

# The comparative influence of intervening variables in the adoption behaviour of maize and dairy farmers in Shashemene and Debrezeit, Ethiopia

by

#### HABTEMARIAM ABATE GORFE

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In

Agricultural Extension

In the Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural

Development

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

May 2004



# Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my brother Berhanu Abate (1946-1998).



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My profound thanks go to my supervisor, Professor G.H.Düvel, Director, South African Institute of Agricultural Extension, University of Pretoria, for his invaluable guidance and advice during the entire course of this study. I am grateful for his willingness and relentless effort paid to visit each and every village where this research was conducted. I am also grateful to him for taking his valuable time to make corrections, constructive comments and suggestions.

Thanks are also due to my friend Tesfaye Lemma, a rural development PhD student at UP whose contribution to this study, right from its inception to the final stage of the write-up, has been immense. I was nurtured by his scholarly advices, and directed and supplied with the relevant materials that enabled me to complete this study within the intended time frame. Arega Demmelash and Solomone Kebede have extensively used their time in reading the manuscript and offered their invaluable comments, which greatly improved the thesis.

My sincere thanks to Professor Johann Kirsten, Head, Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and rural Development for hosting me for the last three and a half years of my stay at UP

I gratefully acknowledge the SaSakawa Africa Office, The Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization, SaSakawa Global 2000 Ethiopia and the Ministry of Agriculture for financing my studies.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Marco Quinones SAA Program Director for Africa, for the inexorable interest extended towards this study from its very inception to the final completion. If it were not for him, my family would have been trapped with problems and I would not have been able to complete my studies. Equally important was the presence of Miss Quinones, who like a biological mother, does not want to see my head down and has always been my source of inspiration. Dr. Marco Quinones left a deep impression on me with his views, which could become principles of our future life style. His strong belief and conviction in the possibility of increasing the efficiency of Ethiopian farmers by at least twice and thereby attain the two grand national objectives food self-sufficiency and security always remains with me and I hope we can realize this one-day and through hard work alleviate the poverty facing millions of our people.

I am highly indebted to my colleagues Ato Birhane Giday, Ato belayhun Hailu and Ato Ayele G/amlak for their diligent effort extended to me during the entire process of questionnaire design, administration and data capturing.

I also thank Ato Sirak Alemu, Head, Vocational Education & Training, Department of MoA for allowing me to have access to all the required materials and manpower required at the initial and final stages of my field research work.

It is not possible to mention the name of all the enumerators who took part in this study. I must, however, mention the support of Ato Yakob Mersha, Ato Mekonnen, Ato Teferi G/Michael and Ato Gemedo Mude. I have used the enormous time of Nigssie Teferra, a lecturer at the University of Addis Ababa during the time of data



entry, cleansing and processing. The material and moral support received from my friend Lemma Mitkue, Head of Alage College of Agriculture, especially in hosting my supervisor during his visit of the college is unforgettable. I also owe a favor to Ato Addis Anteneh and Ato Hailemariam Haile Meskel for their encouragement and material and moral support. The contributions of Ato Mitku Taddele and family, deputy chairman of Ada Liben Woreda Dairy and Dairy Products Marketing Association, Ato Getaneh Zeleke, Shaleka Adugena Benti, Master Yosef, all member of the association was immense. Their presence and kindly support was very important during data collection

I also want to take this opportunity to thank my collegues, Yeshi Chiche and the late Abubeker Mussa, for the scolarly associations and pleasant times we shared together at the University of Pretoria. The friendly and religious alliances with Teferi Yeshitela, Amha Sebsibe, Luel segad Begashaw, Wondimu Bayu, Legesse wolde, Alemu Gezahegn, Bobe Bedade, Mesfin Bogale, Senait Yetneberk, Seifu Eshete, Hailue Beyene, Terefe Belhu, Fisha Yeshitela and some of my kin Eritrean friends, Yemane Kidane, Tekie Melake, Yohannes Temesgen, Tesfaalem Taeme, Yemane Fishea and Daneal Beyene has made my stay in Pretoria enjoyable.

My wife, Hani has always been with me, both physically and spiritually. Mele, my daughter, at her young age of only 7, did not hesitate to depart from her parents when we all stayed behind in Pretoria since it was compulsory for her to return home for her studies. Abi is beyond his age; he pretends to have no different feelings during the entire time we spent lonely in Pretoria when his mother and sister could not accompany us. But thanks for the Almighty God, he has benefited from his stay in the RSA health wise.

Finally, I wish to thank all individuals who have supported my family during my absence.

Habtemariam Abate Gorfe



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		age
		i
	ii	i
		X
		ζv
ACRONYMS	Xv	/iii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background to the study	1
1.2	Background information on the Ethiopian Agriculture	3
1.3	Problem statement	5
1.4	Objectives	5
1.5	Significance of the study	6
1.6	Scope of the study	6
1.7	Thesis overview	7
CHAPTER 2	REVIEW OF LITREATURE	
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Theories of development as viewed from the perspective of	
	agriculture	8
	2.2.1 Historical overview	9
	2.2.2 Modernization Theory (The Orthodox Approach)	11
	2.2.3 Dependency Theory (The Radical Approach)	15
	2.2.4 Growth With Equity (GWE)	16
	2.2.5 Human Development Theory	19
	2.2.6 Conclusion.	20
2.3	Models of behavior change.	21
	2.3.1 The Traditional Approaches	23
	2.3.2 The Classical 5-stage Adoption Process	23
	2.3.3 The Campbell Model	24



	2.3.4	The Innovation Decision-making process	25
	2.3.5	The Psychological Field Theory of Lewin	27
	2.3.6	The Tolman Model	31
	2.3.7	Fishbein & Ajzen's attitudinal determinants of behavior	34
	2.3.8	Agricultural Knowledge and Information System (AKIS)	36
	2.3.9	Düvel's Behavior Analysis Model	39
	2.3.10	Conclusion	42
2.4	Concer	otual Model of the study	43
2.5	Empiri	cal studies on the effect of independent and intervening	
	variabl	es on adoption behavior	45
2.6	Toward	ls a formulation of hypotheses	53
CHAPTER 3	METH	ODOLOGY	
3.1	Introdu	action	55
3.2	The stu	ıdy area	55
	3.2.1	Shashemene district	56
	3.2.2	Ada liben Woreda Dairy and Dairy Products Marketing	
		Association	58
3.3	Sampli	ng and data collection	58
3.4	Definit	ions and measurement of variables	59
	3.4.1	The independent variables	60
	3.4.2	The intervening variables	62
	3.4.3	The dependent variables	67
3.5	Statisti	cal analysis	68
	3.5.1	Frequency distribution and graphic analysis	69
	3.5.2	Correlation analysis and significant tests	69
	3 5 3	Multiple regression analysis	69



CHAPTER 4	PRODU	JCTION EFFICIENCY AND THE ADOPTION BEHAVIOR	
	OF MA	IZE AND DAIRY FARMERS	
4.1	Introdu	ction	75
4.2	Current	production efficiency	75
4.3	Influen	ce of adoption of recommended maize production practices on	
	efficien	cy	78
	4.3.1	Influence of fertilizer use on production efficiency	79
	4.3.2	Influence of improved seed on production efficiency	82
4.4	Influer	ace of adoption of recommended dairy production	
	practic	es on efficiency	88
	4.4.1	Influence of use of improved breeds on production	
		efficiency	88
	4.4.2	Influence of improved housing practices on production	
		efficiency	91
	4.4.3	Influence of recommended feed practices on production	
		efficiency	92
	4.4.4	Influence of recommended medical practices on production	
		efficiency	94
4.5	Contrib	ution of adoption of maize and dairy production practices on	
	product	ion efficiency variance	96
4.6	Current	status of adoption of maize and dairy production technology	
	package	es	97
	4.6.1	Current status of adoption of maize production technology	
		package	99
	4.6.2	Current status of adoption of dairy production technology	
		package	100



	4.7	Relation	nships between adoption of recommended packages and	
		efficien	cy	103
CHAPTER	2.5	INDEP	ENDENT VARIABLES AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON	
		PRODU	JCTION EFFICIENCY AND ADOPTION	
	5.1	Introdu	ction	104
	5.2	Profile	of respondents and influence of independent variables on	
		product	ion efficiency	105
		5.2.1	Age	105
		5.2.2	Education	106
		5.2.3	Farm size	108
		5.2.4	Farming experience.	110
		5.2.5	Media contact	111
		5.2.6	Extension contact	116
		5.2.7	Gender	121
		5.2.8	Attitudinal modernity	123
		5.2.9	Organizational participation	124
		5.2.10	Agro ecology	127
	5.3	Contrib	utions of independent variables to production efficiency	
		variance	2	128
	5.4	Influence	ce of independent variables on practice adoption of maize	
		farmers		131
		5.4.1	Age	131
		5.4.2	Education	132
		5.4.3	Farm size	134
		5.4.4	Media contact	136
		5.4.5	Agro ecology	137
		5.4.6	Extension contact	138



		5.4.7	Attitudinal modernity	139
		5.4.8	Farming experience.	140
	5.5	Influen	ce of independent variables on practice production of dairy	
		farmers		142
		5.5.1	Age	142
		5.5.2	Education	143
		5.5.3	Gender	144
		5.5.4	Farm size	145
		5.5.5	Farming experience	147
		5.5.6	Media contact	148
		5.5.7	Attitudinal modernity	149
		5.5.8	Organizational participation	150
	5.6	Influence	ce of independent variables on package adoption behavior of	
		maize a	nd dairy farmers	152
	5.7	Contrib	utions of independent variables on package adoption	
		variance	e	153
CHAPTER	. 6	INTER	VENING VARIABLES AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON	
		PRODU	JCTION EFFICIENCY AND ADOPTION	
	6.1	Introdu	ction	155
	6.2	Influen	ce of the perceived current efficiency on production	
		efficien	cy	155
		6.2.1	Perception regarding the current production efficiency	156
		6.2.2	Perception regarding efficiency of production practices	158
	6.3	Influenc	ce of need tension on production efficiency.	159
		6.3.1	Need tension regarding the current production efficiency	159
		6.3.2	Need tension regarding production practices	160
	6.4	Influence	ce of need compatibility on production efficiency	162



6.5	Influen	ce of perception of technology attributes on production	
	efficien	cy	164
6.6	Contrib	outions of intervening variables to production efficiency	
	varianc	e	166
6.7	Compa	arative contribution of intervening variables to variance	
	in prod	luction efficiency variance	170
6.8	The in	nfluence of intervening variables on maize practice	
	adoptio	on	174
	6.8.1	Influence of intervening variables on improved seed	
		adoption	175
	6.8.2	Influence of intervening variables on line planting	179
	6.8.3	Influence of intervening variables on fertilizer adoption	181
	6.8.4	Influence of intervening variables on spot application	183
6.9	The in	nfluence of intervening variables on dairy practice	
	adoptio	on	185
	6.9.1	Influence of intervening variables on breed adoption	186
	6.9.2	Influence of intervening variables on adoption of housing	
		practices	189
	6.9.3	Influence of intervening variables on adoption of medical	
		practices	191
	6.9.4	Influence of intervening variables on adoption of feed	
		practices	193
6.10	The in	influence of intervening factors on maize and dairy	
	packag	ge adoption	196
	6.10.1	Maize	196
	6102	Dairy	197



	6.11	Contributions of intervening variables to package adoption	
		variance	198
	6.12	The comparative contribution of intervening variables to the	
		variance in package adoption behavior	199
CHAPTER	. 7	SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	
	7.1	Introduction	204
	7.2	Summary and conclusions	206
	7.3	Recommendations	218
CHAPTER	7	SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	
ABSTRAC	Т		228
APPENDIC	CES		230
BIBLOGRA	APHY	***************************************	283



# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Fable</b>	Description	Page
2.1	Summary of studies on independent variables-adoption behavior	
	relationship	49
2.2	Summary of studies on intervening variables-adoption behavior	
	relationship	52
3.1	Categorization of maize and dairy farmers regarding independent	
	variables	63
3.2	Categorization of maize and dairy farmers regarding intervening	
	variables	66
3.3	Categorization of maize and dairy farmers according to dependent	
	variables	68
3.4	The correlation matrix of independent variables affecting the	
	production efficiency of maize growers	72
3.5	The correlation matrix of independent variables affecting the	
	production efficiency of dairy farmers	72
3.6	Inter correlations of intervening factors affecting production	
	efficiency of maize farmers	73
4.1	Efficiency categories of maize and dairy farmers	78
4.2	Relationship between fertilizer adoption and production efficiency as	
	reflected in percentage distributions and a test of association	80
4.3	Relationship between adoption of seed practices and production	
	efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a test of	
	association	83
4.4	Relationship between adoption of breeding practices and production	
	efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a test of	
	association	91
4.5	Relationship between adoption of housing practices and production	
	efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a test of	
	association	92
4.6	Relationship between adoption of feed practices and production	
	efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a test of	
	association	93



4.7	Relationship between adoption of medical practices and production	
	efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a test of	
	association	95
4.8	Multiple regression estimates of the effects of technology adoption on	
	production efficiency	97
4.9	Practices encompassing the recommended maize production package	
	and its adoption quotient	98
4.10	Package adoption categories of maize producers	99
4.11	Practices encompassing the recommended dairy production package	
	and its adoption quotient	101
4.12	Number of dairy producers by package adoption category	102
4.13	Multiple regression estimates of the influence of package adoption on	
	production efficiency	103
5.1	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and age	106
5.2	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and level of education	108
5.3	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and farm size	110
5.4	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and farming experience	111
5.5	The importance of different media based on rank order assessments	
	by maize and dairy farmers	114
5.6	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and media contact.	115
5.7	The importance of different information sources based on rank order	
	assessments by maize farmers	118
5.8	The importance of different information sources based on rank order	
	assessments by dairy farmers	120
5.9	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and extension contact	121
5.10	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and gender	123



5.11	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and attitudinal modernity	124
5.12	The percentage distribution of maize and dairy farmers according to	
	their efficiency and organizational participation	127
5.13	The percentage distribution of maize growers according to their	
	efficiency and agro ecological area of production	128
5.14	Model specification for determinants of the production efficiency of	
	maize and dairy farmers	129
5.15	Multiple regression estimates of the effects of independent variables	
	on the production efficiency of maize and dairy farmers, 2002	130
5.16	Relationships between independent variables and the package	
	adoption behavior of maize and dairy farmers	152
5.17	Multiple regression estimates of the effects of independent variables	
	on package adoption behavior of farmers.	154
6.1	Relationships between perceived current efficiency (PCE) and	
	production efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a	
	test of association.	157
6.2	Relationship between need tension (NT) and production efficiency as	
*	reflected in percentage distributions and a test of association	161
6.3	Relationships between need compatibility (NC) and production	
	efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a test of	
	association	163
6.4	Relationships between perception of technology attributes (PTA) and	
	production efficiency as reflected in percentage distributions and a	
	test of associations	165
6.5	Model specification for intervening variables affecting the production	
	efficiency of maize and dairy farmers	167
6.6	Multiple regression (standard) estimates of the effects of intervening	
	variables on the production efficiency of maize and dairy farmers	168
6.7	Comparison of coefficient of determination based on standard	
	multiple regression estimation	170
6.8	Hierarchal multiple regression estimation of the comparative	
	influence of independent and intervening variables on efficiency	171



6.9	Relationships between perceived technology attributes of improved	
	seeds and adoption behavior as expressed by weighted mean and	
	percentage scores.	176
6.10	Relationship between intervening variables and seed adoption	178
6.11	Relationships between perceived technology attributes of line planting	
	and adoption behavior as expressed by weighted mean and percentage	
	score	180
6.12	Relationship between intervening variables and adoption of line	
	planting practice.	181
6.13	Relationships between perceived technology attributes of fertilization	
	and adoption of fertilization as expressed by weighted mean and	
	percentage score	182
6.14	Relationships between intervening variables and fertilizer adoption	183
6.15	Relationship between perceived technology attributes of spot	
	application and adoption behavior as expressed by weighted mean and	
	percentage scores.	184
6.16	Relationship between intervening variables and adoption of spot	
	application	185
6.17	Relationship between perceived technology attributes of improved	
	breeds and adoption behavior as expressed by weighted mean and	
	percentage scores.	187
6.18	Relationship between intervening variables and adoption of improved	
	dairy breed	189
6.19	Relationships between perceived technology attributes of improved	
	housing and adoption behavior as expressed by weighted mean and	
	percentage score	190
6.20	Relationship between intervening variables and adoption of improved	
	housing practice	191
6.21	Relationships between perceived technology attributes of	
	recommended medical practices and adoption behavior as expressed	
	by weighted mean and percentage scores	192
6.22	Relationship between intervening variables and adoption of	
	recommended medical practice.	193



6.23	Relationships between perceived technology attributes of feed	
	practices and adoption behavior as expressed by weighted mean and	
	percentage score	194
6.24	Relationship between intervening variables and adoption of	
	recommended feed practice	195
6.25	Correlation between intervening variables and package adoption	197
6.26	Standard multiple regression estimates of the contribution of	
	intervening variables on package adoption	198
6.27	Comparison of coefficient of determination based on standard	
	multiple regression estimations.	199
6.28	Comparative influence of independent and intervening factors based	
	on hierarchical multiple regression estimation in maize and dairy	
	production	200
7.1	The percentage adoption of recommended maize and dairy farming	
	practices in the least and highest production efficiency categories	208



### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
2.1	A paradigm of individual decision-making and adoption	
	(Campbell, 1966)	25
2.2	A model of stages in the innovation decision process (Rogers,	
	1983)	27
2.3	Model of psychological field (payer & Sulzer quoted in Düuvel,	
	1987)	28
2.4	Behavior change model (Lewin, 1951, quoted in Düuvel, 1987)	30
2.5	The Tolman model (Tolman, 1951)	32
2.6	Factors determining a person's behavior (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980)	35
2.7	Schematic illustration of the agricultural knowledge system	
	(Blum, 1977)	38
2.8	Düuvel's behavior analysis model	41
2.9	The relationship between behavior-determining variables in	
	agricultural development (Düvel, 1991)	45
3.1	Location of the study site	56
4.1	Percentage distribution of maize farmers according to production	
	efficiency	76
4.2	Percentage distribution of dairy farmers according to production	
	efficiency	76
5.1	Level of mass and group media use by maize growers	112
5.2	Level of mass media use by dairy farmers	113
5.3	Level of change agent contact of maize growers	117
5.4	Level of change agent contact of dairy producers	119
5.5	Organizational participation of sample maize farmers	125
5.6	Organizational participation of sample dairy farmers	126
5.7	Graphical illustration of the relationships between age and	
	the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	133
5.8	Graphical illustration of the relationships between education and	



	the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	134
	technology	
5.9	Graphical illustration of the relationships between farm size and	
	the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	135
5.10	Graphical illustration of the relationships between media exposure	
	and the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	137
5.11	Graphical illustration of the relationships between agro ecology	
	and the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	138
5.12	Graphical illustration of the relationships between extension	
	contact and the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended	
	rate of technology	139
5.13	Graphical illustration of the relationships between attitudinal	
	modernity and the percentage of farmers adopting the	
	recommended rate of technology	140
5.14	Graphical illustration of the relationships between farming	
	experience and the percentage of farmers adopting the	
	recommended rate of technology	141
5.15	Graphical illustration of the relationships between age and the	
	percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	143
5.16	Graphical illustration of the relationships between education and	
	the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	144
5.17	Graphical illustration of the relationships between gender and the	
	percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	145
5.18	Graphical illustration of the relationships between farm size and	
	the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended rate of	
	technology	146
5.19	Graphical illustration of the relationships between farming	



	experience and the percentage of farmers adopting the	
	recommended rate of technology	148
5.20	Graphical llustration of the relationships between media exposure	
	and the percentage of farmers adopting the recommended	
	technology	149
5.21	Graphical illustration of the relationships between attitudinal	
	modernity and the percentage of farmers adopting recommended	
	rate of technology	150
5.22	Graphical illustration of the relationships between	
	organizational participation and the percentage of farmers	
	adopting the recommended rate of technology	151
6.1	Path diagram showing the relationship between independent,	
	intervening variables and production efficiency of maize farmers	173
6.2	Path diagram showing the relationship between independent,	
	intervening variables and adoption behavior of maize farmers	201



#### **ACRONYMS**

AEZ Agro ecological Zone
AI Artificial insemination

ALWDDPMA Ada Liben Woreda Dairy and Dairy Products Marketing Association

ANOVA Analysis of variance

ANRS Amhara National Regional State
AKS Agricultural knowledge system

AKIS Agricultural knowledge and information system

B Behavior BH Bacco hybrid

BoA Bureau of Agriculture

CBO Community based organizations
CSA Central Statistics Authority
CSG Controlled selective grazing
DAP Diamonium phosphate
DA Development agent

EARO Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization

EMTP Extension management training plot

F Function

F2 Second generation crossbred

E Environment

GDP Gross domestic product
GNP Gross national product
GWE Growth with equity

ILRI International Livestock Research Institute

ITK Indigenous technical knowledge

LSP Life space

masl Meters above see level MoA Ministry of Agriculture NC Need compatibility

NGO Non Governmental Organization

NI National income NT Need tension

OLS Ordinary least squares
OM scale Overall modernity scale

P Person

PA Peasant Association

PADC Peasant Association Development Committee
PADEP Peasant Agriculture Development Project

PADETES Participatory Demonstration and Training Extension System

PCE Perceived current efficiency

PHB Pioneer hybrid

PTA Perceived total attributes SAA Sasakawa Africa Association

SD Standard deviation SG2000 Sasakawa Global 2000

SPSS Statistical package for social sciences

TV Television

TVET Technical Vocational Education and Training

UP University of Pretoria

WAC Woreda Administrative Council



#### **Abstract**

The impact of the package based extension program in Ethiopia in terms of its influence on yield improvement is not well known. The objectives of this study have been to assess the relationships and determine the factors responsible for behavior change and production efficiency of farmers participating in the program. Identification and analysis of the critical factors affecting adoption or non-adoption is believed to assist in the formulation of policy in the areas of research and extension aimed at alleviating production constraints of small-scale farmers and thereby improves agricultural productivity.

It was hypothesized that there is a significant difference among participant farmers in their technology use and production efficiency. Based on this assumption, it was also hypothesized that adoption behavior is determined by independent and intervening variables, of which, the influence of the former is indirect and only becomes manifested in behavior via intervening variables, which are the immediate and direct precursors of decision making and adoption behavior.

Independent variables included in this study are age, education, gender, farming experience, attitudinal modernity, organizational participation, contact with extension, media contact, farm size, and agro ecology. The intervening variables, on the other hand, refer to the farm operators' needs as manifested in their problem perception, and the need compatibility of the production practices and the perception regarding advantages and disadvantages of the recommended practices.

In order to test the hypotheses, the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method i.e. standard and hierarchical multiple regression analyses were employed on data from a survey of 200 maize and 200 dairy farming households in the Southern and Central Ethiopia.

The study reveals that, in general, maize farmers using recommended technologies are more efficient than those who do not use them. In dairy, clear differences are found only



with regard to breeding practices suggesting that the rest of the practices included in dairy package were not very important for dairy farmers.

Independent factors responsible for the difference in the adoption behavior of maize farmers include agro ecology, media exposure, education, age, farm size, extension contact, and attitudinal modernity. As far as dairy farming is concerned, education, farm size, farming experience, and media exposure are found to be significant predictors of adoption behavior. While all of the need related factors are significantly related with adoption behavior, perceptions of farmers towards production practices included in both of the maize and dairy packages are not found to be significantly associated with adoption behavior.

In general, although both the independent and intervening variables are significant predictors of the adoption behavior of farmers in the study area, the latter are much more prominent. In support of the hypothesized association, the contribution of intervening variables to the variance in the adoption behavior of maize and dairy farmers is as high as 87.2 percent in maize and 68.3 percent in dairy compared to the significantly lower contribution of independent variables, which is 32.4 percent in maize and 17.8 percent in dairy. The contribution of intervening variables is significantly higher even after the possible effect of independent variables is controlled, which is 56.6 percent in maize and 55.9 percent in dairy as opposed to 32.4 percent and 17.8 percent respectively in the case of independent variables.

Finally, this study raises issues that call for immediate policy interventions and have implications for further research.