The transmission of *Helicobacter pylori*: The effects of analysis method and study population on inference
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Although much is known about the virulence of *Helicobacter pylori*, the transmission pathways for this bacterium are still unresolved. Transmission has been addressed through: (1) prevalence within families; (2) detection in fecal/oral environments; (3) detection in the abiotic/biotic environment; and (4) direct inference from strain similarity. Here, we review the molecular and biochemical methods used and discuss the relative merits of each. Furthermore, as there are differences between developing and developed nations, we discuss the results obtained from transmission studies in light of the study population. We conclude that *H. pylori* is probably transmitted person-to-person, facilitated by fecal–oral transmission during episodes of diarrhea or gastro-oral contact during periods of vomiting. The persistence of *H. pylori* in abiotic and biotic environments remains unproven but possible reactivation from viable, non-culturable coccoid forms should be further investigated. Finally, we speculate on the effect of host–pathogen interactions in confounding the inference of transmission.

Introduction

The transmission of bacterial pathogens is an important area of research both in developed and in developing countries. Understanding this process is pivotal for the planning of suitable and efficient treatment regimes for the eradication or control of such pathogens. However, transmission of bacterial pathogens is often difficult to infer given the multiple possible sources of infection, both abiotic and biotic reservoirs, the array of detection methods with varying levels of sensitivity, and the potentially confounding effects of the host's immune response. Although much progress has been made in addressing *H. pylori* transmission, it's study is complicated by these factors. Here we review the current knowledge of *H. pylori* transmission with two central themes in mind. First, we consider the differences between developing and developed countries. Poor sanitation, overcrowding, and generally low socioeconomic status determine susceptibility to *H. pylori* infection. Furthermore, developing countries show a much higher prevalence of infection, in some cases epidemic proportions of up to 80% of the population are infected. Therefore, it is crucial to interpret the results from a study of transmission in light of the socioeconomic characteristics of the study population. Second, the sensitivity of an *H. pylori* detection method is likely to cause discrepancies in the inference of transmission. Transmission of *H. pylori* has been evaluated with: the presence/absence of antibodies, \textsuperscript{18} and \textsuperscript{19} \textsuperscript{13}C urea breath tests, \textsuperscript{18} and \textsuperscript{20} restriction-enzyme digestion of amplified genes, \textsuperscript{21} analyses of peptide sequences, \textsuperscript{22} and high-resolution nucleotide sequence analysis. \textsuperscript{17} Metho...
Biochemical and molecular methods

The methods used in transmission studies can be subdivided into: (1) presence/absence methods; (2) low-resolution molecular methods; (3) mid-resolution molecular methods; and (4) high-resolution molecular methods. Each of these methods has strengths and weaknesses that should be considered in relation to the intended objective of the transmission study.

Presence/absence

Presence/absence methods identify *H. pylori* through a variety of approaches. Antigen-based tests identify the presence of an *H. pylori*-specific antibody directed at an *H. pylori* antigen, and thus are specific for the detection of *H. pylori*. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is often utilised. This has the advantage of detecting the presence of an antigen (through antibody binding) and the concentration of antibodies (through an enzyme-linked antibody). The sensitivity and specificity of ELISA are, however, dependent on the antibody used and it often remains necessary to evaluate this against other methods in a focal study population. Specifically, previous studies have shown that *H. pylori*-specific IgM antibody responses can be highly variable, occurring in less than 20% of children and developing between 2 weeks and 3 months of infection. In experimentally infected adults, 80% of the volunteers developed IgM antibody responses within 2–4 weeks. By contrast, this particular study demonstrated that anti-*H. pylori* IgG responses appeared only 4 weeks after exposure and peaked at 12–19 weeks. This suggests that absence of *H. pylori*-specific antibody responses, especially in children, can obscure transmission and might result in an underestimation of prevalence. *H. pylori*-specific antigens can also be detected in stool as an indication of active disease. *H. pylori* antigens can be detected by both polyclonal and monoclonal capture antibodies; the monoclonal antibody assay has been shown to be highly sensitive (92%) and specific (70%).

13C urea breath tests rely on the conversion of ingested 13C urea – by hydrolysis – into 13CO2 and ammonia by *H. pylori*. These are readily detectable in a breath sample from an infected individual. Breath tests have been shown to be highly sensitive and specific and are now commonly employed to confirm both active infection and eradication after antibiotic therapy.

The presence of *H. pylori* can also be shown in histological specimens obtained at endoscopy after appropriate staining. Previously regarded as the gold standard to confirm active *H. pylori* infection, this has largely been replaced by non-invasive tests.

Finally, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) that targets an *H. pylori*-specific gene can be used to confirm the presence of *H. pylori*. Once again, sensitivity and specificity of the chosen gene in the study population should be evaluated against a more specific approach. However, PCR has been shown to be both highly sensitive and highly specific for the detection of *H. pylori*. The presence/absence methods currently utilised are mostly sufficient to address prevalence of *H. pylori* within populations.

Several methods – such as urea breath tests, ELISA, and PCR – have been extensively tested and showed to have good correlations with histopathological results. However, a limitation of presence/absence methods needs to be addressed, namely, that these methods evaluate only the presence of *H. pylori* and do not provide any information regarding strain similarity between individuals. Therefore, they are useful only for evaluating factors that influence prevalence within populations. In populations with a low incidence of *H. pylori*, prevalence can be used as a proxy for interpreting transmission, whereby increased prevalence among family members suggests an intrafamilial transmission route. When incidence in a study population is high, it is necessary to evaluate the degree of strain similarity between individuals to make inferences of transmission. In such cases, the use of molecular methods is proposed. These identify the degree of strain-sharing between related individuals and thus can be used to infer the routes of transmission. However, molecular methods vary in the resolution to which strains can be reliably identified. Here we consider low-, mid-, and high-resolution molecular methods.
Low-resolution molecular methods

Low-resolution molecular methods can detect molecular differences between strains. Typically, these methods comprise an immunoblot, whereby denatured proteins are separated by gel electrophoresis and probed using specific antibodies. Differences between strains are detectable as differences in the mass of the denatured proteins. The methods are low resolution because the proteins highlighted are typically similar in both function and mass between strains.

Mid-resolution molecular methods

Molecular methods that utilise restriction-enzyme digestion offer improved resolution over those that utilise electrophoresis to separate proteins by mass.

Restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) comprise the restriction-enzyme digestion of chromosomal, or PCR amplified, DNA. Essentially, endonucleases that recognise a particular DNA sequence motif are used to cut DNA at these recognised sites. The resulting fragments are subsequently separated by gel electrophoresis, such that a unique DNA profile or fingerprint is achieved. Similarity of strains is evaluated as a degree of band or fragment sharing.

Ribotyping, which is often used in *H. pylori* studies, refers to the digestion of chromosomal, non-amplified DNA by the HaeIII restriction enzyme.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is a variation of gel electrophoresis of restriction-enzyme-digested chromosomal DNA.34

Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) comprises the random PCR amplification of genomic DNA with random generated primers. RAPDs are useful for poorly characterised organisms because little or no prior molecular information is required.

The typeability and discriminatory power of these mid-resolution methods have been evaluated.11 Typeability is the ability of the method to provide an unambiguous result, whereas discriminatory power refers to the ability of the method to identify non-related strains or isolates.35 The authors11 concluded that restriction-enzyme methods based on chromosomal DNA (ribotyping, PFGE) are sensitive to DNA concentrations, DNA quality and incomplete DNA digestion, and thus have lower typeability (12.5–66%). Yet typeabilities of 100% are typically achieved for PCR restriction-enzyme digestions and RAPDs.11 However, given inconsistencies in the reproducibility of RAPDs in different laboratories,36, 37 and 38 the use of PCR RFLP is recommended.11 Although, PCR RLFP provides both good typeability and good discriminatory power, the method provides only an overall degree of similarity between two isolates. Given that mutations can occur at sites other than those involved in restriction-enzyme digestion, differences between isolates can go undetected. Such undetected genetic variation might not be problematic when evaluating within-population genetic diversity, yet it can confound results when evaluating direct person-to-person transmission of *H. pylori*. In such cases, high-resolution molecular methods are preferred.

High-resolution molecular methods

High-resolution molecular methods include DNA sequencing of single genes and multi-locus sequence typing (MLST). These methods offer an advance over previous approaches because mutations are observed directly and multiple sites are considered. In addition, the inclusion of multiple loci or genes in multi-locus sequence typing allows the inference of recombination.39 Although sequencing provides the highest resolution for the detection of strain or isolate similarity, the processes of mutation and recombination can complicate the analyses of such sequences.
Data analysis

In addition to the molecular/biochemical approach used to detect and compare strains of *H. pylori*, it is necessary to consider the subsequent statistical or data analysis approaches. Generally, transmission has been inferred from three categories of data analysis: logistic regression, phylogenetic reconstruction, and custom-model development.

Logistic regression

The logistic regression approach has the purpose of identifying the predictor variables that contribute significantly to a response variable. Predictor variables can be factors such as familial infection status, poor hygiene, social crowding, age, and race; response variables are typically the prevalence of *H. pylori* as determined with presence/absence methods. Logistic regression has been used extensively both to infer intrafamilial transmission and to identify factors that contribute to the prevalence of *H. pylori*. However, potential problems with this approach for the inference of transmission is the distinction between cause and effect. For example, several studies have identified the infection status of mothers as being an important determinant of a child's *H. pylori* infection status. However, relatively few studies consider the role of an external environmental source, such as a common water source used by both mother and child, as a factor determining *H. pylori* transmission. Thus the potential cause of a correlation in mother's and child's infection status is not considered and a potentially misleading conclusion is drawn. It should therefore be borne in mind that logistic regression studies merely indicate that the significantly correlated factors are the main contributing factors only of those considered in a particular study.

Phylogenetic reconstruction

Phylogenetic reconstruction, based on DNA sequence data, has been used to identify transmission as the clustering of within-family strains on a phylogenetic tree (Figure 1). Individuals who cluster within a phylogenetic tree are genetically similar and therefore are inferred to share a common ancestor, or to represent a transmission event. Phylogenetic studies have generally supported intrafamilial transmission of *H. pylori* because strains from individuals within families are much more tightly clustered than strains from the community. However, a phylogenetic approach might not be appropriate because *H. pylori* exhibits a high rate of recombination, which results in adjacent nucleotides along a DNA sequence having different evolutionary histories (Figure 1a). Therefore, phylogenies derived from strains of *H. pylori* based on different genes can suggest different transmission pathways (Figure 1). Sequencing of two genes (*ureC* and *mutY*, Figure 1b) from individuals within an African community showed clear recombination events that made inference of transmission using phylogenetic methods difficult. In this study, two individuals (R1 and R2) clustered on alternate branches of the phylogeny, depending on which gene was used. A recombination break-point is therefore inferred to have occurred between these two genes, creating alternative inferences of transmission on each side of this break-point. Recombination events can also be detected within a single gene (Figure 1b) as strains with similarity to both of the major phylogenetic groups within the community (R3–R5, Figure 1b). As recombination can clearly influence the inference of transmission, methods that infer transmission pathways and incorporate recombination into the analyses are proposed.
Figure 1. The use of phylogenetic methods to infer transmission, and the effects of recombination. (a) Recombination that results in a strain with two independent evolutionary histories is shown. Strain 3 is a clear recombinant of strains 1 with 2. (b) The effects of recombination on transmission inference using phylogenetic methods in which unrooted neighbour-joining phylogenetic trees constructed from two genes (left to right: ureC and mutY) are shown. Clear recombination events are indicated where individuals R1 and R2 have alternate placements in the phylogeny for the two genes sequenced, and thus have different inferred evolutionary histories. Recombinant individuals R2–R5 represent recombination events between the two predominant phylogenetic clusters evident at the top and bottom of the phylogenies. Colored symbols represent families, where no clear clustering of individuals from the same family is evident.

**Custom-model development**

One approach to identifying a common source of infection is the identification of the same or similar strain, through DNA sequencing, in multiple individuals. For instance, a mother and child harboring the same strain, as identified with DNA sequencing, would result in the inference of mother-to-child transmission. However, given that *H. pylori* has both high mutation and recombination rates, it is likely that two individuals harboring slightly divergent strains of *H. pylori* have a common source of infection. This potential exists because mutation and recombination events might have occurred – in either or both individuals – between the time of infection and that of subsequent sampling for DNA sequences. Indeed, such within-individual evolutionary changes are supported by longitudinal studies based on paired samples.45, 46, 47 In such cases, a model that incorporates mutational and recombination changes should be used to infer transmission. Thus far, only one study has addressed the potential confounding effects of mutation and recombination.17 Here, a custom model was developed that simulated transmission of *H. pylori* strains and their gene sequences within a community. Such an approach allows the comparison of observed levels of DNA sequence divergence within families, households, or communities with the level simulated under a hypothesised transmission route. Such comparisons allow the inference of transmission, corrected for the potential confounding effects of mutation and recombination.
Transmission in developing and developed countries

The biochemical, molecular, and analytical methods discussed above have been used to address a number of important components of transmission, including: (1) factors positively correlated with *H. pylori* prevalence; (2) the relative importance of fecal–oral and oral–oral pathways in transmission; (3) the role of biotic and abiotic reservoirs; and (4) the inference of an individual's source of infection and thus the most likely transmission pathways, within both developed and developing countries.

Factors correlated with prevalence

First, the pattern of infection status across individuals has allowed the identification of population specific or environmental factors that are positively correlated with prevalence. These studies support social crowding, low socioeconomic status, and race as important determinants of the prevalence of *H. pylori*. Although these studies have used only presence/absence methods for inference, this is sufficient to identify factors that are correlated with *H. pylori* infection. Correlation of prevalence with both social crowding and low socioeconomic status suggest person-to-person transmission of *H. pylori*, which lends support to the higher prevalence observed in developing countries. Statistical correlation of increased prevalence with age and race, however, require further clarification.

Several studies support the notion that age is an important determinant of *H. pylori* prevalence. Indeed, 71% of Peruvian children studied by $^{13}$C breath testing were positive by the age of 6 months. Similarly, high rates of early acquisition have been reported from other developing countries, including Bangladesh, Gambia, and China. In developed countries, however, the prevalence of early infection seems to be substantially lower, with only 1.2% of Swedish children being seropositive at 6 months and only 8% of American children aged 1–3 years. Seroconversion in both developed and developing countries peaks at 3–5 years of age, suggesting that the human stomach is most susceptible to infection at this time. However, one study reports a median seroconversion age of 7.5 years in developed countries, and the continuation of acquisition of infection into adulthood. Given that seroconversion peaks at 3–5 years, the positive correlation of age with prevalence in studies involving young children is not surprising. However, given that urea breath testing might have reduced specificity in young children and the lack of validation of stool antigen testing in young children, such age-related effects might simply be the result of reduced detection ability. The positive correlation of age with prevalence in adult study populations might be attributed to compromised living conditions, such as occurred during the Second World War, and substantial improvements in socioeconomic conditions in developed countries, known as the cohort effect.

Early acquisition of infection is also associated with a higher rate of subsequent loss of infection, with up to 80% of infections being lost without intervention. However, reinfection is common and although there do not seem to be sex differences in the acquisition of *H. pylori* or in the rate of loss of infection, clear racial differences have emerged. Black children have been found to have a higher rate of initial acquisition, a lower rate of loss of infection and a higher rate of reinfection than white children, although the study did not correct for socioeconomic status between the groups. However, it is still not known whether susceptibility to infection is host specific (Figure 2) or if social factors, including a high infectious burden in communities and families, is responsible for this phenomenon.
Figure 2. Fluorescent in-situ hybridization (FISH) detection of H. pylori in an infected African individual showing the burden of H. pylori disease with multiple organisms visible.

**Person-to-person transmission modes**

The general consensus is that transmission occurs from person to person, although it is unclear whether such transmission is direct from one person to another or is mediated via a common source associated with social crowding. Thus, much effort has been directed at identifying the person-to-person mode of transmission, through examination of the relative potential for feces and mouth-borne H. pylori to initiate infection. Such studies need to be evaluated in terms of the methods used and prevalence within the study population.

**Oral–oral transmission**

The role of the oral cavity as a reservoir from which H. pylori can spread remains highly controversial (see ref. 2 for an extensive overview). H. pylori would need to survive in a hostile environment shared with bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Further, as a slow-growing, fastidious bacterium, H. pylori would need to compete against many fast-growing bacteria and face the antibacterial properties of saliva. Despite this, studies using PCR amplification of DNA fragments have demonstrated the presence of H. pylori in the mouth.\(^{69, 70, 71, 72}\) However, the organism has rarely been cultured from samples from the mouth\(^{69}\) and some studies have been unable to detect it there.\(^{16}\) These apparent discrepancies – between positive and negative results – are difficult to explain on scientific merit alone. Thus the cardinal questions relate to: (1) the mouth as a transient versus permanent reservoir related to an individual's disease state; and (2) the extent to which differences both in study population and methods used can explain discrepancies between studies evaluating the role of the mouth environment in transmission.

Colonization of the mouth by H. pylori has been shown by both culture\(^{69}\) and PCR.\(^{69, 71}\) However, studies have not been able to differentiate transient colonization of the mouth after induced vomiting or as the result of gastrointestinal disease from permanent colonization where the mouth acts as a reservoir. Indeed, most studies that have shown H. pylori DNA in mouth samples have involved hospitalised individuals or individuals presenting to gastrointestinal clinics,\(^{75}\) or have been performed after induction of vomiting.\(^{69}\) This suggests that the presence of H. pylori in oral samples might not be sustained and could be transiently affected by illness, and thus is not representative of a general healthy population. Indeed, when the oral presence of H. pylori was studied in a high-prevalence healthy population using validated PCR methods after optimization of sample collection and DNA isolation, no H. pylori DNA could be amplified.\(^{16}\)

Cultural and social differences, such as the shared use of chopsticks in Eastern countries\(^{76}\) and the premastication of food in African cultures\(^{77}\) might explain some of the population differences. H. pylori
might also prefer certain niches within the mouth environment and this could have resulted in sampling error in some studies. The role of the mouth environment in transmission has been evaluated using both PCR and culture. However, the detection of \textit{H. pylori} in the mouth by PCR does not imply a potential route of transmission as \textit{H. pylori} has been shown to occur in a coccoid form that is detectable with PCR yet is not culturable.

In addition, DNA extraction methods and the resultant quality of DNA obtained from mouth samples can vary. For instance, DNA obtained from saliva pellets after centrifugation have been shown to be inferior compared to DNA obtained from saliva directly placed into digestion buffer. Ollier and co-workers have shown the importance of assessment of DNA quality prior to DNA amplification. Inhibitors might exist in the mouth, preventing amplification and leading to false-negative results. Thus all negative samples should be spiked with \textit{H. pylori} DNA prior to amplification. Finally, the sensitivity and specificity of primers have been reported to vary, permitting the amplification of non-\textit{H. pylori} DNA. Therefore, sensitivity of primers and PCR methods should be evaluated and the amplicons generated should be subjected to sequencing to confirm sensitivity.

Low quantities of \textit{H. pylori} have been shown to occur in the saliva of healthy controls, yet the clinical significance of this finding is uncertain. Some evidence of the role of the mouth environment in transmission is provided by studies investigating the prevalence among spouses. Epidemiological data have shown that \textit{H. pylori}-infected individuals who have been treated are not reinfected by their partners. In addition, very divergent \textit{H. pylori} strains are commonly found between partners, suggesting that the oral–oral route is unlikely to be an important mode of transmission.

In conclusion, the fact that few studies have detected culturable \textit{H. pylori} in the mouth environment suggests that the mouth is unlikely to act as a permanent reservoir. However, it might act as temporary route of transmission during gastrointestinal disease. Furthermore, it is unlikely that the mouth environment plays a substantial role in transmission in healthy asymptomatic populations. Therefore, other modes of transmission should be considered to account for high prevalence of \textit{H. pylori} in many developing nations.

\textit{Fecal–oral transmission}

The fecal–oral route is another potential route of transmission. It is difficult to detect the presence of \textit{H. pylori} in fecal samples because of the potential for inhibitors to block DNA amplification (see ref. 2 for review). Immunomagnetic separation, in which magnetic beads coated with \textit{H. pylori} antibody capture (and thus concentrate) \textit{H. pylori} in stool, has been used to reduce the effect of inhibitors. Parsonnet and co-workers report that \textit{H. pylori} DNA can be amplified from normal stool samples in a third of individuals, yet no \textit{H. pylori} could be cultured from these individuals. Conversely, \textit{H. pylori} DNA could be amplified from 69% of individuals with induced diarrhea and stool cultures were positive at least once in 50% of these individuals. These findings suggest that slow passage through the gastrointestinal tract might reduce the pathogenic potential of \textit{H. pylori}. Indeed, \textit{H. pylori} could be cultured from the stool samples of rural Gambian children with diarrhea, supporting the notion that fast passage through the gastrointestinal tract does not limit the pathogenic potential of \textit{H. pylori}.

In summary, current understanding suggests that \textit{H. pylori} is unlikely to survive a slow passage through the gastrointestinal tract due to immense pressures from competing bacteria, but that it might readily be excreted during episodes of diarrhea. The differential role of oral–oral versus fecal–oral transmission is stressed, with studies showing that gastroenterologists are at higher risk of infection than dental workers. Therefore, oral–oral transmission does not appear to play a substantial role in transmission – except during times of vomiting, when transmission is essentially gastro-oral. Distinguishing between oral–oral and gastro-oral routes would prevent further confusion arising from differences in the inferred role of the mouth in healthy versus symptomatic individuals. Finally, we advocate that the fecal–oral route plays a more substantial role given that diarrhea, which is associated with malnutrition and infections, is common in developing countries.
Abiotic and biotic reservoirs

*H. pylori* is a pandemic infection. This suggests the existence of multiple transmission routes and also that *H. pylori* infection can occur from the environment. Although *H. pylori* has been detected – using molecular methods – in various water systems (including rivers, surface and shallow ground water, and sewage systems), it could only rarely be cultured from these sources. The questions that accompany these findings relate to their clinical implications: Detection of *H. pylori* DNA in water sources does not necessarily confirm viability and infectivity. However, it has recently been shown that *H. pylori*, like other related bacteria, such as *Vibrio*, might enter into a viable but not culturable state. This state probably corresponds to the coccoid form, which exists during periods of stress and nutrient depletion in experimental laboratory conditions. Recently, Adams and co-workers demonstrated that the coccoid form of *H. pylori* remains viable even though culturability was lost in natural fresh-water environments. Interestingly, attempts at reviving the organism from this state have as yet been unsuccessful, yet experiments in mice have shown reversion to an infective form when exposed to the stomach environment.

Previous studies have also investigated the role of insect vectors in *H. pylori* spread. House flies (*Musca domestica*) infected with *H. pylori* could transmit *H. pylori* for up to 30 h and *H. pylori* DNA could be amplified from the abdomens of wild flies. However, *H. pylori* could not be recovered from wild flies exposed to human feces naturally or artificially infected with *H. pylori*. In addition, a study looking at the impact of the eradication of flies (as part of the ‘Flies for the eyes’ trachoma study) on *H. pylori* infection rates in an area of high prevalence found that the control of flies did not prevent infection with *H. pylori*, suggesting that flies are not important in *H. pylori* transmission.

The vector potential of cockroaches has also been assessed. Imamura et al cultured *H. pylori* from the stools of cockroaches for 24 h and detected *H. pylori* DNA for up to 7 days after experimental exposure to viable *H. pylori* cultures. They suggested that this might represent a mode of transmission in poor socioeconomic environments.

In conclusion, the potential exists for abiotic and biotic reservoirs to contribute to transmission of *H. pylori*. However, attempts at understanding these contributions are limited by the inability to culture *H. pylori* from such reservoirs. The existence of a viable but non-culturable form that might revert to infective *H. pylori* when exposed to the stomach environment should be investigated to determine the role of these reservoirs in the transmission of *H. pylori*.

Transmission pathways

A general trend observed in studies of transmission pathways in developed countries is that of intrafamilial transmission, particularly involving mothers (Table 1). Such results are also supported by high-resolution sequencing methods. Therefore, transmission studies in developed countries are not susceptible to the low resolution of presence/absence methods on which the conclusions of a majority of studies are founded (Table 1). Transmission studies in developing countries also support the role of intrafamilial transmission, including mothers. However, as the prevalence of *H. pylori* is linked to low socioeconomic conditions, the use of presence/absence methods to infer transmission is questionable. In developed countries, where prevalence is substantially lower, inference methods that simply detect the presence of *H. pylori* in parents and children might be sufficient to describe intrafamilial transmission pathways. However, in developing countries, where prevalence reaches epidemic proportions, the mere observation of the presence of *H. pylori* is not sufficient to infer the direct transmission pathway. In such cases, high-resolution molecular methods are required to identify sharing of strains between individuals for the inference of transmission pathways.
Table 1.
The role of intra- versus interfamilial transmission of *H. pylori* as inferred from published transmission studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Presence/absence methods</th>
<th>Molecular methods (L, M, H)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parents in developing and asymptomatic study populations</td>
<td>121 and 122</td>
<td>Parents and siblings in developing and symptomatic study populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings in developing and asymptomatic study populations</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Mothers in developed and symptomatic study populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents and siblings in developing symptomatic study populations</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Parents in developed and symptomatic study populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers in developed and asymptomatic study populations</td>
<td>18, 20, 33, 44 and 124</td>
<td>Siblings in developed and symptomatic study populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents in developed and asymptomatic study populations</td>
<td>18, 95, 124 and 125</td>
<td>Mothers in developed and asymptomatic study populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers in developed and asymptomatic study populations</td>
<td>131 (M)</td>
<td>Parents and the community in developed asymptomatic study populations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each case the major transmission pathway is presented. Studies are grouped according to methods used, health status, and socioeconomic status of the study population. Resolutions of studies using molecular methods are indicated by low (L), mid (M), and high (H).

Studies in developing countries** and 95 have identified the extrafamilial community as an important component of *H. pylori* transmission. Given that the most likely route of infection involves person-to-person contact, the detection of extrafamilial transmission pathways in developing countries with poor hygiene, large families, and extensive social interaction is not surprising. Similarly, evidence for increased prevalence of infection between *H. pylori*-positive spouses 40, 96 and 97 suggests a person-to-person route of transmission. However, most studies evaluating spouses failed to find a significant effect of infected spouses on prevalence,7, 80, 98, 99 and 100 probably the result of an early age of acquisition and little evidence for subsequent infection in adulthood.95, 99 and 100
As there are disparities in the inference of *H. pylori* transmission in developed and developing countries, it is necessary that transmission studies in countries in developing countries satisfy three criteria: (1) study population; (2) biochemical/molecular methods; and (3) analytical methods. The choice and structure of study population determines the power of a transmission analysis. Several transmission studies, in both developed and developed countries, are based on symptomatic individuals (see Table 1) who might or might not be undergoing antibiotic treatment. Inferences from such studies are flawed because antibiotic treatment results in the unnatural elimination of *H. pylori* from the host. Furthermore, study populations that are biased towards infected or symptomatic individuals can result in alternative transmission pathways being overlooked. Other factors to consider in relation to study population are prevalence and the structure of the study population.

When using high-resolution molecular methods that allow strains to be matched at the nucleotide level, higher prevalence in the study population is favored because comparatively more transmission events are observable. Further, study populations based on extended pedigrees are preferred because multiple familial relationship categories can be evaluated simultaneously, whereas studies directed at only mothers and their children, for example, are limited in scope. With regard to suitable molecular methods, it is necessary to use high-resolution DNA sequencing methods for the inference of transmission. Furthermore, the choice of genes is likely to influence interpretations. Several *H. pylori* virulence (*vacA*) and mobility genes (*flaA, flaB*) might be under strong selective pressure, which could result in the inference of shared strains between individuals that are not the result of common ancestry, but rather convergent or parallel evolution. Therefore, housekeeping genes (*glmM, ureI, ureC*) that are more likely to be selectively neutral are favored.

Finally, the analytical approach used in a transmission study is likely to influence inference of transmission, given high levels of mutation, recombination, and the possibility for these processes to cause deviations between strains of shared ancestry between the times of infection and subsequent sampling.

To date, these criteria have been fulfilled in a transmission study in developing countries. This study uses high-resolution nucleotide housekeeping gene sequences in a pedigree-based study population with a high prevalence of *H. pylori*. Simulation modeling incorporating mutational and recombination sequence changes between the times of infection and sampling was used to evaluate the most likely route of transmission between individuals, given the observed data. The results from this study indicate that transmission scenarios involving a high probability of vertical transmission – either mother–child or father–child – were most unlikely given the observed distribution of sequence divergences (Figure 3). This is in stark contrast to the suite of studies from developed countries, which suggest mother–child interactions as the predominant transmission route (see Table 1). However, consideration of the molecular methods used and the prevalence in the study population suggests that the results agree with a permissive mode of transmission whereby an individual is most likely to acquire infection from those with which he/she is in close social contact.
Multiple strains, host immunity and the host–pathogen interaction

Thus far, we have reviewed the current knowledge regarding the transmission of \textit{H. pylori}. However, one potential confounding factor in the inference of transmission from high-resolution genetic studies is the effect of infection with multiple strains and the development of the host immune response. Here, we briefly review the evidence for multiple infections, the development of immune response, and the potential effects these may have on the inference of transmission pathways.

If a microbial infection is to persist in a human host it needs to be able to evade the host's immune response and avoid clearance by the immune system. Transient \textit{H. pylori} infections with clearance have been well described.\textsuperscript{58, 66 and 67} This implies that persistence of infection is not the inevitable consequence of exposure to \textit{H. pylori}. The ability of \textit{H. pylori} to persist in the hostile environment of the stomach depends on the organism's ability to rapidly adapt to its new environment, off-set against the ability of the immune response to rapidly clear the infection.\textsuperscript{103 and 104} What determines susceptibility to persistence of infection after initial exposure remains unknown.
After acquisition, *H. pylori* colonises the superficial glycoprotein-rich mucous layer of the stomach, avoiding invasion of the host and, in the process, also avoiding the immune response. Components of the innate immune response, e.g. the Toll-like receptors that recognise pathogen-associated molecular patterns, are thus less stimulated by *H. pylori*, which dampens the initial immune response. Further, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of *H. pylori* elicits virtually no immune response. This sets the stage for initial colonization. Eventual bacterial interaction leads to the transcription of *H. pylori* genes associated with the cag pathogenicity island that encodes for a type IV secretion apparatus that allows bacterial proteins to enter the epithelial cell. One such protein, CagA, is phosphorylated by host-cell Src kinase, allowing CagA to interact with several signal-transduction pathways affecting cytoskeletal organization, adhesion, and migration of epithelial cells, and potentially inhibiting apoptosis. The proposed benefit to the bacterium is access to nutrients released by the affected epithelial cell. *H. pylori* strains might also encode for VacA, which acts as a pore-forming protein capable of causing vacuolization in epithelial cells and of which various polymorphisms exist (s1, s2, m1, m2). This protein further disrupts membrane integrity and tight junctions, leading to the release of urea and nutrients from epithelial cells. VagA might also block various components of the immune response.

Inflammation and interaction of bacterial products with effectors at the epithelial interface affect cellular transcription factors associated with the innate immune response, with up-regulation of nuclear factor-κB (NFkB), interleukin 8 (IL-8), cyclo-oxygenase 2 (COX-2), and other proinflammatory cytokines. This polarises the immune response towards a Th1 response, further attracting inflammatory cells and T lymphocytes capable of producing interferon γ (IFNγ) and IL-12. Despite eventual strong humoral and cellular responses, *H. pylori* is rarely cleared and persists for life. Several studies have shown that an effective CD4 + T-cell response is required to clear *H. pylori*. *H. pylori* modulates the adaptive immune response by inhibiting CD4 + T-cell proliferation and arresting IL-2 cell-cycle progression.

Thus the process of infection can be summarised as evasion of the host immune response followed by adherence and release of *H. pylori* proteins into epithelial cells. This is facilitated by virulence genes and results in cellular alterations that permit the release of nutrients. Inflammation subsequently persists yet complete eradication is rare, as *H. pylori* modulates the host immune response. It is fundamental to evaluate which of these processes might obscure the correct inference of transmission pathways from high-resolution gene sequences. Here, we discuss these effects before and after the establishment of a steady-state equilibrium, after which one dominant strain appears to persist. Indeed, studies sequencing multiple strains per individual detect substantially lower sequence divergences within individuals than between individuals, suggesting a shared common ancestor for multiple strains within individuals.

Very little is known of the within-individual infection dynamics at the time of acquisition and period of rapid bacterial population growth. Changes in the host environment, immune response, and nutrient supply might also drive the emergence of *H. pylori* variants due to selective pressures, and thus cause divergence between strains that share a common ancestor. This notion is supported by studies that show the existence of multiple divergent strains within individuals, detected on the basis of virulence gene sequencing or assay techniques. The use of housekeeping genes that are not under selective pressure will preclude this possibility. However, the process by which the bacterium is established within the host is not well understood from the point of view of a host genotype–pathogen interaction. The establishment of *H. pylori* within the host is thought to be dependent on three factors: (1) strain-related pathogenicity; (2) host–strain interactions; or (3) host-related immunity.

Strain-related factors are unlikely to play a role as both cag+ and cag− strains become established within the host. Indeed, when healthy volunteers were exposed to a cag-pathogenicity-island-negative OipA-positive *H. pylori* strain, 90% became infected after one challenge.

The role of host genotype, and its associated immune response, in allowing *H. pylori* to evade an initial immune response is not well understood. If a particular strain of *H. pylori* has a greater probability of evading a particular host's immune response then it would be expected that family members (including children) of the host would show a similar relationship between *H. pylori* strain type and immune
response evasion. In such a scenario, transmission events would not be obscured because parents and their offspring, if initially infected with the multiple strains, would show the establishment of the same/or closely related strains. However, if bacterial strain selection within individuals is not host related then the possibility exists for other strains to become established in parents and their offspring, even though they are exposed to a common source of strains. Although, this discussion is speculative it provides the outline for research that could be undertaken to identify the factors that permit strain establishment within individuals.

Finally, purely host-related immunity factors can prevent establishment in some individuals/races. Given that clearance and subsequent reinfection show clear racial differences, the possibility of such host-related factors in promoting or preventing the establishment of *H. pylori* should not be ignored.

Several paired-sample studies support the notion of a single dominant strain, 46, 47 and 48 in which changes observed over time are the result of mutations and intragenomic recombination from a single common ancestor. Given such a scenario, the inference of transmission from gene sequence data is unlikely to be confounded because infection via person-to-person contact results in the same strain becoming established in an infected individual. Mutational and recombination changes between the original and infected strain can be accommodated for using methods described previously. 17 However, Kraft et al 48 discovered that four of six recombination events observed between isolates from the same individuals taken at different times required homologous recombination with DNA from another *H. pylori* genome. Thus the continuous acquisition of new strains is necessary to generate genomic changes in *H. pylori*. Furthermore, these authors conclude that genetic variation that occurs as the result of point mutations, slipped-strand mispairing during DNA replication, and intrachromosomal recombination is less important in generating the genetic diversity on which host adaptation is based. Given such a scenario, the continual uptake of new genetic material into the genome of the dominant host would complicate the inference of transmission from gene sequence data. Indeed, such incorporation of additional genetic material would result in the incorrect inference of transmission. However, the use of multiple unlinked genes precludes this possibility because multiple recombinant events, consistent with each of the genes sequenced would need to occur to confound the inference of transmission.

**Summary**

Transmission has been addressed using: (1) prevalence within families; (2) presence in oral and fecal samples; (3) presence in the biotic and abiotic environment; and (4) the observation of shared strains between individuals. Although much research has been conducted on transmission, there is little agreement on which pathways play a dominant role. Indeed, substantial differences in the inference of transmission are found between studies using presence/absence detection methods versus those using high-resolution sequencing methods. Substantial differences are also detected between study populations in developing and developed countries. In this review, we contrast transmission studies under these two principal themes. We conclude that transmission is unlikely to be strictly familial. Studies suggesting otherwise use only presence/absence methods (which are unable to detect strain differences) and study populations in which prevalence is low, thus causing clustering within families. Research conducted in developing countries suggests that the community plays an important role in the transmission of *H. pylori*. This is not surprising given that a person-to-person mode of transmission, facilitated through oral or fecal routes, is most likely. Furthermore, the potential for abiotic and biotic reservoirs to play a role in transmission further supports the notion that individuals might well derive their infection from the community. Increased prevalence in low socioeconomic conditions also supports the community transmission hypothesis. We therefore advocate that transmission should not be considered as being vertical or horizontal but should rather be seen as an infection that is derived from persons with whom children have close social interaction. This is likely to be different in developed and developing countries and therefore accounts for the differences observed.
**Practice Points**

- The dynamics of *H. pylori* transmission differ between developing and developed nations.
- The methods used to infer transmission affect the certainty of inference.
- *H. pylori* is transmitted from person to person via gastro-oral or fecal pathways; the fecal route probably plays a greater role.
- The role of the abiotic and biotic environments is not well characterised.

**Research agenda**

- The role of coccoid forms, and their ability to revert back to infective *H. pylori*, needs to be investigated.
- The dynamics of strain–host interaction at the time of acquisition, before the onset of a steady-state equilibrium, needs to be understood.
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