



**Objective Prediction of Pure Tone Thresholds in Normal and
Hearing-Impaired Ears with Distortion Product Otoacoustic
Emissions and Artificial Neural Networks**

by

Rouviere de Waal

November 2000

In Partial Fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree

DPhil: Communication Pathology,

Faculty of Humanities,

University of Pretoria, Pretoria



Acknowledgements

The author is especially grateful to:

Prof. S.R. Hugo and Mrs. M.E. Soer

for the guidance and supervision provided throughout the completion of this research
project

and

Ben de Waal,

who spent tedious hours of his precious time to program all the neural networks and
other software that were used throughout the study.

Abstract

Title : Objective Prediction of Pure Tone Thresholds in Normal and Hearing-Impaired Ears with Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions and Artificial Neural Networks

Name : Rouviere de Waal

Promoter : Prof S.R. Hugo

Co-promoter : Mrs. M.E. Soer

Department : Communication Pathology, University of Pretoria

Degree : DPhil: Communication Pathology

In the evaluation of special populations, such as neonates, infants and malingerers, audiologists have to rely heavily on objective measurements to assess hearing ability. Current objective audiological procedures such as tympanometry, the acoustic reflex, auditory brainstem response and transient evoked otoacoustic emissions, however, have certain limitations, contributing to the need of an objective, non-invasive, rapid, economic test of hearing that evaluate hearing ability in a wide range of frequencies. The purpose of this study was to investigate distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAEs) as an objective test of hearing. The main aim was to improve prediction of pure tone thresholds at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz with DPOAEs and artificial neural networks (ANNs) in normal and hearing-impaired ears. Other studies

that attempted to predict hearing ability with DPOAEs and conventional statistical methods were only able to distinguish between normal and impaired hearing.

Back propagation neural networks were trained with the pattern of all present and absent DPOAE responses of 11 DPOAE frequencies of eight DP Grams and pure tone thresholds at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz. The neural network used the learned correlation between these two data sets to predict hearing ability at 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz and 4000 Hz. Hearing ability was not predicted as a decibel value, but into one of several categories spanning 10dB.

Results for prediction accuracy of normal hearing improved from 92% to 94% at 500 Hz, 87% to 88% at 1000 Hz, 84% to 88% at 2000 Hz and 91% to 93% at 4000 Hz from the De Waal (1998) study to the present study. The improvement of prediction of normal hearing can be attributed to extensive experimentation with neural network topology and manipulation of input data to present information to the network optimally. The prediction of hearing-impaired categories was less satisfactory, due to insufficient data for the ANNs to train on. A prediction versus ear count correlation strongly suggested that the inaccurate predictions of hearing-impaired categories is not a result of an inability of DPOAEs to predict pure tone thresholds in hearing impaired ears, but a result of insufficient data for the neural network to train on.

This research concluded that DPOAEs and ANNs can be used to accurately predict hearing ability within 10dB in normal and hearing-impaired ears from 500 Hz to 4000 Hz for hearing losses of up to 65dB HL.

Key words: otoacoustic emissions, distortion product otoacoustic emissions, artificial neural networks, prediction of hearing threshold, age and gender, objective hearing assessment.

Opsomming

Titel	:	Objektiewe voorspelling van Suiwertoondrempels in Normale en Gehoorgestremde ore met behulp van Distorsie Produk Otoakoestiese Emissies en Kunsmatige Neurale Netwerke
Naam	:	Rouviere de Waal
Promotor	:	Prof. S.R. Hugo
Medepromotor	:	Mev. M.E. Soer
Departement	:	Kommunikasie Patologie, Universiteit van Pretoria
Graad	:	DPhil: Kommunikasie Patologie

In die evaluasie van spesiale populasies, soos neonate, kleuters en persone wat gehoorverliese voorgee, moet oudioloë dikwels steun op objektiewe metings om gehoorvermoë te evalueer. Huidige objektiewe audiologiese prosedures, soos timpanometrie, die akoestiese refleks, ouditiewe breinstam respons en transient-ontlokte otoakoestiese emissies, het egter soveel tekortkominge, dat daar steeds 'n behoefte bestaan vir 'n objektiewe, vinnige en ekonomiese toetsprosedure, wat suiwertone in 'n wye frekwensiegebied evalueer. Die doel van hierdie studie was, om distorsie produk otoakoestiese emissies (DPOAEs) te ondersoek as a moontlike nuwe objektiewe gehoortoets. Die hoofdoel van die studie was om suiwertoondrempel

voorspelling te verbeter by 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz en 4000 Hz met DPOAEs en kunsmatige neurale netwerke in normale en gehoorgestremde ore. Ander studies wat gepoog het om gehoorvermoë te voorspel met DPOAEs en statistiese metodes, was slegs in staat om tussen normale en gehoorgestremde ore te onderskei.

Neurale netwerke is opgelei met die patroon van alle aanwesige en afwesige DPOAE response van 11 DPOAE frekwensies en agt DP Gramme, sowel as suiwertoondrempels by 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz en 4000 Hz. Die neurale netwerk het die geleerde korrelasie tussen die twee data stelle toegepas om gehoorvermoë te voorspel by 500 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2000 Hz en 4000 Hz. Gehoorvermoë is nie as 'n desibel waarde voorspel nie, maar in 'n kategorie met 'n grootte van 10dB.

Resultate het bevind dat voorspellingsakkuraatheid van normale gehoor verbeter het van 92% tot 94% by 500 Hz, 87% tot 88% by 1000 Hz, 84% tot 88% by 2000 Hz en 91% tot 93% by 4000 Hz van die vorige studie (De Waal, 1998) tot die huidige studie. Die verbetering in voorspellingsakkuraatheid kan toegeskryf word aan uitgebreide eksperimentering met neurale netwerk topologie en manipulering van inset data om optimale voorstelling van inligting in neurale netwerk opleiding te bewerkstellig. Die voorspellings van kategorie waardes by gehoorgestremdheid was minder bevredigend weens onvoldoende data vir die opleiding van die neurale netwerk. Die voorspellingsakkuraatheid versus die hoeveelheid ore in elke kategorie is met mekaar gekorreleer. Hierdie bevinding dui daarop dat die onvermoë om kategorië met gehoorverliese te voorspel, nie 'n tekortkoming van DPOAEs as suiwertonvoorspeller is nie, maar 'n gevolg is van die onvoldoende data wat die neurale netwerk gehad het in die opleidingsfase.

Die gevolgtrekking van hierdie studie dui daarop dat DPOAEs en neurale netwerke gebruik kan word om gehoorvermoë binne 10dB akkuraatheid te voorspel in normale en gehoorgestremde ore, van 500 Hz tot 4000 Hz vir gehoorverliese tot en met 65dB.

Sleutelwoorde: otoakoestiese emissies, distorsie produk otoakoestiese emissies, neurale netwerke, voorspelling van gehoordrempels, ouderdom en geslag, objektiewe meting van gehoorvermoë.

Table of Contents:

<u>Chapter 1: Orientation and Statement of the Problem</u>		<u>1</u>
1.1	Introduction.....	1
1.2	The Origin of Objective Procedures...	2
1.3	Purpose of this study...	8
1.4	Outline of the Thesis...	9
1.5	Conclusion... ..	11
 <u>Chapter 2: The Quest for Optimal Objective Pure Tone Threshold Prediction</u>		 <u>12</u>
2.1	Introduction.....	12
2.2	Objective diagnostic procedures... ..	14
2.2.1	Overview and definition of terms... ..	14
2.2.2	Current objective procedures available to predict pure tone thresholds... ..	14
2.2.2.1	Sensitivity prediction with the acoustic reflex (SPAR)... ..	15
2.2.2.2	Sensitivity prediction with auditory evoked potentials... ..	16
2.2.2.3	Otoacoustic Emissions... ..	20
2.2.2.3.1	Spontaneous Otoacoustic Emissions (SOAEs)... ..	22
2.2.2.3.2	Stimulus Frequency Otoacoustic Emissions (SFOAEs)... ..	23

2.2.2.3.3	Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions (TEOAEs)...	...	24
2.2.2.3.4	Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions (DPOAEs)...	...	30
2.3	DPOAEs as an objective, non-invasive technique...	...	32
2.3.1	Definition of DPOAE...	...	32
2.3.2	Measurement Procedures and Instrumentation for DPOAEs...		33
2.3.3	Literature overview of studies attempting to predict hearing sensitivity with DPOAEs...	...	36
2.3.4	PTT prediction with DPOAEs in perspective...	...	42
2.3.4.1	Audiometric threshold is determined by factors not included in OAE generation...	...	42
2.3.4.2	Nonlinearity is at the heart of OAE generation...	...	44
2.3.4.3	The sound calibration issue.....	...	44
2.4	Requirements for an optimal objective pure tone threshold prediction procedure...	...	45
2.4.1	Frequency specificity...	...	45
2.4.2	Evaluation of broad frequency span	46
2.4.3	Efficiency of the procedure.....	...	46
2.4.4	Good test-retest repeatability...	...	48
2.4.5	Differential diagnosis between sensory and neural hearing impairment.....	...	48

2.4.6	Fast test performance...	48
2.4.7	Economic test performance.....	49
2.4.8	Non-invasive, comfortable for the patient...	49
2.4.9	Age differences...	49
2.5	DPOAEs as an optimal objective pure tone threshold						
	prediction procedure...	49
2.5.1	Frequency specificity...	50
2.5.2	Evaluation of broad frequency span...	50
2.5.3	Efficiency of the procedure.....	51
2.5.4	Differential diagnosis between sensory and neural						
	hearing impairment.....	52
2.5.5	Good test-retest repeatability...	53
2.5.6	Fast test performance...	53
2.5.7	Economic test performance.....	53
2.5.8	Non-invasive, comfortable for the patient...	54
2.5.9	Age differences...	54
2.6	Requirements for an optimal data processing procedure						
	in the prediction of PTTs with DPOAEs...	55
2.6.1	DPOAEs are nonlinear in nature...	55
2.6.2	The complex data set of DPOAEs...	56

2.6.3	DPOAE measurements are often “noisy”... ..	56
2.7	Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) as a data processing procedure for the prediction of PTTs with DPOAEs... ..	57
2.8	Rationale... ..	58
2.9	Summary... ..	60

**Chapter 3: Parameters that Influence Pure Tone Threshold Prediction
Accuracy with Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions and Artificial
Neural Networks** **63**

3.1	Introduction..... ..	63
3.2	Stimulus Parameters of DPOAEs... ..	64
3.2.1	The f2/f1 frequency ratio... ..	65
3.2.2	The loudness levels of the primaries... ..	66
3.2.3	High versus low levels of simulation... ..	66
3.2.4	DP Gram versus I/O Function... ..	67
3.2.4.1	The DP Gram... ..	68
3.2.4.2	The I/O Function... ..	69
3.3	Subject variables: The Effect of Age and Gender on DPOAEs... ..	70
3.3.1	The effect of age on the distortion product..... ..	71
3.3.2	The effect of gender on the distortion product... ..	73

3.4	Which aspect of the DPOAE can best be correlated with						
	pure tone thresholds...	75
3.5	Aspects of the artificial neural network that influences						
	prediction accuracy of PTTs...	77
3.5.1	Definition of artificial neural networks...	77
3.5.2	“Anatomy” and “physiology” of artificial neural networks:						
	A discussion of concepts and terms...	78
3.5.2.1	Biological neural networks.....	79
3.5.2.2	Artificial neural networks (ANNs).....	80
3.5.3	Different types of artificial neural networks...	86
3.5.3.1	Single layer networks...	87
3.5.3.2	Two layer networks.....	87
3.5.3.3	Multi Layer Networks...	87
3.5.4	ANN factors influencing prediction accuracy						
	of PTTs with DPOAEs...	89
3.5.4.1	The topology...	89
3.5.4.1.1	Number of layers in the network...	90
3.5.4.1.2	Number of nodes in each layer...	90
3.5.4.2	The error tolerance...	92
3.5.4.3	The format of the input data...	93

3.6	Interpretation and conclusion...	94
3.6.1	Factors of the DPOAE influencing DPOAE occurrence and levels:						94
3.6.2	Factors of the ANN that influence prediction accuracy of PTTs with DPOAEs...	96
<u>Chapter 4: Research Methodology</u>							98
4.1	Introduction.....	98
4.2	Aims of research...	99
4.2.1	Main aim...	99
4.2.2	Sub aims...	99
4.3	Research design...	100
4.4	Subjects...	102
4.4.1	Criteria for the selection of subjects...	102
4.4.1.1	Normal and impaired hearing ability...	103
4.4.1.2	Normal middle ear functioning...	105
4.4.1.3	Good or normal attention span...	105
4.4.1.4	Criteria regarding subject age and gender...	106
4.4.2	Subject selection procedures...	107
4.4.2.1	Case history and personal information...	108
4.4.2.2	Otoscopic examination...	109

4.4.2.3	Tympanometry...	109
4.4.2.4	Pure tone audiogram...	110
4.5	Description of subjects...	111
4.6	Apparatus...	113
4.6.1	Subject selection apparatus.....	113
4.6.2	Data collection apparatus...	114
4.6.3	Data preparation apparatus....	114
4.6.4	Data analysis apparatus	114
4.6.5	Preliminary study...	115
4.6.5.1	Confirmation of subject selection criteria...	115
4.6.5.2	Determination of optimal stimulus parameters...	116
4.7	Data collection procedures...	119
4.7.1	Data collection procedures conducted during research...	119
4.7.1.1	Data obtained from pure tone audiometry...	120
4.7.1.2	Data obtained from DPOAE measurements...	120
4.7.1.2.1	Specification of stimulus parameters for DPOAE measurements...	120
4.7.1.2.1.1	The selection of the frequencies...	120
4.7.1.2.1.2	The selection of the frequency ratio of the primary frequencies (f_2/f_1)...	122
4.7.1.2.1.3	The selection of the loudness levels of the primaries, L1 and L2...	122

4.7.1.2.1.4	The relative loudness levels of the primaries (L1 and L2)...	123
4.7.1.2.1.5	The criteria for DPOAE threshold... ..	123
4.7.1.2.2	DPOAE testing procedure... ..	124
4.8	Data preparation procedures... ..	125
4.8.1	Experiments to determine ANN prediction accuracy by manipulating the input and output data... ..	126
4.8.1.1	DPOAE data at high and low frequencies... ..	126
4.8.1.2	Subject gender... ..	127
4.8.1.3	Subject age... ..	127
4.8.1.4	DPOAE amplitude... ..	127
4.8.1.4.1	AMP 100... ..	128
4.8.1.4.2	AMP 40... ..	128
4.8.1.4.3	ALT AMP... ..	129
4.8.1.4.4	No AMP... ..	129
4.8.1.5	DPOAE threshold... ..	130
4.8.1.6	Number of ears or data in every output category to be predicted...	130
4.8.1.7	Number of PTTs in every input category for ANN training...	132
4.8.2	Creation of a data file for each ear at each frequency... ..	134
4.8.3	Experiments to determine neural network topology and error tolerance levels... ..	138

4.8.3.1	History of trial runs done in the previous study (De Waal, 1998)...	138
4.8.3.2	The number of nodes in the input layer... ..	141
4.8.3.3	The number neurons in the output layer	142
4.8.3.4	The number of neurons in the hidden or middle layer... ..	143
4.8.3.5	Error tolerance levels... ..	143
4.8.3.6	The training and prediction phase... ..	144
4.9	Data analysis procedures... ..	146
4.10	Summary... ..	150

Chapter 5 :Results **152**

5.1	Introduction	152
5.2	Aspects regarding differences in methodology for the present (2000) and previous (1998) study... ..	154
5.3	The prediction of 4000 Hz.	156
5.3.1	Results obtained from the present study for the prediction of 4000 Hz.	156
5.3.2	Results of present study (2000) in comparison to the previous study (1998) for 4000 Hz.....	159
5.4	The prediction of 2000 Hz.	161

5.4.1	Results obtained from the present study for the prediction of 2000 Hz...	161
5.4.2	Results of present study (2000) in comparison to the previous study (1998) for 2000 Hz...	164
5.5	The prediction of 1000 Hz. ...	165
5.5.1	Results obtained from the present study for the prediction of 1000 Hz. ...	166
5.5.2	Results of present study (2000) in comparison to the previous study (1998) for 1000 Hz...	168
5.6	The prediction of 500 Hz...	170
5.6.1	Results obtained from the present study for the prediction of 500 Hz...	170
5.6.2	Results of present study (2000) in comparison to the previous study (1998) for 500 Hz...	172
5.7	Subject-, DPOAE- and ANN-variables experimented with to determine optimal PTT prediction accuracy...	174
5.7.1	The effect of the subject variable AGE presented to the network in 5 year or 10 year categories on PTT prediction accuracy...	174
5.7.2	The effect of DPOAE threshold defined as 1, 2 or 3 dB above the noise floor on PTT prediction accuracy...	176

5.7.3	The effect of the emission or inclusion of low frequency DPOAE information for ANN training on PTT prediction accuracy	178
5.7.4	The effect of DPOAE amplitude presentation to the neural network on PTT prediction accuracy...	179
5.7.5	The effect of the ANN variable MIDDLE NEURON COUNT on PTT prediction accuracy...	181
5.7.6	The effect of the ANN variable TRAINING ERROR SENSITIVITY on PTT prediction accuracy...	183
5.8	Summary...	184

Chapter 6: Discussion and Interpretation of Results 186

6.1	Introduction.....	186
6.2	Indication of a Correlation between DPOAE Measurements and Pure Tone Thresholds...	188
6.3	Prediction of 500 Hz...	191
6.4	Possible reasons for poor prediction of categories representing hearing loss...	195
6.5	Prediction of 1000 Hz...	198
6.6	Prediction of 2000 Hz...	200
6.7	Prediction of 4000 Hz...	201

6.8	Results of the current study in perspective:	
	Readiness for broad clinical use... ..	203
6.9	Case studies where the audiogram was predicted accurately...	206
6.10	Case studies where the audiogram was predicted inaccurately...	209
6.10.1	Interesting phenomena in cases predicted inaccurately... ..	209
6.10.1.1	Subjects demonstrating hearing loss due to noise exposure...	211
6.10.1.2	Subjects demonstrating very mild hearing losses... ..	211
6.10.1.3	A subjects demonstrating a possible retrocochlear hearing loss...	212
6.11	Subject-, DPOAE- and ANN-variables experimented with to determine optimal PTT prediction accuracy... ..	212
6.11.1	The effect of the subject variable AGE presented to the network in 5 year or 10 year categories on PTT prediction accuracy....	213
6.11.2	The effect of DPOAE threshold defined as 1, 2 or 3 dB above the noise floor on PTT prediction accuracy... ..	214
6.11.3	The effect of the emission or inclusion of low frequency DPOAE information for ANN training on PTT prediction accuracy	215
6.11.4	The effect of DPOAE amplitude presentation to the neural network on PTT prediction accuracy... ..	216
6.11.5	The effect of the ANN variable MIDDLE NEURON COUNT on PTT prediction accuracy... ..	219

6.11.6	The effect of the ANN variable TRAINING ERROR							
	SENSITIVITY on PTT prediction accuracy...	220
6.12	Summary	222
<u>Chapter7: Summary, Evaluation of the study and Conclusion</u>								223
7.1	Summary...	223
7.2	Evaluation of research methodology...	231
7.2.1	The research design.....	231
7.2.2	Validity and reliability...	232
7.2.3	Limitations of the study...	235
7.3	Recommendations for further research...	237
7.4	General implications of the study and concluding remarks...							238
<u>References:</u>								240
<u>Appendix A:</u>								258

List of tables:

Table 4.1:	Distribution pattern for different types of hearing loss in the 120 ear data set... .. 113	113
Table 4.2:	Confirmation of subject selection criteria in the preliminary study..	115
Table 4.3:	Time required testing one subject... .. 119	119
Table 4.4:	The eleven frequency pairs tested by the GSI-60 DPOAE system when all four octaves are activated..... .. 121	121
Table 4.5:	The five categories of hearing ability for scenario five... .. 131	131
Table 4.6:	PTT data distribution for every input category... .. 133	133
Table 4.7:	Example of a raw data set for one DP Gram... .. 135	135
Table 4.8:	Example of a fraction of a data file of one experiment to predict 500 Hz... .. 137	137
Table 4.9:	Determination of the number of input nodes... .. 142	142
Table 4.10:	A Fraction of the raw output file of the neural network's predictions... .. 147	147
Table 4.11:	RES 2 ANA experiment result representation... .. 149	149
Table 5.1:	A comparison of studies: Prediction accuracy of normal hearing with DPOAEs.... .. 153	153

Table 5.2:	Present study: 4000 Hz predicted into one of eight 10dB categories (Experiment 19301)...	157
Table 5.3:	Comparison of previous study (1998) in black and present study (2000) in red: 4000Hz predicted into 10dB categories...			160
Table 5.4:	Comparison of previous study (1998) in black and present study (2000) in red: 4000Hz predicted in the scenario five method into 5 categories...	161
Table 5.5:	Present study: 2000 Hz predicted into one of eight 10dB categories (Experiment 10301)...	162
Table 5.6:	Comparison of previous study (1998) in black and present study (2000) in red: 2000Hz predicted into 10dB categories...			164
Table 5.7:	Comparison of previous study (1998) in black and present study (2000) in red: 2000Hz predicted in the scenario five method into 5 categories...	165
Table 5.8:	Present study: 1000 Hz predicted into one of eight 10dB categories (Experiment 68509)...	166
Table 5.9:	Comparison of previous study (1998) in black and present study (2000) in red: 1000Hz predicted into 10dB categories...			168
Table 5.10:	Comparison of previous study (1998) and present study (2000): 1000Hz predicted in the scenario five method into 5 categories...			169

Table 5.11:	Present study: 500 Hz predicted into one of eight 10dB categories (Experiment 62313)...	171
Table 5.12:	Comparison of previous study (1998) in black and present study (2000) in red: 500Hz predicted into 10dB categories...				172
Table 5.13:	Comparison of previous study (1998) in black and present study (2000) in red: 500Hz predicted in the scenario five method into 5 categories...	173
Table 5.14:	A comparison of studies to present study: Prediction accuracy of normal hearing with DPOAEs...	184
Table 6.1:	Subject information of cases predicted accurately...	207
Table 6.2:	Subject information of cases predicted inaccurately...	209

List of Figures:

Figure 2.1:	The spectrum of the ear canal sound pressure of a normal hearing adult undergoing DPOAE testing... ..	33
Figure 2.2:	Schematic representation of a system used to measure distortion product otoacoustic emissions... ..	34
Figure 2.3:	Scattergram of emission threshold versus auditory threshold as measured by Kimberley and Nelson, (1989)... ..	37
Figure 2.4:	Calculation of test characteristics such as sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and prevalence... ..	47
Figure 3.1:	Example of a DP Gram... ..	68
Figure 3.2:	Example of an I/O function.....	69
Figure 3.3:	A biological neuron.....	80
Figure 3.4:	An artificial neuron.....	81
Figure 3.5:	Inputs to several nodes to form a layer... ..	82
Figure 3.6:	Connection of several layers to form a network... ..	83
Figure 3.7:	Diagram of a feedforward backpropagation neural network... ..	88
Figure 4.1:	Representation of male and female subjects... ..	112
Figure 4.2:	Age representation of subjects in 10-year categories... ..	112

Figure 5.1:	Prediction accuracy of 4000 Hz against number of ears in every category...	159
Figure 5.2:	Prediction accuracy of 2000 Hz against number of ears...	163
Figure 5.3:	Prediction accuracy of 1000 Hz against number of ears	167
Figure 5.4:	Prediction accuracy of 500 Hz against number of ears	171
Figure 5.5:	Prediction accuracy as a function of the age increment presented to the ANN input...	175
Figure 5.6:	Prediction accuracy versus DPOAE threshold...	177
Figure 5.7:	Prediction accuracy versus the presence or absence of low Frequency DPOAEs...	178
Figure 5.8:	Prediction accuracy versus amplitude representation...	181
Figure 5.9:	Prediction accuracy as a function of middle level neuron quantity.	182
Figure 5.10:	Prediction accuracy versus error tolerance levels...	183
Figure 6.1:	Prediction accuracy of PTTs with DPOAEs and ANNs...	190
Figure 6.2:	Prediction accuracy and ear count correlation...	197
Figure 6.3:	Case studies where the audiogram was predicted accurately...	208
Figure 6.4:	Case studies where the audiogram was predicted inaccurately...	210

Abbreviations Used in this Study:

2f1-f2	:	The cubic distortion frequency in DPOAE testing
ABLB	:	Alternate Binaural Loudness Balance
ABR	:	Auditory Brainstem Response
AEPs	:	Auditory Evoked Potentials
ANNs	:	Artificial Neural Networks
ANS	:	Artificial Neural System
ART	:	Acoustic Reflex Threshold
BBN	:	Broadband Noise
dB	:	decibel
DP	:	Distortion Product
DP Gram	:	Distortion Product Audiogram
DPOAEs	:	Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions
EcochG	:	Electrocochleography
EEG	:	Electroencephalogram
EOAEs	:	Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions
f1 or f2	:	The primary frequencies in DPOAE testing
GM	:	Geometric Mean
HL	:	Hearing Level
Hz	:	Hertz
I/O Function	:	Input/ Output Function
L1 or L2	:	Loudness levels of the primary frequencies in DPOAE testing
LLR	:	Long Latency Response
MLR	:	Middle Latency Response
NPR	:	Negative Predictive Value

OAEs	:	Otoacoustic Emissions
OHC	:	Outer Hair Cells
PPV	:	Positive Predictive Value
PTA	:	Pure Tone Average
PTTs	:	Pure Tone Thresholds
SFOAEs	:	Stimulus Frequency Emissions
SLRs	:	Short Latency Responses
SOAEs	:	Spontaneous Otoacoustic Emissions
SPAR	:	Sensitivity Prediction with the Acoustic Reflex
SPL	:	Sound Pressure Level
TBOAEs	:	Tone Burst Otoacoustic Emissions
TEOAEs	:	Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emissions