



**Effect of RNAi down-regulation of three lysine-deficient
kafirins on the seed lysine content of sorghum [*Sorghum
bicolor* (L.) Moench]**

By

ANDILE W GROOTBOOM

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree

PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTORATE

Department of Plant Science

Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI)

In the

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

Supervisors: Dr R Chikwamba

Prof KJ Kunert

15 FEBRUARY 2010



DECLARATION

I, Andile W. Grootboom declare that the thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree Philosophiae Doctorate, at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at this or any other tertiary institution.

SIGNATURE:

DATE:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	i
THESIS COMPOSITION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	iv
INDEX OF FIGURES	vii
INDEX OF TABLES	xv

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

	PAGE
1.1 The sorghum plant	2
1.1.1 Sorghum – Origin and history	2
1.1.2 Agronomic attributes	3
1.1.2.1 Vegetative morphology	3
1.1.2.2 Temperature	4
1.1.2.3 Physiology	5
1.1.2.4 Photoperiodicity	5
1.1.3 Cultivation advantages and soil pH	6
1.2 Grain utilization	6
1.3 Genetic engineering of plants	7
1.4 Genetic engineering of sorghum	9
1.4.1 <i>In vitro</i> regeneration of cereals	9
1.4.2 <i>In vitro</i> regeneration of sorghum	10
1.4.2.1 Explant	10



1.4.2.2	Media composition	11
1.4.3	Sorghum transformation	11
1.5	Nutritional quality of the grain	14
1.5.1	Seed carbohydrates	17
1.5.2	Seed proteins	17
1.5.2.1	Sorghum storage globulins	18
1.5.2.2	Sorghum prolamins	19
1.5.2.3	Dietary lysine	20
1.5.3	Seed protein digestibility	24
1.6	Strategies for improving plant nutritional value: advantages of RNAi	25
1.7	Rationale of the study	27
1.7.1	The problem	27
1.7.2	Hypothesis	29
1.7.3	Aim and objectives	30
1.8	References	31

CHAPTER 2 SCREENING OF SORGHUM GENOTYPES FOR TISSUE CULTURE AMENABILITY

		PAGE
2.1	Abstract	44
2.2	Introduction	45
2.3	Materials and methods	46
2.3.1	Sorghum genotypes and explants	46
2.3.2	Plant material and nutrient media	46

2.3.3	Data collection and statistical analysis	48
2.4	Results	52
2.5	Discussion	58
2.6	References	61

CHAPTER 3 TRANSFORMATION OF SORGHUM FOR SUPPRESSION OF SELECTED SEED PROTEINS

		PAGE
3.1	Abstract	66
3.2	Introduction	67
3.3	Materials and methods	69
3.3.1	Explant preparation and transformation	69
3.3.2	Plasmids	69
3.3.3	Transformation	71
3.3.3.1	Transformation with <i>bar</i> and <i>pmi</i>	71
3.3.3.2	Transformation with RNAi construct	72
3.3.4	Selection and regeneration of transformants	72
3.3.4.1	Bialaphos	72
3.3.4.2	Mannose selection	73
3.3.5	DNA extraction	73
3.3.6	PCR analysis	73
3.3.7	Southern blot analysis	74
3.3.8	BASTA leaf painting assay	76
3.4	Results	78

3.4.1	Transformation with <i>bar</i> and <i>pmi</i> genes	78
3.4.2	Transformation with RNAi construct	82
3.4.2.1	Recovery of transgenic plants	82
3.4.2.2	PCR and Southern blot hybridization	82
3.5	Discussion	89
3.6	References	93

CHAPTER 4 CHARACTERIZATION OF TRANSGENIC PLANTS FOR SUPPRESSION OF TARGET KAFIRINS AND LKR

		PAGE
4.1	Abstract	98
4.2	Introduction	99
4.3	Materials and methods	100
4.3.1	Seed physical structure	100
4.3.1.1	Determination of seed morphology and seed weight	100
4.3.1.2	Determination of seed endosperm structure	100
4.3.2	Seed protein expression analyses	101
4.3.2.1	Protein extraction from whole seeds	101
4.3.2.2	Determination of protein concentration	102
4.3.2.3	SDS-PAGE analysis	102
4.3.2.4	Western blot analysis	103
4.3.2.5	Transgenic trait – endosperm phenotype linkage and T1 Mendelian segregation	104

4.3.2.6	Reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR)	105
4.3.3	Seed amino acid content analysis	106
4.3.4	Determination of seed germination potential	107
4.4	Results	107
4.4.1	Target proteins expression analysis	107
4.4.1.1	Mature seed analysis	107
4.4.1.2	Developing seed analysis	123
4.4.2	Seed morphology and germination	124
4.5	Discussion	128
4.6	References	131

CHAPTER 5	DISCUSSION	PAGE
5.1	The need for establishing protocols for genetic engineering of sorghum	137
5.2	Optimization of parameters for transformation	138
5.3	The RNAi approach to enhance lysine through reduction of storage proteins	139
5.4	Limitations and product drawbacks	141
5.5	Future research	143
5.6	References	144
Annexure		146



ABSTRACT

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) ranks fifth worldwide in production among cereals. It is a major staple food for millions in Africa and Asia, and a major livestock feed grain in developed countries. However, the sorghum grain is poor in lysine content, limiting its value as food and feed. In this study, I hypothesize that reduction of some of the major storage proteins that are inherently poor in lysine through *in vitro* manipulation will result in the enhanced expression of proteins with a better lysine profile and, thus, increased overall grain lysine content. Sorghum genotypes were screened for *in vitro* amenability and a sorghum genotype-tissue culture medium combination that yielded the highest somatic embryo callus formation and regeneration potential, was identified. This resulted in the establishment of a sorghum biolistic transformation method with a transformation efficiency of 3.36%, the highest reported to date. Using genetic engineering tools, the enhancement of the nutritional quality of grain sorghum was achieved by increasing the seed lysine content. An RNAi co-suppression strategy was employed and resulted in 45.23 and 77.55% increase in whole seed and endosperm lysine increase, respectively. The co-suppression RNAi constructs targeted the endosperm specific suppression of three lysine-poor storage proteins, namely δ -kaf-2, γ -kaf-1 and -2, and an enzyme that catalyzes seed lysine degradation, lysine keto-glutarate reductase (LKR). Seven independent transgenic events displayed successful transgene integration for both the selectable marker gene and the target constructs. However, the Southern blot hybridization analysis revealed two transgenic events that displayed transgene re-arrangement at the 5' promoter end, thus resulting in a lack of suppression of target proteins. Variations in target proteins co-suppression was observed with Western blot analysis and RT-PCR for both the target kafirins and LKR suppression, and no lysine improvement was observed where no kafirin suppression occurred. The transgenic co-suppression of the target kafirins resulted in the endosperm structural change from a hard,

corneous endosperm to a soft, floury endosperm, consistent with γ -zein suppression in the Opaque-2 maize mutant.

THESIS COMPOSITION

This thesis comprises of five chapters of a PhD study that aimed at improving the nutritional value of sorghum grain for food and feed consumption. **Chapter 1** is an introduction to the morphology and physiology of the sorghum plant, its commercial and domestic usage. This chapter also reviews the nutritional deficiency of sorghum grain due to its inherently low content of the essential amino acids lysine and methionine. The chapter concludes by formulating a genetic engineering strategy that aims at improving the seed lysine content. The first technical effort towards achieving the main aims is covered in **Chapter 2**. This involves *in vitro* screening of five sorghum genotypes in three tissue culture solid media formulations. This served to identify the most amenable genotype for subsequent transformation efforts. The second transformation optimization step involved a comparison of two transgenic tissue selection systems (**Chapter 3**). Also covered in **Chapter 3** was the application of the optimized transformation conditions to generate stable transgenic sorghum plants expressing the RNAi construct for targeted endosperm proteins suppression. This is followed by characterization of the transgenic lines for target protein suppression and amino acid content analysis to examine seed lysine improvements (**Chapter 4**). **Chapter 5** is a global discussion on the impact this study exerts in cereal nutrition. At the end of each chapter, the list of references cited is provided. Finally the **Annexure** covers recipes of solutions and tissue culture media contents that were used in this thesis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

To God, thank You very much for the strength to endure this trying period of my life.

Through Your guiding hand, I have had a pleasure of taking my life and career to the next level. KumaReledwana, ndithi nangamso!

To my wife, Rene, and daughters, Owethu and Aqhama, I dedicate this achievement to you.

Your support was steadfast and I love you guys all so much. You are my life.

To you Mama no Tata, uthando neengqeqesho zenu azizange zivuthuze nemimoya yasezitalatweni. Lempumelelo yeyenu nani. Mna ndithi kuni: Huntshu! A special thanks goes to my three siblings, oobhuti Mlungisi no Mncedisi nosisi wam uNontombi. I see myself in all of you because you have all played a role in my upbringing, one way or another.

A heartfelt gratitude goes to my supervisors, Dr Rachel Chikwamba and Prof Karl Kunert.

Your different leadership styles resulted in one excellent product in this thesis. Thank you for making the difference and I wish that more and more students go through your remarkable mentorship. It's been life-changing.

My colleagues' contribution at the CSIR BioSciences has been amazing. To do my conscience some level of justice, I have to name and shame a few. Nompumelelo Mkhonza, you are a solid rock. Dr Luke Mehlo, your intelligence is refreshing. Bra Rhu, bra Moss, bra Jeff and bra Sam, I'm proud to call you guys my old wise friends. To my lifetime friend, Mphakamisi, let's continue making Lungelo proud bra. This is also for you Nxawe qabane.



ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celcius
µg	Microgram
µl	Microlitre
2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid
2n	Diploid number of chromosomes
ABS	Africa biofortified sorghum
ADH-1	Alcohol dehydrogenase-1
AgNO ₃	Silver nitrate
BASTA	Herbicide brand name by Bayer CropScience
bp	Base pair
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
CaCl ₂	Calcium chloride
cDNA	Complementary DNA
CIM	Callus induction medium
CMM	Callus maintenance medium
cp	Copies
CRE	Casas root elongation medium
CROOT	Casas rooting medium
CSE	Callus shoot elongation medium
DIG	Digoxigenin
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
dNTPs	Deoxynucleoside triphosphates



DTT	Dithiothreitol
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EST	Expressed sequence tags
g	Grams
GFP	Green florescent protein
GUS	β -glucoronidase
hIR	Homologous inverted repeats
HPLC	High pressure liquid chromatography
hrs	Hours
IAA	Indole-3-acetic acid
IBA	Indole-3-butyric acid
IZE	Immature zygotic embryos
Kaf	Kafirin
kb	Kilo base pairs
kDa	Kilo Daltons
kPa	Kilo Pascals
L/l	Litre
LKR	<i>Lysine ketoglutarate reductase</i>
Lys/K	Lysine
M	Molar
MgCl	Magnesium chloride
min	Minutes
ml	Millilitres
mM	Millimolar
MTCs	Minimal transgene cassettes



NAA	1-Naphthalene acetic acid
NaCl	Sodium chloride
Ng	Nanogram
NH ₄ NO ₃	Ammonium nitrate
Nos	Nopaline synthase
PAGE	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
<i>Pmi</i>	<i>Phosphomannose isomerase</i>
Pro	Promoter
PVDF	Polyvinylidene di-fluoride membrane
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
RNAi	RNA interference
RNase	Ribonuclease
rpm	Rotations per minutes
RRM	Regeneration and rooting medium
RT-PCR	Reverse transcription-PCR
SDH	Saccharopine dehydrogenase
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
Sec	Seconds
SSC	Sodium chloride-, trisodium citrate
Ubi	Ubiquitin
WT/wt	Wild-type

INDEX OF FIGURES

	PAGE
Figure 1.1	3
Sorghum plants cultivated in the greenhouse at the CSIR Biosciences, Pretoria, South Africa.	
A: Full-grown plants. B: Panicle with developing seeds.	
Figure 1.2	17
The longitudinal cross-section of the sorghum seed structure (Hoseney, 1994).	
Figure 1.3	23
The lysine biosynthesis pathway. Numbered rectangular boxes represent substrate and product molecules (www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/pathway/map/map00300.html).	
Figure 1.4	26
The principle of RNAi silencing. The small RNA molecules, microRNA (miRNA) and small interfering RNA (siRNA) bind to target RNAs and decrease their activity by preventing a messenger RNA from producing a protein. This process is instigated by the enzyme Dicer, which cuts long double-stranded RNA molecules into short fragments of ~20 nucleotides. This is called RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC).	
Figure 2.1	53
<i>In vitro</i> plant regeneration from immature zygotic embryos of sorghum. (A) Non-regenerable, soft and watery callus produced/formed by some embryos. (B) White, compact embryogenic type I tissue derived from cultured IZEs of genotype P898012 on tissue culture medium J within two weeks. (C) Sorghum genotype P898012 plantlets shooting and rooting on regime J regeneration medium. These plantlets resulted in fertile F ₀ plants. (D) Mature sorghum head from tissue culture plants.	

Figure 2.2

55

The effect of culture media on callus induction (A) and plant regeneration (B) of five sorghum genotypes from immature zygotic embryos. The bars represent the mean of nine individual experiments with \pm SE (standard error). The most totipotent calli were produced by P898012 on J medium which resulted in 6.13 regenerants per explant. Bars with the same letter are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).

Figure 3.1

77

Maps of constructs used for transformation of sorghum P898012 IZEs. (A) Whole, circular, closed plasmid versions with backbone DNA sequences present. (B) The MTCs for the co-suppression of 3 kafirins (1 δ - and 2 γ -kafirins) and LKR proteins, and (C) selectable marker gene, *pmi* (C).

Figure 3.2

79

Molecular analysis of independent mannose resistant T_0 plants. (A) Schematic diagram of plasmid pAHC25 construct used for sorghum transformation. The pAHC25 (9706 bp) plasmid contains the *bar* gene, encoding BASTA[®] resistance under the control of the maize Ubi1 promoter (Ubi-pro), first exon (*Ex*), the first intron and the nopaline synthase terminator (Nos-ter). (B) PCR analysis of T_0 plants. Lanes (P) - positive control (pAHC25 plasmid DNA), WT represents wild-type sorghum plant DNA, and 1 to 3 – putative transgenic plants. (C) Southern blot analysis of T_0 plants. The blot was hybridized with DIG-labeled PCR *bar* DIG-labelled probe. Plasmid DNA representing two copies of the introduced transgene was mixed with *SacI* digested genomic DNA from WT plant (WT), *SacI* digested transgenic T_0 plant DNA (5 μ g/lane) from 2 of the 3 transgenic plants. Lane P represents positive control (pAHC25).

Figure 3.3

80

T₀ transgenic plant leaves displaying resistance to a 2% BASTA[®] painting in comparison to a control plant leaf (C). Susceptibility or resistance was determined five days after painting with the herbicide BASTA[®].

Figure 3.4

81

Molecular analysis of independent mannose resistant T₀ plants. (A) Schematic diagram of pNOV3604ubi construct used for sorghum transformation. The pNOV3604ubi (6210 bp) plasmid contains the *manA* selectable marker gene under the control of the maize Ubi1 promoter (Ubi-pro), first exon (*Ex*) and the first intron and the nopaline synthase terminator (Nos-ter). (B) PCR analysis of T₀ plants. (C) Southern blot analysis of 8 T₀ plants, representing 2 transgenic events. The blot was hybridized with DIG-labelled PCR *manA* DIG-labelled probe. Plasmid DNA representing two (2-cp) and ten copies (10-cp; arrow) of the introduced transgene was mixed with *Hind*III digested genomic DNA from wild-type plant (WT), *Hind*III digested transgenic T₀ plant DNA (5 µg/lane) from eight *pmi* expressing plants (1-8). Lane P represents positive control (pNOV3604) and lane M represents DNA size marker.

Figure 3.5

85

Tissue culture and regeneration of putative transgenic plants selected on mannose. (A) Selection of somatic embryos produced by type I callus 28 days after bombardment. (B) Shoot and root formation of mature transgenic callus on regeneration medium 54 days after bombardment. (C) Transgenic plantlets with defined roots and shoots 71 days after bombardment. (D) Fertile T₀ transgenic plants resembling a wild-type plant in the greenhouse 141 days after bombardment.

Figure 3.6

87

The PCR results for 14 of the 61 putative transgenic plants produced by co-bombardment of IZEs with pNov3604 and pABS MTCs. A 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis was loaded and labelled as follows: (M) 100bp ladder molecular size marker; (N) negative control without template DNA added in a reaction mix; (P) corresponds to 1ng of pABS target construct added as the only template DNA used as a positive control; (W) 50ng wild-type P898012 genomic DNA added as template used as a second negative control; (PS) wild-type P898012 genomic DNA spiked with 1ng of target construct used as a spiked positive control. Fourteen putative transgenic events resulting in 61 plants were produced. Transgene presence was observed in all samples that were analyzed.

Figure 3.7

88

The Southern blot hybridization analysis of 22 of the 61 T₀ P898012 plants produced after co-bombardment with pNOV3604 and pABS constructs. (A) The first 11 putative transgenic samples (left panel i.e. A, B and C) were probed for the 5' promoter region, and (B) re-probed for a 3' transgene confirmation region. (C) The last probe used was specific for *pmi*. The last 11 samples (C, D and E – right panel) were analyzed on a second membrane to confirm the complete transgene integration. The same order of probe binding was employed, i.e. (D) for 5' promoter region, (E) for the confirmation region and (F) for the *pmi* gene. A total of 61 plants which resulted in 10 transgenic events were produced. Sample labelling was as follows: (W) wild-type P898012 genomic DNA sample representing the negative control; (PA) wild-type genomic DNA spiked with 2 copies of the pNov3604 and pABS constructs and digested with *Bam*HI used as positive control; (PB) wild-type genomic DNA spiked with 10 copies of the pNov3604 and pABS constructs and digested with *Bam*HI used as a second positive control. Events 5 and 7 (lane numbers labelled in blue) were bombarded with closed, circular plasmid.

Figure 4.1

101

The criteria used in the classification of seed endosperm phenotype (courtesy of Prof. J Taylor, University of Pretoria). The corneous seed endosperm is characterized by a dark brown or black colour caused by both the tight protein body packaging and diffusion of tannins from the aleurone layer. A floury seed is almost free of any black layer while an intermediate seed displays some degree of the black layer.

Figure 4.2

108

Bovine serum albumin standard curve where absorbance was measured using a WPA Lightwave spectrophotometer (Labotec Ltd, South Africa).

Figure 4.3

110-111

SDS-PAGE analysis of transgenic seed total protein (Bio-Rad pre-cast 12% Bis-Tris). Single seed analysis was performed on 10 T₁ putative transgenic seeds per event, from six transgenic events. Sample labelling was as follows: WT corresponds to wild-type P898012 protein extract for negative control; N represents null segregant seed; M represents prestained protein marker. The black arrows indicate one visible target protein co-suppression at size 25kDa, the γ -kafirin-1. The target γ -kafirin-1 protein co-migrates with other proteins and a reduction in band intensity was observed in all 5 transgenic events, while the promoter-less event, event 6, displays a normal protein expression, i.e. similar protein profile with wild type. Other non-targeted proteins are up-regulated (arrows 1 and 2). A variation in target protein band suppression was observed, with transgenic events 1 and 4 showing partial suppression.

Figure 4.4

112

Western blot analysis of pABS transformed P898012 sorghum T₁ seeds to investigate target γ -kaf-1, γ -kaf-2 and delta kaf-2 protein suppression. Single seed analysis of T₁ segregating seeds for six transgenic events was performed with rabbit-raised antibodies specific for the following: (A) 27 kDa γ -zein-1, (B) 50 kDa γ -zein-2 and (C) 18 kDa δ -kaf-2. Sample

labelling was as follows: N = null segregant seed protein extract as negative control. The δ -kaf-2 antibody cross-reacted with two non-targeted proteins that were resolved at 21 and 27 kDa.

Figure 4.5 **113**

Western blot analysis of transgenic events 1-6 for α -kafirins A1 and B1 types. The non-targeted α -kaf A1 partial suppression was confirmed in events 2, 3 and 5, while transgenic events 1 and 4 displayed no significant α -kaf A1 suppression (A). However, the α -kaf B1 type proteins were up-regulated (B) in comparison to event 6. M = protein marker.

Figure 4.6 **116**

Investigation of possible linkage between kafirins suppression and seed endosperm phenotype. (A) Five randomly chosen seed endosperms from wild-type seeds. (B) Five randomly chosen endosperms from transgenic events 1. (C) Five randomly chosen endosperms from transgenic event 3. (D) The Western blot analysis results on the same segregating T_1 seeds for events 1 and 3 compared to a wild-type seed. Sample labelling was as follows: W represents wild-type P898012 protein extract; a, b, c, d and e represent seed numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Each transgenic seed (confirmed by Western blot analysis) showed a floury endosperm phenotype and each null segregant (Transgenic Event 1, seed 1 and transgenic event 3, seeds 3 and 4) had a wild-type corneous phenotype.

Figure 4.7 **117**

Correlation chart for suppressed kafirin expression with the floury endosperm phenotype for transgenic event 1. Eighty transgenic seeds were cross-sectioned and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis. A co-efficient of 0.9916 was determined thus suggesting a significant relation between target kafirins suppression and floury endosperm phenotype.

Figure 4.8

118

Stable T₁ to T₂ transgenic trait inheritance of transgenic event 3 seeds. (A) SDS-PAGE of proteins isolated from T₁ and T₂ seeds of transgenic event 3. (B) Western blot analysis of γ -kaf-2 expression from proteins isolated from transgenic 3 seeds. M represents the protein size marker, WT corresponds to the wild-type seed protein, T1 represents a transgenic seed protein extract from a T₁ generation, T2 represents a transgenic seed extract from a T₂ generation and N corresponds to null segregant seed extract. The arrow at size 25 kDa shows a γ -kaf-1 protein suppression. The arrow at 50 kDa protein size shows a γ -kaf-2 protein suppression.

Figure 4.9

121-122

HPLC results for whole seed (A, C and D) and endosperm (B) lysine and proline contents. (A) Eight randomly selected seed meals per panicle per event from seven transgenic events were analyzed, i.e. segregating T₁ seeds. (B) Transgenic endosperm pooled meals for event 3, representing the highest lysine increase of 30.3% and event 6, showing no significant lysine improvement. (C) Whole seed lysine content of Transgenic event 3. (D) Whole seed proline content of transgenic event 3. Seed endosperm and whole seed lysine contents were increased by 77.6 and 45.2%, respectively, while an 18.7% decrease in seed proline was observed. Neither significant improvement of lysine content nor decrease in proline content in event 6 was observed. Each scatter plot represents means and standard deviation values obtained from two replicates.

Figure 4.10

123

Whole seed and endosperm total protein contents of transgenic event 3 for transgenic T₁ seeds excluding cysteine. No significant difference of total protein was observed between transgenic seeds and endosperms of transgenic event 3 and control transgenic event 6.

Figure 4.11

125

Reverse transcription PCR on developing T₂ segregating seeds at 15 days after pollination stage. (A) Integrity of total RNA (left) and cDNA formed after reverse transcription. (B) RT-PCR for 19GZ promoter product on transgenic events 2 and 3. (C) The RT-PCR of 18S gene transcript for transgenic events 1, 2, 3 and 6. (D) The γ -kaf-2 transcript analysis by RT-PCR for transgenic events 1-6. (E) The LKR transcript analysis by RT-PCR for transgenic events 1-6. After PCR amplification of 25 cycles, there was significant γ -kaf-2 suppression (arrow at 287 bp), while LKR suppression was only significant for transgenic events 2 and 3 (arrow at 220 bp). Sample labelling was as follows: WT corresponds to wild-type P898012, N represents negative control lacking template DNA, P corresponds to 1ng of transgene, i.e. positive control; M represents the molecular size marker.

Figure 4.12

126

Average seed mass, in grams, for 10 T₁ transgenic seeds and wild-type P898012 seeds. The bar graph and its error bars represent mean and standard deviation values of ten seeds per transgenic event. A general decrease in transgenic seeds was observed, while event 6 seed weights were similar to wild-type seeds. WT represents the wild-type seeds.

Figure 4.13

127

Seed morphology. The wild-type (left) and transgenic seeds from transgenic event 3 (right) show normal sorghum morphology.

Figure 4.14

127

Transgenic seed germination potential. (A) A 100% germination rate was observed in all five transgenic events and wild-type seeds after three days. (B) All seedlings formed normal coleoptile and root systems. WT corresponds to wild-type P898012

INDEX OF TABLES

	PAGE
Table 1.1	8
Worldwide status of transgenic crop production - 2005	
Table 1.2	13
List of stable sorghum transformation reports to date.	
Table 1.3	16
Sorghum kafirin amino acid content (mole %):	
Table 1.4	21
List of 10 essential and 10 non-essential amino acids in mammals	
Table 1.5	22
Comparison of the essential amino acid contents of sorghum and maize whole grain (mg/g crude protein)*.	
Table 2.1	49
Composition of tissue culture medium J.	
Table 2.2	50
Composition of Tadesse's tissue culture medium.	
Table 2.3	51
Composition of CAPD2 tissue culture medium.	
Table 2.4	56
Results of plant regeneration observed for each of the genotypes on the three culture media tested.	

Table 2.5

57

ANOVA for regeneration statistics (A) callus induction in sorghum genotypes, and (B) regeneration in sorghum genotypes. Nine individual experiments were carried out. * shows statistical significance at 5% level.

Table 3.1

80

Efficiency of biolistic transformation of sorghum using either bialaphos or mannose selection.

Table 3.2

84

Transformation results displaying successful production of transgenic sorghum plants via particle bombardment. Transformation by using MTCs was supplemented by using closed, circular and longer version of the transcription cassettes. Eleven transgenic events were produced at an average 3.36 % transformation efficiency.

Table 4.1

120

Free amino acid analysis (g/100g) measured (two replicates A and B) via HPLC for total amino acid content determination of transgenic events 3 and 6.