

**The role of social capital in the development of rural non-farm enterprises: A case study in Chimanimani District, Zimbabwe**

by

Jethro Zuwarimwe

Submitted

in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree

PhD (Rural Development Planning)

In the

Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science

University of Pretoria

**August 2009**

## **DEDICATION**

To my wife Esther, my son Carlton and my parents Mr. and Mrs. C.M. Zuwarimwe, who have always been my pillars of strength and inspiration.

## DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, declare that this dissertation, submitted for the degree of PhD, Rural Development Planning in the Department of Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development at the University of Pretoria, is my own work, and where applicable, relevant sources have been quoted. I also solemnly declare that, to the best of my knowledge, this dissertation or any part of it has not been presented anywhere else for the purpose of obtaining a similar academic award.

Signed, this ..... day of ....., in the year of our Lord 2009.

.....

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are many people who have made immense contributions in their different capacities, towards the production of this thesis. I would therefore like to take this opportunity to acknowledge their contributions.

Firstly, I would like to express my profound gratitude and appreciation for the guidance and motivation I received from Professor Johann Kirsten during the process of producing this thesis. Without his professional guidance and inquiring mind, surely, this thesis would not have developed to be what it has come to be. He painstakingly took the trouble of guiding me and challenged me with different perspectives and literature that in the final analysis refined my somewhat rough ideas. Mrs. Zuna Botha also deserves a special mention for having managed my appointments with Professor Kirsten.

The W K Kellogg Foundation is gratefully acknowledged for having fully funded the study that culminated in the production of this thesis. Without this sponsorship, this study would not have proceeded in such a smooth way. This study is a contribution to the Foundation's contribution in support of the development of healthy and sustainable rural communities in Southern Africa. I would also like to acknowledge the Academy for Educational Development staff for having managed the fund, and in particular, Imelda, for having meticulously attended and responded to my requests. Professor C Machethe also deserves a special mention for having been such a wonderful academic advisor.

The entrepreneurs of Manicaland province and in particular the Chimanimani district are acknowledged for giving me access to their entrepreneurship enclaves, which led to the production of this thesis. Betty, Brian and Charles are acknowledged for having assisted in the data collection together with their team members. Maggie Magadza deserves a special mention for having challenged me to explore why there were some stark economic development differences between various rural non-farm entrepreneurs.

I am humbled by the support I got from my colleagues at the University of Pretoria – the social capital family and tea club, among which are: Patrick, Teddy, Chilot, Yemane, Enid, Rosemary, James Juana, Nicky, Orefi, Charles, Davisson, Wellington, Chumi, Tarisai, Benjamin, Mike, Alice, Albert, Abebe, Hiywot, Saana, Asia, Esam and Jabulani. These colleagues have made my journey easier and worth the while.

There are other people who inspired me knowingly and unknowingly who deserve special mention here. Among them are Kuda Murwira, Dr Makhura, Dr Ngqangweni and Philemon Mlambo. I also wish to acknowledge Professor Mike Osborn of the University of Stirling for inviting me to the 2005 PASCAL Conference, where I presented part of this thesis' results, as well as having shaped chapter 6, which was finally included in a book that he edited. Dr A Mushunje, my colleague since high school, played a crucial role by motivating me and editing one of my chapters.

All said and done, I thank God for having carried me through such testing times and to my Christian brothers and sisters whose prayers nourished my soul.

# **THE ROLE OF SOCIAL CAPITAL IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF RURAL NON-FARM ENTERPRISES: A CASE STUDY IN THE CHIMANIMANI DISTRICT, ZIMBABWE**

by

**Jethro Zuwarimwe**

**Degree: PhD Rural Development Planning**

**Department: Agricultural Economics, Extension and Rural Development,  
University of Pretoria**

**Promoter: Professor Johann F Kirsten**

## ABSTRACT

This study investigated the role of social capital in the development of rural non-farm enterprises in the Chimanimani district of Zimbabwe. The specific objectives of the study were to explore the use of social capital by small-scale rural non-farm entrepreneurs in the establishment and expansion of their enterprises and in the coordination of intra-enterprise activities. The study isolated the principal social capital for enterprise development from the most important social networks used by the entrepreneurs. The research aimed to generate knowledge that will improve policy on entrepreneurship development as well as to contribute to the academic debate on the role of social capital in economic development.

While it is a fact that small-scale non-farm enterprises are critical for rural livelihoods diversification, how entrepreneurs overcome obstacles to the establishment, expansion and coordination of their intra-enterprise activities is still to be fully investigated. Moreover, whereas previous research has shown that social capital facilitates economic growth in various ways; little is known about such the use of social relationships in rural non-farm enterprises. This is shown by disjointed approaches to entrepreneurship development programmes and subsequent unsatisfactory economic outcomes from the small-scale enterprise sector in Zimbabwe, which is yet to achieve the desired economic growth to improve rural household welfare.

This study surveyed 130 small-scale rural non-farm entrepreneurs from the Chimanimani district, using a combination of positivist, phenomenological and case study research approaches to capture both quantitative and qualitative data. The data was analysed using a hybrid of quantitative and qualitative data analytical techniques in order to get a description as well as a deeper understanding of the role of social capital in enterprise development. The principal component analysis model was used to isolate the principal forms of social capital that stimulate enterprise development in the district. This model established that personal networks, collaborative networks, active participation in social activities and investment in group activities account for most of the variability among rural non-farm enterprises.

The research established that social networks with friends, relatives and business partners were very useful in the various stages of enterprise development. Business partners and non-governmental organisations were the major sources of capital needed to expand enterprises. The research also established that rural non-farm entrepreneurs mainly employ relatives and friends to facilitate intra-enterprise coordination. To further facilitate such coordination, they also engage in various activities that build and consolidate social networking at their enterprises. The results also

showed that there were some differences in the use of such networks between male, female and family-owned enterprises, indicating gender differences in the use of social capital for enterprise development.

However, although social networks may be productive in the initial stages, they may have long-term effects on the sustainability of enterprises in terms of overall employment creation and productivity. For one, they might lead to discrimination against potential employees who might not be connected to the entrepreneurs. Moreover, there is a danger of over-socialising the workplace, which could be counter-productive and hinder the bringing in of new ideas and innovation. Such over-centralisation of activities in rural enterprises could also be counter-productive in the event that the owner of the enterprise is incapacitated and unable to continue making important decisions.

The study contributed to the debate on the role of social capital in economic development by using rural non-farm enterprises in the Chimanimani district of Zimbabwe, unlike previous studies that have dealt mainly with urban-based or farm-based enterprises. It also revealed useful insights into the gender dimensions of social networks used by entrepreneurs. This is particularly important for the design of enterprise development programmes where social capital issues are to be factored in.

However, deeper understanding might be gained if similar studies are carried out in a different location to ascertain the results' consistency over time, or even by applying the same methodology to entrepreneurs in a similar line of business. In the final analysis, researchers on social networks and entrepreneurship development should not lose sight of the fact that entrepreneurs will revert to the social capital available to them as long as there are failures in existing formal economic institutions.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dedication .....	<b>i</b>
Declaration .....	<b>iii</b>
Acknowledgements .....	<b>iv</b>
Abstract .....	<b>vii</b>
LIST OF TABLES .....	<b>xii</b>
LIST OF FIGURES.....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background and context .....	1
1.2 Research gap.....	3
1.3 Justification and motivation.....	4
1.4 Objectives of the study .....	5
1.5 Hypotheses .....	5
1.6 Theoretical background and conceptual framework.....	6
1.7 Approach and methodology of the study.....	10
1.8 Organisation of the study.....	11
<b>CHAPTER 2 A Synthesis of Social Capital and Economic Development.....</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	13
2.2 The resurgence of social capital research .....	13
2.3 Social networks and economic development.....	16
2.4 “Strong” ties and economic development.....	18
2.5 “Weak” ties and economic development.....	20
2.6 The gender dimensions of social capital in economic development .....	23
2.7 Summary.....	25
<b>CHAPTER 3 Research Design and Methodology.....</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	26
3.2 The research design and approach.....	26
3.2.1 The positivist/quantitative research approach .....	26
3.2.2 The phenomenological/qualitative research approach.....	27
3.3 Sampling procedures and data.....	28
3.4 Analytical methods.....	31

3.5	Summary.....	31
<b>CHAPTER 4 The Socio-Economic Profile of the Chimanimani District .....</b>		<b>32</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	32
4.2	The geographical location of the Chimanimani district .....	32
4.3	The economic profile of the Chimanimani district.....	34
4.4	Characterisation of the economic activities in the district.....	36
4.4.1	Major formal business activities.....	36
4.4.2	Major informal sector business activities .....	37
4.4.3	Business support services .....	37
4.5	Socio-economic characteristics of the entrepreneurs in the study .....	38
4.5.1	Education level of the entrepreneurs in the study.....	39
4.5.2	Number of years since the enterprise was established.....	40
4.5.4	Reasons for establishing the enterprises.....	41
4.6	Summary.....	42
<b>CHAPTER 5 The Role of Social Networks in Small-Scale Enterprise Establishment and Expansion in the Chimanimani District .....</b>		<b>44</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	44
5.2	Objective and hypothesis.....	45
5.3	Conceptual framework .....	46
5.4	Descriptive overview of the business enterprises in the survey .....	47
5.5	Social networks and start-up capital for rural non-farm enterprises.....	50
5.6	Social networks and capital for rural non-farm enterprises expansion.....	54
5.7	Membership of associations and contacts maintained.....	57
5.8	Simple Regression analysis results.....	60
5.9	Summary.....	61
<b>CHAPTER 6 Social Networks and Coordination of Intra-Enterprise Activities in the Chimanimani District.....</b>		<b>63</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	63
6.2	Objective and hypothesis of the chapter.....	64
6.3	Conceptual framework and survey of literature on social capital within enterprises .....	65
6.3.1	Social networks and intra-enterprise activities .....	65
6.4	Social networks and intra-enterprise coordination in the Chimanimani District.....	68
6.4.1	Employee sources and education level.....	68
6.4.1	Intra-enterprise bonding strategies .....	72

6.4.3	Coordination strategies used by the entrepreneurs .....	74
6.4.4	Planning at the workplace.....	75
6.5	Summary.....	79
<b>CHAPTER 7 The Most Important Social Networks for Small-scale Rural Non-farm Enterprise Development in the Chimanimani District .....</b>		<b>81</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	81
7.2	Objectives and hypothesis to be tested.....	82
7.3	Social networks and economic growth nexus.....	83
7.4	Descriptive statistics of the social capital indicators .....	84
7.5	Basic analytics of the Principal Component Analysis model.....	86
7.6	Isolating the Principal Components.....	88
7.7	The most important social networks for rural non-farm entrepreneurs in the Chimanimani District .....	90
7.7.1	Personal contacts maintained (Bridging social networks Component 1) .....	91
7.7.2	Participation in social association activities (Bonding social networks Component 2) .....	94
7.7.3	Socialization (Strong ties Component 3).....	96
7.7.4	Commitment to mutual group activities (bonding social networks Component 4).....	96
7.8	Associations maintained and enterprise mean asset value relationship.....	97
7.9	Summary.....	99
<b>CHAPTER 8 Conclusions, Policy Implications and Areas for Further Investigation..</b>		<b>100</b>
8.1	Summary.....	100
8.2	Conclusions and policy recommendations .....	101
8.2.1	Conclusions and policy recommendations from results in chapter 5 .....	101
8.2.2	Conclusions and policy recommendations from results in chapter 6 .....	104
8.2.3	Conclusions and policy recommendations from results in chapter 7 .....	105
<b>References .....</b>		<b>108</b>
<b>Appendix 1 Biographical data interview guide.....</b>		<b>118</b>
<b>Appendix 2 The Social Capital Assessment Tool.....</b>		<b>119</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Land size and population density of the district .....	35
Table 4.2	Average household income sources (Z\$ as at 2001) .....	35
Table 4.3	Number of enterprises in the formal sector .....	36
Table 4.4	Number of enterprises in the informal sector .....	37
Table 4.5	Number of business support services in the district .....	38
Table 4.6	Types of enterprises in the study and number of workers .....	39
Table 4.7	Educational level of the entrepreneurs .....	40
Table 4.8	Number of years since the enterprise was established.....	41
Table 4.9	Reason for enterprise establishment .....	42
Table 5.1	Type of business, employment trends and gender of the entrepreneurs.....	49
Table 5.2	Average enterprise value .....	50
Table 5.3	Sources of start-up capital for establishing rural non-farm enterprises .....	51
Table 5.4	Sources of start-up capital and level of education of the entrepreneurs .....	53
Table 5.5	Sources of capital for rural non-farm enterprise expansion.....	55
Table 5.6	Correlation between enterprise value and forms of capital .....	57
Table 5.7	Social networks ( membership of associations and contacts maintained).....	57
Table 5.8	Correlation between enterprise value and contacts maintained.....	59
Table 5.9	Correlation between enterprise value and contacts maintained	61
Table 5.10	Regression analysis results	61
Table 6.1	Sources of employees and gender versus education levels .....	68
Table 6.2	Gender and employee sources .....	69
Table 6.3	Recruitment strategies used .....	72
Table 6.4	Incentives used to bond workers at enterprises .....	74
Table 6.5	Frequency of planning with workers per year .....	76
Table 6.6	Who takes care of the business when the owner is away? .....	79
Table 7.1	Descriptive statistics of the social capital indicators used.....	85
Table 7.2	Contacts maintained and enterprise value correlation .....	86
Table 7.3	Results from the principal component analysis .....	89
Table 7.4	Loadings of the first four principal components.....	90
Table 7.5	ANOVA of most important social networks and enterprise values .....	98

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Conceptual Framework.....	7
Figure 1.2	Organisation of the study's chapters .....	11
Figure 4.1	Map of the Chimanimani district.....	33
Figure 6.1	Strategies used to facilitate commitment from workers .....	73
Figure 6.2	Benefits from planning with workers .....	77
Figure 7.1	The scree plot results .....	88