



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA  
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA  
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

# **A critical appraisal of regional geotechnical mapping in South Africa**

by

I. Kleinhans

2002

**A critical appraisal of regional geotechnical mapping in South Africa**

by

Ilsé Kleinhans

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

**Magister Scientiae in Engineering and Environmental Geology**

in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

University of Pretoria

Pretoria

December 2002

## SINOPSIS

Die behoefte na en die voorsiening van vinnige en akkurate ingenieursgeologiese inligting vir grootskaalse beplanning en ontwikkelingsdoeleindes, sal altyd bestaan. Die identifisering van land op 'n regionale skaal word derhalwe genoodsaak, ten einde aan die vereistes van 'n groeiende infrastruktuur en die ontwikkeling van huise oor groot areas te voldoen. Dit is dus belangrik om land te identifiseer wat geologies of geotegnies geskik is vir koste effektiewe dorpsontwikkeling, omgewings volhoubaar is, relatief vry van risiko's geassosieër met natuurlike rampe, asook van hulp sal wees in die soeke na konstruksie materiaal reserwes ten einde te voorkom dat sterilisering van hierdie hulpbronne sal plaasvind.

Die hoeveelheid en tipe van inligting benodig vir die prosessering van 'n ingenieursgeologiese kaart sal hoofsaaklik afhang van die doel, inhoud en skaal van die kaart. Regionale ingenieursgeologiese kaarte kan in terme van gebruik beskryf word as spesiaal of meervoudig. Waar spesiale gebruik kaarte verteenwoordigend is van inligting geevalueer in terme van 'n spesifieke komponent van ingenieursgeologie soos byvoorbeeld die graad van verwerking van rots of 'n spesifieke behoefte, en algemene gebruik kaarte verteenwoordigend is van inligting wat voorsien word van 'n hele aantal aspekte van ingenieursgeologie vir 'n verskeidenheid van beplanning en ingenieurs gebruike.

Die akkuraatheid van inligting ingesamel, volgens die land faset benadering, vir regionale ingenieursgeologiese doeleindes, sal van die volgende faktore afhang: 1) Die skaal waarop inligting ingevorder is; 2) Die gekompliseerdheid van die karteringsterrein in terme van geologie en landvorme; 3) Die skaal van die kaart waarop inligting voorgestel word.

Faktore wat in ag geneem moet word gedurende regionale ingenieursgeologiese kartering, is gedefinieër en beskryf in terme van veld en laboratorium identifisering, as ook die implikasies wat verband hou met hierdie faktore. Die doel hiervan was om die verskillende klassifikasie sisteme voorheen en tans in gebruik in Suid Afrika, gebasseer

op hierdie terrein evaluasie kriteria, beter te verstaan.

Die ontwikkeling van ingenieursgeologiese klassifikasie sisteme en hul geassosieëerde voorstellings op 'n kaart, wat in gebruik is of gebruik word in Suid Afrika, asook die toepassing van hierdie verskillende sisteme, is hersien in terme van doel, klassifikasie en voorstelling van data. Die voorstelling van verskillende ingenieursgeologiese sisteme is met mekaar vergelyk deur gebruik te maak van dieselfde ortofoto (2528CD08). Nadat elke klassifikasie sisteem en die saamstel van elke sisteem op 'n kaart hersien is, was dit duidelik dat sisteme geklassifiseer kon word van baie eenvoudig tot baie kompleks. Geen klassifikasie sisteem kan beskou word as beter as 'n ander, weens die feit dat elk van hierdie sisteme vir 'n spesifieke doel ontwerp is. Alhoewel daar gedurende die toepassing en vergelyking van die verskillende geotegniese klassifikasie sisteme gevind is, dat die sisteem ontwikkel deur Partridge *et. al.* (1993) die mees eenvoudigste en praktiese metode bied vir die klassifikasie van 'n terrein vir beplanning en ontwikkelings doeleindes.

'n Sistematiese benadering word gevolg gedurende die gestandaardiseerde prosedure en metode vir regionale ingenieursgeologiese kartering en kan in die volgende fases verdeel word: 1) Lessenaar studie; 2) Verkenningsondersoek; 3) Veld kartering; 4) Uitvoering van laboratorium analises; 5) Samestelling van die ingenieursgeologiese kaart; 6) Die skryf van 'n verslag waarin die metodiek en die rede vir die spesifieke kaart uiteen gesit word, asook die beskrywing van toestande gevind gedurende die studie.

Spesiale verwysing word gemaak na die geotegniese klassifikasie sisteem ontwikkel deur Zawada (2000) vir die Raad vir Geowetenskappe. Hierdie sisteem is toegepas op die Rietvlei Dam 2528CD kaart, ten einde die toepaslikheid van hierdie sisteem vir gebruik as regionale ingenieursgeologiese kartering te bepaal. Daar kan verklaar word dat die klassifikasie sisteem voorgestel deur Zawada (2000) toegepas kon word vir regionale geotegniese kartering. Sekere tekortkominge is geïdentifiseer gedurende die evaluasie en toepassing van die sisteem en aanbevelings word gemaak ten op sigte van veranderinge wat moet plaasvind ten einde die geotegniese klassifikasie sisteem te vereenvoudig en meer gebruikersvriendelik te maak.



Bogenoemde veranderings aan die geotegniese klassifikasie sisteem ontwikkel deur Zwada (2000), het tot gevolg dat die sisteem meer vereenvoudig en verstaanbaar is. As ook voorsien die verandering op kaart meer nuttige inligting aan die ingenieursgeoloog, stads beplanner en/of ontwikkelaar. 'n Addisionele kaart gesoneer volgens ontwikkelings potensiaal vir omgewings sensitiewe areas is aangebring, ten einde dit moontlik te maak om dadelik goeie of swak areas te eien.

## ABSTRACT

A need for the provision of rapid and accurate engineering geological information will always exist for broad planning and development purposes. The identification of land on a regional scale is necessary, to satisfy the growing demand for infrastructure and housing development over large areas. It is therefore important to identify land that is geologically or geotechnically suitable for cost effective urban development, environmentally sustainable, relatively risk free from natural hazards as well as to assist in targeting reserves of construction materials to prevent sterilisation.

The amount and type of information required to produce a geotechnical map, will depend on the purpose, content and scale of the map. Regional scale geotechnical maps can be divided into special purpose or general purpose maps. Special purpose maps refer to maps on which information is evaluated in terms of a specific purpose or only one aspect of engineering geology such as the weathering grade and general purpose maps are maps providing information on many aspects of engineering geology for a variety of planning and engineering purposes.

The accuracy of information for regional geotechnical purposes, based on the principals of the land facet approach will depend on the following factors: 1) The scale on which information has been gathered; 2) The complexity of the terrain mapped, in terms of geology and land form; and 3) The scale on which data is represented on map.

Factors that should be taken into consideration during regional geotechnical mapping are defined and explained in terms of the identification in the field and laboratory and the implications of these factors on development. This was done in order to understand the different classification systems previously and currently used in South Africa.

The development of geotechnical maps and their associated classification systems, previously and currently used in South Africa and the application of these different systems, was reviewed in terms of there purpose, classification and presentation of data. Orthophotograph 2528CD08 was used to represent all the different engineering

geological classification systems, which aided in comparing each system and the representation of information on a map. After revision of each classification system and the compilation of maps based on the associated classification systems, it was clear that these classifications systems range from simple to very complex. No classification system can be regarded as better than another, based on the fact that each of this classifications systems was designed for a specific purpose. Although it was found during the application and comparison of the different geotechnical classification systems, that the geotechnical classification system developed by Partridge *et. al.* (1993) was the most simplified and practical method to use for the classification of terrain for planning and development purposes.

The standardised methodology and procedures of regional geotechnical mapping proposed by the Council for Geoscience follows a systematical approach and can be divided into the following phases: 1) Data gathering or desk study; 2) Reconnaissance survey; 3) Field mapping; 4) Laboratory analysis; 5) Compilation of the engineering geological map; and 6) Report writing.

Special reference was made to the geotechnical classification system developed by Zawada (2000) of the Council for Geoscience and was applied to the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet in order to determine the applicability of this system for regional geotechnical mapping. It could be stated that the classification system proposed by Zawada (2000) can be applied to regional geotechnical mapping. Certain shortcomings were identified during the evaluation and application of the system and recommendations are given on how the system could be modified to simplify the geotechnical classification system and how to improve the utilization of the geotechnical map. After the above mentioned modifications to the geotechnical classification system of Zawada (2000), the system is much more simplified, understandable and provide more useful information. This map is now of use, not only to the engineering geologist but also to the town planner and/or developer, regarding poor and good areas for potential development (zonation map) and areas with environmental constraints.

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	OBJECTIVE	3
1.3	DEFINITION OF A GEOTECHNICAL MAP	3
1.4	COMPONENTS AFFECTING ENGINEERING-BASED DECISIONS	6
1.5	PRINCIPLES OF GEOTECHNICAL MAPPING	8
1.5.1	Categorizing of maps for engineering purposes	9
1.5.1.1	Geotechnical maps based on scale	11
1.5.2	Types of geotechnical maps used in South Africa	11
1.6	INFLUENCE OF SCALE ON REGIONAL GEOTECHNICAL MAPPING	13
<b>2.</b>	<b>TERRAIN EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1	INTRODUCTION	16
2.2	GEOTECHNICAL FACTORS	16
2.2.1	Active, Expansive or Swelling soil	16
2.2.2	Collapsible soils	19
2.2.3	Compressible soils	20
2.2.4	Dispersive soils	21
2.2.5	Excavatability of ground	23
2.2.6	Inundation (flooding)	24
2.2.7	Pseudokarst	25
2.2.8	Shallow water table	25
2.2.9	Sinkhole formation	26
2.2.10	Slope stability	27
2.3	CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS	27
2.4	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS	28
2.4.1	Cemetery sites	29
2.4.2	Waste disposal sites	30
2.4.3	Ground based sanitation systems (pit latrines/septic tanks)	30



<b>3.</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL GEOTECHNICAL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEMS IN SOUTH AFRICA</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>LAND SYSTEM APPROACH</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>3.3</b>	<b>HISTORY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL GEOTECHNICAL MAPPING IN SOUTH AFRICA</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>3.4</b>	<b>CLASSIFICATION SYSTEMS</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>3.4.1</b>	<b>TRH 2 (Technical Recommendations for Highways, 1978)</b>	
	- Geotechnical mapping for route location	41
	3.4.1.1 Purpose	41
	3.4.1.2 Classification	41
	3.4.1.3 Map presentation	41
<b>3.4.2</b>	<b>Engineering geological land-use classification system developed by Price &amp; Bester (Geological Survey, 1981)</b>	<b>42</b>
	3.4.2.1 Objective	42
	3.4.2.2 Land-use Classification	42
	3.4.2.3 Presentation	49
<b>3.4.3</b>	<b>Engineering geological mapping for urban planning in developing countries by Van Schalkwyk and Price (1990)</b>	<b>52</b>
	3.4.3.1 Purpose	52
	3.4.3.2 Classification	52
	3.4.3.3 Presentation	54
<b>3.4.4</b>	<b>Geotechnical classification system for township development by Partridge, Wood and Brink (1993) and modified by the CSIR (1996).</b>	<b>54</b>
	3.4.4.1 Purpose	54
	3.4.4.2 Geotechnical Classification System for Urban Development	55
	3.4.4.3 Presentation	55

3.4.5	An Engineering Geological Geographical Information System (GIS) Model for Land-use Planning by Croukamp (Council for Geoscience, 1996)	58
3.4.5.1	Purpose	58
3.4.5.2	GIS model and classification criteria for a development potential map	58
3.4.5.3	Presentation	59
3.5	CONCLUSION	64

4.	<b>REGIONAL GEOTECHNICAL MAPPING PROCEDURES PROPOSED BY THE COUNCIL FOR GEOSCIENCE</b>	70
4.1	INTRODUCTION	70
4.2	DATA GATHERING OR DESK STUDY	70
4.2.1	Data accumulation	70
4.2.2	Data interpretation	71
4.3	RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY	71
4.4	FIELD MAPPING	72
4.4.1	Geological mapping	72
4.4.2	Geotechnical mapping	72
4.5	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	73
4.6	COMPILATION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL MAP	76
4.7	REPORT WRITING	77
5.	<b>GEOTECHNICAL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM DEVELOPED BY ZAWADA (2000) WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE RIETVLEI DAM 2528CD MAP SHEET</b>	80
5.1	INTRODUCTION	80

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>5.2 GEOTECHNICAL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (ZAWADA, 2000) .....</b>	<b>80</b>
5.2.1 Purpose .....	80
5.2.2 Classification .....	81
5.2.3 Presentation .....	85
<b>5.3 GEOTECHNICAL EXPLANATION OF THE RIETVLEI DAM</b>	
<b>2528CD MAP SHEET .....</b>	<b>87</b>
5.3.1 Locality .....	87
5.3.2 Previous investigations .....	87
5.3.3 Geotechnical method of investigation .....	88
5.3.4 Terrain description .....	93
5.3.4.1 Physiography .....	93
5.3.4.2 Climate .....	93
5.3.4.3 Drainage .....	93
5.3.4.4 Vegetation .....	94
5.3.4.5 Geology .....	94
5.3.4.6 Hydrogeology .....	97
5.3.4.6.1 Water levels .....	97
5.3.4.6.2 Groundwater flow .....	98
5.3.4.6.3 Hydrogeological compartments .....	98
5.3.4.6.4 Groundwater quality .....	104
5.3.5 Engineering geological properties of residual and transported soils in the Rietvlei Dam sheet area .....	104
5.3.5.1 Residual soil derived from the Transvaal Supergroup .....	104
5.3.5.1.1 Chuniespoort Group (Malmani Subgroup) .....	104
5.3.5.1.2 Pretoria Group .....	105
5.3.5.2 Residual soil derived from the Karoo Supergroup .....	106
5.3.5.3 Pebble marker .....	107
5.3.5.4 Ferricrete deposits (Pedogenic material) .....	107
5.3.5.5 Colluvium .....	108
5.3.5.6 Alluvium .....	108



## CONTENTS

	Page
5.3.6 Geotechnical laboratory analysis of soils .....	108
5.3.7 Geotechnical characteristics of soils in the area and implications for development .....	109
5.3.7.1 Inundation .....	109
5.3.7.2 Sinkhole formation and subsidence .....	110
5.3.7.3 Slope instability .....	111
5.3.7.4 Active, expansive or swelling soils .....	112
5.3.7.5 Excavatability problems .....	114
5.3.7.6 Collapsible soil .....	116
5.3.7.7 Erodible soils .....	118
5.3.7.8 Poorly consolidated soils .....	118
5.3.7.9 Shallow groundwater .....	119
5.3.7.10 Permeability .....	119
5.3.8 Potential construction materials .....	120
5.3.8.1 Clay .....	121
5.3.8.2 Aggregate .....	122
5.4 CONCLUSION .....	123
6. PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM DEVELOPED BY ZAWADA (2000) .....	128
6.1 INTRODUCTION .....	128
6.1.1 Classification .....	128
6.1.2 Presentation .....	131
7. CONCLUSIONS .....	133

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES & BIBLIOGRAPHY

		<b>Page</b>
<b>Figure 1:</b>	<b>Geology of Rietvlei Dam 2528CD08 .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Figure 2:</b>	<b>Landform map of Rietvlei Dam 2528CD08 .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Figure 3:</b>	<b>Mapping units based on the land facet approach for Rietvlei Dam 2528CD08 .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Figure 4:</b>	<b>Geotechnical map constructed using the TRH 2 (1978) method .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Figure 5:</b>	<b>Geotechnical mapping method developed by Price (1981) with an overlay of the different site classes .....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Figure 6:</b>	<b>Geotechnical mapping method developed by Bester (1981) .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Figure 7:</b>	<b>Geotechnical classification method developed by Partridge, Wood and Brink (1993) and used by the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (1996) .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Figure 8:</b>	<b>Classification system developed by Croukamp (1996) to determine the development potential of an area (geotechnical map with an overlay of the different development potential classes) .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Figure 9:</b>	<b>Geotechnical classification system developed by Zawada (2000) for the Council of Geoscience .....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Figure 10:</b>	<b>Position and extent of the 1:50 000 scale Rietvlei Dam map sheet 2528CD .....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Figure 11:</b>	<b>Physiography of the Rietvlei Dam map sheet with an orthophotograph grid showing the positions of boreholes and test pits for which information is held (Table 25) in the Council for Geoscience's database (GEODE) .....</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Figure 12:</b>	<b>Position of figures 13, 14 and 15 for the 1:50 000 scale Rietvlei Dam map sheet (after Carr, 1995) .....</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Figure 13:</b>	<b>Movement and levels of groundwater in the dolomite compartments of the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area .....</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Figure 14:</b>	<b>Dolomite compartments and government boreholes in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area .....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Figure 15:</b>	<b>Rietvlei dolomite compartment in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area .....</b>	<b>103</b>

TITLES OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: Classification of landforms, based on the codes used by Croukamp (1996) .....	34
Table 2A: Control table: Rating allocated to influencing factors with regard to development categories (after Price, 1981) .....	45
Table 2B: Control table: Rating allocated to influencing factors with regard to development categories (after Bester, 1981) .....	45
Table 3: Rating of each influencing factor, sub-divided into five classes of decreasing merit from one to five (after Price and Bester, 1981) .....	46
Table 4: Natural Resources .....	48
Table 4a: Classification of road material	
Table 4b: Classification of coarse aggregate	
Table 4c: Classification of fine aggregate, mining potential & building stone and brick-making materials	
Table 5: Engineering geological land-use classification (after Price and Bester, 1981) .....	48
Table 6: Guidelines for the identification of different foundation conditions (after Van Schalkwyk and Price, 1990) .....	53
Table 7: Guidelines for the identification of different drainage conditions (after Van Schalkwyk and Price, 1990) .....	53
Table 8: Guidelines for the identification of slope stability conditions (after Van Schalkwyk and Price, 1990) .....	53
Table 9: Definition of volumetrically unstable soils (after Van Schalkwyk and Price, 1990) .....	53
Table 10: Site classification in terms of total rating (after van Schalkwyk and Price, 1990) .....	54
Table 11: Geotechnical constraints for Urban Development (after CSIR, 1996) .....	56
Table 12: Data layers and the type of data captured in each coverage for an engineering geological model (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	59
Table 13: Codes used for the different slope grades	



## TITLES OF TABLES

	Page
(after Croukamp, 1996) .....	59
<b>Table 14:</b> Codes used for instability features (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	60
<b>Table 15:</b> Codes used for geotechnical properties (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	61
<b>Table 16:</b> Codes used for outcrop/soil depth (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	61
<b>Table 17:</b> Codes used for construction materials (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	62
<b>Table 18:</b> Codes used for the type of mining activity (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	62
<b>Table 19:</b> Codes used to define the sinkhole class (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	62
<b>Table 20:</b> Classification criteria applied to the development potential map (after Croukamp, 1996) .....	62
<b>Table 21:</b> Alphabetical listing of geotechnical factors mapped for the Rietvlei Dam map sheet with definitions, implications for development and classes of severity (after Zawada, 2000) .....	82
<b>Table 22:</b> Ranking of geotechnical factors and classification as critical or subcritical factors, in order of decreasing rank (after Zawada, 2000) .....	83
<b>Table 23:</b> Classification of geotechnical factors into cost and environmental categories (after Zawada, 2000) .....	84
<b>Table 24:</b> Financial cost and environmental categories ordered in increasing influence of environmental implications (after Zawada, 2000) .....	84
<b>Table 25:</b> Summary of the data held in the CGS database (GEODE) for 1253 borehole and test pit positions occurring on the Rietvlei Dam map sheet .....	88
<b>Table 26:</b> Listing of geotechnical factors and cost and environmental category for each area numbered shown on the 1:50 000-scale geotechnical map .....	92
<b>Table 27:</b> Summary of the geological units present on the Rietvlei Dam 1:50 000 map sheet (after Minnaar and Brits, 1997) .....	95

	Page
<b>Table 28:</b> Spatial analysis showing the total area (km <sup>2</sup> ) and severity class (Table 21) for each geotechnical factor identified on the Rietvlei Dam map sheet .....	110
<b>Table 29:</b> Location, type and end use of operating clay quarries for the Rietvlei Dam map sheet .....	121
<b>Table 30:</b> Location, type and end use of operating aggregate quarries on the Rietvlei Dam map sheet .....	122
<b>Table 31:</b> Ranking of geotechnical factors in order of decreasing rank .....	129
<b>Table 32:</b> Alphabetical listing of geotechnical factors, their severity classes, development potential classification and those with environmental constraints for the Rietvlei Dam map sheet .....	130

### DIAGRAMS

<b>Diagram 1:</b> Interrelationships of the various types of maps (after Dearman, 1991) .....	9
---	---

### APPENDICES

#### APPENDIX 1: Figure 16 - Figure 24

- Figure 16:** The areas susceptible to inundation in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet.
- Figure 17:** The areas where potential exist of sinkhole formation in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area
- Figure 18:** The areas covered by slope instability characteristics in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area
- Figure 19:** The areas covered by active, expansive or swelling soils and the severity classes thereof in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area





- Figure 20:** The excavatability characteristics of the soils and the severity classes thereof in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area
- Figure 21:** Areas covered by potentially collapsible soils and the severity classes thereof in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area
- Figure 22:** Areas that exhibit erodible soils in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet
- Figure 23:** Areas that exhibit a shallow water table in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet
- Figure 24:** The expected permeability of the soils in the Rietvlei Dam 2528CD map sheet area

**APPENDIX 2:** The 1:50 000-scale 2528CD Rietvlei Dam geotechnical map.

**APPENDIX 3:** Modified 1:50 000-scale 2528CD Rietvlei Dam geotechnical map.