

Taxonomy and population diversity of Botryosphaeriaceae associated with woody hosts in South Africa and Western Australia

by

Draginja Pavlic

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR

In the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science, Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

April 2009

Supervisor: Prof. Bernard Slippers Co-supervisors: Prof. Teresa A. Coutinho Prof. Michael J. Wingfield

© University of Pretoria



Dedicated to my dear friends from all around the World



Declaration

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that the thesis submitted herewith for the degree *Philosophiae Doctor* to the University of Pretoria contains my own independent work. This work has hitherto not been submitted for any degree at any other University.

Draginja Pavlic

April 2009



SUMMARY

The Botryosphaeriaceae (Ascomycetes), with 2000 species more than (http://www.indexfungorum.com), represents one of most widely distributed groups of fungal plant pathogens. These species are known to infect both economically important crops and native plants. In this study species of the Botryosphaeriaceae associated with native woody hosts in South Africa and Western Australia were investigated. Based on ITS rDNA sequence comparisons, combined with phenotypic characters and PCR-RFLP analyses, eight species were identified on native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa. These included Neofusicoccum parvum, N. ribis, N. luteum, N. australe, N. mangiferae, Botryosphaeria dothidea, Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis and L. theobromae. Three additional cryptic species were identified in the N. parvum / N. ribis complex from S. cordatum using five gene genealogies and the genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR). These are the first species of the Botryosphaeriaceae described using fixed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as a defining character, and are described as N. cordaticola, N. kwambonambiense and N. umdonicola. The analysis of microsatellite marker data supported the distinction of these species. These data were also used to characterise the distribution of the latter three species and N. parvum on S. cordatum. Finding the same haplotypes of N. parvum on S. cordatum and closely related, planted Eucalyptus indicates movement of this pathogen between these hosts. Since all of the species recognised from S. cordatum were pathogenic to Eucalyptus, and the newly described species were more virulent than N. parvum and N. ribis on S. cordatum, their movement between hosts can pose a serious treat to both native and non-native plants. From Western Australia, molecular sequence data and morphological analyses revealed seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native trees. These included Dothiorella longicollis, Fusicoccum ramosum, Lasiodiplodia margaritacea, Neoscytalidium novaehollandiae, Pseudofusicoccum adansoniae, P. ardesiacum and P. kimberleyense. In the literature review, which also considers work done in this thesis, the influence of molecular tools on the taxonomy of the Botryosphaeriaceae during the last decade, with a particular focus on cryptic species recognition, is considered. This study clearly showed that a polyphasic approach in species identification, as well as investigation of less well studied native flora, will reveal numerous new and cryptic species in the Botrysphaeriaceae and improve our knowledge of this group of important plant pathogens in the future.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	1
Preface	3
Chapter 1	6
Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa a	and their
potential threat to <i>Eucalyptus</i>	
Chapter 2	37
Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species	of the
Botryosphaeriaceae: A case study on the <i>Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis</i> comple	ex
Chapter 3	68
Molecular and phenotypic characterisation of three phylogenetic species di	scovered
within the <i>Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis</i> complex	
Chapter 4	95
Cryptic diversity and distribution of species in the <i>Neofusicoccum parvum</i> /	N. ribis
complex as revealed by microsatellite markers	
Chapter 5	125
Seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native	trees in
Western Australia	
Chapter 6	178
Molecular phylogenetics in the recognition of fungal species, with a particular focus on the Botryosphaeriaceae	
Summary	209



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Completion of this thesis was possible with continuous support of my friends, family and supervisors. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all of them who helped me on my way to achieve this goal.

The advisory team on this thesis: Prof. Bernard Slippers, Prof. Teresa Coutinho and Prof. Michael Wingfield for professional advice, supervision and guidance. Special thanks to Mike for an opportunity to undertake my study at FABI and to Teresa for her support beyond this thesis.

Dr. H.F. Glen for Latin descriptions which are included in Chapters 3 and 5, and Prof. Hennie Groeneveld and Dr. Mike van der Linde for providing statistical analyses for Chapter 4.

Part of my study was conducted at Murdock University, Perth, Australia under supervision of Dr. Treena Burgess. I would like to thank her, Prof. Giles Hardy, Dr. Paul Barber and their team for the opportunity to spend three wonderful months working with and learning a lot from them. I would also like to thank Dr. Vera Andjić and her family for hospitality during those three months.

During my study I have also been involved in various projects at FABI with Barbara Piškur, Bianca Hinze, Carlos Pérez, Happy M. Maleme, Rodrigo Ahumada and ShuaiFei Chen. I am glad that I was been given the opportunity and privilege to work with them and I thank them for their positive attitude, energy and for sharing their knowledge and experience with me.

My colleagues and friends in FABI, especially those in Denison lab, who have helped made my stay in South Africa enjoyable and fruitful.

The administrative staff in FABI, especially Rose Visser, Helen Doman, Eva Muller and Jenny Hale, for their assistance with numerous administrative issues, as well as the culture collection staff and sequencing personnel for all their professional support, which I highly appreciate.

Dr. Karen Surridge for constructive discussions, valuable advice and friendship during my study years in South Africa.

Guillermo Pérez for open and inspiring discussions about "life" and science. Special thanks for your understanding, encouragement and for being such a good friend and unforgettable dancing partner.



My dearest friends, Gordana Nadj and Enver Mujkić, who have always believed in me. I thank them and their extended family for their encouragement, moral and financial support and providing me with the second home in Botswana.

My extraordinary friend and colleague, Marija Kvas, thank you for your academic input and assistance with my work and always being around, in good and challenged times. I thank you and Derian Echeverri for a great time, especially during the last year of my study.

My friends from "our" former country who live in South Africa, Dr Ljiljana Popović, Katarina Cerović, Predrag Boživić, Tanja and Berislav Savičević, Saša Subotić, Mladen Božanić, Lana Pašić, Rebeka Gluhbegović and Mauricio Radovan who were always willing to help and make my stay in South Africa enjoyable. Special thank to Snežana Virijević for her understanding and hospitality during the last few months of my study.

My friends who live in Serbia, Gordana Radovanov, Tatjana Milosavljević, Branislav Djukić and their families. Although you were a long distance away, you were close to my heart and I thank you for your constant support and encouragement during my study and your hospitality during my visits in Serbia.

The anonymous for the inspiration, support and challenge that have made me keep going towards my goals and completion of this thesis.

There are many more friends from all around the World who supported me to accomplish this thesis. Although their names are not listed, they know who they are and I thank all of them for their kindness and various ways of encouragement.

My family, especially to my mum and my brother, Ivan, for their unconditional love, care and support through my life and particularly during the course of this study.

The Embassy of the Republic of Serbia in Pretoria for moral and financial support while presenting our country, at the many International days held at the University of Pretoria. I would also like to thank all of my Serbian friends studying at the University of Pretoria for their contributions, great ideas and enthusiasm with preparing those events.

The University of Pretoria (UP), National Research Foundation (NRF), members of Tree Protection Co-operative Programme (TPCP), Centre of Excellence in Tree Health Biotechnology (CTHB) and the THRIP initiative of the Department of Trade and Industry, South Africa for financial support that allowed me to conduct and complete this study.



PREFACE

The Botryosphaeriaceae (Ascomycetes) with 2000 species more than (http://www.indexfungorum.com) represents one of the most widely distributed groups of fungal plant pathogens, occurring on a wide variety of economically important woody hosts. Some Botryosphaeriaceae species occur on both native and non-native plants. Their occurrence and diversity on native hosts is, however, often much less well understood than it is on planted crops where they have been more closely studied. In order to answer questions regarding their identity, distribution, diversity, ecology and pathogenicity, the project on which this thesis is based was initiated specifically to focus on the Botryosphaeriaceae associated with native, environmentally important woody hosts.

The experimental work making up this thesis is mostly based on isolates collected from native *Syzygium cordatum* trees across their distribution in South Africa between 2001 and 2003. In Chapter 1, isolates resembling species of the Botryosphaeriaceae were identified and characterised using a single gene approach based on ITS rDNA sequence comparisons, combined with phenotypic characters and PCR-RFLP analyses. Furthermore, the pathogenicity of the identified species from native *S. cordatum* was tested on *S. cordatum* and *Eucalyptus* in greenhouse trials. (Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. Plant Pathology 56:624–636)

The most abundant species identified from *S. cordatum* in South Africa were *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*. In many studies, these species have been treated as the *N. parvum / N. ribis* species complex. Substantial variation was observed in ITS sequence data and in conidial morphology for isolates in this complex that were collected from *S. cordatum*. This raised the question whether one or more species exist in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex on *S. cordatum* in South Africa. This question is addressed in Chapter 2, based on the genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR), a form of phylogenetic species concept (PSC), using five gene genealogies. In Chapter 2, three undescribed, cryptic phylogenetic species, as well as *N. parvum*, were identified among thirty isolates of the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from *S. cordatum*. (Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae: A case study on the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 51:259–268)



In Chapter 3, sequence comparisons for the RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) together with a conidial morphology, is used to clarify identity of a total 114 isolates within the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex. Based on these data, the three phylogenetically recognized taxa in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from *S. cordatum* are described as novel species. The newly described species, as well as *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*, are also tested for pathogenicity on *S. cordatum* under greenhouse conditions. (Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Molecular and phenotypic characterisation of three phylogenetic species discovered within the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex. Mycologia, in press)

Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) or microsatellite markers can be useful for cryptic species distinction and determination of their population structures. SSR markers have previously been developed for Botryosphaeriaceae including some *Neofusicoccum* species. In Chapter 4, these markers are used to test the GCPSR hypothesis regarding co-existence of four species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex on *S. cordatum* in South Africa. These data are also used to investigate inter- and intra-species genetic diversity and structure amongst 114 isolates in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from across the distribution of *S. cordatum* in South Africa. A particular focus of this chapter is to compare the diversity and species composition of these *Neofusicoccum* spp. on *S. cordatum* in natural areas, and human disturbed (planted or urban) areas (**Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Slippers B. 2009. Cryptic diversity and distribution of species in the** *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* **complex as revealed by microsatellite markers. Molecular Ecology, submitted)**

In line with the previous chapters that considered the diversity of Botryosphaeriaceae on native woody host in South Africa, a matching study was conducted in Western Australia. In Chapter 5, species of this fungal family on baobab (*Adansonia gibbosa*), the only *Adansonia* species endemic to Australia, are characterized. To better understand their host specificity and distribution I also identify them from the twenty-six species of surrounding native trees. These characterizations are accomplished based on anamorph morphology combined with DNA sequences of two loci the ITS and elongation factor 1α (EF- 1α). (Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Barber P, Slippers B, Hardy GEStJ, Burgess TI. 2008. Seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native trees in Western Australia. Mycologia 100:851–866)

Accurate identification of species represents the first step as well as a foundation for future biological studies. Subsequent to the establishment of *Botryosphaeria*, by Cesati & de Notaris in 1863, identification and classification systems of these fungi have undergone vast



change. This has come about due to the appearance of new methods that can be used for improved delimitation of species boundaries. The most important of the changes in the taxonomy of the Botryosphaeriaceae have occurred during the last decade with the employment of DNA based tools for species delimitation and identification. This has led to the recognition of numerous cryptic species, to major changes in defining genera in the family Botryosphaeriaceae and to the establishment of order Botryosphaeriales to accommodate these groups. In the contemporary literature review, Chapter 6, presented at the end of this thesis, I summarise the influence of molecular tools on taxonomy of the Botryosphaeriaceae during the last ten years, with a particular focus on cryptic species recognition.



Chapter 1

Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa and their potential threat to Eucalyptus

Published as: Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. Plant Pathology 56:624–636.



ABSTRACT

Eight species of the Botryosphaeriaceae (canker and dieback pathogens) were identified on native *S. cordatum* in South Africa, based on anamorph morphology, ITS rDNA sequence data and PCR-RFLP analysis. The species identified were *Neofusicoccum parvum*, *N. ribis*, *N. luteum*, *N. australe*, *N. mangiferae*, *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis* and *L. theobromae*. Their pathogenicity on *S. cordatum* seedlings and a *Eucalyptus grandis* \times *camaldulensis* clone was determined in glasshouse inoculation trials. Isolates of all identified species, except one of *N. mangiferae* were more pathogenic on the *Eucalyptus* clone than on *S. cordatum*. Some of the species that cross-infected these hosts, such as *N. ribis*, *N. parvum* and *L. theobromae*, were amongst the most pathogenic on the *Eucalyptus* clone, while *B. dothidea* and *L. gonubiensis* were the least pathogenic. Results of this study illustrate that species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from native hosts could pose a threat to introduced *Eucalyptus* spp., and *vice versa*.



INTRODUCTION

The Botryosphaeriaceae (Dothideales) is comprised of fungal species that have a wide geographic distribution and extensive host range, including *Eucalyptus* spp. (Myrtaceae) (von Arx and Müller 1954, Crous et al 2006). These fungi are latent and opportunistic pathogens that occur as endophytes in symptomless plant tissues and they can cause rapid disease development when plants are exposed to unsuitable environmental conditions such as drought, freezing, hot or cold winds, hail wounds or damage caused by insects or other pathogens (Fisher et al 1993, Smith et al 1996). Species of the Botryosphaeriaceae cause a wide variety of symptoms on all parts of *Eucalyptus* trees and on trees of all ages, but are mostly associated with cankers and dieback followed by extensive production of kino, a dark-red tree sap, and in severe cases mortality of trees (Smith et al 1994, 1996, Old and Davison 2000).

The Myrtaceae is a predominantly southern hemisphere angiosperm family that accommodates more than 3000 species, largely distributed in the tropical and temperate regions of Australasia, as well as Central and South America (Johnson and Briggs 1981). Species of the Myrtaceae also form an integral part of the southern African indigenous flora (Palgrave 1977). In this context, the most widespread myrtaceous tree in South Africa is *Syzygium cordatum* Hochst. (Palgrave 1977). *Eucalyptus* species, native Australasian Myrtaceae, are the most widely grown trees in commercial forestry plantations, particularly in the tropics and southern hemisphere, including South Africa.

Movement of pathogens between native and introduced hosts has been recognised as a significant threat to plant communities (Slippers et al 2005b). Because of the potential threat of native pathogens to non-native *Eucalyptus* plantations, various recent studies have considered fungal pathogens on native hosts in areas where *Eucalyptus* spp. are intensively planted (Wingfield et al 2003, Burgess et al 2006). These studies showed that pathogens that can cause severe diseases on *Eucalyptus* spp. also occur on native plants and thus pose a threat to *Eucalyptus* spp. Where plantations of non-native *Eucalyptus* spp. are established amongst closely related native myrtaceous trees, pathogens could cross-infect either the native or introduced host group and cause serious diseases (Burgess and Wingfield 2001). For example, the rust fungus *Puccinia psidii* G. Winter, which occurs on a variety of native Myrtaceae in South America, has become one of the main pathogens on exotic *Eucalyptus* spp. in that area (Coutinho et al 1998).



In South Africa, species of the Botryosphaeriaceae are amongst the most important canker pathogens in plantations of non-native *Eucalyptus* spp., causing twig dieback, branch and stem cankers and mortality of diseased trees (Smith et al 1994). These fungi have also been reported recently as endophytes from native South African trees closely related to *Eucalyptus*, such as *S. cordatum* and *Heteropyxis natalensis* (Smith et al 2001). The *Eucalyptus* plantations mostly occur in the eastern part of the country where *S. cordatum* is widely distributed (Palgrave 1977, Anonymous 2002, FIG. 1). Thus, Botryosphaeriaceae that occur on this native tree could pose a threat to exotic *Eucalyptus* and *vice versa*. However, there have not been any detailed studies on Botryosphaeriaceae on native hosts closely related to *Eucalyptus* in South Africa. Because of the economic importance of *Eucalyptus* plantations, as well as the need to protect native flora, identification and characterization of Botryosphaeriaceae from *S. cordatum* is of great concern.

Recent studies combined morphological characteristics and DNA sequence data to distinguish and identify species within the Botryosphaeriaceae (Denman et al 2000, Zhou and Stanosz 2001, Crous et al 2006). Molecular approaches most commonly used to study Botryosphaeriaceae are comparisons of sequence data from internal transcribed spacer (ITS) gene region of the rDNA operon (Denman et al 2000, Zhou and Stanosz 2001). However, some closely related or cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae have been difficult to distinguish based on single gene genealogies. Comparisons of sequence data for multiple genes or gene regions were thus used to discriminate between these species (Slippers et al 2004a, c). Furthermore, identification of large numbers of species has been facilitated by PCR restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) techniques (Slippers et al 2004b).

The aims of this study were to identify Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native *S. cordatum* in South Africa, based on ITS rDNA sequence data, PCR-RFLP analysis and anamorph morphology. Isolates belonging to the Botryosphaeriaceae on *S. cordatum* and *Eucalyptus* were also compared, with special attention given to overlaps and the potential for cross infection. The pathogenicity of the Botryosphaeriaceae isolates from *S. cordatum* was furthermore, tested on both a *Eucalyptus* clone and *S. cordatum* in glasshouse trials.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates

Isolates used in this study were collected in surveys of Botryosphaeriaceae on native *S. cordatum* in different geographical regions of South Africa, in 2001 and 2002 (TABLE I, FIG.



1). The 148 isolates that were collected from 11 *S. cordatum* sites during these surveys form the basis of this study. Between 5 and 45 trees were sampled from each site. From each tree, isolations were made from dying twigs and symptomless, visually healthy twigs and leaf tissues. Leaves and twig portions (5 cm in length) were washed in running tap water and surface sterilized by placing them sequentially for 1 min in 96 % ethanol, undiluted bleach (3.5–5 % available chlorine) and 70 % ethanol, then rinsed in sterile water. Treated twig portions were halved and pieces from the pith tissue (2 mm²) and segments of the leaves (3 mm²) were placed on 2 % malt extract agar (MEA; 2 % malt extract, 1.5 % agar; Biolab, S.A.) in Petri dishes. Following incubation for 2 weeks at 20 °C under continuous near-fluorescent light and colonies resembling Botryosphaeriaceae with grey-coloured, fluffy aerial mycelium, were selected. These colonies were transferred to 2 % MEA at 25 °C and stored at 5 °C. All isolates have been maintained in the Culture Collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa, and representative isolates were deposited in the collection of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, The Netherlands.

DNA extraction and ITS rDNA amplification

Single-conidial cultures from 21 isolates were grown on MEA for 7 days at 25 °C in the dark. Template DNA was obtained from the mycelium using the modified phenolchloroform DNA extraction method described in Smith et al (2001). DNA was separated by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under ultraviolet light. DNA concentrations were estimated against λ standard size markers.

The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions ITS1 and ITS2, and the intermediate 5.8S gene of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA), were amplified using the primer pair ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al 1990). The PCR reactions were performed using the PCR protocol of Slippers et al (2004b). PCR products were separated in a 1.5 % agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. Sizes of PCR products were estimated against a 100 bp molecular weight marker XIV (Roche Diagnostics). The PCR products were purified using High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche Diagnostics).

DNA sequencing and analysis

Based on conidial morphology, the isolates of Botryosphaeriaceae from *S. cordatum* in South Africa were tentatively separated into eight groups. ITS rDNA sequences were



determined for representative samples from all morphological groups (TABLE I). To determine the identity and phylogenetic relationship of these isolates, ITS sequences of known species of the Botryosphaeriaceae were obtained from GenBank and included in the analyses (TABLE I). The purified PCR products were sequenced using the same primers that were used for the PCR reactions. The ABI PRISM[™] Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Perkin-Elmer) was used for sequencing reactions, as specified by the manufacturers. Sequence reactions were run on an ABI PRISM 3100[™] automated DNA sequencer (Perkin-Elmer).

Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using SEQUENCE NAVIGATOR version 1.0.1. (Perkin-Elmer Applied BioSystems, Inc.) software and alignments were made online using MAFFT version 5.667 (http://timpani.genome.ad.jp/~mafft/server/) (Katoh et al 2002). Gaps were treated as fifth character and all characters were unordered and of equal weight. Phylogenetic analyses of aligned sequences were done using PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony) version 4.0b8 (Swofford 1999). Most parsimonious trees were found using the heuristic search function with 1000 random addition replicates and the tree bisection and reconstruction (TBR) selected as branch-swapping algorithm. Branches of zero length were collapsed and all multiple, equally parsimonious trees were saved. Branch support was determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985). The trees were rooted using the GenBank sequences of *Guignardia philoprina* and *Mycosphaerella africana*. The sequence alignments and phylogenetic tree have been deposited in TreeBASE as S1412, M2541.

PCR-RFLP analyses

PCR-RFLP fingerprinting techniques were applied to confirm the identity of isolates that were not sequenced and to identify the isolates that could not be separated based on ITS rDNA sequences. Amplicons obtained using primer pairs ITS1 and ITS4, or *BotF15* (5' CTGACTTGTGACGCCGGCTC) and *BotF16* (5' CAACCTGCTCAGCAAGCGAC) (Slippers et al 2004a) were digested with the restriction endonuclease *CfoI*. The RFLP reaction mixture consisted of 10 μ L PCR products, 0.3 μ L *CfoI* and 2.5 μ l matching enzyme buffer (Roche Diagnostics). The reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C overnight. Restriction fragments were separated on 1.5 % agarose gel as described for PCR products. The results were compared with those of Slippers (2003).



Morphology and cultural characteristics

Fungal isolates were grown on 2 % water agar (WA; Biolab) with sterilized pine needles placed onto the medium, at 25 °C under near-UV light, to induce sporulation. Conidia that were released from pycnidia on the pine needles were mounted in lactophenol on glass slides and examined microscopically. Ten conidia of each isolate were measured. Measurements and digital photographs were taken using a light microscope, an HRc Axiocam digital camera and accompanying software (Carl Zeiss Ltd.). Colony morphology and colour were determined from cultures grown on 2 % MEA at 25 °C under near-UV light. Colony colors (upper surface and reverse) were compared to those in the color charts of Rayner (1970).

Pathogenicity

Fifteen isolates, representing eight species of Botryosphaeriaceae isolated from native *S. cordatum* in South Africa, were used in this study (TABLE I). One isolate of *Botryosphaeria dothidea* and two isolates for each of the other seven species were randomly selected for inoculations. The isolates were grown on 2 % MEA at 25 °C under continuous near-fluorescent light for 7 days prior to inoculation.

Two-year-old trees of an *E. grandis* × *camaldulensis* clone (GC-540) and 1-year-old saplings of *S. cordatum* were selected for the pathogenicity trials under glasshouse conditions. Saplings of *S. cordatum* were raised from seeds taken from a single tree grown in Kwambonambi (KwaZulu Natal province) area. Trees and saplings selected for inoculations were grown in pots outside, and maintained in the glasshouse for acclimatization for 3 weeks prior to inoculation. Trees were inoculated during the spring-summer season (September 2003–February 2004). The glasshouse was subjected to natural day/night conditions and a constant temperature of approximately 25 °C. Each of the isolates representing the different species was inoculated into the stems of 10 trees of each host species. Ten trees were also inoculated with sterile MEA plugs to serve as controls. The 160 inoculated trees, 10 for each fungal species and 10 as a control, were arranged in a randomised block design. The entire trial was repeated once under the same conditions, giving a total of 320 trees inoculated for each host species.

For inoculations, wounds were made on the stems of trees using a 6-mm-diameter (*Eucalyptus* clone) or a 4-mm-diameter (*S. cordatum*) cork borer to remove the bark and expose the cambium. Wounds were made between two nodes on the stems of trees approximately 250 mm (*Eucalyptus*) or 150 mm (*S. cordatum*) above soil level. Plugs of



mycelium were taken from 7-day-old cultures grown on MEA using the same size cork borer, and were placed into the wounds with mycelium surface facing the cambium. Inoculated wounds were sealed with a laboratory film (Parafilm M, Pechiney Plastic Packaging) to prevent desiccation and contamination. Lesion lengths (mm) were measured 6 weeks after inoculation. The fungi were re-isolated by cutting small pieces of wood from the edges of lesions and plating them on 2 % MEA at 25 °C. Re-isolations were made from two randomly selected trees per isolate and tree species and from all trees inoculated as controls.

Pathogenicity for all isolates inoculated on *Eucalyptus* clone and *S. cordatum* was determined based on the length of lesions (mm) that developed after 6 weeks. There was no significant difference between the two repeats of the pathogenicity trials and the data were therefore combined to represent one data set for the analyses. Statistical analyses of the data were performed using SAS statistical software (version 8, SAS Institute). The 95 % confidence limits were determined for all means based on full model analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between means were, therefore, considered significant at the P \leq 0.05 level.

RESULTS

DNA sequence analyses

DNA fragments of approximately 600 bp were amplified. The ITS dataset consisted of 53 ingroup sequences, with *G. philoprina* and *M. africana* as outgroup taxa (TABLE I). After alignment, the ITS dataset consisted of 593 characters; 432 uninformative characters were excluded, and 161 parsimony informative characters were used in the analysis. The parsimony analysis (using heuristic searches) produced 276 most-parsimonious trees of 414 steps (consistency index (CI) = 0.702, retention index (RI) = 0.915), one of which was chosen for presentation (FIG. 2).

The isolates considered in the phylogenetic analyses formed 12 clades, designated as groups I to XII (FIG. 2). These groups were resolved in two major clades that corresponded to species of Botryosphaeriaceae with *Fusicoccum*-like or *Diplodia*-like anamorphs. The *Fusicoccum* clade comprised six groups that represented: *Neofusicoccum parvum* and *N. ribis* (group I), *Neofusicoccum mangiferae* (group II), *N. eucalyptorum* (group III), *N. australe* (group IV), *N. luteum* (group V) and *B. dothidea* (group VI). Groups VII and VIII represented species with *Lasiodiplodia* anamorphs: *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* (group VII) and *L. gonubiensis* (group VIII). These two groups (VII and VIII) formed a distinct subclade



(supported by 100 % bootstrap value) within the *Diplodia* clade. The other major subclade within the *Diplodia* clade contained four groups corresponding to: *D. mutila* (group IX), *D. corticola* (group X), *Diplodia seriata* (group XI) and *Diplodia pinea* (= *Sphaeropsis sapinea*) (group XII) (FIG. 2).

All the isolates obtained from *S. cordatum* in this study resided in seven groups (FIG. 2) as follows: *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* (group I), *N. mangiferae* (group II), *N. australe* (group IV), *N. luteum* (group V), *B. dothidea* (group VI), *L. theobromae* (group VII) and *L. gonubiensis* (group VIII).

PCR-RFLP analyses

Isolates that were not identified from DNA sequence comparisons were subjected to ITS PCR-RFLP analyses. Digests of the PCR products, obtained using primers ITS1 and ITS4, with the RE *CfoI* produced two distinctive banding patterns. These profiles matched those of *N. parvum / N. ribis* (99 isolates) and *N. luteum / N. australe* (5 isolates) as shown by Slippers et al (2004b). To further distinguish isolates of *N. parvum* from those of *N. ribis*, amplicons obtained using primers *BotF15* and *BotF16* were digested using the same restriction endonuclease (RE). The two banding patterns obtained matched those of *N. parvum* (42 isolates) and *N. ribis* (57 isolates) as described by Slippers (2003). However, *N. luteum* and *N. australe* could not be separated using this technique.

Morphology and cultural characteristics

All 148 isolates of the Botryosphaeriaceae from *S. cordatum* produced anamorph structures on pine needles on WA within 2–3 weeks. No teleomorph (sexual) structures were observed. Based on conidial morphology, isolates were separated into eight groups. Five of these groups corresponded to Botryosphaeriaceae with *Neofusicoccum* anamorphs (FIG. 3a–f), one with a *Fusicoccum* anamorph (FIG. 3g) and two with *Lasiodiplodia* (*Diplodia*-like) anamorphs (FIG. 4a, b).

Representative samples from the groups emerging from morphological comparisons were identified based on ITS rDNA sequence comparison. As described earlier, isolates of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* were separated based on PCR-RFLP analyses. Further morphological examination of isolates, identified based on DNA data, provided support for their identity.

Cultures of *N. parvum* were initially white with fluffy, aerial mycelium, becoming pale olivaceous grey from the middle of colony after 3–4 days; columns of the mycelium



formed in the middle of colony reaching the lid; margins were regular; reverse sides of the colonies were olivaceous grey. Conidia were hyaline, smooth, aseptate and fusiform to ellipsoid (average of 420 conidia: $18.2 \times 5.5 \mu m$, 1/w 3.3) (FIG. 3a). The 42 isolates were identified as *N. parvum*.

Colonies of *N. ribis* were initially white, becoming pale olivaceous grey from the middle of colony, with thick aerial mycelium reaching the lids of Petri dishes; margins were regular; reverse sides of the colonies were olivaceous grey. Conidia were hyaline, unicellular, aseptate, fusiform, apices tapered (average of 570 conidia: $21 \times 5.5 \mu$ m, l/w 3.8) (FIG. 3b). The 57 isolates were identified as *N. ribis*.

The culture of the single *B. dothidea* isolate identified in this study produced greenish olivaceous appressed mycelium, its margins regular and the reverse sides of the colonies olivaceous grey to iron grey. Conidiomata were readily formed in the middle of colony after 3–4 for days of incubation. Conidia were hyaline, smooth with granular contents, aseptate, narrowly fusiform (average of 10 conidia: $27.8 \times 5.4 \mu m$, l/w 5.1) (FIG. 3g).

Isolates of *N. mangiferae* produced pale olivaceous grey appressed mycelium, slightly fluffy on the edges of colonies, with sinuate margins and the reverse sides of colonies were olivaceous. Conidiomata were readily formed in the middle of coloniesa after 3–4 days and covered the entire surface of the colonies within 7–10 days. Conidia were hyaline, fusiform (average of 300 conidia: $14.2 \times 6.3 \mu m$, l/w 2.25) (FIG. 3f). The 30 isolates were identified as *N. mangiferae*.

Cultures of *N. luteum* were initially white, becoming pale olivaceous grey from the middle of colonies within 3–4 days, with suppressed mycelium, moderately fluffy in the middle and with regular margins. A yellow pigment was noticeable after 3–5 days of incubation and was seen as amber yellow on the reverse side of Petri dishes; after 5–7 days colonies become olivaceous buff to olivaceous gray. Conidiomata were readily formed from the middle of colonies within 3–4 days and covered the whole surface of colonies within 7–10 days. Conidia were hyaline, fusiform to ellipsoid, sometimes irregularly fusiform, smooth with granular contents, unicellular, forming one or two septa before germination (average of 40 conidia: 18.9 × 6.3 μ m, 1/w 3.0) (FIG. 3d, e). The four isolates were identified as *N. luteum*.

Cultures of *N. australe* were very similar in morphology to those of *N. luteum*, but the yellow pigment produced in young cultures was brighter and a honey yellow colour when viewed from the bottom of the Petri dishes. Conidiomata readily formed at the middle



of colonies within 3–4 days and covered the colony surfaces within 7–10 days. Conidia were hyaline, fusiform, apices rounded, aseptate, rarely uniseptate (average of 70 conidia: $20.5 \times 5.7 \mu m$, l/w 3.6) (FIG. 3c). These conidia are slightly longer and narrower on average than *N*. *luteum*, which also reflected in higher l/w ratio. The seven isolates were identified as *N*. *australe*.

Isolates of *L. theobromae* produced initially white to smoke grey fluffy aerial mycelium, becoming pale olivaceous grey within 5–6 days with regular margins; the reverse sides of the cultures were olivaceous grey to iron, becoming dark slate blue after 7–10 days. Conidia were hyaline, aseptate, ellipsoid to ovoid, thick-walled with granular contents (average of 50 conidia: $27 \times 14.7 \mu m$, l/w 1.85) (FIG. 4b). Dark, septate conidia typical for this species were not observed in this study. The five isolates were identified as *L. theobromae*.

Isolates of *L. gonubiensis* were similar in culture morphology to those of *L. theobromae*. Conidia of *L. gonubiensis* were initially hyaline, unicellular, ellipsoid to obovoid, thick-walled with granular contents, rounded at apex and occasionally truncate at base. Aging conidia became cinnamon to sepia with longitudinal striations, forming one to three septa (average of 20 conidia: $33.9 \times 18.9 \mu m$, l/w 1.8) (FIG. 4a). The two isolates were identified as *L. gonubiensis*.

KEY TO SPECIES OF BOTRYOSPHAERIACEAE AND THEIR ANAMORPHS FROM SYZYGIUM CORDATUM IN SOUTH AFRICA

1. Fusoid to ellipsoid, thin-walled, Fusicoccum-like conidia	2
1. Ovoid, thick-walled, Diplodia- or Lasiodiplodia-like conidia	3
2. Colonies on MEA producing yellow pigment in young cultures	4
2. Colonies on MEA not producing yellow pigment in cultures	5
3. Conidia on average $<30 \ \mu m$ long; aging conidia become dark brown with lo	ngitudinal
striations and uniseptated as reported by Punithalingam (1976) Lasiodiplodia the	eobromae
3. Conidia on average >30 μ m long; aging conidia become cinnamon to s	epia with
longitudinal striations and 1–3 septata L. got	nubiensis
4. Colonies producing amber yellow pigment noticeable between 3-5 days after in	ncubation;
conidia on average <20 µm long Neofusicoccu	m luteum
4. Colonies producing honey yellow pigment noticeable between 3-5 days after in	ncubation;
conidia on average >20 μ m long N	. australe



5. Conidia on average >25 μ m long, narrowly fusiform	Botryosphaeria dothidea
5. Conidia on average <25 μm long	6
6. Conidia on average <15 μm long, l/w 2–2.5	N. mangiferae
6. Conidia on average \geq 15um long, l/w 3–5	7
7. Conidia 15–27 × 4–7 μ m, aseptate, fusiform, apices tapered	N. ribis
7. Conidia 13–25 × 3.5–6 μ m, aseptate, fusiform to ellipsoid	N. parvum

Pathogenicity

All Botryosphaeriaceae isolates tested for pathogenicity on the *E. grandis* \times *camaldulensis* clone (GC-540) produced lesions within six weeks. Small lesions were found on trees inoculated with sterile MEA plugs as controls. The fungi re-isolated from the lesions that developed on trees were the same as those used for inoculations. The original Botryosphaeriaceae species were re-isolated from all trees chosen for re-isolations. No Botryosphaeriaceae were re-isolated from the controls.

Statistical analyses showed that the mean lesion length for the majority of isolates used in the trial differed significantly from that of the controls (FIG. 5a). The longest lesions were produced by isolates of *L. theobromae*, while the size of lesions produced by *B. dothidea* and *L. gonubiensis* were not significantly different to those of the controls (FIG. 5a). The mean lesion lengths for different strains of the same Botryosphaeriaceae species were not significantly different from one another, except for the isolates of *L. theobromae*. Thus *L. theobromae* isolate CMW14116 was significantly more pathogenic than isolate CMW14114 (FIG. 5a).

All Botryosphaeriaceae isolates inoculated on *S. cordatum* saplings produced lesions within six weeks. However, the mean lesion lengths produced by majority of the isolates were not significantly different from those of the controls (FIG. 5b). Some trees inoculated as controls also developed small lesions, but no Botryosphaeriaceae could be re-isolated from these lesions, which appeared to represent wound reactions. The fungi re-isolated from the lesions on trees inoculated with fungal mycelium were the same as those used for inoculations. The longest lesions were produced by one isolate of *N. mangiferae* (CMW14034) and the mean lesion length obtained for this isolate was significantly greater than that of the other isolate (CMW14102) of the same species (FIG. 5b). However, there were no statistically significant differences between the lesion lengths for the different isolates of the other species of the Botryosphaeriaceae (FIG. 5b). The mean lengths of lesions



produced by one isolate of *N. ribis* (CMW13992) and one isolate of *L. theobromae* (CMW14116) were also significantly different from that of the control (FIG. 5b). All the other isolates inoculated onto *S. cordatum* saplings produced lesions that were not significantly different from those of the controls (FIG. 5b).

Isolates of all the Botryosphaeriaceae used in this study, except those of *Neofusicoccum mangiferuae*, were more pathogenic on *Eucalyptus* clone than on *S. cordatum*. Analyses of variance showed that the interactions between mean lesion length produced by the species of Botryosphaeriaceae on *Eucalyptus* clone and those on *S. cordatum* were statistically significant ($P \le 0.001$).

DISCUSSION

Eight species of the Botryosphaeriaceae were identified on native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa in this study. They were N. ribis, N. parvum, N. luteum, N. australe, N. mangiferae, B. dothidea, L. theobromae and L. gonubiensis. The isolates were identified based on ITS rDNA sequence data, PCR-RFLP analysis and anamorph morphology. With exception of B. dothidea and L. gonubiensis, this is the first report of all of these species of Botryosphaeriaceae on native S. cordatum. All eight species had the ability to infect and cause lesions on the stems of a Eucalyptus grandis \times camaldulensis clone and S. cordatum in glasshouse trials. Although lesions produced by most of isolates on S. cordatum saplings were not significantly different from those on the controls, the pathogens could be reisolated from these lesions. In the case of some species, such as N. ribis, L. theobromae and F. mangiferae, one isolate did not produce lesions that differed from those of the control, while the other isolate did. From these data, and knowledge of the fungi on other hosts, we conclude that this group of fungi could be regarded as potential pathogens of Syzygium. However, apart from the isolates of B. dothidea and L. gonubiensis, all the other Botryosphaeriaceae produced lesions on the *Eucalyptus* clone that were significantly different from those of the controls. They should be considered as potential threats to plantation-grown Eucalyptus spp. in South Africa.

Neofusicoccum ribis was the dominant species collected from native *S. cordatum* in South Africa. This fungus represented 38 % of all isolates obtained in this study and it was found in most of the areas surveyed. This abundant and wide distribution on a native host might indicate that this species is native to this region. *Neofusicoccum ribis* has been reported from *Eucalyptus* (Myrtaceae) in its native range in Australia and on non-native



Eucalyptus spp. in plantations (Old and Davison 2000), but has not been identified on *Eucalyptus* spp. in South Africa (Slippers et al 2004a). These identifications should, however, be interpreted with caution, as the distinction between *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* had not been recognised at the time of these studies (Slippers et al 2004a). Furthermore, *N. ribis* as identified in this study (using RFLPs) was also interpreted as representing the *N. ribis sensu lato* group rather than strictly conspecific populations with the type isolates of this species, as identified by Slippers (2003). Further analyses using sequence data for additional gene regions and other variable markers will be required to more clearly characterise populations and potential cryptic species in this group. *Neofusicoccum ribis* was one of the most pathogenic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae on the *Eucalyptus* clone in this study. This fungus should thus be considered as a potentially important pathogen of *Eucalyptus* spp. in South Africa.

Isolates of *N. parvum* represented 28 % of the total number of isolates obtained in this study. Recent studies showed that *N. parvum* is an important and widely distributed pathogen of non-native *Eucalyptus* plantations in South Africa (Slippers et al 2004b). The wide distribution of *N. parvum* on non-native and native Myrtaceae in South Africa raises intriguing questions, such as whether these populations are native or introduced and how they might be interacting with each other. The movement of this pathogen between these important host groups represents a potential threat for both groups and should be further investigated. Isolates of *N. parvum* used in this study also developed only slightly smaller lesions than those of closely related *N. ribis*, illustrating its potential threat to *Eucalyptus* plantations in South Africa.

Only one isolate obtained from *S. cordatum* was identified as *B. dothidea* (anamorph *Fusicoccum aesculi*). This species has been one of the most commonly reported members of the Botryosphaeriaceae from a wide variety of hosts, including *Eucalyptus* spp. (von Arx and Muller 1954, Smith et al 2001). While *B. dothidea* was considered to be an important canker pathogen of *Eucalyptus* spp. in South Africa (Smith et al 1994), some of these isolates that were the most pathogenic (Smith et al 2001) were re-identified as *N. parvum* (Slippers et al 2004b). *Botryosphaeria dothidea* was seldom encountered on *Eucalyptus* spp. in other studies on this host (Slippers et al 2004b) and results of the present study suggest that it is probably not an important pathogen of this tree.

High numbers of isolates from *S. cordatum* were identified as *N. mangiferae*. This species is best known as a pathogen of mango (*Mangifera indica*) worldwide, particularly in Australia (Johnson et al 1992). *Neofusicoccum mangiferae* was earlier reported under



different names from mango in South Africa (Darvas 1991). Interestingly, however, a recent comprehensive study of Botryosphaeriaceae from mango plantations in South Africa, using a combination of DNA-based techniques and morphological data, did not report this species (Jacobs 2002). The fact that this fungus is highly pathogenic on *S. cordatum* might imply that it has been introduced into South Africa on other woody plants. Studies focused on the origin of *N. mangiferae* are likely to yield intriguing results, relevant to commercial forestry and to the protection of natural biodiversity in South Africa.

Neofusicoccum luteum and phylogenetically closely related *N. australe* were identified on *S. cordatum* in this study, but have not been recorded on *Eucalyptus* spp. in South Africa. *Neofusicoccum australe* is a recently described species (Slippers et al 2004c) and the present study is the first to consider the pathogenicity of this fungus on *Eucalyptus*. *Neofusicoccum luteum* was highly pathogenic to *Eucalyptus* clone and its occurrence on the related *S. cordatum* in South Africa is of concern. *Neofusicoccum luteum* and *N. australe* were not the most commonly encountered species of the Botryosphaeriaceae on *S. cordatum*, but their presence alone provides sufficient evidence that they are well established in the country.

Two Lasiodiplodia species were identified in this study. Lasiodiplodia theobromae was isolated from *S. cordatum* in subtropical areas of South Africa. This fungus is an opportunistic pathogen with an extremely wide host range, including more than 500 host plants, mostly in tropical and sub-tropical regions (Punithalingam 1976), and has previously been isolated from exotic *Acacia, Eucalyptus* and *Pinus* spp. in South Africa (Crous et al 2000, Burgess et al 2003). Lasiodiplodia theobromae was the most pathogenic species to the *Eucalyptus* clone in this study. Although the two isolates of *L. theobromae* displayed different levels of pathogenicity, both were highly pathogenic. Lasiodiplodia theobromae might be considered a potentially important pathogen of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa and studies to consider its pathogenicity to different species and hybrid clones would be warranted. Another Lasiodiplodia species isolated from *S. cordatum* has recently been described as *L. gonubiensis* (Pavlic et al 2004) and was isolated from a geographical region with a moderate climate where *L. theobromae* was absent. Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis appears to be very mildly pathogenic to the *Eucalyptus* clone, even though it is most closely related to the highly pathogenic *L. theobromae*.

The results of this study have provided an interesting insight into the diversity of Botryosphaeriaceae occuring on native *S. cordatum* in South Africa. Some of these fungi appear to be potentially important pathogens of *Eucalyptus* spp. and future surveys should



recognize this fact. Clearly, additional studies such as the one presented here, considering the pathogenicity of these fungi, will be needed to better understand their importance. This study emphasises the threat of cross-infecting species of the Botryosphaeriaceae, to both native and introduced Myrtaceae. In a recent study, Burgess et al (2006) showed that there is no restriction to the movement of *N. australe* between native and planted eucalypts in Western Australia. Population studies on other species of the *Botryosphaeriaceae* are, therefore, planned to provide further insight into their movement between native and cultivated hosts in South Africa.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anonymous. 2002. Commercial Timber Resources and Roundwood Processing in South Africa 2000 / 2001. Forestry Economics Services, South Africa.
- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GESJ. 2005. Botryosphaeria spp. associated with eucalypts in Western Australia including description of Fusicoccum macroclavatum sp. nov. Aust Plant Path 34:557–567.
- Burgess TI, Sakalidis M, Hardy GEStJ. 2006. Gene flow of the canker pathogen *Botryosphaeria australis* between *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations and native eucalypt forests in Western Australia. Austral Ecol 31:559–566.
- Burgess T, Wingfield MJ. 2001. Impact of fungal pathogens in natural forests ecosystems: A focus on *Eucalyptus*. In: Sivasithamparam K, Dixon KW, eds. Microorganisms in plant conservation and biodiversity. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Press. p 285–306.
- Burgess T, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD. 2003. Development and characterization of microsatellite loci for tropical tree pathogen *Botryosphaeria rhodina*. Mol Ecol Notes 3:91–94.
- Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Alfenas AC, Crous PW. 1998. *Eucalyptus* rust: A disease with the potential for serious international implications. Plant Dis 82:819–825.
- Crous PW, Phillips AJL, Baxter AP. 2000. Phytopathogenic fungi from South Africa. Stellenbosch, South Africa: Department of Plant Pathology Press, University of Stellenbosch Printers. 358 p.
- Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WFO, Phillips AJL, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ. 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the *Botryosphaeriaceae*. Stud Mycol 55:239–257.



- Darvas JM. 1991. *Dothiorella dominicana*, a new mango pathogen in South Africa. Phytophylactica 23:295–298.
- Denman S, Crous PW, Taylor JE, Kang JC, Pascoe I, Wingfield MJ. 2000. An overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* and a re-evaluation of its anamorphs based on morphology and ITS rDNA phylogeny. Stud Mycol 45:129–140.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence intervals on phylogenetics: an approach using bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.
- Fisher PJ, Petrini O, Sutton BC. 1993. A comparative study of fungal endophytes in leaves, xylem and bark of *Eucalyptus nitens* in Australia and England. Sydowia 45:1–14.
- Jacobs R. 2002. Characterisation of *Botryosphaeria* species from mango in South Africa. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Johnson LAS, Briggs BG. 1981. Three old southern families *Myrtaceae*, *Proteaceae* and *Restionaceae*. In: Keast A, eds. Ecological Biogeography of Australia. W Junk, The Hague. p 427–464.
- Johnson GI, Mead AJ, Cooke AW, Dean JR. 1992. Mango stem end rot pathogens-Fruit infections by endophytic colonisation of the inflorescence and pedicel. Ann Appl Biol 120:225–234.
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30:3059–3066.
- Old KM, Davison EM. 2000. Canker diseases of Eucalypts. In: Keane PJ, Kile GA, Podger FD, Brown BN, eds. Diseases and Pathogens of Eucalypts. Collongwood, Australia: CSIRO Publishing. p 241–257.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ, 2004. Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis sp. nov., a new Botryosphaeria anamorph from native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:313–322.
- Palgrave KC. 1977. Trees of Southern Africa. Johannesburg, South Africa: C. Struik.
- Punithalingam E. 1976. Botryodiplodia theobromae. CMI descriptions of pathogenic fungi and bacteria, No. 519. Kew, Surrey, England: Commonwealth Mycological Institute. 2 p.
- Rayner RW. 1970. A mycological colour chart. Kew, Surrey, UK: CMI and British Mycological Society.



- Slippers B. 2003. Taxonomy, phylogeny- and ecology of botryosphaeriaceous fungi occurring on various woody hosts. Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Slippers B, Crous PW, Denman S, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004a. Combined multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several species previously identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Mycologia 96:83–101.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ. 2004b. Speciation and distribution of *Botryosphaeria* spp. on native and introduced *Eucalyptus* trees in Australia and South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:343–358.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004c. Multiple gene sequences delimit *Botryosphaeria australis* sp. nov. from *B. lutea*. Mycologia 96:1028–1039.
- Slippers B, Johnson GI, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2005a. Phylogenetic and morphological re-evalution of the *Botryosphaeria* anamorphs causing diseases of *Mangifera indica* in Australia. Mycologia 97:99–110.
- Slippers B, Stenlid J, Wingfield MJ. 2005b. Emerging pathogens: fungal host jumps following anthropogenic introductions. Trend Ecol Evol 20:420–421.
- Smith H, Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD. 2001. Botryosphaeria eucalyptorum sp. nov., a new species in the B. dothidea-complex on Eucalyptus in South Africa. Mycologia 93:277–285.
- Smith H, Kemp GHJ, Wingfield MJ. 1994. Canker and die-back of *Eucalyptus* in South Africa caused by *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Plant Path 43:1031–1034.
- Smith H, Wingfield MJ, Crous PW, Coutinho TA. 1996. Sphaeropsis sapinea and Botryosphaeria dothidea endophytic in Pinus spp. and Eucalyptus spp. in South Africa. S Afr J Bot 62:86–88.
- Swofford DL. 1999. PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- von Arx JA, Müller E. 1954. Die Gattungen der amerosporen Pyrenomyceten. Beiträge zur kryptogamenflora der Schweiz 11:1–434.
- Wingfield MJ. 2003. Daniel McAlpine Memorial Lecture. Increasing threat of disease to exotic plantation forests in the Southern Hemisphere: lessons from Cryphonectria canker. Aust Plant Path 32:1–7.



- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Snisky JJ, White TJ, eds. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. San Diego: Academic Press. p 315–322.
- Zhou S, Stanosz GR. 2001. Relationships among *Botryosphaeria* species and associated anamorphic fungi inferred from the analyses of ITS and 5.8S rDNA sequences. Mycologia 93:516–527.



	TABLE I. Isolates	considered in	n the pł	ylogenetic s	study and	pathogenicity trials
--	-------------------	---------------	----------	--------------	-----------	----------------------

Culture no ^{a,b,c}	Other no ^a	Idontity	Host	Location ^d	Icolator	<u>GenBank</u> ITS
CMW7772	Other no.	Neofusicoccum ribis	Ribis sp.	New York	B Slippers,	AY236935
CMW7054	CBS121.26	N. ribis (chromagena)	R. rubrum	New York	G Hudler NE Stevens	AF241177
CMW14011		N. ribis	Syzygium cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316072
CMW14012		N. ribis	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316073
CMW13990		N. ribis	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316074
CMW13991	CBS118822	N. ribis	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316075
CMW14016		N. ribis	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	DQ316079
CMW14031 ^c		N. ribis	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	DQ316076
CMW14025		N. ribis	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	DQ316080
CMW13992 ^e		N. ribis	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	
CMW9081	ICMP8003	Neofusicoccum parvum	Populus nigra	New Zealand	GJ Samuels	AY236943
CMW9078	ICMP7925	N. parvum	Actinidia deliciosa	New Zealand	SR Pennycook	AY236940
CMW994	ATCC58189	N. parvum	Malus sylvestris	New Zealand	GJ Samuels	AF243395
CMW9071		N. parvum	Ribes sp.	Australia	MJ Wingfield	AY236938
CMW10122		N. parvum	Eucalyptus grandis	SA, Mpumalanga	H Smith	AF283681
CMW14030 ^c		N. parvum	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	DQ316077
CMW14029	CBS118832	N. parvum	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	DQ316078
CMW14097 ^c		N. parvum	S. cordatum	SA, Port St Johns	D Pavlic	
CMW7801	BRIP23396	Neofusicoccum mangiferae	Mangifera indica	Australia	GI Johnson	AY615187
CMW7024	BRIP24101	N. mangiferae	M. indica	Australia	GI Johnson	AY615185
CMW13998	CBS118821	N. mangiferae	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316081
CMW14005		N. mangiferae	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316082
CMW14102 ^c		N. mangiferae	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316083
CMW14034 ^c		N. mangiferae	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	
CMW9072		Neofusicoccum australe	Acacia sp.	Australia, Melbourne	J Roux, D Guest	AY339260
CMW6837		N. australe	Acacia sp.	Australia, Batemans Bay	MJ Wingfield	AY339262
CMW1110		N. australe	Widdringtonia nodiflora	SA, Cape province	WJ Swart	AY615166
CMW1112		N. australe	W. nodiflora	SA, Cape province	WJ Swart	AY615167
CMW3386		N. australe	Wollemia nobilis	Australia, Queensland	M Ivory	AY615165
CMW14074		N. australe	S. cordatum	SA, East London	D Pavlic	DQ316089
CMW13986	CBS 118839	N. australe	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316085
CMW13987°		N. australe	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316086
CMW14013 ^c		N. australe	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316087
CMW9076	ICMP7818	Neofusicoccum luteum	Malus domestica	New Zealand	SR Pennycook	AY236946
CMW992	KJ93.52	N. luteum	Actinidia deliciosa	New Zealand	GJ Samuels	AF027745
CMW10309	CAP002	N. luteum	Vitis vinifera	Portugal	AJL Phillips	AY339258
CMW14071 ^c	CBS118842	N. luteum	S. cordatum	SA, East London	D Pavlic	DQ316088
CMW14073 ^c		N. luteum	S. cordatum	SA, East London	D Pavlic	DQ316090
CMW10125		Neofusicoccum eucalyptorum	E. grandis	SA, Mpumalanga	H Smith	AF283686

TABLE I. Continued

						<u>GenBank</u>
Culture no. ^{a,b,c}	Other no. ^a	Identity	Host	Location ^d	Isolator	ITS
CMW11705		N. eucalyptorum	E. nitens	South Africa	B Slippers	AY339248
CMW9075	ICMP8019	Botryosphaeria dothidea	P. nigra	New Zealand	GJ Samuels	AY236950
CMW8000		B. dothidea	Prunus sp.	Switzerland, Crocifisso	B Slippers	AY236949
CMW14009 ^c	CBS118831	B. dothidea	S. cordatum	SA, Sodwana Bay	D Pavlic	DQ316084
CMW10130		Lasiodiplodia theobromae	Vitex donniana	Uganda	J Roux	AY236951
CMW9074		L. theobromae	Pinus sp.	Mexico	TI Burgess	AY236952
CMW14114 ^c	CBS118843	L. theobromae	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	DQ316091
CMW14116 ^c		L. theobromae	S. cordatum	SA, Kwambonambi	D Pavlic	DQ316092
CMW14077 ^e	CBS115812	Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis	S. cordatum	SA, Eastern Cape	D Pavlic	AY639595
CMW14078 ^e	CBS116355	L. gonubiensis	S. cordatum	SA, Eastern Cape	D Pavlic	AY639594
CMW7774		Diplodia seriata	Ribes sp.	USA, New York	B Slippers,	AY236953
	KJ93.56	D. seriata	Hardwood shrub	USA, New York	G Hudler GJ Samuels	AF027759
CMW7060	CBS431	Diplodia mutila	Fraxinus excelsior	Netherlands	HA van der Aa	AY236955
	ZS94-6	D. mutila	Malus pumila	New Zealand	N Tisserat	AF243407
	CBS112545	Diplodia corticola	Quercus ilex	Spain	MA Sanchez,	AY259089
	CBS112551	D. corticola	Quercus suber	Portugal	A Trapero A Alves	AY259101
	KJ94.07	Diplodia pinea	Pinus resinosa	USA, Wisconsin	DR Smith	AF027758
CMW3025		Mycosphaerella africana	Eucalyptus viminalis	SA, Stellenbosch	PW Crous	AF 283690
CMW7063	CBS447.68	Guignardia philoprina	Taxus baccata	Netherlands	HA van der Aa	AF312014

^a Culture collections: CMW = Tree Pathology Co-operative Programme, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa; KJ = Jacobs and Rehner (1998); ATCC = American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Virginia, USA; BRIP = Plant Pathology Herbarium, Department of Primary Industries, Queensland, Australia; CAP = Culture collection of AJL Phillips, Lisbon, Portugal; CBS = Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ICMP = International Collection of Microorganisms from Plants, Auckland, New Zealand; ZS = Zhou and Stanosz (2001).

^b Isolates sequenced in this study are given in bold.

^c Isolates used in pathogenicity trials.

^d SA = South Africa.



FIG. 1. A map of South Africa indicating the area of natural distribution of *Syzygium cordatum* (left) and sites from where isolates of the Botryosphaeriaceae identified in this study were obtained (stars, right).







FIG. 2. One of 276 most parsimonious trees obtained from heuristic searches of the ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 rDNA sequence data (tree length = 414 steps, CI = 0.702, RI = 0.915). Branch lengths, proportional to the number of steps, are indicated above the internodes, and bootstrap values (1000 replicates) below the internodes. The tree is rooted to the outgroup taxa *Guignardia philoprina* and *Mycosphaerella africana*. Isolates sequenced in this study are presented in bold.





5 changes



FIG. 3. Light micrographs of conidia of six Botryosphaeriaceae species with *Fusicoccum*-like anamorphs. a. *N. parvum*. b. *N. ribis*. c. Aseptate and one-septate conidia of *N. australe*. d, e. Aseptate and germinating one- and two-septate conidia of *N. luteum*. f. *N. mangiferae*. g. *B. dothidea*. Bars = 10 μm.






FIG. 4. Light micrographs of conidia of two Botryosphaeriaceae species with *Lasiodiplodia* anamorphs. a. *Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis*. b. *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*. Bars = $10 \mu m$.







FIG. 5. Mean lesion lengths (mm) obtained for each isolate of different species of the Botryosphaeriaceae six weeks after inoculations on (a) *E. grandis* × *camaldulensis* clone (GC-540) and (b) on *S. cordatum*. Bars represent 95 % confidence limits for each isolate. C = Control. *B. dothidea* (CMW14009), *N. parvum* (CMW14097, 14030), *N. ribis* (CMW13992, 14031), *N. australe* (CMW13987, 14013), *L. theobromae* (CMW14116, 14114), *L. gonubiensis* (CMW14077, 140780), *N. mangiferae* (CMW14102, 14034), *N. luteum* (CMW14071, 14073).







Isolates



Chapter 2

Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae: A case study on the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex

Published as: Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae: A case study on the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 51:259–268.



ABSTRACT

Neofusicoccum parvum and N. ribis (Botryosphaeriaceae, Ascomycetes) are closely related, plant pathogenic fungi with a worldwide distribution on a wide range of woody hosts. Species boundaries in the N. parvum / N. ribis complex have eluded definition, despite the application of various tools for characterization. In this study, we test the hypothesis that only one species exists amongst isolates from the N. parvum / N. ribis complex, identified from Syzygium cordatum trees across their native distribution in South Africa. Genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR) was applied based on concordance of genealogies obtained from DNA sequence data for five nuclear loci. These data showed that the single species hypothesis must be rejected. Rather, all analyses support the existence of three previously unrecognised, cryptic species within the N. parvum / N. ribis complex from S. cordatum, in addition to N. parvum and N. ribis. The three lineages reflecting these cryptic taxa are sympatric across their geographical range, indicating barriers to gene flow other than geographic isolation. Phenotypic characters failed to detect all the species uncovered by the GCPSR. Sequence data of the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) of the ribosomal DNA locus, which is thought to be useful for barcoding in fungi, did not distinguish all the species with confidence. RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) was the most informative to distinguish all the species a posteriori to the application of GCPSR. The results reflect the critical importance of using multiple gene genealogies and adequate sampling to identify cryptic species and to characterise the true diversity within the Botryosphaeriaceae.



INTRODUCTION

Most fungal species are identified solely based on phenotypic characters. However, morphological features used to define species might not be noticeable until well after genetic separation has occurred (Taylor et al 2006). The rapidly increasing number of taxonomic studies utilizing DNA sequence comparisons is revealing increasing numbers of cryptic fungal species and species complexes, previously identified as single morphospecies (Taylor et al 2000, Bickford et al 2006). This is especially true where the genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR), a form of phylogenetic species concept (PSC), has been applied (Taylor et al 2000). The GCPSR is based on concordance of multiple gene genealogies and has been used to study cryptic speciation in important human and plant pathogenic fungal complexes, such as *Fusarium graminearum* and *Gibberella fujikuroi* (O'Donnell et al 2000a, b, Steenkamp et al 2002), *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. funigatus* (Geiser et al 1998, Pringle et al 2005), *Coccidioides immitis* (Koufopanou et al 1997) and others. These studies have revealed numerous previously unidentified, cryptic species.

Since molecular data have been incorporated in species separation and identification of the Botryosphaeriaceae, new sibling species have been recognized within morphologically described taxa. In some cases multiple gene sequence data, using the GCPSR (although not always explicitly stating it as such), needed to be combined with phenotypic characters to identify closely related species. For example, the GCPSR was effectively used to detect *Diplodia scrobiculata* as a sister species of *D. pinea* (de Wet et al 2003). *Neofusicoccum eucalypticola* and *N. australe*, were also identified using the GCPSR as sister species of *N. eucalyptorum* and *N. luteum*, respectively (Slippers et al 2004c, d). The cryptic species recognized in these studies were overlooked or uncertain when using morphology or single-locus sequence data alone (Denman et al 2000, Smith et al 2001, Zhou and Stanosz 2001, Pavlic et al 2007).

Neofusicoccum parvum and *N. ribis* are closely related species that belong to the Botryosphaeriaceae (Ascomycetes, Botryosphaeriales) (Crous et al 2006). *Neofusicoccum ribis* was originally described from *Ribes* spp. in New York, USA as "*Botryosphaeria*" *ribis* (Grossenbacher and Duggar 1911), while *Neofusicoccum parvum* was described from Kiwifruit and a *Populus* sp. in New Zealand as "*Botryosphaeria*" *parva* (Pennycook and Samuels 1985). Both of these species were subsequently identified as pathogens on numerous woody hosts worldwide (Punithalingam and Holliday 1973, Slippers et al 2004a, Mohali et al 2007, Pavlic et al 2007). These fungi are known to have both sexual (teleomorph) and asexual (anamorph) stages in their life cycle, but they are most commonly



encountered as anamorphs. Sexual reproduction in these species is still unexplored and little is known regarding their mating strategy. *Neofusicoccum parvum* and *N. ribis* overlap in the morphological characteristics of their teleomorphs and anamorphs that were used for their original descriptions, making all subsequent identifications difficult and unreliable (Grossenbacher and Duggar 1911, Pennycook and Samuels 1985). The uncertainty regarding their identification was seemingly resolved when *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* were characterised based on multiple gene phylogenies combined with phenotypic characters (Slippers et al 2004b). However, this study was based on a few ex-type and other isolates related to the types of each species. In subsequent phylogenetic analyses, where more isolates were included from larger numbers of hosts and locations, the distinction between these species became less clear (Farr et al 2005, Slippers et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007). It thus appears to be inadequate to rely only on ex-type specimens of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* to represent populations across the distribution of these species.

The difficulty in distinguishing *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* is illustrated by conflicting results in two related studies aimed at resolving their identity using multiple approaches. Slippers (2003) characterised a large number of isolates from different hosts and geographical regions using simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers and multiple gene DNA sequence data. In that study, these species were recognised as distinct and *sensu stricto* and *sensu lato* groups were identified for each. The *sensu lato* groups of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* could be separated using a PCR-RFLP diagnostic tool, but not the further subdivisions of *sensu stricto* groups (Slippers 2003). In a similar study on populations of these species obtained from variety of hosts around the world, and using multiple gene DNA sequence data, SSR marker data, phenotypic characters and AFLP analysis, it was concluded that these two species could not be distinguished from each other (Sakalidis 2004). The separation of the type species was viewed as the end of a genetic continuum of populations. Both studies, however, suffered from sampling deficiencies, where some populations were undersampled, originating from different continents, and from both native and non-native hosts, where opportunities for mating were difficult to judge.

A recent study of Botryosphaeriaceae on *S. cordatum* across its native range in South Africa gave rise to a large number of isolates in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex (Pavlic et al 2007). Initial data indicated significant variation in conidial morphology and ITS rDNA sequences amongst these isolates, but without supporting a clear distinction of species. In this study we test the hypothesis that these isolates represent one species. For this purpose, we use GCPSR with multiple genes DNA sequence data for five nuclear loci. In addition, we



compare results obtained from the multilocus genealogies with a single locus approach in order to identify the most suitable loci for future recognition of cryptic species in this complex. Variation in conidial morphology, the traditional tool used to distinguish species in this group, was also compared to the GCPSR results to determine their value in species delineation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal isolates

The 30 isolates used in this study were selected from a larger collection of 103 isolates collected during the course of a survey of the Botryosphaeriaceae on native *S. cordatum* in different geographical locations of South Africa (TABLE I). All the isolates were identified as *N. parvum* or *N. ribis sensu lato* based on PCR-RFLP analysis (Pavlic et al 2007). The 30 isolates were selected to represent the diversity observed previously in conidial morphology and ITS rDNA sequence data (Pavlic 2004, Pavlic et al 2007), as well as to represent the geographical area and different trees from which they were collected. Three isolates of each of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* that included the ex-type specimen and two specimens linked to the ex-type were used for comparison (TABLE I). The single-conidial cultures were prepared as reported previously (Pavlic et al 2007), to ensure that only haploid genotypes were characterized for each representative culture. The collection of single-conidial strains used in this study is maintained in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

Morphometric analysis

In a previous study, all 103 isolates were induced to sporulate in culture and conidia were measured and characterized using light microscopy (Pavlic, 2004, Pavlic et al 2007). The lengths and widths of ten conidia were measured for each isolate and the data were analysed in this study. Averages of ten conidial measurements per isolate were calculated and used in the analyses.

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the single-conidial cultures following the modified phenol-chloroform DNA extraction method outlined in Smith et al (2001). Five different gene regions were selected for characterization, including the internal transcribed spacer



(ITS) regions 1 and 2 and the 5.8S gene of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) (White et al 1990), the portion of gene encoding translation elongation factor 1 alfa (EF-1 α) (Sakalidis 2004), Bt2 regions of the β-tubulin gene (Glass and Donaldson 1995), a portion of RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) (Sakalidis 2004) and locus BotF15, an unknown locus containing microsatellite repeats (Slippers et al 2004a). The primer sequences, their respective annealing temperatures and expected product size are presented in TABLE II. The selected regions were amplified using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from genomic DNA. The amplifications were performed using an Eppendorf Mastercycler PERSONAL (Perkin-Elmer, Germany) and the following protocol: 94 °C for 2 min initial denaturation; 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 or 62 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min; and 72 °C for 7 min final extension. PCR products were cleaned using the High Pure PCR Product Purification kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. Both strands were sequenced using the ABI PRISM[™] Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Perkin-Elmer, Warrington, U.K.), as specified by the manufacturer. Sequence reactions were run on an ABI PRISM 3100[™] automated DNA sequencer (Perkin-Elmer, Warrington, U.K.).

The nucleotide sequences for both strands were examined with SEQUENCE NAVIGATOR version 1.0.1. (Perkin-Elmer Applied BioSystems, Inc., Foster City, California) software and alignments were done online using MAFFT version 5.667 (<u>http://timpani.genome.ad.jp/~mafft/server/</u>) (Katoh et al 2002). Aligned sequences for each gene region were analysed in DnaSP v. 4.00.6 (Rozas et al 2003) for nucleotide polymorphisms.

Phylogenetic analyses

To determine whether analyses of combined sequences can be conducted, statistical congruence was tested using a partition homogeneity test (PHT) (Farris et al 1995, Huelsenbeck et al 1996). The PHT was performed in PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony) version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2000) using 1000 replicates and the heuristic standard search options.

Maximum-parsimony (MP) genealogies, for single genes and all five genes combined, were constructed in PAUP version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2000), using the heuristic search function with 1000 random addition replicates and tree bisection and reconstruction (TBR) selected as branch swapping algorithm. Gaps were treated as fifth characters and all characters were unordered and of equal weight. Insertions/deletions (indels), irrespective of



their size were each treated as one evolutionary event and weighted as one base substitution. Branches of zero length were collapsed and all multiple, equally parsimonious trees were saved. To estimate branch support, maximum parsimony bootstrap values were determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985).

Bayesian analyses were performed using MrBayes v. 3.0b4 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) for single gene data and for the combined data set of all five genes. The best-fitting evolutionary models were estimated for each gene region and for the combined data using MrModeltest v. 2.2 software (Nylander 2004). The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains were initialised from a random tree and were run for 1000000 generations and trees were saved every 100 generations, counting 10000 trees. Burn in was set to 100000 generations. To determine the confidence of the tree topologies, values of Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPPs) (Rannala and Yang 1996) were estimated using MrBayes (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003).

RESULTS

Morphometric analysis

Conidial lengths and widths varied significantly among the isolates. This variation was continuous and did not support any clear distinction of groups. Isolates used for DNA sequence comparisons were selected to represent the full range of conidial sizes and are indicated on the graph reflecting these data (FIG. 1).

DNA sequencing

The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers as follows: ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2 (EU821898-EU821927), EF-1 α (EU821868-EU821897), β -tubulin (Bt-2a/b) (EU821838-EU821867), *BotF15* (EU821802-EU821837) and RPB2 (EU821928-EU821963). The sequence alignments and phylogenetic trees have been deposited in TreeBASE as SN3948. Polymorphic nucleotide positions observed in the five sequenced DNA regions are presented in TABLE IV.

Phylogenetic analyses

The phylogenies obtained from sequence data of the gene regions were first determined separately. MrModeltest v. 2.2 predicted appropriate evolutionary models for Bayesian analyses for each of the datasets as follows: K80 model for ITS, HKY model (Hasegawa et



al 1985) with a proportion of invariable sites (*I*) for β -tubulin, GTR model (Rodriguez et al 1990) for RPB2 and HKY model for the *BotF15* and EF-1 α datasets. The topologies of trees representing all the gene regions were identical in the maximum-parsimony and Bayesian consensus analyses. Therefore, only unrooted maximum-parsimony trees are presented, with the parsimony bootstrap values and the posterior probabilities shown for well-supported branches (FIG. 2). Statistical data for individual trees are summarised in TABLE III. Five distinct groups were consistently observed, of which two correspond to *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*, while the other three groups represent distinct lineages referred to as R1, R2 and R3. The isolates from *S. cordatum* considered in this study grouped within the *N. parvum* clade (n = 14), and clades R1 (n = 5), R2 (n = 6) and R3 (n = 5).

The R3 and *N. ribis* groups were the most closely related. The three isolates of *N. ribis* (one of which is the ex-type isolate) formed a separate clade in four of the gene regions analysed, while the fifth locus (*BotF15*) contained no polymorphisms between *N. ribis* and R3 (FIG. 2). Bootstrap support and BPPs were generally low for the *N. ribis* clade except in the EF-1 α dataset (FIG. 2), but each of the four gene regions contained unique fixed polymorphisms (FIG. 2, TABLE IV). Groups R1 and R2 were strongly supported in four of the five gene genealogies, except the EF-1 α dataset, which had only one unique, fixed polymorphism distinguishing R1 and R2 (FIG. 2, TABLE IV). The *Neofusicoccum parvum* clade was recognised in four gene genealogies, with the exception of the β -tubulin dataset in which unique fixed polymorphisms were not identified for the *N. parvum* group (FIG. 2, TABLE IV). The phylogenies constructed based on RPB2 sequences showed the best resolution and highest support for the groups (FIG. 2), followed by the ITS rDNA sequences based genealogy.

Subsequent to individual analyses, the datasets were also analysed collectively. The partition homogeneity test for all the datasets combined indicated that there was no significant conflict among the datasets ($P \ge 0.05$) (Cunningham 1997). MrModeltest v2.2 predicted HKY model with a proportion of invariable sites (I) as the most appropriate evolutionary model for Bayesian analyses. Two most parsimonious trees of the same overall topology were obtained for the combined dataset (FIG. 3, TABLE III). In the phylogenetic reconstruction from this combined dataset, the same partitions observed in the individual gene genealogies were recognised. All of these were also strongly supported with bootstrap values close to or equal to100 % and posterior probabilities above 0.95 (FIG. 3).



DISCUSSION

Application of the GCPSR in this study led us to reject the hypothesis that a single variable species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex occurs on native *S. cordatum* trees in South Africa. Analysis of five DNA sequence loci showed congruent phylogenies supporting five lineages and indicating a lack of recombination between loci amongst the lineages. The high number of shared single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and short branches in the phylogenetic trees suggest recent speciation events within the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex. Nevertheless, the unique SNPs fixed for each of the five lineages, which were linked across all five gene regions, support their treatment as distinct species. What was previously referred to as the *N. parvum / N. ribis* clade, therefore, represents a species complex that contains at least five cryptic species, of which three are recognised here for the first time and designated as *Neofusicoccum* sp. R1, R2 and R3. Results of this study reflect the critical importance of using multiple gene genealogies and GCPSR to identify cryptic species and to characterise the true diversity within the Botryosphaeriaceae.

Neofusicoccum parvum and the three new phylogenetic species occur sympatrically across the native geographical range of S. cordatum. In addition, more than one species was identified from the same tree, apparently occupying the same niche. This raises the question as to how the genetic barriers that separate the taxa would have evolved. One hypothesis is that these species previously occurred in allopatry, or on different hosts and that they have expanded their geographical or host ranges. Alternatively, genetic barriers might have evolved in sympatry in response to ecological forces not currently known to us. Le Gac et al (2007), based on studies of Microbotryum violaceum, and Le Gac and Giraud (2008), after an extensive analysis of published data for various fungi, concluded that such genetic barriers frequently exist among Ascomycetes, to which the Botryosphaeriaceae also belong. This is even when they occur in sympatry and despite the absence of, or only weak, prezygotic mating barriers. The genetic barriers appear to be mostly post-zygotic in these fungi, and Le Gac and Giraud (2008) speculated that this is strongly influenced by some 'phylogeny-dependent' life history traits. In vitro mating with isolates of the Botryosphaeriaceae has not previously been achieved, making a test of these hypotheses difficult. This should be the focus of future studies if the process of evolution in the group is to be more completely understood.

The focus of this study was specifically to consider members of the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from a single native tree species occurring in a clearly defined geographical area. Previous studies on these species have considered limited numbers of isolates obtained



from various hosts, including native and non-native trees, and from different geographical regions of the world (Slippers 2003, Sakalidis 2004). In these studies *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* were either recognised as *sensu lato* groups with high levels of inter-specific and intraspecific variation (Slippers 2003) or treated as a single species (Sakalidis 2004). It is likely that the under representation of certain populations in those studies failed to reveal the concordant phylogenies between sequence data sets from different loci. Slippers (2003) recommended that species of Botryosphaeriaceae should be analyzed separately for each host and geographical area of origin due to the possibility for under-sampled, native species occurring sympatrically. The recognition of four cryptic phylogenetic species, occurring sympatrically on native *S. cordatum* supports this view.

None of our isolates from S. cordatum were found to represent Neofusicoccum ribis. This species has thus far only been confirmed from *Ribes* sp. in the USA using multiple gene phylogenies (Slippers et al 2004b). Although N. ribis has been reported from the other hosts and regions (Cunnington et al 2007, Mohali et al 2007) those isolates were characterized only based on the ITS sequences and their identity needs to be reconsidered. Phylogenetic species R3 is recognised in this study as the most closely related taxon to N. ribis. Differentiation between these two species was consistent across four gene regions with six fixed unique SNPs that distinguish them. Similarly, a recent study on Southern Hemisphere conifers based on multiple gene genealogies identified three isolates from native coniferous trees in Australia that were also more closely related to N. ribis than N. parvum (Slippers et al 2005). Four unique fixed SNPs across three gene regions distinguish these three isolates from N. ribis. Based on sequence comparison (data not shown) none of those isolates represent any of the phylogenetic species recognised in the present study. The number of cryptic species recognized in the N. parvum / N. ribis complex in the present study may thus increase in future when isolates from other hosts and areas are considered. It is especially important to better characterize the diversity in N. ribis, for which only three isolates has been confirmed thus far.

Evaluation of the single gene genealogies showed that the RPB2 gene region contains the highest number of parsimony informative characters. The RPB2 single-locus phylogeny consequently also provided the highest support for the clades or phylogenetic species. The RPB2 phylogeny was most congruent with ITS sequences and these two datasets combined were the most appropriate for delimitation of phylogenetic species in this study. The RPB2, encoding the second largest RNA polymerase subunit, with its single copy in Ascomycetes and relatively slow evolutionary rate (Liu 1999), has proven useful for



phylogenetic resolution of the Ascomycetes at different taxonomic levels (Liu 1999, Schoch et al 2006, Hofstetter et al 2007, Tang et al 2007). However, it has not been used extensively in the studies of the Botryosphaeriaceae at the species level. DNA sequence based characterisation of these fungi has most commonly been based on the ITS rDNA sequences combined with EF-1 α (Luque at al 2005, Phillips et al 2005, Burgess et al 2006). Based on the data presented here, we propose that RPB2 and ITS sequences be used in combination for delimitation of species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex in the future. Furthermore, we recommend that its utility for identification of other species of Botryosphaeriaceae should also be assessed.

The ITS rDNA sequence data has been most commonly used for DNA sequence based identification of fungi (Hajibabaei et al 2007). This locus has also been proposed as the DNA barcoding region for fungi (Nilsson et al 2006, www.allfungi.org/its-barcode.php). ITS rDNA sequence data, however, need to be used in combination with other data to delimit cryptic species. The support for the subclades obtained in phylogenetic analyses of ITS sequence data in this study was very low, leaving uncertainty as to their interpretation. Similar results have been obtained in other studies of fungi based on multiple gene genealogies, where ITS data did not provide sufficient resolution for separation of closely related species or varieties. Examples are found in Neurospora and Gelasinospora (Dettman et al 2001), the human pathogenic fungus Cryptococcus neoformans (Xu et al 2000) and many others. As have been discussed in previous studies (Will and Rubinoff 2004, Trewick 2007), the attempt to sort the complex task of species identification based on DNA sequences of one gene region is unlikely, especially when closely related species are considered. After the basis of the variation had been clarified using GCPSR in this study, SNPs could, however, be identified in ITS rDNA regions that would be useful for identification of cryptic species in the N. parvum / N. ribis complex.

Significant variation in conidial morphology was observed for isolates within the *N*. *parvum / N. ribis* complex from *S. cordatum*. Conidial measurements and the conidial morphology of many of the isolates differed from those in the original descriptions of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*, suggesting that additional species could exist in this complex. This conidial morphological variation represented a continuum for the phylogenetic species recognised here using multiple gene genealogies and GCPSR. This indicates that genetically isolated species do not necessarily show divergence in character states such as conidial morphology, which is consistent for many other fungi that have been considered in a similar manner (Taylor et al 2000, Chaverri et al 2003, Dettman et al 2003, O'Donnell at al 2004).



In these studies, morphospecies were also recognised as species complexes comprising of a number of phylogenetic species when analysed using GCPSR. *A priori* selection of isolates to represent the full spectrum of the conidial variation (together with ITS sequences and geographic variation), however, proved to be useful in our study to sample representatives of different cryptic species. Observed morphological differences should thus not be underestimated for initial selection of isolates from a larger collection prior to molecular identification. This, together with molecular and ecological data, as well as adequate sampling, should be considered in combination when selecting isolates to test hypotheses regarding cryptic species in the Botryosphaeriaceae.

The common occurrence of *N. parvum sensu stricto* throughout the native distribution of *S. cordatum*, and the intraspecific genetic variation observed, suggests that this is a native fungal species. However, to address hypotheses relating to the origin of species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex, population and phylogeographic studies are needed. The delimitation of species boundaries and diagnostic tools tested in this study provide a foundation for such further studies. Significant DNA sequence variation observed amongst *N. parvum* isolates raises questions about population differentiation or even speciation in this group. Sequence data or other more variable molecular tools, such as microsatellite markers, and extended collections is necessary to clarify the origin and distribution of this observed variability within *N. parvum*. Since the *N. parvu / N. ribis* species complex includes some of the most aggressive members of the Botryosphaeriaceae (Burgess et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007), identification of variation in phenotypic characters such as pathogenicity and virulence for the newly recognized species must also be a key area for research in future.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, Ingram KK, Das I. 2006. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trend Ecol Evol 22: 148–155.
- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GEStJ. 2005. *Botryosphaeria* spp. associated with eucalypts in Western Australia including description of *Fusicoccum macroclavatum* sp. nov. Aust Plant Path 34:557–567.



- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Mohali S, Pegg G, De Beer ZW, Wingfield MJ. 2006. Three new *Lasiodiplodia* spp. from the tropics, recognised based on DNA sequence comparisons and morphology. Mycologia 98:423–435.
- Chaverri P, Castlebury LA, Samuels GJ, Geiser DM. 2003. Multilocus phylogenetic structure within the *Trichoderma harzianum / Hypocrea lixii* complex. Mol Phylogenet Evol 27:302–313.
- Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WFO, Phillips AJL, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ. 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Stud Mycol 55:235–253.
- Cunningham CW. 1997. Can three incongruence tests predict when data should be combined? Mol Biol Evol 14:733–740.
- Cunnington JH, Priest MJ, Powney RA, Cother NJ. 2007. Diversity of *Botryosphaeria* species on horticultural plants in Victoria and New South Wales. Aust Plant Path 36:157–159.
- Denman S, Crous PW, Taylor JE, Kang JC, Pascoe I, Wingfield MJ. 2000. An overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* and a re-evaluation of its anamorphs based on morphology and ITS rDNA phylogeny. Stud Mycol 45:129–140.
- Dettman JR, Harbinski FM, Taylor JW. 2001. Ascospore morphology is a poor predictor of the phylogenetic relationships of *Neurospora* and *Gelasinospora*. Fungal Genet Evol 34:49–61.
- Dettman JR, Jacobson DJ, Taylor JW. 2003. A multilocus genealogical approach to phylogenetic species recognition in the model eukaryote *Neurospora*. Evolution 57:2703–2720.
- de Wet J, Burgess T, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2003. Multiple gene genealogies and microsatellite markers reflect relationships between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* and distinguish a new species of *Diplodia*. Mycol Res 107:557–566.
- Farr DF, Elliott M, Rossman AY, Edmonds RL. 2005. Fusicoccum arbuti sp. nov. causing cankers on Pacific madrone in western North America with notes on Fusicoccum dimidiatum, the correct name for Scytalidium dimidiatum and Nattrassia mangiferae. Mycologia 97:730–741.
- Farris JS, Källersjö M, Kluge AG, Bult C. 1995. Testing significance of incongruence. Cladistics 10:315–319.



- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence intervals on phylogenetics: an approach using bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.
- Geiser DM, Pitt JI, Taylor JW. 1998. Cryptic speciation and recombination in the aflatoxinproducing fungus *Aspergillus flavus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:388–393.
- Glass NL, Donaldson GC. 1995. Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. Appl Environ Microbiol 61:1323–1330.
- Grossenbacher JG, Duggar BM. 1911. A contribution to the life history, parasitism and biology of *Botryosphaeria ribis*. New York State Agricultural Experiment Station, Technical Bulletin 18:113–190.
- Hajibabaei M, Singer GAC, Hebert PDN, Hickey DA. 2007. DNA barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. Trend Genet 23:167–172.
- Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano T. 1985. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Evol 22:160–174.
- Hofstetter V, Miadlikowska J, Kauff F, Lutzoni F. 2007. Phylogenetic comparison of protein-coding versus ribosomal RNA-coding sequence data: A case study of the Lecanoromycetes (Ascomycota). Mol Phylogenet Evol 44:412–426.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Bull JJ, Cunningham CV. 1996. Combining data in phylogenetic analysis. Trends Ecol Evol 11:152–158.
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30:3059–3066.
- Koufopanou V, Burt A, Taylor JW. 1997. Concordance of gene genealogies reveals reproductive isolation in the pathogenic fungus *Coccidioides immitis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:5478–5482.
- Le Gac M, Hood ME, Fournier E, Giraud T. 2007. Phylogenetic evidence of host-specific cryptic species in the anther smut fungus. Evolution 61:15–26.
- Le Gac M, Giraud T. 2008. Existence of a pattern of reproductive character displacement in *Homobasidiomycota* but not in *Ascomycota*. J Evol Biol 21:761–772.
- Liu YJ, Whelen S, Hall BD. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships among Ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA Polymerase II Subunit. Mol Biol Evol 16:1799–1808.
- Luque J, Martos S, Phillips AJL. 2005. *Botryosphaeria viticola* sp. nov. on grapevines: a new species with a *Dothiorella* anamorph. Mycologia 97:1111–1121.



- Mohali RS, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Identification of *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Eucalyptus*, *Acacia* and *Pinus* in Venezuela. Fungal Divers 25:103–125.
- Nilsson RH, Ryberg M, Kristiansson E, Abarenkov K, Larsson K-H, Kőljalg U. 2006. Taxonomic reliability of DNA sequences in public sequence databases: A fungal perspective. PloS ONE 1: e59.
- Nylander JAA. 2004. MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Tacke BK, Casper HH. 2000a. Gene genealogies reveal global phylogeographic structure and reproductive isolation among lineages of *Fusarium graminearum*, the fungus causing wheat scab. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:7905–7910.
- O'Donnell K, Nirenberg HI, Aoki T, Cigelnik E. 2000b. A multigene phylogeny of the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex: detection of additional phylogenetically distinct species. Mycoscience 41:61–78.
- O'Donnell K, Ward TJ, Geiser DM, Kistler HC, Aoki T. 2004. Genealogical concordance between the mating type locus and seven other nuclear genes supports formal recognition of nine phylogenetically distinct species within the *Fusarium graminearum* clade. Fungal Genet Biol 41:600–623.
- Pavlic D. 2004. *Botryosphaeria* species on native South African *Syzygium cordatum* and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. Plant Path 56:624–636.
- Pennycook SR, Samuels GJ. 1985. *Botryosphaeria* and *Fusicoccum* species associated with ripe fruit rot of *Actinidia deliciosa* (Kiwifruit) in New Zealand. Mycotaxon 24:445–458.
- Phillips AJL, Alves A, Correia A, Luque J. 2005. Two new species of *Botryosphaeria* with brown, 1-septate ascospores and *Dothiorella* anamorphs. Mycologia 97:513–529.
- Pringle A, Baker DM, Platt JL, Wares JP, Latgé JP, Taylor JW. 2005. Cryptic speciation in the cosmopolitan and clonal human pathogenic fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Evolution 59:1886–1899.
- Punithalingam E, Holliday P. 1973. *Botryosphaeria ribis*. CMI Descriptions of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria. No. 395.



- Rannala B, Yang Z. 1996. Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: A new method of phylogenetic inference. J Mol Evol 43:304–311.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenback JP. 2003. MrBayes: bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574.
- Rodríguez F, Oliver JL, Marín A, Medina JR. 1990. The general stochastic model of nucleotide substitution. J Theor Biol 142:485–501.
- Rozas J, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Messegyer X, Rozas R. 2003. DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. Bioinformatics 19:2496–2497.
- Sakalidis M. 2004. Resolving the *Botryosphaeria ribis-B. parva* species complex; a molecular and phenotypic investigation. Honors thesis. School of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Murdoch University, Western Australia.
- Schoch CL, Shoemaker RA, Seifert KA, Hambleton S, Spatafore JW, Crous PW. 2006. A multigene phylogeny of the Dothideomycetes using four nuclear loci. Mycologia 98:1041–1052.
- Slippers B. 2003. Taxonomy, phylogeny- and ecology of botryosphaeriaceous fungi occurring on various woody hosts. Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Slippers B, Burgess T, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004a. Development of SSR markers for *Botryosphaeria* spp. with *Fusicoccum* anamorphs. Mol Ecol Notes 4:675–677.
- Slippers B, Crous PW, Denman S, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004b. Combined multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several species previously identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Mycologia 96:83–101.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ. 2004c. Speciation and distribution of *Botryosphaeria* spp. on native and introduced *Eucalyptus* trees in Australia and South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:343–358.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ. 2004d. Multiple gene sequences delimit *Botryosphaeria australis* sp. nov. from *B. lutea*. Mycologia 96:1028–1039.
- Slippers B, Summerell BA, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Preliminary studies on *Botryosphaeria* species from *Wollemia nobilis* and related southern hemisphere conifers in Australasia and South Africa. Aust Plant Path 34:213–220.



- Smith H, Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD. 2001. Botryosphaeria eucalyptorum sp. nov., a new species in the B. dothidea-complex on Eucalyptus in South Africa. Mycologia 93:277–285.
- Steenkamp ET, Wingfield BD, Desjardins AE, Marasas WFO, Wingfield MJ. 2002. Cryptic speciation in *Fusarium subglutinans*. Mycologia 94:1032–1043.
- Swofford DL. 2000. PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- Tang AMC, Jeewon R, Hyde KD. 2007. Phylogenetic utility of protein (RPB2, β -tubulin) and ribosomal (LSU, SSU) gene sequences in the systematics of Sordariomycetes (Ascomycota, Fungi). Antonie de Leeuwenhoek 91:327–349.
- Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser DM, Hibbett DS, Fisher MC. 2000. Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. Fungal Genet Biol 31:21–32.
- Taylor JW, Turner E, Pringle A, Dettman J, Johannesson H. 2006. Fungal species: thoughts on their recognition, maintenance and selection. In: Gadd GM, Watkinson SC, Dyer PS, eds. Fungi in the Environment. Cambridge University Press. p 313–339.
- Trewick SA. 2007. DNA Barcoding is not enough: mismatch of taxonomy and genealogy in New Zealand grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Acrididae). Cladistics 23:1–15.
- Xu J, Vilgalys R, Mitchell TG. 2000. Multiple gene genealogies reveal recent dispersion and hybridization in the human pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Mol Ecol 9:1471–1481.
- Zhou S, Stanosz GR. 2001. Relationships among *Botryosphaeria* species and associated anamorphic fungi inferred from the analyses of ITS and 5.8S rDNA sequences. Mycologia 93:516–527.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Snisky JJ, White TJ, eds. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. San Diego: Academic Press. p 315–322.
- Will KW, Rubinoff D. 2004. Myth of the molecule: DNA barcodes for species cannot replace morphology for identification and classification. Cladistics 20:47–55.



TABLE I. Isolates	analysed	in this	study
--------------------------	----------	---------	-------

Culture no. ^{1, 2, 3}	Other no. ¹	Identity	Geographic origin	Host
CMW13992	CBS123634	Neofusicoccum sp. R1 South Africa, Sodwana Bay		Syzygium cordatum
CMW14056	CBS123635	Neofusicoccum sp. R1 South Africa , Kosi Bay		S. cordatum
CMW14054	CBS123636	Neofusicoccum sp. R1	South Africa, Mkuze	S. cordatum
CMW14124	CBS123638	Neofusicoccum sp. R1	South Africa, Richards Bay	S. cordatum
CMW14151	CBS123637	Neofusicoccum sp. R1	South Africa, Sabie	S. cordatum
CMW14023	CBS123639	Neofusicoccum sp. R2	South Africa, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum
CMW14025	CBS123640	Neofusicoccum sp. R2	South Africa, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum
CMW14140	CBS123641	Neofusicoccum sp. R2	South Africa, Tzaneen	S. cordatum
CMW14155	CBS123642	Neofusicoccum sp. R2	South Africa, Sabie	S. cordatum
CMW14123	CBS123643	Neofusicoccum sp. R2	South Africa, Richards Bay	S. cordatum
CMW14106	CBS123644	Neofusicoccum sp. R3	South Africa, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum
CMW14058	CBS123645	Neofusicoccum sp. R3	South Africa, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum
CMW14060	CBS123646	Neofusicoccum sp. R3	South Africa, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum
CMW14079	CBS123647	Neofusicoccum sp. R3	South Africa, Gonubie	S. cordatum
CMW14096		Neofusicoccum sp. R3	South Africa, Port St Johns	S. cordatum
CMW14127	CBS123648	Neofusicoccum sp. R3	South Africa, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum
CMW14029		Neofusicoccum parvum	South Africa, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum
CMW14082		N. parvum	South Africa, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum
CMW14085	CBS123649	N. parvum	South Africa, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum
CMW14087		N. parvum	South Africa, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum
CMW14088		N. parvum	South Africa, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum
CMW14089		N. parvum	South Africa, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum
CMW14094		N. parvum	South Africa, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum
CMW14097	CBS123650	N. parvum	South Africa, Port St Johns	S. cordatum
CMW14080	CBS123651	N. parvum	South Africa, Gonubie	S. cordatum
CMW14129		N. parvum	South Africa, Tzaneen	S. cordatum
CMW14135		N. parvum	South Africa, Tzaneen	S. cordatum
CMW14141		N. parvum	South Africa, Tzaneen	S. cordatum
CMW14143	CBS123652	N. parvum	South Africa, Palaborwa	S. cordatum
CMW27901		N. parvum	South Africa, Pretoria	S. cordatum
CMW9079	ICMP7933	N. parvum	New Zealand	Actinidia deliciosa
CMW9080	ICMP8002	N. parvum	New Zealand	Populus nigra
CMW9081	ICMP8003	N. parvum	New Zealand	P. nigra
CMW7772		Neofusicoccum ribis	USA. New York	Ribes sp.
CMW7773		N. ribis	USA, New York	Ribes sp.
CMW7054	CBS121.26	N. ribis	USA, New York	Ribes rubrum

¹Abbreviations of isolates and culture collections: CBS = Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures Utrecht, Netherlands; CMW = Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria South Africa; ICMP = International Collection of Microorganisms from Plants, Auckland, New Zealand.

² Isolates in bold are ex-types.

³ All isolates other than CMW 9079, CMW 9080, CMW 9081, CMW 7772, CMW 7773, and CMW 7054 were collected by D. Pavlic.



Region	Oligos	Oligo Sequences	Amplicon size (bp)	AT (°C)	Reference
ITS	ITS1	5' TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG	600	55	(White et al 1990)
	ITS4	5' TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC			
EF-1α	EF-AF	5' CATCGAGAAGTTCGAGAAGG	310	55	(Sakalidis 2004)
	EF-BR	5' CRATGGTGATACCRCGCTC			
β-tubulin	Bt2a	5' GGTAACCAAATCGGTGCTGCTTTC	450	55	(Glass and Donaldson 1995)
	Bt2b	5' ACCCTCAGTGTAGTGACCCTTGGC			
RPB2	RPB2bot6F	5' GGTAGCGACGTCACTCCC	500	55	(Sakalidis 2004)
	RPB2bot7R	5' GGATGGATCTCGCAATGCG			
BotF15	Bot15	5' CTGACTTGTGACGCCGGCTC	350	62	(Slippers et al 2004a)
	Bot16	5' CAACCTGCTCAGCAAGCGAC			

TABLE II. Primer sets used to amplify the five loci analysed in this study



	Locus					
	ITS	EF-1α	β-tubulin	BotF15	RPB2	Combined all
Total no. of alignable characters	499	286	420	376	565	2146
No. of excluded characters	0	13	0	38	0	51
Total no. of variable characters	11	17	14	13	17	72
No. of informative characters	10	14	13	13	17	67
No. of most parsimonious trees	1	1	6	1	1	2
Tree length	10	15	15	13	17	72
Consistency index (CI)	1	0.933	0.867	1	1	0.931
Retention index (RI)	1	0.989	0.979	1	1	0.989

TABLE III. Information on the sequence dataset and maximum parsimony (MP) trees for each locus and all five loci combined



Isolate*	ITS	EF-1a		Bt	BotF15	RPB2	
	51 67 109 141 142 163 168 173 772 3	379 416 38 40 47 48 38 62 79 88 117 128 134	192 221 224 236 240 284 32 40 83 92 96	5 115 157 173 232 233 291 316 3	19 197 24 54 61 17 121 122 128 150 172 24	8 300 331 341 10 22 49 97 100 112 205 268 280 7	443 342 397 409 401 475 502 526
CMW 7772	АТСТАСТАА	ттібтбтсьбсбс	<mark>a</mark> - c g a t c g c c c	са <mark>т</mark> са в тез	гта ааст - о	ссаттстастас	ссттосст
CMW 7773		1	<mark>A</mark>	<mark>т</mark>			
CMW 7054	<u>.</u> <u>.</u>	. <u>.</u> <u>.</u>	<mark>A -</mark> <u>.</u> <u>.</u>	. <mark>т</mark> <u>.</u> .	 <u></u> .	<u></u> . <u>.</u> .	<u>.</u>
CMW 13992	- c	. <mark>c</mark> = <mark>c</mark>	асстт	. c	с. – – <mark>тс</mark> т. – ,	т с . с <mark>. т с .</mark> .	, , c <mark>c</mark> , , , ,
CMW 14056	- c	. <mark>c</mark> = <mark>c</mark>	асстт	. c <mark>c</mark> (с. – – <mark>тс</mark> тс – ,	т с . с <mark>. т с .</mark> .	c <mark>c</mark>
CMW 14054	- c	. <mark>c</mark> = <mark>c</mark>	асстт	. c <mark>c</mark> (с. – – <mark>тс</mark> т. – .	т с . с <mark>. т с .</mark> .	c <mark>c</mark>
CMW 14151	- c	. <mark>c</mark> – <mark>c</mark>	асстт	. c . c <mark>c</mark> (с. – – <mark>тс</mark> тс – .	т с . с <mark>. т с .</mark> .	c <mark>c</mark>
CMW 14124	- c	. <mark>c</mark> <mark>c</mark>	астт	. c <mark>c</mark> (с. – – тс тс – .	т с . с <mark>. т</mark> с	c <mark>c</mark>
CMW 14023	– . ст. с.	. . .	ас	аст. аа. (сс. – – 1 т. 1 .	т с <mark>с с .</mark> .	. ас. а с
CMW 14025	– т . а .	–	G C	ас <mark>т. аа</mark> . (сс. – – 1 т. 1.	т с <mark>в</mark> с с	. <mark>а</mark> с. а с
CMW 14140	. с т . с .	–	G C	ас <mark>т, аа</mark> , (сс. – – 1 т. 1.	т с <mark>с</mark> с с	. <mark>ас.а. с</mark>
CMW 14155	. с – т . с .	–	G - T C	ас <mark>т, аа</mark> , (сс. – – 1 т. 1.	т с <mark>в</mark> с с	. <mark>а</mark> с. <mark>а</mark> с
CMW 14123	– т . с .		g c	аст. аа. (с. – – 1 т. 1.	т с <mark>а</mark> с с	. а с. а с
CMW 14106	<mark>.</mark>	<mark>T</mark>	G C . A	. c		.	T .
CMW 14058	<mark>.</mark>	- T	G C . A	. c		. .	т .
CMW 14060	<mark>.</mark>	<mark>T</mark>	G C . A	. c		. .	т .
CMW 14079	<mark>.</mark>	- <mark>T</mark>	G C . A	. c			
CMW 14096	<mark>.</mark>	- T	G C . A	. c		. .	
CMW 14127	<mark>.</mark>	<mark>T</mark>	G C . A	. c			
CMW 9079	т. –	с. – . с т	G A T	A C	T 1	. т д с с . с с	т.с.т
CMW 9080	т	с с т	G A T	A C		. т д с с . с с	т.ст
CMW 9081	т	с с т	G A T	A C	 . T . - 1	. т д с с . с с	т.с.т.
CMW 14029	т	с. – . с т	G A . C T	A C		. т д с с . с с	т.с.т.
CMW 14082	т	с. – . с т	G А. С Т	A C	 T 1	. т д с с . с с	т.ст
CMW 14085	т	с с т	G A . C T	A C	T 1	. тдсс. с с	т.ст
CMW 14087	т. –	с. – . с т	G A . C T	A C	 T . - 1	. т д с с . с с	т.с.т.
CMW 14088	–	с. – . с т. т	асст	A C	сс. – – – т. – 1	ттасс.сс	т.ст
CMW 14089	–	с. – . с т	G А . С Т	A C	 T . - 1	. т д с с . с с	т.ст
CMW14094		с. – . с с т	асст	A C	сс. – 1 – т. – 1	ттдсс.сс	т.ст
CMW 14097		с. – А СА С Т	g с с т	A C	с. – 1 – т. – 1	ттдсс.сс	т.с.т.
CMW 14080		с. – . с т	асст	A C	сс. – – – т. – 1	ттдсс.сс	т.с.т
CMW 14129	–	с. – . с с т	асст	A C	сс. – 1 – т. – 1	ттасс.сс	т.ст
CMW 14135		с. – . с т	G A C C T	A C		. тасс. с с	т.с.т.
CMW 14141		С. – . С.А А.Т	g с с т .	. c	C A I T 1	ТТАСС.С.С.	т.с.т.
CMW 14143		С. – . С.А А.Т	G C C T .	. C	C A I T 1	TTACC.C.C.	т.с.т.
CMW 27901		С. – . С.А А.Т.	G C C T	C	C A 1 T 1	TTACC.C.C.	т с т

TABLE IV. Single nucleotide polymorphisams (SNPs) from the sequence data of ITS rDNA, EF-1α, β-tubulin, BotF15 and RPB2 loci

* Isolates in bold are obtained from S. cordatum. Neofusicoccum ribis = pink; Neofusicoccum sp. R1 = green; Neofusicoccum sp. R2 = yelkow; Neofusicoccum sp. R3 = red; N. parvum = blue. (1, -) = Insertions/deletions (indels) irrespective of their size.



FIG. 1. The averages of the lengths and widths of ten conidia measured for each of 103 isolates representing *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex from *Syzygium cordatum*. The thirty isolates used for DNA sequence comparisons in this study were selected to represent the full range of conidial sizes and are indicated on the graph as unfilled squares.







FIG. 2. Unrooted maximum-parsimony trees resulting from the separate analysis of the sequence data of the ITS (a), EF-1 α (b), Bt2 regions of the β -tubulin gene (c), locus *BotF15* (d) and RPB2 (e). Bootstrap values of maximum parsimony analyses are indicated next to the branches followed by the posterior probabilities resulting from Bayesian analysis (indicated in italics). Isolates of the *Neofusicoccum* spp. obtained from *S. cordatum* are indicated in bold.



(a)





(b)





(c)



63



(d)





(e)





FIG. 3. One of two unrooted maximum-parsimony trees resulting from the analysis of the combined sequence data. Bootstrap values of maximum parsimony analyses are indicated next to the branches followed by the posterior probabilities resulting from Bayesian analysis (indicated in italics). Isolates of the *Neofusicoccum* spp. obtained from *S. cordatum* are indicated in bold.






Chapter 3

Molecular and phenotypic characterisation of three phylogenetic species discovered within the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex

In press as: Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Molecular and phenotypic characterisation of three phylogenetic species discovered within the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex. Mycologia.



ABSTRACT

Neofusicoccum parvum and N. ribis are closely related species whose identities have often been confused. These fungal plant pathogens were recently identified as the most abundant species of Botryosphaeriaceae (Ascomycetes) isolated from native Syzygium cordatum trees in South Africa. In another study using multiple gene genealogies from five nuclear loci, three undescribed cryptic phylogenetic species, as well as *N. parvum*, were identified among thirty of these isolates. The aim of this study was to clarify the identity of the remaining isolates in the N. parvum / N. ribis complex from S. cordatum in South Africa, to describe newly identified cryptic species and to test their pathogenicity. Based on the RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) sequence comparisons the isolates were identified as N. *parvum* or one of three previously recognized phylogenetic species that are described here as N. cordaticola, N. kwambonambiense and N. umdonicola. These species cannot be separated a priori based on morphological characteristics, although a posteriori analysis of variance showed that the differences in conidial length and width between the species were statistically significant. The isolates of the newly described species as well as *N. parvum* and N. ribis were tested for pathogenicity on S. cordatum under greenhouse conditions. Isolates representing the three new species were significantly more aggressive than N. parvum and N. ribis, with N. kwambonambiense being the most aggressive. This study resolved longstanding questions of identity of species within N. parvum / N. ribis complex and lays a foundation for further studies on this group of pathogens.



INTRODUCTION

The phylogenetic species concept (PSC) (Taylor et al 2000) and genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR) have been increasingly applied in studies of species boundaries in both human and plant pathogenic fungi (e.g. Koufopanou et al 1997, Geiser et al 1998, O'Donnell et al 2000a, b, Steenkamp et al 2002, O'Donnell et al 2004, Pringle et al 2005). In these studies, using GCPSR based on concordance of multiple gene sequence genealogies, numerous cryptic species and species complexes were revealed in fungal taxa previously identified as one morphospecies. GCPSR was also used with good results in the detection of cryptic species within Botryosphaeriaceae, e.g. *Diplodia scrobiculata* as a sister species of *D. pinea* (de Wet et al 2003) and *Neofusicoccum eucalypticola* and *N. australe* as sister species recognized in these studies could not have been acknowledged based on morphology or single-locus data alone, methods commonly used for identification of Botryosphaeriaceae (e.g. Jacobs and Rehner 1998, Denman et al 2000, Smith et al 2001, Zhou and Stanosz 2001, Pavlic et al 2004).

Neofusicoccum parvum and *N. ribis* are closely related cryptic species within the recently described genus *Neofusicoccum* (Botryosphaeriaceae, Ascomycetes) (Slippers et al 2004a, Crous et al 2006). Although known to develop teleomorph (sexual) structures, these fungi are commonly encountered in their anamorph (asexual) stage (Pennycook and Samuels 1985, Slippers et al 2004a, Pavlic et al 2007). The cosmopolitan distribution, sympatric occurrence on native and non-native hosts, as well as plasticity and overlap in the morphological characteristics of both their teleomorphs and anamorphs, make these species difficult to distinguish based upon morphological, ecological and geographical criteria. Consequently, these plant pathogens have often been mistaken for each other. These species could also not be separated with confidence based on ITS sequence data alone, the method most commonly used in molecular identification and phylogenetic analyses of the Botryosphaeriaceae (Smith et al 2001, Zhou and Stanosz 2001, Slippers et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007).

Nucleotide sequence data from multiple genes were used to distinguish the identity of the type specimens of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* (Slippers et al 2004a). However, when more isolates were included in subsequent analyses, many clustered intermediate to the type, but did not clearly cluster with either of these species (Ahumada 2002, Slippers 2003, Slippers et al 2005, Rodas et al 2009). These isolates have been referred to as the *N. parvum / N. ribis*



complex. Isolates that belong to the *N. parvum* / N. *ribis* complex could be separated into two groups using a PCR-RFLP fingerprinting technique. They were then referred to as *N. parvum sensu lato* and *N. ribis sensu lato* (Slippers 2003). It was not clear in those studies, however, whether these groups comprise more than one cryptic species or represent interspecific variation.

Neofusicoccum parvum sensu lato and *N. ribis sensu lato* were recently identified as the most abundant species of Botryosphaeriaceae isolated from native *Syzygium cordatum* (Myrtaceae) in South Africa (Pavlic et al 2007). In a subsequent study using multiple gene genealogies of five nuclear loci, three undescribed cryptic phylogenetic species, as well as *N. parvum*, were identified among these isolates (Pavlic et al 2009). None of the isolates were identified as *N. ribis*. In this study, we characterise a larger collection of these isolates using genotypic data and combine this with phenotypic characteristics such as conidial morphology and pathogenicity to describe the taxa. Consequently, three new phylogenetically recognised cryptic species within the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* species complex are described here as *N. cordaticola* sp. nov. , *N. umdonicola* sp. nov. and *N. kwambonambiense* sp. nov.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates

The 103 isolates used in this study were collected during the survey of the Botryosphaeriaceae on native *S. cordatum* in South Africa from 2001 to 2003 (TABLE I). The collection spanned the north to south natural distribution of *S. cordatum* in South Africa, from Tzaneen in the Northern Province to Gonubie in the Eastern Cape Province. Isolations were made from dying twigs and asymptomatic, visually healthy twigs and leaves, as described in Pavlic et al (2007). Isolations were also made from visually healthy fruits. Fruits were washed in running tap water and surface disinfected by spraying them with 70 % ethanol and left dried on filter paper. The disinfected fruits were halved and pieces from the fruit pulp (2 mm²) were placed on 2 % malt extract agar (MEA) and incubated and maintained as described in Pavlic et al (2007). All cultures used in this study have been maintained in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, South Africa and representative isolates have been deposited in the collection of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, The Netherlands.



DNA sequence comparisons

Thirty isolates from S. cordatum were selected and identified in a previous study (Pavlic et al 2009) as N. parvum or one of the undescribed phylogenetic species termed as Neofusicoccum sp. R1, R2 and R3. This distinction was based on multiple gene genealogies of DNA sequence data for five nuclear loci, including the internal transcribed spacer rDNA (ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2), partial translation elongation factor 1α (EF-1α), β-tubulin-2 (βt-2a/b), a portion of the RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) and locus BotF15 (an unknown locus containing a simple sequence repeat), and the results were compared with a single gene sequence data. The RPB2 region was found to contain the most informative characters considering fixed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in each species. Following the same protocol as Pavlic et al (2009), a portion of the RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) was sequenced for the remaining 73 isolates. The type specimens and two specimens related to the types of N. parvum and N. ribis were included for comparison. The nucleotide sequences from one strand were examined with SEQUENCE NAVIGATOR version 1.0.1. software (Perkin-Elmer Applied BioSystems, Inc., Foster City, California) and alignments online using MAFFT 5.667 were prepared version (http://timpani.genome.ad.jp/~mafft/server/) (Katoh et al 2002) to compare it to the data from Pavlic et al (2009).

Phylogenetic analyses

A maximum-parsimony (MP) tree was constructed in PAUP version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2000) using the heuristic search function, with 1000 random addition replicates and tree bisection and reconstruction (TBR) selected as branch swapping algorithm. Gaps were treated as fifth characters and all characters were unordered and of equal weight. Branches of zero length were collapsed and all multiple equally parsimonious trees were saved. To estimate branch support, maximum parsimony bootstrap values were determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985).

Bayesian analyses were performed using MrBayes v. 3.0b4 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) and the best-fitting evolutionary model was estimated using MrModeltest v. 2.2 software (Nylander 2004). The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains were initialised from a random tree and were run for two million generations and trees were saved every hundred generations, counting twenty thousand trees. Burn-in was set at one thousand generations, leaving just over thirty eight thousand (38002) trees from which



the consensus tree was calculated. To determine the confidence of the tree topologies, values of Bayesian posterior probabilities (BPPs) (Rannala and Yang 1996) were estimated using MrBayes (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003).

Morphological characteristics

In an earlier study, the 103 isolates (TABLE I) were induced to sporulate in culture as described in Pavlic et al (2007). Conidia were mounted in lactophenol on microscope slides and inspected by light microscopy. Ten measurements of conidial lengths and widths were taken for each isolate and the ranges and averages, as well as length and width ratio were calculated. Measurements were made and digital photographs taken with a HRc Axiocam digital camera and accompanying Axiovision 3.1 software (Carl Zeiss Ltd., Munich, Germany). SAS® version 8.2 undmc vm/cms statistical software was used to analyse variability in conidial lengths and widths between the isolates. Single conidial cultures grown on 2 % malt extract agar (MEA) at 25 °C under continuous near fluorescent light were used to characterise culture morphology as described previously (Pavlic et al 2007).

Pathogenicity

A total of twenty isolates representing the three new *Neofusicoccum* species and *N. parvum* identified from *S. cordatum*, as well as type specimens of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* (TABLE I) were selected for pathogenicity trials under greenhouse conditions. Isolates obtained from *S. cordatum* were randomly selected for inoculations and all isolates were grown on 2 % MEA at 25 °C under continuous near fluorescent light for seven days prior to inoculation.

Twenty-month old *S. cordatum* saplings were grown in the pots in an open plant nursery and moved into the greenhouse for acclimatization four weeks prior to inoculations. The greenhouse temperature was constant (25 °C) and regular day/night conditions were kept. Trees were inoculated during the Spring–Summer season (October–November 2007). Each isolate was inoculated into stems of ten trees and ten trees were inoculated with sterile MEA plugs as a control. The inoculations were carried out following the procedure described by Pavlic et al (2007). The inoculated trees were arranged in a randomized block design. The trial was repeated under the same conditions.

Tree diameter at the inoculation height and the length of the lesion developed six weeks after inoculations were measured. SAS® version 8.2 undmc vm/cms statistical software was used to analyse variability in lesion lengths between the isolates. We modelled



lesion length as a linear function of greenhouse, fungal species, and isolates nested within the species, interaction of greenhouses and fungal species, and interaction of greenhouses and isolates nested within the species. This model was repeated using tree diameter as the co-variable. The 95 % confidence limits were determined for all means based on full model analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between means were considered significant at the $P \le 0.05$ level.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic analyses

The sequence alignment consisted of 550 characters of which 16 were parsimony informative and were included in the analyses. The parsimony analyses resulted in one most parsimonious tree (CI = 1.0, RI = 1.0) (FIG. 1). MrModeltest v2.2 predicted K80 as an appropriate evolutionary model for Bayesian analyses. The topologies of the trees were identical in the maximum-parsimony and Bayesian consensus analyses. Therefore, only the consensus tree derived from Bayesian analyses is presented, with the parsimony bootstrap values and the posterior probabilities shown at the branches (FIG. 1). The sequences of *N. ribis* were used as an out-group. In-group taxa formed four distinct clades of which one corresponded to *N. parvum*, while the other three clades represent distinct lineages referred to as R1, R2 and R3. The isolates from *S. cordatum* considered in this study grouped within the *N. parvum* clade (n = 43), and clades R1 (n = 15), R2 (n = 14) and R3 (n = 31).

The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank (TABLE I). The sequence alignment and phylogenetic trees have been deposited in TreeBASE as SN4175.

Morphological characteristics

No differences were observed in cultural morphology among the isolates of the different *Neofusicoccum* species analysed in this study. Cultures were initially white with fluffy aerial mycelium, turning pale olivaceous grey from the middle of colony after 3–4 days. They formed thick aerial mycelium, occasionally with columns of the mycelium in the middle of colony reaching the lid. The margins were regular with the reverse sides of the colonies olivaceous grey to black.

Conidial dimensions (lengths and widths) of isolates that belong to the *N. parvum /* N. *ribis* complex from *S. cordatum* are highly variable and overlap among newly recognised species (FIG. 2). As such, these characteristics cannot be used for morphological species



recognition *a priori*. However, *a posteriori* analysis of variance showed that the differences in conidial length and width among phylogenetically recognised species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex were statistically significant ($P \le 0.001$). Therefore, conidial measurements are included in the description of newly recognised phylogenetic species. On average, conidia of *Neofusicoccum* sp. R1 and R2 are longer than those of *Neofusicoccum* sp. 3, and with rounded apices. Conidia of *Neofusicoccum* sp. R1 are on average longer and narrower with a higher length to width ratio than those of *Neofusicoccum* sp. R2, which are shorter and wider with lower length to width ratio. *Neofusicoccum* sp. R3 differ from the *Neofusicoccum* sp. R1 and R2 by conidia that are on average shorter with tapered apices, but they overlap in shape and size with those of *N. parvum* identified in this study, as well as *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* described in previous studies (Slippers et al 2004a). Although the conidia of different ages (2–6 weeks) were examined, as well as after discharge from pycnidia and until germination, no septate conidia were observed for any of newly recognised species or *N. parvum*.

Pathogenicity

All isolates induced lesions on stems of *S. cordatum* saplings within six weeks demonstrating potential pathogenicity of all species. The respective *Neofusicoccum* species that were re-isolated from the edge of the lesions on the inoculated trees were the same as those used for inoculations. Small lesions developed on some trees inoculated with a sterile MEA plugs as controls. No species of Botryosphaeriaceae were re-isolated from controls. Therefore the lesions associated with the controls are considered as reaction of trees to inoculation wounds.

Analyses of variance showed that the interactions between mean lesion lengths produced in two trials were statistically significant ($P \le 0.05$) and therefore data from these trials could not be combined. Data for both trials are presented on the same graph (FIG. 3). Statistical analyses showed that there was no correlation between tree diameter and lesion length. With exception of two *N. parvum* isolates (CMW14143, CMW9079), one isolate of *N. ribis* (CMW7054) and another of *Neofusicoccum* sp. R1 (CMW14151), all the other isolates in trial one produced lesions significantly different from the controls (FIG. 3). Lesions produced by four isolates of *N. parvum* (CMW14080, CMW14143, CMW9079, CMW9080), one of *N. ribis* (CMW7054) and another of *Neofusicoccum* sp. R2 (CMW14140) in the second trial were not significantly different from the control (FIG. 3).



All the other isolates in the second trial produced lesions significantly different from the controls (FIG. 3). Intra-specific variation in mean lesion length was observed for all four species obtained from *S. cordatum* and at the 95 % significance level for some of isolates in both trials (FIG. 3). Mean lesion lengths produced by some of the isolates (CMW14097, 14140, 14155, 14058, 14106) differed significantly between two trials (FIG. 3). In such cases, significantly smaller lesions were observed on the trees that were in better conditions.

TAXONOMY

Based on combined sequence data of five gene regions, four phylogenetic groups were recognised within the *N. parvum / N. ribis* species complex from native *S. cordatum* in South Africa. Three of these groups are closely related, but clearly separated from *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* and are recognised as three undescribed phylogenetic species. These species can only be consistently diagnosed based on genotypic characters. The three new phylogenetic species are therefore described here as follows:

Neofusicoccum cordaticola MB512498 Pavlic, Slippers, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov.

= *Neofusicoccum* sp. R1 *sensu* Pavlic et al Mol Phylogenet Evol 51: 259–268 (2009)

N. cordaticola speciebis aliis in complexo specierum *N. parvi /* N. *ribis* similis; conidia *N. cordaticola* hyalina unicellularia anguste fusiformia vel ovalia apicibus rotundatis $18-28 \times 4.5-7 \mu m$. *N. cordaticola* a speciebus aliis locis 5 nuclearibus differt: ITS1, 5.8S, et ITS2 sitibus 141 (C), 372 (G), et 416 (C); loco 'translation elongation factor (1 α)' dicto sitis 58 (C) et 221 (C); loco ' β -tubulin-2' dicto sitis 32 (T), 96 (T) et 316 (G); loco *BotF15* sitis 121 (T) et 122 (C); et loco 'RNA polymerase II subunit' dicto sitis 100 (A), 112 (T), 265 (A) et 409 (C).

Neofusicoccum cordaticola is morphologically similar to other species in the *N. parvum* / N. *ribis* species complex. Conidia of *N. cordaticola* are hyaline, unicellular, narrowly fusiform to oval, apices rounded $18-28 \times 4.5-7 \mu m$ (av. of 150 conidia $23.3 \times 5.3 \mu m$, l/w 4.3). *N. cordaticola* differs from other species in the *N. parvum* / *N. ribis* complex by uniquely fixed nucleotides in five nuclear loci: internal transcribed spacer rDNA (ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2) position 141 (C), 372 (G), and 416 (C); translation elongation factor (1 α) positions 58 (C), and 221 (C); β -tubulin-2 position 32 (T), 96 (T), and 316 (G); locus *BotF15* position 121 (T), and 122 (C); RNA polymerase II subunit positions 100 (A), 112 (T), 265 (A), and 409 (C).



Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Refers to the host *Syzygium cordatum* from which isolates were collected, (*[in]cola* = an inhabitant).

Habitat: Symptomless branches and leaves, dying branches and pulp of ripe fruits of *Syzygium cordatum*.

Known distribution: South Africa.

HOLOTYPE. SOUTH AFRICA. KWAZULU NATAL PROVINCE: Sodwana bay, on *Syzygium cordatum*, Mar 2002, D. Pavlic, (PREM 60066, a dry culture ex CMW 13992 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 13992 = CBS 123634).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.

Neofusicoccum kwambonambiense MB512499 Pavlic, Slippers, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov.

= *Neofusicoccum* sp. R2 *sensu* Pavlic et al Mol Phylogenet Evol 51: 259–268 (2009)

N. kwambonambiense speciebis aliis in complexo specierum *N. parvi / N. ribis* similis; conidia *N. kwambonambiense* hyalina unicellularia fusiformia vel ellipsoidia apicibus rotundatis $16-28 \times 5-8 \mu m$. *N. kwambonambiense* a speciebus aliis locis 4 nuclearibus differt: ITS1, 5.8S, et ITS2 sitibus 163 (T) et 173 (G); loco ' β -tubulin-2' dicto sitis 175 (T), 235 (A), et 251 (A); loco *BotF15* sitis 87 et 172; loco 'RNA polymerase II subunit' dicto sitis 49 (G), 382 (A), 421 (A), et 526 (C).

Neofusicoccum kwambonambiense is morphologically similar to other related species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* species complex. Conidia of *N. kwambonambiense* are hyaline, unicellular, fusiform to ellipsoid, apices rounded $16-28 \times 5-8 \mu m$ (av. of 140 conidia 22.3 × $6.3 \mu m$, l/w 3.6). *N. kwambonambiense* differs from other species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex by uniquely fixed nucleotides in four nuclear loci: internal transcribed spacer rDNA (ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2) position 163 (T), and 173 (G); β -tubulin-2 position 175 (T), 235 (A), and 251 (A); locus *BotF15* position 87, and 172; RNA polymerase II subunit positions 49 (G), 382 (A), 421 (A), and 526 (C).

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Refers to the town Kwambonambi, South Africa from where the type isolate was collected.

Habitat: Symptomless branches and leaves, dying branches and pulp of ripe fruits of *Syzygium cordatum*.

Known distribution: South Africa.



HOLOTYPE. SOUTH AFRICA. KWAZULU NATAL PROVINCE: Kwambonambi, on *Syzygium cordatum*, Mar 2002, D. Pavlic, (PREM 60067, a dry culture ex CMW 14023 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 14023 = CBS 123639).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.

Neofusicoccum umdonicola MB512500 Pavlic, Slippers, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov.

= *Neofusicoccum* sp. R3 *sensu* Pavlic et al Mol Phylogenet Evol 51: 259–268 (2009)

N. umdonicola speciebis aliis in complexo specierum *N. parvi / N. ribis* similis; conidia *N. umdonicola* hyalina unicellularia fusiformia vel ovalia apicibus angustatis $15-23.5 \times 4.5-6.5 \mu m$. *N. umdonicola* a speciebus aliis locis 4 nuclearibus differt: ITS1, 5.8S, et ITS2) situ 168 (C); loco 'translation elongation factor (1 α)' dicto situ 62 (T); loco ' β -tubulin-2'dicto situ 40 (A); loco 'RNA polymerase II subunit' dicto situ 280 (T).

Neofusicoccum umdonicola is morphologically similar to other related species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* species complex. Conidia of *N. umdonicola* are hyaline, unicellular, fusiform to oval, apices tapered $15-23.5 \times 4.5-6.5 \mu m$ (av. of 310 conidia $19.4 \times 5.5 \mu m$, l/w 3.5). *N. umdonicola* differs from other species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex by uniquely fixed nucleotides in four nuclear loci: internal transcribed spacer rDNA (ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2) position 168 (C); translation elongation factor (1- α) positions 62 (T); β -tubulin-2 position 40 (A); RNA polymerase II subunit position 280 (T).

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Refers to common Zulu and also KZN-english name, Umdoni for the *Syzygium cordatum*, the host from which isolates were obtained, (*[in]cola* = an inhabitant).

Habitat: Symptomless branches and leaves, dying branches and pulp of ripe fruits of *Syzygium cordatum*.

Known distribution: South Africa.

HOLOTYPE. SOUTH AFRICA. KWAZULU NATAL PROVINCE: Kosi bay, on *Syzygium cordatum*, Mar 2002, D. Pavlic, (PREM 60068, a dry culture ex CMW 14058 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 14058 = CBS 123645).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.

DISCUSSION

In this study we described three phylogenetic species within the *N. parvum / N. ribis* species complex from native *S. cordatum* in South Africa, namely *Neofusicoccum cordaticola, N.*



kwambonambiense and *N. umdonicola*. These species were recognized previously (Pavlic et al 2009) using the genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR) as a form of phylogenetic species concept (PSC) (Taylor et al 2000), based on DNA sequence data for five nuclear loci. The phylogenetic species are characterized primarily by fixed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (O'Donell et al 2004, Grünig et al 2008) that were identified for each of three species described in this study. Although many cryptic, phylogenetic species have been recently recognized in the fungal kingdom, there are very few descriptions of these species. This is the first description of phylogenetic species in the Botryosphaeriaceae using sequence data as defining characters.

Neofusicoccum umdonicola is the sister species to *N. ribis*. Despite the fact that these two species can be distinguished using DNA sequence data from multiple loci, these two species cannot be separated from each other, or from *N. parvum* using conidial morphology observed in this study. Slippers et al (2004a) used septation of conidia to distinguish *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*, but such septa were not observed in this study for any of the newly described species or *N. parvum*. Since conidial septation is not a constant character in these *Neofusicoccum* spp. it cannot be used as a reliable feature in separation and identification of these species.

The pathogenicity trials showed that N. umdonicola is the most aggressive to S. cordatum of all five species tested in this study. There is no significant difference in pathogenicity between N. cordaticola and N. kwambonambiense to S. cordatum, but they both appear to be significantly more aggressive to this host then N. parvum and N. ribis. Barring N. ribis, all of these species were isolated from S. cordatum growing in close association with commercially grown *Eucalyptus* plantations in South Africa. In an earlier study isolates of N. parvum, N. cordaticola and N. kawambonambiense (the latter two species were then identified as N. ribis sensu lato (Pavlic et al 2007)) were recognized as more aggressive to Eucalyptus than to S. cordatum in greenhouse trials. In the field pathogenicity trials on different Eucalyptus clones grown commercially in Venezuela (Mohali et al 2009) and Colombia (Rodas et al 2009), isolates identified as 'N. ribis' were shown to be highly aggressive to Eucalyptus. It is possible that some of these isolates represent cryptic species in the N. parvum / N. ribis complex. The trials conducted on different *Eucalyptus* clones in Venezuela showed that *N. parvum* was significantly more aggressive than N. ribis (Mohali et al 2009). Clearly, most of the members of the N. parvum / N. ribis complex have potential to become important pathogens to native and commercially grown Myrtaceae.



All three new species grow endophytically on different parts of *S. cordatum* tree. These include symptomless twigs, leaves and fruits. More than one species were commonly found within a single tree and even within one leaf or one fruit. Species of Botryosphaeriaceae are known as endophytes that grow within different plant tissues without exhibiting any disease symptoms (Smith et al 1996, Pavlic et al 2004, Slippers and Wingfield 2007) and were also identified as seed-born, for example *N. parvum* in *Podocarpus falcatus* and *Prunus africana* seeds (Gure et al 2005). As endophytes they can be easily moved into new regions and pose an equally serious threat to native and cultivated plants alike (Burgess and Wingfield 2002, Slippers and Wingfield 2007). Occurrence of more than one species within a small piece of plant tissue or in one fruit of *S. cordatum* implies that more than one species can be easily introduced into a new area with this plant material. This is important, given that these new species are more aggressive than known species *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* on *Syzygium*.

The correct identification of plant pathogenic fungi is of utmost importance for quarantine and control measures. A PCR-RFLP fingerprinting technique was developed to distinguish *sensu lato* groups of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* (Slippers 2003). Recently, Alves et al (2007) designed MSP-PCR (microsatellite-primed polymerase chain reaction) and rep-PCR (repetitive-sequence-based polymerase chain reaction) fingerprinting methodologies for rapid identification of species of Botryosphaeriaceae, including closely related species such as *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*, or *N. luteum* and *N. australe*. Such PCR based methodologies are quick and reliable for the identification of large numbers of isolates and development of such methods for the identification of new *Neofusicoccum* species should be considered in future studies. The isolates recognized in previous studies (Slippers 2003, Slippers et al 2005, Mohali et al 2009, Rodas et al 2009) as *N. ribis sensu lato* group, based on PCR-RFLP profiles, should be re-evaluated since these groups can comprise cryptic species, such as those described in this study. As it was shown in Pavlic et al. (2009), the RPB2 sequences are the most valuable for delimitation of species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex.

In many studies on Botryosphaeriaceae, preliminary groupings of isolates have been based on cultural and conidial morphology (e.g. Slippers et al 2004a, Burgess et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007, 2008). In those studies, groups identified based on morphological characters were usually found congruent with those recognized based on DNA sequence data and *vice versa*. Interestingly, in our earlier study on Botryosphaeriaceae from *S. cordatum* in South Africa, differences in conidial morphology were used to select isolates from *N*.



parvum / N. ribis group for further ITS rDNA sequencing (Pavlic et al 2007). Groups recognized based on differences in conidial morphology were consistent with groupings observed within *N. parvum / N. ribis* clade based on ITS sequences. These observations initiated further study on this group of isolates and recognition of cryptic species based on multiple gene genealogies (Pavlic et al 2009). Despite its use in selection of isolates for further study, the variation amongst the larger group of isolates was continuous and overlapping between what was later identified as distinct species. The morphological characters alone were thus insufficient for confident identification of all isolates representing the species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex.

The use of molecular tools and specifically DNA sequence data allowed us to detect and discriminate numerous new species. Without these powerful tools, closely related or cryptic species and species complexes would stay unrecognised. However, morphological and other phenotypic characteristics such as pathogenicity cannot be underestimated, because differences in these characteristics may indicate presence of cryptic species and present valuable data in their delimitation, as it is shown in this study. Thus, an integrated approach imperative in species delineation and identification should be of Botryosphaeriaceae as it was suggested in the other studies (Dayrat 2005, Roe and Sperling 2007).

The species described in this study are only recognised from native *Syzygium cordatum*. These species were not recognised during intensive studies on related or other non-native hosts grown in close proximity (Jacobs 2002, Slippers et al 2004b). This indicates that more studies should be focus on identification of fungal species on native trees. They are clearly a source of fungal diversity, which could serve as a source of inoculum onto economically important crops. Furthermore, such studies on fungi on native trees will give us an opportunity to extend our knowledge about the natural history, ecology and biogeography of fungal biodiversity that is at present poorly understood.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ahumada R. 2002. Diseases in commercial *Eucalyptus* plantations in Chile, with special reference to *Mycosphaerella* and *Botryosphaeria* species. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Alves A, Phillips AJL, Henriques I, Correia A. 2007. Rapid differentiation of species of Botryosphaeriaceae by PCR fingerprinting. Res Microbiol 158:112–121.



- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GEStJ. 2005. *Botryosphaeria* spp. associated with eucalypts in Western Australia including description of *Fusicoccum macroclavatum* sp. nov. Aust Plant Path 34:557–567.
- Burgess TI, Sakalidis M, Hardy GEStJ. 2006. Gene flow of the canker pathogen *Botryosphaeria australis* between *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations and native eucalypt forests in Western Australia. Austral Ecol 31:559–566.
- Burgess TI, Wingfield MJ. 2002. Quarantine is important in restricting the spread of exotic seed-borne tree pathogens in the southern hemisphere. Int Forest Rev 4:56–65.
- Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WFO, Phillips AJL, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ. 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Stud Mycol 55:235–253.
- Dayrat B. 2005. Towards integrative taxonomy. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 85:407–415.
- Denman S, Crous PW, Taylor JE, Kang JC, Pascoe I, Wingfield MJ. 2000. An overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* and a re-evaluation of its anamorphs based on morphology and ITS rDNA phylogeny. Stud Mycol 45:129–140.
- de Wet J, Burgess T, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2003. Multiple gene genealogies and microsatellite markers reflect relationships between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* and distinguish a new species of *Diplodia*. Mycol Res 107:557–566.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence intervals on phylogenetics: an approach using bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.
- Geiser DM, Pitt JI, Taylor JW. 1998. Cryptic speciation and recombination in the aflatoxinproducing fungus *Aspergillus flavus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:388–393.
- Grünig CR, Duò A, Sieber TN, Holdenrieder O. 2008. Assignment of species rank to six reproductively isolated cryptic species of the *Phialocephala fortinii* s.l.-*Acephala applanata* species complex. Mycologia 100:47–67.
- Gure A, Slippers B, Stenlid J. 2005. Seed-borne *Botryosphaeria* spp. from native *Prunus* and *Podocarpus* trees in Ethiopia, with a description of the anamorph *Diplodia rosulata* sp. nov. Mycol Res 109:1005–1014.
- Jacobs R. 2002. Characterisation of *Botryosphaeria* species from mango in South Africa. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.



- Jacobs KA, Rehner SA. 1998. Comparison of cultural and morphological characters and ITS sequences in anamorphs of *Botryosphaeria* and related taxa. Mycologia 90:601–610.
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30:3059–3066.
- Koufopanou V, Burt A, Taylor JW. 1997. Concordance of gene genealogies reveals reproductive isolation in the pathogenic fungus *Coccidioides immitis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:5478–5482.
- Mohali SR, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Pathogenicity of seven species of the Botryosphaeriaceae on *Eucalyptus* clones in Venezuela. Aust Plant Path 38:135–140.
- Nylander JAA. 2004. MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Tacke BK, Casper HH. 2000a. Gene genealogies reveal global phylogeographic structure and reproductive isolation among lineages of *Fusarium graminearum*, the fungus causing wheat scab. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:7905–7910.
- O'Donnell K, Nirenberg HI, Aoki T, Cigelnik E. 2000b. A multigene phylogeny of the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex: detection of additional phylogenetically distinct species. Mycoscience 41:61–78.
- O'Donnell K, Ward TJ, Geiser DM, Kistler HC, Aoki T. 2004. Genealogical concordance between the mating type locus and seven other nuclear genes supports formal recognition of nine phylogenetically distinct species within the *Fusarium graminearum* clade. Fungal Genet Biol 41:600–623.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ. 2004. Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis sp. nov., a new Botryosphaeria anamorph from native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:313–322.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. Plant Path 56:624–636.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae: A case study on the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex Mol Phylogenet Evol 51:259–268.



- Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Barber P, Slippers B, Hardy GEStJ, Burgess TI. 2008. Seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native trees in Western Australia. Mycologia 100:851–866.
- Pennycook SR, Samuels GJ. 1985. *Botryosphaeria* and *Fusicoccum* species associated with ripe fruit rot of *Actinidia deliciosa* (Kiwifruit) in New Zealand. Mycotaxon 24:445–458.
- Pringle A, Baker DM, Platt JL, Wares JP, Latgé JP, Taylor JW. 2005. Cryptic speciation in the cosmopolitan and clonal human pathogenic fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Evolution 59:1886–1899.
- Rodas CA, Slippers B, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Botryosphaeriaceae associated with *Eucalyptus* canker diseases in Colombia. For Path 39:110–123.
- Roe AD, Sperling FAH. 2007. Population structure and species boundary delimitation of cryptic *Dioryctria* moths: an integrative approach. Mol Ecol 16:3617–3633.
- Rannala B, Yang Z. 1996. Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: A new method of phylogenetic inference. J Mol Evol 43:304–311.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes: bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574.
- Slippers B. 2003. Taxonomy, phylogeny- and ecology of botryosphaeriaceous fungi occurring on various woody hosts. Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Slippers B, Crous PW, Denman S, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004a. Combined multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several species previously identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Mycologia 96:83–101.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ. 2004b. Speciation and distribution of *Botryosphaeria* spp. on native and introduced *Eucalyptus* trees in Australia and South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:343–358.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004c. Multiple gene sequences delimit *Botryosphaeria australis* sp. nov. from *B. lutea*. Mycologia 96:1028–1039.
- Slippers B, Summerell BA, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Preliminary studies on *Botryosphaeria* species from *Wollemia nobilis* and related southern hemisphere conifers in Australasia and South Africa. Aust Plant Path 34:213–220.



- Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae as endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: diversity, ecology and impact. Fungal Biol Rev 21:90–106.
- Smith H, Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD. 2001. Botryosphaeria eucalyptorum sp. nov., a new species in the B. dothidea-complex on Eucalyptus in South Africa. Mycologia 93:277–285.
- Smith H, Wingfield MJ, Petrini O. 1996. *Botryosphaeria dothidea* endophytic in *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Eucalyptus nitens* in South Africa. Forest Ecol Manag 89: 189–195.
- Steenkamp ET, Wingfield BD, Desjardins AE, Marasas WFO, Wingfield MJ. 2002. Cryptic speciation in *Fusarium subglutinans*. Mycologia 94:1032–1043.
- Swofford DL. 2000. PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser DM, Hibbett DS, Fisher MC. 2000. Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. Fungal Genet Biol 31:21–32.
- Zhou S, Stanosz GR. 2001. Relationships among *Botryosphaeria* species and associated anamorphic fungi inferred from the analyses of ITS and 5.8S rDNA sequences. Mycologia 93:516–527.



TA	BLE	I.	Isolates	considered	in	this	study
							~

Culture	Other no. ¹	Identity	Geographic origin ⁵	Host	Substratum	<u>GenBank</u> ⁶ RPB2
CMW13992 ^a	CBS123634	Neofusicoccum cordaticola	SA, Sodwana Bay	Syzygium cordatum	twig	EU821928
CMW14035 ^c		N. cordaticola	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389275
CMW14041		N. cordaticola	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389277
CMW14042		N. cordaticola	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389276
CMW14056 ^d	CBS123635	N. cordaticola	SA, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	EU821933
CMW14054	CBS123636	N. cordaticola	SA, Mkuze	S. cordatum	twig	EU821936
CMW14144		N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389269
CMW14145		N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389271
CMW14147		N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389270
CMW14148		N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389274
CMW14149		N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389268
CMW14150		N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389273
CMW14151	CBS123637	N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	twig	EU821952
CMW14152		N. cordaticola	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389272
CMW14124 ^h	CBS123638	N. cordaticola	SA, Richards Bay	S. cordatum	fruit	EU821955
CMW14023	CBS123639	Neofusicoccum kwambonambiense	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	EU821930
CMW14025 ^b	CBS123640	N. kwambonambiense	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	EU821931
CMW14031		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389280
CMW14046		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389282
CMW14136		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389286
CMW14140 ^g	CBS123641	N. kwambonambiense	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	EU821949
CMW14153		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389285
CMW14154		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389283
CMW14155	CBS123645	N. kwambonambiense	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	fruit	EU821953
CMW14156		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Sabie	S. cordatum	fruit	FJ389284
CMW14119		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Richards Bay	S. cordatum	fruit	FJ389279
CMW14120		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Richards Bay	S. cordatum	fruit	FJ389248
CMW14121		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Richards Bay	S. cordatum	fruit	FJ389281
CMW14123 ^h	CBS123643	N. kwambonambiense	SA, Richards Bay	S. cordatum	fruit	EU821954
CMW13990 ^a		Neofusicoccum umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389310
CMW13991		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389293
CMW13993		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389306
CMW13994		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389300
CMW13995		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389298
CMW13997		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389289
CMW14006		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389295
CMW14007		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389303
CMW14106	CBS123644	N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	leaf	EU821929
CMW14008		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389287
CMW14010		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389304
CMW14012		N. umdonicola	SA, Sodwana Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389290
CMW14016		N. umdonicola	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389297
CMW14028		N. umdonicola	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389294
CMW14055 ^d		N. umdonicola	SA. Kosi Bav	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389305
CMW14057		N. umdonicola	SA. Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389301
CMW14058	CBS123645	N. umdonicola	SA. Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	EU821934
CMW1/008	200120070	N umdonicola	SA Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FI380288
0101010000		maconeon	, 1001 Duy	S. COraannin		



TABLE I. Continued

Culture no. ^{1, 2, 3, 4}	Other no. ¹	Identity	Geographic origin ⁵	Host	Substratum	<u>GenBank</u> ⁶ RPB2
CMW14099		N. umdonicola	SA, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389307
CMW14059		N. umdonicola	SA, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389291
CMW14060	CBS123646	N. umdonicola	SA, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	EU821935
CMW14100		N. umdonicola	SA, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389299
CMW14101		N. umdonicola	SA, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389311
CMW14068		N. umdonicola	SA, Kosi Bay	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389309
CMW14047		N. umdonicola	SA, Mkuze	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389308
CMW14051		N. umdonicola	SA. Mkuze	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389292
CMW14096 ^e		N. umdonicola	SA. Port St Johns	S. cordatum	leaf	EU821943
CMW14079 ^f	CBS123647	N. umdonicola	SA. Gonubie	S. cordatum	leaf	EU821945
CMW14127	CBS123648	N umdonicola	SA Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	fruit	EU821956
CMW14125	000120010	N umdonicola	SA Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	fruit	EU021750
CMW14125		N. umdonicola	SA Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	fruit	FI389302
CMW14018		Neofusicoccum parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FI380333
CMW14010		N parww	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	EI280217
CMW14019		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FI380321
CMW14022		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FI380322
CMW14024		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FI389320
CMW14027 ^b		N parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FI389339
CMW14029		N parvum	SA Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	EU821932
CMW14030		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	EU021702
CMW14032 ^c		N. parvum	SA. Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389332
CMW14036		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389318
CMW14038		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389335
CMW14039		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389316
CMW14040		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389334
CMW14045		N. parvum	SA, Kwambonambi	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389314
CMW14081		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389338
CMW14082		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	EU821937
CMW14085	CBS123649	N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	leaf	EU821938
CMW14086		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389312
CMW14087		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	EU821939
CMW14088		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	EU821940
CMW14089		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	leaf	EU821941
CMW14090		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389336
CMW14091		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389337
CMW14092		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389315
CMW14093		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389323
CMW14094		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	EU821942
CMW14095		N. parvum	SA, Pietermaritzburg	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389329
CMW14097 ^e	CBS123650	N. parvum	SA, Port St. Johns	S. cordatum	leaf	EU821944
CMW14080 ^f	CBS123651	N. parvum	SA, Gonubie	S. cordatum	leaf	EU821946
CMW14112		N. parvum	SA, Tokai, Cape Town	S. cordatum	leaf	FJ389326
CMW14128		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389313
CMW14129		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	EU821947
CMW14130		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389327
CMW14133		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389330
CMW14134		IN. parvum	SA, I zaneen	5. cordatum	twig	FJ389328



TABLE I. Continued

Culture no. ^{1, 2, 3, 4}	Other no. ¹	Identity	Geographic origin ⁵	Host	Substratum	<u>GenBank</u> ⁶ RPB2
CMW14135		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	EU821948
CMW14137		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389324
CMW14138		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389325
CMW14139		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389340
CMW14141 ^g		N. parvum	SA, Tzaneen	S. cordatum	twig	EU821950
CMW14142		N. parvum	SA, Palaborwa	S. cordatum	twig	FJ389331
CMW14143	CBS123652	N. parvum	SA, Palaborwa	S. cordatum	twig	EU821951
CMW27901		N. parvum	SA, Pretoria	S. cordatum	twig	EU821957
CMW9079	ICMP7933	N. parvum	New Zealand	Actinidia deliciosa		EU821961
CMW9080	ICMP8002	N. parvum	New Zealand	Populus nigra		EU821962
CMW9081	ICMP8003	N. parvum	New Zealand	Populus nigra		EU821963
CMW7772		Neofusicoccum ribis	USA, New York	Ribis sp.		EU821958
CMW7773		N. ribis	USA, New York	Ribis sp.		EU821959
CMW7054	CBS121.26	N. ribis	USA, New York	Ribis rubrum		EU821960

¹ Abbreviations of culture collections: CMW = Tree Protection Co-operative Programme, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa; CBS = Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures Utrecht, The Netherlands; ICMP = International Collection of Microorganisms from Plants, Auckland, New Zealand.

² Isolates used in pathogenicity trials are given in bold.

³ All isolates other than CMW9079, CMW9080, CMW9081, CMW7772, CMW7773, and CMW7054 were collected by D. Pavlic.

⁴ Isolates of different *Neofusicoccum* spp. collected from a single tree or from one leaf, twig or fruit are marked with the same latter.

 5 SA = South Africa.

⁶ Sequence numbers in italics were obtained from the GenBank public database. All others were obtained in this study.



FIG. 1. Consensus phylogram of 38002 trees resulting from Bayesian analyses of the RNA polymerase II subunit (RPB2) sequence data of the *Neofusicoccum* species in the *N. parvum* / *N. ribis* complex. The tree is rooted to sequences of *Neofusicoccum ribis*. Bootstrap values of maximum parsimony analyses are indicated above the branches followed by the posterior probabilities resulting from Bayesian analysis (indicated in italics).







FIG. 2. The averages of the lengths and widths of ten conidia measured for each of 103 isolates representing *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex from *Syzygium cordatum*.







FIG. 3. Mean lesion lengths (mm) obtained for each isolate of different species of the *Neofusicoccum* six weeks after inoculations on *Syzygium cordatum*. Bars represent 95 % confidence limits for each isolate. *N. parvum* (CMW14097, 14080, 14085, 14143, 9079, 9080); *N. ribis* (CMW7772, 7054); *N. cordaticola* (CMW13992, 14056, 14151, 14124), *N. kwambonambiense* (CMW14023, 14140, 14155, 14123); *N. umdonicola* (CMW14106, 14058, 14079, 14096); C = Control.







Chapter 4

Cryptic diversity and distribution of species in the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex as revealed by microsatellite markers

Submitted as: Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Slippers B. 2009. Cryptic diversity and distribution of species in the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex as revealed by microsatellite markers. Molecular Ecology.



ABSTRACT

Delineation of cryptic species by molecular identification tools is drastically changing our view of fungal species diversity and distribution. For example, the Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis species complex (Botryosphaeriaceae, Ascomycetes) was thought to consist of two sister species, but genealogical concordance species recognition has led to the recent delineation of five cryptic sibling species in this complex. Their cryptic nature and the small number of isolates available in previous studies has, however, led to questions regarding the distinction, diversity and distribution of N. cordaticola, N. kwambonambiense, N. *umdonicola* and *N. parvum* on native *Syzygium cordatum* trees. Microsatellite markers were thus used to investigate inter- and intra-species genetic diversity and structure amongst 114 isolates in this complex from across the distribution of S. cordatum in South Africa. The microsatellite data support the fact that four distinct species exist sympatrically on this host. The distribution of these species on S. cordatum shows very clear structure across the S. cordatum distribution, with N. parvum isolates being dominant and most abundant in areas influenced by humans, and absent in isolated natural stands of these trees. Neofusicoccum parvum populations from S. cordatum in disturbed environments were also structured, with those from trees growing alongside stands of non-native *Eucalyptus* less genetically diverse than trees planted in urban environments. These results suggest a strong influence of human activity on the composition of Neofusicoccum species on S. cordatum and that cross infections between native and non-native plants are important in structuring the diversity of these fungi.



INTRODUCTION

The availability and improvement of molecular tools have led to the identification of numerous cryptic species in the fungal kingdom. The ability to efficiently identify such cryptic species has consequently substantially changed our understanding of species diversity and distribution (Taylor et al 2006, Bickford et al 2007). This is also true for many plant pathogenic fungi affecting economically important crops. These fungi might, however, have the ability to also infect surrounding native vegetation. To fully understand their ecological role, diversity and distribution these pathogens must not be viewed separately from those occurring on plants in the surrounding natural ecosystems.

The *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* species complex (Botryosphaeriaceae, Ascomycetes) (Crous et al 2006) was thought to include two sister species that are fungal plant pathogens on a variety of woody hosts, most frequently reported from the Southern Hemisphere (Slippers and Wingfield 2007, de Wet et al 2008). These fungi have been recorded on cultivated, economically important non-native fruit and forestry trees (Slippers et al 2004b, 2007, Mohali et al 2007) and also on trees in native ecosystems (Slippers et al 2005, Burgess et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007). They are also commonly isolated as endophytes that reside in asymptomatic plant tissues of numerous woody hosts (Slippers and Wingfield 2007). This makes them ideal candidates for undetected, long distance dispersal by humans, together with plant germplasm traded for agriculture and forestry.

Species that belong to the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex were the most abundant species of Botryosphaeriaceae isolated from the native tree, *Syzygium cordatum* (Myrtaceae) across its native range in South Africa (Pavlic et al 2007). In that study, *N. parvum sensu lato* and *N. ribis s. l.* were identified based on ITS sequence comparison and PCR-RFLP profiles. In more recent studies, three undescribed species from this host were discovered using a Genealogical Concordance Phylogenetic Species Recognition (GCPSR) approach based on multiple locus sequence data (Pavlic et al 2009a). These were described as the phylogenetic species *N. cordaticola, N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola* (Pavlic et al 2009b). These three cryptic species are known only from *S. cordatum* in South Africa, while *N. parvum* has been found on many different hosts in the country, including native *S. cordatum* (Pavlic et al 2007), closely related to *Eucalyptus* in non-native plantations (Slippers *et al.* 2004b) and on the unrelated mango (Jacobs 2002).

A limited number of isolates was considered in GCPSR of *N. cordaticola, N. kwambonambiense, N. umdonicola* and *N. parvum* on *S. cordatum* by Pavlic et al (2009a),



which leaves a number of questions regarding the distribution and interaction of these species unanswered. The small number of fixed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in sequenced loci in that study also demands further evidence to support distinction of the phylogenetic species. Considering the sub-clades observed in the *N. parvum* clade in combined multi-gene genealogies, the question as to whether other cryptic species also exist in this group has been raised. In order to clarify these questions, verification using an additional molecular tool is required.

Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) or microsatellite markers are frequently applied in population genetic studies on a variety of fungal species. However, microsatellite markers have also been utilised to provide an additional molecular tool to be used in the delineation of closely related human pathogenic fungi, which had initially been recognised as phylogenetic species based on GCPSR (e.g. Fisher et al 2000, Taylor and Fisher 2003, Matute et al 2006). In the case of the Botryosphaeriaceae, Burgess et al (2001) distinguished morphotypes of *D. sapinea* with microsatellite markers. Two of these morphotypes were later shown to represent the distinct species, *Diplodia pinea* and *D. scrobiculata*, by de Wet et al (2003) using GCPSR. In these studies, the microsatellite markers were useful to type strains and species, in support of GCPSR, because they can easily be applied to large numbers of isolates, they are reproducible and they often reveal more diversity than sequence analyses alone.

Microsatellite markers have previously been developed for Botryosphaeriaceae with *Fusicoccum*-like anamorphs, which include *Neofusicoccum* species (Slippers et al 2004a). In this study, we use these markers to: (i) test the GCPSR based hypothesis of Pavlic et al (2009a) regarding the coexistence of four cryptic species, *N. parvum*, *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola*, in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex on *S. cordatum* in South Africa, (ii) analyse their inter- and intra-species genetic structure and diversity and (iii) map their geographical distribution on *S. cordatum* trees in natural stands and in undisturbed sites or areas disturbed by human activity such as trees growing along non-native *Eucalyptus* plantations or those planted as ornamentals in urban areas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal isolates

The isolates used in this study were collected during a survey of the Botryosphaeriaceae on native *Syzygium cordatum* in different geographical locations of South Africa, between



February 2001 and March 2003 (TABLE I, II, FIG. 1). *Syzygium cordatum* trees do not grow in persistent forests, but rather in patches or as solitary trees and sampling areas were defined accordingly. Of the 114 isolates used in this study, 81 were collected from natural stands of *S. cordatum* that are isolated in natural reserves or are growing alongside *Eucalyptus* plantations (8 sites) and 33 isolates were collected from planted *S. cordatum* in urban areas (4 sites) (TABLE I, II, FIG. 1). Between 1 and 45 trees were sampled from each site. From each tree, isolations were made from dying twigs and, visually healthy twig and leaf tissues as described by Pavlic et al (2007). All isolates were identified in previous studies to belong to the *N. parvum / N. ribis* species complex including *N. parvum* (48 isolates), *N. cordaticola* (17), *N. kwambonambiense* (14) and *N. umdonicola* (35), based on DNA sequence data of at least one locus and PCR-RFLP analysis (Pavlic et al 2007, 2009a, b). All cultures used in this study are maintained in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa and representative isolates have been deposited in the collection of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, The Netherlands.

DNA extraction, microsatellite-PCR amplification and genotyping

The haploid, single conidial cultures were grown on 2 % malt extract agar (MEA) (20 g malt extract, 15 g agar; Biolab, Midrand, Johannesburg, S.A. and 1000 mL deionised water) for 7 days at 25 °C in the dark. DNA was extracted from the mycelium following the modified phenol-chloroform DNA extraction method described in Pavlic et al (2007). DNA was separated by electrophoresis on 1.5 % agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under ultraviolet light. DNA concentrations were estimated against λ standard size marker. Seven loci that contained microsatellite sequences were amplified for all isolates, using fluorescently-labeled primer pairs designed for species of Botryosphaeriaceae with Fusicoccum-like anamorphs (Slippers et al 2004a). PCR reactions were performed using an Eppendorf Mastercycler PERSONAL (Perkin-Elmer, Germany) and the following protocol: 94 °C for 2 min initial denaturation; 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 or 62 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min; and 72 °C for 7 min final extension. PCR products were separated in 2 % agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. Sizes of PCR products were estimated by comparison with a 100 bp molecular weight marker (Fermentas Life Sciences). Allele sizes of labeled microsatellite-PCR products were determined on an ABI PRISM 3100[™] automated DNA sequencer (Perkin-Elmer, Warrington, U.K.) and



compared against a GENESCAN-500 LIZ (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems, Warrington, U.K.) internal size standard. Because of the overlap of fragment sizes for some of the amplicons, two separate gels were run for each sample. Allele sizes were analyzed with GENESCAN 3.7 and GENOTYPER 3 software (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Microsatellite analyses

The Bayesian clustering algorithm in the program STRUCTURE version 2.2 (Pritchard et al 2000, Falush et al 2003) was used to determine whether isolates in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex could be subdivided into *K* genetically distinct groups. STRUCTURE assumes a model in which there are *K* populations (where *K* may be unknown), each of which is characterized by a set of allele frequencies at each locus. Individuals in the sample are probabilistically assigned to a single population, or jointly to two or more populations if their genotypes indicate that they are admixed. To determine the most likely number of genetically distinct groups or clusters (*K*) in the sample, 20 independent runs of *K* = 1–10 were carried out at 100 000 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) repetitions following a burn-in of 20 000 iterations. The program was run assuming no admixture among the populations, prior mean of F_{ST} 0.01, standard deviation (SD) of F_{ST} 0.05 and constant lambda value at 1. The most probable number of clusters was taken using the highest mean log-likelihood of *K*. The analyses were run with a clone-corrected data set where only one of each of the genotypes was included in the analyses.

The program POPGENE version 1.31 (Yeh et al 1999) was used to calculate allele frequencies at each microsatellite locus and to estimate genetic diversity across all loci for each of four populations representing four species identified in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from *S. cordatum*. For each population, the observed number of alleles (n_a), number of unique alleles, number and percentage of polymorphic loci (P) and mean genetic diversity across all loci (H), which was calculated as $H = 1-\Sigma x_k^2$, where x_k is the frequency of the k^{th} allele (Nei 1973), were evaluated.

Three geographically defined populations of *N. parvum* collected in South Africa from *S. cordatum* in Kwambonambi (KWM), Pietermaritzburg (PTM) and Tzaneen (TZ) were further analysed. For each isolate, a data matrix of multistate characters was composed by assigning a different letter to each allele at each of 7 loci (e.g. ABDCEFB), and the total



number of multilocus genotypes across the dataset was determined. Each genotype was assigned a unique number and genotypic diversity (*G*) was calculated using the equation $G = n / n - 1 (1 - \sum p_i^2)$ where p_i is the observed frequency of the *i*th genotype and *n* is the number of individuals sampled in the population (Stoddart and Taylor 1998). To compare genotypic diversity (*G*) between populations, the maximum percentage of genotypic diversity was obtained using the equation $\hat{G} = G/N \times 100$, where *N* is the population size (Chen et al 1994). Isolates with the same genotype were considered to be clones and only one representative of each genotype was included in the analyses.

The analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was carried out using software GENALEX version 6.1 (Peakall and Smouse 2006). We examine the partitioning of genetic variation among and within the three geographically defined (Kwambonambi, Pietermaritzburg and Tzaneen) populations of *N. parvum*. Analysis was performed on clone-corrected datasets, where only one representative of each genotype was included in the analysis, to prevent over-representation of alleles in frequently occurring clones.

RESULTS

Genetic structure and diversity

Six clusters were identified using STRUCTURE analyses with no prior population knowledge assumed. The identified clusters supported the species distinctions recognized by Pavlic et al (2009a, b) based on multiple gene sequence genealogies, and they distinguished added subdivision in *N. parvum*. Isolates of each of the three species, *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola*, were grouped in three different clusters (1–3), showing no further substructure within populations of these species. The three remaining clusters (4–6) contained only *N. parvum* isolates (FIG. 2) representing subdivision of this species into three sub-populations.

For the entire dataset of 114 isolates that belong to *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from native *S. cordatum*, a total of 61 alleles were observed across seven loci examined (TABLE III). There were 26 alleles detected amongst the *N. cordaticola* individuals, 16 alleles amongst the *N. kwambonambiense* individuals, 15 alleles amongst the *N. umdonicola* individuals and 38 alleles in the *N. parvum* populations (TABLE III). Only one allele was shared among all four species. Private alleles were identified in each of these groups that represent the species. Twenty private alleles were detected in *N. parvum*, eight in *N. cordaticola*, four in *N. kwambonambiense*, and two in *N. umdonicola* (TABLE III). The mean



total gene diversity across all isolates was the highest in N. parvum (H = 0.57). Moderate

gene diversity was observed in *N. cordaticola* (H = 0.44) and low gene diversity in *N. kwambonambiense* (H = 0.27) and *N. umdonicola* populations (H = 0.21) (TABLE III).

Genotypic diversity of N. parvum

Twenty-three genotypes were observed among 48 isolates of *N. parvum* from *S. cordatum* in South Africa. The three geographically defined populations of *N. parvum* encompassed a total of 41 isolates represented by 22 genotypes, four of which were from the Kwambonambi (KWM) population and nine in each of the Pietermaritzburg (PTM) and the Tzaneen (TZ) populations (TABLE IV). The maximum genotypic diversity (\hat{G}) of the *N. parvum* populations was moderate to high for populations PTM (62.8 %) and TZ (77.5 %) and low in the KWM population (36 %) (FIG. 3, TABLE IV). The low diversity in the KWM population was due to the predominance of a single genotype (S14), which accounted for 66 % of the isolates collected in Kwambonambi area (10 of 15 isolates). Genotype S14 was the only genotype shared between populations of *N. parvum* from Kwambonambi and Pietermaritzburg and three genotypes (S9, S11 and S12) were shared between the PTM and TZ populations. There were no genotypes shared between KWM and TZ populations. From the AMOVA analysis that was applied to the *N. parvum* dataset, the highest fraction of variability (96 %) was within populations and only 4 % among the geographic populations.

Distribution of Neofusicoccum spp. on S. cordatum

The occurrence of the four *Neofusicoccum* species on *S. cordatum* varied significantly for the twelve collection sites (FIG. 4). In ten of the twelve areas, one of the species was dominant (FIG. 4). *Neofusicoccum parvum* was the only species identified in Pietermaritzburg and it was also the dominant species in Tzaneen and Kwambonambi. In Tzaneen, *N. kwambonambiense* was found together with *N. parvum*. Kwambonambi was the only area where all four species co-exist. *Neofusicoccum cordaticola* was the dominant species in the Sabie area, and it was found together with *N. kwambonambiense*. The same composition as that in the Sabie area was found in Richards Bay, but with *N. kwambonambiense* being the dominant species. Two areas close to the Indian Ocean, Kosi Bay and Sodwana Bay had the same species compositions, with *N. umdonicola* dominant and found together with *N. cordaticola* (FIG. 4). Overall *N. parvum* was the dominant *Neofusicoccum* species on *S. cordatum* in South Africa making up 42 % of all isolates.



DISCUSSION

Application of microsatellite markers clearly supported the earlier discovery (Pavlic et al 2009a) that four sister species, *N. parvum*, *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola*, co-exist within the *N. parvum* / *N. ribis* complex on native *S. cordatum* trees in South Africa. Intriguingly, this study also showed that there is a strong correlation between the distribution of the cryptic species in the *N. parvum* / *N. ribis* complex on *S. cordatum* and human disturbance. Thus, at sites disturbed by or in close contact with human activities, *N. parvum*, a generalist and serious pathogen with a wide geographic distribution (Slippers and Wingfield 2007, de Wet et al 2008), was dominant. This is in contrast to the three other cryptic species in the *N. parvum* / *N. ribis* complex that were dominant in natural, undisturbed stands of *S. cordatum*.

Pavlic et al (2009a) discovered four sympatric cryptic species in the *N. parvum /* N. *ribis* complex, using GCPSR based on DNA sequence data for five nuclear loci. An important outcome of the present work was the fact that the microsatellite data were in concordance with those previous findings. Private alleles were observed in each of the four *Neofusicoccum* spp., which supported their distinction in STRUCTURE. The concordant phylogenies indicated by the private microsatellite alleles, together with the fixed SNPs observed in the five sequenced loci (Pavlic et al 2009a), provide evidence for the absence of recombination amongst these alleles between the groups. These fungi not only occur sympatrically at a larger spatial scale, but in some cases inhabit the same tree or even a single leaf (Pavlic at al 2009b). A sexual state is also known in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex and the absence of recombination is, therefore, interpreted as being due to reproductive isolation over long period (Fisher et al 2000, Taylor et al 2000).

These four species identified here and by Pavlic et al (2009) also shared alleles at all loci examined. Most of the identical alleles were shared between two species and only one was shared among all four species. The occurrence of shared alleles has also been recognized in other closely related species such as in the human pathogens *Coccidioides immitis* and *C. posadasii* (Fisher et al 2002). However, the congruent phylogenies obtained using microsatellite and gene sequence data for the latter species suggest that the shared alleles between the two taxa were a result of mutational convergence or ancestral shared origin of the alleles, and not due to interbreeding (Fisher et al 2000, 2002, Taylor et al 2000). This is consistent with the interpretation of the results in the present study. The microsatellite


markers used in this study are evidently useful as a part of an integrative approach in studies on speciation and delimitation of cryptic species in the Botryosphaeriaceae.

This study on the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex was focused on the single species *S. cordatum* and in a single country. More species diversity is to be expected in this group on other hosts and in other areas. For example, isolates of an undescribed *Neofusicoccum* sp., was recently identified within *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from the ancient *Wollemia nobilis* and *Araucaria cunninghamii* growing in Australia and New Zealand (Slippers et al 2005). More work is needed to confirm that this is a distinct species, but patterns of variation indicated that this is most likely the case. To fully understand patterns of diversity in these fungi additional studies on native species in additional areas will be needed.

The existence of numerous isolates with identical multilocus haplotypes, in all the different species and in particular in different populations of *N. parvum*, suggests that either asexual reproduction or a homothallic sexual cycle plays an important role in structuring these populations (Coppin et al 1997, Turgeon 1998) Although *Neofusicoccum* species produce both teleomorph and anamorph structures in their life cycle, these species are most commonly encountered in the asexual state, which might suggest that asexual reproduction is the main cause of the identical haplotypes. Sexual structures are known for *N. parvum*, but they have not been identified for *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola*. There have been no studies considering whether they are exclusively outcrossing or alternatively whether they can also reproduce homothallically and since mode of reproduction plays an important role in population sizes did not allow us to further analyse these questions for these species.

Isolates of *N. parvum* were assigned to three sub-populations by the STRUCTURE analysis, based on allele frequencies across the loci, with very low or no admixture among the groups. This indicates that *N. parvum* population associated with native *S. cordatum* in South Africa is a mixture of at least tree independent sources, with different ancestral origins. The origin of the three groups is, however, unclear, because they could not be assigned to specific geographic regions or hosts in this study, Genetic variation identified by microsatellites and subdivision of *N. parvum* isolates into three sub-populations was consistent with significant sequence variation observed in a previous study among *N. parvum* isolates based on multiple gene sequence data (Pavlic et al 2009a). However, there was no concordance between phylogenies from multiple gene genealogies and GCPSR to further separate these groups into species. Furthermore, in this study the highest fraction of



genetic variability (96 %) was within the populations and only 4 % among the populations of *N. parvum*, which is expected for populations of the same species (Linde et al 2002, Grünig et al 2006).

Distribution of the four species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex across *S. cordatum* displayed clear differences on trees in environments affected by human activity or those in isolated natural stands. In most areas studied, at least two *Neofusicoccum* species coexisted on *S. cordatum*, with one of the species typically dominant. For example, *N. cordaticola* was the dominant species in the Sabie area of the Mpumalanga Province. In contrast, this species was present only in low numbers in the KwaZulu Natal Province, coexisting either with *N. kwambonambiense* or *N. umdonicola*, and it was absent from any other area. The Sabie area is at high altitude and has a climate very different to other areas sampled and with a very different floral composition surrounding the collection site (Mucina and Rutherford 2006). In contrast, *N. umdonicola* was the dominant species in the three isolated collection sites in the National Reserves in northern KwaZulu Natal including Sodwana Bay, Kosi Bay and Makuze, which are at low altitude with a subtropical climate and surrounded by undisturbed native flora. The dominance of one species in a particular niche might be related to local adaptation of each species to defined environmental conditions.

Neofusicoccum parvum is a known generalist that infests various woody hosts around the world (Pennycook and Samuels 1985, Slippers and Wingfield 2007, de Wet et al 2008). This was also the dominant species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex isolated from *S. cordatum* in the present study. With the exception of two isolates of *N. kwambonambiense*, only *N. parvum* was ever found on planted *S. cordatum*. In contrast, *N. parvum* was not found in the isolated natural stands of *S. cordatum*. This effect was despite the close geographical proximity and climatic similarity of regions where other *Neofusicoccum* spp. are common. The results suggest that *N. parvum* is not native to the region on *S. cordatum* and that this species is spreading from non-native hosts to native *S. cordatum*, rather than *vice versa*.

The genotypic diversity among the isolates of *N. parvum* differed between the population from *S. cordatum* from natural stands along *Eucalyptus* plantations in the Kwambonambi area and two populations from planted *S. cordatum* in urban areas Pietermaritzburg and Tzaneen. A low level of genotypic diversity and dominance of one multilocus genotype was observed in the *N. parvum* population from the Kwambonambi area. This population derives from naturally grown *S. cordatum* that remained amongst non-



native *Eucalyptus* plantations, also residing in the Myrtaceae that dominate this area. *Neofusicoccum parvum* is the most common species of Botryosphaeriaceae found in nonnative *Eucalyptus* plantations in South Africa (Slippers et al 2004b, Maleme 2008). Interestingly, it is rare on *Eucalyptus* in its native range in Australia and likely originates from another host and geographic origin (Slippers et al 2004b, Burgess et al 2005). Some of the genotypes identified in the Kwambonambi population from *S. cordatum* were identical with *N. parvum* genotypes identified on *Eucalyptus* in this area (authors, unpublished). This finding strongly supports the hypothesis that this pathogen spreads between these two hosts and that proximity to non-native *Eucalyptus* shaped the population structure of *N. parvum* on *S. cordatum* in this area.

In contrast to the Kwambonambi population, two populations from planted *S. cordatum* trees in Pietermaritzburg and Tzaneen, exhibit high levels of genotypic diversity with some genotypes overlapping between them, despite the fact that these two sites are more than 600 kilometers apart. *Neofusicoccum parvum* isolates with different genotypes, but with the same ancestral origin (as defined by STRUCTURE), were also found on planted *S. cordatum* in other areas distant from each other such as Pretoria and the Tokai. The *S. cordatum* trees in urban environments are surrounded by various known host of *N. parvum*, such as *Eucalyptus, Vitis vinifera* and *Mangifera indica* (Jacobs 2002, van Niekerk et al 2004, Slippers et al 2004b) and many ornamental plants that could harbor this species. Thus, multiple introductions through human activities and movement between hosts would be common in these areas and could have influenced the genetic diversity and population structure of *N. parvum* on the planted *S. cordatum*. Such movement of pathogens, in particular generalists such as *N. parvum*, could result in a population continuum over a large area, where gene flow among isolates might serve to maintain similar populations even at distant locations (McDonalds and Linde 2002).

Introduction of plants into non-native areas, changes in land use and intense forestation are some of the human activities that directly influence plant pathogen movement as well as interactions with their hosts. The patterns of distribution of *N. parvum, N. cordaticola, N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola* on *S. cordatum* in different areas, from isolated natural stands to environments where they have been affected by human activity provide vivid examples of this influence. This study illustrates the importance of considering surrounding native tree communities in studies that seek to understand fungal tree pathogens of importance to forestry and agriculture, and *vice versa*. Our results also



provide a foundation for future studies to characterize the biology and temporal changes of members of *N. parvum* complex in native and human disturbed environments.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, Ingram KK, Das I. 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trend Ecol Evol 22:148– 155.
- Burgess T, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD. 2001 Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers distinguish between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea*. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:354–362.
- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GEStJ. 2005. *Botryosphaeria* spp. associated with eucalypts in Western Australia including description of *Fusicoccum macroclavatum* sp. nov. Aust Plant Path 34:557–567.
- Chen RS, Boeger JM, McDonald BA. 1994. Genetic stability in a population of a plant pathogenic fungus over time. Mol Ecol 3:209–218.
- Coppin E, Debuchy R, Arnaise S, Picard M. 1997. Mating types and sexual development in filamentous Ascomycetes. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 61:411–428.
- Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WFO, Phillips AJL, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ. 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Stud Mycol 55:235–253.
- de Wet J, Burgess T, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2003. Multiple gene genealogies and microsatellite markers reflect relationships between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* and distinguish a new species of *Diplodia*. Mycol Res 107:557–566.
- de Wet J, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2008. Phylogeny of the Botryosphaeriaceae reveals patterns of host association. Mol Phylogenet Evol 46:116–126.
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. 2003. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. Genetics 164:1567–1587.
- Fisher MC, Koenig GL, White TJ, Taylor JW. 2000. A test for concordance between the multilocus genealogies of genes and microsatellites in the pathogenic fungus *Coccidioides immitis*. Mol Biol Evol 17:1164–1174.



- Fisher MC, Koenig GL, White TJ, Taylor JW. 2002. Molecular and phenotypic description of *Cocidioides posadii* sp. nov., previously recognized as the non-Californian population of *Coccidioides immitis*. Mycologia 94:73–84.
- Grünig CR, Duò A, Sieber TN, Holdenrieder O. 2006. Assignment of species rank to six reproductively isolated cryptic species of the *Phialocephala fortinii* s.l.-*Acephala applanata* species complex. Mycologia 100:47–67.
- Jacobs R. 2002. Characterisation of *Botryosphaeria* species from mango in South Afrcia. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Linde CC, Zhan J, McDonald BA. 2002. Population structure of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*: from lesions to continents. Phytopathology 92:946–955.
- Matute DR, McEwen JG, Puccia R, Montes BA, San-Blas G, Bagagli E, Rauscher JT, Restrepo A, Morais F, Niño-Vega G, Taylor JW. 2006. Cryptic speciation and recombination in the fungus *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* as revealed by gene genealogies. Mol Biol Evol 23:65–73.
- McDonalds BA, Linde C. 2002. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and durable resistance. Annu Rev Phytopathol 40:349–379.
- Maleme HM. 2008. Characterisation of latent Botryosphaeriaceae on diverse *Eucalyptus* ispecies. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Mohali RS, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Identification of *Botryosphaeriaceae* from *Eucalyptus*, *Acacia* and *Pinus* in Venezuela. Fungal Divers 25:103–125.
- Mucina L, Rutherford MC, eds. 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Nei M. 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 70:3321–333.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. Plant Path 56:624–636.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009a. Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae: A case study on the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex. Mol Phylogenet Evol 51:259–268.



- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009b. Molecular and phenotypic characterisation of three phylogenetic species discovered within the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex. Mycologia (in press).
- Peakall R, Smouse PE. 2006. GENALEX Version 6: Genetic Analyses in Excel. Population Genetic Software for Teaching and Research. Mol Ecol Notes 6:288–295.
- Pennycook SR, Samuels GJ. 1985. *Botryosphaeria* and *Fusicoccum* species associated with ripe fruit rot of *Actinidia deliciosa* (Kiwifruit) in New Zealand. Mycotaxon 24:445–458.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945–959.
- Slippers B, Burgess T, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004a. Development of SSR markers for *Botryosphaeria* spp. with *Fusicoccum* anamorphs. Mol Ecol Notes 4:675–677.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ. 2004b. Speciation and distribution of *Botryosphaeria* spp. on native and introduced *Eucalyptus* trees in Australia and South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:343–358.
- Slippers B, Smit WA, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Taxonomy, phylogeny and identification of Botryosphaeriaceae associated with pome and stone fruit trees in South Africa and other regions of the world. Plant Path 56:128–139.
- Slippers B, Summerell BA, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Preliminary studies on *Botryosphaeria* species from *Wollemia nobilis* and related southern hemisphere conifers in Australasia and South Africa. Aust Plant Path 34:213–220.
- Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae as endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: diversity, ecology and impact. Fungal Biol Rev 21:90–106.
- Stoddart JA, Taylor JF. 1998. Genotypic diversity: estimation and prediction in samples. Genetics 118:705–711.
- Taylor JW, Fisher MC. 2003. Fungal multilocus sequence typing it's not just for bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol 6:351–356.
- Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser DM, Hibbett DS, Fisher MC. 2000. Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. Fungal Genet Biol 31:21–32.



- Taylor JW, Turner E, Townsend JP, Dettman JR, Jacobson D. 2006. Eukaryotic microbes, species recognition and the geographic limits of species: examples from the kingdom Fungi. Philos T Roy Soc B 361:1947–1963.
- Turgeon BG. 1998. Application of mating type gene technology to problems in fungal biology. Annu Rev Phytopathol 36:115–137.
- van Niekerk, Crous P, Groenewald JZ, Fourie PH, Halleen F. 2004. DNA phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeria* species on grapevines. Mycologia 96:781–798.
- Yeh FC, Yang R-C, Boyle T. 1999. POPGENE: Microsoft Window-Based Freeware forPpopulation Genetic Analysis, version 1.31; Available at URL: <u>http://www.ualberta.ca/</u>~fyeh/download.htm. University of Alberta, Canada.



TABLE I. Isolates analysed in this study

CMW 14056 CBS123635 Negheisoccus conductola SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1401, 1402, N. conductola SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1403, CBS123636 N. conductola SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Kumbonambi CMW 1412, CBS12363 N. conductola SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Kumba Bay CMW 1412, CBS12363 N. conductola SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Sahie CMW 1412, CBS12363 N. conductola SA, Myamahaga Province, Sahie CMW 1412, CBS12364 N. conductola SA, Myazuha Natal Province, Sahie CMW 1402, CBS12364 N. conductola SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Sahie CMW 1402, CBS12364 N. conductola SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Kwambonambin CMW 1402, CBS12364 N. kwambonambinzer SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Kwambonambin CMW 1413, CBS12364 N. kwambonambinzer SA, KwaZuh Natal Province, Kuarba Bay CMW 1413, CBS12364 N. kwambonambinzer SA, Myazuha Natal Province, Kuarba Bay CMW 1413, CBS12364 N. kwambonambinzer SA, Myazuha Natal Province, Kuarba Bay	Culture no. ¹	Other no. ²	Identity	Geographic origin ^{3, 4}	
CMW14055 N. conductoda S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Kambonambi CMW14054 CBS125656 N. conductoda S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawZalu CMW14121 CBS125656 N. conductoda S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14121 CBS123657 N. conductoda S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW14121 CBS123657 N. conductoda S.A. Mpunahaga Province, Sabie CMW14151 CBS123657 N. conductoda S.A. Mpunahaga Province, Sabie CMW14152 CBS123651 N. conductoda S.A. Myanahaga Province, Sabie CMW14023 CBS123659 N. konductoda S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW14023 CBS123650 N. konductoda S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW14025 CBS123641 N. conductoda S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW14025 CBS123650 N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Kambonambi CMW14025 CBS123640 N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Kambonambi CMW14056 N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Kambonambi CMW14056 CBS123640 N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Kambonambi CMW14155 CBS123647 N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Kambonambi N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW14156 N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW1407 CBS123641 N. kombonambiense S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW1407 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, Sabie CMW1407 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawJa CMW14080 CBS123646 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawJa VMW14060 CBS123646 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawJa VMW1407 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawJa V CMW14080 CBS123646 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawJa VMW14081 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawJa VMW14080 N. amdonicola S.A. KawZalu Natal Province, KawJa VMW14080 N	CMW14056	CBS123635	Neofusicoccum cordaticola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14011, 1402 N. conduciola SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Kwarbi CMW1423 CBS12866 N. conduciola SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14124 CBS12867 N. conduciola SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14121 CBS12863 N. conduciola SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW14151 CBS12863 N. conduciola SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW14152 D. Kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Shine CMW14152 CBS12864 N. conduciola SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW1405 CBS12869 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Swamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Shine CMW14051 CBS12864 N. conducional N. kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14025 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14031 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14123 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14135 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Kwa/Zuh Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW14135 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW14155 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW14156 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW14156 CBS12864 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW14157 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Mpurnalanga Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Maral Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Maral Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Maralanga Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Nardal Nutal Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Maralanga Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Nardal Nutal Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Nardal Nutal Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, Nardal Nutal Province, Shine CMW1405 N. kwamboumbierse SA, KwaZuh Nutal Province, Koin Bay CMW1406 N. <i>kwamboumbierse SA, Nardal</i> Nutal Province, Koin Bay CMW1406 N. <i>kwamboumbierse SA, KwaZuh</i> Nutal Province, Kwamboumbierse N. kwaz	CMW14035		N. cordaticola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14954 CBS 123636 N. conduciola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Richards Bay CMW 14124 CBS 123638 N. conduciola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Richards Bay CMW 14144 14150 N. conduciola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Subie CMW 14144 14150 N. conduciola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Subie CMW 14144 14150 N. conduciola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Subie CMW 14151 CBS 123637 N. conduciola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Subie CMW 14152 CBS 123634 N. conduciola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Swambonambie N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS 123649 N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS 123649 N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS 123649 N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS 123640 N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14153 (CBS 123643 N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Richards Bay CMW 14153 (CBS 123643 N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Richards Bay CMW 14156 N. kwambonambiene SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Sabie CMW 14156 N. kwambonambiene SA. Mayanlang Province, Sabie CMW 14166 N. kwambonambiene SA. Mpurnalang Province, Sabie CMW 14166 N. kwambonambiene SA. Morthern Province, Sabie CMW 14166 N. kwambonambiene SA. Northern Province, Sabie CMW 14166 N. kwambonambiene SA. Northern Province, Sabie CMW 14166 N. kwambonambiene SA. Northern Province, Sabie CMW 1407 N. madonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (CBS 123641 N. wandonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (CBS 123645 N. madonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (CBS 123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (CBS 123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (CBS 123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (CBS 123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (CBS 123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 (M1402 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 140	CMW14041, 14042		N. cordaticola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14122 N. cordaticola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14124 CBS12363 N. cordaticola SA. Meganalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14151 CBS12363 N. cordaticola SA. Mpunalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14152 N. cordaticola SA. Mpunalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14023 CBS12363 N. cordaticola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodowan Bay CMW 14023 CBS12364 N. koranbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14025 CBS123640 N. kwambonambiense SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14025 CBS123643 N. kwambonambiense SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14123 CBS123643 N. kwambonambiense SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kanambonambiense CMW 14133 CBS123643 N. kwambonambiense SA. Mpunalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14130 CBS123641 N. kwambonambiense SA. Mpunalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14130 CBS123647 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kois Bay CMW 14055 CBS123647 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kois Bay	CMW14054	CBS123636	N. cordaticola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Mkuzi	
CMW 1414-14150 N. conductional SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Sabie N. conductional SA. Mpunalungs Province, Sabie CMW 14151 CBS123637 N. conductional SA. Mpunalungs Province, Sabie CMW 14151 CBS123634 N. conductional SA. Mpunalungs Province, Sabie CMW 14152 CBS123639 N. ksumbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1406 CBS123640 N. ksumbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS123640 N. ksumbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS123640 N. ksumbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS123640 N. ksumbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS123640 N. ksumbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kawambonambiense CMW 14153 CBS123643 N. ksumbonambiense SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS123645 N. ksumbonambiense SA. Mpunalungs Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS123647 N. ksumbonambiense SA. Mpunalungs Province, Sabie CMW 14156 N. ksumbonambiense SA. Mpunalungs Province, Sabie CMW 14156 N. ksumbonambiense SA. Monten Province, Tancen CMW 1407 CBS123647 N. umdoricola SA. Eastern Cupe Province, Cosi Bay CMW 1407 N. umdoricola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14085 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14085 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14085 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1408 (CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1406 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1408 (CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1408 (CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1408 (L090 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1408 (CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1406 (CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1406 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1406 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1406 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu Naul Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1406 N. umdonicola SA. KwaZulu	CMW14122		N. cordaticola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay	
CMW 14151 CBS122637 N. conductional S.A. Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14151 CBS122634 N. conductional S.A. Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14023 CBS122634 N. conductional S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Koambonumbi CMW 14023 CBS122634 N. kwambonambiense S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Kwambonumbi CMW 14025 CBS122640 N. kwambonambiense S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Kwambonumbi CMW 14025 CBS122640 N. kwambonambiense S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Sabie CMW 14123 CBS122643 N. kwambonambiense S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Sabie CMW 14123 CBS122645 N. kwambonambiense S.A. Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS122647 N. kwambonambiense S.A. Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14136 CBS122647 N. undonicola S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Kois Bay CMW 14070 CBS122645 N. undonicola S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Kois Bay CMW 14055 CBS122646 N. undonicola S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Kois Bay CMW 14057 N. undonicola S.A. Kwazduk Natal Province, Kois Bay	CMW14124	CBS123638	N. cordaticola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay	
CMW 14151 CBS123637 N. cordaticola SA. Mpunalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14152 N. cordaticola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Sabie CMW 14023 CBS123639 N. kwambonambierse SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1406 N. kwambonambierse SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1406 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1405 CBS123640 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1419 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sabie SA CMW 1413 CBS123643 N. kwambonambierse SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CMW 14155 CBS123641 N. kwambonambierse SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 CMW 14156 N. kwambonambierse SA, Northen Province, Taareen CMW 14156 N. wandonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kois Bay CMW 1407 CBS123647 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kois Bay CMW 1408 CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kois Bay CMW 14089 CBS123646 N. um	CMW14144-14150		N. cordaticola	SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie	
CMW 11452 N. conduticola SA, Mpumulanga Province, Sodivana Bay CMW 13092 CBS123634 N. conduticola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodivana Bay CMW 14023 CBS123639 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14025 CBS123640 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14025 CBS123640 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 141031 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sabie CMW 14123 CBS123643 N. kwambonambierse SA, Mpumulanga Province, Sabie CMW 14135 CBS123645 N. kwambonambierse SA, Mpumulanga Province, Sabie CMW 14140 CBS123641 N. kwambonambierse SA, Mpumulanga Province, Sabie CMW 14135 CBS123647 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14079 CBS123647 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14088 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14099 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14090 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14008 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay <	CMW14151	CBS123637	N. cordaticola	SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie	
CMW 1402 CBS12654 N. conductors S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Solawan Bay CMW 1403 CBS12659 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1403 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1403 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1403 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14119-1412 CBS123643 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14155 CBS123645 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14155 CBS123645 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Myumalanga Province, Richards Bay CMW 14155 CBS123647 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Myumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Myumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Myumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14166 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Northern Province, Tzaneen CMW 1407 CBS123647 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14055 N. kwambonambierse S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14055 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123647 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123647 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123647 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123645 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14069 CBS123646 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14063 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123648 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14060 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1407 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1408 CBS123648 N. undonicola S.A., Kwazhu	CMW14152		N. cordaticola	SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie	
CMW 14023 CHS 12:659 N. kwamhonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14040 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14025 CBS 12:3640 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 141051 N. kwambonambierse SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14123 CBS 12:3645 N. kwambonambierse SA, Myuralanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS 12:3645 N. kwambonambierse SA, Myuralanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS 12:3647 N. kwambonambierse SA, Morthern Province, Taaneen CMW 141070 CBS 12:3647 N. kwambonambierse SA, Northern Province, Cashie CMW 14070 CBS 12:3647 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14051 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14053 CBS 12:3647 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1408 CBS 12:3645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1408 CBS 12:3646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW	CMW13992	CBS123634	N. cordaticola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW 14025 CBS 123640 N. kwamhomambiense S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14025 CBS 123640 N. kwamhomambiense S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14123 CBS 123643 N. kwamhomambiense S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14125 CBS 123645 N. kwamhomambiense S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14155 CBS 123645 N. kwamhomambiense S.A., Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 CBS 123647 N. kwamhomambiense S.A., Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 N. kwamhomambiense S.A., Notthern Province, Tzaneen CMW 14167 CBS 123647 N. undonicola S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Casi Bay CMW 14079 CBS 123647 N. undonicola S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Casi Bay CMW 14070 CBS 123647 N. undonicola S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14075 CBS 123647 N. undonicola S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14085 CBS 123646 N. undonicola S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS 123646 N. undonicola S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 CBS 123646 N. undonicola S.A., KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS 123648 N	CMW14023	CBS123639	N. kwambonambiense	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14025 CBS125640 N. svandhomambiense S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14031 N. kvambonambiense S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14132, 1412 N. kvambonambiense S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14133, 1413 N. kvambonambiense S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Richards Bay CMW 14135, 14154 N. kvambonambiense S.A., Mpunalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS123641 N. kvambonambiense S.A., Mpunalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14136 N. kvambonambiense S.A., Northern Province, Tanneen CMW 14136 CMW 14070 CBS123647 N. undonicola S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14055 N. undonicola S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14057 N. undonicola S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123645 N. undonicola S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola S.A., Kwažalu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola	CMW14046		N. kwambonambiense	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14103-14121 M. kvombnambienze SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kkanada Bay CMW 141123 CBS 123643 M. kvombnambienze SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kichards Bay CMW 14123 CBS 123643 M. kvombnambienze SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS 123641 M. kvombnambienze SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 M. kvombnambienze SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14100 CBS 123641 M. kvombnambienze SA, Mpumalanga Province, Gaubie CMW 14079 CBS 123647 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14055 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14057 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14058 CBS 123645 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14059 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14060 CBS 123646 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14061 N. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14060 CBS 123646 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14061 N. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay CMW 14061 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Koi Bay	CMW14025	CBS123640	N. kwambonambiense	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 1419-14121 M. kwamhonambiense SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kichards Bay CMW 14153 CBS123643 N. kwamhonambiense SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kichards Bay CMW 14153 CBS123643 N. kwamhonambiense SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS123641 N. kwamhonambiense SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 N. kwamhonambiense SA, Northern Province, Taneen CMW 14136 N. kwamhonambiense SA, Northern Province, Caneen CMW 14079 CBS123647 N. inndonicola SA, Eastern Cape Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14079 CBS123645 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14055 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14059 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14069 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonico	CMW14031		N. kwambonambiense	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14123 CBS123643 M. kwamhonambiense SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS123645 M. kwamhonambiense SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS123645 M. kwamhonambiense SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14140 CBS123641 M. kwamhonambiense SA, Northem Province, Tameen CMW 14136 CBS123647 M. kwamhonambiense SA, Northem Province, Conubie CMW 14079 CBS123647 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14055 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14057 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123645 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14059 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123647 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123648 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 M. andonicola SA, KwaZulu Naal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14063 M. an	CMW14119-14121		N. kwambonambiense	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay	
CMW 14155, 14154 N. kvambonambiense SA. Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14155 CBS 123645 N. kvambonambiense SA. Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14156 CBS 123641 N. kvambonambiense SA. Northem Province, Tzaneen CMW 14136 N. kvambonambiense SA. Northem Province, Tzaneen CMW 14079 CBS 123647 N. undonicola SA. Kazalu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14055 N. undonicola SA. Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14058 CBS 123645 N. undonicola SA. Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14059 N. undonicola SA, Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14059 CMW 14060 CBS 123646 N. undonicola SA, Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 14062 N. undonicola SA, Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, Kwazlu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA, Kwazlu Natal Province, Kwambonambin CMW	CMW14123	CBS123643	N. kwambonambiense	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Richards Bay	
CMW 14155 CBS123645 N. kvambonambiense SA. Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW 14166 N. kvambonambiense SA. Morthern Province, Tzaneen CMW 14136 N. kvambonambiense SA. Northern Province, Tzaneen CMW 14136 N. kvambonambiense SA. Northern Province, Gonubie CMW 14079 CBS123647 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14055 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14057 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123645 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14059 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14061 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwaibonambi CMW 14028 N. undonicola SA. KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwaibonambi CMW 14029 N. undonicola	CMW14153, 14154		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie	
CMW14156 N. kvambonambiense SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie CMW14140 CBS123641 N. kvambonambiense SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen CMW14136 N. kvambonambiense SA, Northern Province, Gonubie CMW14079 CBS123647 N. undonicola SA, Eastern Cape Province, Gonubie CMW14055 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14057 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14058 CBS123645 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14098, 14099 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061, 14101 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14068 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14068 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW1407 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwaibonambi CMW14081 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwaibonambi CMW1407 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwaibonambi CMW14081 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwaibonambi CMW14081 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwaibonambi	CMW14155	CBS123645	N. kwambonambiense	SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie	
CMW 14140 CBS123641 N. kwambonambiense SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen CMW 14136 N. kwadmbonambiense SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen CMW 14057 N. undonicola SA, Eastern Cape Province, Gonubie CMW 14057 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14058 CBS123645 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14059 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14060 CBS123646 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 14068 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW 1402 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 1402 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14016 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW 14027 CBS123648 N. undonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Mkuze CMW 14047 <td< td=""><td>CMW14156</td><td></td><td>N. kwambonambiense</td><td>SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie</td></td<>	CMW14156		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Mpumalanga Province, Sabie	
CMW14136 N. kwambonambiense SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen CMW14079 CBS123647 N. umdonicola SA, Eastern Cape Provinace, Gonubie CMW14057 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14058 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14058 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14059 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14079 CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14071 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14071 CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14071 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CMW14071 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay <td>CMW14140</td> <td>CBS123641</td> <td>N. kwambonambiense</td> <td>SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen</td>	CMW14140	CBS123641	N. kwambonambiense	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	
CMW14079 CBS123647 N. umdonicola SA, Eastern Cape Province, Gonuble CMW14055 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14058 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14058 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14059 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14068 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW1407 CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW1407 CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW1407 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CMW1407 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CMW1407 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	CMW14136		N. kwambonambiense	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	
CMW14055 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14057 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14058 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14059 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061, 14062 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14068 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14028 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14015 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14028 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14015 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14017 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Muze CMW14051 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Muze CMW14051 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CMW1406 CBS123644 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CMW14006 CBS123644 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province,	CMW14079	CBS123647	N. umdonicola	SA, Eastern Cape Province, Gonubie	
CMW14057 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14058 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14059 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061, 14062 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14061 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14028 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CMW14016 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14028 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14017 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CMW14031 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Mkuze CMW14050 CBS123644 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CMW1406 CBS123644 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CMW1406 CBS123644 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	CMW14055		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CLMW14088 CBS123645 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CLMW14089 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CLMW14059 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CLMW14060 CBS123646 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CLMW1401, 1400 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CLMW14061, 14062 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CLMW1401, 14068 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay CLMW14028 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CLMW14016 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CLMW14027 CBS123648 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CLMW14047 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi CLMW14051 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CLMW1406 CBS123644 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CLMW14006 CBS123644 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay CLMW14006 CBS123651 N. umdonicola SA, KwaZulu Natal Provi	CMW14057		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW 14098, 14099N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW 14059N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW 14060CBS123646N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW 14061, 14062N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW 14068N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW 14068N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW 14068N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14027CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14009N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 1408N. umdonicolaS	CMW14058	CBS123645	N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14059N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14060CBS123646N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW1400, 14101N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14061, 14062N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14061N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14027CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14071CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14071N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14071N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW1407N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006RumonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS12361N. umdonicolaCMW14080CBS12361N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS12361N. parvumCMW14080CBS12361N. parvumCMW14080CBS12361N. parvum	CMW14098, 14099		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14060CBS123646N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14100, 14101N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14061, 14062N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14068N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14017CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14081N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14081N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Rwambonambi	CMW14059		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14100, 14101N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14061, 14062N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14068N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14125, 14126N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14127CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14060CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14081N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW	CMW14060	CBS123646	N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14061, 14062N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14068N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14125, 14126N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14127CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14081CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14081CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, K	CMW14100, 14101		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14068N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi BayCMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14125, 14126N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14127CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Negfusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14080CBS123651NegrumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14080CBS123651NegrumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu N	CMW14061, 14062		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14028N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14125, 14126N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14127CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Negisicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14031N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwam	CMW14068		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kosi Bay	
CMW14016N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14125, 14126N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14127CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW13993-13997N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW1406CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, RombonambiCMW14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14031N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal P	CMW14028		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14125, 14125N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14127CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW 14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW 14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006CBS 123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14080CBS 123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14025N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14031N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Nata	CMW14016		N. umaonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW14127CBS123648N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW14061N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Fort SI JohnsCMW14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW14085CBS123649 <td>CMW14125, 14126</td> <td>CD0102(40</td> <td>N. umaonicola</td> <td>SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi</td>	CMW14125, 14126	CD0102(40	N. umaonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14047N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW 14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuzeCMW 14051N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 1406CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmar	CMW14127	CBS123648	N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14031N. umaonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, MkuZeCMW 13993-13997N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Fort St JohnsCMW 14080CBS 123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiN. parvumCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS 123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086CBS 123649N. parvum	CMW14047		N. umaonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Mkuze	
CMW 1595-1397N. ImaonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14007N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14080CBS 123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, GonubieM. undonicolaCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS 123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA,	CMW14051		N. umaonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Mkuze	
CMW 14007N. ImmonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14106CBS123644N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW 14018-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086CBS123649 </td <td>CMW13995-15997</td> <td></td> <td>N. umdonicola</td> <td>SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay</td>	CMW13995-15997		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW14106CB5123044N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14008N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW13990, 13991N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Port St JohnsCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW14018-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FiermaritzburgCMW14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvu	CMW14007	CDS122644	N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW14008N. umaonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwala BayCMW14010N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW13990, 13991N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14011, 14012N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW14081N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzbu	CMW14100	CBS123044	N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW14010N. umaonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW13990, 13991N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14011, 14012N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW14018-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14025N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14035N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW14085CBS123649N. parvumCMW14085CBS123649N. parvumCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW1408-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14008		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW 13990, 13991N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14006N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW14011-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14031N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14085CBS123649N. parvumCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14010 CMW12000_12001		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW 14000N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14011, 14012N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Port St JohnsCMW 14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW 14018-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 27001N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW13990, 13991		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW 14011, 14012N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwala BayCMW 14096N. umdonicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Port St JohnsCMW 14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW 14018-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14031N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14000		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Sodwana Bay	
CMW 14090N. ImabilicolaSA, KwaZulu Natal Flovince, Fort St JohnsCMW 14080CBS123651Neofusicoccum parvumSA, Eastern Cape Province, GonubieCMW 14018-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14080N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 27001N. parvumSA, Guutang Province, Pretoring	CMW14011, 14012 CMW14006		N. umdonicola	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Souwalia Bay	
CMW 1000CBS123031NegrumSA, Eastein Cape Hovince, GoldbleCMW 14018-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14080	CB\$123651	Neofusicoccum parum	SA, Rwazulu Ivatal Flowince, Folt St Johns	
CMW 14010-14022N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14018 14022	CB3123031	Neojusicoccum purvum	SA, Eastern Cape Flownice, Gonuble SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14024N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW27001N. parvumSA, Gautang Province, Pietrmitzburg	CMW14018-14022		N. parvum	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14027N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwanbonanbiCMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwanbonambiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 27001N. parvumSA, Gautang Province, Pretoring	CMW14027		N. parvum	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14032N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwanbonanbiCMW 14036-14040N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwanbonambiCMW 14045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 27001N. parvumSA, Gautang Province, Pretoring	CMW14027		N. parvum	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 14050FACTUREFACTURESALCMW 14045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwambonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, FietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 27001N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14036-14040		N parvum	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW 16045N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwanbonanbiCMW 14030N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, KwanbonambiCMW 14081, 14082N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14084N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 14085CBS123649N. parvumCMW 14086-14095N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, PietrmaritzburgCMW 27001N. parvumSA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14045		N. parvum	SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW14081, 14082 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Rwaliboliantor CMW14081, 14082 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg CMW14084 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg CMW14085 CBS123649 N. parvum CMW14086-14095 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg CMW27001 N. parvum SA, Guutang Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14030		N narvum	SA KwaZulu Natal Province, Kwambonambi	
CMW14084 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg CMW14085 CBS123649 N. parvum CMW14086-14095 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg CMW27901 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg	CMW14081 14082		N parvum	SA KwaZulu Natal Province, Rwamoonalilor	
CMW14085 CBS123649 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg CMW14086-14095 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Province, Pietrmaritzburg CMW27901 N. parvum SA, Gauteng Province, Pretoric	CMW14084		N narvum	SA KwaZulu Natal Province, Fietmaritzburg	
CMW14086-14095 N. parvum SA, KwaZulu Natal Flovince, Fletimaritzburg CMW27001 N. parvum SA, Gauteng Province, Pretoria	CMW14085	CB\$123640	N parvum	SA KwaZulu Natal Province, Fictimanizburg	
CMW27901 N parvum SA, KwaZutu Natai Flovince, Fieldinanizourg	CMW14086_14005	CD3123049	N narvum	SA KwaZulu Natal Province, Fietmaritzburg	
	CMW27901		N narvum	SA Gauteng Province Pretoria	



TABLE I. Continued

Culture no. ¹	Other no. ²	Identity	entity Geographic origin ^{3, 4}	
CMW29125		N. parvum	SA, Gauteng Province, Pretoria	
CMW14097	CBS123650	N. parvum	SA, Eastern Cape Province, Port St Johns	
CMW14110-14112		N. parvum	SA, Western Cape Province, Tokai	
CMW14128		N. parvum	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	
CMW14137-14139		N. parvum	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	
CMW14141, 14142		N. parvum	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	
CMW14143	CBS123652	N. parvum	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	
CMW14129, 14130		N. parvum	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	
CMW14133-14135		N. parvum	SA, Northern Province, Tzaneen	

 $^{1, 2}$ Abbreviations of culture collections: CMW = Tree Protection Co-operative Programme, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, South Africa; CBS = Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures Utrecht, Netherlands.

 3 SA = South Africa.

⁴ All isolates were collected from *S. cordatum* by D. Pavlic.



Collection sites ^{1, 2}	N. cordaticola	N. kwambonambiense	N. umdonicola	N. parvum ³
Tzaneen (1) P	-	2	-	12
Pretoria (2) P	-	-	-	2
Sabie (3) N	9	4	-	-
Kosi Bay (4) N	1	-	12	-
Mkuze (5) N	1	-	2	-
Sodwana Bay (6) N	1	-	14	-
Kwambonambi (7) N	3	4	5	15
Richards Bay (8) N	2	4	-	-
Pietermaritzburg (9) P	-	-	-	14
Port St Johns (10) N	-	-	1	1
Gonubie (11) N	-	-	1	1
Tokai (12) P	-	-	-	3
Total	17	14	35	48

TABLE II. Distribution of isolates of Neofusicoccum spp. collected from S. cordatum in South Africa

¹ Numbers in brackets indicate collections sites as marked on the South African map (FIG. 1).

 2 N = Isolates were collected from *S. cordatum* trees on natural stands; P = Isolates collected from the planted trees in urban areas.

³Numbers in bold indicate isolates considered in population analyses.



TABLE III. Allele size (bp) and frequency at 7 loci in four *Neofusicoccum* spp. collected from *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa

		N.	N.	Ν.	N.
Locus	Allele	cordaticola	kwambonambiense	umdonicola	parvum
BotF11	420	-	1.000	-	-
	427	-	-	-	0.104
	420	- 0.471	-	0.400	-
	432	-	-	0.543	0.021
	433 435	- 0 471	-	0.057	-
	null	0.058	-	-	0.021
BotF15	365	-	-	-	0.187
	374	- 1.000	-	- 1 000	0.021
	378	-		-	0.040 0.021
	389	-	-	-	0.083
	390	-	- 0.957	-	0.042
	395	-	0.143	-	-
BotF17	229	-	1.000	0.971	-
	233	0.117	-	-	0.104
	234 244	-	-	-	0.104
	246	-	-	-	0.083
	247	-	-	-	0.021
	249 256	-	-	0.029	0.479 0.104
	259	-	-	-	0.104
BotF21	203	-	0.071	0.200	-
	204	U.176 -	0.143 -	0.743 -	0.062 0.021
	207	0.118	-	-	0.187
	208	0.118	0.143	-	0.104
	209	0.059	0.071	-	0.125
	229	-	0.071	0.057	-
	234	0.176	-	-	-
BotF23	nuii 422	0.059	0.071	- 0.914	- 0.562
	423	-	-	-	0.021
	424	-	-	-	0.042
	425 426	- 0 059	- 0 286	-	0.333
	427	0.059	0.714	-	-
	428	0.882	-	0.057	-
BotF35	nuii 222	-	-	-	- 0.083
	225	0.059	-	-	0.480
	238	-	-	0.800	0.125
	239 244	- 0.529	-	-	0.083
	245	-	-	0.200	0.021
	247	0.294	-	-	-
	253 255	0.059	0.429	-	-
	265	-	-	-	0.021
BetE07	null	0.059	-	-	-
B01F31	303 306	0.412	-	-	-
	312	-	-	1.000	0.542
	313	0.059	-	-	0.417
	314 320	- 0.059	- 1 000	-	0.042
	null	0.059	-	-	-



TABLE III. Continued

N = number of isolates

 n_a = observed number of alleles

 \mathbf{P} = percentage of polymorphic loci

H = mean gene diversity

¹ Alleles in bold are unique for each of species



TABLE IV. *Neofusicoccum parvum* genotypes as estimated from multilocus profiles generated from the 7 microsatellite loci; Genotypes were distributed among populations collected in from *S. cordatum* in three different areas in South Africa; Kwambonambi (KWM), Pietermaritzburg (PTM) and Tzaneen (TZ)

Gonotypo ¹	KWM	ртм	Τ7
Genotype		FIW	12
S1			1
52	4		I
53	I	1	
34 S5		I	2
S6			2
S7			1
S8			3
S9		1	1
S10		1	
S11		1	1
S12		1	1
S13	3		
S14	10	5	
S15			
S16		1	
517		1	
518		4	
S19 S20		I	
S21			
S22		1	
S23	1	-	
N	15	14	12
N(g)	4	9	9
G	0.54	0.88	0.93
Ĝ (%)	36	62.8	77.5

N = number of isolates

N(g) = number of genotypes

G = Genotypic diversity (Stoddart and Taylor 1988)

 $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ = percent maximum diversity

¹ Genotypes in bold overlap between populations



FIG. 1. Map of South Africa showing sites where the isolates were collected. Tzaneen (1U), Pretoria (2U), Sabie (3N), Kosi Bay (4NR), Mkuze (5NR), Sodwana Bay (6NR), Kwambonambi (7E), Richards Bay (8N), Pietermaritzburg (9U), Port St. Johns (10N), Gonubie (11N), Tokai (12U). U = Urban area; N = Natural stand; NR = Nature Reserve; E = Naturally regenerated *S. cordatum* amongst *Eucalyptus* stands. The timber plantations areas are highlighted in red. Source: Forestry South Africa.







FIG. 2. The clustering outcome from STRUCTURE analyses of the clone-corrected dataset of all isolates at K = 6. Each color represents one cluster. Labels underneath the outcome (1–4) correspond to clusters related to each of four *Neofusicoccum* species, *N. cordaticola* (1), *N. kwambonambiense* (2), *N. umdonicola* (3) and isolates of *N. parvum* (4). Note that isolates of *N. parvum* are distributed in three clusters indicated by green, yellow and blue.







FIG. 3. Pie charts representing genotypic diversity of the *N. parvum* populations from *S. cordatum.* All South African isolates (a), the population collected from naturally regenerated trees growing amongst *Eucalyptus* plantations in the Kwambonambi (KWM) area (b), and the populations collected from planted trees in the towns of Pietermaritzburg (PTM) (c) and Tzaneen (TZ) (d). Different multilocus genotypes are indicated as S1-S23.









FIG. 4. Bars representing the distribution of four species from the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex on *Syzygium cordatum* in the twelve collection sites. Tzaneen (1U), Pretoria (2U), Sabie (3N), Kosi Bay (4NR), Mkuze (5NR), Sodwana Bay (6NR), Kwambonambi (7E), Richards Bay (8N), Pietermaritzburg (9U), Port St Johns (10N), Gonubie (11N), Tokai (12U). U = Urban area; N = Natural stand; NR = Nature Reserve; E = Naturally regenerated *S. cordatum* amongst *Eucalyptus* stands.







Chapter 5

Seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native trees in Western Australia

Published as: Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Barber P, Slippers B, Hardy GEStJ, Burgess TI. 2008. Seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native trees in Western Australia. Mycologia 100:851–866.



ABSTRACT

In this study, seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae are described from baobab (*Adansonia gibbosa*) and surrounding endemic tree species growing in the Kimberley region of northwestern Australia. Members of the Botryosphaeriaceae were predominant endophytes isolated from apparently healthy sapwood and bark of endemic trees; others were isolated from dying branches. Phylogenetic analyses of ITS and EF-1 α sequence data revealed seven new species: *Dothiorella longicollis, Fusicoccum ramosum Lasiodiplodia margaritacea, Neoscytalidium novaehollandiae, Pseudofusicoccum adansoniae, P. ardesiacum* and *P. kimberleyense.*



INTRODUCTION

Only eight species of baobabs (*Adansonia* spp.) are known. *Adansonia gibbosa* is the only baobab species endemic to Australia and is restricted to the northwestern part of the country (Crisp et al 2004). *Adansonia digitata* has a wide natural distribution throughout tropical parts of Africa and the six other species are found on Madagascar (Bowman 1997, Baum et al 1998). A recent biogeographical study on baobabs presented the intriguing view that the distribution of these unusual trees between Africa and Australia occurred after the division of Gondwana (Baum et al 1998). The same study revealed that *A. gibbosa* in Australia is more closely related to *A. digitata* from Africa than it is to species from Madagascar.

In this first study of fungi associated with *A. gibbosa* and surrounding endemic tree species in northwestern Australia, members of the Botryosphaeriaceae were found as non-sporulating endophytes in apparently healthy sapwood and bark of branches collected from all tree species sampled; they were also found sporulating and releasing conidia on dying branches of baobabs. Numerous studies have combined phenotype with DNA sequence analyses in defining genera and species in the Botryosphaeriaceae (Jacobs and Rehner 1998, Denman et al 2000, Zhou and Stanosz 2001, Slippers et al 2004, Phillips et al 2005). Crous et al (2006) summarised this work and represented several lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae that were identified with generic names based on large sub-unit (LSU) sequence data, including *Botryosphaeria, Dothidotthia, Macrophomina, Neofusicoccum, Neoscytalidium, Pseudofusicoccum, Saccharata* and *Guignardia*. The identity and generic placement of the numerous species included in *Diplodia* and *Lasiodiplodia* were unclear in the study of Crous et al (2006), but they are clearly separated in ITS and EF-1α phylogenies (Burgess et al 2005, Phillips et al 2005, Damm et al 2007, Alves et al 2008).

In this study, we describe seven new species of Botryosphaeriaceae associated with *A. gibbosa* and other native trees in the northwestern Australia. The new taxa are characterised and described based on ITS and EF-1 α sequence data combined with anamorph morphology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates

Isolates used in this study were collected from *A. gibbosa* and surrounding native tree in northwestern Australia in June and July of 2006 (TABLE I). Asymptomatic and dying twigs of *A. gibbosa* were collected from 26 locations approximately 20 km apart along the Gibb



River Road. At three locations asymptomatic twigs were also collected from eight other tree species. The other tree species were different at the three locations, but included: *Acacia synchronica, Crotalaria medicaginea, Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, an unidentified *Eucalyptus* sp., *Ficus opposita, Grevillia agrifolia, Lysiphyllum cunninghamii* and a *Terminalia* sp. (TABLE I). Isolations were made from visually healthy sapwood and bark collected from branches following Burgess et al (2006b). Collections were also made from pycnidia formed on dying branches. When pycnidia were found on dying branches, masses of conidia were directly transferred to 2 % malt extract agar (MEA) (Biolab, S.A.). Single-conidial cultures of all isolates used in this study are maintained in the Culture Collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa, and the Murdoch University Culture Collection (MUCC). A representative set of isolates has also been deposited in the collection of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS), Utrecht, The Netherlands.

DNA sequence comparisons

DNA was extracted from fungal mycelium from 7 d old single-conidial cultures as described by Burgess et al (2005). DNA was purified using the Ultrabind (a) DNA purification kit following the instructions given by the manufacturer (MO BIO Laboratories). Two gene regions were used for phylogenetic analyses. The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) operon was amplified for all isolates using primers ITS-1F (Gardes and Bruns 1993) and ITS-4 (White et al 1990). For selected isolates, a part of the elongation factor 1 α (EF-1 α) gene was amplified using primers EF1-728F and EF1-986R (Carbon and Kohn 1999). The PCR reaction mixture, PCR conditions and visualization were as described by Pavlic et al (2004) except that 0.5 U of Taq polymerase (Biotech International, Needville, Texas) was used. PCR products were cleaned with the Ultrabind (b) DNA purification kit and sequenced with the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit (PE Applied Biosystems) in both directions, using the same primers used for the PCR reactions. Products were separated with an ABI 3730 48 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). Data were collected with ABI data collection software.

Sequence data for isolates of the unknown species were deposited in GenBank (TABLE I). Sequences of known species were obtained from GenBank, and the isolate code, identity and accession numbers for sequence data used are given in TreeBASE (http://www.treebase.org/treebase/index.html, accession number SN3768). Parsimony



analysis was performed on individual datasets (individual trees are not illustrated) and on the combined data set after partition homogeneity tests (PHT) was performed in PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony) version 4.0b10 (Swofford 2000) to determine whether sequence data from the ITS and EF-1 α gene regions were statistically congruent (Farris et al 1995, Huelsenbeck et al 1996). Non-informative characters were removed prior to analysis and characters were unweighted and unordered. The most parsimonious trees were obtained using heuristic searches with random stepwise addition in 100 replicates, with the tree bisection-reconnection branch-swapping option on and the steepest-descent option off. Maxtrees were unlimited, branches of zero length were collapsed and all multiple equally parsimonious trees were saved. Estimated levels of homoplasy and phylogenetic signal (retention and consistency indices) were determined (Hillis and Huelsenbeck 1992). Branch and branch node supports were determined using 1000 bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is rooted to a *Guignardia* sp.

Bayesian analysis was conducted on the same individual and combined dataset as that used in the parsimony analysis. First, MrModeltest v. 2.5 (Nylander, 2004) was used to determine the best nucleotide substitution model. Phylogenetic analyses were performed with MrBayes v. 3.1 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003) applying a general time reversible (GTR) substitution model with gamma (G) and proportion of invariable site (I) parameters to accommodate variable rates across sites. Two independent runs of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) using 4 chains were run over 1 000 000 generations. Trees were saved each 1000 generation, resulting in 10 001 trees. Burn-in was set at 50 001 generations (i.e. 51 trees), well after the likelihood values converged to stationery, leaving 9950 trees from which the consensus trees and posterior probabilities were calculated.

Morphological characteristics

To induce sporulation, cultures were inoculated onto sterilized pine needles and/or eucalypt twigs placed on the surface of 2 % water agar (WA) (Biolab, S.A.) and incubated at 25 °C under near-UV light. To obtain single-conidial cultures, releasing conidia from pycnidia formed on pine needles and/or eucalypt twigs, were transferred on WA and spread on the medium surface by sterilised streaking loop. Plates were incubated at 25 °C under near-UV light for approximately 12 h and single germinating conidia were transferred on the MEA, using sterilised needle, and incubated under the same conditions. A single pycnidium was placed in a drop of lactoglycerol on a microscope slide and cut in pieces using a sterile



medical needle before adding the cover slip. Fifty released conidia and 30 of pycnidia, conidiogenous cells and paraphyses, were measured for each isolate, and the ranges and averages were computed. Measurements and digital images were made using an HRc Axiocam digital camera and accompanying Axiovision 3.1 software (Carl Zeiss Ltd., Munich, Germany). Drawings were prepared with a drawing tube and finalized using the method described by Barber and Keane (2007). Colony morphology and color were determined from cultures grown on MEA at 25 °C in the dark. Colony colors (upper surface and reverse) were determined by comparison to the color charts of Rayner (1970).

Growth rates at temperatures ranging from 5 to 35 °C, at 5 °C intervals were determined from cultures grown in the dark. To determine growth rate, mycelial plugs, 6 mm diam, were taken from the actively growing edges of 7 d old single-conidial cultures and transferred to the centers of MEA in 90 mm diam Petri dishes. Three replicate plates were used for each isolate at each temperature. Two perpendicular measurements were taken of the colony diameter daily until the mycelium of the fastest growing isolates had covered the plates.

RESULTS

DNA sequence comparisons

The partition homogeneity test comparing the ITS and EF-1 α data sets was significant (*P* = 0.007) indicating that the individual data sets were not congruent and produced trees with differing topology. These differences were not due to the relationships among species in a genus, but rather the relationship of the genera to each other. Thus, when the data were combined, support for the placement of species within a genus was high, but the support for the deeper branches, indicating relationships between genera, was low. Similar discrepancies were found when comparing the phylogeny obtained from parsimony and Bayesian analyses. Thus, the analyses for the individual ITS and EF-1 α datasets are available from TreeBASE (SN3768), while the results emerging from the combined dataset are presented here.

The combined dataset consisted of 996 characters of which 546 were parsimony informative. The data set contained significant phylogenetic signal compared to 1000 random trees (P < 0.01, g1 = -0.43). Heuristic searches resulted in 2 most parsimonious trees of 1566 steps (CI = 0.60, RI = 0.90) (FIG. 1, TreeBASE SN3768). In the Bayesian analysis, the positions of the genera in relation to each other differed, but within each genus, the topology was similar to the parsimony tree (TreeBASE SN3768). Eight clades were



identified, each corresponding to a separate genus and each supported with bootstrap values of 100 % and Bayesian probabilities of 1.00. These were Clade 1 (*Lasiodiplodia*), Clade 2 (*Diplodia*), Clade 3 (*Dothiorella*), Clade 4 (*Neofusicoccum*), Clade 5 (*Botryosphaeria*), Clade 6 (*Macrophomina*), Clade 7 (*Neoscytalidium*) and Clade 8 (*Pseudofusicoccum*). Isolates obtained in this study resided in Clades 1, 3, 5, 7 and 8.

Within the Lasiodiplodia clade, two isolates were found to be distinct from the known species in this genus (FIG. 1). Three isolates, two from Lysiphyllum cunninghamii and one from a Terminalia sp., formed a well-supported lineage in the Dothidotthia/Dothiorella clade (FIG. 1). Within the Botryosphaeria clade, a single isolate from Eucalyptus camaldulensis was phylogenetically distinct from the two previously sequenced (for ITS and EF-1a) species, B. dothidea and B. corticis (FIG. 1). Four isolates obtained in the present study from Acacia synchronica, Adansonia gibbosa, Crotalaria medicaginea and Grevillia agrifolia formed a separate sub-clade within the Neoscytalidium clade (FIG. 1). Although support for this sub-clade was low, these isolates produce Dichomera-like synanamorphs that distinguish them from known Neoscytalidium species and are described in this study as a new Neoscytalidium species. Pseudofusicoccum is currently monotypic for P. stromaticum. In this study, three new species were found that phylogenetically reside in this genus (FIG. 1).

Morphology

With exception of one isolate of *Pseudofusicoccum ardesiacum* (CMW26160), all the isolates of the Botryosphaeriaceae obtained from *A. gibbosa* and other native trees in northwestern Australia produced pycnidia on the pine needles and eucalyptus twigs on WA within two to three weeks. No ascomata were observed. Based on culture and conidial morphology, isolates were separated into seven species: three in *Pseudofusicoccum* and one species each in *Dothiorella, Fusicoccum, Lasiodiplodia* and *Neoscytalidium*. These species are described as follows.

TAXONOMY

Pseudofusicoccum adansoniae Pavlic, Burgess, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov. MB512048 FIGS. 2, 3.

Pycnidia subimmersa solitaria globosa papillata castanea, mycelio tecta, usque ad 500 µm diametro. Cellulae conidiogenae holoblasticae laeves cylindricae hyalinae, conidio



primo holoblastico, posteriora enteroblastica. Conidia mediocriter $22.5 \times 5.2 \mu m$, 4.3 plo longiora quam latiora, hyalinae, parietibus tenuibus, viscida strato persistenti muci tecta, laeves contentu tenue granulari, raro subflexa vel irregularia, apicibus rotundatis, unicellularia, ante germinationem 1–2 septa formantia.

Pycnidia semi-immersed, solitary, globose, papillate, chestnut, covered by hyphal hairs, up to 500 µm diam. Conidiogenous cells holoblastic, smooth, cylindrical, hyaline, the first conidium produced holoblastically and subsequent conidia enteroblastically, (9–) 10–15 $(-16) \times (1.5-) 2-3 (-3.5) \mu m$ (av. $12.7 \times 2.4 \mu m$). Conidia ellipsoid, occasionally slightly bent or irregularly shaped, (19–) 21-24 (–26) × (3.5–) 4.5-6 (–6.5) µm (av. 22.5×5.2 µm, 1/w 4.3), apices rounded, smooth with fine granular content, hyaline, thin-walled, covered with a persistent mucus layer, unicellular, forming 1 or 2 septa prior to germination. Cultural characteristics. Colonies initially white with moderately dense, appressed mycelial mat. Submerged mycelium, turning grey olivaceous (21'''b) to olivaceous black (27'''m) from the middle of colony after 3–5 d and becoming dark slate-blue (39'''k) with age. Aerial mycelium slightly fluffy, becoming dense, cottony with age, sometimes remaining white to smoke grey (21""f), usually turning pale olivaceous grey (21""d) within 7 d and becoming olivaceous grey (21""i) to iron grey 23""k) with age. Colonies slightly irregular, occasionally radially striated with lobate edges and/or forming concentric, irregular circles. Conidiomata readily formed from the middle of colony within 7-10 d, covering the entire surface of the colony and immersed in the medium (seen as a round black structures on the reverse side of Petri dishes) 14 d after incubation. Optimum growth temperature 30 °C, covering the 90 mm diam Petri dish after 4 d in the dark.

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Refers to the host from which the type specimen was isolated.

Habitat. Dying branches of Adansonia gibbosa and asymptomatic branches of Acacia synchronica, Eucalyptus sp. and Ficus opposita.

Known distribution. Western Australia.

HOLOTYPE. AUSTRALIA. WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Derby (17°21'03.150S, 123°40'07.578E), on *Adansonia gibbosa*, Jul 2006, T.I. Burgess (PREM 59841, a dry culture ex CMW 26147 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 26147 = CBS 122055).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.



Pseudofusicoccum kimberleyense Pavlic, Burgess, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov. MB512049 FIGS. 4, 5.

Pycnidia subimmersa solitaria globosa papillata castanea, mycelio tecta, usque ad 500 μ m diametro. Cellulae conidiogenae holoblasticae laeves cylindricae vel subcylindricae hyalinae, conidio primo holoblastico, posteriora enteroblastica. Conidia mediocriter 30.7 × 7.4 μ m, 4.1 plo longiora quam latiora, hyalinae, parietibus tenuibus, viscida strato persistenti muci tecta, laeves contentu tenue granulari, ellipsoidea, recta vel subfalcata, apicibus rotundatis, unicellularia, ante germinationem 1–4 septa formantia.

Pycnidia semi immersed, solitary, globose, papillate, chestnut, covered by hyphal hairs, up to 500 μ m diam. *Conidiogenous cells* holoblastic, smooth, cylindrical to subcylindrical, hyaline, the first conidium produced holoblastically and subsequent conidia enteroblastically, (7–) 8.5–11 (–14) × (2.5–) 3–3.5 (–4) μ m (av. 9.8 × 3.3 μ m). *Conidia* ellipsoid, straight or slightly curved, (24–) 28–33 (–34) × (6.5–) 7–8 (–8.5) μ m (av. 30.7 × 7.4 μ m, l/w 4.1), apices rounded, smooth with fine granular content, hyaline, thin-walled, covered with a persistent mucus layer, unicellular, forming 1–4 septa prior to germination. *Cultural characteristics*. Colonies initially white, hyphae forming a moderately dense, appressed mycelial mat. Submerged mycelium citrine (21k) to grey olivaceous (21''''b) from the middle of colony after 3–5 d, becoming olivaceous black (27''''m) to black with age. Aerial mycelium slightly fluffy, becoming dense, cottony with age, smoke grey (21''''d). Colonies slightly irregular with sinuate edges. Optimum growth temperature 30 °C, covering the 90 mm diam Petri dish after 4 d in the dark.

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Refers to Kimberley region, Western Australia where the substratum was collected from which the fungus was isolated.

Habitat. Dying branches of Adansonia gibbosa and asymptomatic branches of Acacia synchronica, Eucalyptus sp. and Ficus opposita.

Known distribution. Western Australia.

HOLOTYPE. AUSTRALIA. WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Tunnel Creek National Park (17°54'33.342S, 125°17'01.686E), on *Acacia synchronica*, Jul 2006, T.I. Burgess (PREM 59842, a dry culture on pine needles ex CMW 26156; ex-type culture CMW 26156 = CBS 122058).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.



Pseudofusicoccum ardesiacum Pavlic, Burgess, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov. MB512051 FIGS. 6, 7.

Pycnidia subimmersa solitaria globosa papillata castanea, mycelio tecta, usque ad 510 μ m diametro. Cellulae conidiogenae holoblasticae laeves cylindricae vel subcylindricae hyalinae, conidio primo holoblastico, posteriora enteroblastica. Conidia mediocriter 25 × 7.5 μ m, 3.3 plo longiora quam latiora, hyalinae, parietibus tenuibus, viscida strato persistenti muci tecta, laeves contentu tenue granulari, ellipsoidea vel vergata, recta vel subflea, apicibus rotundatis, unicellularia, ante germinationem 1–3 septa formantia.

Pycnidia semi-immersed, solitary, globose, papillate, chestnut, covered by hyphal hairs, up to 510 µm diam. Conidiogenous cells holoblastic, smooth, cylindrical, hyaline, the first conidium produced holoblastically and subsequent conidia enteroblastically, (6-) $7.5-10 (-11) \times (2.7-) 3-4 (-4.3) \mu m$ (av. $8.6 \times 3.5 \mu m$). Conidia ellipsoid to rod-shape, straight or slightly bent, (17.5–) 21-29 (–32) × (6.3–) 7–8 (–9) µm (av. 25 × 7.5 µm, l/w 3.3), apices rounded, smooth with fine granular content hyaline, thin-walled, covered with a persistent mucus layer, unicellular, forming 1-3 septa prior to germination. Cultural characteristics. Colonies initially white with sparse to moderately dense appressed mycelial mat. Submerged mycelium dark violet (59m) to dark blue (47m) (middle of the colony) and smoke grey (21""f) to grey olivaceous (21""b) towards edges within 3-5 days, becoming violaceous grey (59""i) to slate blue (47"k) with age. Aerial mycelium slightly fluffy, becoming dense, cottony with age, turning smoke grey (21""f) to pale purplish grey (71''''d) in the middle of colony and smoke grey (21'''f) to grey olivaceous (21'''b) towards edges after 5-7 days, becoming lavender grey (45"") with age; occasional columns of aerial mycelium in the middle of colony, reaching the lid. Colonies slightly irregular with sinuate edges. Conidiomata readily formed and immersed in aerial mycelia on the entire colony surface within 7–10 days. Optimum growth temperature 30 °C, covering the 90 mm diam Petri dish after 4 d in the dark.

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Refers to the slate blue-violet pigment found in cultures.

Habitat. Dying branches of Adansonia gibbosa and asymptomatic branches of Eucalyptus sp.

Known distribution. Western Australia.

HOLOTYPE. AUSTRALIA. WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Mt Hardman, Great Northern Highway (17°16'05.952S, 123°45'26.930E), on *Adansonia gibbosa*, Jul 2006, T.I. Burgess



(PREM 59843, a dry culture ex CMW 26159 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 26159 = CBS 122062).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.

Dothiorella longicollis Pavlic, Burgess, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov. MB512053 FIGS. 8, 9.

Pycnidia subimmersa plerumque solitaria, basi globosa usque ad 550 μ m diametro, collis longis, interdum ramosis, usque ad 1.5 mm longis, e substrato orientia. Cellulae conidiogenae holoblasticae cylindricae vel subcylindricae hyalinae, conidio primo holoblastico, posteriora enteroblastica. Conidia mediocriter 20.4 × 8.7 μ m, 2.3 plo longiora quam latiora, primo hyalina unicellularia, dum etiam ad cellulas conidiogenas affixa cinnamomeo- vel sepiaceo-brunnescentia, uniseptata, ovalia vel ovoidea apice rotundata basi truncata.

Pycnidia semi-immersed, mostly solitary, with globose base (up to 550 μ m diam) and long neck (sometimes branching), up to 1.5 mm long, arising from the substrate. *Conidiogenous cells* holoblastic, cylindrical to subcylindrical, hyaline, the first conidium produced holoblastically and subsequent conidia enteroblastically, (5–) 6–8 (–10) × (2.5–) 3–4 (–4.5) μ m (av. 7.3 × 3.4 μ m). *Conidia* oval to ovoid, (17–) 19–22 (–23) × (7–) 8–9.5 (–10.5) μ m (av. 20.4 × 8.7 μ m, l/w 2.3), apices rounded and truncate base, initially hyaline, unicellular, becoming cinnamon (13") to sepia (13"k) and one-septate while still attached to conidiogenous cells. *Cultural characteristics*. Colonies initially white to olivaceous-buff (21"d), becoming greenish-olivaceous (23"') to citrine (21k) from the middle of colonies within 7 d, iron grey (23"'') (surface) and black (beneath) with age, with suppressed, moderately fluffy mycelium, edges smooth appearing sinuate as the colony darkens with age. Conidiomata readily formed from the middle of colony within 7–10 days, covering the entire surface of the colony and immersed in the medium (seen as round black structures on the reverse side of Petri dishes) 14 days after incubation. Optimum growth temperature 25 °C, covering the 90 mm diam Petri dish after 4 d in the dark.

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Refers to the fact that the pycnidia have long necks.

Habitat. Asymptomatic branches of *Lysiphyllum cunninghamii* (Caesalpiniaceae) and *Terminalia* sp. (Combretaceae).

Known distribution. Western Australia.

HOLOTYPE. AUSTRALIA. WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Tunnel Creek National Park (17°54'33.342S, 125°17'01.686E), on Lysiphyllum cunninghamii, Jul 2006, T.I. Burgess



(PREM 59845, a dry culture ex CMW 26166 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 26166 = CBS 122068).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.

Note. Cultures transferred onto WA with pine needles formed numerous pycnidia on the surface and immersed in the medium. *Dothiorella longicollis* conforms well to morphological concept of the genus proposed by Phillips et al (2005).

Lasiodiplodia margaritacea Pavlic, Burgess, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov. MB512052 FIG. 10, 11.

Pycnidia subimmersa solitaria globosa papillata nigra mycelio tecta, usque ad 520 μ m diametro. Paraphyses cylindricae, 1–2-septatae, hyalinae. Cellulae conidiogenae holoblasticae, cylindricae vel subcylindricae, hyalinae, conidio primo holoblastico, posteriora enteroblastica. Conidia mediocriter 15.3 × 11.4 μ m, 1.3 plo longiora quam latiora primo unicellularia, hyalina globosa subglobosa vel obovoidea, parietibus crassis, contentu granuloso, cinnamomeo- vel sepiaceo-brunnescentia, cum maturitate 1-septata longitudinaliter striata.

Pycnidia semi-immersed, solitary, globose, papillate, black, covered by hyphal hairs, up to 520 µm diam. *Paraphyses* cylindrical, 1–2 septate, hyaline, (19–) 28–46 (–54) × (1.5–) 2–2.5 (–3) µm (av. 37.1 × 2.2 µm), formed among conidiogenous cells. *Conidiogenous cells* holoblastic, cylindrical to subcylindrical, hyaline, the first conidium produced holoblastically and subsequent conidia enteroblastically, (6–) 10–11 (–19.5) × (2–) 3–4 (–4.5) µm (av. 10.3 × 3.3 µm). *Conidia* globose to subglobose to obvoid, (12–) 14–17 (–19) × (10–) 11–12 (–12.5) µm (av. 15.3 × 11.4 µm, l/w 1.3), with granular content, thick-walled (1–2 µm), initially unicellular, hyaline, becoming cinnamon (13") to sepia (13"k), forming one septum and longitudinal striations with maturation. *Cultural characteristics*. Colonies initially white to smoke grey (21""f) with woolly aerial mycelium, becoming pale olivaceous grey (21""d) within 5–7 d, olivaceous grey (21""i) to iron grey (23""k) with age, margins regular. Submerged mycelium dense, reverse grey olivaceous (21""b) to olivaceous black (27""m) after 7 d, becoming black with age. Optimum growth temperature 30 °C, covering the 90 mm diam Petri dish after 3 d in the dark.

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. The name refers to the conidia that have a pearl-like appearance.

Habitat. Asymptomatic branches of Adansonia gibbosa.

Known distribution. Western Australia.



HOLOTYPE. AUSTRALIA. WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Tunnel Creek Gorge (17°36'22.884S, 125°108'46.056E), on *Adansonia gibbosa*, Jul 2006, T.I. Burgess (PREM 59844, a dry culture ex CMW 26162 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 26162 = CBS 122519).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.

Notes. Isolates of *L. margaritacea* clustered with other *Lasiodiplodia* species with high bootstrap support (100 %). The septate conidia with striations that darken with age, as well as paraphyses, are typical of the genus (Punithalingham 1976, Pavlic et al 2004, Burgess et al 2006a). However, the smaller, subglobose conidia clearly distinguish this species from previously described species (Punithalingham 1976, Pavlic et al 2004, Burgess et al 2006a, Damm et al 2007, Alves et al 2008).

Fusicoccum ramosum Pavlic, Burgess, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov. MB512054 FIGS. 12, 13.

Pycnidia subimmersa solitaria globosa papillata castanea, mycelio tecta, usque ad 510 μ m diametro, interdum collis ad 1.7 mm longis, e substrato orientia. Cellulae conidiogenae holoblasticae, cylindricae vel subcylindricae, hyalinae, conidio primo holoblastico, posteriora enteroblastica. Conidiophorae laeves cylindricae septatae usque ad 2 μ m latae 50 μ m longae, simplices vel ramosae. Conidia mediocriter 13.4 × 5.7 μ m, 2.3 plo longiora quam latiora, hyalinae, parietibus tenuibus vel subcrassis, laeves contentu tenue granulari, fusiformia ellipsoidea vel ovalia apicibus rotundatis basibus truncatis, unicellularia vel 1-septata.

Pycnidia semi-immersed, solitary, globose, papillate, chestnut, covered by hyphal hairs, up to 510 µm diam, sometimes with a neck to 1.7 mm long, arising from the substrate. *Conidiogenous cells* smooth, cylindrical to subcylindrical, hyaline, the first conidium produced holoblastically and subsequent conidia enteroblastically, (6-) 7.5–10 (–11) × (2–) 2–3 (–3.5) µm (av. 8.7 × 2.5 µm). *Conidiophores* smooth, cylindrical, septate, up to 2 µm wide and 50 µm long, simple or branching. *Conidia* fusiform to ellipsoid to oval, (11–) 12–15 (–16) × (4.7–) 5–6 (–7) µm (av. 13.4 × 5.7 µm, l/w 2.3), apices rounded or round at apex and truncate at base, smooth with fine granular contents, hyaline, wall thin to slightly thickened, unicellular or 1 septate. *Cultural characteristics*. Colonies initially white turning grey olivaceous (21''''b) from the middle of colonies within 5–7 days, with appressed mycelial mat and white moderately dense, cottony aerial mycelium towards the edge of the colony, becoming smoke grey (21''''f) to olivaceous grey (21''''i) (surface) and iron grey



(23^{''''k}) (beneath) within 10–14 days. Optimum growth temperature 25 °C, covering the 90 mm diam Petri dish after 4 d in the dark.

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Name refers to the branched conidiophores of this species.

Habitat. Asymptomatic branches of Eucalyptus camaldulensis.

Known distribution. Western Australia.

HOLOTYPE. AUSTRALIA. WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Bell Gorge (17°00'58.584S, 125°13'47.866E), on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, Jul 2006, T.I. Burgess (PREM 59846, a dry culture ex CMW 26167 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 26167 = CBS 12206).

Notes. The only known culture of "Botryosphaeria", anamorph *Fusicoccum* ramosum, is distinguished from other species in the genus by its long, simple or branching conidiophores. Its conidia develop a single septum before germinating, as is typical of *Botryosphaeria* (Slippers et al 2004). It did not produce a *Dichomera* synanamorph, which is reported for some isolates of the type species *Botryosphaeria dothidea* (Barber et al 2005). The conidia of *Fusicoccum ramosum* are significantly shorter then those of known species in this genus.

Neoscytalidium novaehollandiae Pavlic, Burgess, M.J. Wingfield, sp. nov. MB512103 FIGS. 14, 15.

Pycnidia ad dimidium immersa vel superficiales, solitaria vel in stromata multilocularia, nigra, cum basim globosa, diametrus usque ad 300 μ m, collis usque ad 600 μ m longis. Cellulae conidiogenae holoblasticae, cylindricae vel subcylindricae, hyalinae, conidio primo holoblastico, posteriora enteroblastica. Conidia (1) mediocriter 11.5 × 4.4 μ m, 2.6 plo longiora quam latiora, apices rotundati, primo hyalina, evadentes cinnamomeo- vel sepiaceo-brunnescentia cum maturitate, sive ellipsoidea vel ovoidea et 0–1–2-septata cum maturitate; (2) mediocriter 10.6 × 6.9 μ m, 1.5 plo longiora quam latiora, primo hyaline, evadentes cinnamomeo- vel sepiaceo-brunnescentia cum maturitate, sive in forma variabilia, irregularia, globosa, subglobosa vel obpyriformia, cum septis muriformibus, Arthroconidia catenulata in mycelio aerio, mediocriter 6.5 × 4 μ m, 1.6 plo longiora quam latiora, primo hyalina, evadente, cum latiora, primo hyalina, cylindrica, oblonga, obtusa vel doliiformia, crasse tunicata, primo hyalina et unicellularia, cinnamomeo- vel sepiaceo-brunnescentia et 0–1-septata cum maturitate.

Pycnidia semi-immersed or superficial, solitary or in multilocular stromata, black, with globose base, up to 300 µm diam and long neck, up to 600 µm long. *Conidiogenous*



cells holoblastic, cylindrical to subcylindrical, hyaline, the first conidium produced holoblastically and subsequent conidia enteroblastically, (6-) 7–10 (–11) × (2–) 2–3 (–4) µm (av. 8.6 × 2.5 µm). *Conidia* of two distinct types: (1) ellipsoidal to oval, (8–) 10.5–12.5 (–14) × (3–) 4–5 (–5) µm (av. 11.5 × 4.4 µm, l/w 2.6), apices rounded, initially hyaline, unicellular, becoming cinnamon (13'') to sepia (13''k), and 0–1-septate or 2-septate with darker central cell; (2) variable in shape, globose, subglobose to obpyriform with muriform septa, (8–) 8.5–12.5 (–15.5) × (5–) 5.5–7.5 (–8) µm (av. 10.6 × 6.9 µm, l/w 1.5), initially hyaline becoming cinnamon (13'') to sepia (13''k). Aerial mycelium forms chains of arthroconidia, (5–) 5.5–7.5 (–9.5) × (3–) 3.5–4.5 (–5) µm (av. 6.5 × 4 µm, l/w 1.6), unicellular, powdery to the touch, disarticulating, cylindrical, oblong to obtuse to doliiform, thick-walled, initially hyaline becoming cinnamon (13'') to sepia (13'') to sepia (13''k) and 0–1-septate. *Cultural characteristics*. Colonies initially white to olivaceous-buff (21'''d), becoming greenish-olivaceous (23''') to citrine (21k) from the middle of colonies within 7 d, and black (surface and beneath) with age, with suppressed, moderately fluffy mycelium, edges smooth. Optimum growth temperature 35 C, covering the 90 mm diam Petri dish after 3 d in the dark.

Teleomorph. Not known.

Etymology. Name refers to original Dutch name for Western Australia, where the substratum was collected from which the fungus was isolated.

Habitat. Asymptomatic branches (sapwood) of Acacia synchronica, Adansonia gibbosa, Crotalaria medicaginea and Grevillia agrifolia.

Known distribution. Western Australia.

HOLOTYPE. AUSTRALIA. WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Bell Gorge (17°00'58.584S, 125°13'47.866E), on *Crotalaria medicaginea*, Jul 2006, T.I. Burgess (PREM 60069, a dry culture ex CMW 26170 on pine needles; ex-type culture CMW 26170 = CBS 122071).

Additional specimens examined. See TABLE I.

Note: Isolates of *Neoscytalidium novaehollandiae* are similar in morphological characteristics to those of the type species *N. dimidiatum* (Punithalingam and Waterston 1970, Crous et al 2006). However, isolates obtained in this study produce muriform, *Dichomera*-like conidia that distinguish this species from known *Neoscytalidium* spp.

KEY TO PSEUDOFUSICOCCUM SPECIES

Blue-violet pigment in cultures visible after 3–5 days; conidia averaging 25 μm long, l/w
 3.3, aseptate, forming 1–3 septa prior to germination
 P. ardesiacum


1. Blue-violet pigment absent in cultures	2
2. Conidia on average >30 μm long	P. kimberleyense
2. Conidia on average <25 μm long	3
3. Conidia aseptate, l/w 4	P. stromaticum
3. Conidia aseptate, forming 1 or 2 septa prior to germination, l/w 4.3	P. adansoniae

DISCUSSION

Seven new species of Botryosphaeriaceae were isolated from endemic trees in Western Australia. Combined ITS and EF-1 α sequence data distributed these isolates among the genera *Botryosphaeria*, *Dothiorella*, *Lasiodiplodia*, *Neoscytalidium* and *Pseudofusicoccum*. Teleomorphs were not observed for any of the species identified in this study.

Three of the seven new fungi are species of *Pseudofusicoccum*, a genus previously monotypic for *P. stromaticum* (Crous et al 2006, Mohali et al 2006). *Pseudofusicoccum* is separated from *Fusicoccum* by the presence of persistent mucous sheaths surrounding the conidia (Crous et al 2006). *Pseudofusicoccum stromaticum* was described on non-native *Acacia* and *Eucalyptus* spp. in Venezuela (Mohali et al 2006). Strains of *P. adansoniae* and *P. kimberleyense* described in this study were obtained from four unrelated hosts (*Acacia* sp., *Eucalyptus* sp., *Ficus* sp. and *A. gibbosa*) residing in four families all native to Western Australia. Isolates of *P. ardesiacum* were obtained from two of these native hosts, *Eucalyptus* and *A. gibbosa*. The fact that all *Pseudofusiccum* spp. occured on native hosts in a relatively undisturbed area of Australia or in the case of *P. stromaticum* on Australian plants suggests that the species are most likely native to Australia.

Isolates of *P. adansoniae* came from different hosts but were morphologically uniform. This is in contrast to isolates of *P. kimberleyense*, which displayed differences in conidial morphology, and variation in DNA sequences in both gene regions analysed. These variations could indicate that *P. kimberleyense* is comprised of more than one species. *Pseudofusicoccum ardesiacum* was easily distinguished from other species in the genus by its smaller conidia and the distinct slate blue-violet pigment that it produces in culture.

Two species with dark conidia were identified in this study. Based on phylogenetic analyses and phenotype, they have been placed in *Lasiodiplodia* and *Dothiorella*. *Lasiodiplodia margaritacea* was identified only from dying branches of *A. gibbosa*. High numbers of dead and dying baobabs (*A. digitata*) have been reported in Southern Africa, particularly in Zimbabwe (Anonymous 1991, Piearce et al 1994). The symptoms identified



on the trees in Zimbabwe were originally reported as "sooty bark disease" caused by species of sooty mould fungi (Calvert 1989, Anonymous 1991). However, Piearce et al (1994) reported that the "sooty" baobabs were dying due to drought, related to climatic change, rather than being caused by fungal pathogens. A recent study on diseases of baobabs in South Africa, showing symptoms of die-back and death of branches followed by sap exudation, revealed that *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* was the most abundant fungus present (Roux 2002). This fungus is a well-known latent, stress-associated pathogen on more then 500 hosts world-wide (Punithalingham 1976), and as such, could also be involved in the decline of baobab trees in African countries (Roux 2002). Since *Lasiodiplodia margaritacea* was found only on *A. gibbosa* that shows die-back symptoms, this fungus could be pathogenic to this host.

Dothiorella longicollis is another species with dark conidia described in this study. This species is morphologically similar to the other species with Dothiorella anamorphs, D. *iberica, D. sarmentorum* and D. viticola (Luque et al 2005, Phillips et al 2005). Except for the pycnidia with long necks, which are distinct feature of D. longicollis, other morphological characteristics such as conidial shape and size, overlap among these species and cannot be used to separate them with confidence. However, their distinction is well supported in the ITS and EF-1 α phylogenies. Dothiorella longicollis occured as an endophyte in asymptomatic branches of two unrelated hosts, Lysiphyllum cunninghamii (Caesalpiniaceae) and a Terminalia sp. (Combretaceae) endemic to Western Australia and nothing is known regarding its ecology.

A number of isolates obtained from asymptomatic branches on different hosts, including *Acacia*, *Adansonia*, *Crotalaria* and *Grevillia*, were identified as *Neoscytalidium novaehollandiae*. *Neoscytalidium*, with *N. dimidiatum* as a type, accommodates species with *Scytalidium*-like synanamorphs (Crous et al 2006). These are characterized by conidia held in arthric chains in the aerial mycelium. In addition to arthroconidia, the cultures produce *Fusicoccum*-like conidia in pycnidia. Isolates of *N. novaehollandiae* identified in this study produce a *Dichomera*-like synanamorph, which is not known for other species in this genus. *Dichomera*-like synanamorphs were recently described for *Botryosphaeria dothidea*, *Neofusicoccum parvum*, *N. ribis* and *N. australe* (Barber et al 2005), however this is the first time that *Dichomera*-like synanamorph is identified for *Neoscytalidium*. *Neoscytalidium dimidiatum* has been isolated from different substrates including plant tissues, soil, human skin and nails, and is known as plant pathogen (Punithalingam and Waterston 1970, Crous et



al 2006). The isolates examined in this study were collected as endophytes from plant tissues. This is the first report of *Neoscytalidim* sp. on *A. gibbosa*.

Fusicoccum ramosum was isolated as endophyte from asymptomatic twigs of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. Numerous species of Botrosphaeraceae with '*Fusicoccum*' anamorphs identified from *Eucalyptus* have now been placed in a new genus *Neofusicoccum* (Crous et al 2006). *Neofusicoccum* spp. are the most common endophytes and latent pathogens of *Eucalyptus* (Burgess et al 2006b, Slippers and Wingfield and 2007), however no *Neofusicoccum* spp. were isolated from *Euclyptus* in this study.

Species of Botryosphaeriaceae are well-known as endophytes and latent, opportunistic canker and die-back pathogens on numerous woody hosts worldwide (von Arx 1987, Slippers and Wingfield 2007, de Wet et al 2008). However, this is the first detailed study to consider these fungi on Adansonia gibbosa, and also other endemic trees in Western Australia, including Acacia synchronica, Crotalaria medicaginea, Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Eucalyptus sp., Ficus opposita, Grevillia agrifolia, Lysiphyllum cunninghamii and Terminalia sp. The seven new species emerging from this study, of which five were recorded on A. gibbosa, reflects a lack of knowledge regarding the fungi on A. gibbosa and of the Botryosphaeriaceae on native plants in this region. The role of these fungi in the ecology of the trees from which they were collected will be considered in future studies.

LITERATURE CITED

Alves A, Crous PW, Correia A, Phillips AJL. 2008. Morphological and molecular data reveal cryptic speciation in *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*. Fungal Diversity 28:1–13.

Anonymous. 1991. Africa's favourite tree falls ill. New Scientist 1784.

- Barber PA, Keane PJ. 2007. A novel method of illustrating microfungi. Fungal Divers 27:1–10.
- Barber PA, Burgess TI, Hardy GEStJ, Slippers B, Keane PJ, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Botryosphaeria species from Eucalyptus in Australia are pleoanamorphic, producing Dichomera synanamorphs in culture. Mycol Res 109:1347–1363.
- Baum DA, Small RL, Wendel JF. 1998. Biogeography and floral evolution of baobabs (Adansonia, Bombacaceae) as inferred from multiple data sets. Syst Biol 47:181– 207.



- Bowman DMJS. 1997. Observations on the demography of the Australian Baobab (*Adansonia gibbosa*) in the north-west of the Northern Territory, Australia. Aust J Bot 45:893–904.
- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GESJ. 2005. *Botryosphaeria* spp. associated with eucalypts in Western Australia including description of *Fusicoccum macroclavatum* sp. nov. Aust Plant Path 34:557–567.
- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Mohali S, Pegg G, de Beer W, Wingfield MJ. 2006a. Three new *Lasiodiplodia* spp. from the tropics, recognised based on DNA sequence comparisons and morphology. Mycologia 98:423–435.
- Burgess TI, Sakalidis M, Hardy GEStJ. 2006b. Gene flow of the canker pathogen *Botryosphaeria australis* between *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations and native eucalypt forests in Western Australia. Austral Ecol 31:559–566.
- Calvert GM.1989. Dying baobabs. The Zimbabwe Science News 23:21. Letter to the editor.
- Carbone I, Kohn LM. 1999. A method for designing primer sets for speciations studies in filamentous ascomycetes. Mycologia 91:553–556.
- Crisp M, Cook L, Steane D. 2004. Radiation of the Australian flora: what can comparisons of molecular phylogenies across multiple taxa tell us about the evolution of diversity in present-day communities? Phil Tran Roy Soc B: Biol Sci 359:1551–1571.
- Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WFO, Phillips AJL, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ. 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Stud Mycol 55:235–253.
- Damm U, Crous PW, Fourie PH. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae as potential pathogens of *Prunus* species in South Africa, with descriptions of *Diplodia africana* and *Lasiodiplodia plurivora* sp. nov. Mycologia 99:664–680.
- Denman S, Crous PW, Taylor JE, Kang JC, Pascoe I, Wingfield MJ. 2000. An overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* and a re-evaluation of its anamorphs based on morphology and ITS rDNA phylogeny. Stud Mycol 45:129–140.
- de Wet J, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2008. Phylogeny of Botryosphaeriaceae reveals patterns of host association. Mol Phylogenet Evol 46:116–126.
- Farris JS, Kallersjo M, Kluge AG, Bult C. 1995. Testing significance of incongruence. Cladistics 10:315–319.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence intervals on phylogenetics: an approach using bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.



- Gardes M, Bruns T. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes– application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. Mol Ecol 2:113–118.
- Hillis DM, Huelsenbeck JP. 1992. Signal, noise and reliability in molecular phylogenetic analysis. J Hered 83:189–195.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Bull JJ, Cunningham CV. 1996. Combining data in phylogenetic analysis. Trends Ecol Evol 11:152–158.
- Jacobs KA, Rehner SA. 1998. Comparison of cultural and morphological characters and ITS sequences in anamorphs of *Botryosphaeria* and related taxa. Mycologia 90:601–610.
- Luque J,Martos S, Phillips AJL. 2005. *Botryosphaeria viticola* sp. nov. on grapevines: a new species with a *Dothiorella* anamorph. Mycologia 97:1111–1121.
- Mohali S, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2006. Two new *Fusicoccum* spp. from *Eucalyptus* and *Acacia* in Venezuela, based on morphology and DNA sequence data. Mycol Res 110:405–413.
- Nylander JAA. 2004. MrModeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ. 2004. Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis sp. nov., a new Botryosphaeria anamorph from native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:313–322.
- Phillips AJL, Alves A, Correia A, Luque J. 2005. Two new species of *Botryosphaeria* with brown, 1-septate ascospores and *Dothiorella* anamorphs. Mycologia 97:513–529.
- Piearce GD, Calvert GM, Sharp C, Shaw P. 1994. Sooty Baobabs–Disease or Drought? Zimbabwe Forestry Commission Research Paper No. 6:1–13.
- Punithalingam E. 1976. *Botryodiplodia theobromae*. CMI descriptions of pathogenic fungi and bacteria. No. 519. Kew, Surrey, England: Commonwealth Mycological Institute. 2 p.
- Punithalingam E, Waterston JM. 1970. *Hendersonula toruloidea*. CMI descriptions of pathogenic fungi and bacteria. No. 274. Kew, Surrey, England: Commonwealth Mycological Institute. 2 p.
- Rayner RW. 1970. A mycological colour chart. Kew, Surrey, UK: CMI and British Mycological Society.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenback JP. 2003. MrBayes: bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574.



- Roux J. 2002. Report on baobab mortality in Messina nature reserve. Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa, from http://www.fabinet.up.ac.za
- Slippers B, Crous PW, Denman S, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004. Combined multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several species previously identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Mycologia 96: 83–101.
- Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae as endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: diversity, ecology and impact. Fungal Biology Reviews 21: 90–106.
- Swofford DL. 2000. PAUP* 4.0: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other methods). Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- von Arx JA. 1987. Plant Pathogenic Fungi. Berlin, Germany: J. Cramer. 288 p.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Snisky JJ, White TJ, eds. PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. San Diego: Academic Press. p 315–322.
- Zhou S, Stanosz GR. 2001. Relationships among *Botryosphaeria* species and associated anamorphic fungi inferred from the analyses of ITS and 5.8S rDNA sequences. Mycologia 93:516–527.



TABLE I. Isolates included in the phylogenetic study

Culture no. ¹	Other no. ¹	Identity	Host	Location ²	Genbank no. ³	
					ITS	EF-1a
CMW26145	MUCC520,	Pseudofusicoccum	Acacia synchronica	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EF585525	EF585569
CMW26148	CBS122053 MUCC521,	adansoniae P. adansoniae	Ficus opposita	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EF585524	EF295489
	CBS122056					
CMW26147	MUCC522,	P. adansoniae	Adansonia gibbosa	WA, Derby	EF585523	EF585571
	CBS122055				EE505522	555555
CMW26146	MUCC533,	P. adansoniae	Eucalyptus sp.	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EF585532	EF585570
CNW2(1(1	CBS122054	D timbertowner	Eita	WA Trans I Carols ND	EU144050	EU144074
CMW20101	CBS122001	P. kimberleyense	F. opposita	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EU144059	EU144074
CMW26156	CBS122058	P. kimberleyense	Ac. synchronica	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EU144057	EU144072
CMW26157	CBS122059	P. kimberleyense	Eucalyptus sp.	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EU144056	EU144071
CMW26158	CBS122060	P. kimberleyense	Ad. gibbosa	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EU144058	EU144073
CMW26155	CBS122063	P. ardesiacum	Ad. gibbosa	WA, Derby	EU144061	EU144076
CMW26159	CBS122062	P. ardesiacum	Ad. gibbosa	WA, Mt Hardman, Great North	EU144060	EU144075
CMW26160	CBS122064	P. ardesiacum	Eucalyptus sp.	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EU144062	EU144077
CMW13434		P. stromaticum	Eucalyptus hybrid	Venezuela, Cojedes state	AY693974	AY693975
CMW13435		P. stromaticum	Eucalyptus hybrid	Venezuela, Cojedes state	DQ436935	DQ436936
CMW26162	CBS122519	Lasiodiplodia	Ad. gibbosa	WA, Tunnel Creek Gorge	EU144050	EU144065
CMW26163	CBS122065	L. margaritacea	Ad. gibbosa	WA, Tunnel Creek Gorge	EU144051	EU144066
CMW10130		L. theobromae	Vitex donniana	Uganda	AY236951	AY236900
CMW9074		L. theobromae	Pinus sp.	Mexico	AY236952	AY236901
CMW14077	CBS115812	L. gonubiensis	Syzygium cordatum	South Africa, Gonubie	AY639595	DQ103566
WAC12538		L. rubropurpurea	E. grandis	Queensland, Tully	DQ103556	DQ103574
CMW13511	WAC12539	L. venezuelensis	Ac. mangium	Venezuela, Acarigua	DQ103547	DQ103568
CMW14691	WAC12533	L. crassispora	Santalum album	Western Australia, Kununurra	DQ103550	DQ103557
	STE-U5803, CBS120832	L. plurivora	Prunus salicina	South Africa, Stellenbosch	EF445362	EF445395
	CBS304.79	L. pseudotheobromae	Rosa sp.	Netherlands	EF622079	EF622061
	CBS447.62	L. pseudotheobromae	Citrus aurantium	Suriname	EF622081	EF622060
	CBS495.78	L. parva	Cassava-field soil	Colombia	EF622085	EF622065
	CBS494.78	L. parva	Cassava-field soil	Colombia	EF622084	EF622064
ZS94-6		Diplodia mutila	Malus pumila	New Zealand	AF243407	AY236904
CMW7774		Di. seriata	Ribes sp.	U.S.A., New York	AY236953	AY236902
KJ94-07		Di. pinea	Pinus resinosa	U.S.A., Wisconsin	AF027758	AY624251
CMW26164	CBS122066	Dothiorella longicollis	Terminalia sp.	WA, Bell Gorge	EU144052	EU144067



TABLE I. Continued									
Culture no. ¹	Other no. ¹	Identity	Host	Location ²	Genbank no. ³				
CMW26165	CDS122067	De Ioneicellie	Lucie hullou	WA Tunnal Create ND	ITS EU144052	EF-1 α			
CMW20105	CBS122007	Do. longicollis	Lysipnyllum cunninghamii	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	E0144055	EU144068			
CMW26166	CBS122068	Do. longicollis	L. cunninghamii	WA, Tunnel Creek NP	EU144054	EU144069			
CBS117008		Do. viticola	Vitis vinifera	Spain, Sant Sadurní d'Anoia	AY905557	AY905560			
CBS117010		Do. viticola	V. vinifera	Spain, Sant Esteve Sesrovires	AY905558	AY905561			
CBS115041		Do. iberica	Quercus ilex	Spain, Aragon	AY573202	AY573222			
CBS115035		Do.iberica	Q. ilex	Spain, Aragon	AY573213	AY573228			
CBS115038		Do.sarmentorum	M. pumila	Netherlands, Delft	AY573206	AY573223			
IMI63581		Do.sarmentorum	Ulmus sp.	England, Warwickshire	AY573212	AY573235			
CMW26167	CBS122069	Fusicoccum ramosum	E. camaldulensis	WA, Bell Gorge	EU144055	EU144070			
CMW991	ATCC58188	B. dothidea	Prunus nigra	New Zealand	AF241175	AY236895			
CMW8000		B. dothidea	Prunus sp.	Switzerland, Crocifisso	AY236949	AY236898			
ATCC22928		B. corticis	Vaccinium sp.	U.S.A., North Carolina	DQ299248	EF614932			
ATCC22927		B. corticis	Vaccinium sp.	U.S.A., North Carolina	DQ299247	EF614931			
MUCC531		Macrophomina phaseolina	Sesbania formosa	Western Australia, Kununurra	EF585505	EF585560			
MUCC532		M. phaseolina	S. formosa	Western Australia, Kununurra	EF585506	EF585561			
CMW6837		Neofusicoccum	Acacia sp.	New South West, Batermans	AY339262	AY339270			
		australe	-	Bay					
CMW7054	CBS121.26	N. ribis	Ribis rubrum	U.S.A., New York	AF236908	AY236879			
CMW9081	ICMP8003	N. parvum	Populus nigra	New Zealand	AY236943	AY236888			
CMW26171	MUCC534,	Neoscytalidium	Ad. gibbosa	WA, Gibb River Rd,	EF585535	EF585581			
	CBS122072	novaehollandiae		50 km E of Derby					
CMW26168	MUCC535, CBS122610	N. novaehollandiae	Ac. synchronica	WA, Gibb River Rd, near Meda	EF585536	EF585578			
CMW26169	MUCC536, CBS122070	N. novaehollandiae	Grevillia agrifolia	WA, Gibb River Rd, near Meda	EF585539	EF585579			
CMW26170	MUCC537, CBS122071	N. novaehollandiae	Crotalaria medicaginea	WA, Bell Gorge	EF585540	EF585580			
CBS499.66		Neoscytalidium	Mangifera indica	Mali	AY819727	EU144063			
CDS204.22		aimidiatum	Durung an	Formt	4 10720	EU144064			
СВ\$204.33		N. dimidiatum	<i>Prunus</i> sp.	Egypt	AI819/28	EU144064			
	MUCC684	Guignardia sp.	Agonis flexuosa	Western Australia, Yalgorup	EU675682	EU686573			
	MUCC685	Guignardia sp.	Ag. flexuosa	Western Australia, Yalgorup	EU675681	EU686572			

¹ Abbreviations of isolates and culture collections: CBS = Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures Utrecht, The Netherlands; CMW = Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria South Africa; MUCC = Murdoch University Culture Collection, Perth, Australia; KJ = Jacobs and Rehner (1998); ATCC = American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, U.S.A; ICMP = International Collection of Microorganisms from Plants, Auckland, New Zealand; IMI = CABI Bioscience, Egham, U.K; ZS = Zhou and Stanosz (2001); WAC = Department of Agriculture Western Australia Plant Pathogen Collection, Perth, Australia.

² WA=Western Australia, NP=National Park.

³ Sequence numbers in italics were obtained from the GenBank public database. All others were obtained in this study.



FIG. 1. One of 2 most parsimonious trees of 1566 steps resulting from the analysis of the combined ITS–EF-1 α sequence data. Bootstrap values of the branch nodes are given in italics and the posterior probabilities resulting from Bayesian analysis are indicated in bold. Isolates from this study are in bold. Tree is rooted to a *Guignardia* sp. The strongly supported clades that represent different genera within the Botryosphaeriacea according to Crous et al. (2006) are indicated by circles at the nodes.







FIG. 2. *Pseudofusicoccum adansoniae*. a. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122056). b. Conidia (CBS122054, CBS122055, CBS122056). c. Germinating conidia (CBS122056). Bar = $10 \mu m$.





b DOB DODDA





FIG. 3. *Pseudofusicoccum adansoniae*. a. Pycnidia formed in culture on pine needles (CBS122054). b. Aseptate conidia (CBS122055). c, d. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122053). Scale bars: $a = 500 \mu m$, $b-f = 10 \mu m$.







FIG. 4. *Pseudofusicoccum kimberleyense*. a. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122059). b. Aseptate conidia (CBS122058, CBS122060, CBS122061). c. 1–4 septate conidia (CBS122059, CBS122060, CBS122061). Bar = $10 \mu m$.







FIG. 5. *Pseudofusicoccum kimberleyense*. a. Pycnidia formed in culture on pine needles (CBS122058). b, c. Aseptate conidia (CBS122058). d, e. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122058). f, g. Aseptate and 2–4 septate conidia (CBS122060). h. Aseptate conidia (CBS122061). Scale bars: $a = 500 \mu m$, $b-h = 10 \mu m$.







FIG. 6. *Pseudofusicoccum ardesiacum.* a. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122062). b. Conidia (CBS122062, CBS122063). Bar = $10 \mu m$.









FIG. 7. *Pseudofusicoccum ardesiacum.* a. Pycnidia formed in culture on eucalypt twig (CBS122063). b, c. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122063). d. Conidium attached to conidiogenous cell (CBS122062). e. Aseptate conidia (CBS122062). f. Two-septate conidium (CBS122062). g. Aseptate conidia covered with mucus layer (indicated by arrow) (CBS122063). Scale bars: $a = 500 \mu m$, $b-g = 10 \mu m$.







FIG. 8. *Dothiorella longicollis.* a. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122067, CBS122068). b. Conidia (CBS122067, CBS122068). Bar = $10 \mu m$.





b



FIG. 9. *Dothiorella longicollis.* a. Pycnidia formed in culture on water agar (CBS122068). b. Pycnidium formed in culture releasing dark one-septate conidia (CBS122068). c. Cross section through a pycnidium showing outer layers of dark brown cells and inner layers of hyaline cells with conidiogeneous cells arising from the pycnidial wall (CBS122067). d. Dark one-septate conidia (CBS122067). Scale bars: $a = 500 \mu m$, $b-d = 10 \mu m$.







FIG. 10. *Lasiodiplodia margaritacea.* a. Conidiogenous cells and paraphyses. b. Immature conidia. c. Mature conidia. (a–c CBS122519). Bar = $10 \mu m$.







FIG. 11. *Lasiodiplodia margaritacea.* a. Pycnidia emerging through the eucalypt bark in culture. b. Conidium attached to conidiogenous cell. c. Germinating conidium. d. Paraphyses. e. Conidia in various stages of development, including young, hyaline, aseptate conidia, unicellular conidia with developing pigmentation with and without septation, mature striate conidia. (a–e CBS122519). Scale bars: $a = 500 \mu m$, $b-h = 10 \mu m$.







FIG. 12. *Fusicoccum ramosum.* a. Conidiogenous cells and conidiophores. b, Branching conidiophores, c. Conidia. (a–c CBS122069). Bar = $10 \mu m$.







FIG. 13. *Fusicoccum ramosum.* a. Pycnidia emerging through the eucalypt bark in culture releasing white masses of conidia. b. Conidiophores with attached conidia c. Germinating one-septate conidium. d. Conidium attached to conidiogenous cell. e. Conidiophores arising from the pycnidial wall. f–g Conidiophore with attached conidium, at two different focuses. h. Aseptate conidia. (a–h CBS122069). Scale bars: $a = 500 \mu m$, $b-g = 10 \mu m$.







FIG. 14. *Neoscytalidium novaehollandiae*. a. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122610). b. Conidia. c. Muriform conidia. d. Chains of arthroconidia. b–d (CBS122071). Bar = 10 μm.








FIG. 15. *Neoscytalidium novaehollandiae*. a. Pycnidia emerging from a pine needle in culture. b. Conidiogenous cells (CBS122610). c. Hyaline aseptate conidia. d. Two-septate dark conidia. e–g. Muriform conidia. h, i. Chains of arthroconidia. (a, c–h CBS122071). Bars: $a = 500 \mu m$, $b-h = 10 \mu m$.







Chapter 6

Molecular phylogenetics in the recognition of fungal species, with a particular focus on the Botryosphaeriaceae



ABSTRACT

DNA-based molecular techniques and molecular phylogenetics in species delineation has revolutionised the taxonomy of fungi. Along with deployment of the phylogenetic species concept and genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR), cryptic species and species complexes have been revealed where one taxonomic entity was previously known. The *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex provides one of best examples of fungal plant pathogens, where numerous cryptic phylogenetic species were recognized in one morphospecies. Likewise, the genus *Botryosphaeria* has been radically revised during the past decade based on molecular evidence and a number of new genera and species have been introduced for taxa that previously resided in this genus. This diverse and cosmopolitan group of fungi includes serious plant pathogens as well as some medically important species. In this review, the molecular approaches that are currently applied to delineate fungal species, in particular in the Botryosphaeriaceae, are considered and their implications for the taxonomy of the Botryosphaeriaceae are discussed.



1.0. Introduction

Defining a "species" is fundamental to studies on speciation, understanding of this process and its underlying mechanisms. It is also essential for practical reasons such as disease control and in the application of quarantine regulations. At least 25 different species concepts have been used to define species in the past (Coyne and Orr 2004). These species concepts are classified as theoretical, such as the Evolutionary Species Concept (ESC) (Mayden 1997), or operational of which the more commonly accepted include the Morphological Species Concept (MSC), the Biological Species Concept (BSC) and the Phylogenetic Species Concept (PSC) (Taylor et al 2000). Operational species concepts classify practical criteria that can be used to delineate species (Mayden 1997, Berlocher 1998, de Queiroz 2007). Taylor et al (2000) introduced the term "species recognition" for the operational approaches e.g. Morphological Species Recognition (MSR), Biological Species Recognition (BSR) and Phylogenetic Species Recognition (PSR), in order to distinguish them from theoretical concepts and to emphasize their use in species delimitation, particularly in fungal species diagnoses.

Changes in operational species concepts and the use of PSC and PSR that have been conceptualised in last few decades (Berlocher 1998), have all been influenced by the development of new molecular tools and their availability for species recognition. The most revolutionary change to have arisen is the direct analyses of DNA sequences that became broadly applied in species delimitation in the late 1980's, with the discovery of the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) (Berlocher 1998). Since then, the number of studies on cryptic speciation has increased dramatically in all fields of biology and for all taxonomic groups of living organisms (Bickford et al 2006). One of the important outcomes of the application of molecular based diagnoses has been the recognition that many previously described taxa incorporate cryptic species, which traditionally applied phenotypic characters have failed to reveal.

The application of DNA-based molecular techniques and molecular phylogenetics in species delineation has revolutionised the taxonomy of fungi. Apart from its influence on higher classification, increasing numbers of studies based on DNA sequence variation and application of PSR reveal an escalating number of cryptic species and species complexes in fungal Kingdom (Taylor et al 2000). Based on the outcomes of these studies, it is expected that most of fungal species described based on morphology, comprise more than one closely related cryptic or sibling species, or species complexes. A lack of distinguishing morphological characters, difficulties to induce sporulation in culture, failure of isolates to



mate under laboratory conditions or the lack of living cultures are the main reasons why these species remained cryptic. The increasing number of recognised cryptic fungal species has also necessitated a new approach to the description of these species, and a need to move towards what is referred to as phylogenetic taxonomy.

The rising numbers of species distinguished based on molecular approaches, and cryptic species in fungi in general, is mirrored in the recognition of species and the resulting taxonomy of the Botryosphaeriaceae. Since 1998, when the DNA sequence data were first applied to distinguish species in this family, at least twenty cryptic species have been identified in species of this group, previously defined based on morphology. Numerous others are currently being described. Recently, three cryptic species were described in this family using DNA sequence data and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as defining characters for the first time (Pavlic et al 2009b). In this review we consider these developments specifically in the Botryosphaeriaceae, which in many ways provides a leading example that can equally be applied to other fungal groups.

2.0. The historical development of Botryosphaeria taxonomy

The Botryosphaeriaceae (Botryosphaeriales, Ascomycota) is referred to here in the strict sense as referring to taxa that were described in the genus Botryosphaeria, or anamorphs of Botryosphaeria, before 2006, following the classification system of von Arx (von Arx and Müller 1954). This group comprises more than 2000 species (http://www.indexfungorum.com) that are commonly known as endophytes and latent, stress-associated, opportunistic plant pathogens with cosmopolitan distributions on a variety of angiosperms and gymnosperms (Denman et al 2000, Slippers and Wingfield 2007, de Wet et al 2008). Some of the Botryosphaeriaceae are also medically important fungi that may cause diseases in humans (Tan et al 2008, Woo et al 2008).

The taxonomic history and identification of species of *Botryosphaeria sensu* von Arx (von Arx and Müller 1954) can be split in two periods, which are related to pre- and post-the application of the DNA sequence data. The first period started in 1863 when Cesati and de Notaris established *Botryosphaeria*, with 12 species, including *B. dothidea*, which was later identified as the lectotype of the genus (Barr 1972). Until a decade ago, the taxonomy of this group of fungi was based exclusively on morphology, and this period is characterised by morphological species recognition (MSR) (Taylor et al 2000).

Morphological species recognition in *Botryosphaeria* has been complex in the past for a number of reasons. In the initial stages, morphological species identification was



usually combined with a single host-one species approach, which led to the description of new species based on host association (Cesati and De Notaris 1863, Saccardo 1877, 1882, Grossenbacher and Duggar 1911, Putterill 1919). Many of the early-described species were, however, synonymised in a major revision of the genus by von Arx and Müller (1954) based almost exclusively on teleomorph morphology. The occurrence of more than one species on the same host and simultaneous existence of anamorph and teleomorph structures further complicated species identification. Connections between *Botryosphaeria* species and their anamorphs have also not always been available. For example, at the time when *B. dothidea* was described, its anamorph, *Fusicoccum aesculi* Corda, was known, but there were no connections made between these taxa (Pennycook and Samuels 1985, Crous and Palm 1999, Slippers et al 2004b). Identification of species based exclusively on morphological characters either of their anamorphs or teleomorphs is unreliable given that these phenotypic characters overlap between species and in many cases are not sufficiently informative for species delimitation. Denman et al (2000) provided a detailed overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* during this early taxonomic period.

The use of DNA sequence comparisons for the identification and classification of Botryosphaeriaceae was initiated by the study of Jacobs and Rehner (1998). These authors attempted to define species in *Botryosphaeria* and associated anamorphic fungi, combining morphological characters with nuclear rDNA ITS sequence analyses. In this revision, several anamorph genera were linked to *Botryosphaeria* providing the foundation for further taxonomic studies. A subsequent ITS based phylogenetic re-evaluation of *Botryosphaeria* combined with anamorph morphology, by Denman et al (2000), elucidated two main groups for the *Botryosphaeria* anamorphs. These corresponded to species with hyaline, *Fusicoccum*-like conidia and those with dark *Diplodia*-like conidia. Thus, anamorphs of *Botryosphaeria* that were related to 18 different genera were suggested to be synonymised with either *Fusicoccum* or *Diplodia*. The use of the ITS rDNA sequence data for species identification in *Botryosphaeria sensu lato* has subsequently been widely applied (e.g. Zhou and Stanosz 2001, Alves et al 2004, Barber et al 2005, Gure et al 2005, Phillips et al 2006, Pavlic et al 2007).

A major revision of the taxa included in *Botryosphaeria* followed after the analysis of LSU rDNA sequences data by Crous et al (2006). In that study, species of the Botryosphaeriaceae were assigned to at least 10 lineages, which were related to different genera recognised by anamorph morphology. *Botryosphaeria* was reduced to the two species *B. dothidea* and *B. corticis*, and the remaining taxa were accommodated in "*Botryosphaeria*"



quercuum, Dothidotthia, Guignardia, Neofusicoccum, Neosyitalidium, Macrophomina, Pseudofusicoccum and Saccharata, while the phylogenetic status of Diplodia and Lasiodiplodia remained unresolved. Resolving the phylogenetic and taxonomic status of dark-spored teleomorph genera in the Botryosphaeriaceae based on a combined phylogeny of five loci (SSU, ITS, LSU, EF-1 α and β -tubulin), Phillips et al (2008) recognised *Diplodia* and Lasiodiplodia as separate genera, described new dark-spored genera such as Barriopsis and Spencermartinsia, and re-instated genera Neodeightonia, Phaeobotryon, Phaeobotryosphaeria that were synonymised under Botryosphaeria by von Arx and Müller (1954). Furthermore, the genus *Dothidotthia* described by Crous et al (2006) was renamed as Dothiorella, while Dothidotthia species, previously placed in the Botryosphaeriaceae, were shown to belong to the newly established family Dothidotthiaceae (Pleosporales). Recently, two additional anamorph genera, Aplosporella (Damm et al 2008) and Endomelanconiopsis (Rojas et al 2008), were described in the Botryosphaeriaceae. All of these studies confirm the significance of molecular phylogenetics not only for species level identification but also as an important tool used to resolve the phylogenetic and taxonomic status in higher-level taxa in the Botryosphaeriaceae.

3.0. Phylogenetic species recognition in the Botryosphaeriaceae

In recent years, a number of new or cryptic species have been recognised in the Botryosphaeriaceae (de Wet et al 2003, Slippers et al 2004b, c, d, Burgess et al 2005, Alves et al 2008, Maleme 2008, Phillips et al 2008, Pavlic 2009a, b). Although phenotypic characters were considered in all of these studies, data obtained using molecular markers and DNA sequences, together with the phylogenetic species concept, were used as a foundation on which to base the identification and delimitation of species.

Single locus approach

The Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region of the rDNA operon has been most commonly used for DNA sequence-based identification of fungi (Hajibabaei et al 2007, Nilsson et al 2008). The first DNA-based study on the Botryosphaeriaceae included the sequence data for the ITS region in combination with conidial characters, culture morphology and growth rate to analyse anamorphs of *Botryosphaeria* and related taxa (Jacobs and Rehner 1998). That study indicated that there was not always consensus between morphospecies and phylogenetic clades. For example, strains of *B. dothidea* (anamorph *Fusicoccum aesculi*)



resided in two ITS clades, one of which also included *B. ribis* strains (Jacobs and Rehner 1998). During the course of the decade following that study, numerous studies were conducted in which ITS sequences were used to re-evaluate the relationships amongst known species in this group as well as to confirm the identity and to describe new species (e.g. Denman et al 2000, Smith et al 2001, Zhou and Stanosz 2001, Denman et al 2003, Alves et al 2004, Pavlic et al 2004, 2007, Barber et al 2005, Gure et al 2005, Phillips 2007, Slippers et al 2007).

Comparisons of ITS sequences alone have not always been sufficient to clarify species boundaries in the Botryosphaeriaceae. For example, where isolates of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* grouped in the same clade in ITS-based phylogenies, they were treated as a species complex or referred to as a *N. parvum / N. ribis* clade (Farr et al 2005, Slippers et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007). In this case, data from ITS sequences were insufficient to either separate these two species or to determine whether other cryptic species existed within this complex. Such observations suggested strongly that there was a need for the inclusion of additional gene sequences or other molecular tools in order to clarify genetic variation observed.

An example of the strengths and limitations of ITS rDNA sequence data can be found in the studies of Pavlic et al (2004, 2007) on Botryosphaeriaceae on native *Syzygium cordatum* trees in South Africa. Prior to these studies, it was thought that *B. dothidea* occurs on this host (Smith et al 2001), but it was later shown that the isolates from *S. cordatum* represented *N. parvum* (Slippers et al 2004b). ITS rDNA sequence data, combined with anamorph morphology and PCR-RFLP analyses of the same region, later revealed that eight species occur on this host, of which *L. gonubiensis* was described as new (Pavlic et al 2004, 2007). Although the ITS phylogeny was sufficient to discriminate *L. gonubiensis* in these studies, this region alone could not separate the two closely related species *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*. Isolates within the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex exhibited much variation in conidial morphology and ITS sequences. However, support for the sub-clades obtained in phylogenetic analyses of ITS sequence data was very low, leaving uncertainty as to their interpretation.

Multiple locus approach

The limitations of using single locus sequence data, especially for closely related sister species where ITS rDNA do not provide sufficient resolution, has led to sequences for more than one locus being used to delimit species in recent years. Examples can be found in studies on *Neurospora* and *Gelasinospora* (Dettman et al 2001, 2003), the human pathogenic



fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Xu et al 2000), and other important human and plant pathogenic fungal complexes, such as *Fusarium graminearum* and *Gibberella fujikuroi* (O'Donnell et al 2000a, b, Steenkamp et al 2002, O'Donnell et al 2004), *Trichoderma harzianum / Hypocrea lixii* complex (Chaverri et al 2003), *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. fumigatus* (Geiser et al 1998, Pringle et al 2005), *Coccidioides immitis* (Koufopanou et al 1997) and many others. In all of these studies, various previously unidentified, cryptic phylogenetic species were revealed.

Genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR) was applied to the gene genealogies of multiple loci in the studies described above, in order to identify cryptic species. The GCPSR is a form of PSR that has most commonly been applied to study members of the fungal Kingdom (Taylor et al 2000). By relying on concordance of more than one gene genealogy, this method eliminates the limits of application of phylogenetic analyses of single genes (Taylor et al 2000).

GCPSR based on multi-locus sequences was first applied in a study on Botryosphaeriaceae by de Wet et al (2003). In that study, partial sequences of six proteincoding genes and six microsatellite loci, were used to elucidate phylogenetic relationships amongst isolates of *Diplodia pinea* (= *Sphaeropsis sapinea*) representing the A, B and C morphotypes previously described for this fungus. Although these morphotypes were described based on differences in pathogenicity, morphological and molecular characters, it was not clear whether they represent different taxa, because some characters overlapped between them. Application of GCPSR provided evidence that the B morphotype isolates were genetically distinct from *D. pinea* and this morphotype was consequently recognised as a new species described as *D. scrobiculata* (de Wet et al 2003). This was the first species in the Botryosphaeriaceae identified by the explicit application of GCPSR.

The application of GCPSR has been used to resolve long-standing uncertainty regarding the existence of cryptic species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex. *Neofusicoccum parvum* and *N. ribis* were described as separate taxonomic entities based on morphological features (Grossenbacher and Duggar, 1911, Pennycook and Samuels, 1985). Although combined sequences for three gene regions separated these species (Slippers et al 2004b), they could not be delineated in many other studies, even where multiple gene sequences were used. This raised the question as to whether cryptic species were present in the complex. In the study of Pavlic et al (2009a), using sequences from five loci and GCPSR, three cryptic species were identified in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from



Syzygium cordatum in South Africa. These species were described as *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola* (Pavlic et al 2009b).

Increased numbers of cryptic species have been detected in different genera of the Botryosphaeriaceae using multiple gene phylogenies generated using ITS rDNA, EF-1α and β -tubulin sequence data. Phylogenetic analyses distinguished N. eucalypticola from N. eucalyptorum (Slippers et al 2004c) and N. australe as a sister species to N. luteum (Slippers et al 2004d). The same method was recently used to separate of N. crypto-australe prov. nom. as an additional sister species in the N. luteum / N. australe complex (Maleme 2008). Another example of species delineation using multiple gene sequences can be found in the morphologically similar species B. dothidea and N. ribis (= B. ribis) that were thought to represent a species complex. In a study by Zhou and Stanosz (2001), the ITS phylogeny supported separation of these two species that could not clearly be distinguished in the study of Jacobs and Rehner (1998). Using combined multiple gene sequences of the ITS rDNA, EF-1 α and β -tubulin gene regions, along with phenotypic characters, Slippers et al (2004a) clarified the identity of *B. dothidea* and *N. ribis*, as well as *N. parvum* (= *B. parva*). In all of these studies, genetic variation observed within the clades in the phylogenetic analyses based on ITS sequences alone, gave a clear indication of new species, although their identity could only be clarified using multiple gene sequences.

Based on combined ITS and EF-1 α sequences, a number of new species have been recently been recognised in *Diplodia, Lasiodiplodia* and *Dothiorella* (Luque et al 2005, Phillips et al 2005, Burgess et al 2006a, Damm et al 2007, Lazzizera et al 2008). For example, this approach was used to identify *Diplodia cupressi*, previously known as *D. pinea* f. sp. *cupressi*, as a distinct species (Alves et al 2006). It was also used to identify cryptic species, *L. pseudotheobromae* and *L. parva*, among a collection of isolates previously identified as *L. theobromae* (Alves et al 2008). Although not always explicitly applied as the phylogenetic species concept or phylogenetic species recognition, but rather as combined phylogenies used to clarify the identity of unresolved taxa based on single gene phylogeny, these studies represented the first steps towards PSR.

Microsatellite marker data

Single Sequence Repeat (SSR) or microsatellites are short repeat sequences found throughout the genomes of Eukarya that are commonly used as co-dominant markers in various typing studies. Amplified loci that contain SSR repeats can be analysed for variation in sequence data or for fragment size variation that depends on the number of repeats



contained in the microsatellite region (Squirrell et al 2003). This method can be used in combination with multilocus gene sequences as a form of multilocus species typing (MLST), typically used in studies of bacterial diversity (Taylor and Fisher 2003). Such microsatellite markers were, for example, used in the diagnosis of the phylogenetically recognised human fungal pathogens *Coccidioides posadassi* as well as in cryptic species in *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* (Fisher et al 2002, Matute et al 2006). These studies showed that microsatellite loci could be used as molecular markers to characterise and type strains, as well as to assign strains to the described species. They could thus provide a simple and reliable means for the identification of genetically recognised cryptic species.

Microsatellites have been used for typing of populations and cryptic species in the Botryosphaeriaceae, especially in the pine pathogen *D. pinea* and related species (Burgess et al 2002, de Wet et al 2003). Microsatellite markers designed for this fungus clearly distinguished the three morphotypes of *D. pinea* (de Wet et al 2000, Burgess et al 2001, de Wet et al 2002). The sequences of the microsatellite regions were also used in combination with sequences from introns of six functional genes to analyse the relationship between morphotypes of *D. pinea*, and to distinguish *D. scrobiculata* amongst them (as discussed above) (de Wet et al 2003). Comparison of the multiple gene genealogies in the latter paper with those from the sequenced microsatellite loci confirmed that the sequences of microsatellite markers alone would be adequate for species recognition.

Microsatellite markers have been developed for *N. parvum*, but these also amplify corresponding loci in a few other Botryosphaeriaceae with *Fusicoccum* and *Neofusicoccum* anamorphs (Slippers et al 2004a). They have further been used in a population study on *N. australe* to show gene flow between native forests and plantations of *Eucalyptus globulus* in Western Australia (Burgess et al 2006b). These microsatellite markers have also been useful to delineate cryptic species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex, and appropriate for analyses of inter- and intra-specific variation and population structure of sister species *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense*, *N. umdonicola* and *N. parvum* (Pavlic et al 2009c).

Other molecular tools

The application of multiple gene genealogies and SSR markers is critical for the identification of cryptic species in the Botryosphaeriaceae. However, these methods can be time consuming and expensive and there is a need for accurate and rapid screening protocols following the initial delineation of the species. One approach that can be used is to find characteristic SNP or SSR alleles that characterize a species. Such data have been used to



techniques to develop PCR-RFLP fingerprinting distinguish species in the Botryosphaeriaceae. For example, the sensu lato groups of N. parvum and N. ribis could be distinguished based on CfoI digestion of an SSR locus (BotF15) (Slippers 2003). Similarly, Alves et al (2005) used amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analyses (ARDRA) to differentiate isolates of twelve Botryosphaeriaceae species. Recently, Alves et al (2007) designed MSP-PCR (microsatellite-primed polymerase chain reaction) and rep-PCR (repetitive-sequence-based polymerase chain reaction) fingerprinting methodologies for the rapid identification of Botryosphaeriaceae species, including closely related species such as N. parvum and N. ribis, or N. luteum and N. australe. All of these techniques provide rapid and simple methods that can be readily used in species identification in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Thus, further application of such tools should be considered for newly identified phylogenetic species.

4.0. Towards phylogenetic systematics in the Botryosphaeriaceae

The majority of the more than 70000 described fungal species (Hawksworth et al 2004) have been defined based on morphological or other phenotypic characters, also referred to as MSR. However, speciation is not always correlated with morphological change (Taylor et al 2000). Comparisons of MSR and PSR have, therefore, not surprisingly shown that PSR performs the best, because changes in gene sequences occur and can be diagnosed before changes have occurred in mating behavior or morphology (Taylor et al 2000). Biological Species Recognition (BSR), which is commonly used in other fungi such as, for example, in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex (Leslie 1995, Kvas et al 2009), has not been applied to the Botryosphaeriaceae, because they do not produce sexual structures in culture. The BSR is, therefore, not be considered further here. Thus, with the application of PSR, numerous species have been identified that were previously morphologically or biologically cryptic, due to the lack of taxonomically informative phenotypic characters or incomplete reproductive isolation amongst the species. This reality is driving a need for changes to the way that the taxonomy of fungi is approached, and this is especially true for the Botryosphaeriaceae.

Due to their plasticity, inconsistency and overlapping nature, morphological features have been insufficient to distinguish closely related or sister species of the Botryosphaeriaceae with confidence. However, in many studies on the Botryosphaeriaceae, preliminary groupings of isolates have been based on cultural and conidial morphology (e.g. Slippers et al 2004a, Burgess et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007, 2008). In these studies, groups



identified based on morphological characters were usually found congruent with those recognized based on DNA sequence data and *vice versa*. Although some morphological characters commonly used in the identification of Botryosphaeriaceae, such as conidial and ascospore shape, size, septation, wall thickness and color, as well as culture morphology and pigmentation, have provided strong indication of potentially cryptic species, further confirmation using other less subjective tools has typically been required.

Culture morphology has been useful to distinguish between some species in the Botryosphaeriaceae. For example, *N. luteum* was distinguished from related species by a yellow pigment formed in young cultures (Pennycook and Samuels 1985). However, some of isolates included in a study by Slippers et al (2004d), that were originally thought to represent this species based on conidial and culture morphology, exhibited slight differences in pigmentation from the original strains of *N. luteum*. However, differences in ITS rDNA sequence data amongst *N. luteum* isolates in that and other studies (Smith and Stanosz 2001, Denman et al 2003) were inordinately small to make conclusive decisions regarding potential cryptic species. It was only after fixed alleles across multiple gene regions indicated a genetic barrier between the groups representing the different cultural morphologies that *N. australe* could be described as distinct (Slippers et al 2004d). Culture morphology has also been useful in separation of other species in the Botryosphaeriaceae, but in many cases molecular support remained necessary to confirm species boundaries (de Wet et al 2003, Burgess et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2008).

Conidial morphology has been most extensively used in species identification in the Botryosphaeriaceae. It has been shown that variation in conidial morphology can indicate species diversity, but could not *a priori* confirm species differences. For example, isolates that resided in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex from *S. cordatum* in South Africa exhibited high levels of variation in conidial measurements and morphology, which differed from those in the original descriptions of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis*. This suggested that additional species could exist in this complex (Pavlic et al 2007). In a follow-up study (Pavlic et al 2009a), the selection of isolates for DNA sequencing based on variation in conidial mophology proved to be useful to sample representatives of different cryptic species, which were then recognised as *Neofusicoccum* spp. R1, R2 and R3 in that paper. When additional isolates were identified using molecular tools and included in morphometric analyses, a continuum in conidial variation was observed for these phylogenetically recognised species (Pavlic et al 2009b). Thus, while morphological differences can be used for initial selection



of isolates from a larger collection prior to molecular identification, species can not be identified based on this character alone.

The discovery of morphologically cryptic species using molecular tools makes it technically impossible to describe them taxonomically, because the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature requires morphologically distinct characters. This has led to the description of species using molecular characters (DNA sequence data), although this is not strictly allowed by the Code. The phylogenetic species are characterized primarily by fixed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (O'Donnell et al 2004, Grünig et al 2008, Pavlic et al 2009a, b). Although many cryptic, phylogenetic species have been recently recognized in the fungal Kingdom, there are very few descriptions of these species. Some examples include the description of human pathogen Coccidioides posadasii (Fisher et al 2000), nine phylogenetically distinct species within Fusarium graminearum clade (O'Donnell et al 2004) and six cryptic species of the Phialocephala fortinii s.l.-Acephala applanata species complex (Grünig et al 2008). Fixed nucleotide characters, given by gene and nucleotide position were used in diagnoses of these species. Without the descriptions, these phylogenetically recognised species would remain cryptic. Increasing numbers of phylogenetic species that cannot be diagnosed based on morphological characters, provide a strong case for changes to the regulations regarding descriptions of fungal species.

The first phylogenetically recognized species in the Botryosphaeriaceae were recently described using sequence data and SNPs as defining characters (Pavlic et al 2009b). Three new species were thus recognized in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex as *Neofusicoccum* spp. R1, R2 and R3 using multiple gene genealogies and GCPSR (Pavlic et al 2009a) (Fig. 1) and described as *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola*, respectively (Pavlic et al 2009b). The conidial sizes of these species lie in a continuum, and can not be used to distinguish the species *a priori* the application of DNA sequence data (Fig. 2). Analyses of conidial measurements following molecular identification, however, revealed statistically significant differences between the average conidial dimensions for *N. parvum*, *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola* (Pavlic et al 2009b). Even with this information, their correct diagnosis can only be achieved by SNPs (Pavlic et al 2009b). Phenotypic characters can identify many cryptic species once revealed using molecular tools, however due to small differences in morphological features, these species must be described using molecular characters.



5.0. Utility of one name per fungal species

The International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN) requires two separate names for the anamorph and teleomorph states of fungi (Article 59) (McNeill et al 2005). Where both are known, the teleomorph name takes preference. The growing numbers of fungal species that have been identified based on DNA sequence data or using other molecular tools are, however, intensifying the need to use one name per fungal species. This is because new species can be linked to either teleomorph or anamorph genera based on DNA sequence data, irrespective of what state of the fungus was collected or could be induced in culture. Furthermore, many morphologically defined teleomorph genera are being shown to include numerous anamorph genera, and some anamorph genera are clearly polyphyletic (Crous et al 2006, Phillips et al 2008). Application of molecular tools allows us to define relationships of asexually producing fungi and establishes anamorph-teleomorph connections based on molecular phylogeny, even when the teleomorph state is unknown. Consequently the holomorph concept that provides one name per fungal species that reflects the phylogeny of taxa (Rossman and Samuels 2005) should be more widely accepted by the mycological community. Although a proposal for a single scientific name for fungi was recently made (Rossman and Samuels 2005), it appears that the transition towards the application of one name for a fungal taxon will not be an easy task.

A one species-one name approach to fungal taxonomy would aid the taxonomy of the Botryosphaeriaceae, since the sexual state is less commonly found in the nature than the anamorph and it is also rarely induced in culture. This problem was highlighted in a recent study when phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae (all previously linked to the teleomorph Botryosphaeria) were characterized as distinct genera based on anamorph differences (Crous et al 2006). Not all these genera could be linked to teleomorph taxa, and many of the genera recognised by Crous et al (2006) are, therefore, known only by their anamorph names. An example is found in the well-known pathogen of fruit and fruit trees, 'Botryosphaeria' obtusa. Following the taxonomic changes this name was no longer valid and only the anamorph name, *Diplodia seriata*, can be used (Phillips et al 2007). Similarly, Lasiodiplodia theobromae now represents 'Botryosphaeria' rhodina, Diplodia mutila represents 'Botryosphaeria' stevensii, Neofusicoccum parvum represents 'Botryosphaeria' parva and many more (Crous et al 2006). These changes reflect the evolutionary divergence amongst the groups much more accurately than was true in previous taxonomic treatments. They also facilitate thinking and communication regarding the evolutionary history of the groups (de Wet et al 2008).



6.0. DNA-barcoding

The DNA Barcode of Life Initiative aims to provide unique DNA sequences, the 'barcode,' for the identification of all biological species (http://barcoding.si.edu/). The ITS rDNA locus is currently the preferred region to serve as the universal tool in identification of fungal species (Nilsson et al 2006, 2008; <u>www.allfungi.org/its-barcode.php</u>). Although ITS rDNA sequences have been broadly used for fungal DNA based identification (Hajibabaei et al 2007, Nilsson et al 2008), it has been argued above that this region alone is not sufficient to distinguish closely related or cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae (e.g. Smith et al 2001, Slippers et al 2004b, Farr et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2007). This is also true for many species in other groups of fungi (Bruns and Shefferson 2004, Bischoff et al 2006, Kvas et al 2009). However, no other region currently provides a more suitable basis for barcoding the Botryosphaeriaceae in terms of ease of amplification and distinguishing power. Despite its shortcomings, the ITS rDNA thus remains the most suitable region to serve as an effective barcoding locus.

The fact that the majority of fungal species are described based on morphology alone, presents a significant challenge to DNA barcoding efforts. This challenge is also substantial in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Although more than 2000 species are known in the Botryosphaeriaceae (www. indexfungorum.org), a limited number are represented by sequence data in GenBank or even cultures. The application of DNA sequence based characterisation without consulting previous descriptions based on phenotypic characters can thus lead to the description of species that have already been described, but for which sequence data are not available. Furthermore, not all the sequences in GenBank are linked to type specimens and might not represent the taxa they are labelled with. In general, as many as 27 % of ITS rDNA fungal sequences deposited in GenBank have been found to be from wrongly identified specimens and cultures (Nilsson et al 2006). Much work thus remains to be done, before a reliable database will exist and upon which DNA based taxonomy and DNA barcoding systems can rely.

7.0. Consequences of phylogenetic species recognition in the Botryosphaeriaceae

Similarly to other fungal groups (see Le Gac et al 2007), the application of molecular tools has contributed substantially to our understanding of host relationships in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Some species previously thought to be generalists have been shown to represent complexes of species and cryptic species, which are specialists on one host or on a



few related hosts. For example, *B. dothidea* was considered to be a widely distributed species on a variety of native and cultivated hosts. Species previously treated under *B. dothidea* are now known to vary from specialists such as *N. eucalyptorum* on *Eucalyptus* and *N. protearum* on Proteaceae, to generalists such as *N. parvum* that has been associated with a variety of hosts worldwide (Slippers and Wingfield 2007, de Wet et al 2008). It is clear that incorrect species identifications underestimate the diversity of fungal communities on host plants and they also obscure the specificity of many species.

Accurate species identification is important for understanding patterns in the distribution of the Botryosphaeriaceae and to implement suitable quarantine measures to reduce the probability of their spread to new environments. Species of the Botryosphaeriaceae are known as endophytes that can easily be moved unnoticed into new areas (Burgess and Wingfield 2002, Slippers and Wingfield 2007). Once introduced into new areas, they are likely to infect new hosts. For example, a recent population study on the plant pathogen *L. theobromae* revealed high gene flow between populations from three different hosts in Venezuela, *Pinus caribaea, Eucalyptus urophylla* and *Acacia mangium,* and indicated that there was no host specificity for isolates of this fungus (Mohali et al 2005). Movement of these species between native and non-native hosts and their introduction into new areas could pose a serious threat to agricultural crops, trees in plantations and native flora.

Recently, eight species of the Botryosphaeriaceae were identified from native *S. cordatum* in South Africa (Pavlic et al 2007). These species were also shown to be able to infect *Eucalyptus* and were more virulent on this host than on *S. cordatum*, at significantly different levels (Pavlic et al 2007). Isolates treated as *N. ribis*-like in the study of Pavlic et al (2007), were later shown to represent three cryptic species that were significantly more virulent than *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* to *S. cordatum* in greenhouse trials (Pavlic et al 2009b). Isolates identified as '*N. ribis*' were also highly pathogenic to different *Eucalyptus* clones grown commercially in Venezuela (Mohali et al 2009) and Colombia (Rodas et al 2009), but the identity of these isolates remains to be confirmed. Other examples include the *Diplodia pinea* morphotypes and *D. scrobiculata* that differed in virulence to *Pinus* (de Wet et al 2000, 2003). Inoculation trials on different host plants, such as *Eucalyptus* and grapevines identified *B. dothidea* as least virulent, while *N. parvum* was amongst the most virulent Botryosphaeriaceae tested by van Niekerk et al (2004) and Pavlic et al (2007). Two closely related species in *Lasiodiplodia, L. theobromae* and *L. gonubiensis*, differ significantly in their virulence, with *L. theobromae* being more virulent (Pavlic et al 2007). Since isolates of



cryptic species differ in virulence, their correct identification is of enormous importance for control purposes and management strategies. Application of the phylogenetic species concept will allow us to recognise morphologically and ecologically cryptic species in under-explored environments, such as natural stands of different species of plants. This has been particularly evident in the Botryosphaeriaceae where applications of DNA based tools in species identification have revealed substantial unknown diversity in recent years (Pavlic et al 2004, Slippers et al 2005, Pavlic et al 2008, van der Walt 2008, Taylor et al 2009).

In two extensive studies recently conducted on more than thirty native tree species, including *Adansonia digitata* (baobab), *Acacia* spp. and *Eucalyptus gomphocephala*, eleven new species of Botryosphaeriaceae were described (Pavlic et al 2008, Taylor et al 2009). An additional twelve new species were recognised from native *Acacia* spp. in Southern Africa (van der Walt 2008). Discovery of many new fungal species on the plants in native environments indicates that plants in natural stands are under explored and will most likely harbour numerous new species. These findings underpin the necessity of having a holistic view of fungal communities on native and planted trees in order to record and conserve their true diversity.

Molecular tools have proven useful in the identification of medically important species in the Botryosphaeriaceae (Tan et al 2008, Woo et al 2008). The fungi identified in these studies included L. theobromae, Macrophomina phaseolina and Neoscytalidium *dimidiatum* (= Scytalidium dimidiatum). Interestingly, all of these species are also wellknown plant pathogens (Punithalingam 1976, Crous et al 2006, Avilés et al 2008). It is thought that in all of the cases, humans were infected through environmental exposure and through contact with contaminated plant material and soil (Tan et al 2008). What triggers these species to infect and cause diseases in humans will need further clarification. However, identification of these clinical isolates based on morphology was difficult. For example, one of the isolates was initially thought to represent, Pseudallescheria boydii, based on colony morphology, and then later identified as L. theobromae, of which identity was also uncertain since the isolate failed to produce fruiting structures. The ITS rDNA sequence comparisons, however, determined the isolate as Macrophomina phaseolina (Tan et al 2008). This is another example that highlights the necessity of using molecular tools in the correct identification of species in Botryosphaeriaceae, which can be particularly difficult in nonsporulating isolates.



8.0. Conclusions

Phylogenetic inference based on DNA sequence data has had an enormous impact on the taxonomy of the Botryosphaeriaceae. At the species level, a phylogenetic approach has revealed that a number of previously well defined taxa encompass cryptic species that had previously been overlooked. The result has been the description of numerous new species, many of which can hardly be distinguished from their sister species based on morphology. DNA sequences have also been used in the re-evaluation of *Botryosphaeria sensu lato* and its placement in higher orders of fungal classification. Thus, new genera have been recognised and their phylogenetic relationships, anomorph-teleomorph connections and placement in the family have been clarified.

Although ITS rDNA sequence comparisons were useful at the early stages of DNA based identification of the Botryosphaeriaceae, and fungi in general, sequences for additional loci often revealed cryptic species that could not be delineated based on ITS rDNA sequences alone. Through these studies GCPSR, as a form of PSR based on concordance of multiple gene genealogies, has emerged as the most powerful tool in species recognition. It is expected that through the application of this approach, new species and species complexes will be discovered. Although it is debatable whether ITS rDNA region will be most suitable for DNA barcoding in fungi, molecular phylogenetics will provide the most important basis for species identification as well as for molecular systematics.

The application of molecular tools other than single or multiple locus sequence data, such as microsatellite markers, and a polyphasic approach will lead to more detailed insights into inter- and intra-species diversity for the Botryosphaeriace. This will improve our knowledge of evolution of fungal species and understanding of processes that drive speciation. It will further advance and clarify criteria for species delineation and assist in the identification of species boundaries among closely related species and species complexes. It is, however, apparent that this is a process that is far from complete and many new species of agricultural or medical importance have yet to be discovered.

LITERATURE CITED

Alves A, Correia A, Luque J, Phillips AJL. 2004. *Botryosphaeria corticola*, sp. nov. on *Quercus* species, with notes and description of *Botryosphaeria stevensii* and its anamorph, *Diplodia mutila*. Mycologia 96:598–613.



- Alves A, Correia A, Phillips AJL. 2006. Multi-gene genealogies and morphological data support *Diplodia cupressi* sp. nov., previously recognized as *D. pinea* f. sp. *cupressi*, as a distinct species. Fungal Divers 23:1–15.
- Alves A, Crous PW, Correia A, Phillips AJL. 2008. Morphological and molecular data reveal cryptic speciation in *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*. Fungal Divers 28:1–13.
- Alves A, Phillips AJL, Henriques I, Correia A. 2005. Evaluation of amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis as a method for the identification of *Botryosphaeria* species. FEMS Microbiol Lett 245:221–229.
- Alves A, Phillips AJL, Henriques I, Correia A. 2007. Rapid differentiation of species of Botryosphaeriaceae by PCR fingerprinting. Res Microbiol 158:112–121.
- Avilés M, Castillo S, Bascon J, Zea-Bonilla T, Martín-Sánchez PM, Pérez-Jiménez RM. 2008. First report of *Macrophomina phaseolina* causing crown and root rot of strawberry in Spain. Plant Path 57:382.
- Barber PA, Burgess TI, Hardy GEStJ, Slippers B, Keane PJ, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Botryosphaeria species from Eucalyptus in Australia are pleoanamorphic, producing Dichomera synanamorphs in culture. Mycol Res 109:1347–1363.
- Berlocher SH. 1998. Origins: A brief history of research on speciation. In: Howard DJ, Berlocher SH. eds. Endless forms: Species and Speciation. Oxford University Press, New York. p 3–15.
- Barr ME. 1972. Preliminary studies on the *Dothideales* in temperate North America. Contributions from the University of Michigan Herbarium 9:523–638.
- Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, Ingram KK, Das I. 2006. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trend Ecol Evol 22:148–155.
- Bischoff JF, Rehner SA, Humber RA. 2006. *Metarhizium frigidum* sp. nov.: a cryptic species of *M. anisopliae* and a member of the *M. flavovoride* complex. Mycologia 98:737–745.
- Bruns T, Shefferson RP. 2004. Evolutionary studies of mycorrhizal fungi: milestones and future directions. Can J Botany 82:1122–1132.
- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Hardy GEStJ. 2005. *Botryosphaeria* spp. associated with eucalypts in Western Australia including description of *Fusicoccum macroclavatum* sp. nov. Aust Plant Path 34:557–567.



- Burgess TI, Barber PA, Mohali S, Pegg G, De Beer ZW, Wingfield MJ. 2006a. Three new *Lasiodiplodia* spp. from the tropics, recognised based on DNA sequence comparisons and morphology. Mycologia 98:423–435.
- Burgess TI, Sakalidis M, Hardy GEStJ. 2006b. Gene flow of the canker pathogen *Botryosphaeria australis* between *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations and native eucalypt forests in Western Australia. Austral Ecol 31:559–566.
- Burgess TI, Wingfield MJ. 2002. Quarantine is important in restricting the spread of exotic seed-borne tree pathogens in the southern hemisphere. Int Forest Rev 4:56–65.
- Burgess T, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD. 2001. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers distinguish between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea*. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:354-362.
- Cesati V, De Notaris G. 1863. Schema di classificazione degle sferiacei italici aschigeri piu' o meno appartenenti al genere *Sphaeria* nell'antico significato attribuitoglide Persoon. Comment Soc Crittog Ital 1, 4:177–240.
- Chaverri P, Castlebury A, Samuels GJ, Geiser DM. 2003. Multilocus phylogenetic structure within the *Trichoderma harzianum/ Hypocrea lixii* complex. Mol Phylogenet Evol 27:302–313.
- Coyne JA, Orr HA. 2004. Speciation. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- Crous PW, Palm ME. 1999. Reassessment of the anamorph genera *Botryodiplodia*, *Dothiorella* and *Fusicoccum*. Sydowia 52:167–175.
- Crous PW, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ, Rheeder J, Marasas WFO, Phillips AJL, Alves A, Burgess T, Barber P, Groenewald JZ. 2006. Phylogenetic lineages in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Stud Mycol 55:235–253.
- Damm U, Crous PW, Fourie PH. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae as potential pathogens of *Prunus* species in South Africa, with descriptions of *Diplodia africana* and *Lasiodiplodia plurivora* sp. nov. Mycologia 99:664–680.
- Damm U, Fourie PH, Crous PW. 2008. *Aplosporella prunicola*, a novel species of anamorphic *Botryosphaeriaceae*. Fungal Divers 27:35–43.
- Denman S, Crous PW, Taylor JE, Kang JC, Pascoe I, Wingfield MJ. 2000. An overview of the taxonomic history of *Botryosphaeria* and a re-evaluation of its anamorphs based on morphology and ITS rDNA phylogeny. Stud Mycol 45:129–140.



- Dettman JR, Harbinski FM, Taylor JW. 2001. Ascospore morphology is a poor predictor of the phylogenetic relationships of *Neurospora* and *Gelasinospora*. Fungal Genet Evol 34:49–61.
- Dettman JR, Jacobson DJ, Taylor JW. 2003. A multilocus genealogical approach to phylogenetic species recognition in the model eukaryote *Neurospora*. Evolution 57:2703–2720.
- de Queiroz K. 2007. Species concepts and species delimitation. Syst Biol 56:879-886.
- de Wet J, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD. 2000. Molecular characterization of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* isolates from South Africa, Mexico and Indonesia. Plant Dis 84:151–156.
- de Wet J, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD. 2002. Characterization of the "C" morphotype of the pine pathogen *Sphaeropsis sapinea*. Forest Ecol Manag 161:181–188.
- de Wet J, Burgess T, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2003. Multiple gene genealogies and microsatellite markers reflect relationships between morphotypes of *Sphaeropsis sapinea* and distinguish a new species of *Diplodia*. Mycol Res 107:557–566.
- de Wet J, Slippers B, Preisig O, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2008. Phylogeny of the Botryosphaeriaceae reveals patterns of host association. Mol Phylogenet Evol 46:116–126.
- Farr DF, Elliott M, Rossman AY, Edmonds RL. 2005. Fusicoccum arbuti sp. nov. causing cankers on Pacific madrone in western North America with notes on Fusicoccum dimidiatum, the correct name for Scytalidium dimidiatum and Nattrassia mangiferae. Mycologia 97:730–741.
- Fisher MC, Koenig GL, White TJ, Taylor JW. 2000. A test for concordance between the multilocus genealogies and microsatellites in the pathogenic fungus *Coccidioides immitis*. Mol Biol Evol 17:1164–1174.
- Fisher MC, Koenig GL, White TJ, Taylor JW. 2002. Molecular and phenotypic description of *Coccidioides posadii* sp. nov., previously recognized as the non-Californian population of *Coccidioides immitis*. Mycologia 94:73–84.
- Geiser DM, Pitt JI, Taylor JW. 1998. Cryptic speciation and recombination in the aflatoxinproducing fungus *Aspergillus flavus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:388–393.



- Grossenbacher JG, Duggar BM. 1911. A contribution to the life history, parasitism and biology of *Botryosphaeria ribis*. New York Agric Exp Stn Geneva Tech Bull 18:114–188.
- Grünig CR, Duò A, Sieber TN, Holdenrieder O. 2008. Assignment of species rank to six reproductively isolated cryptic species of the *Phialocephala fortinii* s.l.-*Acephala applanata* species complex. Mycologia 100:47–67.
- Gure A, Slippers B, Stenlid J. 2005. Seed-borne *Botryosphaeria* spp. from native *Prunus* and *Podocarpus* trees in Ethiopia, with a description of the anamorph *Diplodia rosulata* sp. nov. Mycol Res 109:1005–1014.
- Hajibabaei M, Singer GAC, Hebert PDN, Hickey DA. 2007. DNA barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. Trends Genet 23:167–172.
- Hawksworth DL. 2004. Fungal diversity and its implications for genetic resource collections. Stud Mycol 50:9–17.
- Jacobs KA, Rehner SA. 1998. Comparison of cultural and morphological characters and ITS sequences in anamorphs of *Botryosphaeria* and related taxa. Mycologia 90:601–610.
- Koufopanou V, Burt A, Taylor JW. 1997. Concordance of gene genealogies reveals reproductive isolation in the pathogenic fungus *Coccidioides immitis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:5478–5482.
- Kvas M, Marasas WFO, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, Steenkamp ET. 2009. Diversity and evolution of *Fusarium* species in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex. Fungal Divers 34:1–21.
- Lazzizera C, Frisullo S, Alves A, Lopes J, Philips AJL. 2008. Phylogeny and morphology of *Diplodia* species on olives in southern Italy and description of *Diplodia olivarum* sp. nov. Fungal Divers 31:63–71.
- Le Gac M, Hood ME, Fournier E, Giraud T. 2007. Phylogenetic evidence of host-specific cryptic species in the anther smut fungus. Evolution 61:15–26.
- Leslie JF. 1995. *Gibberella fujikuroi*: Available populations and variable traits. Can J Bot 73:282–291.
- Luque J, Martos S, Phillips AJL. 2005. *Botryosphaeria viticola* sp. nov. on grapevines: a new species with a *Dothiorella* anamorph. Mycologia 97:1111–1121.
- Maleme HM. 2008. Characterisation of latent Botryosphaeriaceae on diverse *Eucalyptus* species. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.



- Matute DR, Sepulveda VE, Quesada LM, Goldman GH, Taylor JW, Restrepo A, McEwen JG. 2006. Microsatellite analysis of three phylogenetic species of *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*. J Clin Microbiol 44:2153–2157.
- Mayden RL 1997. A hierarchy of species concepts: The denouement in the saga of the species problem. In: Claridge MF, Dawah HA, Wilson MR, eds. Species: The units of biodiversity. Chapman and Hall, London. p 381–424.
- McNeill J, Barrie FR, Burdet H M, Demoulin V, Hawksworth DL, Marhold K, Nicolson D H, Prado J, Silva PC, Skog JE, Wiersema JH, Turland NJ, eds. 2006. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Vienna Code) addopted by the Seventeenth International Botanical Congress Vienna, Austria, July 2005. ARG Gantner Verlag KG. Regnum Veg 146.
- Mohali RS, Burgess TI, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Diversity and host association of the tropical tree endophyte *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* revealed using simple sequence repeat markers. Forest Pathol 35:385–396.
- Mohali SR, Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Pathogenicity of seven species of the Botryosphaeriaceae on *Eucalyptus* clones in Venezuela. Aust Plant Path 38:135-140.
- Nilsson RH, Ryberg M, Kristiansson E, Abarenkov K, Larsson K-H, Kőljalg U. 2006. Taxonomic reliability of DNA sequences in public sequence databases: A fungal perspective. PloS ONE 1: e59.
- Nilsson TH, Kristiansson E, Ryberg M, Hallenberg N, Larsson K-H. 2008. Intraspecific *ITS* variability in the Kingdom *Fungi* as expressed in the international sequence databases and its implications for molecular species identification. Evolutionary Bioinformatics 4:193–201.
- O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Tacke BK, Casper HH. 2000a. Gene genealogies reveal global phylogeographic structure and reproductive isolation among lineages of *Fusarium graminearum*, the fungus causing wheat scab. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:7905–7910.
- O'Donnell K, Nirenberg HI, Aoki T, Cigelnik E. 2000b. A multigene phylogeny of the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex: detection of additional phylogenetically distinct species. Mycoscience 41:61–78.
- O'Donnell K, Ward TJ, Geiser DM, Kistler HC, Aoki T. 2004. Genealogical concordance between the mating type locus and seven other nuclear genes supports formal recognition of nine phylogenetically distinct species within the *Fusarium graminearum* clade. Fungal Genet Biol 41:600–623.



- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ. 2004. Lasiodiplodia gonubiensis sp. nov., a new Botryosphaeria anamorph from native Syzygium cordatum in South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:313–322.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on native *Syzygium cordatum* in South Africa and their potential threat to *Eucalyptus*. Plant Path 56:624–636.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009a. Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic data reveal cryptic species of the Botryosphaeriaceae: A case study on the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex Mol Phylogenet Evol 51:259–268.
- Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ. 2009b. Molecular and phenotypic characterisation of three phylogenetic species discovered within the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex. Mycologia (in press).
- Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Slippers B. 2009c. Cryptic diversity and distribution of species in the *Neofusicoccum parvum / N. ribis* complex as revealed by microsatellite markers. Mol Ecol (submitted).
- Pavlic D, Wingfield MJ, Barber P, Slippers B, Hardy GEStJ, Burgess TI. 2008. Seven new species of the Botryosphaeriaceae from baobab and other native trees in Western Australia. Mycologia 100:851–866.
- Pennycook SR, Samuels GJ. 1985. *Botryosphaeria* and *Fusicoccum* species associated with ripe fruit rot of *Actinidia deliciosa* (Kiwifruit) in New Zealand. Mycotaxon 24:445–458.
- Phillips AJL, Alves A, Correia A, Luque J. 2005. Two new species of *Botryosphaeria* with brown, 1-septate ascospores and *Dothiorella* anamorphs. Mycologia 97:513–529.
- Phillips AJL, Crous PW, Alves A. 2007. *Diplodia seriata*, the anamorph of *"Botryosphaeria" obtusa*. Fungal Divers 25:141–155.
- Phillips AJL, Oudemans PV, Correia A, Alves A. 2006 Characterisation and epityfication of *Botryosphaeria corticis*, the cause of blueberry cane canker. Fungal Divers 21:141– 155.
- Phillips AJL, Alves A, Pennycook SR, Johnston PR, Ramaley A, Akulov A, Crous PW. 2008. Resolving the phylogenetic and taxonomic status of dark-spored teleomorph genera in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Persoonia 21:29–55.
- Pringle A, Baker DM, Platt JL, Wares JP, Latgé JP, Taylor JW. 2005. Cryptic speciation in the cosmopolitan and clonal human pathogenic fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Evolution 59:1886–1899.



- Punithalingam E. 1976. Botryodiplodia theobromae. CMI descriptions of pathogenic fungi and bacteria, No. 519. Kew, Surrey, England: Commonwealth Mycological Institute. 2 p.
- Putterill VA. 1919. A new apple tree canker. South African Journal of Science 16:254–271.
- Rodas CA, Slippers B, Gryzenhout M, Wingfield MJ. 2009. Botryosphaeriaceae associated with *Eucalyptus* canker diseases in Colombia. For Path 39:110–123.
- Rojas EI, Herre EA, Mejía, Arnold AE, Chaverri P, Samuels AJ. 2008. *Endomelanconiopsis*, a new anamorph genus in the Botryosphaeriaceae. Mycologia 100:760–775.
- Rossman AY, Samuels GJ. 2005. Towards a single scientific name for species of fungi. Inoculum 56:3–6.
- Saccardo PA. 1877. Fungi veneti novi vel critici vel Mycologiae Venetae addendi. Michelia 1:1–72.
- Saccardo PA. 1882. Sylloge fungorumomnium hucusque cognitorum 1:456–466.
- Slippers B. 2003. Taxonomy, phylogeny- and ecology of botryosphaeriaceous fungi occurring on various woody hosts. Ph.D. dissertation. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- Slippers B, Burgess T, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004a. Development of SSR markers for *Botryosphaeria* spp. with *Fusicoccum* anamorphs. Mol Ecol Notes 4:675–677.
- Slippers B, Crous PW, Denman S, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2004b. Combined multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several species previously identified as *Botryosphaeria dothidea*. Mycologia 96:83–101.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ. 2004c. Speciation and distribution of *Botryosphaeria* spp. on native and introduced *Eucalyptus* trees in Australia and South Africa. Stud Mycol 50:343–358.
- Slippers B, Fourie G, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ. 2004d. Multiple gene sequences delimit *Botryosphaeria australis* sp. nov. from *B. lutea*. Mycologia 96:1028–1039.
- Slippers B, Smit WA, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Taxonomy, phylogeny and identification of Botryosphaeriaceae associated with pome and stone fruit trees in South Africa and other regions of the world. Plant Path 56:128–139.
- Slippers B, Summerell BA, Crous PW, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Preliminary studies on *Botryosphaeria* species from *Wollemia nobilis* and related



southern hemisphere conifers in Australasia and South Africa. Aust Plant Path 34:213–220.

- Slippers B, Wingfield MJ. 2007. Botryosphaeriaceae as endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: diversity, ecology and impact. Fungal Biol Rev 21:90–106.
- Smith H, Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD. 2001. Botryosphaeria eucalyptorum sp. nov., a new species in the B. dothidea-complex on Eucalyptus in South Africa. Mycologia 93:277–285.
- Squirrell J, Hollingsworth PM, Woodhead M, Russell J, Lowe AJ, Gibby M, Powell W. 2003. How much effort is required to isolate nuclear microsatellites from plants? Mol Ecol 12:1339–1348.
- Steenkamp ET, Wingfield BD, Desjardins AE, Marasas WFO, Wingfield MJ. 2002. Cryptic speciation in *Fusarium subglutinans*. Mycologia 94:1032–1043.
- Tan DHS, Sigler L, Gibas CFC, Fong IW. 2008. Disseminated fungal infection in a renal transplant recipient involving *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Scytalidium dimidiatum*: case report and review of taxonomic changes among medically important members of the Botryosphaeriaceae. Med Mycol 46:285–292.
- Taylor JW, Fisher MC. 2003. Fungal multilocus sequence typing it's not just for bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol 6:351–356.
- Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser DM, Hibbett DS, Fisher MC. 2000. Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. Fungal Genet Biol 31:21–32.
- Taylor K, Barber PA, Hardy GEStJ, Burgess TI. 2009. Botryosphaeriaceae from tuart (*Eucalyptus gomphocephala*) woodland, including descriptions of four new species. Mycol Res 113:337–353.
- van der Walt FJJ. 2008. Botryosphaeriaceae associated with *Acacia* species in southern Africa with special reference to *A. mellifera*. M.Sc. thesis. Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.
- van Niekerk JM, Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Fourie PH, Halleen F. 2004. DNA phylogeny, morphology and pathogenicity of *Botryosphaeria* species on grapevines. Mycologia 96:781–798.
- von Arx JA, Müller E. 1954. Die Gattungen der amerosporen Pyrenomyceten. Beiträge zur kryptogamenflora der Schweiz 11:1–434.
- Woo PCY, Lau SKP, Ngan AHY, Tse H, Tung ETK, Yuen K-Y. 2008. *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* pneumonia in a liver transplant recipient. J Clin Mycrobiol 46:380–384.



- Xu J, Vilgalys R, Mitchell TG. 2000. Multiple gene genealogies reveal recent dispersion and hybridization in the human pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Mol Ecol 9:1471–1481.
- Zhou S, Stanosz GR. 2001. Relationships among *Botryosphaeria* species and associated anamorphic fungi inferred from the analyses of ITS and 5.8S rDNA sequences. Mycologia 93:516–527.



FIG. 1. One of two unrooted maximum-parsimony trees resulting from the analysis of the combined sequence data of five loci, including ITS rDNA, EF-1 α , RPB2, the Bt2 region of the β -tubulin gene and *BotF15*, shows distinct clades for *N. parvum*, *N. ribis*, *N. cordaticola*, *N. kwambonambiense* and *N. umdonicola*. The combined sequence data analysis, and in particular also the linked divergence indicated by the individual gene genealogies (data not shown), indicate species barriers that was not evident by considering morphological characters alone. Bootstrap values of maximum parsimony analyses are indicated next to the branches followed by the posterior probabilities resulting from Bayesian analysis (indicated in italics). Isolates obtained from *S. cordatum* are indicated in bold. Ex-type isolates and isolates linked morphologically and geographically to the types of *N. parvum* and *N. ribis* are underlined. Isolate numbers are those of the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.







FIG. 2. Conidia of four cryptic species in the *N. parvum / N. ribis* complex recognised as *N. umdonicola* (a), *N. kwambonambiense* (b), *N. cordaticola* (c) and *N. parvum* (d, e) using GCPSR of five sequenced loci. These species cannot be distinguished from each other with certainty based on conidial morphology alone, which was commonly used in the past for this purpose.



