

# Geophysical investigation of the Marble Hall Fragment of the Bushveld Complex

Ву

## **OLUSEYI KAYODE TIMMY BABAYEJU**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in the Faculty of Science

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

December 1999

© University of Pretoria

# Geophysical investigation of the Marble Hall Fragment of the Bushveld Complex

Ву

## **OLUSEYI KAYODE TIMMY BABAYEJU**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

Master of Science

in the Faculty of Science

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

December 1999



### **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this study to my entire family who have been full of prayers and support for me for as long as this research lasted.



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The completion of this research would not have been possible without the assistance of many others who contributed financially, logistically, critically and morally.

First, I thank the Lord Almighty, my creator and my redeemer for leading the way for me throughout the duration of this research project and for His grace in making meet with the favour of people in the study area.

I wish to acknowledge the financial contribution of the Foundation for Research and Development (FRD) now National Research Foundation (NRF) and Iron and Steel Corporation of South Africa (ISCOR) towards the success of this project. My special thanks goes in particular to ISCOR Geophysics for providing logistic support, equipment and personnel (Raymond Vonk, Willem, Steven and Thomas) on different occasions for the gravity measurement. I will like to express my most sincere appreciation to the indefatigable efforts and contribution of Mr Raymond Vonk, doubling as a field exploration adviser and as a friend throughout this research.

I thank Mr Fritz Van der Merwe of the Department of Land Survey for providing the GPS and assisting in the topographic data interpretation and the Council for Geoscience, with special recognition to Dr. Edgar Stettler, for providing initial interpretative advice, equipment and laboratory facility needed.



I heartily appreciate the literature support and expert opinions of Prof P.G. Ericksson and Prof P.J. Hattingh on specific aspects of the research. I equally prize the supportive roles of my colleagues and friends, Hammond, Alain, Asfaw, Maletsabisa, Mike, Bjorn, Kobus, Godfrey, Alain and my dear brother, Dr Abiodun Babayeju. I am greatly indebted to the amiable Miss Magdel Combrinck for her tireless and committed assistance for as long as this research lasted.

The friendly gestures and support of all the farmers in the Marble Hall area despite being victims of violent criminal attacks rampant on farms at the time is highly commended. My most profound thanks in this regard goes to Mr Hennie Roets for providing accommodation on his farm and opening all his territories for the research.

I express my most sincere gratitude to my co-supervisor, Prof S.A. De Waal for his positive criticism, suggestions and encouragement. These are highly valued and his kindly gestures will be appreciated for a long time.

Last, but most important of all, I am heartily grateful to my research supervisor, Prof W.J. Botha, for providing all the necessary tools for my study, for his critically positive ideas, for making me feel at home in the department, and for creating an Exploration Geophysicist out of me.

The effort of every other person not mentioned and who has contributed one way or the other to the success of this research is also appreciated.

I thank you all.

iv



#### **OPSOMMING**

Die geologiese struktuur van die Marble Hall Fragment van die Bosveldkompleks in die Mpumalanga Provinsie is ondersoek met behulp van gravitasie en hoë-digtheid lugmagnetiese data. Tydens die gravitasieondersoek is 'n regionale ondersoek oor 731 vierkante kilometer gedoen. Altesaam 731 swaartemetings, met 'n 1 kilometer interval, is ingesamel oor die Transvaal Supergroep, die mafiese gesteentes en die omliggende graniet. Die magnetiese data is gevlieg op 'n hoogte van 60 meters met 'n 87 meter lynspasiëring. Verskeie filters is toegepas op die magnetiese data met behulp van OASIS Montaj sagteware, en die struktuurelemente in die Fragment is omlyn met eerste-afgeleide data.

Die Wonderkopverskuiwing in die noordweste en 'n beduidende noordsuid-strekkende verskuiwing aan die ooste begrens die Marble Hall Fragment aan beide kante. Die helling van die noordsuid-strekkende verskuiwing aan die oostekant van die Fragment kon nie vasgestel word nie omdat die verskuiwing nie dagsoom nie. Die sterk magnetiese anomalie wat in die oostelike flank van die Swartkop-Marble Hall-antiklien voorkom is die oppervlakmanifestasie van die Hekpoort Andesietformasie. Daarenteen is die oorsprong van 'n verskuifde, goedgedefinieerde halfmaanvormige liggaam, met 'n sterk magnetiese patroon, langs die Swartkop-Marble Hall antiklien, nie duidelik nie aangesien dit nie met enige geologiese dagsoomverskynsel gekorreleer kan word nie.

Twee-en-'n-half-dimensionele interpretasie van beide die gravitasie en magnetiese data is uitgevoer langs geselekteerde profiele. Uit die modelle is dit duidelik dat 'n digte magnetiese propvormige liggaam, geleë in die senter van die Marble Hall Fragment, in die gesteentes van die Transvaal Supergroep ingeplaas is. Die intrusiewe liggaam het 'n sentrale subvertikale



gedeelte en word omring op vlakker diepte deur subhorisontale plaatvormige liggame. As gevolg van die nie-eenduidigheid van die potesiaalveldmetodes kan die werklike diepte en dikte van die mafiese plate nie bepaal word nie, en dit is begryplik dat die plate moontlik dunner en nader aan die oppervlakte kan wees as wat die modelle aandui. Uit die modelle volg dit dat die mafiese gesteentes jonger is as die plooiing en, moontlik selfs, sommige van die verskuiwings. Die Fragment kan beskou word as geplooide vloer van Transvaal Supergroep wat as 'n horst na die intrusie van die Rustenburg Gelaagde Suite ingeplaas is.



#### **ABSTRACT**

The geological structure of the Marble Hall Fragment of the Bushveld Complex in Mpumalanga Province, was investigated using gravity and high density airborne magnetic data. The gravity investigation amounted to a regional survey over an area of approximately 750 square kilometres. A total of 731 measurements, at 1 kilometre intervals, were made of the gravitational attraction on the Transvaal Supergroup, the mafic rocks and the surrounding granite. The magnetic data was flown at a terrain clearance of 60 metres and 87 metres line spacing. Several filters were applied to the magnetic data using OASIS Montaj software and the structures observed on the Fragment were delineated using the first vertical derivative data.

The Wonderkop fault, in the north-west, and a major north-south trending fault to the east were shown to border the Fragment on either side. The dip of the north-south trending fault to the east of the Marble Hall Fragment, could not be determined because there is no surface expression of the fault. The high magnetic signature associated with the eastern limb of the Swartkop-Marble Hall Anticline, running from the south towards the east-north-east, is the surface expression of the Hekpoort Andesite Formation. However, the origin of a faulted, well defined, crescent shaped body, with high magnetic signature to the north, along the axis of the Swartkop-Marble Hall Anticline, is not obvious, because of non-compatibility with outcropping geology in the area.

Two and a half-dimensional interpretation of both the gravity and magnetic data were carried out along five selected profiles. From the models it is evident that a dense magnetic plug-like body, situated in the centre of the Marble Hall Fragment, was emplaced in the Transvaal Supergroup rocks. The intrusive body has a central sub-vertical core section surrounded at shallower levels



by sub-horizontal sill-like sections. Due to non-uniqueness in potential field situations, the exact depth and thickness of the mafic sills cannot be categorically stated, and it is conceivable that the sills might be thinner and closer to the surface than implied by the models. It follows from the models, that the intrusion of the Bushveld mafic rocks post-dates the folding and perhaps some of the faults in the Fragment. The Fragment could be seen as folded floor of Transvaal Supergroup that was emplaced as a horst after the intrusion of the Rustenburg Layered Suite.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TITLE	TITLE PAGE		
DEDICATION			
ACKN	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
OPSOMMING			
ABSTRACT		vii	
TABLE OF CONTENTS		ix	
LIST	OF FIGURES	xiii	
LIST	OF TABLES	χv	
CHAP	TER ONE : INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES		
1.1.	INTRODUCTION	1	
1.2.	OBJECTIVES	2	
1.3.	LOCATION OF STUDY AREA	3	
CHAF	TER TWO : THE GEOLOGY OF THE MARBLE HALL FRAGMENT AND		
	ITS ENVIRONMENT		
2.1.	GEOLOGY OF THE BUSHVELD COMPLEX	6	
2.1.1.	RUSTENBURG LAYERED SUITE	6	
2.1.1.	1. MARGINAL ZONE	8	
2.1.1.	2. LOWER ZONE	9	
2.1.1.	3. CRITICAL ZONE	9	



2.1.1.4	ł.	MAIN ZONE	11
2.1.1.5	5.	UPPER ZONE	11
2.1.2.	RASH	OOP GRANOPHYRE	12
2.1.2.1		STAVOREN GRANOPHYRE	12
2.1.2.2	2.	DIEPKLOOF GRANOPHYRE	12
2.1.2.3	3.	ZWARTBANK PSEUDOGRANOPHYRE	13
2.1.3.	LEBO	WA GRANITE SUITE	13
2.1.4.	ROOIE	BERG GROUP	14
2.1.5.	MAKE	CKAAN FORMATION	14
2.2.	THE A	GE OF THE BUSHVELD COMPLEX	15
2.3.	MODE	OF EMPLACEMENT AND SHAPE OF THE BUSHVELD	
	COM	PLEX: GEOPHYSICAL DATA	16
2.4.	THE N	MARBLE HALL FRAGMENT	17
2.4.1.	LOCA	LITY	17
2.4.2.	THE G	SEOLOGY OF THE MARBLE HALL FRAGMENT	18
CHAPTER THREE : GEOPHYSICAL DATA ACQUISITION			
3.1.	INTRO	DDUCTION	22
3.2.	DATA	ACQUISITION, GRAVITY	24
3.2.1.	FIELD	PROCEDURE	24
3.2.1.1	١.	PREVIOUS WORK	24
3.2.1.2	2.	CONDUCT OF PRESENT SURVEY AND DATA ACQUISITIONING	25
3.2.1.3	3.	LABORATORY DETERMINATION OF DENSITIES OF ROCK	
		SAMPLES	32



3.2.2.	DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT	33
3.2.2.1	. DATA ACQUISITION, GRAVITY	
	(SCINTREX AUTOGRAV GRAVIMETER -CG3)	33
3.2.2.2	DATA ACQUISITION, POSITIONING (TRIMBLE 4000 SSE GEODETIC	
	SYSTEM SURVEYOR)	38
3.2.2.3	DATA ACQUISITION, MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY	
	(KT-9 KAPPMETER)	43
3.3.	DATA ACQUISITION, AIRBORNE MAGNETIC DATA	45
CHAP	TER FOUR : GEOPHYSICAL INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION	
4.1.	DATA PROCESSING AND INTERPRETATION	46
4.1.1.	INTRODUCTION	46
4.1.2.	VERTICAL DERIVATIVE	46
4.1.3.	ANALYTICAL SIGNAL	47
4.1.4.	UPWARD CONTINUATION	48
4.1.5.	DOWNWARD CONTINUATION	48
4.1.6.	REDUCTION TO THE MAGNETIC POLE	49
4.2.	MAGNETIC DATA PROCESSING AND INTERPRETATION	50
4.2.1.	INTRODUCTION	50
4.2.2.	TOTAL MAGNETIC INTENSITY CONTOUR MAP	50
4.2.3.	VERTICAL DERIVATIVE	58
4.2.4.	ANALYTICAL SIGNAL	58
4.2.5.	UPWARD CONTINUATION	58
4.2.6.	REDUCTION TO THE POLE	59



4.3.	PROCESSING OF GRAVITY DATA	59
4.3.1.	REDUCTION TO BOUGUER VALUES	59
4.4.	INTERPRETATION OF POTENTIAL FIELD DATA	60
4.4.1.	GENERAL	60
4.4.2.	CORRELATE KNOWN GEOLOGY WITH OBSERVED GEOPHYSICAL	
	ANOMALIES	60
4.4.3.	STRUCTURAL INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC AND GRAVITY	
	CONTOUR MAPS	68
4.4.3.1	1. GRAVITY	68
4.4.3.2	2. MAGNETICS	69
4.4.4.	2.5-D MODELING OF SELECTED PROFILES	69
4.4.5.	LIMITATIONS	88
4.5.	CONCLUSIONS	89
REFERENCES		92



## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.1.	Locality map of the Marble Hall Fragment (After Hunter, 1975)
Figure 2.1.	Geological map of the Bushveld Complex showing the location of the study area
	and the distribution of the main components of the complex.
Figure 2.2.	Simplified geological map of the Marble Hall Fragment. The relatively thin Black
	Reef Formation between the Chuniespoort and Bloempoort Formation is shown
	as part of the Chuniespoort Group.
Figure 3.1.	Locality map of the gravity base station at Marble Hall
Figure 3.2.	Photograph of gravity base station at Marble Hall
Figure 3.3.	Locality map of the gravity base station at Groblersdal
Figure 3.4a.	Gravity station locality map
Figure 3.4b.	Locality map identifying each gravity position by number
Figure 3.5.	The Autograv gravity meter
Figure 3.6.	The GPS system in the survey area
Figure 3.7.	The KT- 9 Kappmeter (Susceptibility meter)
Figure 4.1.	Total field magnetic contour data
Figure 4.2.	First vertical derivative
Figure 4.3.	Analytical signal
Figure 4.4.	Magnetic data upward continued to an elevation of 500m
Figure 4.5.	Magnetic data upward continued to an elevation of 1000m
Figure 4.6.	Magnetic data upward continued to an elevation of 2000m
Figure 4.7.	Magnetic data with a reduction to the pole filter applied
Figure 4.8.	Topography of the study area
Figure 4.9.	Bouguer gravity map



- Figure 4.10. Simplified outline of geology superimposed on downward continued total field magnetic data
- Figure 4.11. Features identified from the correlation of vertical derivative map with simplified Geology
- Figure 4.12. Bouguer gravity map with outline of simplified geology
- Figure 4.13. Bouguer gravity map with contour lines to emphasise the steepness of the gradient in some areas
- Figure 4.14. Total field magnetic map draped over the topography
- Figure 4.15. Vertical derivative map with interpreted structures
- Figure 4.16a. Relative localities of gravity profiles selected for 2.5-D modeling
- Figure 4.16b. Relative localities of magnetic profiles selected for 2.5-D modeling
- Figure 4.17. Topographic relief along profiles AA', BB' and CC'
- Figure 4.18a. Starting models for profiles AA' and BB'
- Figure 4.18b. Starting model for profile CC'
- Figure 4.19a. Geophysical model for profile AA' (i) the gravity and (ii) the magnetics.
- Figure 4.19b. Geophysical model for profile BB' (i) the gravity and (ii) the magnetics.
- Figure 4.19c. Geophysical model for profile CC' (i) the gravity and (ii) the magnetics.
- Figure 4.20a. Gravity data showing the localities of profiles finally selected for 2.5-D modeling after determining strike.
- Figure 4.20b. Magnetic data showing the localities of profiles finally selected for 2.5-D modeling after determining strike.
- Figure 4.21. Geological cross sections for gravity and magnetic data along profiles DD' and EE'
- Figure 4.22. Geophysical model for profile DD' (i) the gravity and (ii) the magnetics.
- Figure 4.23. Geophysical model for profile EE' (i) the gravity and (ii) the magnetics.



### **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.	Lithostratigraphic subdivision and thicknesses of the Rustenburg Layered Suite
	in the eastern Bushveld Complex.
Table 2.	Lithostratigraphic subdivision of the successions in the Marble Hall Fragment and
	Dennilton Dome
Table 3.	Base station values
Table 4.	Densities of rocks obtained in the research area
Table 5.	Typical gravity data as recorded by the scintrex autograv in the investigation of
	the Marble Hall Fragment
Table 6.	A typical processed elevation data obtained from the software controlled 4000
	SSE Geodetic Surveyor used in the investigation of Marble Hall Fragment.
Table 7.	Magnetic susceptibilities of rocks obtained in the research area