

**COMMUNICATING AFFIRMATIVE ACTION DURING  
TRANSFORMATIONAL CHANGE:  
A SOUTH AFRICAN CASE STUDY PERSPECTIVE**

by

**ANNÉ LEONARD**

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

**MPHIL (COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT)**

in the

**FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES**

at the

**UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA**

**Supervisor: Prof AF Grobler**

**April 2004**

Life is a great partner.  
Its demands are not unreasonable.  
A great capacity for change lives in every one of us.

**Margaret Wheatley**

## Declaration

I declare that the Master's dissertation, which I hereby submit for the degree MPhil (Communication Management) at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at another university.

Anné Leonard

April 2004

## Acknowledgements

I wish to thank:

- God Almighty, for every opportunity, blessing and the countless miracles related to this project.
- My entire family, for your unconditional love, support and many prayers.
- Friends: Thank you for your interest and encouragement.

### **Those instrumental in the completion of this study:**

- Professor Anské Grobler, supervisor:  
Thank you for the gentle nudges in the right direction and incredible collegial support. Your insight and attention to detail were critical to my learning and the overall standard of this project. Your commitment to every postgraduate study is truly exemplary.
- Ursula Ströh (University of Technology, Sydney, Australia), professor Hannes de Beer (Department of Human Resource Management, University of Pretoria) and John Bishop (Strategic Researcher at Alexander Forbes): Thank you for sharing your knowledge with such enthusiasm.
- Dr De la Rey van der Walddt, colleague: Your assistance as a independent coder was invaluable.
- Jana Leonard for language editing: I deeply appreciate your involvement and advice.
- Mieke van Niekerk for assistance with initial transcriptions.
- Colleagues in the Department of Marketing and Communication Management: Thank you for your encouragement and support.

## Financial assistance

Financial assistance provided by the National Research Foundation (NRF) in respect of the costs of this study is hereby acknowledged. Opinions or conclusions that have been expressed in this study are those of the writer and must not be seen to represent the views, opinions or conclusions of the NRF.

## Abstract

South Africa is often described as a nation in transition since the societal and political transformation is an ongoing process. The South African employment environment is one area that now boasts a number of laws that are interrelated and aimed at achieving transformation of the workplace, as well as the economic empowerment of those who had previously been victims of racial segregation. The Employment Equity Act No. 55 of 1998 is regarded as central to the appreciation of equality of individuals in the workplace, irrespective of race, gender and/or disability.

The management of communication is central to the process of corporate transformation as a result of this Act. (This fact is confirmed by the emphasis in the Act itself on organisations' duty to inform and consult with stakeholders and several guideline documents.) Since previous research had pointed to broadly defined communication problems, the overarching research question of this study is: "How do South African organisations manage communication about Affirmative Action (within the context of Employment Equity)?"

Chaos theory (a postmodern perspective) serves as the theoretical framework from which organisations' approach to the duty to inform and consult with stakeholders, transformational change management, the management of communication and transformational leadership were investigated. A conceptual framework for the management of communication in this context, which is based on the ideas of the chaos perspective, is also proposed.

Empirical evidence regarding the research question was gathered by means of a qualitative, multiple case study investigation. The most senior Communication, Human Resources and Employment Equity practitioners were interviewed in each of the three organisations, while the Employment Equity communication strategy of each organisation was compared to the theoretical framework by Thomas and Robertshaw (1999).

The unique corporate philosophy of each organisation influences the manner in which Employment Equity strategies are implemented. The term "Affirmative Action" is not utilised in any of the organisations. Communication has strategic value in the external arena, while internal communication about Employment Equity is not satisfactory in two of the organisations. All types/levels of leaders have

communication responsibilities in this context, while one organisation also relies on the philosophy of self-directed leadership. Only one organisation is currently managing communication according to a formalised strategy.

Recommendations regarding the management of communication in this transformational context can be summarised with the overarching requirement that transformation should be approached as a “thinking science”. The multitude of paradoxes that were highlighted by the chaos perspective should be considered constantly: herein lies the real challenge for South African organisations.

## Opsomming

Suid-Afrika word dikwels beskryf as 'n land wat in 'n oorgangstadium is, synde sosiale en politieke transformasie 'n voortdurende proses is. Die Suid-Afrikaanse werksomgewing is een terrein wat nou spog met 'n aantal wette wat nou verwant is aan mekaar en ten doel het om transformasie van die werkplek teweeg te bring, asook die ekonomiese bemagtiging van diegene wat voorheen slagoffers van rasseverdeeltheid was. Die Wet op Gelyke Indiensneming Nr. 55 van 1998 word beskou as sentraal in die waardering van gelykheid van individue in die werkplek, ongeag ras, geslag en/of gestremdheid.

Die bestuur van kommunikasie is sentraal in die proses van korporatiewe transformasie as gevolg van hierdie wet. (Hierdie feit word bevestig deur die klem wat in die wet self gelê word op organisasies se plig om belangegroeppe in te lig en met hulle te konsulteer, asook verskeie riglyndokumente.) Omdat vorige navorsing dui op breë kommunikasieprobleme, is die oorkoepelende navorsingsvraag van hierdie studie: "Hoe bestuur Suid-Afrikaanse organisasies kommunikasie omtrent regstellende optrede (binne die konteks van Gelyke Indiensneming)?"

Chaosteorie ('n post-moderne perspektief) dien as die teoretiese raamwerk van waaruit organisasies se benadering tot hulle plig om belangegroeppe in te lig en met hulle te konsulteer, transformasiebestuur, die bestuur van kommunikasie en transformasieleierskap ondersoek is. 'n Konseptuele raamwerk vir die bestuur van kommunikasie in hierdie konteks, wat gebaseer is op die idees van die chaos-perspektief, word ook voorgestel.

Empiriese bewyse rakende die navorsingsvraag is ingesamel deur middel van 'n kwalitatiewe, meervoudige gevallestudie. Onderhoude is gevoer met die mees senior Kommunikasie-, Menslike Hulpbronne- en Gelyke Indiensnemingspraktisyns in elk van hierdie organisasies, terwyl die Gelyke Indiensneming kommunikasiestrategie vergelyk is met die teoretiese raamwerk van Thomas en Robertshaw (1999).

Die unieke korporatiewe filosofie van elke organisasie beïnvloed die wyse waarop Gelyke Indiensnemingstrategieë geïmplementeer word. Die term "Regstellende Optrede" word nie in enige van hierdie organisasies gebruik nie. Kommunikasie het strategiese waarde in die eksterne arena, terwyl interne kommunikasie rondom Gelyke Indiensneming onbevredigend is in twee van die organisasies.



Alle tipes/vlakke leiers het kommunikasieverantwoordelikhede binne hierdie konteks, terwyl een organisasie die filosofie van self-gedrewe leierskap ondersteun. Slegs een organisasie bestuur kommunikasie tans aan die hand van 'n formele strategie.

Aanbevelings rakende die bestuur van kommunikasie in hierdie transformasiekonteks kan opgesom word met die oorhoofse vereiste dat transformasie benader behoort te word as 'n "wetenskap wat denke vereis". Die vele paradokse wat deur die chaos-perspektief uitgelig is, behoort voortdurend oorweeg te word: hierin lê die werklike uitdaging vir Suid-Afrikaanse organisasies.

---

## Table of contents

---

### Chapter 1

### Orientation and background

1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	THE RESEARCH PROBLEM AND ITS SETTING	3
1.2.1	Reactions to Affirmative Action	4
1.2.2	Perspectives on transformation change management and change communication	5
1.2.3	The centrality of communication within transformation	6
1.2.4	Existing South African frameworks for communicating Affirmative Action	7
1.2.5	Transformational leadership in communicating Affirmative Action	9
1.2.6	Employment Equity legislative framework	10
1.3	META-THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	11
1.3.1	Postmodernism as worldview	11
1.3.2	Paradigms	13
1.3.3	Domains, sub-fields and specific theories	13
1.3.4	Major concept	14
1.4	KEY TERMS	14
1.4.1	Transformational change	14
1.4.2	Transformational change communication	15
1.4.3	Affirmative Action	16
1.4.4	Transformational leadership	16
1.4.5	Empirical methods and measurement instruments	17
1.5	AIM AND OBJECTIVES	17
1.5.1	General aim	17
1.5.2	Objectives	17
1.5.3	Towards a holistic understanding of the major concept	19

---

---

1.6	IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY	20
1.7	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	21
1.8	DELIMITATION OF STUDY	21
1.9	DEMARICATION OF CHAPTERS	22

## **Chapter 2**

### **Transformational change management and change communication**

2.1	INTRODUCTION	26
2.2	DEFINING TRANSFORMATIONAL CHANGE	27
2.2.1	Change	27
2.2.2	Transition	27
2.2.3	Transformational change	28
2.3	KEY DIMENSIONS OF CORPORATE TRANSFORMATION AND CHANGE COMMUNICATION	29
2.3.1	Organisational complexity and complexity of organisational reactions to change	29
2.3.2	Corporate leadership	30
2.3.3	Multiple stakeholders	30
2.3.4	Corporate culture	31
2.3.5	Organisational learning	31
2.4	ORGANIC THINKING ABOUT TRANSFORMATIONAL CHANGE AND COMMUNICATION	32
2.4.1	Motivating factors for favouring the organic worldview	33
2.4.2	Considerations regarding the usefulness of chaos and complexity perspectives	35

---

---

2.5	A NEW VOCABULARY FOR TRANSFORMATIONAL CHANGE AND COMMUNICATION	36
2.5.1	Organisational metaphors	37
2.5.2	Disequilibrium	38
2.5.3	Non-linearity	38
2.5.4	Bifurcations and butterfly-effect	39
2.5.5	Strange attractors and fractals	40
2.5.6	Irreversibility	41
2.5.7	Scale and holism	41
2.5.8	Self-organising ability of systems and self-renewal	44
2.5.9	Self-transcendence	44
2.5.10	Interdependence and fragmentation	45
2.5.11	Feedback	46
2.5	IMPLICATIONS OF THE CHAOS PERSPECTIVE ON THREE FOCUS AREAS	47
2.6.1	Transformational strategy formulation and implementation	47
2.6.1.1	Strategic vision and planning	48
2.6.1.2	Commitment from top management	50
2.6.1.3	Modelling of the new culture	51
2.6.2	Individual transition	52
2.6.3	Transformational leadership	55
2.7	CONCLUSION	60

## Chapter 3

### Affirmative Action in South Africa: Development approaches and legislative requirements

3.1	INTRODUCTION	61
3.2	THE MULTI-DIMENSIONAL NATURE OF AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN SOUTH AFRICA	61

---

---

3.3	HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT IN SOUTH AFRICA: A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE ON SIX ERAS	63
3.3.1	Paternalism (1652 – mid-1970's)	64
3.3.2	Equal Opportunities (Late 1970's to early 1980's)	65
3.3.3	Black Advancement (Early 1980's to late 1980's)	67
3.3.4	Affirmative Action (1994 – beyond)	69
	3.3.4.1 Lessons from the United States of America	69
	3.3.4.2 Lessons from Malaysia	70
3.3.5	Bottom-up Affirmative Action (1990 – mid-1990's)	70
3.3.6	Top-down Affirmative Action (Emerging from 1994)	73
3.3.7	Diversity Management vs. Affirmative Action	75
3.3.8	Black Economic Empowerment (1980's to current)	78
3.4	THE EMPLOYMENT EQUITY ACT AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION MEASURES	80
3.4.1	The purpose of the Employment Equity Act	81
3.4.2	Key concepts within the Employment Equity Act	81
3.4.3	Specific Affirmative Action measures	82
3.4.4	Mechanisms for the implementation, monitoring and reporting of Employment Equity	83
3.5	MOTIVATING FACTORS FOR FAVOURING THE DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT APPROACH IN SOUTH AFRICAN ORGANISATIONS	84
3.6	EMPLOYMENT EQUITY CHALLENGES FOR ORGANISATIONAL TRANSFORMATION IN SOUTH AFRICA	86
3.6.1	Debates about the continuation of the Employment Equity Act	86
3.6.2	Information about the Employment Equity Act	87
3.6.3	From cyclical to strategic communication efforts	89
3.6.4	Transformational leadership within the context of Employment Equity matters	90
3.7	CONCLUSION	92

---

---

## Chapter 4

# Frameworks for managing communication about Affirmative Action in South Africa

4.1	INTRODUCTION	93
4.2	FRAMEWORKS FOR MANAGING COMMUNICATION ABOUT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION	94
4.2.1	Four early frameworks: 1993 – 1995	94
4.2.2	Two later frameworks: 1999	95
4.2.2.1	Code of Good Practice for the EEA (1999)	96
4.2.2.2	Thomas and Robertshaw's (1999) Employment Equity strategy	99
4.2.2.2.1	A model for integrated thinking	100
4.2.2.2.2	A framework for a communication strategy	105
4.3	ESTIMATING THE VALUE OF THE CODE FOR GOOD PRACTICE AND THE CONTRIBUTIONS BY THOMAS AND ROBERTSHAW	110
4.4	TOWARDS A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR COMMUNICATION ABOUT EMPLOYMENT EQUITY	112
4.4.1	Understanding the changing role of communication within transformational change management	113
4.4.2	Understanding the strategic alignment paradox	114
4.4.3	Understanding the leadership paradox	118
4.4.4	Corporate culture transformation	118
4.4.5	Dialogue with stakeholders	120
4.4.6	Organisational learning	122
4.6	CONCLUSION	123

---

---

## Chapter 5

### Research methodology

5.1	INTRODUCTION	124
5.2	CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING THE RESEARCH APPROACH	125
5.3	QUALITATIVE RESEARCH: A DEFINITION	125
5.4	CRITERIA FOR QUALITATIVE RESEARCH	126
5.5	CASE STUDY RESEARCH	128
5.6	MULTIPLE CASE STUDY DESIGN	129
5.7	SAMPLING DESIGN	130
5.7.1	Sampling technique	130
5.7.2	Case study realisation	131
5.8	EVIDENCE COLLECTION	132
5.8.1	Partially structured personal interviews	132
5.8.2	Corporate strategy documents	134
5.9	DEVELOPMENT OF THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE	136
5.9.1	Researcher experience	136
5.9.2	The final interview schedule in relation to the research objectives	136
5.10	PILOT STUDY	138
5.10.1	Pilot study profile and summary of findings	138
5.10.2	Research experience	139
5.10.3	Sampling strategy	139
5.10.4	Research design	139

---

---

5.10.5	Improvement of evidence collection instruments	140
5.10.6	Experimentation with evidence analysis techniques	140
5.11	CASE STUDY ANALYSIS	140
5.11.1	Interview analysis	141
5.11.2	Corporate strategy document analysis	142
5.11.3	Overall comparison	143
5.12	APPLYING THE CRITERIA FOR SOUNDNESS	143
5.12.1	Credibility	143
5.12.2	Transferability	144
5.12.3	Dependability	144
5.12.3.1	Coding validity	144
5.12.3.2	Inter-coder reliability	145
5.12.4	Confirmability	145
5.13	CONCLUSION	146

## Chapter 6

### Results and interpretations

6.1	INTRODUCTION	147
6.2	RESULTS FOR CASE STUDY 1	148
6.2.1	Organisational profile	148
6.2.2	Research setting	148
6.2.2.1	Interviewees	149
6.2.2.2	Corporate strategy documents	149
6.2.3	Results for objectives 2 – 11 (except objective 4)	149
6.2.3.1	Objective 2: Purpose of Affirmative Action	149
6.2.3.2	Objective 3: Strategic value of communication	150
6.2.3.3	Objective 5: Key role players	151

---



---

6.2.3.4	Objective 6: Key responsibilities of the most senior Communication, Human Resources and Employment Equity practitioners	153
6.2.3.5	Objective 7: Contribution of the Corporate Communication function	155
6.2.3.6	Objective 8: Communication responsibilities of other departmental leaders	157
6.2.3.7	Objective 9: Content for internal stakeholders	158
6.2.3.8	Objective 10: Content for external stakeholders	159
6.2.3.9	Objective 11: Content analysis of communication strategy	160
6.3	RESULTS FOR CASE STUDY 2	160
6.3.1	Organisational profile	160
6.3.2	Research setting	161
6.3.2.1	Interviewees	161
6.3.2.2	Corporate strategy documents	162
6.3.3	Results for objectives 2 – 11 (except objective 4)	162
6.3.3.1	Objective 2: Purpose of Affirmative Action	162
6.3.3.2	Objective 3: Strategic value of communication	162
6.3.3.3	Objective 5: Key role players	165
6.3.3.4	Objective 6: Key responsibilities of the most senior Communication, Human Resources and Employment Equity practitioners	167
6.3.3.5	Objective 7: Contribution of the Corporate Communication function	168
6.3.3.6	Objective 8: Communication responsibilities of other departmental leaders	170
6.3.3.7	Objective 9: Content for internal stakeholders	170
6.3.3.8	Objective 10: Content for external stakeholders	171
6.3.3.9	Objective 11: Content analysis of communication strategy	171
6.4	RESULTS FOR CASE STUDY 3	171
6.4.1	Organisational profile	171
6.4.2	Research setting	172
6.4.2.1	Interviewees	172
6.4.2.2	Corporate strategy documents	173

---

---

6.4.3	Results for objectives 2 – 11 (except objective 4)	173
6.4.3.1	Objective 2: Purpose of Affirmative Action	173
6.4.3.2	Objective 3: Strategic value of communication	174
6.4.3.3	Objective 5: Key role players	176
6.4.3.4	Objective 6: Key responsibilities of the most senior Communication, Human Resources and Employment Equity practitioners	178
6.4.3.5	Objective 7: Contribution of the Corporate Communication function	180
6.4.3.6	Objective 8: Communication responsibilities of other departmental leaders	181
6.4.3.7	Objective 9: Content for internal stakeholders	182
6.4.3.8	Objective 10: Content for external stakeholders	183
6.4.3.9	Objective 11: Content analysis of communication strategy	184
6.5	COMPARISON OF CASES AND INTERPRETATIONS	188
6.5.1	Objective 2: Purpose of Affirmative Action (A comparison across cases)	189
6.5.2	Objective 3: Strategic value of communication (A comparison of views within each case Study)	193
6.5.3	Objective 4: Strategic value of communication (A comparison across cases)	195
6.5.4	Objective 5: Key role players (A comparison across cases)	200
6.5.5	Objective 6: Key responsibilities of the most senior Communication, Human Resources and Employment Equity practitioners (A comparison across cases)	201
6.5.6	Objective 7: Contribution of the Corporate Communication function (A comparison across cases)	206
6.5.7	Objective 8: Communication responsibilities of other departmental leaders (A comparison across cases)	207
6.5.8	Objective 9: Content for internal stakeholders (A comparison across cases)	209
6.5.9	Objective 10: Content for external stakeholders (A comparison across cases)	210
6.5.10	Objective 11: Content analysis of communication strategy (A comparison across cases)	212
6.6	CONCLUSION	212

---

---

## Chapter 7

### Conclusions and recommendations

7.1	INTRODUCTION	214
7.2	CONCLUSIONS	215
7.2.1	Objective 1: Conceptual framework for the management of communication about Employment Equity	215
7.2.2	Empirical conclusions	217
7.2.2.1	Objective 2: Purpose of Affirmative Action	217
7.2.2.2	Objectives 3-4: Strategic value of communication	218
7.2.2.3	Objective 5: Key role players	219
7.2.2.4	Objective 6: Key responsibilities of the most senior Communication, Human Resources and Employment Equity practitioners	219
7.2.2.5	Objective 7: Contribution of the Corporate Communication function	220
7.2.2.6	Objective 8: Communication responsibilities of other departmental leaders	221
7.2.2.7	Objective 9: Content for internal stakeholders	221
7.2.2.8	Objective 10: Content for external stakeholders	222
7.2.2.9	Objective 11: Content analysis of communication strategy	222
7.3	OVERALL COMPARISON OF CASES	222
7.4	HOLISTIC VIEW OF THE RESEARCH PHENOMENON	223
7.4.1	Duty to inform and consult with stakeholders	223
7.4.2	Approach to Employment Equity as a transformational change process	224
7.4.3	Approach to the management of communication about Employment Equity	225
7.4.4	Approach to leadership within Employment Equity as a transformational change process	225
7.5.	RECOMMENDATIONS	225
7.5.1	Conceptual framework for the management of communication about Employment Equity	225
7.5.2	Purpose of Affirmative Action	226
7.5.3	Strategic value of communication	226

---

---

7.5.4	Key role players	227
7.5.5	Key responsibilities of the most senior Communication, Human Resources and Employment Equity practitioners	228
7.5.6	Contribution of the Corporate Communication function	228
7.5.7	Communication responsibilities of other departmental leaders	229
7.5.8	Content for internal stakeholders	229
7.5.9	Content for external stakeholders	229
7.5.10	Communication strategy formulation and implementation	230
7.6	LIMITATIONS	230
7.6.1	Theoretical limitations	230
7.6.2	Empirical limitations	230
7.7	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING FUTURE RESEARCH	231
7.7.1	Empirical recommendations	231
7.7.2	Research topics	232
7.8	CONCLUDING REMARKS	232
	<b>References</b>	234
	<b>Appendices</b>	250
	APPENDIX 1: Invitation to participate in the research project	250
	APPENDIX 2: Pilot study interview schedule	255
	APPENDIX 3: Final interview schedule	260

---

## List of figures

1.1:	Structure of study	23
2.1:	Chapter 2 in relation to other theoretical chapters	26
2.2:	The holistic view of a fractal pattern	42
2.3:	The myopic view of a fractal pattern	43
2.4:	The four R's of transformation	49
2.5:	Transitional change	53
3.1:	Chapter 3 in relation to other theoretical chapters	61
3.2:	Human capital development eras in South Africa	64
3.3:	Integrated human resources development	76
4.1:	Chapter 4 in relation to other theoretical chapters	93
4.2:	A model for integrated thinking about Employment Equity	101
4.3:	Aligning the corporate communication strategy with the corporate strategy	115
5.1:	Chapter 5 in relation to other components of the empirical phase	124
5.2:	An application of a multiple case study design	129
6.1:	Chapter 6 in relation to other components of the empirical phase	147
7.1:	Chapter 7 in relation to other components of the empirical phase	214
7.2:	A holistic view of communication about Employment Equity	224

---

---

## List of tables

1.1:	Meta-theoretical and conceptual framework	12
1.2:	Objectives in relation to research question and sub-questions	20
3.1:	Differences between bottom-up and top-down Affirmative Action	74
3.2:	Comparison of Affirmative Action and Diversity Management approaches	78
4.1:	Thomas and Robertshaw's communication strategy for Employment Equity	105
5.1:	Quantitative and qualitative notions of objectivity	126
5.2:	Strengths and weaknesses: Interviews	133
5.3:	Strengths and weaknesses: Documents	135
5.4:	The research objectives in relation to the final interview questions	137
5.5:	Structure for individual case study reports	141
5.6:	Logic for analysis 1: Collective themes	142
5.7:	Logic for analysis 2: Comparison of one interview across three case studies	142
5.8:	Content analysis regarding Thomas and Robertshaw's framework	143
5.9:	Multiple case study comparison	143
6.1:	Content analysis of Case Study 3 communication strategy	187
6.2:	Communication practitioners' perspectives on the strategic value of communication	196
6.3:	Human Resources practitioners' perspectives on the strategic value of communication	198
6.4:	Employment Equity practitioners' perspectives on the strategic value of communication	199
6.5:	Key responsibilities of Communication practitioners	202
6.6:	Key responsibilities of Human Resources practitioners	203
6.7:	Key responsibilities of Employment Equity practitioners	205
6.8:	The contribution of the Corporate Communication function	207
6.9:	Communication responsibilities of other departmental leaders across cases	208
6.10:	Content for internal stakeholders across cases	210
6.11:	Content for external stakeholders across cases	211

---