

ODENDAAL, PAUL NIELEN

SEASONAL CHANGES IN THE OVARIAN STRUCTURE OF THE CAPE FUR SEAL ARCTOCEPHALUS PUSILLUS PUSILLUS

MSc UP 2000



Seasonal changes in the ovarian structure of the Cape fur seal (Arctocephalus pusillus pusillus)

by

Paul Nielen Odendaal

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of Magister Scientiae: Zoology

In the

Faculty of Science and Technology

School for Biological Sciences, Zoology & Entomology

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

January 2000



Seasonal changes in the ovarian structure of the Cape fur seal Arctocephalus pusillus

by

Paul Nielen Odendaal

Supervisors: Prof M N Bester, Dr. M van der Merwe

School: Biological Sciences, Zoology & Entomology

Degree: MSc Zoology

Abstract

A detailed description of the morphology of the annual reproductive cycle of the female Cape fur seal was obtained by macroscopically examining the ovaries of 159 females and samples of the uterine horns of 119 of these. Ovaries from 46 of these were also processed histologically. Ages were known for 83 of the females, from which the average age at puberty and sexual maturity could be estimated, as well as age-specific and overall pregnancy rates. Overall pregnancy rates were estimated at 0.90, while the birth rate for the previous reproductive cycle was calculated as 0.85. The average age at puberty and age of sexual maturity were estimated at 4.3 and 5.02 years respectively. Certain shortcomings in the study, such as the lack of standardization in collecting material are discussed.



Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my supervisors, Prof. Marthán Bester and Dr. Mac van der Merwe, for support and friendship, both within and outside the academic context.

This study relied heavily on the support and participation of the former Sea

Fisheries Research Institute, and I would like to express my thanks and
appreciation to staff for sacrifices on my behalf, both in terms of time and effort,
especially Dr. Jeremy David, Mike Meyer, Stephan Swanson and Deon Kotze.

An extra special word of thanks and appreciation to Herman Oosthuizen, without whom nothing could have been done.

Finally, I would like to extend my thanks to friends for support and understanding, especially Mariaan Solomon and Charles Glass.



Table of contents

	Page
Abstract	j-
Acknowledgements	Ĥ-
Table of contents	III
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	viii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
a)The Cape fur seal, general considerations	and rationale
behind the study	1
b) The mammalian oestrus cycle, origin and	fate of the
corpus luteum	6
Chapter 2: Materials and methods	10
2.1) Sample size and origin of study material	10
2.2) Age determination	12
2.3) Tissue processing	13



	2.4) Macroscopic ovarian examination: corpora lutea /	
	corpora albicantia	14
	2.5) Follicular cycle	15
	2.6) Microscopic ovarian examination	15
	2.7) Macroscopic examination of the uterine horn	17
	2.8) Age at puberty / age at sexual maturity	19
	2.9) Pregnancy rates	21
	2.10) Statistical analyses	22
Chapter 3	: Results	23
	3.1) Ovarian weight and size	23
	3.2) Follicular cycle	28
	3.3) Luteal cycle	35
	3.4) Pregnancy, placental scarring, delayed implantation	r.
	and gestation	66
	3.5) Pregnancy rates	71
	3.6) Age at puberty & age at sexual maturity	75
	3.7) Prenatal mortality	75
Chapter 4	Discussion	81
Chapter 5	Conclusions	96



Summary	98	
References	101	
Key to abbreviations used in Appendices	113	
Appendix 1: Sizes of CLs / CAs (mm), as well as		
reproductive state of female	115	
Appendix 2: Numbers of follicles per ovary, and the		
size (mm) of the largest in each	126	
Appendix 3: Sizes of ovaries (mm) with corpora lutea		
and ovaries with corpora albicantia	132	
Appendix 4: Weights of ovaries (g) with corpora lutea		
and ovaries with corpora albicantia	136	



List of Tables

	Page
Table 1: Summary of collection trips during which samples had been	
collected at sea	11
Table 2: Average monthly ovarian sizes (mm) and weights (g), \pm	
standard deviation	24
Table 3: Follicular sizes (mm) and numbers, \pm standard error of the	
mean	29
Table 4: Sizes of corpora lutea of pregnancy (mm) (abortions not	
included) \pm standard deviation	36
Table 5: Average luteal cell sizes (μ m) \pm SD (average for each row	
is given in the final column)	39
Table 6: Pregnancy rates for the current reproductive cycle (based or	n the
presence of CLs and foetuses/placental scars) and birti	h
rates for the previous reproductive cycle (based on the	
presence of CAs and placental scarring)	72
Table 7: Age specific pregnancy rates, estimated from presence of	
embryos, foetuses, active corpora lutea and recent place	cental
scarring	73
Table 8: Average age at puberty, back calculated using 1 st CA	76



Table 9: Average age at puberty, back calculated using 2 nd CA where	
available	76
Table 10: Average age at sexual maturity, using only placental scarring	
and 1 st CA	76
Table 11: Sizes (mm) \pm SD of corpora lutea / albicantia of successful	
pregnancy and of abortion (PM = prenatal mortality, referring	
both to missed pregnancies and abortions)	77

Y



List of figures

List of figures	Page
Figure 1: Map of southern Africa, showing the distribution of the	
Cape fur seal	2
Figure 2: Ovarian weights (g) of ovaries with CLs and with CAs	
respectively (bars indicate SD)	25
Figure 3: Ovarian sizes (mm) of ovaries with CLs and with CAs	
respectively (bars indicate SD)	26
Figure 4: Average monthly numbers of Graafian follicles, in ovaries with	ì
a CL and a CA respectively (bars indicate SE)	30
Figure 5: Average monthly maximum follicular sizes in ovaries with CLs	3
and CAs respectively (bars indicate SE)	31
Figure 6: Corpus atreticum in a female (5157) of unknown age,	
collected during January (80 x)	33
Figure 7: Corpus atreticum in a female (5012) of unknown age,	
collected during December (200 x)	33
Figure 8: The average monthly size of the CL / CA until 32 months after	r
formation (bars indicate SD, except where sample < 3)	37
Figure 9: Average monthly luteal cell sizes (μm). Missing months have	
been interpolated (bars indicate SD, except where sample	е
< 3)	40



Figure 10: Histological appearance of a young corpus luteum in a female	
of unknown age (4601) in December (400 x)	41
Figure 11: Histological appearance of a young corpus luteum in a female	
of unknown age (5012) in January (400 x)	41
Figure 12: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
(containing an unimplanted blastocyst) 11 year old female	
(3906) in February	44
Figure 13: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus luteum in a 7 year old	
female (946) in March	44
Figure 14: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum in a female of	
unknown age (APP6) in March (400 x)	44
Figure 15: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
(recently implanted) 5 year old female (3645) in April	44
Figure 16: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
female of unknown age (5069) in June	48
Figure 17: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
female of unknown age (5087) in June (400 x)	48
Figure 18: Histological appearance of the central core of a corpus luteum	
in a pregnant female (5087) of unknown age in June (80 x)	48
Figure 19: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
female of unknown age (5131) in July	48



Figure 20: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
female of unknown age (5130) in July (400 x)	51
Figure 21: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
female of unknown age (5131) in July (400 x)	51
Figure 22: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
female of unknown age (5130) in July (400 x)	51
Figure 23: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
13+ year old female in August	51
Figure 24: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum in a pregnant	
female of unknown age (5137) in August (400 x)	54
Figure 25: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum of recent parturiti	on
in a female of unknown age (5149) in October (400 x)	54
Figure 26: Histological appearance of a corpus luteum of recent parturiti	on
in a female of unknown age (5149) in October (200 x)	54
Figure 27: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus luteum of pregnancy	
in a female of unknown age (3051) in November	54
Figure 28: Histological appearance of a post-partum corpus luteum in	
a female of unknown age (5154) in November (200 x)	58
Figure 29: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in an 8 year old female (3803) in January	58
Figure 30: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a 10 year old female (3898) in February	58



Figure 31: Histological appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a female of unknown age (APP9) in March	
(200 x)	58
Figure 32: Histological appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a female of unknown age (APP6) in March	
(400 x)	61
Figure 33: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a 5 year old female (3645) in April	61
Figure 34: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a 13+ year old female (3238) in May	61
Figure 35: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a female of unknown age (5039) in June	61
Figure 36: Histological appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a female of unknown age (5132) in July	
(80 x)	64
Figure 37: Histological appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy in a female of unknown age (5139) in August	
(80 x)	64
Figure 38: Close up of dense connective tissue of the type shown in	
Figures 36 and 37 (400 x)	64
Figure 39: Macroscopic appearance of a corpus albicans of previous	
pregnancy, two years after formation, in a female of	



unknown age (5008) in December	64
Figure 40: Regression analysis of luteal cell sizes (μm) vs. CL /CA size	76
Figure 41: Age specific reproductive rates, estimated from the presence	
of embryos / foetuses, active corpora lutea and recent	
placental scarring	73
Figure 42: Comparison between sizes of CLs / CAs of abortion / missed	
pregnancy, and of CLs / CAs of pregnancy respectively.	
Missing error bars indicate sample size < 3	78