands: in addition to those noted by Giovanni Stanghellini and Cristian Muscelli in their editorial in this issue, examples include new research drawing on the phenomenologies respectively of Martin Heidegger, Jean-Paul Sartre and Maurice Merleau-Ponty. The importance of such work has been emphasised by some of those working at the leading edge of the neurosciences. The Austrian psychiatrist and phenomenologist, Michel Musalek, has shown the value of phenomenological work to neuroscientific research on delusion. The American neuroscientist and psychiatrist, Nancy Andreasen, has recently warned that unless psychiatry rediscovers phenomenology, the neurosciences risk becoming a ‘silent spring’.

Other important work relevant to the sciences underpinning psychiatry, includes work in the philosophy of science of the British philosopher of psychiatry, Tim Thornton, on the irreducible role of individual judgement both in science itself and in its applications in day-to-day practice. As Thornton describes in his editorial in this issue, these insights from the philosophy of science, by providing a deeper understanding of such concepts as ‘clinical judgement’, could make a crucial contribution to the ways in which findings from the neurosciences are applied not only in treatment but also in diagnosis. There have also been some innovative studies on the links between subjective aspects of anxiety and its physiological basis, by the Dutch philosopher, Guy Widdershoven, using hermeneutic methods also with people with dementia; and combined philosophical and social science methods developed by the British psychiatrists, Jacinta Tan, Tony Hope and Ann Stewart, to explore problems of decision-making in young women with anorexia nervosa. Even logic has a place! New variables derived from Frege’s logic of relations have been shown to provide unique new insights into tracking recovery from mental disorder.

The successful translation of philosophy into practice has been fuelled by a strong collaborative spirit that has been evident internationally. Nancy Potter in her editorial in this issue describes the collaborative work at the bedside between psychiatrists, philosophers and patients. She urges congruently for even more effective collaboration through the innovative use of blogs and podcasts. This is particularly significant. Philosophy is often and sometimes rightly perceived as being a backward-looking discipline. Certainly, we have much to learn from the history of ideas in psychiatry. But this work underlines the importance of rigorous philosophical scholarship in helping to explore and anticipate the ways in which the ever-accelerating rate of technological advance will impact, for good or ill, on the complex challenges of mental health.

It is no coincidence that the new philosophy of psychiatry has developed so strongly as an international discipline. Psychiatry is perhaps the most complex of medical disciplines: it faces the twin challenges of research on the brain, certainly the most complex mechanism known, and of delivering services that are fit for purpose in meeting the hugely diverse needs of those with mental distress and disorder. Nothing short of the rich resources of the many traditions of thought and practice represented by different cultures around the world will be needed to meet this
twin challenge. Nancy Andreasen, again, has made this point specifically in relation to the neurosciences, noting by way of example the importance of the continuing phenomenological tradition of Continental Europe as a resource for the neurosciences as they have developed in the more positivist traditions of Britain and North America.22 In relation to service delivery, the lesson of history is that the worst abuses of psychiatry occur, not through deliberate malpractice, but through psychiatry, in any local context, becoming fixed on what the German historian of psychiatry, Paul Hoff, has called a ‘single message mythology’. 26

It is in the international arena, in particular, that South Africa has a key role to play in the further development of the philosophy of psychiatry as a fully international discipline. So far, although the new discipline is well represented on the practice side of psychiatry in most parts of the world, notably through the tremendous support of the WPA, the research base of the subject has thus far drawn mainly on the philosophies of Western Europe and North America. Rich and productive as these philosophies have proven to be, they represent only a quarter of the world, and there are clear indications that other traditions, particularly the more praxis-based philosophies of Africa27-29 and Asia,30,31 could prove even more fruitful. The unique mix of cultures and races that make up South Africa, and the historically unique achievement of Mr Mandela’s ‘rainbow nation’ in bringing them together as a coherent nation, thus provides a crucible for the future expansion of the philosophy of psychiatry, building on secure scientific foundations, but encompassing as much the traditions of non-Western as of Western thought and practice.

Mr Mandela’s rainbow nation is the basis also of a deeper reason for the special significance of South Africa in the new philosophy of psychiatry. This has to do with what is perhaps the greatest challenge to everyone concerned with mental health, whether as professionals or as service users, as we enter the 21st century, namely the continued stigmatisation of the field and whether as professionals or as service users, as we enter the 21st century, namely the continued stigmatisation of the field and the consequent grossly defective services and other abuses of human rights to which this leads, as illustrated by Kamlesh Patel.22

The greatest challenge to everyone concerned with mental health, whether as professionals or as service users, as we enter the 21st century, namely the continued stigmatisation of the field and the consequent grossly defective services and other abuses of human rights to which this leads, as illustrated by Kamlesh Patel.22

This is where the example of South Africa provides a crucial lead. For under the leadership of Mr Mandela, South Africa achieved, for probably the first time in history, a political revolution without the extensive violence and destruction that are known to go with it – at the heart of which has been the replacement of racism with a core value of respect for diversity. It is this same core value of respect for diversity, then, that is at the heart of the mission statement of the International Network for Philosophy and Psychiatry. In full, the first aim of the International Network is ‘to support educational, research, policy and service development initiatives in mental health that are based on valuing individual and cultural differences and respecting diversity’ (www.inpponline.org). It was this shared core value that led to the International Network being launched originally from South Africa. It is this shared core value, too, that is reflected in the activities of the Network being badged with the South African multicolour motif. And it is this shared core value, finally, that makes it so significant that this year’s conference of the International Network, bringing together as it does new hypotheses, the neurosciences and real people, should be held in South Africa and co-hosted by the South African Society of Psychiatrists.
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Psychiatry for the person and its ethical perspectives

Every medical (and psychiatric) act is or should be an ethical act. This is consistent with the fundamental aspirations of the medical and health field, from Hippocratic and similar ancient philosophical perspectives to recent developments in public and clinical policies. Ethical concerns have been priority institutional perspectives. In line with the above, the WPA established in 2005 an Institutional Program on Psychiatry for the Person. This editorial summarises the precedents, goals, structure and activities of this WPA initiative and outlines some of its ethical perspectives.

The WPA Institutional Program on Psychiatry for the Person

The need for holism in medicine was strongly advocated by Ancient Greek philosophers and physicians, the ethicalists of those times. Socrates and Plato taught that ‘if the whole is not well it is impossible for the part to be well’, and such was also the position of Aristotle.

These ideas are reemerging today, not only within the Western medical tradition but also in a number of other rich traditions around the world. For example, Ayurvedic and Chinese medical traditions, ancient and still practised, with sound philosophical, experiential and experimental bases, focus on the patient’s total health rather than only on disease. Both of them articulate a comprehensive and harmonious framework of health and life and promote a highly personalised approach for the treatment of specific diseases and the enhancement of quality of life.

Concern for the centrality of the person is also being adopted by influential international health organisations through recent major statements by the US Presidential Commission on Mental Health and the WHO European Ministerial Conference on Mental Health.

Another trend towards personalised medicine has emerged with the introduction of the concepts of recovery and resilience and of values-based medicine. These concepts support the involvement, active participation and responsibility of the person in protecting him- or herself from illness, promoting and maintaining health, and recovering from illness.