

**TOWARDS A CLASSIFICATION FRAMEWORK FOR ACCOUNTING
INFORMATION**

By

HUIBRECHT MARGARETHA VAN DER POLL

submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

DOCTOR COMMERCII (FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SCIENCES)

in the

FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

at the

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

APRIL 2007



Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to thank my Creator, the God of the Holy Trinity, for the insight He gave me to complete this thesis.

I would also like to express my appreciation to the following people who guided and supported me during my research:

- I. Professor Daan Gouws, my promoter at the University of Pretoria, for his continued supervision and motivation.
- II. My husband, Professor André van der Poll, for his continued support, motivation and love. Thank you for all the input, proofreading and believing in me.
- III. My children, Hendrik (9) and Saretha (5), for their love and support even though they did not always understand why I had to work all those late nights and weekends. Hendrik, thank you for your assistance with the random selection of a company as discussed in Chapter 5.
- IV. My parents, Kris and Retha Krüger, for motivating me, believing in me and creating opportunities for me, by sending me to university.
- V. Yvonne van Stuyvenberg, the subject librarian at the University of South Africa, for finding old text books from all over the world at very short notice.
- VI. All those respondents who replied to the questionnaire.
- VII. Trish Cooper for her professional language expertise.

Breggie van der Poll

April 2007

Abstract

This thesis is concerned with the classification of accounting information from the recording phase through to the reporting phase. Various criticisms on the way information is presented in financial statements, especially in the balance sheet and income statement are found in the literature. Classification models for accounting information have been proposed in the literature but the main disadvantages of these models are that they are inherently static in nature and do not embed the notion of time.

To further test the various criticisms of present classification structures, 1) a comprehensive literature survey of the criticisms of present accounting classification structures as presented by researchers in the literature was conducted, 2) a questionnaire was prepared and sent out to companies in industry, academics as well as analysts in order to test these criticisms, and 3) an analysis of the financial statements (balance sheet and income statement) of 93 companies listed on The JSE Securities Exchange South Africa (JSE) was undertaken.

The outcome of the above three (3) actions led to the following hypothesis:

The current classification of accounting information, from the recording phase to reclassification in the reporting phase, does not supply users of such information with the necessary information for decision-making purposes. In this regard a comprehensive classification framework for accounting information is proposed, with the following properties:

- A well-defined set of attributes will be used, ultimately to classify a transaction into a static subframework to aid decision-making.
- Time will be used to classify a transaction at the time of recording and later to reclassify it at the time of reporting.
- The proposed framework will guide the classifier as to how an item finds its way into a static structure.

Classification has as its first step the identification of all the attributes known at the time of recording and reporting of the items implicitly involved in a transaction. These attributes in essence define the items that are to be classified into a structure as well as allowing for relationships to be drawn among the said items.

One of the advantages of classification is the creation of (new) knowledge or information. The utility of such information depends on the quality of the classification performed. Normally the responsibility for classification at both the recording and reporting phases rests with the accountant. The resultant classification should provide enough information to users of financial statements, especially financial managers, to allow them to reclassify the given information to suit their own needs.

An important influence on a classification framework for accounting information is the various requirements put forward by a variety of users of such information. Some of these requirements may conflict with one another. It is proposed in this thesis that a distributed union of all requirements of users be taken and all conflicting requirements be removed from the union (i.e. simply put all requirements into one group and remove all those that conflict with one another). Classification is then performed for the result. Additional information may be supplied in the statements to cater for the requirements outside the result.

The classification framework for accounting information proposed in this thesis is made up of three (3) subframeworks as follows:

- A normative subframework that defines a number of attributes for a transaction and which is based on the recording (past) and reporting (present) phases of accounting information. This framework also takes transactions with future aspects into account.
- A decision subframework which follows directly on the normative subframework and which directs the classifier as to where a transaction should be classified in a final, static subframework.
- A static subframework which shows where items implicitly involved in a transaction are finally classified.

Classification frameworks are proposed for both the balance sheet and the income statement. The balance sheet framework embodies a temporal component, a decision component and a static structure. A different classification layout for the income statement, including a temporal component and a static structure, is also proposed in this thesis.

Keywords Accountability
Accounting information
Attributes
Classification framework
Decision usefulness
Distributed union
Temporal

Opsomming

Hierdie tesis gaan oor die klassifikasie van rekeningkundige inligting vanaf die teboekstellingsfase tot en met die verslagdoeningsfase. Vele kritiek oor die manier hoe inligting in die finansiële state, hoofsaaklik die balansstaat en inkomstestaat, voorgestel word, word in die literatuur aangetref. Klassifikasie modelle vir rekeningkundige inligting word in die literatuur voorgestel, maar die hoofnadele van sodanige modelle is dat hulle inherent staties van aard is en ook nie die idee van tyd insluit nie.

Ten einde die verskeidenheid van kritiek op bestaande strukture te toets, is 1) 'n deeglike literatuurstudie van die kritiek op bestaande rekeningkundige klassifikasie strukture deur navorsers in die literatuur onderneem, 2) 'n vraelys opgestel en aan maatskappye in die industrie asook analiste en akademici gestuur ten einde die geldigheid van die kritiek in die literatuur te toets en 3) 'n analise van die finansiële state (balansstate en inkomstestate) van 93 JSE-genoteerde (JSE Securities Exchange South Africa) maatskappye onderneem.

Die bevindings van bostaande drie aksies het tot die volgende hipotese gelei:

Die huidige klassifikasie van rekeningkundige inligting vanaf die teboekstellingsfase tot en met die herklassifikasie tydens die verslagdoeningsfase, verskaf nie aan gebruikers van sodanige inligting die nodige inligting vir doeleindes van besluitneming nie. In hierdie verband word 'n omvattende klassifikasie raamwerk vir rekeningkundige inligting voorgestel wat die volgende eienskappe bevat:

- 'n Goed gedefinieerde versameling attribute sal gebruik word om die finale klassifikasie van 'n transaksie in 'n statiese raamwerk wat besluitneming bevorder, te klassifiseer.
- Tyd sal gebruik word om 'n transaksie op die tydstip van teboekstelling te klassifiseer en later tydens verslagdoening te herklassifiseer.

- Die voorgestelde raamwerk sal die klassifiseerder leiding gee oor hoe om 'n item in 'n statiese struktuur te plaas.

Klassifikasie het as 'n eerste stap die identifisering van alle bekende attribute tydens teboekstelling van 'n transaksie en verslagdoening oor die items implisiet betrokke in 'n transaksie. Sodanige attribute definieer in wese die items wat in 'n struktuur geklassifiseer moet word. Dit laat ook ruimte vir verwantskappe wat tussen die betrokke items bepaal kan word.

Een van die voordele van klassifikasie is die skep van (nuwe) kennis of inligting. Die bruikbaarheid van sulke inligting hang af van die kwaliteit van die klassifikasie wat uitgevoer is. Die verantwoordelikheid van die klassifikasie tydens teboekstelling sowel as verslagdoening berus gewoonlik by die rekenmeester. Die gevolglike klassifikasie moet genoeg inligting verskaf aan gebruikers van finansiële state, veral finansiële bestuurders, om hul in staat te stel om herklassifikasie te kan uitvoer om aan hul eie behoeftes te voldoen.

'n Belangrike invloed op 'n klassifikasie raamwerk vir rekeningkundige inligting is die onderskeie vereistes wat deur 'n verskeidenheid gebruikers van rekeningkundige inligting gestel word. Party van hierdie vereistes is noodwendig in konflik met mekaar en in hierdie tesis word voorgestel dat 'n verspreide vereniging van al die vereistes van die gebruikers geneem word en dat al die vereistes wat in konflik met mekaar is van die vereniging verwyder word (di. al die vereistes word in een groep geplaas en al dié wat in konflik met mekaar is word verwyder). Klassifikasie word dus vir die resultaat gedoen. Bykomende inligting kan moontlik by die state gevoeg word ten einde voorsiening te maak vir vereistes buite die resultaat.

Die voorgestelde klassifikasie raamwerk vir rekeningkundige inligting berus soos volg op drie (3) subraamwerke:

- 'n Normatiewe subraamwerk wat 'n aantal attribute vir 'n transaksie definieer en gebaseer is op die teboekstellingfase (verlede) en verslagdoeningfase (tans) van rekeningkundige inligting. Die raamwerk neem ook transaksies met toekomstige effekte in ag.

- 'n Besluitnemingssubraamwerk wat uit die normatiewe subraamwerk vloei en wat die persoon wat die klassifikasie doen, lei na waar die transaksie in die finale statiese subraamwerk inpas.
- 'n Statische subraamwerk wat aantoon waar items wat deur 'n transaksie geïmpliseer word, finaal geklassifiseer word.

'n Klassifikasie raamwerk vir beide die balansstaat en die inkomstestaat is voorgestel. Die balansstaat raamwerk beslaan 'n temporale-komponent, 'n besluitnemingskomponent en 'n statiese struktuur. In hierdie tesis word 'n aangepaste klassifikasie-uitleg vir die inkomstestaat voorgedra met 'n temporale komponent sowel as 'n statiese struktuur.

Sleutelwoorde Attribute
Besluitnemingsbruikbaarheid
Klassifikasie raamwerk
Rekeningkundige inligting
Temporaal
Verantwoordbaarheid
Verspreide vereniging

Table of contents

CHAPTER 1	BACKGROUND AND THE RESEARCH PROBLEM.....	1
1.1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	1
1.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER	1
1.2	BACKGROUND	2
1.3	ACCOUNTABILITY VERSUS DECISION-MAKING	5
1.4	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	9
1.4.1	USEFUL INFORMATION NOT PROVIDED BY THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	9
1.4.2	USERS MAY BE MISLED	10
1.4.3	FAILURE TO ADAPT TO NEW DEVELOPMENTS	10
1.4.4	CURRENT/NON-CURRENT CLASSIFICATION IS CRITICISED	11
1.5	MOTIVATION	11
1.5.1	IMPORTANCE OF THE RESEARCH	12
1.5.2	PREVIOUS AND CURRENT RESEARCH ON THIS SUBJECT	12
1.5.2.1	RESEARCHERS AND THE PROBLEM AREAS STUDIED.....	12
1.5.3	BENEFICIARIES OF THIS RESEARCH	14
1.6	HYPOTHESIS	15
1.7	RESEARCH AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	15
1.8	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	16
1.8.1	LITERATURE SURVEY	17
1.8.2	EMPIRICAL RESEARCH	17
1.8.2.1	ANALYSIS	17
1.8.2.2	QUESTIONNAIRE	18
1.9	NATURE AND FORM OF RESULTS	18
1.10	CHAPTER LAYOUT	20
CHAPTER 2	GENERAL CLASSIFICATION PERSPECTIVES	23
2.1	INTRODUCTION	23
2.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	23
2.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER	23
2.2	CLASSIFICATION AS A PROCESS OF THE MIND	24
2.3	HISTORICAL ORIGINS OF CLASSIFICATION.....	25
2.4	SOME DEFINITIONS OF CLASSIFICATION	25
2.5	BASIC CONCEPTS OF CLASSIFICATION	26
2.5.1	PURPOSE OF CLASSIFICATION.....	26
2.5.2	PROPERTIES OF A GENERIC CLASSIFICATION	27
2.6	BUILDING BLOCKS OF CLASSIFICATION.....	27
2.6.1	RELATIONSHIPS	27
2.6.2	CONCEPTS.....	30

2.6.3	CHANGE.....	30
2.7	MULTI-DISCIPLINARY RESEARCH.....	31
2.7.1	SOCIAL SCIENCES AND CLASSIFICATION	31
2.7.1.1	PHILOSOPHY AND CLASSIFICATION	31
2.7.1.2	PSYCHOLOGY AND CLASSIFICATION.....	33
2.7.1.2.1	HUMAN INFORMATION PROCESSING AND CLASSIFICATION	33
2.7.2	KNOWLEDGE CREATION AND CLASSIFICATION	34
2.7.3	SCIENCE AND CLASSIFICATION	35
2.7.3.1	LOGIC AND CLASSIFICATION	35
2.7.3.2	QUANTUM PHYSICS AND CLASSIFICATION.....	36
2.7.4	AUDITING AND CLASSIFICATION	37
2.8	THE ROLE OF MEASUREMENT, RISK AND UNCERTAINTY IN CLASSIFICATION	38
2.8.1	MEASUREMENTS.....	38
2.8.2	RISKS.....	38
2.8.3	UNCERTAINTY	39
2.9	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	39
CHAPTER 3 ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION PERSPECTIVES		41
3.1	INTRODUCTION	41
3.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	41
3.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER.....	41
3.2	MORAL OBLIGATIONS OF ACCOUNTING	43
3.3	HISTORY OF CLASSIFICATION IN ACCOUNTING.....	43
3.3.1	THE BILATERAL CLASSIFICATION OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION	44
3.3.2	EARLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	45
3.3.3	CLASSIFYING FOR ACQUIRING LOANS	46
3.3.4	DEFERRED ASSETS	47
3.3.5	CURRENT AND FIXED ASSETS.....	47
3.3.6	CLASSIFICATION BASED ON COMMON SENSE AND JUDGEMENT	49
3.3.7	CLASSIFICATION IN THE BALANCE SHEET IN GENERAL.....	49
3.3.8	UNITED STATES GAAP AND CLASSIFICATION.....	50
3.4	THE ROLE OF UNCERTAINTY IN ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION	51
3.5	DEFINITION OF CLASSIFICATION FROM AN ACCOUNTING VIEW POINT	52
3.6	PROPERTIES OF A CLASSIFICATION FRAMEWORK FOR ACCOUNTING INFORMATION	53
3.7	BUILDING BLOCKS OF CLASSIFICATION.....	57
3.7.1	RELATIONSHIPS	57
3.7.2	THE ROLE OF CHANGE.....	59
3.8	PROCESS OF CLASSIFICATION.....	59
3.8.1	STEPS IN THE CLASSIFICATION OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION	60
3.9	THE ROLE OF MEASUREMENT IN CLASSIFICATION	65
3.9.1	THE USE OF NUMERALS.....	65

3.9.2	OTHER FORMS OF MEASUREMENT	68
3.10	THE INFORMATION INTERSECTION	69
3.11	DIVERSE NEEDS OF USERS.....	71
3.11.1	ANALYSTS	74
3.11.2	INVESTORS.....	75
3.11.3	CREDITORS	76
3.11.4	COMPANIES.....	76
3.12	MULTIPLE FRAMEWORKS VERSUS A GENERIC ONE.....	77
3.13	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	78
CHAPTER 4 SPECIFIC CLASSIFICATION PROBLEMS IN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....		79
4.1	INTRODUCTION	79
4.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	79
4.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER.....	79
4.2	CRITIQUE ON THE PRESENTATION OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION IN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	80
4.2.1	RECLASSIFICATION OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION AT YEAR-END	82
4.3	CLASSIFICATION IN THE BALANCE SHEET	82
4.3.1	PROBLEMS IN BALANCE SHEET CLASSIFICATION	85
4.3.1.1	THE LIQUIDITY CONCEPT, SOLVENCY AND THE CURRENT/NON-CURRENT CLASSIFICATION	86
4.3.2	TOWARDS A RECLASSIFICATION IN THE BALANCE SHEET	93
4.3.2.1	RECLASSIFICATION OF ASSETS	93
4.3.2.1.1	RECLASSIFICATION OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	94
4.3.2.1.2	RECLASSIFICATION OF LONG-LIVED (FIXED) ASSETS TO BE SOLD.....	95
4.3.2.1.3	TOWARDS A RECLASSIFICATION OF INTELLECTUAL ASSETS	96
4.3.2.2	RECLASSIFICATION OF LIABILITIES	98
4.3.2.3	RECLASSIFICATION OF CURRENT ASSETS AND CURRENT LIABILITIES	98
4.3.2.3.1	RECLASSIFICATION OF INVENTORY	101
4.3.3	THE LIABILITY-EQUITY DEBATE.....	102
4.3.3.1	ITEMS WITH CHARACTERISTICS OF BOTH EQUITY AND LIABILITIES:	104
4.3.3.1.1	REDEEMABLE PREFERENCE SHARES.....	104
4.3.3.1.2	OUTSTANDING ESOs (EMPLOYEE SHARE OPTIONS).....	105
4.4	CLASSIFICATION IN THE INCOME STATEMENT	106
4.4.1	TOWARDS A RECLASSIFICATION OF EMPLOYEE SHARE OPTIONS (ESOs)	108
4.5	ANALYSTS AND THE CLASSIFICATION OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION	109
4.6	CLASSIFICATION FOR WINDOW DRESSING	111
4.6.1	CREATIVE INCOME STATEMENT CLASSIFICATIONS	113
4.7	CLASSIFICATION THROUGH THE USE OF TIME.....	115
4.8	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	117
CHAPTER 5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....		119
5.1	INTRODUCTION	119

5.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	119
5.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER	119
5.2	LITERATURE SURVEY	120
5.3	EMPIRICAL RESEARCH	122
5.3.1	ANALYTICAL RESEARCH	122
5.3.2	QUESTIONNAIRE	124
5.4	SUMMARY	127
CHAPTER 6 RESULTS OF THE RESEARCH.....		129
6.1	INTRODUCTION	129
6.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	129
6.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER	129
6.2	LINKING THE LITERATURE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE	130
6.3	EMPIRICAL RESEARCH RESULTS	133
6.3.1	RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS	133
6.3.1.1	BALANCE SHEET	133
6.3.1.2	INCOME STATEMENT	137
6.3.2	RESULTS OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE	140
6.3.2.1	RESPONSES FROM THE QUESTIONNAIRE	141
6.3.2.2	GENERAL COMMENTS FROM RESPONDENTS	186
6.3.2.2.1	COMMENTS FROM FINANCIAL MANAGERS.....	186
6.3.2.2.2	COMMENTS FROM AN ANALYST	187
6.4	SUMMARY	188
CHAPTER 7 TOWARDS A CLASSIFICATION FRAMEWORK FOR ACCOUNTING INFORMATION.....		193
7.1	INTRODUCTION	193
7.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	193
7.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER	194
7.2	PREVIOUS AND PRESENT CLASSIFICATION PRACTICES.....	195
7.2.1	CLASSIFICATION FRAMEWORK OF FITZGERALD AND SCHUMER.....	196
7.2.1.1	STRENGTHS OF FITZGERALD AND SCHUMER'S FRAMEWORK	198
7.2.1.2	DISADVANTAGES OF FITZGERALD AND SCHUMER'S FRAMEWORK.....	198
7.2.1.3	APPLICABILITY OF FITZGERALD AND SCHUMER'S FRAMEWORK.....	198
7.2.2	CLASSIFICATION FRAMEWORK OF WOLK <i>ET AL.</i>	198
7.2.2.1	STRENGTHS OF THE WOLK <i>ET AL.</i> FRAMEWORK	199
7.2.2.2	DISADVANTAGES OF THE WOLK <i>ET AL.</i> FRAMEWORK.....	199
7.2.2.3	APPLICABILITY OF THE WOLK <i>ET AL.</i> FRAMEWORK	200
7.2.3	AICPA'S PROPOSED MODEL FOR BUSINESS REPORTING.....	201
7.2.3.1	STRENGTHS OF AICPA'S MODEL	202
7.2.3.2	DISADVANTAGES OF AICPA'S MODEL	202

7.2.3.3	APPLICABILITY OF AICPA'S MODEL.....	202
7.2.4	PRESENT STRUCTURE OF THE BALANCE SHEET AND INCOME STATEMENT	202
7.2.4.1	STRENGTHS OF THE CURRENT BALANCE SHEET AND INCOME STATEMENT STRUCTURES	203
7.2.4.2	DISADVANTAGES OF THE CURRENT BALANCE SHEET AND INCOME STATEMENT STRUCTURES.....	203
7.2.4.3	APPLICABILITY OF THE CURRENT BALANCE SHEET AND INCOME STATEMENT STRUCTURES.....	204
7.3	TOWARDS A CLASSIFICATION OF ITEMS IN THE BALANCE SHEET	204
7.3.1	DESIGNING THE BALANCE SHEET NORMATIVE SUBFRAMEWORK.....	208
7.3.2	DESIGNING THE DECISION SUBFRAMEWORK	213
7.3.3	DESIGNING THE STATIC SUBFRAMEWORK	215
7.4	ALTERNATIVE CLASSIFICATION OF EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	222
7.5	PRELIMINARY SUMMARY	223
7.6	CLASSIFICATION IN THE INCOME STATEMENT	223
7.6.1	CLASSIFICATION FRAMEWORK FOR THE INCOME STATEMENT.....	224
7.6.2	LAYOUT OF THE INCOME STATEMENT	227
7.7	SUMMARY	233
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK.....		235
8.1	INTRODUCTION	235
8.1.1	GOAL OF THIS CHAPTER	235
8.1.2	LAYOUT OF THIS CHAPTER	235
8.2	CONTRIBUTIONS OF THIS WORK	236
8.2.1	ACCOUNTABILITY VERSUS DECISION USEFULNESS.....	237
8.2.2	ACCOUNTING HYBRIDS	238
8.2.3	CURRENT/NON-CURRENT CLASSIFICATION.....	238
8.2.4	INFORMATION OVERLOAD.....	239
8.2.5	LOSS OF INFORMATION	239
8.2.6	MEASUREMENT, ATTRIBUTES AND RELATIONSHIPS	240
8.2.7	KNOWLEDGE CREATION.....	241
8.2.8	SUPPLYING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	241
8.2.9	CLASSIFICATION IN THE BALANCE SHEET AND INCOME STATEMENT.....	242
8.2.10	COROLLARIES	243
8.3	JUSTIFICATIONS FOR DECISIONS TAKEN	243
8.4	FUTURE WORK	244
8.4.1	DISTRIBUTED UNIONS AND CONFLICTING REQUIREMENTS	244
8.4.2	IDENTIFYING UNIQUE AND CONFLICTING REQUIREMENTS	244
8.4.3	REFINING THE MEASUREMENTS	245
8.4.4	DEVELOPING DEFINITIONS	245
8.4.5	FRAMEWORKS FOR CASH FLOW STATEMENTS.....	245
8.4.6	CLASSIFICATION OF ITEMS WITH CHARACTERISTICS OF BOTH EQUITY AND LIABILITIES.....	246
APPENDIX A – COVERING LETTER.....		247

APPENDIX B – QUESTIONNAIRE.....	249
APPENDIX C – ORIGINAL 190 COMPANIES SELECTED	255
APPENDIX D – FINAL 95 COMPANIES SELECTED	259
APPENDIX E – ANALYSIS OF JSE LISTED COMPANIES.....	261
APPENDIX F – FRAMEWORK AUGMENTED FROM FITZGERALD AND SCHUMER (1962).....	325
APPENDIX G – PROPOSED BALANCE SHEET (AICPA 1994)	329
APPENDIX H – PROPOSED INCOME STATEMENT (AICPA 1994)	333
APPENDIX I – BALANCE SHEET FROM PRESENT ACCOUNTING PRACTICE AUGMENTED FROM CILLIERS <i>ET AL.</i> (2004).....	335
APPENDIX J – INCOME STATEMENT FROM PRESENT ACCOUNTING PRACTICE AUGMENTED FROM CILLIERS <i>ET AL.</i> (2004)	339
APPENDIX K – PROPOSED DECISION FRAMEWORK.....	341
APPENDIX L – PROPOSED STATIC SUBFRAMEWORK FOR THE BALANCE SHEET	349
REFERENCES.....	353
INDEX.....	369

List of figures

FIGURE 1.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 1	2
FIGURE 1.2	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF USER REQUIREMENTS	8
FIGURE 1.3	A SYSTEMS VIEW OF PROBLEM SOLVING	18
FIGURE 2.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 2.....	24
FIGURE 3.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 3.....	42
FIGURE 3.2	ACCOUNT GROUPS AND RELATIONSHIPS (ADAPTED FROM LITTLETON AND ZIMMERMAN (1962)).	58
FIGURE 3.3	INTERSECTION OF INFORMATION AS PORTRAYED BY MILLER AND BAHNSON (2002:89-91)	70
FIGURE 4.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 4.....	80
FIGURE 4.2	CLASSIFICATION OF ASSETS ACCORDING TO CHARACTERISTICS (ADAPTED FROM MAURIELLO 1963)	94
FIGURE 4.3	A POSSIBLE DIVISION OF MARKET VALUE (SOURCE: GOUWS 2007).....	97
FIGURE 4.4	ACCOUNTING'S TIME PARADIGM (GOUWS 2003)	116
FIGURE 5.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 5.....	120
FIGURE 6.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 6.....	130
FIGURE 6.2	A VISUAL PRESENTATION (MIND MAP) OF THE PROBLEM STATEMENT, LITERATURE SURVEY, QUESTIONNAIRE AND THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THESE.....	131
FIGURE 6.3	AN EXTENSION OF FIGURE 6.2	132
FIGURE 6.4	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 1	141
FIGURE 6.5	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 2	143
FIGURE 6.6	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 3	144
FIGURE 6.7	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 4	146
FIGURE 6.8	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 5	147
FIGURE 6.9	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 6	149
FIGURE 6.10	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 7	150
FIGURE 6.11	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 8	152
FIGURE 6.12	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 9.....	153
FIGURE 6.13	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 10.....	155
FIGURE 6.14	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 11.....	156
FIGURE 6.15	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 12.....	157
FIGURE 6.16	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 13.....	158
FIGURE 6.17	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 14.....	160
FIGURE 6.18	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 15.....	162
FIGURE 6.19	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 16.....	163

FIGURE 6.20	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 17.....	165
FIGURE 6.21	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 18.....	166
FIGURE 6.22	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 19.....	167
FIGURE 6.23	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 20.....	168
FIGURE 6.24	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 21.....	170
FIGURE 6.25	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 22.....	171
FIGURE 6.26	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 23.....	173
FIGURE 6.27	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 24.....	174
FIGURE 6.28	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 25.....	175
FIGURE 6.29	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 26.....	177
FIGURE 6.30	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 27.....	178
FIGURE 6.31	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 28.....	180
FIGURE 6.32	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 29.....	181
FIGURE 6.33	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 30.....	182
FIGURE 6.34	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 31.....	184
FIGURE 6.35	RESPONSE TO STATEMENT 32.....	185
FIGURE 7.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 7.....	195
FIGURE 7.2	STATIC ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (WOLK <i>ET AL.</i> 2004:318).....	200
FIGURE 7.3	FRAGMENT OF DECISION SUBFRAMEWORK OF ASSETS (APPENDIX K).....	214
FIGURE 7.4	EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN DECISION FRAMEWORKS.....	215
FIGURE 7.5	A JSD STRUCTURE (ADAPTED FROM JACKSON (1975:30)).....	216
FIGURE 7.6	FRAGMENT OF PROPOSED STATIC SUBFRAMEWORK IN APPENDIX L.....	217
FIGURE 7.7	META-LEVEL VIEW OF THE COMPREHENSIVE FRAMEWORK.....	217
FIGURE 7.8	EXAMPLE OF THE APPLICATION OF THE NORMATIVE FRAMEWORK.....	221
FIGURE 7.9	AN ALTERNATIVE FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF EQUITY AND LIABILITIES (SOURCE: GOUWS 2007)..	222
FIGURE 7.10	CURRENT LAYOUT OF THE INCOME STATEMENT (CILLIERS <i>ET AL.</i> 2004).....	229
FIGURE 8.1	A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE LAYOUT OF CHAPTER 8.....	236

List of tables

TABLE 1.1	USERS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	4
TABLE 1.2	CLASSIFICATION FOR ACCOUNTABILITY AND DECISION USEFULNESS.....	6
TABLE 1.3	PREVIOUS AND CURRENT RESEARCH	13
TABLE 3.1	EARLY CLASSIFICATION GROUPS.....	45
TABLE 3.2	THE START OF THE <i>CURRENT/NON-CURRENT</i> CLASSIFICATION.....	47
TABLE 3.3	PROPERTIES AND THE USES OF NUMERALS IN MEASUREMENT	66
TABLE 3.4	ASSETS AND DIFFERENT MEASUREMENT BASES	66
TABLE 3.5	EXPLANATION OF FIGURE 3.3	70
TABLE 3.6	CLASSIFICATION OF USERS AND THEIR ACTIVITIES.....	72
TABLE 4.1	SUGGESTIONS FOR THE EXCLUSION OF ITEMS FROM TRADITIONAL CLASSIFICATION	100
TABLE 4.2	CLASSIFICATORY SMOOTHING IN THE INCOME STATEMENT	115
TABLE 6.1	ABSTRACT OF ALIASES USED IN THE BALANCE SHEET	133
TABLE 6.2	ABSTRACT OF ALIASES USED IN THE INCOME STATEMENT.....	138
TABLE 6.3	LIST OF RESPONSES	140
TABLE 7.1	FITZGERALD AND SCHUMER'S ATTRIBUTES OF DIVISION	196
TABLE 7.2	COMMONLY-USED BASES OF DIVISION OF LIABILITIES AND PROPRIETORSHIP.....	197
TABLE 7.3	GENERAL ACCOUNTING CONCEPTS AND OPPOSITES	208
TABLE 7.4	NORMATIVE SUBFRAMEWORK	211
TABLE 7.5	EXTRACT FROM THE NORMATIVE FRAMEWORK	219
TABLE 7.6	NORMATIVE SUBFRAMEWORK FOR THE INCOME STATEMENT	224
TABLE 7.7	ATTRIBUTES ENABLED/DISABLED FOR THE TRANSACTION	226
TABLE 7.8	FIELD VARIABLE SUBSTITUTIONS	231
TABLE 7.9	THE PROPOSED STATIC SUBFRAMEWORK FOR AN INCOME STATEMENT	233