



REFERENCES

- Acker, S. (2000). In/out/side: positioning the researcher in feminist qualitative research. *Resources for feminist research*, 28(1&2), 189-208.
- Adler, P. A., & Adler, P. (1998). Observational techniques. In K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Collecting and interpreting qualitative material*. California: Sage.
- Albert, M. (2003). Universities and market economy: the differential impact on knowledge production in sociology and economics. *Higher education*, 45, 147-182.
- Altheide, D. L., & Johnson, J. M. (1998). Criteria for assessing interpretive validity in qualitative research. In K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Collecting and interpreting qualitative material*. California: Sage.
- Amara, N., Ouimet, M., & Landry, R. (2004). New evidence on instrumental, conceptual, and symbolic utilization of university research in government agencies. *Science communication*, 26(1), 75-106.
- Ammons, M. (1970). The teacher as a producer of research and development products. In M. Vere De vault (Ed.), *Research development and the classroom teacher producer/consumer*. Washington, DC: Association for childhood education international.
- Anfara, V. A., Brown, K. M., & Mangione, T. L. (2002). Qualitative Analysis on Stage: Making the Research Process More Public. *Educational Researcher*, 31 (7), 28-38.
- Apple, M. (1993). *Official knowledge: Democratic education in a conservative age*. New York: Routledge.
- Apple, M. (1996). Power, meaning and identify: critical sociology of education in the United Sa. *British journal of sociology of education*, 17(2), 125-144.
- Badash, L. (2004). Science and social responsibility. *Minerva*, 42, 285-298.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Banks, J. A. (1998). The lives and values of researchers: implications for educating citizens in a multicultural society. *Educational researchers*, 27(7), 4-17.
- Barnes, J. A. (1963). Some ethical problems in modern fieldwork. *The British journal of sociology*, 14(2), 118-134.
- Bawa, A., & Mouton, J. (2002). Research. In N. Cloete, R. Fehnel, P. Maassen, T. Moja, H. Perold & T. Gibbon (Eds.), *Transformation in higher education-global pressures and local realities in South Africa*. Cape town: Juta.



- Belmont report. (1979). *The Belmont Report--Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research*, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.
- Ben-Peretz, M. (1994/95). The dissemination and use of research knowledge in teacher education programmes: a nonevent? *Knowledge & Policy*, 7(4), 108-118.
- Berliner, D. C., Resnick, L. B., Cuban, L., Cole, N., Popham, W. J., & Goodlad, J. (1997). The vision thing: Educational research and AERA in the 21st century. Part 2: Competing visions for enhancing the impact of educational research. *Educational researcher*, 26(5), 12-18+27.
- Berry, S. (1982). *The role of research: a discussion paper*: Research and information unit, Nottinghamshire social services department.
- Beyer, J. M. (1997). Research utilization process: A conceptual framework and synthesis of empirical findings. *Administrative science quarterly*, 27, 591-622.
- Bishop, R. (1994). Initiating empowering research? . *New Zealand Journal of Education studies*, 29, 175-188.
- Blodgett, L. J., Boyer, W., & Turk, E. (2005). "No thank you, not today": supporting ethical and professional relationships in large qualitative studies [Electronic Version]. *Forum qualitative social research*, 6 from <http://www.qualitative-research.net/fgs-texte/3-05/05-3-35-e.htm>.
- Bodone, F. (Ed.). (2002). *What difference doe research make and for whom?* . New York: Peter Lang publishing Inc.
- Bogenschneider, J., Olson, J. R., Linney, K. D., & Mills, J. (2000). Connecting research and policy: implications for theory and practice from the family impact seminars. *Family relations*, 49(3), 327-339.
- Bolster, A. S. (1983). Toward a more effective model of research on teaching. *Harvard educational review*, 53(3), 294-308.
- Boostrom, R., Jackson, P. E., & Hansen, D.T. (1993). Coming together and staying apart: how a group of teachers and researchers sought to bridge the 'research/practice gap'. *Teachers college record*, 95(1), 35-44.
- Bostrom, J., & Suter, W. N. (1993). Research utilization-making the link to practice. *Journal of nursing staff development*, 9(1), 28-34.
- British sociological association (BSA). (1996). *Guidance notes: statement of ethical practice*. Durhan, UK: British sociological association (BSA).
- Brody, J. L., & Waldron, H. B. (2000). Ethical issues in research on the treatment of adolescent substance abuse disorders. *Additive behaviors*, 25, 217-228.
- Burgess, R. G. (1991). Sponsors, gatekeepers, members and friends—access in educational settings. In W. B.



- Shaffir & R. A. Stebbins (Eds.), *Experiencing fieldwork—an inside view of qualitative research*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Caldwell, F. (1991). Refining the link between research and practice. *Alcohol health and research world*, 15(3), 175-177.
- Campion, V. L., & Leach, A. S. (1986). The relationship of support, availability and attitude to research utilization. *Journal of nursing administration*, 16, 1937.
- Caplan, N. (1974). *The use of social science information by federal executives*. Paper presented at the OECD conference on Social research and public policies, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire.
- Caplan, N. (1979). The two-communities theory and knowledge utilization. *American behavioral scientist*, 22, 459-470.
- Caplan, N. (1980). What do we know about knowledge utilization? In L. A. Braskamp & R. D. Brown (Eds.), *Utilization of evaluative information*. San Francisco Jossey-Bass.
- Caplan, N., Morrison, A., & Stambaugh, R. J. (1975). *The use of social science knowledge in policy decision at the national level: a report to respondents*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Institute for social research and the centre for utilization of scientific knowledge.
- Carspecken, P. F. (1996). *Critical ethnography in educational research: a theoretical and practical guide*. New York: Routledge.
- Casarett, D., Karlawish, J., & Asch, D. A. (2002). Paying hypertension research subjects—fair compensation or undue inducement? *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 17, 651-653.
- Charmaz, K. (2000). Grounded theory: Objectivist and constructivist methods. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research (2nd ed)*. California: Sage.
- Chelimsky, E. (1997). The coming transformation in evaluation. In E. Chelimsky & W. R. Shadish (Eds.), *Evaluation for the 21st century*. Thousand oaks, CA: Sage.
- Christerson, M., Eldredge, F., Ibom, K., Johnston, M., & Thomas, M. (1996), Collaboration in support of change. *Theory into practice*, 35 (3),187-195.
- Cicirelli, V., Evans, J., & Schiller, J. (1970). The impact of head start: a reply to the report analysis. *Harvard educational review*, 40, 105-129.
- Clandinin, D.J., & Connelly, F.M. (2002). *Narrative inquiry: experience and story in qualitative research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Clark, C., Moss, P. A., Goering, S., Herter, R. J., Lamar, B., Leonard, D., et al. (1996). Collaboration as dialogue: teachers and researchers engaged in conversation and professional development. *American educational research journal*, 33(1), 193-231.



- Closs, S. J., & Lewin, B. J. P. (1998). Perceived barriers to research utilization: a survey of four therapies. *British journal of therapy rehabilitation*, 5(3), 151-155.
- Cohen, D. K., & Garet, M. S. (1975). Reforming educational policy with applied social research. *Harvard educational review*, 45, 17-43.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L. & Morrison, K. (2000). *Research methods in education (5th ed)*. New York: Routledge falmer.
- Coldstein, L. S. (2000). Ethical dilemmas in designing collaborative research: lessons learned the hard way. *Qualitative studies in education*, 13(5), 517-530.
- Cole, A., L. , & Knowles, J. G. (1993). Teacher development partnership research: a focus on methods and issues. *American educational research journal*, 30(3), 473-495.
- College on problems of drug dependence. (1995). Human subject issues in drug abuse research. *Drug alcohol depend*, 37, 167-175.
- Conco, Z. P. (2004). *How effective is in-service training for teachers in rural school contexts?* . University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Cook, J. R., Schoeps, N., & Kim, S. (1985). Programme responses to mail surveys as a function of monetary incentives. *Psychological reports*, 57, 366.
- Cornwall, A. (1996). Towards participatory practice: participatory rural appraisal and the participatory process. In K. DeKoning & M. Martin (Eds.), *Participatory research in health: issues and experiences*. London: Zed Books.
- Creswell, J. (1994). *Research design: qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J.W. (1998). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: choosing among five traditions*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Currie, P. M. (2005). Balancing privacy protections with efficient research: institutional review boards and the use of certificates of confidentiality. *IRB: Ethics and human research*, 27(5), 7-13.
- Czarniawska, B. (2004). *Narrative in social science research*. London: Sage.
- Dawson, L., & Kass, N. E. (2005). Views of US researchers about informed consent in international collaborative research. *Social science and Medicine*, 61, 1211-1222.
- De Laine, M. (2000). *Fieldwork, participation and practice: ethics and dilemmas in qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- De Wet, A. (2004). *Identifying personal and environmental assets to enrich pre-school learning within a culture*



- of poverty: An ethnographic study*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Dearing, J. W., & Meyer, G. (1994). An exploratory tool for predicting adoption decision. *Science communication, 16*, 43-57.
- DeMartini, J. R., & Whitbeck, L. B. (1986). Knowledge use as knowledge creation. *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization, 7*(4), 383-396.
- Denzin, K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (1998). *Collecting and interpreting qualitative material*. California: Sage.
- Denzin, N.K. (1989). *Interpretive interactionism*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Dickert, N., & Grady, C. (1999). What's the price of a research subject? Approaches to payment for research participation. *NEJM, 341*(3), 198-203.
- Dockery, G. (1996). Rhetoric or reality? Participatory research in the national health service, UK. In K. DeKoning & M. Martin (Eds.), *Participatory research in health: issues and experiences*. London: Zed Books.
- Donmoyer, R. (1998). This issue: Talking power to "truth". *Educational researcher, 27*(1), 4-27.
- Douglas, A., Scopa, K., & Gray, C. (2002). Research though practice: positioning the practitioners as researcher [Electronic Version] from <http://www.herts.ac.uk/artdes/research/papers/wpades/vol1/douglas2.html>.
- De Beer, A. (2005). *The academic self-concept of learners with hearing impairment in two south African public school contexts: special and full-service inclusion schools*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Du Toit, C. M. (2004). *Transition, text and turbulence: factors influencing children's voluntary reading in their progress from primary to secondary school*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Duke, K. (2002). Getting beyond the "official line": reflections on dilemmas of access, knowledge and power in researching policy networks. *Journal of social policy, 31*(1), 39-59.
- Duncombe, J., & Jessop, J. (2002). Doing rapport and the ethics of faking friendship. In M. Mauthner, M. Mirch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- Dunn, W. N. (1980). The two communities metaphor and models of knowledge use: an exploratory case survey. *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization, 1*, 515-536.
- Dunn, W. N. (1983). Measuring knowledge use. *Knowledge: creation, utilization and diffusion, 5*(1), 120-133.
- Edwards, L. A. (1991). *Using knowledge and technology to improve the quality of life who have disabilities: a prosumer approach, knowledge utilization programme*. Philadelphia Pennsylvania college of Optometry.



- Elias, N. (1987). *Involvement and detachment*. Oxford, U K Basil Blackwell.
- Emanuel, E. J., Currie, X. E., & Herman, A. (2005). Undue inducement in clinical research in developing countries: is it a worry? *Lancet*, 366, 336-340.
- Epstein, I. (1996). In quest of a research-based model for clinical practice: Or, why can't social worker be more like a researcher? . *Social work research*, 20, 97-100.
- Erlen, J. A., Sauder, R. J., & Mellors, M. P. (1999). Incentives in research: ethical issues. *Orthopedic nursing*, March/April 84-87.
- Fontana, A., & Frey J. (1994). Interviewing: the art of science. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research*. California: Sage.
- Farre, M., Lamas, X., & Cami, J. (1995). Sensation seeking amongst healthy volunteers participating in phase I clinical trials. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*, 39, 405-409.
- Feldman, M. S., & March, J. G. (1981). Information in organizations as signal and symbol. *Administrative science quarterly*, 26, 171-186.
- Francis, J. F. N. (2004). *Exploring facilitation skills in asset-based transdisciplinary teamwork*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Feuer, M., J., Towne, L., & Shavelson, R., J. (2002). Scientific culture and educational research. *Educational researcher*, 31(8), 4-14.
- Feynman, R. P. (1965). *The character of physical law*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Finlay, J. (2002). "Outing" the researcher: the provenance, process and practice of reflectivity. *Qualitative Health Research*, 12, 531-545.
- Flinders, D. (1992). In search of ethical guidance: constructing a basis for dialogue. *Qualitative studies in education*, 5(2), 101-115.
- Flory, J., & Emanuel, E. E. (2004). Interventions to improve research participants' understanding in informed consent for research. *JAMA*, 292(13), 1593-1601.
- Forbat, L., & Henderson, J. (2005). Theoretical and practical reflections on sharing transcripts with participants. *Qualitative health research*, 15(8), 1114-1128.
- Fraenkel, J. R. & Wallen, N. E. (1993). *How to designing and evaluate research in education*, NY: McGraw-hill.
- Frenk, J. (1992). Balancing relevance and excellence: organizational response to link research with decision making. *Social science medicine*, 35(11), 1397-1404.



- Fry, C. L., Ritter, A., Baldwin, S., Bowen, K. J., Gardiner, P., Holt, T., et al. (2005). Paying research participants: a study of current practices in Australia. *Journal of medical ethics*, 31, 542-547.
- Gamede, T. (2004). *The biography of "access " as an expression of human rights in South African education policies*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Gibbons, M., Limoges, C., Nowotny, H., Schwartzman, S., Scott, P., & Trow, M. (1994). *The new production of knowledge*. London: Sage.
- Gitlin, A., Burbank, M. D., & Kauchak, D. (2005). The struggle of legitimate knowledge: teachers' thinking on research. In F. Bodone (Ed.), *What difference doe research make and for whom?* . New York: Peter Lang publishing Inc
- Glaser, R., Lieberman, A., & Anderson, R. (1997). The vision thing: Educational research and AERA in the 21st century. Part 3: Perspectives on the research–practice relationship. *Educational researcher*, 26(7), 24-25.
- Gold, R. L. (1958). Roles in sociological field observations. *Social forces*, 36, 217-223.
- Green, L. W., George, M. A., Daniel, M., Frankish, C. J., & Herbert, C. J. (1995). *Study of participatory research in health promotion*. University BC, Vancouver: Research Society Canada.
- Greenbaum, T. L. (1998). *The handbook for focus group research (2nd ed)*. Thousand oaks, CA: Sage.
- Greenwood, D. J., & Levin, M. (2003). Reconstructing the relationship between universities and society through action research. In K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The landscape of qualitative research: Theories and issues* California: Sage.
- Griessel-Roux, E. (2004). *A case study exploring learners' experiences of HIV/AIDS programmes*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Griffiths, M. (1998). *Educational Research for Social Justice – Getting off the Fence*: Open University Press.
- Guba, E.G., & Lincoln, Y.S. (1998). *The landscape of qualitative research: Theories and Issues*. London: Sage.
- Gurney, J. N. (1991). Female researches in male-dominated settings—implications for short-term versus long-term research. In W. B. Shaffir & R. A. Stebbins (Eds.), *Experiencing fieldwork—an inside view of qualitative research*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Haggerty, K. D. (2004). Ethics creep: governing social science research in the name of ethics. *Qualitative sociology*, 27(4), 391-414.
- Hammersley, M. (1992). The generalisability of ethnography. In *What's wrong with ethnography?* . London: Routledge.



- Hammersley, M. (1997). Educational research and teaching: a response to David Hargreaves's TTA lecture. *British educational research journal*, 23(2), 141-161.
- Hammersley, M., & Gomm, R. (2000). *Case study method: Key issues, key texts*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Hargreaves, D. A. (1996). *Teaching as a research-based profession: possibilities and prospects*. Paper presented at The teacher training agency annual lecture 1996, London.
- Hariparsad, S. D. (2004). *In search of deep change: A study of the implementation of assessment policy in South African schools*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Harrison, D. A. (1995). Volunteer motivation and attendance decisions: competitive theory testing in multiple samples from a homeless shelter. *Journal of applied psychology*, 80, 371-385.
- Harvard, J. (1985). Medical confidence. *Journal of Medical ethics*, 11, 8-11.
- Hellstrom, T., & Raman, S. (2001). The commodification of knowledge about knowledge: knowledge management and the reification of epistemology. *Social epistemology*, 15(3), 139-154.
- Henning, E., Van Rensburg, W., & Smit, B. (2004). *Finding your way in qualitative research*. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Herie, M., & Martin, G. W. (2002). Knowledge diffusion in social work: a new approach to bridging the gap. *Social work*, 47(1), 85-95.
- Herman, C. (2004). *Prophets and profits, a case study of the restructuring of Jewish community schools in Johannesburg – South Africa*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Heron, J. (1981). Philosophical basis for a new paradigm. In P. Reason & J. Rowan (Eds.), *Human inquiry: a sourcebook of new paradigm research*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Hewlett, R. (1996). Consent to clinical research--adequately voluntary or substantially influenced? . *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 22(4), 232-237.
- Hinshaw, A. S., & Heinrich, J. (1990). New initiatives in nursing research: a national perspective. In R. Bergman (Ed.), *Nursing research for nursing practice: an international perspective*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Huberman, M. (1987). Steps towards an integrated model of research utilization. *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization*, 8, 586-611.
- Huberman, M. (1990). Linkage between researchers and practitioners: a qualitative study. *American educational research journal*, 27(2), 363-391.
- Huberman, M. (1999). The mind is its own place: the influence of sustained interactivity with practitioners on educational researchers. *Harvard educational review*, 69(3), 289-319.



- Humphreys, C., Berridge, D., Butler, I., & Ruddick, R. (2003). Making research count: The development of “knowledge based practice”. *Research policy and planning*, 21(1), 41-49.
- Hunt, J. (1981). Indicators for nursing practice: the use of research findings. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 6, 189-194.
- Hunt, J. (1997). Towards evidence based practice. *Nursing management*, 4, 14-17.
- Hunsaker, L. & Johnston, M. (1992). Teacher under construction: a collaborative case study of teacher change. *American educational research journal*, 29 (2), 350-372.
- Israel, B. A., Checkoway, B., Schulz, A. J., & Zimmerman, M. A. (1994). Health education and community empowerment: conceptualizing and measuring perceptions of individual, organizational and community control. *Health education quarterly*, 21, 149-170.
- Jacobson, A. F. (2000). Research utilization in nursing: the power of one. *Orthopaedic nursing*, 19(6), 61-65.
- Jacobson, N., Butterill, D., & Goering, P. (2004). Organizational factors that influence university-based researchers’ engagement in knowledge transfer activities. *Science Communication*, 25(3), 246-259.
- Jarvis, P. (1999). *The practitioner-researcher: Developing theory from practice*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Joffe, S., Cook, E. F., Cleary, P. D., Clark, J. W., & Weeks, J. C. (2001). Quality of informed consent in cancer clinical trials: a cross-sectional survey. *Lancet*, 358, 1772-1777.
- Johnson, G. (2002). *Research methods for public administrators*. London: Quorum Books.
- Johnston, M. (1990). Experience and reflections on collaborative research. *Qualitative studies in education*, 3 (2), 173-183.
- Johnston, M. & Kepper, R. M. (1996). Positioning ourselves: parity and power in collaborative work. *Curriculum inquiry*, 26 (1), 5-24.
- Jones, C. (2003). The utilitarian argument for medical confidentiality: a pilot study of patients’ views. *Journal of Medical ethics*, 29, 348-352.
- Justo, L. (2004). Participatory research: a way to reduce vulnerability. *The American journal of bioethics*, 4(3), 67-68.
- Kanuha, V. K. (2000). “Being” native versus “going native”: conducting social work research as an insider. *Social work*, 45(5), 439-447.
- Kelman, H. C. (1972). The rights of the subject in social research: an analysis in terms of relative power and legitimacy. *American psychologist*, 27, 989-1016.



- Kirk, S. A. (1999). Good intentions are not enough: practice guidelines for social work. *Research on social work practice*, 9(3), 302-310.
- Knorr, K. D. (1976). *Policy-makers' use of social science knowledge: symbolic or instrumental?* . Paper presented at the First international conference, Ithaca, New York.
- Knorr, K. D. (1977). Policymaker's use of social science knowledge: symbolic or instrumental? In C. H. Weiss (Ed.), *Using social research in policy making*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Knott, J., & Wildawsky, A. (1980). If dissemination is the solution, what is the problem? . *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization*, 1(4), 537-578.
- Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2000). *Focus group: a practical guide for applied research (3rd ed)*. . Thousand oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kvale, S. (1996). *Interviews—an introduction to qualitative research interviewing*. California: Sage.
- Laauwen, H. M. (2004). *Explaining "non-reform" in special needs education policy in South Africa*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Labonte, R. (1994). Health promotion and empowerment: reflections on professional practice. *Health education quarterly*, 21, 253-268.
- Lacey, A. (1994). Research utilization in nursing practice- a pilot study. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 19, 987-995.
- Lagemann, E.C. (2000). *An elusive science: the troubling history of education research*. Chicago: The university of Chicago press.
- Landry, R., Amara, N., & Lamari, M. (2001). Utilization of social science research knowledge in Canada. *Research policy*, 30, 333-349.
- Larossa, R., Bennett, L. A., & Gelles, R. J. (1981). Ethical dilemmas in qualitative family research. *Journal of marriage and the family*, 43(2), 303-313.
- Lather, P. (1986). Research as praxis. *Harvard educational review*, 56(3), 257-277.
- Lavis, J. N., Robertson, D., Woodside, J. M., McLeod, C. B., & Abelson, J. (2003). How can research organization more effectively transfer research knowledge to decision makers? . *The Milbank Quarterly*, 81(2), 221-248.
- Lee, R. (1994). Deathly silence: doctors' duty to disclose dangers of death. In R. Lee & D. Morgan (Eds.), *Death rites: law and ethics at the end of life*. London: Routledge.
- Leigh, A. (1977). The work of social services researchers and its impact on social policy. *Social and economic*



- administration*, 11, 97-116.
- Leung, P. (1992). Translation of knowledge into practice. In W. a. associates (Ed.), *national CRP panel final report*. Washington D C: Walcott and associates.
- Levin, B. (2004). Making research matter more. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 12(56).
- Levin, B., & Wong, K. C. (2004). *The relationship between research and leadership in education*. Paper presented at the 2004 meeting of the I-Learn network, Boston.
- Levine, C., Faden, R., Grady, C., Hammerschmidt, D., Eckenwiler, L., & Jeremy, S. (2004). The limitations of “vulnerability” as a protection for human research participants. *The American journal of bioethics*, 4(3), 44-49.
- Lewis, J. (2002). Fluctuating fortunes of the social science since 1945: a working paper for the commission on the future of the social sciences (academy of learned societies) [Electronic Version] from [Http://www.the-academy.org.uk/docs/LewisFluctuatingFortunes.doc](http://www.the-academy.org.uk/docs/LewisFluctuatingFortunes.doc).
- Lewison, M., & Holliday, S. (1997). Control, trust, and rethinking traditional roles: critical elements in creating a mutually beneficial university-school partnership. *Teacher education quarterly*, 24(1), 105-126.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. California: Thousand Oaks.
- Lindblom, C. E., & Cohen, D. K. (1979). *Usable knowledge: social science and social problems solving*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Lipson, J. G. (1994). Ethical issues in ethnography. In J. M. Morse (Ed.), *Critical issue in qualitative research method*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Little, J. W. (1993). *Teachers' professional development in a climate of educational reform*. New York: National centre for restructuring education, schools and teachers, teachers college, Columbia university.
- Lofland, J. A., & Lofland, L. (1984). *Analysing social settings: A guide to qualitative observation and analysis*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Lomas, J. (1993). Diffusion, dissemination and implementation: who should do what? . *Annals New York academy of sciences*, 703, 226-237.
- Lomas, J. (1997). Research and evidence-based decision making. *Australian and New Zealand journal of public health*, 21(5), 439-441.
- Lor, P. J., & Britz, J. (2005). Knowledge production from an African perspective: international information flows and intellectual property. *The international information & library review*, 37, 61-76.
- Machlup, F. (1979). Uses, value and benefits of knowledge. *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization*, 14(4),



448-466.

- Machlup, F. (1980). *Knowledge and knowledge production*. Princeton: Princeton press.
- Macklin, R. (1999). Understanding informed consent. *Acta oncologica*, 38(1), 83-87.
- MacLean, D. R. (1996). Positioning dissemination in public health policy. *Canadian journal of public health*, 87(supplement 2), 40-43.
- Malone, S. (2003). Ethics at home: informed consent in your own backyard. *Qualitative studies in education*, 16(6), 797-815.
- Mampane, M. R. (2004). *The identification of resilient and non-resilient middle-adolescent learners in a South African Township school*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- March, J., & Simon, H. (1958). *Organizations*. New York: Joan Wiley.
- Martin, M. (1996). Issues of power in the participatory research process. In K. DeKoning & M. Martin (Eds.), *Participatory research in health: issues and experiences*. London: Zed Books.
- Mason, J. (2002). *Qualitative researching*. London: Sage.
- Mason, S. A., & Allmark, P. J. (2000). Obtaining informed consent to neonatal randomized controlled trials: interview with parents and clinicians in the Euricon study. *Lancet*, 356, 2045-2051.
- McGinn, M. K. (2005). Ethical and friendly researchers, but not insiders: a response to Blodgett, Boyer and Turk [Electronic Version]. *Forum: qualitative social research*, 6 from <http://www.qualitative-research.net/fqs-texte/3-05/05-3-37-e.htm>.
- McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in education*. New York: Longman.
- McNeill, P. (1997). A response to Wilkinson and Moore: paying people to participate in research: why not? . *Bioethics*, 11(5), 390-396.
- Merriam, S. B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Merriam, S.B. (2001). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Merton, R. K. (1972). Insiders and outsiders: a chapter in the sociology of knowledge. *The American journal of sociology*, 78(1), 9-47.
- Metcalfe, C., Lewin, R., Wisher, S., Perry, S., Bannigan, K., & Klaber, M. J. (2001). Barriers to implementing the evidence base in four NHS therapies: dieticians, occupational therapist, physiotherapists, speech and



- language therapists. *Physiotherapy*, 87(8), 433-441.
- Miles, M., & Huberman, M. (1984). *Qualitative data analysis: a sourcebook of new methods*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Miles, M., & Huberman, M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis* (2nd ed). London: Sage.
- Miller, F. G. (2004). Research ethics and misguided moral intuition. *Journal of law, medicine and ethics*, 32(1), 111-116.
- Mitchelle, R. G. (1991). Secrecy and disclosure in fieldwork. In W. B. Shaffir & R. A. Stebbins (Eds.), *Experiencing fieldwork—an inside view of qualitative research*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Mokoena, M. A. (2004). *The effects of developmental appraisal policy on teacher learning*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Molale, I. S. (2004). *How policy travels: The course and effects of school funding policy on equity at different levels of the education system*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Moletsane, M. K. (2004). *The efficacy of the Rorschach among black learners in South Africa*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Moje, E.B. (2000). Changing our minds, changing our bodies: power as embodies in research relations. *Qualitative studies in education*, 13(1), 25-42.
- Morgan, D. L. (1988). *Focus group as qualitative research*. Newbury park, CA: Sage.
- Mould, C. A. (1996, September 1-4). *The influence of researcher-teacher collaboration on the effectiveness of the early learning of four year olds in schools in England*. Paper presented at the 6th European early childhood education research association conference, Lisbon.
- Mouton, J., & Marais, H.C. (1990). *Basic concepts in methodology of the social science*. Pretoria: Human science research council publishers.
- Mouton, J. (2001). *How to succeed in your Master's and Doctoral studies: a South African guide and resource book*. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Mulrow, C. D. (1994). Rational for systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 309, 597-599.
- National Education Research Forum. (2000). *Report of the subgroup on impact of research on policy and practice*. London: Department for Education and Skills.
- Nowotny, H., Scott, P., & Gibbons, M. (2002). *Re-thinking science, knowledge and the public in an age of uncertainty*. Cambridge: Polity press.



- Nuthall, G. (2004). Relating classroom teaching to student learning: a critical analysis of why research has failed to bridge the theory-practice gap. *Harvard Educational Review*, 74(3), 273-306.
- Nyden, P., & Wiewell, W. (1992). Collaborative research: harnessing the tension between researchers and practitioners. *The American sociologist*, 23(4), 43-55.
- Oh, C. H. (1997). Issues for new thinking of knowledge utilization: introductory remarks. *Knowledge and policy: the international journal of knowledge transfer and utilization*, 10(3), 3-10.
- Oh, C. H., & Rich, R. F. (1996). Explaining use of information in public policymaking. *Knowledge and policy*, 9(1), 3-35.
- Oliver, D. G., Serovich, J. M., & Mason, T. L. (2005). Constraints and opportunities with interview transcription: towards reflection in qualitative research. *Social forces*, 84(2), 1273-1289.
- Oliver, M. (2000). *What's the purpose of theory in learning technology?* . Paper presented at the Learning Technology Theory Workshop, ALT-C.
- Orlandi, M. A. (1996). Health promotion technology transfer: organizational perspectives. *Canadian journal of public health*, 87(supplement 2), 28-33.
- Pack, S. (2006). How they see me vs how I see them: the ethnographic self and the personal self. *Anthropologist quarterly*, 79(1), 105-122.
- Patton, M. Q., Grimes, P. S., Guthrie, K. M., Brennan, N. J., French, B. D., & Blyth, D. A. (1977). In research of impact: an analysis of the utilization of federal health evaluation research. In C. H. Weiss (Ed.), *Using social research in public policy making*. Lexington: Lexington books.
- Paechter, C. (2000). Moving with the goalposts: carrying out curriculum research in a period of constant change. *British educational research journal*, 26 (1), 25-38.
- Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation methods (2nd ed)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M.Q. (2002) *Qualitative research and evaluation methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pelz, D. P. (1978). Some expanded perspectives on use of social science in public policy. In J. M. Yinger & S. J. Cutler (Eds.), *Major social issues: a multidisciplinary view*. New York: Free Press.
- Pennington, L. (2001). Attitudes to and use of research in speech and language therapy. *British journal of therapy rehabilitation*, 8(10), 375-379.
- Peterson, S. M., & Emrick, J. A. (1983). Advances in practice. In W. Paisley & M. Butler (Eds.), *Knowledge utilization systems in education*. Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Piccini, A. (2002). A historiography perspective on practice as research [Electronic Version] from



<http://www.parip/practice-as-research-in-performance.mht>.

- Plummer, K. (2001). *Documents of life two: an invitation to a critical humanism*. London: Sage.
- Powdermaker, H. (1966). *Stranger and friend—the way of an anthropologist*. London: Secker & Warburg.
- Rabinow, P., & Sullivan, W. (1979). *Interpretive social science*. Berkeley: University of California press.
- Rafferty, A. M., Allcock, N., & Lathlean, J. (1996). The theory/practice ‘gap’: taking issue with the issue. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 23, 685-691.
- Rampa, S. H. (2004). *The relationship between Total Quality Management and School Improvement*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Randall, J. (2002). The practice-research relationship: A case of ambivalent attachment? . *Journal of social work*, 2(1), 105-122.
- Reardon, K., Welsh, J., Kreiswirth, B., & Forester, J. (1993). Participatory action research from the inside: community development practice in East St. Louis. *American Sociology*, 24, 69-91.
- Rhodes, R. (2005). Rethinking research ethics. *The American journal of bioethics*, 5(1), 7-28.
- Rich, R. F. (1975). Selective utilization of social science related information by federal policy makers. *Inquiry*, 13, 239-245.
- Rich, R. F. (1977). Uses of social science information of federal bureaucrats: knowledge for action versus knowledge for understanding. In C. H. Weiss (Ed.), *Using social research in public policy making*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Rich, R. F. (1979). The pursuit of knowledge. *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization*, 1(1), 6-30.
- Rich, R. F. (1991). Knowledge creation, dissemination and utilization. *Knowledge and policy: the international journal of knowledge transfer and utilization*, 12(3), 319-337.
- Rich, R. F. (1997). Measuring knowledge utilization: processes and outcomes. *Knowledge and policy: the international journal of knowledge transfer and utilization*, 10(3), 11-24.
- Rich, R. F., & Oh, C. H. (1993). The utilization of policy research. In S. Nagel (Ed.), *Encyclopaedia of policy studies*. New York: Marcel Dekkar.
- Riessman, C.K. (2002). Narrative analysis. In A.M. Huberman and M.B. Miles (eds.), *The qualitative researcher’s companion*. Thousand oaks: Sage.
- Ritchie, J. (2003). The application of qualitative methods to social research. In J. Ritchie & J, Lewis (eds.), *Qualitative research practice: a guide for social science students and researchers*. London: Sage.



- Rizzuto, C., Bostrom, J., Suter, W. N., & Chenitz, W. C. (1994). Predictors of nurses' involvement in research activities. *Western journal of nursing research*, 16(2), 193-204.
- Ritchie, S. M. & Rigano, D. L. (2001). Researcher-participant positioning in classroom research. *Qualitative studies in education*, 14 (6), 741-756.
- Robertson, A., & Minkler, M. (1994). New health promotion movement: a critical examination. *Health education quarterly*, 21, 295-312.
- Robinson, V. M. J. (1998). Methodology and the research–practice gap. *Educational researcher*, 27(1), 17-26.
- Rogers, J. (2000). The intellectual consequences of the Research Assessment Exercise: a response. *History of the Human Sciences*, 13(2), 101-106.
- Rogers, W. A. (2006). Pressures on confidentiality. *Lancet*. 367 (9510), 553-554.
- Rudy, E. B., Estok, P. J., Kerr, M. E., & Menzel, L. (1994). Research incentives: money versus gifts. *Nursing research*, 43(4), 253-255.
- Schulz, R., Schroeder, D., & Brody, C. M. (1997). Collaborative narrative inquiry: fidelity and the ethics of caring in teacher research. *Qualitative studies in education*, 10(4), 473-485.
- Schwandt, T.A. (1997). *Qualitative inquiry*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Schwandt, T.A. (2000). Three epistemological stances for qualitative enquiry: interpretivism, hermeneutics, and social constructionism. In N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (ed.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed). Thousand oaks: Sage.
- Scott, D., & Usher, R. (2000). *Researching education: data, methods and theory in educational inquiry*. London: Continuum.
- Senosi, S. S. (2004). *The support for learning provided by the parents of foundation phase learners in a township school*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Shaffir, W. B. (1991). Managing a convincing self-presentation. In W. B. Shaffir & R. A. Stebbins (Eds.), *Experiencing fieldwork—an inside view of qualitative research*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Shaffir, W. B., & Stebbins, R. A. (1991). *Experiencing fieldwork—an inside view of qualitative research*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Sherif, B. (2001). The ambiguity of boundaries in the fieldwork experience: establishing rapport and negotiating insider/outsider status. *Qualitative inquiry*, 7, 436-447.
- Shisana, O., & Simbayi, L. (2002). *Nelson Mandela/HSRC Study of HIV/AIDS: South African National HIV Prevalence, Behavioral Risk and Mass Media*. Cape Town, South Africa: HSRC.



- Silverside, A. (1997). Dissemination of research results to clinicians an art in itself. *Canadian medical association journal*, 156(12), 1746-1747.
- Simelane, I. B. H. (2004). *What are the personal and public challenges facing black women in their quest for leadership roles in schools?*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Simmerling, M., & Schwegler, B. (2005). Beginning anew: same principles, different direction for research ethics. *The American journal of bioethics*, 5(1), 44-46.
- Simmons, C. W. (1996). *State and local information needs: the California family impact seminar model*. Paper presented at the The annual meeting of the Association for public policy analysis and management research, Pittsburgh, PA.
- Seager, A., King, E., Hindley, N., Barnetson, L., Barton, J., & Kim, A. (1998). The experience of research participation and the value of diagnosis in dementia: implications for practice. *Journal of mental health*, 7, 309-321.
- Smith, C.P. (2000). Coded content analysis and narrative analysis. In H.T. Reis and C.M. Judd (eds.), *Handbook of research: methods in social and personality psychology*. Cambridge: Cambridge university press.
- Smits, H., Friesen, D., Hicks, N., & Leroy, C. (1997). Encountering obligations in qualitative educational research: a postmodern perspective. *The Alberta journal of educational research*, XLIII(4), 192-206.
- Sooklal, S. S. (2004). *The Structural and Cultural Constraints on Policy Implementation: A Case Study on Further Education and Training Colleges in South Africa*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Southworth, G. (1999). Primary school leadership in England: Policy, practice and theory. *School leadership and management*, 19(1), 49-65.
- Stevens, M., Liabo, K., Frost, S., & Roberts, H. (2005). Using research in practice: a research information service for social care practitioners. *Child and family social work*, 10, 67-75.
- Stewart, D. W., & Shamdasani, P. N. (1990). *Focus groups: theory and practice*. Thousand oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stiffler, H. L. (2003). Guidelines for obtaining informed consent for clinical research. *Applied clinical trials supplement*, November, 6-13.
- Sehlola, N. T. (2004). *Sir, on what page is the answer? Exploring teacher decision-making in the context of complex curriculum change*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Symthe, W. E., & Murray, M. J. (2000). Owing the story: ethical considerations in narrative research. *Ethics and behavior*, 10, 311-336.
- Terre Blanche, M., & Durrheim, K. (eds) (1999). *Research in practice applied methods for the social sciences*. Cape Town: University of Cape Town Press.



- Terre Blanche, M. & Kelly, K. (1999), Interpretive methods. In M. Terre Blanche & K. Durrheim (eds.), *Research in practice applied methods for the social sciences*. Cape Town: University of Cape Town Press.
- Tesch, R. (1990). *Qualitative research: analysis types and software tools*. London: Falmer Press.
- Thabo, R. J. (2004). *The influence of Cross-cultural interviewing on the generation of data*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- The Central New York Practice Research Network (CNY PRN). (2002). Strengthening partnerships: Changing systems through research and practice. from http://www.communityalternatives.org/pdfs/PRN_Conf_Rpt_082603.pdf
- Tlhagale, M. P. (2004). *Environmental Education as a strategy towards sustainable living for rural communities*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- Tornquist, K. M., & Hoenack, S. A. (1996). Firm utilization of university scientific research. *Research in higher education*, 37(5), 67-89.
- Van Buuran, A., & Edelenbos, J. (2004). Why is joint knowledge production such a problem? . *Science and public policy*, 31(4), 289-299.
- Van der Geest, S. (2003). Confidentiality and pseudonyms-a fieldwork dilemma from Ghana. *Anthropology today*, 19(1), 14-18.
- Vaughn, S., Schumm, J. S., & Sinagub, J. (1996). *Focus group interviews in education and psychology*. Thousand oaks, CA: Sage.
- Veatch, R. M. (1987). *The patient as partner: a theory of human-experimentation ethics*. Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana university press.
- Van Wyk, J. R. (2004). *Identifying assets in the memory-box-making-process with vulnerable children*. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Visser, G. (2003). Researcher positionality and political-temporal contingency in a post apartheid research environment [Electronic Version] from <http://www.lse.ac.uk/depts/geography/rp59/pdf>.
- Walford, G. (1994). *Researching the powerful*. London: University college of London press.
- Walker, R. (1980). *The conduct of educational case studies: ethics, theory and procedure*. In W.B. Dockwell & D. Hamilton (eds.), *Rethinking educational research*. London: Hodder & Stoughton.
- Wallis, P. (1977). *Learning to labour: how working class kids get working class jobs*. Farnborough, UK: Saxon House.
- Way, N. (2005). Striving for engagement: reflections from a qualitative researcher. *Journal of adolescent*



research, 20(5), 531-537.

Weiss, C. H. (1976). *Research for policy's sake, presented at a symposium on applied sociology: patterns and problems*. Cleveland, Ohio: Case Western Reserve university.

Weiss, C. H. (1979). The many meaning of research utilization. *Public Administration Review*, 39(5), 426-431.

Weiss, C. H. (1980). Knowledge creep and decision accretion. *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization*, 1(3), 381-404.

Weiss, C. H., & Bucuvalas, M. J. (1980). *Social science research and decision making*. New York: Columbia University Press.

While, A. (2003). Does research reach practice? . *Journal of community nursing*, 8(4), 192.

Wilkinson, M., & Moore, A. (1997). Inducement in research. *Bioethics*, 11(5), 373-389.

Williams, W., & Evans, J. (1969). The politics of evaluation: the case of head start. *Annals of the American academy of political and social science*, 385, 118-132.

Winch, C. (2001). Accountability and relevance in educational research. *Journal of philosophy of education*, 35(3), 443-459.

Wright, S., Klee, H., & Reid, P. (1998). Interviewing illicit drug users: observations from the fields. *Addictive research*, 6, 517-535.

Yarborough, M., & Sharp, R. R. (2002). Restoring and preserving trust in biomedical research. *Academic medicine*, 77(1), 8-14.

Yassour-Borochowitz, D. (2004). Reflections on the researcher-participant relationship and the ethics of dialogue. *Ethics & Behavior*, 14(2), 175-186.

Yeich, S., & Levine, R. (1992). Participatory research's contribution to a conceptualization of empowerment. *Journal of applied sociology psychology*, 22, 1894-1908.

Yin, R. K. (1981). The case study as a serious research strategy. *Knowledge: creation, diffusion, utilization*, 3(1), 97-144.

Yin, R. K., & Moore, G. B. (1988). Lessons on the utilization of research from nine case experiences in the natural hazards field. *Knowledge in society: the international journal of knowledge transfer*, 1(3), 25-44.

Zajano, N. C. & Edelsberg, C.M. (1993). Living and writing the researcher-researched relationship. *Qualitative studies in education*, 6(2), 143-157.



Zigo, D. (2001). Rethinking reciprocity: collaboration in labour as a path toward equalizing power in classroom research. *Qualitative studies in education*, 14(3), 351-365.s



APPENDICES

A: Informed consent

Dear Participant (original researcher)

You are invited to participate in a research project about the researcher–practitioner relationship. The aim of such project is to investigate the research under-utilization phenomenon from the angle of researcher–practitioner engagement. Through the lens of perceptions and experiences from both researchers and practitioners, this study aims at unpacking this possible missing link of human interaction that could shed light to the research–practice riddle. This is a project conducted for a PhD degree at University of Pretoria.

Your participation in this research project is voluntary. You may choose to participate or not and you may choose to withdraw and not to participate at any time without any penalty. Should you willingly decide to take part, your involvement will be in semi-structured interviews. You will be expected to talk about your engagement with your participants for your research and your reflection on that. With your agreement, I will use a recording device for the conversation together with a notepad for the purpose of getting accurate information.

Your identity will be protected to the best of my ability. However, since your dissertation is a public document, you need to tell me whether you want to keep your identity in my research hidden or not. Should the answer be yes, I will assign you a code when referring to you in the research; and should it be no, I will use your real identity in the research report.

The results from this study will only be used for research purpose only.

Having read the contents of this communication, you are requested to attach your signature as proof of consent. If you have any other problems or information pertaining, feel free to contact me.

Your participation in this research will be highly appreciated.

Participant’s signature Date

Researcher’s signature..... Date

Yours Sincerely

Yu,ke
 Department of Educational Management and Policy Studies
 Faculty of Education
 University of Pretoria



Dear Participant (original participant):

You are invited to participate in a research project about the researcher–practitioner relationship. The aim of such project is to investigate the research under-utilization phenomenon from the angle of researcher–practitioner engagement. Through the lens of perceptions and experiences from both researchers and practitioners, this study aims at unpacking this possible missing link of human interaction that could shed light to the research–practice riddle. This is a project conducted for a PhD degree at University of Pretoria.

Your participation in this research project is voluntary. You may choose to participate or not and you may choose to withdraw and not to participate at any time without any penalty. Should you willingly decide to take part, your involvement will be in the semi-structured interviews. You will be expected to talk about your engagement with your participants for your research and your reflection on that. With your agreement, I will use a recording device for the conversation together with a notepad for the purpose of getting accurate information.

Your identity will be protected to the best of my ability. All the data and tape recording will be kept confidential. If you prefer, I can use your real identity in my report; if not, I will use the code that your previous researcher has given to you for your identity (or assign you a code if your identity was not mentioned in the previous research report), so that your true identity will remain unanimous in the final report.

The results from this study will only be used for research purpose only.

Having read the contents of this communication, you are requested to attach your signature as proof of consent. If you have any other problems or information pertaining, feel free to contact me.

Your participation in this research will be highly appreciated.

Participant’s signature Date
Researcher’s signature..... Date

Yours Sincerely

Yu,ke
Department of Educational Management and Policy Studies
Faculty of Education
University of Pretoria