



**THE INFLUENCE OF NON-LINEAR FREQUENCY
COMPRESSION ON MUSIC PERCEPTION FOR
ADULTS WITH A MODERATE TO SEVERE HEARING
LOSS**

MARINDA UYS

21071871

As partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree D.Phil Communication
Pathology in the Department of Communication Pathology

Faculty Humanities

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

September 2011



BEDANKINGS

Met liefde opgedra aan Pappa en Mamma. Dankie vir al die geleenthede wat julle vir my moontlik maak!

MET OPREGTE DANK EN WAARDERING AAN:

- Die hemelse Vader vir die geleentheid, krag en verstandelike vermoëns om hierdie studie te voltooi.
- Barend vir al jou liefde en ondersteuning.
- Aan alle persone en instansies wat op 'n direkte of indirekte wyse 'n bydrae tot hierdie studie gelewer het.

OPSOMMING

Rasionaal: Die meeste navorsing met betrekking tot gehoorapparate wat frekwensie verlaag het tot op hede hoofsaaklik gefokus op spraakpersepsievaardighede. Met die verbetering in gehoorapparaategnologie neem die belangstelling in musiekpersepsie as 'n dimensie wat gehoorapparaatdraers se kwaliteit van lewe kan verbeter, egter toe. Die doel van hierdie studie was tweeledig: Eerstens, om 'n musiekpersepsietoets vir volwasse persone met gehoorapparate te ontwikkel en tweedens, om die invloed van nie-lineêre frekwensiekompresie (NFK) op musiekpersepsie met behulp van die Musiek Persepsie Toets (MPT) wat deur die navorser ontwikkel is te evalueer.

Navorsingsontwerp en steekproef: Fase 1 het die samestelling van die MPT behels en kan beskryf word as ontwerpgebaseerd. In Fase 2 is 'n kwasi-eksperimentale navorsingsontwerp geselekteer. Hierdie fase het die passing van deelnemers (40) met NFK gehoorapparate behels waartydens objektiewe data met NFK aktief en onaktief ingesamel is. In Fase 3 is deelnemers versoek om 'n vraelys te voltooi en sodoende is subjektiewe data oor hul musiekervaring met NFK aktief en onaktief bekom.

Resultate: Resultate het bewys dat normaalhorende volwassenes sowel as volwassenes met gehoorapparate in staat was om al die sub-toetse van die MPT te voltooi. Verder is bevind dat die gebruik van NFK gehoorapparaatdraers se persepsie van toonkleur en melodie statisties beduidend verbeter het, maar nie hul persepsie van toonhoogte nie. In die geheel is geen statisties beduidende verbetering in the persepsie van ritme waargeneem nie, alhoewel prestasie op sommige van die sub-toetse vir ritme wel beduidend verbeter het. Die gebruik van NFK het ook gehoorapparaatdraers se persepsie van die musiekeienskappe bekend as algehele klankgetrouheid, blikkerigheid en weergalming beduidend verbeter. Hoewel deelnemers die luidheid, volheid, duidelikheid, natuurlikheid en aangenaamheid van musiek meer positief met NFK ervaar het, was hierdie voordele nie statisties beduidend nie.

Gevolgtrekking: Die MPT kan suksesvol vir die evaluering van musiekpersepsie by gehoorapparaatdraers in die Suid-Afrikaanse konteks gebruik word en kan daarom daartoe lei dat meer verantwoordbare gehoorapparaatpassings plaasvind. Die gebruik van NFK kan

gehoorapparaatdraers se waardering van musiek verhoog terwyl dit nie musiekpersepsie negatief beïnvloed nie. 'n Groot persentasie gehoorapparaatdraers ervaar steeds 'n verlies aan musiekgenot en daarom mag oudioloë nie die moontlike voordele van NFK ignoreer nie, veral nie as daar in ag geneem word dat vorige navorsing met hierdie tegnologie reeds 'n verbetering in spraakpersepsie uitgewys het nie.

Sleutelwoorde: Gehoorverlies, musiekpersepsie, nie-lineêre frekwensiekompresie, gehoorapparate, frekwensieverlaging, sensories-neurale gehoorverlies, kogleêre dooie areas, musiekgenot, Suid-Afrikaanse konteks

ABSTRACT

Objective: To date, the main focus in frequency lowering hearing aid studies has been in relation to speech perception abilities. With improvements in hearing aid technology, there is a growing interest in musical perception as a dimension that could improve hearing aid users' quality of life. The purpose of this study was two-fold: Firstly, to develop a test of music perception for adult hearing aid users and secondly, to evaluate the influence of non-linear frequency compression (NFC) on music perception with the use of the Music Perception Test (MPT) compiled by the researcher.

Research design and research sample: Phase 1 entailed the compilation of the MPT and can be described as design-based. A quasi-experimental research design was selected to establish the structure of the method employed in Phase 2, which involved the fitting of participants (n=40) with NFC hearing aids. Objective data was obtained with the hearing aids with NFC active and inactive. Phase 3 was characterized by a survey design which elicited subjective impressions of the participants' musical experiences with NFC active and inactive.

Results: Results proved that normal hearing adults as well as adults using hearing aids were able to complete all the sub-tests of the MPT. Furthermore, the use of NFC resulted in a statistically significant improvement in hearing aid users' perception of timbre and melody, but not of pitch. Overall, no statistically significant improvement in their perception of rhythm was observed, although their performance on some rhythm sub-tests improved significantly. The use of NFC also brought about a statistically significant improvement in hearing aid users' perception of the music qualities of overall fidelity, tinniness and reverberance. Although participants experienced the loudness, fullness, crispness, naturalness and pleasantness of music more positively with NFC, these benefits were not statistically significant.

Conclusion: The MPT can be used successfully for assessing music perception in hearing aid users within the South African context and may therefore result in more accountable hearing aid fittings. The use of NFC may increase hearing aid users' appreciation of music whilst not influencing music perception negatively. Given that a large percentage of hearing aid users express a loss in enjoyment of music, audiologists should not ignore the possible benefits of

NFC, especially if one takes into account that previous research indicated speech perception benefits with this technology.

Key words: Hearing loss, music perception, non-linear frequency compression, hearing aids, frequency lowering, sensory neural hearing loss, cochlear dead regions, music enjoyment, evidence-based practice, South African context

<u>INDEX</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. ORIENTATION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 RATIONALE.....	3
1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT AND RESEARCH QUESTION	25
1.4 CLARIFICATION OF TERMINOLOGY	27
1.5 ABBREVIATIONS	32
1.6 OVERVIEW OF CHAPTERS	34
1.7 CONCLUSION	35
1.8 SUMMARY	36
 2. MUSIC PERCEPTION	 37
2.1 INTRODUCTION	37
2.2 THE PERCEPTION OF MUSIC	38
2.3 PHYSIOLOGICAL AFFECT OF MUSIC	45
2.4 MUSIC PERCEPTION TESTS DESCRIBED IN THE LITERATURE	47
2.5 DEVELOPMENT OF A MUSIC PERCEPTION TEST FOR HEARING AID USERS	62
2.6 COMPUTERIZED-ADAPTIVE TESTS VS. SELF-ADAPTED TESTS	65
2.7 MUSIC PERCEPTION IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN CONTEXT	66
2.8 CONCLUSION	69
2.9 SUMMARY	70
 3. NON-LINEAR FREQUENCY COMPRESSION IN HEARING AIDS	 71
3.1 INTRODUCTION	71
3.2 FREQUENCY LOWERING TECHNOLOGY AND TERMINOLOGY ISSUES	72

3.3 PREVIOUS FREQUENCY LOWERING HEARING AIDS	73
3.4 FREQUENCY TRANSPOSITION	79
3.5 NON-LINEAR FREQUENCY COMPRESSION	83
3.6 HEARING HEALTH CARE IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN CONTEXT	94
3.7 CONCLUSION	97
3.8 SUMMARY	98
4. METHOD	99
4.1 INTRODUCTION	99
4.2 AIMS	101
4.2.1 Main aim	101
4.2.2 Sub-aims	101
4.3 RESEARCH DESIGN	102
4.4 ETHICAL ASPECTS REGARDING RESEARCH	106
4.5 PARTICIPANTS	108
4.5.1 Sampling techniques and sample size	109
4.5.2 Selection criteria	109
4.5.3 Selection procedure	111
4.5.4 Description of participants	111
4.6 MATERIAL AND APPARATUS FOR THE COLLECTION OF DATA	115
4.6.1 Material	115
4.6.1.1 Music Perception Test	116
4.6.1.1.1 Purpose of the Music Perception Test	117
4.6.1.1.2 Guidelines from literature for the development of the Music Perception Test	117
4.6.1.1.3 Format of the Music Perception Test	119
4.6.1.1.4 Content of the Music Perception Test	119
4.6.1.1.5 Reliability and validity of the Music Perception Test	135
4.6.1.2 Questionnaires	140
4.6.1.2.1 Motivation for the use of questionnaires	140

4.6.1.2.2 Guidelines provided by literature for the development of questionnaires	141
4.6.1.2.3 Description of questionnaires	142
4.6.1.2.4 Purpose and content of the questionnaires	142
4.6.1.2.5 Format of the questionnaires	147
4.6.1.2.6 Reliability and validity	149
4.6.2 Apparatus	151
4.6.3 Material and apparatus for data analysis	155
4.7 PROCEDURE	156
4.7.1 Data collection procedures	156
4.7.1.1 Pilot study	156
4.7.1.1.1 Purpose of the pilot study	156
4.7.1.1.2 Participants	157
4.7.1.1.3 Procedures for the conduction of the pilot study	162
4.7.1.1.4 Results of the pilot study	164
4.7.1.2 Main study	164
4.7.2 Procedure for recording of data	172
4.7.2.1 Data recording for Music Perception Test	172
4.7.2.2 Data recording for questionnaires	172
4.7.3 Procedure for data analysis_	173
4.8 CONCLUSION	173
4.9 SUMMARY	174
5. RESULTS	175
5.1 INTRODUCTION	175
5.2 PRESENTATION OF THE RESULTS IN CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE SUB-AIMS	176
5.2.1 Background information of participants	176
5.2.2 Compilation of a music perception test to use for data collection (Sub-aim 1) .	178
5.2.2.1 Results obtained from evaluation sheets	179
5.2.2.2 Results obtained from pilot testing of a target group	179

5.2.3 The influence of non-linear frequency compression on the perception of rhythm (Sub-aim 2)	189
5.2.4 The influence of non-linear frequency compression on the perception of timbre (Sub-aim 3)	196
5.2.5 The influence of non-linear frequency compression on the perception of pitch (Sub-aim 4)	202
5.2.6 The influence of non-linear frequency compression on the perception of melody (Sub-aim 5)	206
5.2.7 The influence of non-linear frequency compression on participants' subjective impression of listening to music (Sub-aim 6)	215
5.2.8 The effect of extended use of non-linear frequency compression and acclimatization on music perception (Sub-aim 7)	225
5.2.9 The influence of non-linear frequency compression on the perception of music by adults presenting with a moderate to severe hearing loss (Main aim)	230
5.3 CONCLUSION	237
5.4 SUMMARY	238
6. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	239
6.1 INTRODUCTION	239
6.2 DISCUSSIONS OF THE RESULTS ACCORDING TO THE SUB-AIMS	240
6.3 CONCLUSION	261
6.4 SUMMARY	262
7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	263
7.1 INTRODUCTION	263
7.2 CONCLUSIONS	264
7.3 CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS	265
7.4 CRITICAL EVALUATION OF RESEARCH	266
7.5 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	269
7.6 CLOSING STATEMENT	271

REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Frequency lowering strategies available at present	18
Table 1-2: Differences between music and speech	21
Table 2-1: Existing music perception tests described in the literature	48
Table 3-1: Main differences between non-linear frequency compression and linear frequency transposition	86
Table 4-1: The components of autonomy relevant to this study	107
Table 4-2: Beneficence as a relevant ethical principle for this study	108
Table 4-3: Selection criteria	109
Table 4-4: Biographic information of participants with hearing aids included in Phase 2 and Phase 3 of the study	112
Table 4-5: Literature references to demonstrate the importance of all the sub-tests included in the Music Perception Test	117
Table 4-6: Songs included in the music-in-noise sub-test	134
Table 4-7: Presumptions and literature references for questions included in Questionnaire 1	144
Table 4-8: Data collection apparatus and procedures	151
Table 4-9: Biographic information of participants with hearing aids included in Stage 2 of Phase 1	159
Table 4-10: Biographic information of participants with hearing aids included in Stage 3 of Phase 1	160
Table 4-11: Alternating assessment schedule	171
Table 5-1: Background information of participants	177
Table 5-2: Error rates and percentage ‘correct’ for the first version of the Music Perception Test presented to normal hearing participants (n=15)	180
Table 5-3: Error rates and percentage ‘correct’ for the first version of the Music Perception Test presented to participants with hearing aids (n=4)	181

Table 5-4: Error rates and percentage ‘correct’ for the adapted version of the Music Perception Test presented to normal hearing listeners (n=4)	184
Table 5-5: Error rates and percentage ‘correct’ for the adapted version of the Music Perception Test presented to participants with hearing aids (n=20)	185
Table 5-6: Descriptive inferential statistical values for the perception of rhythm with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	195
Table 5-7: Descriptive inferential statistical values for the perception of timbre with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	201
Table 5-8: Descriptive inferential statistical values for the perception of pitch with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	206
Table 5-9: Descriptive inferential statistical values for the perception of melody with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	214
Table 5-10: Descriptive inferential statistical values for extended use of non-linear frequency compression – objective assessment	227
Table 5-11: Descriptive inferential statistical values for extended use of non-linear frequency compression – subjective assessment	229
Table 5-12: Descriptive inferential statistical values to determine whether the degree of hearing loss (mid frequencies) influenced results of the Music Perception Test	232
Table 5-13: Descriptive inferential statistical values to determine whether the degree of hearing loss (high frequencies) influenced results of the MPT	233
Table 5-14: Non-linear frequency compression cut-off frequency for hearing aids	236
Table 5-15: Data logging values for hearing aid use with non-linear frequency compression on versus non-linear frequency compression off	236

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Components of music perception	42
Figure 3-1: Visual presentation of the difference between non-linear frequency compression and linear frequency transposition	85
Figure 3-2: Cut-off frequency as parameter that controls the non-linear frequency compression algorithm	88
Figure 3-3: Visual representation of non-linear frequency compression	93
Figure 4-1: Schematic representation of the research phases of the current study	103
Figure 4-2: Schematic representation of the content of the Music Perception Test	120
Figure 4-3: Visual representation of short inter-pulse interval at position four	122
Figure 5-1: Presentation of results in correspondence with the sub-aims	175
Figure 5-2: Participants' performance on the single instrument identification task (Sub-test 5 part one)	186
Figure 5-3: Participants' performance on the multiple instrument identification task (Sub-test 5 part two)	186
Figure 5-4: Participants' performance on the musicality perception task (Sub-test 9)	188
Figure 5-5: Participants' scores for rhythm identification (Sub-test 1) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	190
Figure 5-6: Participants' scores for rhythm discrimination (Sub-test 2) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	191
Figure 5-7: Participants' scores for rhythm recognition (Sub-test 3) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	192
Figure 5-8: Participants' scores for rhythm perception (Sub-test 4) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	193
Figure 5-9: Participants' scores for the rhythm section (Section 1) of the MPT with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on	194
Figure 5-10: Participants' familiarity with the musical instruments included in Sub-test 5	196

Figure 5-11: Participants’ scores for timbre identification (Sub-test 5 – single instrument) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 197

Figure 5-12: Participants’ scores for timbre identification (Sub-test 5 – multiple instruments) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 198

Figure 5-13: Participants’ scores for the number of instruments task (Sub-test 6) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 199

Figure 5-14: Participants’ scores for the timbre section (Section 2) of the MPT with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 200

Figure 5-15: Participants’ scores for the pitch identification task (Sub-test 7) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 203

Figure 5-16: Participants’ scores for the pitch discrimination task (Sub-test 8) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 204

Figure 5-17: Participants’ scores for the pitch section (Section 3) of the MPT with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 205

Figure 5-18: Participants’ scores for the musicality perception task (Sub-test 9) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 207

Figure 5-19: Participants’ familiarity with the melodies included in Sub-test 10 of the Music Perception Test 209

Figure 5-20: Participants’ scores for the melody identification task (Sub-test 10) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 210

Figure 5-21: Participants’ familiarity with the soundtracks included in Sub-test 11 of the Music Perception Test 211

Figure 5-22: Participants’ scores for the music-in-noise song identification task (Sub-test 11) with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 212

Figure 5-23: Participants’ scores for the melody section (Section 4) of the MPT with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 213

Figure 5-24: Participants’ preferences regarding musical genres 215

Figure 5-25: Participants’ perception of musical loudness with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 216

Figure 5-26: Participants’ perception of the fullness of music with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 217

Figure 5-27: Participants’ perception of the crispness of music with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 219

Figure 5-28: Participants’ perception of the naturalness of music with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 220

Figure 5-29: Participants’ perception of the overall fidelity of music with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 221

Figure 5-30: Participants’ perception of the tinniness of music with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 222

Figure 5-31: Participants’ perception of the reverberance of music with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 223

Figure 5-32: Participants’ perception of the pleasantness of music with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 224

Figure 5-33: Participants’ ability to discriminate between different musical aspects with non-linear frequency compression off versus non-linear frequency compression on 225

Figure 5-34: Participants’ scores for the rhythm, timbre, pitch and melody sections of the Music Perception Test with non-linear frequency compression on during the assessment in Phase 2 and Phase 4 226

Figure 5-35: Participants’ score for the different musical qualities assessed in
Questionnaire 2 with non-linear frequency compression on during the
assessment in Phase 2 and Phase 4 228

Figure 5-36: Participants’ mean scores for the rhythm, timbre, pitch and melody
Sections of the Music Perception Test with non-linear frequency
compression off and non-linear frequency compression on 230

Figure 5-37: Participants’ mean scores for the different musical qualities assessed in
Questionnaire 2 with non-linear frequency compression off and on 231

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Ethical clearance
Appendix B	Letter to request permission from the private audiology practice
Appendix C	Permission obtained from the private audiology practice
Appendix D	Letter of informed consent
Appendix E	Final version of the Music Perception Test
Appendix F	Marking sheet for the final version of the Music Perception Test
Appendix G	First version of the Music Perception Test
Appendix H	Music Perception Test manual
Appendix I	Music Perception Test peer review evaluation sheet
Appendix J	Questionnaire 1
Appendix K	Questionnaire 2