

Concentration and derivatisation in silicone rubber traps for
gas chromatographic trace analysis of aldehydes

**Concentration and derivatisation in silicone rubber traps for
gas chromatographic trace analysis of aldehydes**

MARIA JOSÉ FERNANDES

BY

MARIA JOSÉ FERNANDES

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Chemistry

in the Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Science

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

July 2001

Concentration and derivatisation in silicone rubber traps for gas chromatographic trace analysis of aldehydes

BY

MARIA JOSÉ FERNANDES

Promoter

Prof Dr E R Rohwer

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of MSc, Chemistry
in the Faculty of Natural & Agricultural Science

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

South Africa

SUMMARY

Low molecular mass aldehydes, such as formaldehyde (HCHO) and acrolein, are introduced into the environment through incomplete fuel combustion and tobacco smoke. Indoors, formaldehyde is emitted mainly by Urea Formaldehyde (UF)-resin treated furniture. These aldehydes have long been suspected carcinogens and for this reason several methods exist to monitor them. The methods that can most effectively and selectively pre-concentrate aldehydes involve in-situ derivatisation. Unfortunately, the derivatising agents as well as their associated solvents or adsorbents, are responsible for problems encountered with these methods. A

recently developed method using Solid Phase MicroExtraction (SPME), introduced polydimethylsiloxane as the absorbent for the derivatising agent, with promising results. However, this method is not ideal for field sampling.

In our study, the use of the silicone rubber trap, developed in our laboratory, as a pre-concentrating device for volatile aldehydes in the air, was investigated. The silicone rubber is saturated with the dynamic headspace of O-(2,3,4,5,6-PentaFluoroBenzyl) Hydroxyl Amine (PFBHA). Carbonyl compounds are pre-concentrated, by reaction with the sorbed PFBHA, to form the stable oxime-derivative. The oxime-derivatives are then thermally desorbed, cryogenically focussed and analysed using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry and Gas Chromatography-Flame Ionisation Detection.

The reaction efficiency of HCHO with PFBHA was experimentally determined to be between 75% to 95%. Breakthrough of the HCHO-Oxime did not occur even after a collection volume of 3 litres. Our detection limit for HCHO is restricted by the HCHO-oxime impurity in the PFBHA blank. The minimum detected HCHO concentration was 0.1ppm. Lower detection limits for acetaldehyde, acrolein and crotonal were obtained as they are absent in the PFBHA blank. They were 0.035ppm, 0.057ppm and 0.064ppm respectively for a collection volume of 10ml, and s/n of 3. The trap was also tested on real gaseous indoor and outdoor air samples and headspace analysis of beer.

Derivatisation on a silicone rubber trap is a promising technique. The simpler method for coating the trap with PFBHA reduces sample preparation time. The silicone rubber is inert, and after thermal desorption is immediately reusable. Analysis of the traps can easily be automated, and the sampling set-up is inexpensive, convenient and portable for fieldwork.

Konsentrering en derivatisering in silikoonrubber-opvangers vir gaschromatografiese spooranalise van aldehyede

DEUR

MARIA JOSÉ FERNANDES

Promotor

Prof Dr E R Rohwer

Ingehandig in deelse bereik van die behoeftes vir die graad MSc, Chemie

in die Fakulteit Natuur- & Landbouwetenskappe

Universiteit van Pretoria

Pretoria

Suid-Afrika

SAMEVATTING

Lae-molekulêremassa aldehyede, soos formaldehyd (HCHO) en akroleïen, word vrygestel in die omgewing deur onvolledige verbrandingsprosesse en deur tabak-rook. Binnemuurs, word formaldehyd hoofsaaklik vrygestel deur Ureum-Formaldehyd (UF) - hars behandelde meubels. Hierdie aldehyede is lankal verdagte karsinogene, daarom bestaan daar 'n verskeidenheid metodes om hulle te monitor. Die beste metodes wat aldehyede effektief en selektief prekonsentreer maak gebruik van *in-situ* derivatisering. Probleme word egter ondervind met die derivatiseringsmiddels asook hul geassosieerde oplosmiddels en adsorbeërs. 'n

Nuwe, baie belowende metode wat SoliedeFaseMikroEkstraksie (SFME) gebruik, stel polidimetielsiloksaan bekend as die absorbeerder vir die derivatiseringsreagens. Ongelukkig is hierdie metode minder geskik vir monsterneming buite die laboratorium.

In ons studie word die gebruik van silikoonrubber-opvangers, wat in ons laboratorium ontwikkel is, vir die prekonsentrasie van vlugtige aldehyede in lug ondersoek. Die silikoonrubber word versadig met die dinamiese dampruim van O-(2,3,4,5,6-PentaFluoroBenziel)HydroksielAmien (PFBHA). Karbonielverbindings word gekonsentreer, deur reaksie met die geabsorbeerde PFBHA, om stabiele oksiem-derivate te vorm. Die oksiem-derivate word daarna termies gedesorbeer, gefokus deur afkoeling en geanaliseer deur middel van gaschromatografie en gaschromatografie-massaspektrometrie.

Eksperimenteel is vasgestel dat die reaksie van HCHO met PFBHA tussen 75% en 95% volledig verloop. Geen deurbraak van die HCHO-oksiem het na 'n versamelvolumen van 3 liter plaasgevind nie. Die detekselimiet vir HCHO was beperk deur die HCHO-oksiem onsuiverheid in die PFBHA. Die minimum waarneembare HCHO-konsentrasie was 0.1dpm. Laer detekselimiete is moontlik vir asetaldied, akroleien en krotonaldied omdat hul reaksieprodukte nie teenwoordig was in die PFBHA reagens nie. Hul detekselimiete was 0.035dpm, 0.057dpm en 0.064dpm onderskeidelik vir 'n versamelvolumen van 10ml (s/n 3). Die opvanger is ook getoets met werklike binne- en buitemuur lugmonsters en bier-dampruim.

Derivatisering in silikoonrubber-opvangers is 'n belowende tegniek. 'n Makliker metode om die opvanger met PFBHA te bedek verminder die hoeveelheid monstervoorbereidingstyd. Die silikoonrubber is inert en na termiese desorpsie is dit dadelik herbruikbaar. Analiese van die opvangers kan maklik geoutomatiseer word

en die monsternemingstelsel is goedkoop, gerieflik en draagbaar vir monsterneming buite die laboratorium.

My skoenste gediende is:

Prof. Dr. L. M. R. Rohwer, for his guidance, advice and help in the preparation of this report.

Prof. Dr. H. J. H. van der Merwe, for his help in the preparation of this report.

Prof. Dr. J. H. van der Merwe, for his help in the preparation of this report.

Prof. Dr. J. H. van der Merwe, for his help in the preparation of this report.

Prof. Dr. J. H. van der Merwe, for his help in the preparation of this report.

Prof. Dr. J. H. van der Merwe, for his help in the preparation of this report.

Thank you

Marius

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincerest gratitude to:

Professor Egmont Rohwer, for his guidance, support and continuous encouragement (and at least five solutions to every problem).

Mr Anthony Hasset, for his good humour and technical assistance with all the instrumentation used throughout this project.

Mr Robin Muir, for making all the glass devices used in this project. Mr Leon Engelbrecht and Mr Reggie Dumas for their technical assistance and Mr David Masemula, for tirelessly replacing gas bottles and liquid nitrogen.

The National Research Fund and the University of Pretoria for financial support.

My colleagues and friends in research especially; André Venter, Anita Botha, Andreas Landman and Isabel Ricco for their advice, support and encouragement.

My Parents and Family, who have given me the opportunity to go further and supported me every step of the way.

Alexander Whaley, for proof reading my thesis. For his readiness to help with anything and everything at anytime. For his continuous encouragement and support.

Thank you

Maria

CONTENTS

	Page
SUMMARY	i
SAMEVATTING	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
CONTENTS	vii
ABBREVIATIONS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Our Approach	6
1.3 Arrangement and Presentation	7
CHAPTER 2 METHODS FOR DETERMINING ALDEHYDES IN AIR	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Direct Reading Methods	8
2.3 Indirect Reading Methods	9
2.3.1 Non-Chromatographic Methods	9
2.3.1.1 Colorimetric Methods	9
2.3.1.2 Polarographic Methods	12
2.3.2 Chromatographic Methods	13
2.3.2.1 Ion Chromatography	13
2.3.2.2 Capillary Electrophoresis (CE)	14
2.3.2.3 Direct Gas Chromatographic Methods	14
2.3.3 Derivatisation and Chromatographic Separation	16
2.3.3.1 Hydrazones	16
2.3.3.2 Oximes	19
2.3.3.3 Cyclisation Reactions	22
2.4 Conclusion	26
CHAPTER 3 SAMPLE PREPARATION TECHNIQUES	27
3.1 Introduction	27
3.1.1 Dynamic Headspace Sampling	28

	Page
3.2 Adsorption	28
3.2.1 Solid Phase Extraction (SPE)	30
3.2.2 Breakthrough Volume	31
3.3 Cryo-trapping	33
3.4 Absorption	33
3.4.1 Impingers and Bubblers	34
3.4.2 Denuders	34
3.4.3 Polymeric Sorbents	35
3.4.3.1 Open Tubular Traps (OTT)	36
3.4.3.2 The Multichannel Silicone Rubber Trap (MCT)	37
3.4.3.3 Packed Silicone Beds	38
3.4.3.4 Solid Phase MicroExtraction (SPME)	38
3.5 Recovery	41
3.5.1 Solvent Extraction	41
3.5.2 Thermal Desorption	42
 CHAPTER 4 GAS STANDARDS	 43
4.1 Introduction	43
4.2 Static Methods	43
4.3 Dynamic Methods	44
4.3.1 Permeation Methods	45
4.3.2 Diffusion Methods	48
4.4 Experimental	50
4.5 Conclusion	61
 CHAPTER 5 INSTRUMENTATION	 62
5.1 Thermal Desorption-Cryogenic Trapping Unit (TCT)	62
5.2 Gas Chromatograph (GC)	63
5.3 Flame Ionisation Detector (FID)	65
5.4 The Mass Spectrometer (MS)	69
 CHAPTER 6 IN-SITU DERIVATISATION	 73
6.1 In-situ Derivatiation	73
6.1.1 Selecting a Derivatizing Reagent	73
6.1.2 The Silicone Rubber Trap	74
6.2 Identification of the Reagent and Oxime-Products	78

ABBREVIATIONS		Page
6.3	The Derivatising Reagent	85
6.3.1	Loading the Reagent onto the Silicone Rubber Trap	85
6.3.2	Loading from an Aqueous solution of Reagent	85
6.3.3	Reagent Purity	88
6.3.4	Loading from the Pure Reagent	89
6.3.5	Reagent Loading Repeatability	90
6.4	Thermal Desorption-Cryogenic Focussing	95
6.5	Reaction Efficiency	97
6.6	Reagent Depletion <i>versus</i> Breakthrough Volume	104
6.7	Conclusion	106
CHAPTER 7	TESTING THE SILICONE RUBBER TRAP ON REAL SAMPLES	108
7.1	Introduction	108
7.2	Experimental	108
7.3	Results and Discussion	110
7.3.1	Air Samples	110
7.3.2	Headspace Beer Samples	113
7.4	Conclusion	115
CHAPTER 8	CONCLUSION	129
	REFERENCES	132
APPENDIX 1	Table 3.1 Comparison of adsorbents	142
APPENDIX 2	Calculation of Gas Concentrations	144
APPENDIX 3	PFBHA-Aldehyde Reaction Schemes	147
APPENDIX 4	EI-Mass Spectra of PFBHA Aldehyde-Oximes	149

ABBREVIATIONS

ACGIH	=	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
%RSD	=	Percentage Relative Standard Deviation
amu	=	atomic mass units
BEA	=	N-(BenzylEthanol) Amine
CH ₃ CHO	=	Acetaldehyde
DNPH	=	2,4-DiNitroPhenylHydrazine
DNSH	=	Dansylhydrazine
DVB	=	DiVinylBenzene
ECD	=	Electron Capture Detector
EI	=	Electron Impact
EPA	=	Environmental Protection Agency
FID	=	Flame Ionisation Detector
GC	=	Gas Chromatography
HCHO	=	Formaldehyde
HID	=	Helium Ionisation Detector
HMP	=	2-HydroxyMethylPiperidine
HPLC	=	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HSSE	=	Headspace Sorptive Extraction
ITD	=	Ion Trap Detector
k	=	capacity factor
K	=	distribution coefficient
LC-MS	=	Liquid Chromatography - Mass Spectrometry
LLE	=	Liquid-Liquid Extraction
MCT	=	MultiChannel Trap
MS	=	Mass Spectrometry

N	=	number of plates
NIOSH	=	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NIST	=	National Institute for Standards and Technology
NPD	=	Nitrogen-Phosphorus Detector
OSHA	=	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OTT	=	Open Tubular Traps
PDMS	=	PolyDiMethylSiloxane
PEL	=	Permissible Exposure Limit
PFBHA	=	O-(2,3,4,5,6-PentaFluoroBenzyl) Hydroxyl Amine
PFPH	=	O-(2,3,4,5,6-PentaFluoroPhenyl) Hydrazine
PLOT	=	Packed Layer Open Tubular
ppb	=	part-per-billion
ppm	=	part-per-million
PTFE	=	PolyTetraFluoroEthylene
SIM	=	Selected Ion Monitoring
SPE	=	Solid Phase Extraction
SPME	=	Solid Phase MicroExtraction
STEL	=	Short Term Exposure Limit
s / n	=	Signal - to - noise ratio
TCD	=	Thermal Conductivity Detector
TCPH	=	2,4,6-TriChloroPhenylHydrazine
TCT	=	Thermal Desorbtion - Cryogenic Trapping unit
TIC	=	Total Ion Chromatogram
TSD	=	Thermionic Specific Detection
TWA	=	Time Weighted Average
UF	=	Urea - formaldehyde
UV	=	Ultra-Violet

LIST OF TABLES

VOC	=	Volatile Organic Compound
V_b	=	breakthrough volume
WCOT	=	Wall-Coated Open Tubular
WHO	=	World Health Organisation

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1: Indoor Personal Exposure Limits (PEL) for airborne formaldehyde [3,4]	2
Table 3.1: A comparison of various adsorbents [19,71-75,79,82]	141
Table 4.1: Summary of gas standard devices prepared and results obtained	53
Table 5.1: Contributions to the Effective Carbon Number (ECN) [120,122]	67
Table 6.1: Instrumentation Parameters	77
Table 6.2: EI-Mass Spectra for PFBHA-aldehyde derivatives [17,22,50]	81
Table 6.3: The variation in the ratio of the isomer peaks of acetal, acrolein and crotonal-oximes	103
Table 6.4: Determination of minimum detectable concentrations for acetaldehyde, acrolein and crotonal	104
Table 7.1: Quantitation of collected real samples for formaldehyde (HCHO) analysis	116
Table 7.2: Quantitation of collected real samples for acetaldehyde (CH ₃ CHO) analysis	117

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Reaction scheme for Purpald® reagent with an aldehyde or ketone [29]	11
Figure 2.2: Reaction scheme for bisulphite with an aldehyde [30]	14
Figure 2.3: Reaction scheme for the conversion of an aldehyde to an alkane [16]	14
Figure 2.4: Reaction scheme for the reduction of an aldehyde to an alcohol [31]	15
Figure 2.5: Reaction scheme for 2,4-DNPH with an aldehyde [20,37-45]	17
Figure 2.6: Reaction scheme for DNSH with an aldehyde [46]	18
Figure 2.7: Reaction scheme for PFPH with an aldehyde [47,48]	18
Figure 2.8: Reaction scheme for TCPH with an aldehyde [49]	19
Figure 2.9: Reaction scheme for first, benzylhydroxylamine with an aldehyde. Second methoxy amine with an aldehyde [8]	20
Figure 2.10: Reaction scheme for PFBHA with an aldehyde [50]	22
Figure 2.11: Reaction scheme for ethanolamine with an aldehyde [8,21]	22
Figure 2.12: Reaction scheme of 2-HMP with an aldehyde [23,58-60]	23
Figure 2.13: Reaction scheme of cysteamine with an aldehyde [8,61,62]	24
Figure 2.14: Reaction scheme for formaldehyde with aqueous ammonia [63]	24

	Page
Figure 2.15: Reaction scheme for Dimedon with an aldehyde [64]	25
Figure 2.16: Hantzsch reaction scheme [65-66]	26
Figure 3.1: Flow diagram of sample preparation techniques for gaseous organic compounds	27
Figure 3.2: Steps involved in the SPE technique [76]	30
Figure 3.3: Liquid-phase extraction devices [82]	33
Figure 3.4: Cross-sections of various trap configurations using 100% Polydimethylsiloxane	36
Figure 3.5: A commercial SPME device from Supelco [87]	40
Figure 4.1: Aldehyde gas standard devices	50
Figure 4.2a*: Mass loss curves for the aldehyde diffusion gas standards prepared * acrolein mass loss curve not shown, as diffusion was too rapid.	54
Figure 4.2b#: Mass loss curves for the aldehyde type 1 permeation gas standards # acrolein mass loss not shown, as permeation was too rapid	54
Figure 4.2c**: Mass loss curves for the aldehyde type 2 permeation gas standards ** no butanal and benzaldehyde gas standards of this type were prepared	55
Figure 4.2d: Mass loss curves for the formaldehyde gas standards	56
Figure 4.3: Dilution system for the gas standard	57
Figure 4.4: T-piece split	58

	Page
Figure 4.5: Reconstructed Ion Chromatogram using m/z 181 of SPME-PFBHA coated fibre exposed to aldehyde diffusion gas standards (type 3)	60
Figure 4.6: Reconstructed Ion Chromatogram using m/z 181 of SPME-PFBHA coated fibre exposed to aldehyde permeation gas standards (type 1)	61
Figure 5.1: The 2 main phases in the TCT 4020 thermal desorption unit	63
Figure 5.2: A Hewlett Packard Flame Ionisation Detector [119]	65
Figure 5.3: Estimating Effective Carbon Numbers for PFBHA and the HCHO-Oxime	68
Figure 5.4: A Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer [125,126]	71
Figure 5.5: A Gas Chromatograph (GC) coupled to an Ion Trap Detector (ITD), having an external ion source [125]	72
Figure 6.1: The suggested mechanism for the reaction of an aldehyde with PFBHA	75
Figure 6.2: In-situ derivatisation on the silicone rubber trap	76
Figure 6.3: GC-FID chromatogram of a desorbed silicone rubber trap	78
Figure 6.4: Overlaid TIC of SPME-PFBHA coated fibre exposed to pure aldehyde	79
Figure 6.5: A. Obtained EI-Mass Spectrum for PFBHA B. NIST library EI-Mass Spectrum for PFBHA	80
Figure 6.6: A. Obtained EI-Mass Spectrum for HCHO-Oxime B. NIST library EI-Mass Spectrum for HCHO-Oxime	80

	Page
Figure 6.7: A. Obtained EI-Mass Spectrum for the acetaldehyde-oxime B. NIST library EI-Mass Spectrum for the acetaldehyde-oxime	82
Figure 6.8: Formation of the pentafluorotropylium ion m/z 181 [50,125]	82
Figure 6.9: McLafferty rearrangement of the butanal-oxime to form m/z 239 [130]	83
Figure 6.10: Mechanism for the formation of m/z 250 from 2-alkenal-oximes, Where $R' = H$ (acrolein) and CH_3 (crotonal) [130]	83
Figure 6.11: Set-up for loading headspace from aqueous reagent	85
Figure 6.12: GC-ITD MS Total Ion Chromatogram of PFBHA (loaded from aqueous headspace) and 30sec sampling of a 5ppm HCHO atmosphere	86
Figure 6.13: ITD EI-Mass Spectrum of the formaldehyde-oxime	87
Figure 6.14: Comparison of HCHO-Oxime in PFBHA (aq) and PFBHA(s)	89
Figure 6.15: Set-up for loading headspace from the pure reagent	89
Figure 6.16: GC-FID chromatogram of the PFBHA reagent, headspace collected from the pure solid for 10min at 5ml/min	91
Figure 6.17: The depletion of PFBHA headspace from an aqueous solution	92
Figure 6.18: The depletion of PFBHA headspace from the pure reagent	92
Figure 6.19: Peak area of PFBHA and HCHO-oxime with increasing loading volume at 5 and 10ml/min collection flow rates	94
Figure 6.20: The depletion of PFBHA from the silicone trap with exposure to nitrogen at a flow rate of 10ml/min	94

	Page
Figure 6.21: Optimisation of the thermal desorption of dodecane (C ₁₂) from a silicone trap	96
Figure 6.22: Collection of a 5.98ppm HCHO atmosphere at 10ml/min over time	98
Figure 6.23: Determination of reaction efficiency of 5.98ppm HCHO with PFBHA	98
Figure 6.24: Collection of a 0.1ppm HCHO atmosphere at 10ml/min over time	99
Figure 6.25: Determination of reaction efficiency of 0.1ppm HCHO with PFBHA	99
Figure 6.26: GC-FID chromatogram obtained for the collection of 3, 1.5 and 2.5 ppm acetal, acrolein and crotonal respectively, for 10min at a flow rate of 10ml/min	102
Figure 6.27: Determination of reaction efficiency of 3ppm acetal, 1.5ppm acrolein and 2.5ppm crotonal with PFBHA	101
Figure 6.28: Determining the breakthrough volume of the HCHO-oxime onto the second trap	105
Figure 7.1: GC-FID chromatogram of an air sample from a newly-carpeted laboratory	118
Figure 7.2: GC-FID chromatogram of an air sample from a poorly ventilated office	119
Figure 7.3: GC-FID chromatogram of an air sample from a parking lot	120
Figure 7.4: GC-FID chromatogram of parking lot air sample without PFBHA <i>in-situ</i> derivatisation	121

	Page
Figure 7.5: GC-FID chromatogram of an air sample from a bar filled with tobacco smoke	122
Figure 7.6: GC-FID chromatogram of the indoor air of a new car	123
Figure 7.7: GC-FID chromatogram of the indoor air of an 11 year old car	124
Figure 7.8: GC-FID chromatogram of Castle Lager headspace sample	125
Figure 7.9: GC-FID chromatogram of Black Label Beer headspace sample from trap 2	126
Figure 7.10: GC-FID chromatogram of Windhoek Light beer headspace sample	127
Figure 7.11: A. GC-FID chromatogram of Castle Lager headspace sample without PFBHA in-situ derivatisation B. GC-FID chromatogram of Castle Lager headspace sample with PFBHA in-situ derivatisation (figure 7.8)	128