

**EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE RESILIENT EDUCATORS  
SUPPORT PROGRAMME AMONG HIV AND AIDS AFFECTED EDUCATORS IN**

**GAUTENG**

**BY**

**WYA AIKE VAN DER WAAL**

**Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree**

**Master in Social Work with specialisation in Employee Assistance  
Programmes**

**IN THE**

**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK AND CRIMINOLOGY**

**FACULTY OF HUMANITIES**

**AT THE**

**UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA**

**SUPERVISOR: PROF. C.S.L. DELPORT**

**April 2010**

**Pretoria**

## Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Opsomming</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>Chapter 1: General Orientation</b>	<b>1</b>
1. Introduction	1
2. Problem Formulation	7
3. Goal and objectives of the research study	8
4. Hypothesis	9
5. Research approach	10
6. Type of research	11
7. Research design and methodology	11
8. Pilot study	14
9. Research population, sample and sampling method	16
10. Limitations of the study	16
11. Ethical aspects	18
12. Definition of key concepts	22
13. Outline of research report	24
<b>Chapter 2: HIV and AIDS in the Educational System</b>	<b>26</b>
1. Introduction	26
2. Conceptualisation of HIV and AIDS	27
3. HIV and AIDS pandemic worldwide	28
4. HIV and AIDS pandemic in South Africa	30
5. Difference between being HIV affected and HIV infected	32
6. Impact of HIV and AIDS on education	33
7. Impact of HIV and AIDS on the learner	42
8. Impact of HIV and AIDS on the educator	46
9. Supportive strategies	51
10. Conclusion	53
<b>Chapter 3: Resilience and HIV and AIDS</b>	<b>55</b>
1. Introduction	55
2. Conceptualisation of resilience	55
3. Measurement of the concept resilience	59
4. The connection between resilience and quality of life	62
5. Resilience in the context of HIV and AIDS	67
6. Resilience in the education system	68

7. Conclusion _____	70
<b>Chapter 4: The Resilient Educators support programme (REds) for HIV and AIDS affected educators_____</b>	<b>72</b>
1. Introduction _____	72
2. Content of the REds programme _____	74
3. Conclusion _____	85
<b>Chapter 5: Empirical research findings_____</b>	<b>87</b>
1. Introduction _____	87
2. Goal of the study _____	87
3. Objectives of the study _____	87
4. Research methodology _____	88
4.1 Research approach _____	88
4.2 Type of research _____	88
4.3 Research design _____	89
5. Data collection methods _____	92
5.1 Quantitative data collection method _____	92
5.2 Qualitative data collection _____	93
6. Data analysis _____	95
6.1 Quantitative data analysis _____	95
6.2 Qualitative data analysis _____	96
7. Description of the population, sample and sampling method _____	97
7.1 Research population _____	97
7.2 Sampling method _____	98
8. Empirical findings _____	99
9. Biographical profile of the HIV and AIDS affected educators _____	100
10. The ProQol measuring instrument _____	102
10.2 Quantitative results as collected from ProQol. _____	103
11. The RSA measuring instrument _____	107
11.2 Quantitative results as collected from RSA _____	108
12. Discussion and interpretation of quantitative results _____	112
13. Qualitative findings from narratives _____	113
14. Results from the narrative for the experimental group _____	116
15. Comparison group qualitative data _____	142
16. Comparison between the experimental and comparison group's narratives _____	158
17. Qualitative data analysis of drawings _____	158
17.1 Experimental group drawings _____	159
17.2 Comparison group drawings _____	161
18. Comparison between experimental and comparison group's drawings _____	163
19. Conclusion _____	164

<b>CHAPTER 6: Summary, conclusions and recommendations</b>	<b>166</b>
1. Introduction	166
2. Evaluation of the goal and objectives of this study	166
2.1 Goal of the study	166
2.2 Objectives of the study	167
2.3 Testing the hypothesis	168
3. Research methodology	169
3.1 Summary	169
3.2 Conclusions	169
3.3 Recommendations	170
4. Literature review on HIV and AIDS and the education system	170
4.1 Summary	170
4.2 Conclusions	170
4.3 Recommendations	171
5. Literature review on resilience and HIV and AIDS	172
5.1 Summary	172
5.2 Conclusions	172
5.3 Recommendations	173
6. The Resilient Educators support programme (REds) for HIV and AIDS affected educators	174
6.1 Summary	174
6.2 Conclusions	174
6.3 Recommendations	175
7. Empirical research findings	176
7.1 Quantitative research findings	176
7.2 Qualitative research findings	178
8. Concluding remarks	180
<b>Reference list</b>	<b>181</b>
Annexure 1: Permission letter from the Gauteng Department of Education	191
Annexure 2: Permission from the ethics committee of the University of Pretoria	192
Annexure 3: Informed consent letter for the experimental group	193
Annexure 4: informed consent letter for the comparison group	196
Annexure 5: Letter from proof-reader	199
Annexure 6: Pre-test ProQol	200
Annexure 7: Pre-test RSA	206
Annexure 8: Pre-test Drawing	210
Annexure 9: Pre-test narrative	212
Annexure 10: Post-test ProQol	213

Annexure 11: Post-test RSA	219
Annexure12: Post-test Drawing	223
Annexure 13: Post-test narrative	225
Annexure 14: Example of narrative	226
Annexure 15: Example of drawing	228

## Index of Figures

<b>Figure 1-1 People living with HIV and AIDS in 1990 – 2007 in South Africa</b>	1
<b>Figure 2-1: Global distribution of new HIV infections in 2007.</b>	30
<b>Figure 2-2: Number of deaths due to AIDS in South Africa 1990 - 2007</b>	31
<b>Figure 2-3: The vicious cycle of HIV and AIDS in the education system.</b>	33
<b>Figure 5-1: Concurrent triangulation design</b>	89
<b>Figure 5-2: Gender distribution of experimental and comparison groups</b>	100
<b>Figure 5-3: Age distribution of the experimental and comparison groups</b>	101
<b>Figure 5-4: ProQol results for the experimental group</b>	104
<b>Figure 5-5: ProQol data for the comparison group</b>	105
<b>Figure 5-6: Comparison between experimental and comparison group ProQol pre- and post-test results</b>	106
<b>Figure 5-7: RSA data from the experimental group</b>	108
<b>Figure 5-8: RSA data from the comparison group</b>	109
<b>Figure 5-9: Comparison between RSA pre-test results for the experimental and comparison groups</b>	110
<b>Figure 5-10: Comparison between RSA post-test results for the experimental and comparison groups</b>	111
<b>Figure 5-11: Theme 1: The impact of HIV and AIDS on the school environment</b>	116
<b>Figure 5-12: Theme 2: The personal impact of HIV and AIDS on the educator</b>	125
<b>Figure 5-13: Theme 3: The impact of HIV and AIDS on the community</b>	130
<b>Figure 5-14: Theme 4: Thoughts of educators on HIV and AIDS</b>	135
<b>Figure 5-15: Theme 5: Action plans educators devise to cope with the impact of HIV and AIDS</b>	139
<b>Figure 5-16: Theme 1: The impact of HIV and AIDS on the school environment</b>	143
<b>Figure 5-17: Theme 2: The personal impact of HIV and AIDS on the educator</b>	147
<b>Figure 5-18: Theme 3: The impact of HIV and AIDS on the community</b>	150
<b>Figure 5-19: Theme 4: Thoughts of Educators on HIV and AIDS</b>	153
<b>Figure 5-20: Theme 5: Action plan educators devise to cope with the impact of HIV and AIDS</b>	155

## Index Of Tables

<b>Table 1: Outline of the research report</b>	24
<b>Table 2: Summary of themes and sub-themes</b>	114
<b>Table 3: Summary of experimental group themes derived from drawings.</b>	160
<b>Table 4: Summary of comparison group themes derived from drawings.</b>	163

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- I would like to bring thanks to God for giving me the passion for people, resources, knowledge and motivation to complete my studies. To Him be the Glory!
- I would like to thank my loving, wonderful husband, Willem, for the emotional support, motivation, inspiration, love, household chores, cooking dinner and thousands of cups of coffee! :o) . Thank you for your encouraging me when I got tired, for believing in me when I did not and for understanding when I had to work. Thank you for being there every step of the way. You made this study a manageable journey.
- I would like to thank my mother, Martie, for supporting and encouraging me every step of the way. Thank you introducing me to EAP, and for believing in me. Thank you for the computer that you gave me after mine was stolen – without that resource this would not have been possible.
- I want to thank my dad, Johan for believing in me! Thank you for encouraging and assisting me when I needed a fresh eye on the statistics.
- I would like to thank Marzanne for her professional and effective proofreading of this dissertation.
- To the following people: Cynthia, Jacob, Kees, Christien, Hendrik, Marga, and my home cell, thank you for your support and encouragement!
- To Prof. Delport, my supervisor, thank you for including me in this study, and for your guidance and encouragement. Thank you for sharing your professional knowledge and time with me.
- To Prof. Theron, REEds project leader, it was a pleasure to be part of the REEds team.

- To Regina Molokomme, the observer in the group sessions, thank you for your diligence and participation.
- The warmth, openness and contributions of the principal and educators of the Diepsloot Combined School, Johannesburg, who formed the experimental group is highly appreciated. Thank you for attending the sessions, and for completing the pre- and post-test. Thank you for sharing your hearts with me, and for being open about your experiences. It has been an enriching journey for me. I am very thankful towards you, because without your willing participation, this study would not have been possible.
- Thanks are also due to the Principal and educators of the Emfundiswene Primary School in Alexandra, Johannesburg, for participating as a comparison group.
- The financial sponsorship from the National Research Foundation of South Africa made a valuable contribution towards the cost of the study. The conclusions and recommendations of the study are solely that of the researcher and not necessarily endorsed by the National Research Foundation.

## ABSTRACT

# EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE RESILIENT EDUCATORS SUPPORT PROGRAMME AMONG HIV AND AIDS AFFECTED EDUCATORS IN GAUTENG

by

Wya Aike van der Waal

Supervisor: Prof. C.S.L. Delport

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK AND CRIMINOLOGY

DEGREE: MSD (EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAMMES)

---

The Resilient Educators support programme (REds) for HIV and AIDS affected educators was initiated by the University of the Northwest in 2006, following a research project in 2005 that highlighted the need for a support programme that addresses the challenges of educators affected by HIV and AIDS, as existing support structures were found to be inadequate.

The REds programme is implemented in phases, and after the completion of each phase, the programme is modified to meet the needs of a broader audience of educators. Since 2006, the REds programme has been implemented by independent researchers in four South African provinces, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, the Northwest province and the Free State.

This round of implementation included a comparison group, to allow researchers to compare data. The 2009 implementation of the REds programme was aimed at gathering comparative data to prove that the programme has a positive impact on the quality of life and resilience of educators. This was done in order to provide to the greater REds programme the opportunity to generalise the findings of the programme, and implement it on a national level.

The goal of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of the 2009 version of the REds programme to enhance the quality of life and resilience of HIV and AIDS affected educators in Gauteng.

For the purpose of this research study, the researcher used applied and evaluative research. The mixed methods research approach was used, followed by the concurrent triangulation design. The qualitative and quantitative data carried the same weight in the results of the study, and the data sets were merged in the interpretation to produce well-validated conclusions. When comparing the pre- and post-test results, both the quantitative and qualitative data were used to prove or disprove the hypothesis. For the quantitative part of the study, the researcher made use of a quasi-experimental design namely the comparison group pre-test-post-test design. For the qualitative part of the study, the researcher used a collective case study design.

Quantitative data was collected through two group administered standardised questionnaires, the Professional Quality of Life Screening (ProQol) and the Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA). Qualitative data was collected by using a narrative, drawings and observations. Pre-test data was collected from the experimental and comparison groups prior to exposure to the REds programme. The experimental group participated in the programme and afterwards, both the experimental and comparison groups participated in a post-test. The participants were recruited from the Diepsloot Combined School and the Emfundiswene Primary School in Alexandra, Johannesburg, Gauteng, by using non-probability volunteer sampling.

The quantitative empirical research findings in the experimental group data showed minimal differences between the pre- and post-test data for the ProQol test, and trivial differences in the RSA screening. The comparison group data also showed minimal differences, but the differences were in a downward trend. When comparing the experimental and comparison group findings, the experimental group's results were slightly more positive than the comparison group, but not enough to draw valid conclusions. However, the qualitative findings showed that the participants in the experimental group found that the programme addressed their support needs as HIV and AIDS affected educators and they felt empowered with knowledge and skills that they lacked, thus making them more resilient. The researcher did not mark any changes in the comparison group data, thus indicating that they did not feel empowered.

The researcher hypothesised the following: If the Resilient Educators support programme (REds) were implemented among HIV and AIDS affected educators, their quality of life and resilience will be increased. Conclusions drawn from the qualitative research findings indicated that the REds programme met the support needs of HIV and AIDS affected educators, as the experimental group indicated that they felt empowered and the comparison group did not indicate this. The quantitative data results were not significant enough to prove or disprove the proposed hypothesis, and thus the researcher recommends that the reasons for the insignificant test results from the questionnaires be investigated.

**Key words:**

Evaluation

Resilient Educators Support Programme

HIV

AIDS

HIV and AIDS affected

Educators

HIV and AIDS affected educators

Empowered

Support

Resilience

Quality of life

Education system

## OPSOMMING

# EVALUERING VAN DIE DOELTREFFENDHEID VAN DIE RESILIENT EDUCATORS SUPPORT PROGRAMME VIR MIV- EN VIGS- GEAFFEKTEERDE OPVOEDERS IN GAUTENG

deur

Wya Aike van der Waal

Studieleier: Prof. C.S.L. Delport

DEPARTEMENT MAATSKAPLIKE WERK EN KRIMINOLOGIE

GRAAD: MSW (WERKNEMERHULPPROGRAMME)

---

Die Resilient Educators Support Programme (REds), 'n ondersteunings program vir MIV-en VIGS-geaffekteerde opvoeders, is in 2006, deur die Noordwes-Universiteit ontwikkel. Die projek spruit uit navorsing wat in 2005 gedoen is en getoon het dat die uitdagings wat MIV-en VIGS-geaffekteerde opvoeders in die gesig staar nie aangespreek word deur die huidige ondersteuningstrukture nie, en dat daar 'n daadwerklike behoefte aan 'n ondersteuningsprogram bestaan.

Die REds-program word in fases geïmplementeer, en in elke fase, word die program heraangepas om aan die behoeftes van 'n breër teikengehoor van opvoeders te voldoen. Sedert die begin van die projek in 2006, is die REds-program deur verskeie onafhanklike navorsers, in vier Suid Afrikaanse provinsies, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Noordwes en die Vrystaat geïmplementeer.

Die 2009-implementering van die REds-program, het 'n vergelykende groep ingesluit, wat navorsers instaat stel om die data wat ingesamel word te vergelyk met 'n groep wat nie 'n intervensie ontvang het nie. Die 2009-implementering van die REds-program se doel was om vergelykbare data in te samel, en sodoende te bewys dat die program 'n positiewe impak op die lewensgehalte en veerkrachtigheid van opvoeders het.

Die doel van hierdie studie was om te evalueer hoe doeltreffend die 2009-weergawe van die REEds-program die lewenskwaliteit en veerkragtigheid van MIV-en VIGS-geaffekteerde opvoeders in Gauteng verbeter.

Vir die doeleindes van hierdie navorsing het die navorsing toegepaste en evaluerende navorsing benut. Die gemengdemetode-navorsingsbenadering en die samewerkende triangulasie-ontwerp is benut. Die kwantitatiewe en kwalitatiewe data dra ewe veel gewig in die resultate van die studie. Datastelle is ook saamgevoeg tydens die interpretasie daarvan ten einde deeglik gestaafde gevolgtrekkings te maak. Tydens die vergelyking van die voor- en na-toetsresultate, is die kwantitatiewe en kwalitatiewe data benut om die hipotese te bewys of te weerlê. Vir die kwantitatiewe deel van die studie het die navorsing 'n kwasi-eksperimentele ontwerp, genaamd die groep-vergelykende voor-toets-na-toets-ontwerp benut. Die kwalitatiewe deel van die studie is gedoen met behulp van die kollektiewe gevalliestudie-ontwerp.

Kwantitatiewe data is verkry deur twee groepgeadministreerde gestandaardiseerde vraelyste, die Professional Quality of Life Screening (ProQol) en die Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA), te gebruik. Kwalitatiewe data is ingesamel deur gebruik te maak van 'n narratief, tekeninge en observasies. Voor-toets-data is ingesamel by die eksperimentele en vergelykende groep. Die eksperimentele groep het die die REEds-program deurloop en beide groepe het daarna deelgeneem aan die na-toets.

Die deelnemers van die Diepsloot gekombineerde skool en die Emfundiswene laerskool in Alexandra, Johannesburg is by wyse van 'n nie-waarskynlikheidssteekproef trekking gekies, deur van die vrywillige steekproeftegniek gebruik te maak.

Die kwantitatiewe navorsingsbevindinge van die eksperimentele groep het minimale verskille tussen die voor- en na-toets-data getoon vir die ProQol-toets, en niksbeduidende verskille is opgemerk in die RSA-toets. Die vergelykende groep se data het ook minimale verskille tussen die voor- en na-toets getoon, maar hierdie veranderings was negatief. In 'n vergelyking tussen die eksperimentele en vergelykende groep se resultate, is bevind dat die eksperimentele groep se uitslae meer positief van aard was as die van die vergelykende groep. Hierdie verskil is egter so klein dat geen werkelike gevolgtrekkings gemaak kan word nie.

Desnieteenstaande het die kwalitatiewe bevindinge getoon dat die program wel aan die eksperimentele groep se ondersteuningsbehoeftes voorsien het. Die deelnemers het aangedui dat hulle bemagtig is met die kennis en vaardighede wat hul benodig om hul veerkrachtigheid te verhoog. Die navorser het egter geen veranderinge in die vergelykende groep se data waargeneem nie, wat dus beteken dat die vergelykende groep nie bemagtig is nie.

Die navorser het die volgende hipotese geformuleer: Indien die Resilient Educators support program (REds) onder MIV-en VIGS-geaffekteerde opvoeders geïmplimenteer word, sal hul lewenskwaliteit en veerkrachtigheid verbeter. Gevolgtrekkings gemaak na gelang van die kwalitatiewe navorsingsbevindinge toon aan dat die REds-program wel die ondersteuningsbehoeftes van die opvoeders aanspreek, aangesien die eksperimentele groep aangedui het dat hulle bemagtig voel, in teenstelling met die vergelykende groep wat nie bemagtig voel nie. Die kwantitatiewe navorsingsbevindinge was egter van so 'n aard dat die navorser dit nie kon benut om die hipotese waar of vals te bewys nie. Na gelang van hierdie bevinding beveel die navorser onder andere aan dat die rede(s) vir die nikssegende kwantitatiewe toetsresultate verder ondersoek word.

Sleutelwoorde:

Evaluering

Resilient Educators Support Programme

MIV

VIGS

MIV-en VIGS-geaffekteerde

Opvoeders

MIV-en VIGS-geaffekteerde opvoeders

Bemagtig

Ondersteuning

Veerkrachtigheid

Lewensgehalte

Opvoedingstelsel