REFERENCES

- **Ackerman, R.** (2002). *Hearing grasshoppers jump. The story of Raymond Ackerman*. Cape Town: David Philip.
- **Ackerman, R.** (2005). The four legs of the table: Raymond Ackerman's simple straight-forward formula for success. Cape Town: David Philip.
- **Adair, J.G.** (1984). The Hawthorne effect: A reconsideration of the methodological artefact. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 69*, 334-345.
- **Alant, E.** (2001). Life-skill and employment training for young adults with mental disabilities. *S.A. Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *31*(*3*), 3-8.
- **Alant, E.** (2005a, March). *Intervention and sustainability: Some issues*. Paper presented at the 13th Multi-professional AAC Research Indaba, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- **Alant, E.** (2005b). Support-based AAC intervention. In E. Alant, & L. Lloyd. (Eds.), *Augmentative and alternative communication and severe disabilities: Beyond poverty* (pp. 155-191). London: Whurr.
- **Alant, E., & Lloyd, L.** (2005). Epilogue. In E. Alant, & L. Lloyd. (Eds.), *Augmentative and alternative communication and severe disabilities: Beyond poverty* (pp. 344-349). London: Whurr.
- **American Speech-Language-Hearing Association** (1988). Role of speech-language pathologists in identification, diagnosis and treatment of individuals with cognitive-communicative impairments, 30, p. 79. Rockville, MD: Author.
- **American Speech-Language-Hearing Association** (2001). Scope of practice in speech-language pathology. Rockville, MD: Author.

- **American Speech-Language–Hearing Association** (in press). Roles of speech-language pathologists in the identification, diagnosis and treatment of individuals with cognitive-communication disorders. Position statement. *ASHA Supplement 25*.
- Armstrong, B., & Togher, L. (2001, September). *Measuring functional communication in everyday contexts: treatment applications.* Workshop presented at the British Aphasiology Society Conference. Exeter: UK.
- **Avent, J.** (2004). Reciprocal scaffolding treatment for aphasia. *ASHA Division 2:* Neurophysiology and Neurogenic Speech and Language disorders, 14(2), 15-18.
- **Barbian, J.** (2003). Moving towards diversity. [Electronic version]. *Training, 40 (Issue 2)*: 44-48.
- **Bedrosian, J.L., Hoag, L.A., & McCoy, K.F.** (2003). Relevance and speed of message delivery trade-offs in augmentative and alternative communication. *Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research*, 46, 800-817.
- Bhengu, M.J. (1996). Ubuntu: The essence of democracy. Cape Town: Novalis Press.
- **Booth, S., & Swabey, D.** (1999). Group training in communication skills for carers of adults with aphasia. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 34(3), 291-309.
- **Bornman, J.** (2001). The development of a primary level communication intervention protocol for children with severe disabilities. Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Philosopshiae Doctor in Augmentative and Alternative Communication. University of Pretoria, Pretoria.
- **Braga, L.W., Campos da Paz Junior, A., & Ylvisaker, M.** (2005). Direct clinician-delivered versus indirect family-supported rehabilitation of children with traumatic brain injury: A randomized controlled trial. *Brain Injury*, 19(10), 819-831.
- **Bramley, B.** (2003a). Permission to Innovate. TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article 041.htm.

- **Bramley, B.** (2003b). "Permission to Innovate." TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article 002.htm.
- **Brotherson, M.J.** (1994). Interactive focus group interviewing: A qualitative research method in early intervention. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, *14*(1), 101-118.
- **Brotherson, M.J., & Goldstein, B.L.** (1992). Quality design of focus groups in early childhood special education research. *Journal of Early Intervention*, *16*(4): 334-342.
- **Caffarella, R.S.** (1994). Planning programmes for adult learners. A practical guide for educators, trainers and staff developers. San Fransisco: Jossey-bass Publishers.
- Coats, K. (2003a). "A glimpse into the future- Good news/bad news for leaders." Tomorrow Today.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.Tomorrow.today.biz/article 034.htm.
- **Coats, K.** (2003b). "Leadership for tomorrow." TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article 019.htm.
- **Coats, K.** (2003c). "Relationships the economic return of the future." TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article 011.htm.
- **Coats, K.** (2003d). "13 Things smart leaders know- how to thrive in a relational economy." TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article 023.htm.
- Code, C., Simmons Mackie, N., Armstrong, E., Stiegler, L., Armstrong, J., Bushby, E, Carew-Price, P., Curtis, H., Haynes, P., McLeod, E., Muhleisen, V., Neate, J., Nikolas, A., Rolfe, D., Rubly, C., Simpson, R., & Webber, A. (2001). The public awareness of aphasia: An international survey. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 36, Supplement, 1-6.
- **Codrington, G.** (2003a). Change has changed. TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article 032.htm.

- **Codrington, G.** (2003b). "Hunting season." TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article 038.htm.
- **Codrington, G.** (2003c). "Inviting your customer in: The next level of customer service." TomorrowToday_.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/ article.htm.
- **Codrington, G.** (2003d). "Relearn to relate." TomorrowToday.biz. Retrieved March 28, 2004, from http://www.tomorrowtoday.biz/article042.htm.
- **Codrington, G.** (2004). Expanding youth professionals, opportunities: The contribution that non-for-profit youth professionals can make in the corporate world. [Electronic version]. *Journal of Youth & Theology, Edition* 2(2), 1-10.
- Coelho, C. Discourse analysis in traumatic brain injury. (1999). In S. McDonald, L. Togher, & C. Code (Eds.), *Communication disorders following traumatic brain injury* (pp.55-79). United Kingdom: Psychology Press.
- Coelho, C.A., DeRuyter, F., & Stein, M. (1996). Treatment efficacy: Cognitive communicative disorders resulting from Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 39(5), S5-S17.
- Coleman, A. Getting in on the act. [Electronic version]. <u>Director</u>, *Vol* 56 (*Issue* 3): 64-67. Retrieved November 11, 2003 from http://weblinks3.epnet.com/citation.asp?tb.
- **Cottrell, S.** (2001). How do lay people perceive individuals who have communication disabilities, and in particular, aphasia? Unpublished dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the MSc in Clinical Communication Studies, Department of Language and Communication Science, City University, London.
- Covey, S. (1992). The 7 habits of highly effective people. Great Britain: Simon & Schuster.
- **Covey, S.** (2004). The 8th habit: From effectiveness to greatness. New York: Simon and Schuster.

- Cruice, M., Worrall, L., Hickson, L., & Murison, R. (2003). Finding a Focus for Quality of Life with Aphasia: Social and Emotional health, and Psychological Well-being. *Aphasiology*, 17(4), 333-353.
- Cummings, S.R., Stewart, A.L., & Hulley, S.B. (2001). Designing questionnaires and data collection instruments. In S.B. Hulley, S.R. Cummings, W.S. Browner, D. Grady, N. Hearst, & T.B. Newman (Eds.), *Designing clinical research: An epidemiologic approach* (2nd ed.) (pp. 231- 247). Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.
- Davis, G.A. (2000). Aphasiology: Disorders & clinical practice. MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- **Disabled People South Africa (DPSA)** (2000). Retrieved May 3, 2004 from http://www.dpsa.org.za/documents pocketguide.htm. Pocket Guide On Disability Equity: An empowerment tool (1st ed.).
- Elman, R., Ogar, J., & Elman, S. (2000) Aphasia: awareness, advocacy and activism. *Aphasiology*, 14(5/6), 455-459.
- **Employment Equity Act** No 55 of 1998: Draft code of good practice: Key aspects of disability in the workplace. Government Gazette No. 22209 (April 2001), Vol. 430. Pretoria, South Africa: Government Printers.
- **Feeney, T.J., & Ylvisaker, M.** (2003). Context-sensitive behavioural supports for young children with TBI: Short-term effects and long-term outcomes. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 18(1), 33-51.
- Fine, M., & Asch, A. (1988). Disability beyond stigma: social interaction, discrimination and activism. *Journal of Social Issues*, 44, 3-21.
- **Finkelstein, V.** (1991). Disability: An administrative challenge. In M. Oliver (Ed.), *Social work, disabled people and disabling environments* (pp.19-39). London: Jessica Kingsley.
- **Fisher, J., Katz, L.A., Miller, K., & Thatcher, A.** (2003). *South Africa at work.* Johannesburg: Witwatersrand Press.

- Fox, L., & Sohlberg, M.M. (2000). Meaningful communication roles. In D.R. Beukelman, K.M. Yorkston, & J. Reichie (Eds.), *Augmentative and alternative communication for adults with acquired neurologic disorder* (pp.3-24). Baltimore: Paul Brookes.
- Franklins disability awareness in a retail environment training manual. (2001). Sydney: Author.
- **French, S.** (1994). What is disability? In S. French (Ed.), *On equal terms: Working with disabled people* (pp.3-16). London: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- **Frey, J.H., & Fontana, A.** (1993). The group interview in social research. In D.L. Morgan (Ed.), *Successful focus groups. Advancing the state of the art* (pp.20-34). Newbury, England: Sage Publications.
- **Garcia, L.J., Laroche, C., & Barrette, J.** (2002). Work integration issues go beyond the nature of the communication disorder. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, *35*(2), 187-211.
- **Goffman, E.** (1963a). *Behaviour in public places*. New York: Free Press.
- **Goffman, E.** (1963b). Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity. New York: Touchstone.
- Grobler, P.A., Warnich, S., Carrell, M.R., Elbert, N.F., & Hatfield, R.D. (2002). *Human resource management in South Africa* (2nd ed.). London: Thomson Learning.
- **Halliday, M.A.K**. (1994). An introduction to functional grammar. (2nd ed.). London: Edward Arnold.
- **Hammer, M.** (2001). The agenda: What every business must do to dominate the decade. London: Random House.
- **Hirsch, F.M., & Holland, A.L.** (2000). Beyond activity: Measuring participation in society and quality of life. In L. Worrall, & C. Frattali (Eds.), *Neurogenic communication disorders: A functional approach* (pp. 35-54). New York: Thieme.

- **Hoffart, N.** (1991). A member check procedure to enhance rigor in naturalistic research. Western Journal of Research Practice, 13(4), 522-534.
- Holland, A.L. (1977). Some practical considerations in aphasia rehabilitation. In M. Sullivan, & M.S. Komers (Eds.), *Rationale for adult aphasia therapy* (pp.166-180). Nebraska, University of Nebraska Medical Centre.
- **Holland, A.L.** (1982). When is aphasia aphasia? The problem of closed head injury. In R.W. Brookshire (Ed.), *Clinical Aphasiology Conference Proceedings*, 14, 345-349. Minneapolis, MN: BRK.
- **Holland, A.L.** (2000). Self-determination and self-advocacy: New concepts for aphasic individuals and their partners. In L. Connor, & L. Obler (Eds.), *Neurobehaviour of language and cognition* (pp.109-116). Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- **Holland, A.L., & Hinckley, J.J.** (2002). Pragmatic aspects of approaches to the treatment of aphasia. In A. Hillis (Ed.), *Handbook on adult language disorders: Integrating cognitive neuropsychology, neurology and rehabilitation* (pp. 413-428). New York: Psychology Press.
- **Holland, D., & Shigaki, C.** (1998). Educating families and caretakers of traumatically brain injured patients in the new health care environment: a three phase model and bibliography. *Brain Injury*, *12*(2), 993-1009.
- **Hurst, R.** (2003). The International Disability Rights Movement and the ICF (2003). *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 25(11-12), 572-576.
- **Isaki, E., & Turkstra, L.** (2000). Communication abilities and work re-entry following traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury*, *14*(5), 441-453.
- **Jarvis, P.** (1995). Adult and continuing education theory and practice (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.
- **Jordan, L.** (1998). Diversity in aphasiology: A social science perspective. *Aphasiology*, 12(6), 474-480.

- **Jordan, L.** (2001). Volunteers: The case for training revisited. *Acquiring Knowledge in Speech, Language and Hearing*, *3*(*3*), 147-149.
- Jordan, L., & Kaiser, W. (1996). Aphasia: A social approach. London: Chapman & Hall.
- **Kagan, A.** (1995). Revealing the competence of aphasic adults through conversation: A challenge to health professionals. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, 2, 15-28.
- **Kagan, A., & Gailey, G.** (1993). Functional is not enough: Training conversation partners for aphasic adults. In A. Holland, & M. Forbes (Eds.), *Aphasia treatment: World perspectives* (pp.199-226). San Diego: Singular.
- **Kagan, A., & LeBlanc, K.** (2002). Motivating for infrastructure change: Toward a communicatively accessible, participation-based stroke care system for all those affected by aphasia. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, *35*, 153-163.
- **Kagan, A., & Shumway, E.** (2003a). Talking to your case manager: Help your case manager to help you (An interactive resource for people and their health practitioners). Toronto, Canada: Aphasia Institute.
- **Kagan, A., & Shumway, E.** (2003b). *Talking to your counsellor or chaplain (An interactive resource for people and their health practitioners)*. Toronto, Canada: Aphasia Institute.
- **Kagan, A., & Shumway, E.** (2003c). Talking to your doctor: Help your doctor to help you (An interactive resource for people and their health practitioners). Toronto, Canada: Aphasia Institute.
- **Kagan, A., & Shumway, E.** (2003d). Talking to your nurse: Help your nurse to help you (An interactive resource for people and their health practitioners). Toronto, Canada: Aphasia Institute.
- **Kagan, A., & Shumway, E.** (2003e). Talking to your occupational therapist: Help your occupational therapist to help you (An interactive resource for people and their health practitioners). Toronto, Canada: Aphasia Institute.

- Kagan, A., & Shumway, E. (2003f). Talking to your physiotherapist: Help your physiotherapist to help you (An interactive resource for people and their health practitioners). Toronto, Canada: Aphasia Institute.
- **Kagan, A., & Shumway, E.** (2003g). Communicating with patients/ clients who know more than they can say: Introduction to Supported conversation for adults with Aphasia (SCATM). PowerPoint Educational Module 1 and 2. Toronto, Canada: Aphasia Institute.
- Kagan, A., Black, S., Felson Duchan, J., Simmons-Mackie, N., & Square, P. (2001). Training volunteers as conversation partners using "Supported conversation for adults with Aphasia" (SCA): A controlled trial. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 44, 624-638.
- **Katz, M.** Marcia Katz on how to address the challenges of human differences at the workplace. [Electronic version]. *Business News New Jersey*, 11 (Issues16), p.13. Retrieved April 3, 2004 from http://web4.epnet.com/DeliveryPrintSave.asp?tb = 1.
- **Kimchi, J., Polivka, B., & Stevenson, J.S.** (1991). Triangulation: Operational definitions. *Nursing Research*, 40(6), 364-366.
- Kotter, J.P., & Cohen, D.S. (2002). The heart of change: Real life stories of how people change their organisations. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- **Krogh, K.S., & Lindsay, P.H.** (1999). Including people with disabilities in research: Implications for the field of augmentative and alternative communication. *AAC.*, *15*(4), 222-233.
- **Krueger, R.A.** (1988). Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research. California: Sage Publications.
- **Krueger, R.A., & Casey, M.A.** (2000). Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research. California: Sage Publications.

- Larkins, B.M., Worrall, L.E., & Hickson, L.M.H. (2000). Functional communication in cognitive communication disorders following traumatic brain injury. In L.E. Worrall, & C.M. Frattali (Eds.), *Neurogenic communication disorders: A functional approach* (pp. 206-219). Thieme: New York.
- Larkins, B.M., Worrall, L.E., & Hickson, L.M.H. (2004). Stakeholder opinion of functional communication activities following traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury*, 18(7), 691-706.
- **Leedy, P.D.** (1993). *Practical Research: Planning and design*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- **Lewis, D., & Bridger, D.** (2000). The soul of the new consumer: Authenticity what we buy and why in the new economy. London: Nicholas Brealey.
- **LPAA Project Group**: Chapey, R., Duchan, J., Elman, R., Garcia, L., Kagan, A., Lyon, J., & Simmons-Mackie, N. (February 2000). Life participation approach to aphasia: A statement of values for the future. *ASHA Leader*, 4-6.
- Lubinski, R. (1981). Environmental language intervention. In R. Chapey (Ed.), Language Intervention Strategies in Adult Aphasia (pp.223-245). Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins.
- **Lubinski, R.** (1995). Environmental considerations for elderly residents. In R. Lubinski (Ed.), *Dementia and Communication* (pp. 297-315). Clifton Park, N.Y: Delmar.
- **Lubinski, R.** (2001). Environmental systems approach to adult aphasia. In R. Chapey (Ed.), Language Intervention Strategies in Aphasia and Related Neurogenic Communication Disorders (4th ed.) (pp.269-296). Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- **Lyon, J.G.** (1989). Communicative partners: Their value in re-establishing communication with aphasic adults. In T. Prescott (Ed.), *Clinical Aphasiology*, 18: 11-17. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.
- **Lyon, J. G.** (1992). Communication use and participation in life for adults with aphasia in natural settings: The scope of the problem. *American Journal of Speech Language Pathology*, 1, 7-14.

- Lyon, J.G., Cariski, D., Keisler, L., Rosenbek, J., Levine, R., Kumpula, J., Ryff, C., Coyne,S., & Blanc, M. (1997). Communication partners: enhancing participation in life and communication for adults with aphasia in natural settings. *Aphasiology*, 11(7), 693-708.
- Mahola, M. (1994). "I'm a man." In Strange things. Cape: Snailpress, p.13.
- Majchrzak, A., & Wang, Q. (1998). Breaking the functional mind-set in process organisations. In D.Ulrich (Ed.), Delivering results: A new mandate for human resource professionals. Harvard Business Review: USA.
- Mayo, G.D., & DuBois, P.H. (1987a). An evaluative inventory of training aids. In G.D. Mayo, & P.H. DuBois (Eds.), *The complete book of training: Theory, principles and techniques* (pp.107-144). San Diego: University Associates, Inc.
- Mayo, G.D., & DuBois, P.H. (1987b). End products of evaluative studies. In G.D. Mayo, & P.H. DuBois (Eds.), *The complete book of training: Theory, principles and techniques* (pp.189-209). San Diego: University Associates, Inc.
- Mayo, G.D., & DuBois, P.H. (1987c). Experimental studies in training. In G.D. Mayo, & P.H. DuBois (Eds.), *The complete book of training: Theory, principles and techniques* (pp.167-187). San Diego: University Associates, Inc.
- Mayo, G.D., & DuBois, P.H. (1987d). Five steps in designing training. In G.D. Mayo, & P.H. DuBois (Eds.), *The complete book of training: Theory, principles and techniques* (pp.31-62). San Diego: University Associates, Inc.
- Mayo, G.D., & DuBois, P.H. (1987e). Fourteen training formats. In G.D. Mayo, & P.H. DuBois (Eds.), *The complete book of training: Theory, principles and techniques* (pp.63-106). San Diego: University Associates, Inc.
- Mayo, G.D., & DuBois, P.H. (1987f). Seventeen training principles. In G.D. Mayo, & P.H. DuBois (Eds.), *The complete book of training: Theory, principles and techniques* (pp.11-30). San Diego: University Associates, Inc.

- Mayo, G.D., & DuBois, P.H. (1987g). Testing the effectiveness of training. In G.D. Mayo, & P.H. DuBois (Eds.), *The complete book of training: Theory, principles and techniques* (pp.145-166). San Diego: University Associates, Inc.
- Mazaux, J.M., Masson, F., Levin, H.S., Alaoui, P., Maurette, P., & Barat, M. (1997). Longterm neuropsychological outcome and loss of social autonomy after traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.*, 78, 1316-1320.
- **Mbigi, L., & Maree, J.** (1995). *Ubuntu: The spirit of African transformation management.* JHB: Knowledge Resources.
- McCooey, R.T., Toffolo, D., & Code, C. (2000). A socio-environmental approach to functional communication in hospital-inpatients. In L.E. Worrall, & C.M. Frattali (Eds.), *Neurogenic communication disorders: A functional approach* (pp. 295-311). Thieme: New York.
- **McDonald, S., Togher, L., & Code, C.** (1999). The nature of traumatic brain injury: Basic features and neuropsychological consequences. In S.McDonald, L.Togher, & C.Code (Eds.), *Communication disorders following traumatic brain injury* (pp.19-54). United Kingdom: Psychology Press.
- McMillan, J.J., & Schumacher, S. (2001). Research in education. A conceptual introduction. N.Y.: Longman.
- **Mentis, M., & Prutting, C.A.** (1987). Cohesion in the discourse of normal and head-injured adults. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, *30*, 88-98.
- Mertens, D.M. (1998). Research methods in Education and Psychology. London: Sage.
- Milton, S., Prutting, C.A., & Binder, G. (1984). Appraisal of communicative competence in head injured adults. *Clinical Aphasiology Conference Proceedings, Seabrook Island, South Carolina* (pp.114-124). Minneapolis: BRK.
- Mintzberg, H. (2004). Managers not MBA's. Prentice Hall: London.

- Napier, R.W., & Gershenfeld, M.K. (1983). Making groups work: A guide for group leaders. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- **Nell, V., & Brown, D.S.O.** (1990). Epidemiology of traumatic brain injury in Johannesburg: Morbidity, mortality and etiology. *Health Psychology Unit Technical Report No 1*: UNISA and HSRC.
- **Oakley-Smith, T.** (2004, July 19). Equity plans are dismally ineffective. Comment in *The Star Newspaper*, p.8.
- **Oliver, M.** (1992). Changing the social relations of research production? *Disability, Handicap and Society*, 7, 101-114.
- Oliver, M. (1996). Understanding disability: From theory to practice. London: Macmillan.
- **Parr, S.** (1996). Everyday literacy and aphasia: Radical approaches to functional assessment and therapy: Clinical Forum. *Aphasiology*, *10*, 469-503.
- **Parr, S., & Byng, S.** (1998). Breaking new ground in familiar territory: A comment on "Supported Conversation for adults with aphasia," by Aura Kagan. *Aphasiology*, 12, 847-850.
- Parr, S., Byng, S., & Gilpin, S. (with Ireland, C.). (1997). Talking about aphasia. Living with loss of language after stroke. London: Open University.
- **Parsons, G., Elkins, J., & Sigafoos, J.** (2000). Are people with intellectual disabilities just another customer? Interviews with business owners and staff. *Mental Retardation*, 38(3): 244-252.
- **Pascale, R.T., Millemann, M., & Gioja, L.** (1998). Changing the way we change. In D. Ulrich (Ed.), *Delivering results: A new mandate for human resource professionals*. USA: Harvard Business Review.
- **Pearsall, J. (Ed.)**. (1998). *The new Oxford dictionary of English* (1st ed.).Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- **Penn, C.** (2000). Paying attention to conversation. *Brain and Language*, 71, 185-189.
- **Penn, C., & Cleary, J.** (1988). Compensatory strategies in the language of closed head injured patients. *Brain Injury*, 2(1), 3-17.
- **Penn, C., & Jones, D.** (2000). Functional communication and the workplace: A neglected domain. In L. Worrall, & C. Frattali (Eds.), *Neurogenic communication disorders: A functional approach* (pp.103-124). New York: Thieme.
- **Peshkin, A.** (1993). The goodness of qualitative research. *Educational Researcher*, 22, 23-29.
- **Pieces of the puzzle: An Introduction to Brain Injury.** (1999). Instructional video. University Hospital School: Iowa.
- Pound, C., Parr, S., Lindsay, J., & Woolf, C. (2001a). Breaking down the barriers. In Pound,
 C., Parr, S., Lindsay, J. & Woolf, C. Beyond aphasia: Therapies for living with a communication disability (pp.126-177). UK: Speechmark.
- **Pound, C., Parr, S., Lindsay, J., & Woolf, C.** (2001b). Developing therapies for living with communication disability. In Pound, C., Parr, S., Lindsay, J., & Woolf, C. *Beyond aphasia: Therapies for living with a communication disability* (pp.1-32). UK: Speechmark.
- **Pound, C., Parr, S., Lindsay, J., & Woolf, C.** (2001c). Partnerships and practicalities: Groupwork, goal setting and evaluation. In Pound, C., Parr, S., Lindsay, J., & Woolf, C. *Beyond aphasia: Therapies for living with a communication disability* (pp.33-61). UK: Speechmark.
- **Prager, J.H.** (1999, December 15). People with disabilities are next consumer niche. [Electronic version]. *Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved April 28, 2004 from www.wcduke.com/wsj.html.
- **Prutting, C.A.** (1982) Pragmatics as social competence. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders*, 47, 124-133.

- **Racino, J.A., & Williams, J.M.** (1994). Living in the community: An examination of the philosophical and practical aspects. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 9(2): 35-48.
- **Raymond, M.** (2003). *The tomorrow people: Future consumers and how to read them.* London: Prentice-Hall.
- **Richards, M., and Richards, L.** (2003). Consulting firms advise businesses on accessing the people-with-disabilities market. [Electronic version]. *Disability World*, *15*. Retrieved April 23, 2004, from http://www.disabilityworld.org/09-10-02/employment/business3. shtml.
- **Roosevelt Thomas, Jr. R. (with Woodruff, M.).** (1999a). *Building a house for diversity.* New York: Amacom.
- **Roosevelt Thomas, Jr. R. (with Woodruff, M.).** (1999b). Diversity effectiveness: An overview. In Roosevelt Thomas, Jr. R. (with Woodruff, M.) (1999a). *Building a house for diversity* (pp.10-21). New York: Amacom.
- **Roosevelt Thomas, Jr. R.** (with Woodruff, M.). (1999c). The giraffe and the elephant. In Roosevelt Thomas, Jr. R. (with Woodruff, M.).(1999a). *Building a house for diversity* (pp.3-9). New York: Amacom.
- **Ruben, R.** (2000). Redefining the survival of the fittest: Communication disorders in the 21st century. *The Laryngoscope*, 110, 241-245.
- **Sarno, M.T.** (1969). *The Functional Communication Profile: Manual of Directions*. New York: Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine.
- **Sarno, M.T**. (1980). The nature of verbal impairments after closed head injury. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 168(11): 685-692.
- **Sarno, M.T.** (1986, September 24). *The silent minority: The patient with aphasia*The fifth annual James C. Hemphill Lecture. Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago.

- **Sarno, M.T.** (1988). Head injury: Language and speech defects. *Scandinavian Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 17, 55-64.
- **Sarno, M.T.** (1993). Aphasia Rehabilitation: Psychosocial and ethical considerations. *Aphasiology*, 7, 321-334.
- **Sarno, M.T.** (1997). Quality of life in aphasia in the first post-stroke year. *Aphasiology*, 11(7), 665-679.
- Sarno, M.T. (2001). Foreword. In C. Pound, S. Parr, J. Lindsay, & C. Woolf (Eds.), *Beyond aphasia: Therapies for living with a communication disability* (pp.x-xi).UK: Speechmark
- **Sarno, M.T.** (2004). Aphasia Therapies: Historical Perspectives and Moral Imperatives. In J. Felson Duchan, & S. Byng (Eds.), *Challenging aphasia therapies: Broadening the discourse and extending the boundaries* (pp.19-31). NY: Psychology Press.
- **Sarno, M.T., Buonagurao, A., & Levita, E.** (1986). Characteristics of verbal impairment in closed head injured patients. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 67*, 400-405.
- **Sarno, M.T., Buonagurao, A., & Levita, E.** (1987). Aphasia in closed head injury and stroke. *Aphasiology*, *1*(4), 331-338.
- Sarno, M.T., Silverman, M., & Levita, E. (1970). Psychosocial factors and recovery in geriatric patients with severe aphasia. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society*, 18(5): 405-409.
- **Saxby, H., Thomas, M., Felce, D., & De Kock, U.** (1986). The use of shops, cafes and public houses by severely and profoundly mentally handicapped adults. *British Journal of Mental Subnormality*, 32, 69-81.
- **Seligman, M.E.P.** (2002). Positive Psychology, positive prevention, and positive therapy. In C.R. Snyder, & S.J. Lopez (Eds.), *Handbook of positive Psychology* (pp.3-9). UK: Oxford.

- Sherer, M., Bergloff, P., Boake, C., High Jr. W., & Levin, E. (1998). The Awareness Questionnaire: factor structure and internal consistency. *Brain Injury*, 12(1), 63-68.
- **Silberman, M.** (1990). Active training: A handbook of techniques, designs, case examples & tips. New York: Lexington Books.
- Silver, R., & Koopman, B. (2000). Successfully employing people with disabilities. Business for Good's focused guide to employment equity. Sea Point: Business for Good.
- **Simmons-Mackie**, **N.** (1998). A solution to the discharge dilemma in aphasia: social approaches to aphasia management. Clinical Forum. *Aphasiology*, *12*, 231-239.
- **Simmons-Mackie, N**. (2000). Social approaches to the management of aphasia. In L.E. Worrall, & C.M. Frattali (Eds.), *Neurogenic communication disorders: A functional approach* (pp.162-187). Thieme: New York.
- **Simmons-Mackie, N.** (2004). Using the ICF framework to define outcomes. ASHA *Division 2:*Perspectives on Neurophysiology and Neurogenic Speech and Language Disorders,
 14(1), 9-11.
- **Simmons-Mackie, N., & Kagan, A.** (1999). Communication strategies used by "good" versus "poor" speaking partners of individuals with aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 13(9-11), 807-820.
- Simmons-Mackie, N., Kagan, A., Christie, C.O., Huijbregts, M., McEwen, S., & Willems, J. (in press). Communicative access and decision making for people with aphasia: Implementing sustainable health care systems change.
- **Slavin, R.E.** (1996).Research for the future. Research on cooperative learning and achievement: What we know, what we need to know. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 21, 43-69.
- Snow, P., & Douglas, J. (1999). Discourse rehabilitation following traumatic brain injury. In S. McDonald, L.Togher, & C.Code (Eds.), *Communication disorders following traumatic brain injury* (pp.271-320). United Kingdom: Psychology Press.

- **Sohlberg, M.M.** (October 2002). An overview of approaches for managing attention impairments. *ASHA*, *Division 2*, *Neurophysiology and Neurogenic Speech and Language Disorders*, 12(3), 4-8.
- **Sohlberg, M.M., & Mateer, C.A.** (1989). The remediation of language impairments associated with head injury. In M.M. Sohlberg, & C.A. Mateer, *Introduction to Cognitive Rehabilitation: Theory and Practice* (pp.212-231). New York: The Guilford Press.
- **Sohlberg, M.M., & Mateer, C.A.** (2001a). Communication Issues. In Sohlberg, M.M., & Mateer, C.A., *Cognitive rehabilitation: An integrative neuropsychological approach* (pp. 306-334). New York: The Guilford Press.
- **Sohlberg, M.M., & Mateer, C.A.** (2001b). Introduction to cognitive rehabilitation. In Sohlberg, M.M., & Mateer, C.A., *Cognitive rehabilitation: An integrative neuropsychological approach* (pp.3-24). New York: The Guilford Press.
- **Sohlberg, M.M., & Mateer, C.A.** (2001c). Neurological disorders associated with cognitive impairments. In Sohlberg, M.M., & Mateer, C.A., *Cognitive rehabilitation: An integrative neuropsychological approach* (pp. 25-58). New York: The Guilford Press.
- **Sohlberg, M.M., Glang, A., & Todis, B.** (1998). Improvement during baseline: three case studies encouraging collaborative research when evaluating caregiver training. *Brain Injury*, 12(4), 333-346.
- Supported conversation for aphasic adults: Enhancing communicative access (1996).

 Instructional video. Aphasia Centre and Lifetime Productions: Aphasia Centre North York: Toronto.
- **Swart, L.J.** (2001). An assessment of the costs and the benefits of employing people with disabilities. Research report submitted to the Gordon Institute of Business Science, University of Pretoria, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Business Administration.
- **Threats, T.** (2002). Evidence-based practice research using a WHO framework. *Journal of Medical Speech-Language Pathology*, 10(3), 17-24.

- **Threats, T.** (2003). The Conceptual Framework of ASHA's new scope of practice for Speech-Language Pathology. *Speech Pathology Online*, retrieved April 29, 2004 from http://speechpathology.com/ceus/class.asp.
- **Threats, T.** (April 2004). The use of the ICF in intervention for persons with neurogenic communication disorders. *ASHA Division 2: Neurophysiology and Neurogenic Speech and Language disorders*, 14(1), 4-8.
- Threats, T., Shadden, B., Vickers, C.P., & Lyon, J. (2003, November). Assessment and intervention of older adults using the ICF framework (ICF). Short course presented at the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association Annual Convention, Chicago, Illinois.
- **Threats, T., & Worrall, L.** (2004). Classifying communication disability using the ICF. *Advances in Speech-Language Pathology*, *6*(1), 53-62.
- Togher, L. (1997). Operationalizing Discourse Therapy. Aphasiology, 11(6), 621 625.
- **Togher, L.** (2000). Giving information: The importance of context on communicative opportunity for people with traumatic brain injury, *Aphasiology*, *14*, 365-390.
- **Togher, L.** (2001). Discourse sampling in the 21st century, *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 34(1/2), 131-150.
- **Togher, L., & Hand, L.** (1999). The macrostructure of the interview: Are traumatic brain injury interactions structured differently to control interactions? *Aphasiology*, *13*,709-723.
- **Togher, L., Hand, L., & Code, C.** (1996). A new perspective on the relationship between communication impairment and disempowerment following head injury in information exchanges. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 18(11), 559-566.
- **Togher, L., Hand, L., & Code, C.** (1997a). Analyzing discourse in the traumatic brain injury population: Telephone interactions with different communication partners. *Brain Injury*, 11(3), 169-189.

- **Togher, L., Hand, L., & Code, C.** (1997b). Measuring service encounters with the traumatic brain injury population. *Aphasiology*, 11(4-5), 491-504.
- **Togher, L., Hand, L., & Code, C.** (1999a). Exchanges of information in the talk of people with traumatic brain injury. In S. McDonald, L.Togher, & C.Code (Eds.), *Communication disorders following traumatic brain injury* (pp.113-145). United Kingdom: Psychology Press.
- **Togher, L., McDonald, S., & Code, C.** (1999b). Communication problems following traumatic brain injury. In S. McDonald, L. Togher, & C.Code (Eds.), *Communication disorders following traumatic brain injury* (pp.1-18). United Kingdom: Psychology Press.
- **Togher, L., McDonald, S., & Code, C.** (1999c). The nature of traumatic brain injury: Basic features and neuropsychological consequences. In S. McDonald, L.Togher, & C.Code (Eds.), *Communication disorders following traumatic brain injury* (pp.19-54). United Kingdom: Psychology Press.
- **Togher, L., McDonald, S., Code, C., & Grant, S.** (2004). Training communication partners of people with traumatic brain injury: A randomized controlled trial. *Aphasiology*, 18(4), 313-335.
- **Tregaskis, C.** (2000) Interviewing non-disabled people about their disability-related attitudes: seeking methodologies. *Disability & Society*, *15*(2), 343-353.
- **Ulrich D.** (1998). Introduction. In D. Ulrich (Ed.), *Delivering results: A new mandate for human resource professionals* (pp.1-25). Harvard Business Review: USA.
- **Underhill, P.** (1999). Why we buy: The science of shopping. Great Britain: Orion Business Books.
- Van Riper, C. (1939). Speech correction: Principles and methods. Englewood Cliffs: New Jersey.
- **Ventola, E.** (1979). The structure of casual conversation in English. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 3, 267-298.

- **Wastell, C.A.** (1995). Description of an experiential course in group processes incorporating community based practitioners. *Journal of Group Psychotherapy, Psychodrama and Sociometry*. Retrieved August 3, 2005 from http://www.highbeam.com/library/doc3asp
- Watt, N., & Penn, C. (2000). Predictors and indicators of return to work following traumatic brain injury in South Africa: Findings from a preliminary experimental database. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 30(2), 27-37.
- Watt, N., Penn, C., & Jones, D. (1996). Speech-language evaluation of closed head injured subjects in South Africa: Cultural applicability and ecological validity of a test battery. *The South African Journal of Communication Disorders*, 43, 85-92.
- Wehman, P., Kreutzer, J., Stonnington, H.H., Wood, W., Sherron, P., Diambra, J., Fry, R., & Groah, C. (1988). Supported employment for persons with traumatic brain injury: A preliminary report. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 3(4), 82-94.
- Wehman, P.H., Kreutzer, J.S., West, M.D., Sherron, P.D., Zasler, N.D., Groah, C. H., Stonnington, H.H., Burns, C.T., & Sale, P.R. (1990). Return to work for persons with traumatic brain injury: A supported employment approach. *Arch Phys. Med Rehabil.*, 71, 1047-1052.
- Wehman, P.H., West, M.D., Kregel, J., Sherron, P., & Kreutzer, J.S. (1995). Return to work for persons with severe traumatic brain injury: A data-based approach to program development. *Journal Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 10(1), 27-39.
- **Wilkerson, B.** (2001). The business case for accessibility: How accessibility-awareness strengthens your company's bottom line. Retrieved April 23, 2004 from http://www.equalopportunity.on.ca/engg/subject/index.
- **World Health Organization** (1980). *International classification of impairments, disabilities, and handicaps*. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- **World Health Organization** (1997). *ICIDH-2: International classification of impairments, activities and participation.* Geneva, Switzerland: Author.

- **World Health Organization** (2001). *ICF: International classification of functioning, disability and health.* Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- **Worrall, L.E., & Yiu, E.** (2000). Effectiveness of functional communication therapy by volunteers for people with aphasia following stroke. *Aphasiology*, *14*(9), 911-924.
- Worrall, L.E., McCooey, R., Davidson, B., Larkins, B.M., & Hickson, L. (2002). The validity of functional assessments of communication and the Activity/Participation components of the ICIDH-2: do they reflect what really happens in real-life? *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 35(2): 107-137.
- **Ylvisaker, M.** (2002). Intervention for individuals with challenging behaviour after TBI. Perspectives on Neurophysiology and Neurogenic Speech and Language Disorders, ASHA, Division 2, 12(3), 19-24.
- **Ylvisaker, M.** (2003) Context-sensitive cognitive rehabilitation after brain injury: Theory and practice. *Brain Impairment*, 4(1), 1-16.
- **Ylvisaker, M., & DeBonis, D.** (2000). Executive function impairment in adolescence: TBI and ADHD. *Top Lang Disord.*, 20(2), 29-57.
- **Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J.** (1996). Executive functions after traumatic brain injury: Supported cognition and self-advocacy. *Seminars in Speech and Language*, 17(3), 217-232.
- Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J. (1998a). Preface. In Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J. *Collaborative brain injury intervention: Positive everyday routines* (pp.ix-xi). San Diego: Singular.
- **Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J.** (1998b). Collaboration and apprenticeship: Creating a network of competence, confidence and support. In Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J. *Collaborative brain injury intervention: Positive everyday routines* (pp.235-256). San Diego: Singular.
- Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J. (1998c). Epilogue. In Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J., Collaborative brain injury intervention: Positive everyday routines (pp.257-267). San Diego: Singular.

- Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T. J. (1998d). Functional Collaborative Assessment. In Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J., *Collaborative brain injury intervention: Positive everyday routines* (pp.75-98). San Diego: Singular.
- Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J. (1998e). Introduction to functional, everyday intervention: Theory, research, and practice. In Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J., *Collaborative brain injury intervention: Positive everyday routines* (pp.1-39). San Diego: Singular.
- Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J. (1998f). Positive everyday executive system routines. In Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J., *Collaborative brain injury intervention: Positive everyday routines* (pp.99-129). San Diego: Singular.
- Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J. (2000, November). The project approach in brain injury rehabilitation: Theory and illustrations. Miniseminar presented at the American Speech, Language and Hearing Association Annual Convention, Washington, D.C.
- **Ylvisaker, M., & Feeney, T.J.** (2001). Supported behaviour and supported cognition: An integrated positive approach to serving students with disabilities. *Educational Psychology in Scotland*, 6, 17-30.
- **Ylvisaker, M., & Holland, A.L.** (1985). Coaching self-coaching and rehabilitation of head injury. In D.F. Johns (Ed.), *Clinical management of neurogenic communication disorders* (pp. 243-257). Boston: Little Brown & Co.
- **Ylvisaker, M., Feeney, J., & Feeney, T.J.** (1999). An everyday approach to long-term rehabilitation after traumatic brain injury. In B. Cornett (Ed.), *Clinical practice management for Speech-Language Pathologists* (pp.117-162). Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen.
- Ylvisaker, M., Feeney, T.J., & Urbanczyk, B. (1993). Developing a positive communication culture for rehabilitation: Communication training for staff and family members. In C.J. Durgin, Schmidt, N.D., & Fryer, L.J. (Eds.), *Staff development and clinical intervention in brain injury rehabilitation* (pp.57-81). Gaithersberg, MD: Aspen.

- **Ylvisaker, M., Jacobs, H., & Feeney, T.J.** (2003). Positive supports for people who experience disability following brain injury: A review. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 18(1), 7-32.
- **Ylvisaker, M., Szekeres, S., & Feeney, T.J.** (2001a) Communication disorders associated with traumatic brain injury. In R. Chapey (Ed.), *Language intervention strategies in aphasia and related neurogenic communication disorders* (4th ed.) (pp.745-808). Baltimore, MD: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins.
- Ylvisaker, M., Todis, B., Glang, A., Urbanczyk, B., Franklin, C., DePompei, R., Feeney, T., Maxwell, N.M., Pearson, S., & Tyler, J.S. (2001b). Educating students with TBI: Themes and recommendations. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 16(1), 76-93.
- Ylvisaker, M., Wedel-Sellars, C., & Edelman, L. (1998). Rehabilitation after traumatic brain injury in preschoolers. In M. Ylvisaker, *Traumatic Brain Injury Rehabilitation: Children and Adolescents* (2nd ed.) (pp. 303-329). Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- **Zander, R.S., & Zander, B.** (2000). *The art of possibility*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

APPENDIX 1A

Experts personally communicated with regarding the individual with TBI and aphasia

EXPERT	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DATE
Professor A. Holland	aholland@email.arizona.edu	2 June 2004
Dr A. Kagan	aurakagan@attglobal.net	4 June 2004
Dr C. Pound	carolepound@ukconnect.org	28 May 2004
Professor M.T. Sarno	martha.sarno@med.nyu.edu	24 May 2004
Professor M.M. Sohlberg	mckay@oregon.uoregon.edu	28 May 2004
Dr L. Togher	L.Togher@fhs.usyd.edu.au	7 June 2004
Professor M. Ylvisaker	ylvisakm@mail.strose.edu	3 June 2004

APPENDIX 1B

Corporate sector consultants personally communicated with in the development and refinement of the training session

CONSULTANT	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DATE
K. Coats	keith@tomorrowtoday.biz	29 April 2004
Dr G. Codrington	Graeme@tomorrowtoday.biz	21 & 25 April 2004
I. Mann	ianmann@gateways.co.za	23 April 2004; 8 August 2005

APPENDIX 1C

Experts personally communicated with in the development and refinement of the pre-and-post questionnaires $1\ \mathrm{and}\ 2$

EXPERT	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DATE
S. Cottrell	Sophie.Cottrell@north-bristol.swest.nhs.uk	3 March, 2003
Dr A. Kagan	aurakagan@attglobal.net	2 January 2004
Professor M.M. Sohlberg	mckay@oregon.uoregon.edu	9 January; 12 January; 2 May 2004
Dr T. Threats	threatst@slu.edu	2 September; 10 November;5 December 2003
Dr L. Togher	L.Togher@fhs.usyd.edu.au	7 June 2004

APPENDIX 1D

Experts personally consulted with in the development and refinement of the training session

EXPERT	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DATE
Professor A Holland	aholland@email.arizona.edu	17 April 2004
Dr A Kagan	aurakagan@attglobal.net	3 February; 15 April; 26 May; 10 November 2003
Professor M.M. Sohlberg	mckay@oregon.uoregon.edu	9 January 2004
Dr T. Threats	threatst@slu.edu	10 November 2003;5 December 2003
Dr L. Togher	L.Togher@fhs.usyd.edu.au	15 April; 7 June 2004
Professor M. Ylvisaker	ylvisakm@mail.strose.edu	3 June 2004

APPENDIX 1E

Resources personally communicated with regarding availability of video material using individuals with a TBI specifically, for development of the training session

RESOURCE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DATE
T. Brown	TBrown@health.state.ia.us	12 February 2004
J. Sullivan, New Hampshire Brain Injury Association	judy@bianh.org	2 December 2003
M. Winslow, South African Drive Alive Campaign	drivealive@tiscali.co.za	17 January 2004

APPENDIX 2A

Preamble for focus groups with a TBI

Thank you very much for coming along today. My name is Glenn Goldblum and I am a Speech Therapist from the University of Pretoria currently doing a research project looking at how people with cognitive communication difficulties (speaking and understanding problems) following a Traumatic Brain Injury manage with their shopping. I'm interested in how sales assistants view customers with disability, and how we might train them to serve these customers better. I'm especially interested in your ideas, as you have experience of dealing with sales assistants and their reactions to you.

Over the next hour or so I will ask you some questions to discuss within the group. I will be tape recording the discussion, and would like to ask you to please say the number placed on the table in front of you into the microphone before giving your input. I'd like to reassure you that you will remain anonymous and that no-one's name will be used when I write up the project. For the recording to be clear, please try and speak one at a time, listen to each other, and give everyone a chance to speak. I'm interested in hearing from each of you, so if you're not talking a lot, I may call on you. I'd like to make sure I hear from all of you today.

Please note that there is absolutely no right or wrong answer today. I'm interested in all your views, both positive and negative, and you won't be judged at all by the opinions you express. You may have differing points of view – but please feel free to share these views, even if they differ from what others have said. Should you at any stage feel uncomfortable, you are free to withdraw from the discussion without any penalty.

If you agree to take part and to being recorded, I'd appreciate it if you would sign this consent form.

APPENDIX 2B

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

Biographical details: Focus group of Individuals with a TBI

a) Participant number:
b) Male or female?
c) Date of birth:
d) Highest educational level:
e) Home language:
f) Language that you were educated in:
g) Preferred language when shopping:
h) Current occupation:
i) Date of accident:
j) Were you unconscious?
If so, for how long?

APPENDIX 3

Summary of barriers during shopping highlighted by participants of 2 focus groups for individuals with a TBI

- Interference from their memory problems resulting in either walking up and down aisles repeatedly to remember various items to purchase; or choosing to shop at the same shop for familiarity and comfort.
- Initial discomfort using a wheelchair in the shop but with repeated use of the same shop, sales assistants get used to them, facilitating a more comfortable shopping experience.
- Intrusion of noise and busyness in the shop noted by some participants.
- Difficulty dealing with crowded shops and customers who push in in lines.
- Difficulty coping with money and change particularly if pressurized when the shop is busy.
- Difficulties being understood when dysarthric, and regarded as stupid by the sales assistant who might be
 under pressure, or even uncomfortable dealing with such a customer. Some verbalized making lists in
 advance but that the sales assistants were often uninterested in asking them for the list.
- Many participants noted how when needing assistance they would ask the manager or supervisor.
- Difficulty seeing item labels, prices and also reaching certain items for those with visual and physical difficulties.
- Some participants found shopping so penalizing that getting someone else to do the shopping was regarded as the best solution.
- Consensus regarding the need for education of sales assistants in order to make the shopping experience a
 more positive one for all.

APPENDIX 4A

Preamble for focus group of sales assistants from a retail supermarket store in the Gauteng region watching video clip titled "Gerry" (Supported conversation for aphasic adults: Enhancing communicative access (1996). Instructional video. Aphasia Centre and Lifetime Productions: Aphasia Centre North York: Toronto.)

Thank you very much for coming along today. My name is Glenn Goldblum and I am a Speech Therapist from the University of Pretoria currently doing a research project looking at how people with speaking and understanding problems following a Traumatic Brain Injury (head injury) manage with their shopping. A TBI may be caused by an accident and it can affect the person in different ways including the way they walk, talk, understand and think. I'm interested in how sales assistants like yourselves view customers with a disability, and I plan to develop a training program to assist sales assistants to interact more comfortably with these customers.

Over the next hour or so I will show you a short video clip and then would like to ask you some questions to discuss within the group. I will be tape recording the discussion, and would like to ask you to please say the number placed on the table in front of you into the microphone before giving your input. I'd like to reassure you that you will remain anonymous and no-one's name will be used when I write up the project. For the recording to be clear, please try and speak one at a time, listen to each other and give everyone a chance to speak. I'm interested in hearing from each of you, so if you're not talking a lot, I may call on you. I'd like to make sure I hear from all of you today.

Once the discussion is over, I would appreciate your completing the questionnaire on the table in front of you. Please remember that there are no right or wrong answers today. I'm interested in all your views, both positive and negative, and you won't be judged at all by the opinions you express. You may have differing points of view – but please feel free to share these views, even if they differ from what others have said. I'd also like to assure you that this study is a University research project, and no comments made by you today will go back directly to the company.

Should you at any stage feel uncomfortable, you are free to withdraw from the discussion without any penalty.

If you agree to take part and to being recorded, I'd appreciate it if you would sign this consent form.

Let's begin by showing you a video clip titled Gerry...

APPENDIX 4B

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

Biographical details: Sales Assistants: retail supermarket store: Gauteng Region

a) Participant number:
b) Male or female?
c) Date of birth:
d) Home language:
e) Highest educational level:
f) Language that you were educated in:
g) How long have you been working for the Company?
h) What does your job involve?
i) Any previous work experience? What did it involve?
j) Do you know anyone who has a disability?
If so, who is this person, and what is their problem?

APPENDIX 5A

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

Initial letter sent to experts re participating in completion of a questionnaire

This letter was e-mailed in May 2003 to various experts working with individuals with a TBI both locally and internationally.

PARTICIPATION IN RESEARCH PROJECT:

The perceptions of sales personnel dealing with customers with Traumatic Brain Injury: A training program.

I am a Speech Therapist currently doing my PhD (through the Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication, University of Pretoria) looking at the integration of individuals with a cognitive communication difficulty following a Traumatic Brain Injury as a consumer in the retail world. Specifically, I'm interested in how the perceptions of sales assistants change after receiving training in dealing with such customers.

I would appreciate it you would be prepared to participate in this research project. Should you agree, I will forward an electronic version of a Questionnaire to you at the beginning of the week of the 2-6 June, which should take you less than 20 minutes to complete. Kindly e-mail it back to me within that week so that I can complete the analysis on the information

Thanking you in anticipation Sincerely

Glenn Goldblum (MA (Speech and Hearing Sciences) (University of California, Santa Barbara))

APPENDIX 5B

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

Letter accompanying questionnaire to experts
Dear
I appreciate your willingness to complete the following questionnaire which should take you less than 20 minutes to complete. Your information will be strictly confidential.
Kindly e-mail the questionnaire back to me by the 20^{th} June so that I can complete the analysis of information
Regards
Glenn Goldblum (MA (Speech and Hearing Sciences) (University of California, Santa Barbara))

Questionnaire:

Based on your extensive experience working in the field with individuals with acquired brain injury, and Traumatic Brain Injury specifically, I'd be interested in your comments concerning an individual with a TBI as a customer in the retail world. The customer might present with a range of possible problems including physical as well as cognitive communication deficits – such as dysarthric speech, as well as pragmatic deficits.

Please answer the following questions:

- 1) What sorts of difficulties might such a customer experience when shopping?
- 2) In your experience, what do you think the typical reaction would be from sales assistants dealing with customers with a TBI?
- 3) List some training procedures that you consider may be useful in dealing with these kinds of problems.
- 4) What kinds of "adjustments" could be made to accommodate customers with cognitive-communication impairments?
- 5) Do you think that a training program aiming to increase the sales assistant's understanding and awareness when interacting with such customers would be of any value? If so, in what way?
- 6) Any other comments regarding shopping experiences for the individual with a TBI?

APPENDIX 6

Trial video scenarios planned to be videotaped in Rosebank and Rivonia supermarkets, July, 2003

SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION	GOAL
The photo counter	W to request photos to be developed – with no record of the photos being handed in.	Lack of insight by W into time taken for him to deal with his request; distractibility; memory loss for targeted task; impulsive discussion around request.
The green bag	M to go to Information counter to request a green shopping bag to tell sales assistant his name was put on a waiting list and still no bag.	Strategies used by both M and the sales assistant to deal with a situation that is frustrating as the green bag is not available.
The shopping list	L (very dysarthric speech) to go to various areas of the store to ask for various items (eg, hundreds and thousands, or sorbet).	Dealing with a situation where the associated motor disorder (dysarthria) significantly impedes the comprehension of the request by the sales assistant/s. Frustration, and by whom, and what strategies are used spontaneously by the sales assistants to clarify her requests?
The butcher	M and D to go together to discuss having a braai ¹ for a birthday later in the month, with suggestions for menu options for the braai ¹ .	Possible impulsive buying; distractibility; over- familiarity with the sales assistant; memory-loss for targeted task.
Item out of stock	D asks for Canderel ² (out of stock in the store) and negotiate with sales assistant about the item never being available.	Dealing with a situation where the associated motor disorder (dysarthria) significantly impedes comprehending the request by the sales assistant/s Frustration, and by whom, and what strategies are used spontaneously by the sales assistants to clarify his requests?

<u>**Key**</u>: ¹ South African term for barbeque ² Artificial sweetener

APPENDIX 7

$\label{lem:continuous} \begin{tabular}{ll} Video scenarios planned for video taping in three Gauteng supermarkets during October 2003 \end{tabular}$

SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION	GOAL
The photo counter – (Redo scenario videoed in July 2003)	a) W to request photos to be developed – with no record of the photos being handed in.b) After a time, have a customer stand in line impatiently behind him and possibly intervene.	Lack of insight by W into time taken for him to deal with his request; distractibility; memory loss for targeted task; impulsive discussion around request. Observe how W deals with the intervening customer in the line.
The return counter scenario	 a) Attempt to return a more expensive item (eg toaster; kettle; camera) - complain it doesn't work – but has no cash slip. b) During this interaction, ask for an item that is hard for the sales assistant/ manager to understand. 	Dealing with a situation where the associated motor disorder (dysarthria) significantly impedes comprehending the request; frustration and by whom; customer's ability to express herself and clarify efficiently. Strategies used by sales assistant/ manager to comprehend the request by the customer.
The shopping list	L (very dysarthric speech) to go to various areas of the store to ask for various items (eg, hundreds and thousands ¹ , or sorbet).	Dealing with a situation where the associated motor disorder (dysarthria) significantly impedes comprehending the request by the sales assistant/s. Frustration, and by whom, and what strategies are used spontaneously by the sales assistants to clarify her requests?
Tea-time purchase	W and D given R50 to buy items for tea - then proceed to checkout counter and deal with the cashier regarding their purchases in relation to the money they have.	Challenge of managing a situation dealing with money management, where they can't produce the correct amount of money, and then coping with the situation of what they have put in their trolley to purchase.
CD Counter: Return scenario	a) M to return a used CD and deal with sales assistants' questions about the item.b) Customer joins the line and gets impatient.	Dealing with a situation that is frustrating. Strategies used by both M and the sales assistant to deal with mounting pressure in the interaction. Observe how M deals with the intervening customer in the line.

<u>Key</u>: 1 cake decoration

APPENDIX 8

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

Preamble by store managers to sales assistants being videotaped in the stores

Later today a Speech and Hearing Therapist, *Glenn Goldblum* who is currently doing a research project from the University of Pretoria, will be spending some time in our store and taking videos of various sales assistants talking to, and dealing with different customers.

She needs to make these videos in order to develop a training session to help our sales assistants work better with all types of customers. **Please try and ignore the video**. Your most natural reaction will be the most valuable to the project.

She greatly appreciates your assistance and all information and material obtained will remain confidential and for her use alone in developing her training session. Mrs. Goldblum would also like to assure you that this study is a University research project, and no comments made by you today will go back directly to the company.

APPENDIX 9

Transcription of video scenario 1: *The photo counter scenario* (Used in conjunction with Pre-and-post questionnaire 1)

Real time taken: 21 minutes and 54 seconds

Edited time: 15 Minutes 06 seconds (with stills inserted)

.....

Context:

Customer W approaches the Photo counter inside the store (with his walker), where 2 assistants are serving (an assistant from Kodak is also there unpacking stock).

W: Can I have an 800 ASA spool?

Assistant 1 (A1): Foto First ¹ may have it, and Clicks and CNA ² – which one do you want Sir... How are you?

W: I'm good thank you, how are you? (Assistant 2 next to this one - big smile). You are very helpful and very kind.

A 1: Beg your pardon? (*They both strain to understand him*).

W: You're very nice.

A 1: (Smiles) thanks – but we don't have 800 – we've got 200 and 400.

W: Can't someone get an 800 for me?

A 1 and A2 simultaneously: Ok - yes we can go - we can go (smile).

W: Ok thank you.

A 1: (Big smile) We can go - thank you.

(W has hands on counter, and doesn't offer to pay)

A1: If you can give us money we can go for you (big smile).

W: Sorry?

A1: Yes we can go and look for you at ...we can help you – give us money.

W: Ok thanks (both hands still on counter - still no money forthcoming).

A2 (Walks around the counter to W's side and asks): So you just want one 800?

W: Ja. ³

A2: Can you give me money and I'll go get it for you?

W: Ach ⁴ – I thought you were giving me free films!

(Both A1 and A2 strain to hear, and then both laugh)

A2: You have to buy it. (A1 then serves another customer).

W to A2: Ach no then I don't want it ...Honestly I don't' ...I can't believe it (A2 bends her head down towards W to understand what he is saying). I thought you'd just give it to me.

A2: No - we don't have it here. Maybe we'd have given it to you if we'd have it here – but we have to go to another store to buy it for you.

W: Can'tmumbles

A2: (Strains to hear) Hey?

W: Is there something else you can give me?

A2: Who can give you the 800? (A2 turns to A1 to ask about the 800ASA).

W: Can I please have somesorry can I please have some service (raising his voice and moves his right hand up and down in the air): I need that 800 now please. Now, I want it now!

A1 goes up to **W**: Ok she's coming now. She's going to the Manager to ask the manager if she can buy it for you...OK? She's not allowed to take the money from our tills to go and buy it for you ...we have to ask permission. We're not allowed to do that.

(Another customer (old lady) joins the line behind **W**)

W: I don't want an assistant. This is not good.

A1: It is sir – everything we have to do we have to get the permission. We cannot do anything without the permission. (*Lengthy look at him*) She's coming – please Sir (*getting quite desperate*).

W: (Waits patiently for the Manager, looks around)

(A2 approaches W with the Manager (M) who stands on his right (with A2 on his left)

M: Good morning sir. How are you?

W: Hi.

M: How are you?

W: Have you got an 800 for me?

M: 800?

W: Ja. ³

M: We don't have them sir.

W: Ah but the other the other shop the other day they had.

M: The other stores might have. You know we've got uh these stores outside they might have but we don't have it.

W: You should have.

M: No we don't stock that one

W: Why can't you get it for me?

M: Ja ³ we can get it for you only if you going to pay because we need to go out and buy for it and buy the film for you and get it back to you.

W: Thank you. You are very helpful. You are very helpful.

M: Ok – So can you please give me the money so I can go and buy it for you?

M (Turns to A2 on Warren's left): How much is the normal one?

A2: R34.99

M: R34.99

A2: Not sure how much the spool will cost.

M: So you need to find out how much the spool will cost. So can you do that for me?

A2: How much did you want -200 or 400?

W: 800.

A2: 24 or 36?

W: Um 48.

A2: (Turns to the Manager and asks): Should I go and find out how much it costs?

M: Yea – whatever.

M turns to W: I just sent that lady to find out the price for you.

W: Thank you. You're very helpful.

M: See what we can do for you.

W: You're very helpful.

W: I'm very cross...

M: (Strains to hear) Sorry?

W: I'm very cross.

M: You're very cross?

W: You don't have it in your store?

M: No sir we don't have it. We don't have it. You see a lot of customers doesn't buy it so we only stock what we can sell.

(W rubs his head in apparent frustration).

M: So it doesn't help us like to keep that line in. I mean there's only one customer in a while who comes to buy that stock. For us it's a loss cause we end up selling nothing and we end up sitting with stock here...

W: (Interrupts him): I hear that so many times...

M: Ja ³ I know but unfortunately it's the truth about it.

W: It's not the truth – you just - you just don't want to sell to me.

M: No it's not that Sir. I mean you can just have things like we've got stock here and we sit here for 6-12 months and it's not making money.

W: No but I still think thatit's not good.

M: Ah well, I can't take that from you. Well that's how you think but the truth about it is we need to make money and we cannot keep something here that doesn't work for us.

W: (Looks at an advert on the counter about Free Kodak Film...with every film processed) I think Free Kodak films – can I just have for free Kodak films?

M: Free Kodak films?

W: Ja ³ with my purchase?

M: Ja ³ with every film processed...

W (Intervenes): No I'll just have free Kodak photo album.

M: Without processing?

W: No sir.

W: Ach 4 no.

M: (Smiles) Nee nee nee ⁵ (smiles). We can't do that, I'm sorry sir! Can't do that! You only get the free film if you process... (Points to the cardboard advert on the counter)

W (Intervenes): I always just read the top – from the top - not the bottom...

M: No sir.

W: It says Free Kodak photo album.

M: Only if you bring in a film to be processed – that's the only time you can collect a free film. Other than that...

W: No − I just - you just read the top line.

M: Just read the top line (laughs out loud)

W: Ja, ³ I just read ...

M: Well do yourself a favour and read everything....

W: (Intervenes getting irritated): No because I think it's an outrage.

M: Well I'm sorry sir - well that we can; do you know. That's the unfortunate part of it you know?

W: Wh where's the 800?

M: I'm waiting for it. I'm waiting for the lady who went to find out the price because we don't sell it so we have no clue how much it's going to cost us - so she'll be back in no time.

W: Oh no – have you got a 750?

M: 750? Uh Winnie have you got a 750? (Turns to the sales A1 behind the counter)

A1: No we don't have a 750.

W: Oh - do you have a 700?

A1: No I'm sorry we don't have a 700; 200; 400 that's all.

W: 800 – nothing...

A1: No.

W: Well uh this is totally ridiculous.

M: Well that's the unfortunate part of it sir..we can stock that much and we can't stock more that that you know.

W: (Very frustrated – puts his head on the counter)...I don't know what I'm gonna do.

M: No we'll try and find out for you from Foto First how much is the price and we'll go and buy it for you...Just sorry because you have to wait a little bit long.

W: You're very helpful.

M: Well I'm trying cause seeing as we don't have the products here. I mean...

W intervenes: Phil (raises his voice) Phil!

M: Yeah that's me.

W: Fill up (laughs) huh huh – fill up the car (shouts) fill up the car.

(Places his right hand on the shoulder of the Manager) Here's Mr the car

M: Ja, don't worry sir

W: Fill up the car – looks at Manager with his right hand on his shoulder and smiles.

W: (Starting to get frustrated): Is this going to take long?

M: She'll be back in no time sir

(Manager goes off and returns a few moments later.....)

M: I'm sorry sir but nobody in this centre has got this film. Nobody.

W: This is an outrage.

M: Uh, nobody's got it.

W: Phone your head office...head office.

M: We can check with Kodak but that might take us a while you know if they do have stock....

W: Oh well (Mutters) ...find...

M: Sorry?

W: Find out for me please.

M: Ja ³ we'll do that – if they do have the stock then we'll ask them to give us the film and we'll come and deliver it to you. A promise that we need to keep also.

W: I'm very satisfied with that.

M: So is there anything else I can do for you sir?

W: Um....You just take take your shop.

M: Sorry about that. We'll try and come back to you but we'll really need your details so that if we find outwhy can't you use the 400 or the 200?

W: My camera only takes 800.

M: 800? But seeing as you don't get it, maybe it's about time you sold that camera and buy something that you can accommodate all these films.

W: Have you got a good film here.

M: Ja, ³ we got lots of good films here – I mean we've got good cameras – I mean you can try those ones – for you to go without a film – I mean It's just not right.

W: Have you got a camera for me to take?

M: Ja 3 – well that one, it's R79.00

W: I can just take it try it out (unintelligible).

M: Sorry?

W: I can just take it and try it out.

M: You will need to pay for it.

W: No, uh.... I' don't have money now.

M: Well I can't just take it out...it's just a suggestion you know?. Next time when you've got money you can buy yourself a camera.

W: No ..

M: I mean I can't give you the camera....

W: You can.

M: Noooo.

W: It'll be between friends....No.

W: (Places his left hand on the Manager's shoulder): Between friends (M laughs) Between friends.

M: (Laughs aloud): No, friendship doesn't mix with business!

W (*Arm still around M*): Come on.

M: No no I'm sorry sir....Nothing can be for nothing. Or else I'll lose my job.

W: No between friends.

M (*Laughs*): NO – I can't do that!

(Irate customer (N) approaches from behind in the line)

N: This man needs to continue with his work and you've been occupying a lot of his time. I think they haven't got what you need. You need to leave him so that he can continue and maybe go to another store.

W (Looks a little taken aback): But I always shop here!

N: Ja, ³ but if they don't have what you need today, then you need to look further.

W: This is the best shop in town and and (he turns to the M on his left and puts his arm around him) ... and and are you listening to me Phil, this is the best shop in town

M: Ja. ³

W: This shop has everything...

N: But today they don't have what you need - so you can't occupy his time further.

W: Ja ³ but you see, I need that film and he's going to give it to me. Phil's gonna get it for me.

N: But if Phil doesn't' have it, then he can't get it for you!

M (*Interjects*): No we don't have it.

W: He can send someone.

M: I can't.

N: It's not possible they don't have it in the store.

W: He can send someone.

M: I even tried to in the centre and nobody's got it.

N: Why don't you come another day and then perhaps Phil will have it?

W: When when is this going to be? It's not possible I'm going away tomorrow.

N: You're going away tomorrow?

W: Ja ³ (hands showing exasperation)

M: Well that's just tough.

W: It's not tough!

N: Perhaps ... where you going to?

M: Ja it is you know. We got this service that we can give to you. Now if you're going away tomorrow, now there's nothing I can do. I can guarantee you 24 hours to find out if they have it or they don't have it.

W: You're making me cross (taps his left hand on the counter)

N: Ja³ but you can't occupy his time further

W: I'm getting cross (rubs his face).

M: There's nothing else we can do....

N: So you're going to have to leave here now so that he can continue with his work

W: (Getting more angry – redder in the face, and starts to stamp his hands on the counter). No no listen here ...listen Toots. Listen - I've got a lot of stuff to do – a lot of filming to do and it's going to require an 800.

N: Ja ³ – but he, Philip has also got a lot to do, and he can't get along with his work because he doesn't have what you need, and you're continuing to pester him...

W: No no! (Getting really angry at this customer – bears his teeth at her).

N: You're disturbing him – they've got other customers to attend to...

M: I mean you see sir. I've got other customers to attend to, and I tried to explain to you, and you don't like to understand what I'm trying to say. You don't like to understand what I'm trying to say.

W (Intercepts him): This just doesn't work. Ach this just doesn't work....I'm getting cross!

M: Yeah – it's just the unfortunate part of it because we don't keep that line. It's a line that we don't keep in the store. And what I said to you earlier is that I'll find out from Kodak if they have it and I'll take your details and give you a call.

W: No – OK (throws out his left hand towards the M) – so then just take my bloody details!

N: Ok so take his details and then you can come back another day.

(Manager writes his details down).

M: Your address?

W: Just remember it's Frik van der Merwe

M: Cell phone number is 716 7261? Is that alright?

W: No.....041

M: Sorry?

W: The code is 041

M: Ok so we'll call and find out if they have the product. If not – still I'll give you a call and let you know.

W: Thanks.

END OF SCENARIO 1

Definition of South Africanisms used in the scenario: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

¹ Foto First: A photographic chain store in S.A ² CNA and Clicks: South African retail stores ³ Ja: Yes (an affirmation). ⁴ Ach: Oh ⁵ Nee nee: No, no

APPENDIX 10

Transcription of video scenario 2: *The return counter scenario* (Used in conjunction with Pre-and-post questionnaire 2)

Real time taken: 9 minutes and 12 seconds

Edited time: 8 Minutes 18 seconds (with stills inserted)

.....

Context:

Customer L approaches the Returns counter inside the store with her parcel in a green store bag. She asks the assistant (A):

L: I want to return this (lifts her green shopping bag onto the counter). I got it...

A (*Removes the 2 items from the bag*): What's wrong with this?

L: I got it as a present. I already got it. Really don't want it. I already got one. I don't want it.

A: What's wrong with it?

L: I got it as a present.

A: You got it as a present? That person who did give it to you – she didn't give you a slip?

L: No - no. It was a present. It was a present.

A (Examines the 2 items to see if they are intact).

L: I got no slip.

A: No slip? Ok let me call someone to help you cause I cannot help you when you don't have a slip.

L: Thank you.

A (Rings the bell for assistance): It was too much.

L: Thank you.

A: Yes - When it's too much we cannot give you so I'm calling someone to help you.

L: Thank you. I know they bought it here.

A (Straining to understand): She bought it here?

L: (*Nods*): She bought it here.

A: She bought it here? When was it?

L: About last week.

A: Do you know the date - cause you don't have a slip with you. You don't know the date?

L: No.

A (Rings the bell and looks around for the Manager). Another sales assistant (A2) approaches her, and she chats to her explaining the situation in her Black language..

L (Interjects to this person, A2): I already got it – I don't want this.

A2 (Strains to understand L).

(A2 examines the items with A1).

L: I haven't got a slip – it was a present.

(A2 and A discuss calling the Manager (M)). It takes a while for the Manager to arrive).

A: So you don't want these things? Because you know this thing of not having a slip is a problem.

L: It was a present. I can't do anything about it.

A: Ja ¹ – Ja ¹ – that's why I'm saying they are going to call the Manager and the Manager will come and assist you. I cannot do anything.

L: Ja. Thank you.

A: Because I think also - it's more than R100.

L: Ja.1

A: You should have told that person who bought those things for you to give you to give you the slip because you did know that you were going to bring them back.

(very kind towards L)

L: That's why I said: "Where did you buy it?" She said "Here"

Another Assistant (A3) approaches, and L explains to her: I already got one. That's why when she gave it to me, I said where did you buy it and she said "Here."

A3: (Seems to strain to understand her) Ok fine - the Manager's coming.

L: Thanks.Thanks so much.

 $(L\ looks\ around\ waiting\ for\ the\ Manager)$

Another assistant (A 4) approaches and says: How are you?

L: I'm fine thanks and you?

A4: Fine thanks.

L: Good. (Points to the items on the counter) I got this as a present and I already got it. So she bought it here and I don't have a slip. Please can I have the money back. I don't need something else.

A: (clarifies for this A4 who clearly cannot follow L): You hear what she said? She bought this here. I think a friend bought this for her. I think last week or when.

A4: Uh huh

A: Now she doesn't have this slip. And you know we've got a problem with these things – more than R100 if you don't have a slip it's a problem.

A4· Ia

A: So - we need to ask the manager what to say.

A4: I think we must speak to the store manager and see what he says. ($Turns\ to\ L$) It's not the product – more than R100 you must have a slip.

A: And you haven't got a slip.

A4: And you don't have a slip so..

L: I haven't; got a slip cause it was a present.

A4: Ok so you know what you must do – he looks to the other side of the store (*Manager's office*): Speak to the store manager. Let me call a store manager (*he goes off looking for a store manager*).

L (looking over the counter to the A): She was in here last week. I said "where did you buy this" and I was too shy to ask her for the slip. I didn't want to know how much she paid.

A: You know the lady who bought it for you?

L: Ja.

A: You know her telephone number and everything?

L: Ja.

A: Let's speak to the Manager - but I don't think they're going to give it to you. They're very strict when you don't have a slip.

L: But it was bought here.

A: Yes we sell it here. Yes we do sell it here. But then the other thing is we don't know how much it is. (*Lifts the items*). Let me go and check how much it is. (*Goes off to scan the items*).

L (Looks around and looks at her watch).

A3 approaches her: He's coming now.

L (turns to A3): I want to ask you something.

A3 (Strains to understand L – and cups her left ear while talking to her)

L: I want Hundreds and Thousands.

A3: I know.

L: I also want Hundreds and Thousands. ²

A3 (*Cups here head - puzzled by the customer's request*): Ok.

L: Can you get me Hundreds and Thousands? Hundred and Thousands ² in the bakery section?

A3: Ok (*Very puzzled by this request – cannot understand it*).

L: And bring it to me?

A3: Yes ok

L: Can you bring it to me?

(A3 goes off).

L (Turns back to A): I also asked her for hundreds and thousands. ²

A (straining to hear her): How much? This one (pointing to the one returned item) is R 169.99 and this one's R79.99 – so it's more than R200 and when you don't have the slip we cannot give it to you (joined by **A4** who walks back up to them).

Manager (M) walks up: Hi there how are you doing? (A 4 still lingers there with the M throughout this interaction) L: I'm fine and you?

M: Alright. What can we do for you?

L: I got these as a present and I want my money back.

M: Ok – no that's not a problem. Does the items scan here? (He turns to A behind the counter)

A: Yes the item it does scan but the problem is they are very expensive.

M: They do scan?

A: This one's R169.99 and this one's R79.99 so we cannot just return the money.

M (shakes his head): It doesn't make a difference You don't have a slip but it was a present?

L: Ja – bought here last week – it was a present.

M: Last week.

L: Ja last week

M: That's not a problem (turning towards A): We just refund her. You know the policy.

A: So we have to do a refund?

M: Ja. It's not a problem. At the end of the day you just put it back on the shelves. You got the stock on the shelves

so we just put it back on the shelves.

(A gets out a Refund book for L to fill in)

L: Thanks so much.

M: Ja, that's not a problem alright?

L: Thanks so much.

M: Ok. (*He takes her details in the refund book*).

M (When he's finished getting her personal details): Ok Mam – they'll give you a refund.

L: Thanks so much.

M: Alright – enjoy the rest of your day.

(M leaves and A scans items to give L her money).

A: So our store manager said I must give it to you.

L: He knows I bought it here.

A: Ja, he says that if the thing is scanning then there's no problem with it.

L: Thank you.

(A completes refund forms and gives L her money)

A: There you go.

L: Thank you so much.

A: Have a nice day.

L: Can I have the slip?

A: You cannot have the slip because you didn't have a slip. The slip is mine.

L: Thanks, Bye.

END OF SCENARIO 2

Definition of South Africanisms used in the scenario:¹, ²

-

¹ **Ja:** Yes (an affirmation).

² Hundreds and Thousands: Decoration for cakes

APPENDIX 11

Suggestions for videographer when editing in-store videoing during October 2003

- Try to cut out as much transient noise as possible.
- Close-ups of eye and facial expressions.
- Good still photo of each encounter.
- Still of customer; main sales assistant and manager in each scenario.
- Fade out at end of each scenario, with a photo of these 3 individuals together.
- Scenarios to try to be no longer than 10 minutes each

<u>Note</u>: Scenario 1 (Photo counter) may be difficult to cut down as much – you may need extra time to create the reality of the interaction.

Pre-post questionnaire 1: Video 1: The photo counter scenario Instructions, training questions, and pre-post questionnaire 1

Centre for
Augmentative and Alternative
Communication

Annullende en
Alternatiewe
Kommunikasie
&
INTERFACE



2004 T-Systems Age of Innovation & Sustainability Awards: Excellence in Innovation and Sustainability: Social

2003 National Science & Technology Awards: Corporate

Organization over the last ten years.

2002: Shirley McNaughton Award for Exemplary

Communication received from the International Society for Augmentative and Alternative Communication

1998: Rolex Award for Enterprise: Associate Laureate

1995: Education Africa Pre

website: http://www.up.ac.za/academic/caac

Fax/Faks: (012) 420 - 4389

Tel: (012) 420 – 2001 E-mail: erna.alant@up.ac.za

Faculty of Education / Fakulteit Opvoedkunde

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication

Sentrum vir Aanvullende en Alternatiewe Kommunikasie

University of Pretoria, Lynnwood Road

INSTRUCTIONS:

Now that you've seen this video please complete the following Questionnaire. Please look at each statement and put an X to show if you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about this video interaction you've just seen.

Please remember that there are no right or wrong answers.

Here are a few sample questions first to make sure you understand what to do:

	AGREE	UNSURE	DISAGREE
A) The manager followed company policy when serving this customer			
B) The customer struggled to make his wishes understood			

QUESTIONNAI	RE 1				
Participant Number:				V1	1-2
2. Group Number: (A or B)	-			V2	3
3. Testing Session: (1=pre, 2=post)				V3	4
4. Video 1 or Video 2				V4	5
	Agree	Unsure	Disagree		
If I were the manager in the video:					
1) I would feel unsure about serving this customer				A1	6
I would want to avoid serving this customer as I would feel unconfident as to how best to deal with him.				A2	7
I would respond in the same way to this customer as he did in the video				A3	8
4) I would spend the same amount of time with this customer as he did in the video				A4	9
5) I would serve this customer quicker so that other customers in line could be served				A5	10
6) I would feel frustrated after serving this customer that I had taken so long to help him				A6	11
7) I would feel frustrated that I didn't have better training to deal with this kind of customer				A7	12
8) I would end this transaction quickly as the product was not available in the store				A8	13
I think that					
9) The manager served this customer efficiently				А9	14
10) The manager will serve this customer in the same way at the end of the month when the store is very busy				A10	15
11) The manager should have been more direct with this customer				A11	16

		1			
	Agree	Unsure	Disagree		
12) The manager should have been					
more patient and explained more of the				A12	1
difficulty he had in getting the product for					
this customer					
13) The manager coped well with this				A13	1
sales transaction					
14) To assist this customer when					
serving him, it would be helpful to				A14	1
explain what you can and cannot do for					
nim					
15) I should treat this customer in the					
same way as I treat all my other				A15	2
customers					
16) The other customer in line was					
nelpful to the manager who then				A16	2
changed his response to this particular					
customer					
17) The customer was not aware of how much time he was taking with the					
nanager				A17	1
18) The customer went home feeling					
discouraged after his shopping					
experience				A18	1
19) It would be helpful for the customer					
to have someone with him to do his					
shopping				A19	:
20) I would feel comfortable sitting next				A20 -	
to this customer on a bus or taxi				A20	:
. If this was you, <i>in your own words</i> des ne differently if you were serving this sam			vould have		
				A21	26
				A22	28
					
-			 ,		

Pre-post questionnaire 2: Video 2: The returns counter scenario

QUESTIONNAIRE 2	
1. Participant Number:	V1 1-2
2. Group Number: (1 or 0)	
3. Testing Session: (1=pre, 2=post)	V2 3
	V3 4
4. Video 1 or Video 2	V4 5
Agree Hagure Digogree	
If I were the main sales assistant in	
the video, I would:	
Feel comfortable when approached by this customer	C1 6
2) Know what this customer would need to help make this an efficient transaction	C2 7
Assist this customer alone without asking other sales assistants to help me	C3 8
4) Spend the same amount of time serving this customer as the sales assistant did in the video	C4 9
5) Not feel comfortable asking the customer to repeat herself, even if I did not understand her	C5 10
6) Know how to help this customer if she was struggling to make herself understood	C6 11
7) Feel frustrated that I did not have better training on how to serve this customer	C7 12
8) Think the customer finds it hard to understand what the sales assistant is saying	C8 13
9) Think the sales assistant served this customer efficiently	C9 14

	Agree	Unsure	Disagree		
10) Think it would upset the customer					
if I asked her to write down what she				C10	15
was saying to me, instead of asking					
her to say it again					
11) Think the sales assistant coped				C11	16
well with the sales transaction in the video					
12) Think it would be helpful for the					
customer to have someone with her to				C12	17
do her shopping					
13) Think that this customer needs				C12 -	10
more patience when being served				C13	18
than other customers					
14) Feel comfortable sitting next to				C14	19
this customer on a bus or taxi					
If this was you, in your own words describe differently if you were serving the same			ould have	C15	20-21

Appendix 14

Instructions for participants: experimental and control groups before the prequestionnaire administration

Thank you very much for coming along today. My name is Glenn Goldblum and I am a Speech Therapist from the University of Pretoria, currently doing a research project looking at how different kinds of customers manage their shopping in your stores and how sales assistants like yourselves view customers with a disability.

Over the next hour – hour-and-a-half, I will show you 2 short video scenarios. After you've watched each video scenario, I'd appreciate your completing the questionnaire on the table in front of you by filling in an X in each of the squares that you feel is the appropriate one. *Please remember that there are no right or wrong answers today*. I'm interested in all your views, both positive and negative, and you won't be judged at all by the opinions you express. You may have differing points of view – but please feel free to share these views, even if they differ from what others have said.

I'd also like to assure you that this study is a University research project, and no comments made by you today will go back directly to your company

Should you at any stage feel uncomfortable, you are free to withdraw from the discussion without any penalty.

If you agree to take part I'd appreciate it if you would sign this consent form (Appendix 15).

A few extra reminders please: Please will you:

- Ensure that you fill in your Participant number wherever required.
- Ensure that you put an X in each of the blocks on all questionnaires.
- Refrain from chatting with your colleagues while watching the videos, and also while you are completing the questionnaires.
- Please switch off all cell phones!

Appendix 15

Letter of Consent to Participate

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

Consent form to participate in research proje	ect:
---	------

I agree to take part in the project.

I have understood the information about the PhD Research Project being carried out by <i>Glenn Goldblum</i> under the supervision of <i>Professor Erna Alant</i> , Director of the Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication, University of Pretoria.
understand that my input will be treated as confidential by the Researcher.

Name of participant	Date	Signature	

Biographical Information Form

For office use only

				1 01 0111	30 400 (Office
	BIOGRAPHICA	L INFORM	ATION			
1. Particip	ant Number:			V1		1-2
2. Group	Number: (A or B)			V2		3
3. Testing	Session: (1=pre, 2=post)			V3		4
4. Video 1	or Video 2			V4		5
5. Female	Male			V5		6
6. Age in	years			V6		7-8
7. Mark w	ith an X your Mother-tongue la	anguage		V7		9-10
						ı
		YES				
En	glish					
Afr	ikaans					
Se	swana					
Se	sotho					
Isiz	Z ulu					
Isi	(hosa					
	rthern Sotho (Sepedi)					
	Idebele					
	Swati					
	songa					
ОТ	HER					
	ood would you rate your SPEA our choice with an X	KING English?		V8		11
God	od					
Ave	rage					
Poo	r					

	low good would you rate your UNDERSTANDING English ? flark your choice with an X.	V9	12
	Good		
	Average		
	Poor		
10.	What is your highest educational level?	V10	13
	Below Std 6 (Below Grade 8)		
	Std 7 - 9 (Grades 9 -11)		
	Std 10 (Matric) Grade 12)		
	Tertiary		
11.	How long have you been working for the Company? years	V11	14-15
	·		
12.	What is your position in the Company?	V12	16
	Customer Service Manager		
	Customer Care Assistant		
	Deli (Sales Assistant)		
	Bakery (Sales Assistant)		
	Butchery (Sales Assistant)		
13a)	Do you know anyone who has a speech problem?		
	Yes No	V13	17
13b)	If YES, what is his/her problem?		
		V14	18-19
		V15	20-21
	<u></u>		
		I	

APPENDIX 17

ICF (WHO, 2001) Constructs: broadly reflected in individual questions of pre-post questionnaires 1 and 2 $\,$

Constructs broadly reflected in the ICF (WHO, 2001)	Relevant areas within the retail environment included within this construct	Questions to broadly reflect the selected constructs of the ICF (WHO, 2001)
1) Activities – execution of a task or action by an individual in the context in which they live (including all aspects of the physical, social and attitudinal world); and Participation – involvement in a life situation. Limitations or restrictions of the Activities and Participation domain can result from numerous reasons including a quantitative and qualitative alteration in which the individual may have difficulty carrying out these functions; as well as from the response within the environment to the individual such as e.g. the stigma present within society.	Focusing attention; solving problems; undertaking a single task independently, or in a group; carrying out a daily routine; handling stress or other psychological demands; conversation; moving around different locations; maintaining and managing interactions with others in a contextually-and-socially-appropriate manner – including relating to strangers; engaging successfully in simple economic transactions.	Questionnaire 1: Questions 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 8; 9; 10; 11; 13; 15; 17;18 Questionnaire 2: Questions 2; 3; 4; 15
2) Environmental factors comprise the physical, social and attitudinal environment in which people live and conduct their lives. Barriers or facilitators in the environment can impact on the individual, and hinder or increase one's performance.	Distracting environmental noise and busyness in the shop; support provided by strangers; individual attitudes of strangers that influence the individual's behaviour and actions.	Questionnaire 1: Questions 1; 6; 7; 11; 12; 14; 15; 16; 19; 20; 21 Questionnaire 2: Questions 1; 2; 3; 5; 6; 7; 8; 9; 10; 11; 12; 13; 14

Objectives, results and recommendations following the pilot study

Objectives	Materials and Equipment	Procedures	Results	Recommendations				
With respect to the 2 pre-and-post questionnaires, the following objectives were set:								
To determine the clarity and preciseness of the instructions given to the participants before viewing the videos.	Instructions read to the participants by the researcher	Participants were informed about the procedures taking place over the next 4 hour period.	When completing the 2 questionnaires, some of the participants were noted to chat amongst themselves, and one of the participant's cell phone rang twice during this period.	Instructions were modified to include the need for all cell phones to be switched off in advance. In addition, participants to be informed not to chat amongst themselves when watching the videos and completing the questionnaires.				
To determine the clarity and preciseness of the instructions given before completing the questionnaires.	2 training questions at the beginning of Questionnaire 1.	Participants were asked to complete 2 training questions about the video scenarios, to ensure they understood the instructions.	All participants were able to correctly answer the 2 sample questions.	Participants appeared to follow the directions with ease, and all answered the 2 training questions correctly.				
To determine the ability of the participants to fill in all biographical information at the top of Questionnaire 1.	Biographical Information form.	All participants were required to complete 14 biographical questions.	All participants completed the information appropriately, with the exception of one participant who arrived late (she had car trouble!) and only answered 9 out of the 14 questions. Two participants rated their ability to speak English as good, and three rated their speaking English as average; while three participants rated their understanding English as good, and two as average. All 5 participants had completed a variety of training programs within their stores.	Instructions were modified to include the need to ensure that all biographical information and questions were answered. The information concerning in-store training programs was considered redundant to the aims of the study, and therefore deleted in the final version of the Biographical Information Form (<i>Appendix 16</i>).				

Appendix 18 (continued). Objectives, results and recommendations following the pilot study

Objectives	Materials and Equipment	Procedures	Results	Recommendations	
To determine the ease of use of the pre-post questionnaire administrations 1 and 2, and the clarity of terminology used in the questions, both before and after pilot training exposure.	Questionnaires 1 and 2	Participants were asked at the end of each questionnaire to evaluate the questions for complexity and understanding.	On both occasions when each of the questionnaires were completed (before and after the pilot training exposure), none of the participants evaluated the questions as requested. Instead, they answered a number of questions again. No questions were noted by the participants as unclear or difficult.	Given the nature of the input from all participants, the questions in both questionnaires were considered unambiguous and clear to follow. No modifications made for the main study.	
To determine the time taken by the participants to view 2 videos scenarios (scenarios 1 &2), and to complete 2 questionnaires.	Time planned by researcher in advance within the program format for the entire pilot session.	Participants were closely observed and timed during the first and last part of the pilot session, where they observed 2 pre-and-post questionnaire video scenarios, and completed 2 questionnaires.	Time taken to view 2 videos and then complete 2 questionnaires fitted in comfortably within the hour allocated for each of these sessions. Participants did not rush to complete the questionnaires.	No modifications needed to this time plan for the experimental phase of the main study.	
To evaluate the ease of coding the pre-and-post questionnaires.	Questionnaires 1 and 2.	The researcher coded both questionnaires before and after training to ensure the ease of coding.	No problems encountered with coding.	No adaptations required.	
To test the intended analysis of data.	Questionnaires 1 and 2.	Basic statistical procedures were performed eg. frequency counts and two-way frequency tables for each question on questionnaire 1 and 2.	These basic procedures highlighted trends in relation to responses to the questions on both the questionnaires.	In discussion with the statistician, procedures were found to be adequate for reflecting trends of performances in pilot study.	
With respect to the pilot training session (Table 4.14), the following objectives were set:					
To test the clarity of instructions given to participants during training.	Handout. Transparency.	All instructions pertaining to discussion in small groups was given in the same format by the researcher as was planned for the experimental phase of the main study.	All participants understood the instructions clearly.	No adaptations required.	

Appendix 18 (continued). Objectives, results and recommendations following the pilot study

Objectives	Materials and Equipment	Procedures	Results	Recommendations
To test the ability of the participants to participate in small groups and evaluate the video material.	Handout Transparency.	For the pilot training, the participants were asked to work as a group to discuss various specific questions around the video material, with one person acting as the coordinator to provide feedback.	All participants were noted to participate actively in this group. In addition, when asked for feedback about the group work, all participants rated this as valuable time- "giving us time to think more about the videos."	No adaptations made.
To examine the reality of the video scenarios viewed, and whether the participants could relate to the video scenarios.	Video scenario 3.	A different video scenario using customers with a TBI (from the pre-and post-questionnaire scenarios) was shown to the group of participants (Scenario 3).	The participants identified with the example used in the video scenario, although only 3 out of the 5 participants noted that they had ever dealt with customers with speech problems. All 5 participants commented on how these customers were like some of their "difficult customers."	The same video scenarios to be used in the experimental phase of the main study.
To evaluate pacing and sequencing of the pilot training session, and the overall length of time taken to complete the pilot training session.	Program (Table 4.14)	Given the constraints of time allocated by supermarket chain for this pilot study, a sampling of the entire training program was used by the researcher with anticipated times allocated (Table 4.14).	The sequencing and pacing of the steps covered fitted in comfortably with the time allocated by the researcher, with ample time for discussion and sharing ideas by the group participants.	No adaptations made.
To test the use of the overhead transparencies; handouts; Coomber tape recorder and the flipchart as teaching aids.	Overhead projector and transparencies. Handouts. Flipchart. Coomber tape recorder.	Transparencies were used to highlight points for within the group discussion. In addition, a handout with the questions under discussion was placed on the table for participants to also refer to during their discussion. The researcher made frequent use of the flipchart to highlight main points made by the group participants.	Participants regularly looked at the transparency as well as the handouts while discussing within their group, and noted afterwards that it was helpful to remind them about the points they were discussing. In addition, the flipchart was noted as a very useful reference source by all participants.	No modifications needed to teaching aid format in experimental phase of the main study. The flipchart content served as an excellent summary for the researcher of content covered during the pilot session, making the need for the Coomber tape recorder during the experimental phase of the main study redundant.

Appendix 18 (continued). Objectives, results and recommendations following the pilot study

Objectives	Materials and Equipment	Procedures	Results	Recommendations
To determine the interest level and perceived benefit by all participants from the training session.	Pilot training Evaluation Form.	At the end of the pilot training session, an evaluation form was given to each participant comprising 4 specific questions on a 3-point rating scale, and 3 open-ended questions.	All participants participated actively and with enthusiasm over the entire pilot period of 3½ hours. All 5 participants rated the pilot training session very highly. They felt that the session would help them deal better with customers who have different needs, and would recommend the training session to their colleagues. Positive responses expressed in the open-ended questions, with all participants noting that no aspects of the training session should be omitted in future. Comments noted included: * "We should train our sales assistants about different customers especially the disabled ones," * "I think this is a very good program and all employees of the company should do it-especially store managers because some of them are very impatient and some of them can't handle a stressful situation." * "We've never had to think about stuff like this before – very useful!"	Clearly the participants enjoyed and felt they benefited from this program.
To evaluate the input gathered by the Training Evaluation Form.	Training Evaluation Form.	The researcher noted trends of answers by all participants to all items on the Training Evaluation Form.	While the input gathered from all participants was very positive, the questions reflected an overview rather than detailed input on different aspects of the session.	Training Evaluation Form modified for the experimental phase of the main study to ask more focused questions pertaining to the training session, the video scenarios, and the use of the Research Assistant with a TBI (Appendix 27).

Appendix 18 (continued). Objectives, results and recommendations following the pilot study

Objectives	Materials and Equipment	Procedures	Results	Recommendations
To determine the content validity of the pre-and-post questionnaires and the training session.	Pre-and-post questionnaires 1 and 2; and pilot training session.	The researcher examined each question on each of the 2 pre- and-post questionnaires in relation to the different parts of the training session (to be used in the experimental phase of the main study which they aimed to target). Questions were divided into questions primarily targeted, secondarily targeted, and general questions (<i>Appendix 19</i>).	Many of the questions from both questionnaires were reflected in each of the components of the training session. The content validity of the training and questionnaires was thus established.	No further modifications required for the experimental phase of the main study.
To determine the outcome of the pilot training session, and whether a shift occurred in the ability of the 5 participants to identify barriers and facilitators when serving a customer with a TBI after a training session exposure.	Pre-and-post questionnaire administration 1 and 2 after the pilot training session exposure.	Very close examination of, and comparison of responses by all participants to questionnaires 1 and 2 pre-and-post-pilot training session exposure.	While the pilot training was a shortened version of the full training session planned for the experimental phase of the main study, the following trends were noted: When comparing responses to questionnaires 1 and 2 pre-and post-administration, 67% and 70% respectively of the answers were unchanged across all participants. In spite of this, the discrepancies noted before and after the sample pilot training exposure was considered to highlight a shift in the awareness level of participants in dealing with this kind of customer. Trends noted included: Greater confidence in serving this kind of customer alone; in asking the customer to write something down if they couldn't be understood clearly; and greater awareness of the customer's ability to manage shopping alone in spite having speech /communication problems. One participant from the Deli moved from 5 Unsure responses on Questionnaire 1 to greater certainty after training. In contrast, one CSM became more unsure after training (7/20 items were responded with an Unsure on questionnaire 1) reflecting an increased unease with her perceptions regarding how to serve this kind of customer across different situations.	The training exposure used in the pilot was a 1½ hour sample of the 4 hour training session planned for the main study. Given this together with the assessment immediately afterwards in the pilot (as compared with 2 weeks later in the experimental phase of the main study), the shift observed in the 5 participants across the 2 questionnaires validated the training.

Content validity of pre-and-post questionnaires 1 and 2

The table reflects the aim of determining the content validity of the pre-and-post questionnaires by examining the questions in relation to each slot of the training session

Training Slot	Training Content	Questions Primarily targeted	Questions secondarily targeted (more indirect impact)	General Questions
1a	Introduction and exposure to research assistant Derick (with a TBI).	<u>Q1:</u>	Q1: Q1; 2; 3;4;5;7;14;15; 17; 19; 20 Q2: Q1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 7; 8; 10; 12; 13; 14	
2	Diversity Awareness Fable – the Giraffe and Elephant (Roosevelt Thomas Jr., with Woodruff, 1999c) – small group discussion.			Q1: Q1; 2; 3; 6; 7; 15; 19; 20 Q2: Q1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 7; 8; 10; 12; 13; 14
3	Barriers in shopping from the sales assistants' perspective, as well as the customer with a TBI's perspective. Discussion to take place around 2 questions given to small groups for discussion.	<u>Q1</u> Q6; 7; 9; 14; 15; 19;20 <u>Q2</u> Q5;6, 7;9;10;12;13;14	O1 Q1;2; 10, 11; 12; 17 O2 Q1;2; 3; 4;8;11;	<u>Q1</u> Q3; Q4 ;5; 8 ;13; 16; 18
4	Video scenario to examine possible <i>barriers and facilitators</i> when serving a customer with a TBI. Consider 3 questions abut barriers and facilitators while watching video and discuss in small groups.	Q1: Q 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 9; 10; 12; 14; 15; 19;	Q1: Q7; 13; 17; 20	Q1: Q 16; 18
	Summarize groups' list of <i>Do's and Don't s</i> around such transactions.	Q2: Q1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 10; 12; 13;	Q2: Q7; 9; 11; 14	

Training Slot	Training Content	Questions Primarily targeted	Questions secondarily targeted (more indirect impact)	General Questions
5	Additional video scenarios to examine <i>barriers and facilitators</i> when serving a customer with a TBI. Consider the same 3 questions about barriers and facilitators while watching video, and discuss in small groups.	O1: Q 1; 2; 3; 4;5; 6; 8; 9; 10; 12; 14; 15; 19; O2: Q1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 10; 12; 13	Q1: Q7; 13; 17; 20 Q2: Q7; 9; 11; 14	
6	Review list of <i>Do's and Don'ts</i> from both the group input, as well as input from focus groups in pilot study, to raise awareness of <i>barriers and facilitators</i> . Summarize the group's list of <i>Do's and Don'ts</i> around such transactions.	Q1 Q1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 9; 10; 12; 14; 15; 19 Q2 Q1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 10; 12; 13	Q1 Q7; 13; 17; 20 Q2: Q7; 9; 11; 14	Q1: Q 16; 18
7	Integration of material covered - small group discussion summarizing the potential range of <i>barriers</i> that could intervene in a sales transaction with a customer with a TBI.	Q1 Q6; 7; 9;14; 15; 19;20 Q2 Q5;6, 7;9;10;12;13;14	Q1;2; 10, 11; 12; 17 Q2 Q1;2; 3; 4;8;11;	Q1 Q3; Q4;5; 8; 13; 16; 18
8a	Summary and integration of all material covered - integrating thinking into a formulation of a list of tips (<i>facilitators</i>) when serving a customer with a TBI. Discussion in group as a whole.	Q1: Q1; 2; 3; 4;5; 6; 8; 9; 10; 12; 14; 15; 19; Q2: Q1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 8; 10; 12; 13	Q1: Q7; 13; 17; 20 Q2: Q7; 9; 11; 14	<u>Q1:</u> Q 16; 18

Appendix 19 (continued). Content validity of pre-and-post questionnaires 1 and 2

KEY: Q1 = Questionnaire 1 Q2 = Questionnaire 2

Appendix 20

Introduction to experimental group by Research Assistant Derick (with a TBI)

Hi, my name's Derick and I want to tell you about the accident I survived in 1986. After I regained consciousness I found myself living in a strange body that didn't do what I wanted it to ... this included an inability to walk. As a result, I was confined to a wheelchair and I had Physiotherapy 5 times per week. I was able to utter single words, but not sentences - to begin with, and also received Speech Therapy twice a week for a long time. This meant that I didn't say much due partly to society's impatience with anybody who's different to them.

When anybody regains consciousness after they have suffered a traumatic brain injury (TBI), they find themselves in a strange body i.e. one that doesn't react the way the old body did. A TBI affects other parts of the body - the brain is the command-centre of the body after all. A TBI can also cause damage to a person's ability to communicate intelligently, clearly, and it may also affect the way a person can remember the names of things. This of course causes unaffected (or "normal") people to become impatient with the person who talks with a different-sounding voice.

Stop for a moment and imagine if you were to serve someone like me, or even someone who speaks much worse than me (<u>BIG PAUSE!!!!!</u>)That could be very hard for you - especially if the shop is very busy. Maybe the customers' speech is hard to understand, and maybe they can't think of the right words for the items they are talking to you about. By the way, when the shop is very busy and a customer with a TBI is standing in a busy long line, it's hard for them to cope with the pressure of the lines, so that something like dealing with money and correctly sorting out change can be a real problem.

Did I mention that a TBI also commonly affects a person's short term memory? That causes the person not to be able to remember things on a short term basis. So they find themselves "being lost" in a place they've been to before e.g. in a shop - and that's not even to start talking about finding their shopping lists - or even finding their car in a parking-lot full of cars that look pretty much the same! A TBI may cause damage to a person's motor movements, so the individual's flexibility suffers e.g. so they may have an inability to reach for items on the top shelf of the store and also need some help with that.

Well – it's now time for us to move on – but I will be around today so I will be able to chat to you and answer any questions you may think about and want me to try and answer. Thanks a lot.

Main study: Training Session (Session 2) for experimental groups: Slots 1 – 8

SLOT	TIME ALLOCATED	TOPIC	CONTENT	Equipment and Instructional Method
Slot 1a	Total Time: 15 minutes	Introduction to the day, setting the context, and introducing assistants: Research Assistant Marjan, and Derick (with a TBI).	Welcome everyone back and thank them for their time and their commitment, and for the useful information they provided on Session 1 through the questionnaires. Aim today to help you to identify customers who might need a different kind of service and to explore strategies that will help both you as a sales assistant in a supermarket, and your customers to have a more successful and pleasant shopping transaction. At the end of today I hope you will be more confident in dealing with customers like Derick who have a TBI and who might have different needs. Introduce Research Assistant Derick and brief background about himself. Invite him to say a few things about himself in relation to difficulties he has with shopping as a result of his TBI.	Participants to sit at 5 tables (7 per table) facing the front. If possible to sit with people they don't usually work with. Lecture
Slot 1b	Total Time: 3 minutes	Ask participants to put a cross on the line to rate how confident they currently feel about serving customers with a TBI.	Confidence Rating Scale (pre-training) provided on a sheet on the table in front of each participant (Appendix 25).	Confidence Rating Scale: Pre-training

Appendix 21 (continued). Main study: Training Session (Session 2) for experimental groups: Slots 1-8

SESSION	TIME ALLOCATED	TOPIC	CONTENT	Equipment and Instructional Method
Slot 2	Total Time: 15 minutes (3 – 5 minutes)	The Giraffe and the Elephant Fable (Roosevelt Thomas (with Woodruff) (1999c)) (Appendix 22)	Read the Giraffe and the Elephant Fable Ask the participants to turn to the person next to them and have a quick discussion on: How does this fable help us think about how we serve different types of customers in our stores? (Question presented on overhead transparency for all to see and consider)	Lecture Overhead projector Overhead transparencies
	5 minutes		Quick feedback from a few participants	Feedback
	5 minutes		If no one says anything – Prompt them with, eg: "Some of the things that you might include are, for example: It shows us how we often expect people who are different from us to fit into our way of doing things. The elephant wanted the same thing as the giraffe but needed a different way of achieving it. So what does that help us to think about when we serve customers who have different needs? Conclusion: The following sessions will examine ways we deal with different kinds of customers, and serving them more comfortably	
Slot 3	Total Time: 45 minutes	Provide an opportunity for the participants to start thinking about the issues involved in transactions with customers with TBI both from their, and the customers' perspective.	Using the groups of 7 participants per table, designate one person to write down input on a flip chart piece of paper on the table. To help you think about the video you saw 2 weeks ago, think also about anyone you know or have served that might have a TBI, and consider what Derick has shared with us today as well. Have the groups consider the following 2 questions (Overhead transparency)	Lecture Flip chart Overhead projector Overhead transparencies
	10 minutes		Question 1: Imagine that you were the customer with a TBI. What do you think the range of potential difficulties (physical and emotional) you might encounter when shopping in a supermarket?	Feedback
	10 minutes		Have a small group participant put up the flipchart paper and make some reflections/ summarize combined input	

Appendix 21 (continued). Main study: Training Session (Session 2) for experimental groups: Slots 1 – 8

SLOT	TIME ALLOCATED	TOPIC	CONTENT	Equipment and Instructional Method
	10 minutes		Repeat the process with the next question. Question 2: If you were the sales assistant, what difficulties do you think you might encounter when serving such a customer with TBI?	
	10 minutes		Have a small group participant put up the flipchart paper and make some reflections./ summarize combined input.	
	5 minutes		At the end Researcher to make some reflections about the range of things that have been said, emphasizing how there is no formula to apply in every situation, but that if they are more aware of the barriers, and notice customers who might be experiencing these difficulties, they can start to address them.	
			TEA (15 MINUTES)	
Slot 4	Total Time: 55 minutes	Video scenario 3 of 2 customers with a TBI in the supermarket interacting with a sales assistant.	Remind the group that a good transaction is one where both the customer and the sales assistant feel comfortable – facilitating polite and efficient transactions. Show video, and have each group consider three questions while they are watching the video. (Questions in front of each group on the table and on Overhead for all to refer to).	Proxima Projector Video scenario 3 (Table 4.6)
	9 minutes discussion and 10 minutes feedback		Question 1: In relation to our discussion earlier, what difficulties do you think these customers had while shopping?	Lecture Flip chart Overhead projector
	5 minutes discussion and 5 minutes feedback		Question 2: What did the sales assistant/manager do that was helpful for these customers?	Overhead transparencies Feedback
	5 minutes discussion and 5 minutes feedback		Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful?	
			Before lunch researcher <u>summarizes</u> on a flipchart under the heading of <i>Do's and Don'ts</i> what they have learnt so far	

Appendix 21 (continued). Main study: Training Session (Session 2) for experimental groups: Slots 1-8

We have said that customers with TBI will demonstrate a range of different behaviours. In the video – we started to identify some things that will help us to have successful transactions, and some that will not. Briefly go over what these were. Description Descri	SLOT	TIME ALLOCATED	TOPIC	CONTENT	Equipment and Instructional Method
Slot 5 Total Time: 30 minutes Examine video scenarios 4 - 7. Participants to watch the scenarios in relation to the same three questions (used in Slot 4) . Participants asked to consider the barriers and facilitators in relation to these videos. (Questions in front of each group on the table). Question 1: Which of the issues that we identified earlier did you notice about the customer in the video? Question 2: What did the sales assistant/manager do that was helpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful for the customer? Input noted by 1 member of each group and then presented briefly to group as a whole. Open up discussion in group to ask Research Assistant Derick any further questions. Slot 6 Total Time: 20 minutes Review the list drawn up so far of Do's and Don'ts by the group for possible Compare the group's list (Drawn up in Slot 4) with a list provided by Researcher on an Overhead (Appendix 23).		Time: 10		video – we started to identify some things that will help us to have successful transactions, and some that will not. Briefly go over what these were.	
Slot 5 Total Time: 30 minutes Participants to watch the scenarios in relation to the same three questions (used in Slot 4). Proxima Projector Video scenarios 4 - 7. Participants asked to consider the barriers and facilitators in relation to these videos. (Questions in front of each group on the table). Question 1: Which of the issues that we identified earlier did you notice about the customer in the video? Question 2: What did the sales assistant/manager do that was helpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant Derick any further questions. Feedback Open up discussion in group to ask Research Assistant Derick any further questions. Compare the group's list (Drawn up in Slot 4) with a list provided by Researcher on an Overhead (Appendix 23). Examine video scenarios 4 - 7. (Table 4.6) Flip chart		minutes			
Participants asked to consider the barriers and facilitators in relation to these videos. (Questions in front of each group on the table). 10 minutes 10 minute				LUNCH (45 MINUTES)	
10 minutes 10 min	Slot 5				Proxima Projector
10 minutes 10 min		30 minutes	4 – 7.		Video scenarios 4 -7
video? Question 2: What did the sales assistant/manager do that was helpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful for the customer? Input noted by 1 member of each group and then presented briefly to group as a whole. Open up discussion in group to ask Research Assistant Derick any further questions. Slot 6 Total Time: 20 minutes Review the list drawn up so far of Do's and Don'ts by the group for possible Review the list drawn up so far of Do's and Don'ts by the group for possible Compare the group's list (Drawn up in Slot 4) with a list provided by Researcher on an Overhead (Appendix 23). Fig chart Fig chart		10 minutes		Question 1: Which of the issues that we identified earlier did you notice about the customer in the	(Table 4.6)
10 minutes Question 2: What did the sales assistant/manager do that was helpful for the customer? Question 3: What did the sales assistant/ manager do that was unhelpful for the customer? Input noted by 1 member of each group and then presented briefly to group as a whole. Open up discussion in group to ask Research Assistant Derick any further questions. Slot 6 Total Time: 20 minutes Review the list drawn up so far of Do's and Don'ts by the group for possible Compare the group's list (Drawn up in Slot 4) with a list provided by Researcher on an Overhead (Appendix 23). Lecture Flip chart		10 innutes		· ·	^
10 minutes 10 min		10 minutes		Ouestion 2: What did the sales assistant/manager do that was helpful for the customer?	
Input noted by 1 member of each group and then presented briefly to group as a whole. Open up discussion in group to ask Research Assistant Derick any further questions. Slot 6 Total Time: 20 minutes Review the list drawn up so far of Do's and Don'ts by the group for possible Compare the group's list (Drawn up in Slot 4) with a list provided by Researcher on an Overhead (Appendix 23). Flip chart		10 111111100			<u> </u>
Slot 6 Total Time: 20 minutes Review the list drawn up so far of Do's and Don'ts by the group for possible Review the list drawn up (Appendix 23). Compare the group's list (Drawn up in Slot 4) with a list provided by Researcher on an Overhead (Appendix 23). Flip chart		10 minutes			Feedback
so far of <i>Do's and Don'ts</i> by the group for possible (Appendix 23). Flip chart				Open up discussion in group to ask Research Assistant Derick any further questions.	
by the group for possible	Slot 6				
barriers and facilitators in Overhead projector		20 minutes	by the group for possible	(Аррения 23).	
sales transactions					Overhead projector
involving a customer with			involving a customer with		Overhead transparencies
a TBI. Feedback			a TBI.		Feedback

Appendix 21 (continued). Main study: Training Session (Session 2) for experimental groups: Slots 1 – 8

SLOT	TIME ALLOCATED	TOPIC	CONTENT	Equipment and Instructional Method
Slot 7	Total Time: 10 minutes	Reflecting back to original flipcharts to check everything has been covered.	Review input so far concerning the list of potential barriers that a customer might encounter; the problems the sales assistant might have in serving this customer; and how best to facilitate this transaction from both the customer and sales assistants' perspective. Open up discussion in group to ask Research Assistant Derick any further questions.	Lecture Flip chart Overhead projector Overhead transparencies Feedback
Slot 8a	Total Time: 30 minutes	Summarize the major issues and the views discussed in training by the group participants. Review list of strategies that would be helpful when dealing with a customer with a TBI suggested earlier on, and add to this list to develop a list/ resource of points covered in training for use within the store. Formulate these points into some kind of personalized list for each participant.	Each participant given a page /template with a line drawing of the supermarket shopping bag and marker to write their own personalized list of <i>Do's and Don'ts</i> (Kagan & Shumway, 2003g). (<i>Appendix 24</i>) Each page to be laminated by the researcher and given back to each participant for their future instore use/ reference.	Lecture Flip chart Overhead projector Overhead transparencies Feedback
Slot 8b	Total Time: 3 minutes	Ask participants to put a cross on the line as to how confident they currently rate themselves in serving customers with a TBI.	Confidence Rating Scale (post-training) provided on a sheet on the table in front of each participant (<i>Appendix 26</i>)	Confidence Rating Scale: Post–training

Appendix 21 (continued). Main study: Training Session (Session 2) for experimental groups: Slots 1 – 8

SLOT	TIME ALLOCATED	TOPIC	CONTENT	Equipment and Instructional Method
Slot 8c	Total Time: 10 minutes	All participants to complete a Training Session Evaluation Form.	Each participant given a Training Session Evaluation Form to complete (Appendix 27)	Training Session Evaluation Form
Slot 8d		Certificate of Attendance from the University of Pretoria for all participants (Appendix 28).		

TOTAL TIME TRAINING SESSION 236 MINUTES

LUNCH

Appendix 22

The Giraffe and the Elephant

(Roosevelt Thomas, Jr.(with Woodruff, M.). (1999c), p.3).

In a small suburban community just outside the city of Atriodact, a giraffe had a new home built to his family's specifications. It was a wonderful house for giraffes, with soaring ceilings and tall doorways. High windows ensured maximum light and good views while protecting the family's privacy. Narrow hallways saved valuable space without compromising convenience. So well done was the house that it won the National Giraffe Home of the Year Award. The home's owners were understandably proud.

One day the giraffe, working in his state-of-the-art wood shop in the basement, happened to look out the window. Coming down the street was an elephant. "I know him," he thought. "We worked together on a PTA committee. He's an excellent woodworker too. I think I'll ask him to see my new shop. Maybe we can even work together on some projects." So the giraffe reached his head of the window and invited the elephant in. The elephant was delighted; he had liked working with the giraffe and looked forward to knowing him better. Besides, he knew about the wood shop and wanted to see it. So he walked up to the basement door and waited for it to open. "Come in; come in," the giraffe said. But immediately they encountered a problem. While the elephant could get his head in the door, he could go no farther.

"It's a good thing we made this door expandable to accommodate my wood shop equipment," the giraffe said. "Give me a minute while I take care of our problem." He removed some bolts and panels to allow the elephant in. The two acquaintances were happily exchanging wood-working stories when the giraffe's wife leaned her head down the basement stairs and called to her husband: "Telephone, dear; it's your boss."

"I'd better take that upstairs in the den," the giraffe told the elephant. "Please make yourself at home; this may take a while."

The elephant looked around, saw a half-finished project on the lathe table in the far corner, and decided to explore it further. As he moved through the doorway that led to that area of the shop, however, he heard an ominous scrunch. He backed out, scratching his head. "Maybe I'll join the giraffe upstairs," he thought. But as he started up the stairs, he heard them begin to crack. He jumped off and fell back against the wall. It too began to crumble. As he sat there disheveled and dismayed, the giraffe came down the stairs.

"What on earth is happening here?" the giraffe asked in amazement.

"I was trying to make myself at home," the elephant said. The giraffe looked around. "Okay, I see the problem. The doorway is too narrow. We'll have to make you smaller. There's an aerobics studio near here. If you'd take some classes there, we could get you down to size."

"Maybe," the elephant said, looking unconvinced.

"And the stairs are too weak to carry your weight," the giraffe continued. "If you'd go to the ballet class at night, I'm sure we could get you light on your feet. I really hope you'll do it. I like having you here."

"Perhaps," the elephant said. "But to tell you the truth, I'm not sure that a house designed for a giraffe will ever really work for an elephant, not unless there are some major changes."

Appendix 23

Overhead: List of *Do's and Don'ts* in the retail environment when serving customers with a TBI

Don'ts might include:

- Don't treat a customer with a TBI as if they are stupid and have a patronizing attitude towards them.
- Don't be uncomfortable or embarrassed when serving this customer who may be more time-consuming than most.
- Don't talk too loudly to the customer when serving them.
- Don't ask the customer immediately if they have someone with them who can help with the request.
- Don't direct your attention to the person accompanying the customer as if the customer is stupid and unable
 to deal with the request themselves especially when the customer has made the request to you themselves.
- Don't speak about the customer in another language as if they are not there and cannot understand or speak for themselves.
- Don't use phrases such as: "I have a friend who also speaks funny/ uses a wheelchair etc." so as to be more comfortable and social with this customer!
- Don't serve the customer impatiently.

Do's might include:

- Ensure the shop is accessible to all members of the community with accessible access to the stores; toilet facilities; wider aisles, product access etc.
- Offer help when the customer appears to need it eg when struggling to find an item placed too high on the shelf; or sorting out change.
- Monitor your response to this customer eg: be patient with this customer who may need extra time to do or say something; or who might be aggressive or behave inappropriately.
- Consider how you would serve this customer at the end of the month when the shop is very busy.
- Feel comfortable about serving this customer, and don't immediately call the manager or one or several other colleagues to help you.
- Serve this customer using the same store rules as you would for all customers, but be willing to modify if necessary and appropriate.
- Listen carefully to what the customer is requesting if they are hard to understand.
- Clarify the customer's needs by repeating their request..."Did you mean....?" if they are hard to understand.
- Do use a piece of paper and a pen to help a customer who is hard to understand to assist them in communicating their request.
- Let go of stereotypes about this kind of person, and treat the customer respectfully as an intelligent adult by speaking to them naturally and using an adult tone of voice.
- End the interaction quickly and politely when the sales transaction cannot be completed.
- Do talk in the customer's language while in the presence of the customer if you need to consult with a colleague about the customer's request.
- Possibly have a trained customer service person in the shop to assist customers with all kinds of disabilities.
- Explain the company policy to the customer clearly and politely if their request is inappropriate/ unavailable.

Template of shopping bag: List of Do's and Don'ts



Appendix 25

Confidence Rating Scale: Pre-training

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

					For Official Use Only
Participant Nur	mber:				V1 1-2
Please plac about dealing v				fident you presently feel jury.	
1 Not Confident	2	3	4	5 Very Confident	E1 3

Appendix 26

Confidence Rating Scale: Post-training

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

					For Official Use Only
Participant Nun	nber:	 			V1 1-2
Please place presently fee Brain Injury.	el about dea	ne line below to aling with custo			
1 Not Confident	2	3	4	5 Very Confiden	F1 3

Appendix 27

Training Session Evaluation Form

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002

Thank you for your commitment and participation, and I greatly appreciate your feedback.

Please look at each statement below, and put an X to show if you agree, disagree or are unsure about each of the following statements regarding the training that you received.

					For Official U	se Only
Pa	urticipant Number:				V1 _	1-2
1.	PRESENTATION OF THE TRAINING SESSION	ON				
		Agree	Unsure	Disagree		
	The trainer was well prepared for the training.				G1	3
	The training sessions were logically planned and presented.				G2	4
	The length of the training was sufficient.				G3	5
	The videos provided useful training material.				G4	6
	There were enough opportunities for participation during training.				G5	7
	The training will help me and my colleagues deal better with customers with a traumatic brain injury.				G6	8
	I would recommend this training session to my other colleagues to help them serve customers with a traumatic brain injury				G7	9
	more competently. Meeting Derick today was helpful in training				G8] 10
	OVERALL RATING OF TRAINING SESSION (Mark with an X) poor 5= very good 1 2 3	4	5		G9 [] 11

Appendix 27 (continued). Training Session Evaluation Form

3. COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER TRAINING	G10 12	
	G11 13	
	G12 14	
	G13 15	

Thank you for taking the time to complete this form

Glenn Goldblum

Certificate of Attendance



University of Pretoria

The Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication

I, the undersigned, acting as representative of the aforementioned, hereby certify that

Attended the following 4 hour Training Session:

TRAINING SALES ASSISTANTS TO SERVE CUSTOMERS

WITH A TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Presented by

Glenn Goldblum

At the _____ Northern Region Support Office

During April 2005

Centre for Augmentative and Alternative Communication (CAAC) UNIVERSITY OF PRETCRIA Communication Patricicy Bing Pretoris, 0002 Tel (012) 420-2001 Fax (012) 420-4569

OFFICIAL STAMP

SIGNATURE ON BEHALF OF PROVIDER

DESIGNATION: PROF E. ALANT. DIRECTOR: CAAC

DATE: 18 APRIL 2005

PLACE: PRETORIA

Appendix 29A

Distribution of service level in the supermarket store within the experimental and control groups

	EXPERIMENTAL GROUP (n)	CONTROL GROUP (n)	P-value
Customer Service Manager	13	8	0.1864
Customer Care Assistant	11	11	
Deli /Bakery sales assistant	7	14	

Appendix 29B

Means, standard deviations and P-values for age; and number of years working for the supermarket chain in the experimental and control groups

	EXPERIMENTAL GROUP	CONTROL GROUP	
Age			P-Value
Mean	38.3870	41.9393	0.0851
Standard Deviation	9.3047	8.9580	
Minimum	23.000	23.000	
Maximum	59.000	58.000	
Years working for supermarket			P-Value
Mean	10.8710	11.0606	0.8930
Standard Deviation	7.3518	6.9997	
Minimum	1.0000	1.0000	
Maximum	28.000	25.000	

Appendix 29C

Home language spoken by participants in the experimental and control groups

	EXPERIMENTAL GROUP (n)	CONTROL GROUP (n)
English	6	2
Afrikaans	3	3
Setswana	7	10
Sesotho	2	4
Isizulu	2	2
IsiXhosa	2	1
Northern Sotho	5	8
IsiNdebele	2	
SiSwati		2
Xitsonga		1
Venda	2	

Appendix 29D

Ability to speak, and understand English as perceived by the participants in the experimental and control groups

EXPERIMENTAL GROUP			CONTROL GROUP		
	(n)	%	(n)	%	P-value
Ability to Speak English					0.3691
Good	26	83.87	24	72.73	
Average	5	16.13	9	27.27	
Poor	0		0		
Ability to Understand English					1.000
Good	26	83.87	28	84.85	
Average	5	16.13	5	15.15	
Poor	0		0		

Appendix 29E

Distribution of educational level within the experimental and control groups

	EXPERIMENTAL GROUP (n)	CONTROL GROUP (n)	P-value
Below Std 6	0	3	0.3496
Std 7-9	12	9	
Std 10	9	12	
Tertiary	10	9	

Appendix 29F

Awareness of someone with a speech problem

	EXPERIMENTAL GROUP (n)	CONTROL GROUP (n)	P-value
Aware of someone with a speech problem	16	19	0.8020
Unaware of someone with a speech problem	15	14	