

CHAPTER THREE

**The influence of *Amylostereum areolatum* diversity and competition
on the fitness of the Sirex parasitic nematode *Deladenus siricidicola***

The *Sirex noctilio* (woodwasp) - *Amylostereum areolatum* (fungus) complex has caused substantial losses to pine industries in its introduced range. The nematode *Deladenus siricidicola* that parasitizes *S. noctilio* and feeds on *A. areolatum* is widely used as a biological control agent for *S. noctilio*, but not with consistent success. This variable success could be due to factors that influence the feeding and reproductive ability of the nematode on *A. areolatum*. In this study, we test two main hypotheses that emerge from this prediction. Firstly, we compared the survival of *D. siricidicola* on the South African field strain and the Australian laboratory strain of *A. areolatum*, to examine a possible incompatibility between nematode and fungal strain. Secondly, we used laboratory growth and competition assays to examine the competitive interactions of these two *A. areolatum* strains with the two most common sapstain fungi, *Diplodia pinea* and *Ophiostoma ips*, that occur in trees infested with *S. noctilio* in South Africa. The effect of water potential on the outcome of these fungal interactions was also considered. According to the data *D. siricidicola* survives at comparable levels on the two *A. areolatum* strains. Incompatibility between nematode and fungus strain is consequently unlikely to be the main cause of variable success with *D. siricidicola*. Water potential of the media significantly influenced growth of the fungi and their ability to capture primary resource in competitive interactions ($p < 0.0001$). *Amylostereum areolatum* grew more slowly than the sapstain fungi, but was able to defend its resource. At lower water potentials, *D. pinea* grew faster, captured more primary resource and replaced *O. ips*. The results suggest that competitive interactions between *A. areolatum* and sapstain fungi could negatively influence the success of *D. siricidicola*, especially under conditions of lowered water potential.

Introduction

Amylostereum areolatum Boiden is a Basidiomycetes fungus which has a mutualistic symbiotic relationship with siricid woodwasps (Cartwright 1929, Talbot 1977, Slippers *et al.* 2003). This fungus has been recorded in symbioses with the woodwasps *Sirex noctilio*, *S. juvencus*, *S. nitobei* and *S. cyaneus* (Bedding and Ackurst 1978), and more recently with *S. edwardsii* and *S. 'nitidus'* (Nielsen *et al.* 2009). Of these symbioses, the *S. noctilio* – *A. areolatum* association is the best known. This is because this insect is an invasive alien pest on *Pinus* spp. in many southern hemisphere countries where these trees are extensively grown in plantations.

Sirex noctilio attacks various conifer species, but predominantly *Pinus* species (Spradbery and Kirk 1978). *Sirex noctilio* and *A. areolatum* in concert overcome the defences of the host tree and provide an ideal environment for the development of the fungus and wasp larvae (Coutts 1969, Spradbery 1973, Talbot 1977). The fungus benefits by being dispersed by the wasp and introduced into a weakened host, caused by the phytotoxic mucous injected into the tree together with the eggs and fungus. In turn, the fungus is essential for the nutrition of the larvae (Coutts 1969, Spradbery 1973, Talbot 1977).

Sirex noctilio is native to Eurasia, but has been accidentally introduced into many pine growing countries of the southern hemisphere during the course of the twentieth century (Miller and Clarke 1935, Gilbert and Miller 1952, Spradbery and Kirk 1978, Tribe 1995, Maderni 1998, Klasmer *et al.* 1998, Iede *et al.* 1998, Hurley *et al.* 2007). In these countries, the *S. noctilio* – *A. areolatum* complex has resulted in major losses in pine plantations (Haugen 1990, Maderni 1998, Hurley *et al.* 2007). Most recently, *S. noctilio* was detected in North America (Hoebeke *et al.* 2005, de

Groot *et al.* 2007). The threat that the *S. noctilio* – *A. areolatum* complex will pose to pine forests in North America is still uncertain, but Yemshanov *et al.* (2009) estimated the potential damage in Canada over the next 20 years to be as much as \$254 million per year.

The nematode *Deladenus* (= *Beddingia*) *siricidicola* Bedding, parasitic to *S. noctilio*, was discovered and described in the 1960s (Bedding 1968). *Deladenus siricidicola* is extraordinary in having both a parasitic and mycetophagous life-cycle (Bedding 1972). In the mycetophagous life-cycle, the nematodes feed on *A. areolatum*. In the vicinity of *S. noctilio* larvae, the high CO₂ and low pH environment stimulates the parasitic life-cycle, where the nematodes parasitize the larvae. The nematodes do not kill the larvae, but develop and reproduce inside the larvae, and sterilize the eggs of the emerging female wasp. Infected female wasps lay nematode-filled eggs in to new trees, thus spreading the nematode (Bedding 1972, Bedding and Iede 2005). *Deladenus siricidicola* feeds exclusively on *A. areolatum* in the mycetophagous life-cycle and can go through many generations in the absence of the parasitic life-cycle (Bedding 1972, Spradbery and Kirk 1978). The mycetophagous life-cycle takes approximately two weeks while the parasitic life-cycle follows the life-cycle of the wasp, which may vary from one to three years depending on the environment (Bedding 1972).

Although complex, the biology of *D. siricidicola* makes it an ideal biological control agent for *S. noctilio* (Bedding and Iede 2005). The nematode can be mass reared on cultures of *A. areolatum* in a short period of time and inoculated into *S. noctilio*-infested trees where they feed on *A. areolatum* in the tree until they locate *S. noctilio* larvae. *Deladenus siricidicola* has been released as a biological control agent in every southern hemisphere country where *S. noctilio* is a pest, often attaining

parasitism levels between 70-100 % (Bedding and Akhurst 1974, Iede *et al.* 1998, Tribe and Cillié 2004, Carnegie *et al.* 2005). However, success with *D. siricidicola* has been variable (Hurley *et al.* 2007). In particular, inoculations with the nematode in the summer rainfall region of South Africa resulted in less than 10 % parasitism (Hurley *et al.* 2007).

A possible explanation for the limited success of *D. siricidicola* inoculations is competition between *A. areolatum* and sapstain fungi in the wood (King 1966). This hypothesis is based on the fact that competition for resources between fungi living on the same substrate is well known and involves primary resource capture or combat, where already colonized resources are captured or defended (Cooke and Rayner 1984, Rayner and Webber 1986, Boddy 2000). For the *S. noctilio* system, sapstain fungi are often present in trees infested by this insect (B.P. Hurley, personal observation), and therefore *A. areolatum* and these fungi would need to compete for the same resource. Two sapstain fungi common in *Pinus* sp. in South Africa are *Diplodia pinea* (Desm.) Kickx (formerly *Sphareopsis sapinea* (Fr.) Dyko and Sutton) and *Ophiostoma ips* (Rumb.) Nannf. (Wingfield and Swart 1994, Zhou *et al.* 2001). *Diplodia pinea* is a latent pathogen associated with stress and wounds (Swart and Wingfield 1991), and is commonly found in trees infested with *S. noctilio*. Similarly, *O. ips* is associated with the bark beetle, *Orthotomicus erosus* (Wollaston), which attacks stressed trees (Tribe 1992, Zhou *et al.* 2001) and it is also common in trees infested with *S. noctilio*. The limited spread of *A. areolatum* as a result of competition with these sapstain fungi could decrease the likelihood that the nematodes will survive until they find a fungal source or *S. noctilio* larvae.

Water availability (water potential) can influence the outcome of competitive interactions between fungal species (Shearer 1995, Boddy 2000). Studies on the

competitive abilities of insect-associated fungi have shown that the primary and combative ability of the fungi, as well as water potential, influences the outcome of competitive interactions and can have important consequences for the success of the insect – fungus association (Klepzig and Wilkens 1997, Klepzig *et al.* 2004, Bleiker and Six 2009). The influence of moisture availability on inoculation success with *D. siricidicola* was suggested by Hurley *et al.* (2008), who showed that inoculation success was lowest in the drier top section of the tree.

The strain of *A. areolatum* that occurs together with *S. noctilio* is another factor that could influence inoculation success with *D. siricidicola*. Certain strains of *A. areolatum* from the field in Australia were found to be preferable for rearing the nematode to others (R.A. Bedding personal communication in Slippers *et al.* 2001, author, unpublished results). Further, Slippers *et al.* (2001) showed differences in the strain of *A. areolatum* present in South Africa and the strain imported from Australia, which is used to rear the nematode. An incompatibility between nematode and fungal strain could result in reduced nematode reproduction and consequently reduced parasitism of *S. noctilio*. Furthermore, the *A. areolatum* strain in South Africa could compete poorly with sapstain fungi compared to *A. areolatum* strains in other countries.

In this study we tested two hypotheses related to the fungal symbiont of *S. noctilio* that might influence the success of the nematode in biological control programs. Firstly, we compared the survival of different *D. siricidicola* populations on two different strains of *A. areolatum*, to determine if specificity on the fungus can influence fitness of the nematode. Secondly, we examined the competitive ability of the two *A. areolatum* strains with the sapstain fungi *D. pinea* and *O. ips*. Competitive

interactions were examined in terms of primary resource capture and combat, and the influence that water potential has on the outcome.

Materials and Methods

Nematode sources and fungal strains

Two strains of *A. areolatum* were used in this study. The Australian laboratory strain (*A. areolatum* AUS) was sent from Australia with cultures of *D. siricidicola* in 2003. This strain has been used for rearing nematodes for field release in South Africa since 2004. The South African field strain (*A. areolatum* KZN) was isolated from the field in 2007 and represents the fungus accidentally introduced with *S. noctilio*. The *D. pinea* strain used was isolated from *P. patula* in Vryheid, South Africa, in 2007. The *O. ips* strain was isolated from galleries of *O. erosus* on a *Pinus* sp., in Lothair, South Africa, in 2009.

All nematodes used in the study were of the Kamona strain of *D. siricidicola* (Bedding and Iede 2005). Laboratory reared cultures from different nematode populations / sources were used, to examine whether the rearing history of the nematode can influence its survival on a specific *A. areolatum* strain. Four different nematode sources were used, namely BRA, ARG, KZN and KZN2. Two of the sources were from laboratory cultures in Brazil (BRA) and Argentina (ARG). The BRA and ARG sources were obtained in 2006 and have been reared on *A. areolatum* (AUS). The other two nematode sources were the original nematodes imported to South Africa from Australia in 2003, introduced into the field, and retrieved from parasitized wasps. The KZN source was retrieved in 2008 and subsequently grown on

A. areolatum (AUS). The KZN2 source was retrieved from the field in 2007 and subsequently grown on *A. areolatum* (KZN).

Nematode survival assays

For the nematode survival assays, Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) (40 g l⁻¹ potato dextrose extract, 15g l⁻¹ agar) in 90mm Petri dishes and wheat-rice medium in 500 ml flasks (84 g wheat, 36 g brown rice, 80 ml water) was used as a growth medium for *A. areolatum*. The flasks containing this medium were autoclaved at 121 °C for 30 min, left to stand for 24 hours and then autoclaved again at 134 °C for 15 min. Flask cultures (see Bedding and Iede 2005) were established for each of the four nematode sources, using *A. areolatum* (AUS). After approximately six weeks, nematodes were rinsed three times from each flask using tap water. Three samples were taken from the nematode sediment to estimate the total number of nematodes present. For each sample, one ml was removed from the sediment and diluted in 49 ml of tap water, after which one ml was removed from this 50 ml solution and the total number of nematodes in this sample was counted using a Petri dish with 0.5 cm² grids, under a microscope at 20x magnification. The nematode-water solution was agitated before each sample was taken to ensure the solution was well mixed. The average of the three sample counts was used to calculate an estimate for the number of nematodes in the flask.

PDA plates covered with one third of either *A. areolatum* (AUS) or *A. areolatum* (KZN) were used to start the cultures for the nematode assays. Nematodes from each of the different sources were placed on plates with *A. areolatum* (AUS) and plates with *A. areolatum* (KZN). In total there were eight treatments of nematode

source and fungal strain combination. Approximately 30000 nematodes were placed on each plate. The fungus was inoculated on one side and the nematodes were placed on the opposite side of the plate. The nematodes moved towards the fungus and after 12 hours two fungus-nematode plugs were cut out and placed face-down on clean PDA plates. After two weeks, three fungus-nematode plugs of approximately the same size were removed from these new cultures and placed in flasks prepared with a wheat-rice medium. A plug of similar size, containing only the fungus was also placed in the flask, to ensure that the nematodes had sufficient food. After six weeks the nematodes were rinsed from the flasks and counted, as described above. For each treatment the 10 flasks which appeared to have the highest number of nematodes were counted. An Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using a General Linear Model (GLM) (SAS version 8.2 (SAS Institute, 2001)) was used to examine differences in total nematode numbers between the nematode source and fungal strain treatments.

Fungal growth assays

Growth media at different water potentials used for the fungal growth assays was based on previously published methods (Whiting and Rizzo 1999, Klepzig *et al.* 2004). Media were prepared with the osmotic potentials of 0 (unamended malt extract agar (MEA), 15 g l⁻¹ agar, 10 g l⁻¹ malt extract), -5 megapascals (MPa) (MEA amended with 8.2 g l⁻¹ KCl and 68.1 g l⁻¹ sucrose) and -10 MPa (MEA amended with 16.6 g l⁻¹ KCl and 134.2 g l⁻¹ p sucrose) in 90 mm Petri dishes. The growth of *A. areolatum* (AUS), *A. areolatum* (KZN), *D. pinea* and *O. ips* was examined on the three different media. A 0.5 cm diameter disc of fungus was placed face down at the centre of the plates. Mycelial growth was measured every second day from the fourth

day after inoculation, for a total of 18 days after inoculation. Plates were sealed with Parafilm and maintained at 20 °C in the dark. Measurements were done using Image Tool v3.00 Microsoft® and the total area covered by the fungus was calculated. An Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using a General Linear Model (GLM) and a Repeated Measures ANOVA (SAS version 8.2 (SAS Institute, 2001)) was used to analyze the growth of fungi. STKROMME (SHC du Toit, South African Institute for Statistical Research, HSRC, 1980) was used to fit a Gompertz curve to the data.

Fungal competition assays

Competition between *A. areolatum* (AUS), *A. areolatum* (KZN), *D. pinea* and *O. ips* was examined on the same three media as used for the fungal growth assays. A 0.5 cm diameter disc of each fungus in the interaction was placed on opposite sides of the plate. The growth was measured from the fourth day after inoculation and every week after that for a total of nine weeks. The competition assays continued over a longer period of time than the growth assays, to ensure that the fungi had opportunity to compete fully. Plates were sealed with Parafilm and maintained at 20 °C in the dark. Measurements were done using Image Tool v3.00 Microsoft® and the total area covered by the fungus was calculated. An Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using a General Linear Model (GLM) and a Repeated Measures ANOVA (SAS version 8.2 (SAS Institute, 2001)) was used to analyze the growth of fungi. STKROMME (SHC du Toit, South African Institute for Statistical Research, HSRC, 1980) was used to fit a Gompertz curve to the data.

Results

Nematode survival assays

The number of nematodes produced per flask ranged from 170000 to 4.3 million, with an average of 1.3 million. Considering all nematode sources together, significantly more nematodes were produced on flasks with *A. areolatum* (AUS) (Least Squares (LS) mean = 1.5 mil.) than on flasks with *A. areolatum* (KZN) (LS mean = 1.1 mil.) ($p = 0.0037$). This difference was mainly due to the highly significant difference in numbers of the BRA nematode source on *A. areolatum* (AUS) (LS mean = 2 mil) and *A. areolatum* (KZN) (LS mean = 840 000) (Table 1). None of the other nematode sources showed significant differences in numbers produced on the two *A. areolatum* strains (Table 1). The number of nematodes produced for the different nematode sources was not significantly different, except of the ARG nematode source, which produced significantly less than the other sources ($p = 0.0094$). None of the nematode sources produced significantly more nematodes on *A. areolatum* (KZN), compared to the KZN nematode source (Table 1).

Fungal growth assays

The growth of the fungi was significantly influenced by time, medium, the fungus species / strain and the interaction between medium and fungus species / strain ($p < 0.0001$). Growth of all four fungi decreased with decreasing water potential (Fig. 1). *Amylostereum areolatum* (KZN) grew significantly faster than *A. areolatum* (AUS) on MEA ($p < 0.05$), but not on -5 MPa and -10 MPa (Fig. 1). *Diplodia pinea*

and *O. ips* grew significantly faster than *A. areolatum* (AUS) and *A. areolatum* (KZN) on MEA and -5 MPa ($p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 1). *Diplodia pinea* grew faster than *A. areolatum* (AUS), *A. areolatum* (KZN) and *O. ips* on -10 MPa ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 1). The growth of *D. pinea* and *O. ips* slowed down and stopped as it came close to the edge of the Petri dishes.

Fungal competition assays

The growth of the fungi in the competition assays was significantly influenced by time and medium ($p > 0.0001$). There was no clear difference in the competitive interaction of *A. areolatum* (AUS) and *A. areolatum* (KZN) with the two sapstain fungi, *D. pinea* and *O. ips* (Fig. 2). *Diplodia pinea* captured more primary resource than *A. areolatum* on all media, but competed increasingly better against *A. areolatum* with decreasing water potential (Fig. 2). Despite the great differences in primary resource capture, *D. pinea* did not replace *A. areolatum* on any medium. A barrier was formed between the two fungi on MEA and -5 MPa, with inhibition of hyphal growth at a distance, resulting in a deadlock between the fungi (Fig 3A-B). On -10 MPa, the growth form of *D. pinea* changed and hyphal growth appeared to stop at the point of contact between the two fungi (Fig 3C).

The competitive ability of *O. ips* against *A. areolatum* decreased with a decrease in water potential (Fig. 2). On MEA, *O. ips* captured far more primary resource than *A. areolatum* (Fig 2, Fig 3D). After four weeks a barrier was formed between the fungi, with inhibition at a distance. *Ophiostoma ips* also captured more primary resource at -5 MPa, but the difference in growth between the two fungi was not as great, and no barrier was observed for the duration of the study (Fig 3E).

Amylostereum areolatum captured more primary resource than *O. ips* on -10 MPa (Fig. 2). At -10 MPa, isolates of *O. ips* changed in morphology (Fig 3F).

The competitive ability of *O. ips* against *D. pinea* decreased with a decrease in water potential (Fig. 2). On MEA, *O. ips* initially captured more primary resource than *D. pinea*. A barrier was formed after three weeks, which inhibited the growth of *O. ips* (Fig 3G). *Diplodia pinea* continued to grow, but at the end of the study there appeared to be a deadlock between the two fungi. This deadlock situation only occurred in some of the interactions between *D. pinea* and *O. ips* and was thus not evident in Fig. 2 which represented the average growth. On -5 MPa and -10 MPa, *D. pinea* captured more primary resource and replaced *O. ips* (secondary resource capture) (Fig 2, Fig 3H-I).

Discussion

Results of the study showed that the *D. siricidicola* released in South Africa as a biological control agent against *S. noctilio* is able to survive and reproduce on the South African field strain of *A. areolatum*, introduced naturally with *S. noctilio*, at levels comparable to its survival on the genetically different Australian strain (Slippers *et al.* 2001), used to raise the nematodes. The survival and reproduction of the nematode was also comparable to that of the nematode strain that has been introduced in Brazil and Argentina. The nematodes released in Brazil and Argentina have given parasitism levels of over 70 % in their respective countries (Iede *et al.* 1998, V. Klasmer, personal communication), where they are associated in the field with the same strain of *A. areolatum* introduced into South Africa with *S. noctilio* (Slippers *et al.* 2001). The comparable survival of all the nematode sources used for

biological control in southern hemisphere countries on the strain of *A. areolatum* occurring with the wasp under field situations in South Africa and South America indicates that incompatibility between *A. areolatum* (KZN) and the Kamona strain of *D. siricidicola* is an unlikely explanation for the low inoculation success in the summer rainfall areas of South Africa.

The KZN2 nematodes reared on *A. areolatum* KZN for multiple generations did not give rise to higher numbers of nematodes on *A. areolatum* KZN in this study. This suggests that efforts to breed for greater survival on the *A. areolatum* (KZN) fungus using the Kamona strain of *D. siricidicola* are unlikely to be successful. It is not clear why the nematodes sourced from Brazil gave rise to significantly higher numbers on *A. areolatum* (AUS) than on *A. areolatum* (KZN), but this result highlights the possibility of increased fitness of specific nematode strains on specific fungal strains. Further investigations to understand the behaviour of nematode strains in different environments, as well as their comparable fitness on different *A. areolatum* strains are clearly warranted.

Amylostereum areolatum generally grew more slowly than the sapstain fungi *D. pinea* and *O. ips*. However, the *S. noctilio* symbiont showed strong defence capabilities and was never replaced by the sapstain fungi. *Amylostereum areolatum* defended its food resource through the formation of an antagonistic barrier zone some distance from the competing fungi. Alternatively, the fungus produced a stationary barrier zone of mycelium resistant to invasion, at the point of mycelial contact. These forms of combative interactions are well known in fungi. Boddy (2000) stated that antagonism at a distance, hyphal interference, mycoparasitism and gross mycelial contact were the main forms of combative interactions. The outcomes of these

interactions may result in replacement of one fungus with another or a deadlock between the two species, as observed between *A. areolatum* and the sapstain fungi.

The growth rate and competitive ability of the two *A. areolatum* strains in this study were very similar on most media. There were only differences on the media with the highest water potential (MEA), where the South African strain grew faster. Inoculation success of *D. siricidicola* is particularly poor at low moisture availability (Hurley *et al.* 2008), but there were no significant differences in competitive ability of the two *A. areolatum* strains on media with lower water potentials. These results indicate that the difference in the competitive ability of the two *A. areolatum* strains is unlikely to explain the low levels of inoculation success in the summer rainfall areas of South Africa.

Reduced water potential decreased the growth of all of the fungi considered in this study, including the *S. noctilio* symbiont, *A. areolatum*, and the sapstain fungi that it encounters in woodwasp-infested trees. For *A. areolatum*, this is consistent with the experience of Boddy (1983) who noted that wood decay Basidiomycetes generally do not grow well below -4.4 MPa. Although *A. areolatum* growth decreased at lower water potentials, the fungus did not cease to grow and was able to compete for resources with the sapstain fungi. In contrast to *A. areolatum*, *D. pinea* displayed its best growth on the media having low water potential and this is consistent with the fact that some Ascomycetes are favoured by low water potentials (Boddy 2000). However, *O. ips* showed very poor growth and competitive ability at low water potentials, to such an extent that it was replaced by *D. pinea*. This result is similar to that of Klepzig *et al.* (2004) who found that the related *Ophiostoma* sp., *Ophiostoma minus* (Hedgcock) H. and P. Sydow had decreased competitive ability on media having low water potential.

Mycelial morphology of fungi can change during competitive interactions (Rayner and Webber 1986, Boddy 2000), and this was seen in the present study. For example, the growth of *D. pinea* was enhanced in the competitive interactions, sometimes resulting in a change in the mycelial morphology and directed growth towards the competing fungus. This was especially noticeable on media having reduced water potential. *Ophiostoma ips* also showed changes in mycelial morphology on the low water potential media. In this case, the mycelial morphology changed to a less dense and more rapid growth. Boddy (2000) referred to these changes in mycelial morphology as ‘phase shifts’, which can result in a redistribution of the mycelium, but also make a fungus more susceptible to invasion if the interfacial zone is breached (Boddy 2000).

The growth and competitive ability of the fungi as was seen in this study offer important insights into factors that might affect the establishment of *A. areolatum* in the field and consequently the survival of *D. siricidicola*. *Amylostereum areolatum* is likely to be able to grow in the low moisture conditions that the nematodes experience in the summer rainfall area of South Africa (Hurley *et al.* 2008). The growth under these conditions would be slow, and most of the resource could then be captured by *D. pinea*, which is uniformly present in *Pinus* spp. in South Africa (Swart *et al.* 1985, Wingfield and Swart 1994). However, *A. areolatum* would be able to defend its resource, thus securing the food source of the *S. noctilio* larvae and *D. siricidicola*, which would have entered the tree naturally with *A. areolatum* and having followed its growth.

Artificial inoculations with *D. siricidicola* occur some time after the tree is attacked by *S. noctilio*, as symptoms of attack must first be observed (Haugen *et al.* 1990). These trees would have already lost moisture since the attack and at that time

D. pinea infection is likely to be well established. This could decrease the survival of the inoculated nematodes in the tree, as they still need to locate the areas of *A. areolatum* establishment. *Amylostereum areolatum* that is introduced together with nematodes during inoculation is unlikely to be able to compete with *D. pinea* and other fungi that are already established.

The suggestion that competitive interactions with *A. areolatum* could have greater significance on inoculation success than natural parasitism of *D. siricidicola* is supported by other data. Although high levels of parasitism have been obtained in South America, results have been variable, and Hurley *et al.* (2007) suggested that inoculation success is low, but that natural parasitism levels can be high. Similarly, inoculations in the Cape province of South Africa originally gave poor results (22.6 %), but natural spread of the nematode increased parasitism to 96.1 % just two years later (Tribe and Cillié 2004). Recent data from the summer rainfall areas also indicates that natural parasitism is increasing (above 50 % in some sites, in the bottom section of the trees), although inoculation success still remains poor (Brett Hurley, unpublished data)

The results of laboratory growth and competition assays with fungi, such as those obtained in this study, should be interpreted with caution. While they might reflect the field environment, this may not always be the case. For example, the outcome of interactions in the natural environment can be influenced by the microclimate, the size and quality of the resource, temperature, and the presence of multiple competing fungi (Boddy 2000). In our study we examined the influence of one variable, water potential, but other variables are likely to also influence the outcome of the interactions studied. While it would be difficult to consider the interactions of different fungi and nematodes in wood and under natural conditions,

such studies could provide further insight in to the establishment of *A. areolatum* in the field.

References

- Bedding RA. 1968. *Deladenus wilsoni* n.sp. and *D. siricidicola* n.sp. (Neotylenchidae), entomophagous nematodes parasitic in siricid woodwasps. *Nematologica* 14: 515-525.
- Bedding RA. 1972. Biology of *Deladenus siricidicola* (Neotylenchidae) an entomophagous-mycetophagous nematode parasitic in siricid woodwasps. *Nematologica* 18: 482-493.
- Bedding RA, Akhurst RJ. 1974. Use of the nematode *Deladenus siricidicola* in the biological control of *Sirex noctilio* in Australia. *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society* 13: 129-135.
- Bedding RA, Akhurst RJ. 1978. Geographical distribution and host preferences of *Deladenus* species (Nematoda: Neotylenchidae) parasitic in siricid woodwasps and associated hymenopterous parasitoids. *Nematologica* 24: 286-294.
- Bedding RA, Iede ET. 2005. Application of *Beddingia siricidicola* for *Sirex* woodwasp control. *Nematodes as Biocontrol Agents* (ed. by P.S. Grewal, R. Ehlers and D.I. Shapiro-Ilan), pp. 385-400. CAB International, Wallingford, U.K.
- Bleiker KP, Six DL. 2009. Effects of water potential and solute on the growth and interactions of two fungal symbionts of the mountain pine beetle. *Mycological Research* 113: 3-15.

- Boddy L. 1983 The effect of temperature and water potential on the growth rate of wood-rotting basidiomycetes. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 80: 141-149.
- Boddy L. 2000. Inerspecific combative interactions between wood-decaying basidiomycetes. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 31: 185-194.
- Carnegie AJ, Elderidge RH, Waterson DG. 2005. History and management of *Sirex* wood wasp in pine plantations in New South Wales, Australia. New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science 35: 3-24.
- Cartwright KStG. 1929. Notes on fungus associated with *Sirex cyaneus*. Annals of Applied Biology 16: 182-187.
- Cooke RC, Rayner ADM. 1984. Ecology of saprotrophic fungi. Longman Group Limited, England.
- Coutts MP. 1969. The mechanism of pathogenicity of *Sirex noctilio* on *Pinus radiata* II. Effects of *S. noctilio* mucus. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences 22: 1153-1161.
- de Groot P, Nystrom K, Scarr T. 2007. Discovery of *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: Siricidae) in Ontario, Canada. Great Lakes Entomologist 39: 49-53.
- Gilbert JM, Miller LW. 1952. An outbreak of *Sirex noctilio* in Tasmania. Australian Journal of Biological Sciences 22: 905-914.
- Haugen DA. 1990. Control procedures for *Sirex noctilio* in the Green Triangle: Review from detection to severe outbreak (1977-1987). Australian Forestry 53: 24-32.
- Haugen DA, Bedding RA, Underdown MG, Neumann FG. 1990. National strategy for control of *Sirex noctilio* in Australia. Australian Forest Grower 13, No. 2.

- Hoebeke ER, Haugen DA, Haack RA. 2005. *Sirex noctilio*: discovery of a Palearctic siricid woodwasp in New York. Newsletter of the Michigan Entomological Society 50: 24-25.
- Hurley BP, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. A comparison of control results for the alien invasive woodwasp, *Sirex noctilio*, in the southern hemisphere. Agricultural and Forest Entomology 9: 159-171.
- Hurley BP, Slippers B, Croft PK, Hatting HJ, van der Linde M, Morris AR, Dyer C, Wingfield MJ. 2008. Factors influencing parasitism of *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: Siricidae) by the nematode *Deladenus siricidicola* (Nematoda: Neotylenchidae) in summer rainfall areas of South Africa. Biological Control 45: 450-459.
- Iede ET, Penteadó SRC, Schaitza EG. 1998. *Sirex noctilio* problem in Brazil - detection, evaluation and control. In: Iede, E., Shaitza, E., Penteadó, S., Reardon, R., Murphy, T. (Eds.), Training in the Control of *Sirex noctilio* by use of Natural Enemies. USDA Forest Service (FHTET 98-13), pp. 45-52.
- King JM. 1966. Some aspects of the biology of the fungal symbiont of *Sirex noctilio*. Australian Journal of Botany 14: 25-30.
- Klasmer P, Fritz G, Corley J, Botto E. 1998. Current status of research on *Sirex noctilio* F. in the Andean-Patagonian region in Argentina. *Proceedings of a conference: Training in the control of Sirex noctilio by use of natural enemies* (ed. by E. Iede, E. Shaitza, S. Penteadó, R. Reardon and T. Murphy), pp. 89-90. USDA Forest Service (FHTET 98-13).
- Klepzig KD, Wilkens RT. 1997. Competitive interactions among symbiotic fungi of the southern pine beetle. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 63: 621-627.

- Klepzig KD, Flores-Otero J, Hofstetter R, Ayres M. 2004. Effects of available water on growth and competition of southern pine beetle associated fungi. *Mycological Research* 108: 183-188.
- Maderni JFP. 1998. *Sirex noctilio* F.: present status in Uruguay. *Proceedings of a conference: Training in the control of Sirex noctilio by use of natural enemies* (ed. by E. Iede, E. Shaitza, S. Penteado, R. Reardon and T. Murphy), pp. 81-82. USDA Forest Service (FHTET 98-13).
- Miller D, Clarke AF. 1935. *Sirex noctilio* (Hym.) and its parasites in New Zealand. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 26: 149-154.
- Nielsen C, Williams DW, Hajek AE. 2009. Putative source of the invasive *Sirex noctilio* fungal symbiont, *Amylostereum areolatum*, in the eastern United States and its association with native siricid woodwasps. *Mycological Research* 113: 1242-1253.
- Rayner ADM, Webber JF. 1986. Interspecific mycelial interactions – an overview. In: Jennings DH, Rayner ADM (Eds), *The ecology and physiology of the fungal mycelium*. Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, pp. 383-418.
- Shearer CA. 1995. Fungal competition. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 73: S1259-S1264.
- Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD. 2001. Population structure and possible origin of *Amylostereum areolatum* in South Africa. *Plant Pathology* 50: 206-210.
- Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2003. The genus *Amylostereum* and its association with woodwasps: a contemporary review. *South African Journal of Science* 99: 70-74.
- Spradbery JP. 1973. A comparative study of the phytotoxic effects of siricid woodwasps on conifers. *Annals of Applied Biology* 75: 309-320.

- Spradbery JP, Kirk AA. 1978. Aspects of the ecology of siricid woodwasps (Hymenoptera: Siricidae) in Europe, North Africa and Turkey with special reference to the biological control of *Sirex noctilio* F. in Australia. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 68: 341-359.
- Swart WJ, Wingfield MJ. 1991. The biology and control of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* in South Africa. *Plant Disease* 75: 761-766.
- Swart WJ, Knox-Davies PS, Wingfield MJ. 1985. *Sphaeropsis sapinea* with special reference to its occurrence on *Pinus* spp. in South Africa. *South African Forestry Journal* 35: 1-8.
- Talbot PHB. 1977. The *Sirex-Amylostereum-Pinus* association. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 15: 41-54.
- Tribe GD. 1992. Colonization sites on *Pinus radiata* logs of the bark beetles, *Orthotomicus erosus*, *Hylastes angustatus* and *Hylurgus ligniperda* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Journal of the Entomological Society of South Africa* 1: 77-84.
- Tribe GD. 1995. The woodwasp *Sirex noctilio* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Siricidae), a pest of *Pinus* species, now established in South Africa. *African Entomology* 3: 215-217.
- Tribe GD, Cillié JJ. 2004. The spread of *Sirex noctilio* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Siricidae) in South African pine plantations and the introduction and establishment of its biological control agents. *African Entomology* 12: 9-17.
- Whiting EC, Rizzo DM. 1999. Effect of water potential on radial colony growth of *Armillaria mellea* and *A. gallica* in culture. *Mycologia* 91: 627-635.
- Wingfield MJ, Swart WJ. 1994. Integrated management of forest tree diseases in South Africa. *Forest Ecology and Management* 65: 11-16.

- Yemshanov D, McKenney DW, de Groot P, Haugen D, Sidders D, Joss B. 2009. A bioeconomic approach to assess the impact of an alien invasive insect on timber supply and harvesting: a case study with *Sirex noctilio* in eastern Canada. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research* 39: 154-168.
- Zhou XD, de Beer W, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2001. Ophiostomatoid fungi associated with three pine infesting bark beetles in South Africa. *Sydowia* 53: 290-300.

Table 1. P-value table for nematodes produces on *A. areolatum* AUS and *A. areolatum* KZN fungus, on four nematode sources (ARG, BRA, KZN, KZN2). The difference in nematode numbers between treatments was not significantly different (NS), significantly different where $p < 0.05$ (*), significantly different where $p < 0.01$ (**), or highly significantly different where $p < 0.001$ (***)).

	<u><i>A. areolatum</i> AUS</u>				<u><i>A. areolatum</i> KZN</u>			
	ARG	BRA	KZN	KZN2	ARG	BRA	KZN	KZN2
<u><i>A. areolatum</i> AUS</u>								
ARG	-	**	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	NS
BRA		-	*	NS	***	***	*	NS
KZN			-	NS	*	NS	NS	NS
KZN2				-	***	**	NS	NS
<u><i>A. areolatum</i> KZN</u>								
ARG					-	NS	*	**
BRA						-	NS	*
KZN							-	NS
KZN2								-

Figure 1. Comparison of the cumulative growth of four fungi, *A. areolatum* AUS, *A. areolatum* KZN, *D. pinea* and *O. ips*, on three media of different water potential, over an 18 day period. Growth curves that did not fit a Gompertz function are indicated with an asterix (*).




Figure 2. Comparison of the cumulative growth of four fungi, *A. areolatum* AUS, *A. areolatum* KZN, *D. pinea* and *O. ips*, competing with each other on three media of different water potential, over a nine week period. Growth curves that did not fit a Gompertz function are indicated with an asterix (*).




Figure 3. Examples of competitive interactions between the fungi *A. areolatum*, *D. pinea* and *O. ips*. A-C = *D. pinea* (left) competing with *A. areolatum* (right) on MEA, -5MPa and -10MPa medium, respectively. D-F = *O. ips* (left) competing with *A. areolatum* (right) on MEA, -5MPa and -10MPa medium, respectively. G-I = *D. pinea* (left) competing with *O. ips* (right) on MEA, -5MPa and -10MPa medium, respectively. Pictures were taken at week seven.

