We read the recent review on adult dissociative identity disorder (DID) published in *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* (Boysen and VanBergen, 2013) with great interest. While we welcome this successful effort in documenting the steady research contributions on DID over the last decade, we want to make the readership clear about two assumptions of the review which are, in our view, misleading.
Most saliently, we refute the debate based on the arbitrary polarization of posttraumatic- and sociocognitive models in the etiology of DID. The term “sociocognitive” has generally been associated with the erroneous idea that dissociative disorders are iatrogenic and cultural artifacts, due to socio-cultural and psychotherapeutic influences with suggestible patients and are not related to developmental trauma (Spanos, 1994). In fact, the presence of sociocognitive factors in the development of dissociative processes does not necessarily imply iatrogenesis, as there is an overwhelming literature that clearly indicates that nearly all psychopathological phenomena are ingrained in cultural and societal processes (Bhugra and Bhui, 2007). Therefore, sociocognitive influences need not disqualify from existence any psychiatric disorder, neither should they exclude the role of developmental trauma in the pathogenesis of psychiatric illness. In fact, current research is consistent with the idea that both developmental trauma and dissociative disorders are also usually embedded in socio-cultural contexts and have sociocognitive consequences (Martinez-Taboas, 1991; Krüger, Sokudela, Motlana, Mataboge, and Dikobe, 2007; Sar and Ozturk, 2007; Sar and Öztürk, in press; Sar, Akyüz, Öztürk, and Alioglu, in press; Sar, Middleton and Dorahy, in press). Ironically, the polarization due to a one-sided understanding of the sociocognitive model (as synonymous with iatrogenesis and cultural artifacts) has interfered with more extensive research on socio-cultural factors in the origins of DID and childhood psychological trauma.

Furthermore, Boysen and VanBergen mention a lack of documentation of DID cases outside treatment and use this as evidence for an iatrogenic etiology. In fact, several studies screening for DID, both before and during Boysen and VanBergen’s (2013) data window (2000-2010), listed only cases diagnosed as such for the first time (e.g. Akyuz et al., 1999; Dorahy, Mills, Taggart, O’Kane, & Mulholland, 2006; Sar et al., 2003, 2006; Tutkun et al., 1998), recruited from the general population (e.g. Akyuz et al., 1999), or
college students (e.g. Sar et al., 2006). In all these studies, the interviewers were blind to the data obtained from probable cases and controls.

There can be no debate about the reality of child trauma and abuse, neither among lay people nor among professionals, because the wide presence of diverse types of childhood trauma across our countries is simply overwhelming. For example, in Australia a Royal Commission on institutional aspects of child sexual abuse was formed at the start of 2013. Proponents for an almost exclusive sociocognitive (‘non-posttraumatic’) model seemingly never advance a description of what the clinical syndrome typically exhibited by the survivors of corroborated severe inescapable childhood trauma actually is, if it is not indeed a complex trauma syndrome incorporating marked dissociation. Nor do they have an explanation for clinical descriptions of marked dissociation that appear in the old clinical files of some mental health patients who are only much later diagnosed with DID, with file descriptions of florid, and at the time perplexing dissociative phenomena, written by clinicians who clearly had never considered a diagnosis of DID (e.g. Middleton 2004).

We believe that scientific research on DID is not only critical for the future of psychiatry, but also could provide insights into the human condition. Mental health professionals have been familiar with the concepts of identity disturbance, dissociation, and childhood psychological trauma separately for several decades. However, the integration of these interrelated phenomena has lagged behind (for a summary about how trauma affects identity; see Brewin, 2003). We think that an inevitable and necessary intellectual task to deepen our understanding about human existence and suffering is to consider how such divergent variables work together at the individual and collective level. Without understanding DID and its pathophysiological mechanisms (as embedded in socio-cultural
factors), insight into the links between childhood psychological trauma and clinical psychopathology will never be complete.
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