

PREFACE

The experimental work described in this dissertation was carried out by me in the Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, under supervision of Prof. J. N. Eloff and Dr. D. R. P. Katerere.

**INVESTIGATION OF ANTIBACTERIAL COMPOUNDS  
PRESENT IN *COMBRETUM WOODII* DUEMMER**

**JAMES OLUSANYA FAMAKIN**  
**B. Pharm (O.A.U, Ile-Ife, Nigeria)**

**Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Health Sciences (Department of Pharmacology),  
University of Pretoria, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of**

**MAGISTER SCIENTIAE**

**Promoter : Prof J. N. Eloff**

**Co-Promoter : DR D. R. P. Katerere**

**Date of Submission : January, 2002**

## PREFACE

The experimental work described in this dissertation was carried out by me in the Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of health science, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, under supervision of Prof. J. N. Eloff and Dr. D. R. P. Katerere.

These studies represent the work done by the author and have not otherwise been submitted in any form of degree or diploma to any other University. Where use has been made of the work of others it is duly acknowledged in the text.



.....  
James Olusanya Famakin

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I am grateful to Almighty God, The father of our lord Jesus Christ, who has given me the grace to complete this programme.

I hereby express my profound appreciation to the following people:

Prof. J. N. Eloff, my supervisor, for his relentless efforts and guidance towards the successful completion of this programme.

Dr. D. R. P. Katerere, my co-supervisor, for his invaluable assistance.

Mrs. Abolarinwa Famakin, my wife, for her love, prayers and inspiration.

Mr. Austin Ayo, for his help and moral support.

Ms. Ingrid Starke, for her motherly role.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Preface	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of contents	iii
List of tables	vi
List of figures	vii
Glossary of abbreviations	xii
Abstract	1
Summary	3
Chapter 1	4
1. Introduction	4
1.1 Resistance to antibiotics –A world-wide problem	4
1.2 Possible solution	4
1.3 Medicinal Plants-As source of Antibacterial drugs.	5
1.3.1 Plants as sources of medicines.	5
1.3.2 Plant and Antibacterial production	7
1.4 Antimicrobial activity of some plant constituents-An overview	10
1.4.1 Tannins	10
1.4.2 Flavones, flavonoids, and flavonols	11
1.4.3 Terpenoids and essential oils	11
1.4.4 Alkaloids	12
1.5. Combretaceae	14
1.5.1 Introduction.	14
1.5.2 Taxonomy	14
1.5.3 Use of Combretaceae in traditional medicine	14
1.5.4 Ethnopharmacology of Combretaceae	17
1.5.5 Phytochemistry of Combretaceae	18
1.5.6 Selection of <i>C. woodii</i>	18
1.6 An overview of methods that have been employed to isolate compounds from plants	21

1.6.1 Plant materials	21
1.6.2 Extraction techniques	21
1.6.3 Isolation and Analysis of Constituents	22
1.6.4 Assay of plant extracts	24
1.7 Problem statement and Hypothesis	26
1.8 Aim and Objectives of the study.	26
Chapter 2	27
2 Materials and Methods	27
2.1 Plant Material	27
2.2 Preparation and extraction	27
2.3 TLC analysis of extract	27
2.4 Bioautographic assay	28
2.4.1 Preparation of TLC plates for bioautography	28
2.4.2 Preparation of bacteria	28
2.4.3 Bioassay method	28
2.5 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration	29
2.5.1 Dilution of extract	29
2.5.2 Addition of bacteria	29
2.6 Solvent-Solvent fractionation	30
2.6.1 Analysis and bioautographic assay fractions	32
2.7 Isolation of bioactive compounds	32
2.7.1 TLC analysis	32
2.7.2 Isolation by column chromatography	32
2.7.3 Bioassay work on isolated compounds	33
2.8 Spectroscopic analysis of isolated compounds	34
Chapter 3	34
Results and discussion on extraction	34
3.1 Introduction	34
3.1.1 Quantity extracted with initial extractants	34
3.1.2 Profiling of extracts	36
3.1.3 Bioautography	40

## LIST OF TABLES

3.1.4 Quantity of antibacterial compound present and MIC values of extract	42
3.2 Solvent-Solvent extraction	45
3.2.1 Extraction of <i>C. woodii</i> and solvent-solvent fractionation	46
3.2.2 Complexity of fractions	47
3.2.3 Bioautography of fractions	50
3.2.4 Antibacterial activity of fractions	53
Chapter 4	58
Results and discussion on isolation of compound	58
4.1 Isolation of active compound	58
4.1.1 Method development	58
4.1.2 Column chromatography of chosen fraction	58
4.1.3 Analysis of collected fractions by TLC.	60
4.1.4 Combination of collected fractions	64
4.1.5 TLC analysis of CF1 and column chromatography	65
4.1.6. Minimum inhibitory concentration of isolated active compound	69
4.1.7 Bioautography of isolated active compound	70
Chapter 5	72
Spectroscopic analysis of isolated active compound	72
Discussion and Conclusion	80
Appendix 1	83
References.	84
Table 12 The minimum inhibitory concentration in mg/ml of different fractions obtained by solvent-solvent extraction of <i>C. woodii</i> leaves	54
Table 13 MIC in mg/ml and total activity in ml of acetone extract of <i>C. woodii</i> leaves in different fractions obtained by solvent-solvent fractionation	55
Table 14 Quantity in mg of grouped fractions from column chromatography of CF1	63
Table 15 MIC values in mg/ml of CF1b compared with ampicillin and chloramphenicol	70
Table 16 <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (300 MHz) and <sup>13</sup> C-NMR (75 MHz) spectra data for isolated compounds. Data obtained in CDCl <sub>3</sub>	72
Table 17 Typical chemical shifts of hydrogen attached to various types of functional groups	83



## LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 1	Major mechanisms of resistance to antimicrobial agents	5
Table 2	Some plant-derived preparations for medicinal use	7
Table 3	The subgeneric classification of genus <i>Combretum</i> in South Africa	15
Table 4	Traditional uses of some <i>Combretum</i> species	16
Table 5	Type of phytochemicals extracted by different solvents used	22
Table 6	Examples of colour detected under UV for some phytochemical preparation.	23
Table 7	Pharmacopoeia methods for microbiological assay of some antibacterial agents	25
Table 8	Quantity in mg extracted using various solvent systems	35
Table 9	Percentage of total extracted from <i>C. woodii</i> leaves after different periods	35
Table 10	Quantity extracted, MIC values in mg/ml and total activity in ml of <i>C. woodii</i> per gram leaves extracted with hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethyl acetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water.	43
Table 11	Quantity [in g] and percentage of total initial mass [140g] of <i>C. woodii</i> leaves extracted by each solvent-solvent fractionation process.	47
Table 12	The minimum inhibitory concentration in mg/ml of different fractions obtained by solvent-solvent extraction of <i>C. woodii</i> leaves	54
Table 13	MIC in mg/ml and total activity in ml of acetone extract of <i>C. woodii</i> leaves in different fractions obtained by solvent-solvent fractionation	55
Table 14	Quantity in mg of grouped fractions from column chromatography of CF1	68
Table 15	MIC values in mg/ml of CF1b compared with ampicillin and chloramphenicol	70
Table 16	<sup>1</sup> H-NMR (300 MHz) and <sup>13</sup> C-NMR (75 MHz) spectra data for isolated compounds. Data obtained in CDCl <sub>3</sub>	72
Table 17	Typical chemical shifts of hydrogen attached to various types of functional groups	83

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Fig. 1	Synthesis of plant secondary compounds	9
Fig. 2	Structure of a condensed tannin	11
Fig. 3	Structure of a flavone	12
Fig. 4	Structure of a terpenoid	13
Fig. 5	Structure of an alkaloid	13
Fig. 6	<i>Combretum woodii</i> from which leaves were collected in Lowveld National Botanical Garden	20
Fig. 7	Distribution of <i>C. woodii</i> in Southern Africa	20
Fig. 8	The procedure used for the solvent-solvent fractionation of the components in <i>C. woodii</i> leaves extracts.	31
Fig. 9	Quantity (mg) extracted from 0.5 g of powdered dried leaves of <i>C. woodii</i> with 5 ml of hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethyl acetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water.	36
Fig. 10	Separation of components present in 50 µg of 10 different extracts with BEA as eluent and vanillin-sulphuric acid spray reagent. Lanes from left to right: hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethylacetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water.	37
Fig. 11	Separation of components present in 50 µg of 10 different extracts using CEF as eluent and vanillin-sulphuric acid spray reagent. Lanes from left to right : hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethylacetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water.	37
Fig. 12	Separation of components present in 50 µg of 10 different extracts using EMW as eluent and vanillin-sulphuric acid spray reagent. Lanes from left to right: hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethylacetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water.	38
Fig. 13	Separation of compound present in 50 µg of 10 different extracts with BEA as eluent and <i>p</i> -anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid spray reagent. Lanes from left to right: hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethylacetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water.	38
Fig. 14	Separation of compounds present in 50 µg of 10 different extracts using CEF as eluent and <i>p</i> -anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid spray reagent. Lanes from left to right: hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride,	



- ethylacetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water. 39
- Fig. 15 Separation of compounds present in 50 µg of 10 different extracts using EMW as eluent and *p*-anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid spray reagent. Lanes from left to right hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethylacetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water. 39
- Fig. 16 Bioautograms of *C. woodii* leaves extracted by 10 different extractants. TLC developed in BEA (left) and EMW (right) and sprayed with *S. aureus* culture, incubated overnight then sprayed with INT. Growth inhibition indicated by colourless zone on TLC plates. Lanes from left to right: hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethyl acetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water. 41
- Fig. 17 Bioautograms of *C. woodii* leaves extracted by 10 different extractants. TLC developed in BEA (left) and EMW (right) and sprayed with *E. faecalis* culture, incubated overnight then sprayed with INT. Growth inhibition indicated by colourless zone on TLC plates. Lanes from left to right: hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethyl acetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water. 41
- Fig. 18 Total antibacterial activity of *C. woodii* extracts on four test organisms. From left to right: hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethyl acetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water. 44
- Fig. 19 Total antibacterial activity of *C. woodii* extracts on four tested organisms 44
- Fig. 20 Quantity extracted in each solvent fraction by solvent-solvent fractionation process 46
- Fig. 21 Separation of components present in the different fractions obtained by solvent-solvent extraction by BEA and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid. Lanes from left to right: hexane, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, 35 % methanol in water, butanol and water fractions. In each case 50 µg was chromatographed. 48
- Fig. 22 Separation of components present in the different fractions obtained by solvent –solvent extraction by CEF and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid. Lanes from left to right: hexane, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, 35 % methanol in water, butanol and water fractions. In each case 50 µg was chromatographed. 48
- Fig. 23 Separation of components present in the different fractions obtained by solvent - solvent extraction by EMW and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid. Lanes from left to right: hexane, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, 35 % methanol in water, butanol and water fractions. In each case 50 µg was chromatographed. 49
- Fig. 24 Separation of components present in the different fractions obtained by

- Fig. 24 solvent –solvent extraction by BEA, CEF and EMW (from left to right) and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid. Lanes at the bottom in each TLC plate from left to right: insoluble water fractions (IW), insoluble butanol fractions (IB) and insoluble 35 % water in methanol fractions and interphase in chloroform (GR). 49
- Fig. 25 Bioautogram of acetone extract of *C. woodii* leaves separated into different fractions by solvent-solvent extraction. TLC plate developed in BEA and sprayed with *S.aureus* culture incubated overnight and then sprayed with INT. Growth inhibition indicated by colourless zones on TLC plate. Lane from left to right: chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, 35 % methanol in water, butanol and water fractions. 50
- Fig. 26 Bioautogram of acetone extract of *C. woodii* leaves separated into different fractions by solvent-solvent extraction. TLC plate developed in CEF and sprayed with *S.aureus* culture incubated overnight and then sprayed with INT. Growth inhibition indicated by colourless zones on TLC plate. Lane from left to right: chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, 35% methanol in water, butanol and water fractions. 51
- Fig. 27 Bioautogram of acetone extract of *C. woodii* leaves separated into different fractions by solvent-solvent extraction. TLC plate developed in EMW and sprayed with *S. aureus* culture incubated overnight and then sprayed with INT. Growth inhibition indicated by colourless zones on TLC plate. Lane from left to right: chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, 35% methanol in water, butanol and water fractions. 51
- Fig. 28 Bioautogram of acetone extract of *C. woodii* leaves separated by CEF (left) and EMW (right) and *E. faecalis* as a test organism. White or yellowish brown areas indicated growth inhibition. 52
- Fig. 29 Bioautogram of acetone extract of *C. woodii* leaves separated by CEF ( left) and EMW (right) and *E. coli* as a test organism. White or yellowish brown areas indicated growth inhibition. 53
- Fig. 30 Bioautogram of acetone extract of *C. woodii* leaves separated by CEF (the left) and EMW (right) and *Ps. aeruginosa* as a test organism. White or yellowish brown areas indicated growth inhibition. 53
- Fig. 31 Total antibacterial activity to four test organisms of *C. woodii* leaves extracted with solvent fractions. Fractions from left to right: hexane (H), chloroform (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>), 35% water in methanol (35% W/M), butanol (B) and water (W). 56
- Fig. 32 The relative quantities obtained by solvent-solvent fractionation and the relative antibacterial activities of the different fractions. Solvent fractions from the top to the bottom: hexane (H), chloroform (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>), butanol, 35% water in methanol (35% W/M) and water (W). 56



- Fig. 33 TLC of chloroform fraction using different ratios of chloroform and ethyl acetate combination as solvent systems. From left to right: ratios 2 : 1, 1 : 2, 1 : 1, 1 : 5. Lines indicate fluorescing compounds. 59
- Fig. 34 TLC of chloroform fraction using different ratios of chloroform and tetrahydrofuran combination as solvent systems. From left to right: ratios 1.1, 1.2. Lines represent fluorescing compounds. 59
- Fig. 35 TLC of chloroform fraction using different ratios of chloroform and methanol combination as solvent systems. From left to right: ratios 1: 2, 2: 1. Lines represent fluorescing compounds. 60
- Fig. 36 TLC of every fourth fraction collected separated by CEF and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent. Lanes from left to right: fractions 4, 8, ..., 60, 64. 61
- Fig. 37 TLC of collected fractions separated by CEF and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent. Lanes from left to right: fractions: 1, 2, 3, ....., 24, 25. 61
- Fig. 38 TLC of collected fractions separated by CEF and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent. Lanes from left to right: fractions 26, 27, 28, ....., 53, 54. 62
- Fig. 39 TLC of column chromatography fractions separated by CEF and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent. Lanes from left to right: fractions 55, 56, ....., 78, 79. 62
- Fig. 40 TLC of collected fractions separated by EMW and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent. Lanes from left to right: fractions 26, 27, 28, ....., 53, 54. 62
- Fig. 41 TLC of collected fractions separated by EMW and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent. Lanes from left to right: fractions 107, 108, ..., 122, 123. 63
- Fig. 42 TLC of collected fractions separated by CEF and sprayed with a vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent. Lanes from left to right: fractions 4, 5, ... 11, 12. 63
- Fig. 43 TLC of some of CF2 extracted into three different solvent using CEF (left) and EMW (right) as the solvent systems. From left to right: acetone (ACN), chloroform (CHC), and methanol (MEOH) components. Lines indicate fluorescing compounds. 65
- Fig. 44 Separation of CF1 by different solvent systems using vanillin as the spray reagent. The TLC plates from left to right were developed in acetonitrile : water (1 : 1), 5% acetonitrile in water, 2% acetonitrile in water and 1% acetonitrile in water. Lines represent fluorescing compounds. 66
- Fig. 45 Separation of CF1 by different solvent systems using vanillin-sulphuric acid

as the spray reagent. The TLC plates from left to right were developed in different chloroform and ethyl acetate combinations (2:1, 1:5, 1:3, 4:1). 66

- Fig. 46 Separation of CF1 by different solvent systems using vanillin-sulphuric acid as the spray reagent. The TLC plates from left to right were developed in methanol:water (1:1), 5% methanol in chloroform and 1% methanol in water. 66
- Fig. 47 TLC separation of fractions obtained from column chromatography of CF1 by CEF and using vanillin-sulphuric acid spray reagent. From left to right: fractions 1, 2, ..., 29, 30. 68
- Fig. 48 TLC separation of grouped fractions from column chromatography of CF1 by CEF and using vanillin-sulphuric acid spray reagent. From left to right: A, B, ....., K, L. 69
- Fig. 49 Bioautograms of CF1b (left) and CF2C (right). TLC developed in EMW and sprayed with growing *S. aureus* culture and later with INT. Brownish-yellow colour indicated growth inhibition zone. 71
- Fig. 50 Proposed structure for isolated compound 74
- Fig. 51 The isolated active compound and its fragmentation into two tropylium ions. 74
- Fig. 52 The isolated active compounds with its two aromatic rings labeled as 'A' and 'B'. 75

16		Methanol (extractant or fraction)
17	TH	Toluene
18	I	(Isopropyl) ether
19	IB	Insoluble butanol fraction
20	INT	<i>p</i> -iodonitrotetrazolium violet
21	IW	Insoluble water fraction
22	IWM	Insoluble 35% water in methanol (fraction)
23	M	Methanol extractant
24	MDC	Methylene dichloride
25	MS	Mass spectrometry
26	35% W/M	35% water in methanol (fraction)
27	NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
28	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
29	R <sub>f</sub>	fractional movement of a solute band, relative to the distance moved by solvent front
30	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
31	THF	Tetrahydrofuran extractant
32	TLC	Thin layer chromatography
33	UV	Ultra-violet light
34	W	Water (extractant)

## GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

1	ACN	Acetone (extractant)
2	ATCC	American type Culture Collection
3	B	Butanol (extractant or fraction)
4	<sup>13</sup> C	Carbon 13
4	BEA	Benzene:Ethanol:Ammonium hydroxide [36:5.4:4]
5	CC	Column chromatography
6	CCl <sub>4</sub>	Carbon tetrachloride (extractant or fraction)
7	CEF	Chloroform:Ethyl acetate:Formic acid [5:4:1]
8	CF	Column chromatography fraction
9	CHCl <sub>3</sub>	Chloroform (extractant or fraction)
10	EA	Ethyl acetate extractant.
11	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
12	EE	Diethyl ether (extractant)
13	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>
14	EMW	Ethanol : methanol : water [40:5.4:4]
15	ET	Ethanol (extractant)
16	H	Hexane (extractant or fraction)
17	<sup>1</sup> H	Proton
18	I	Isopropyl ether
19	IB	Insoluble butanol fraction
20	INT	<i>p</i> -iodonitrotetrazolium violet
21	IW	Insoluble water fraction
22	IWM	Insoluble 35% water in methanol (fraction)
23	M	Methanol extractant
24	MDC	Methylene dichloride
25	MS	Mass spectroscopy
26	35% W/M	35% water in methanol (fraction)
27	NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
28	<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
29	R <sub>f</sub>	fractional movement of a solute band, relative to the distance moved by solvent front
30	<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
31	THF	Tetrahydrofuran extractant
32	TLC	Thin layer chromatography
33	UV	Ultra-violet light
34	W	Water (extractant)



## ABSTRACT

Dried ground leaves of *Combretum woodii* were extracted with 10 different solvents (hexane, diisopropyl ether, diethyl ether, methylene dichloride, ethyl acetate, tetrahydrofuran, acetone, ethanol, methanol and water) to determine the best extractant for isolating and characterizing any compound(s) with antibacterial activity present. The antibacterial activity of all the extracts was tested against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. All the extracts, with exception of the water extract, inhibited the growth of *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* using bioautography of thin layer chromatography plates. Two major inhibitory compounds with  $R_f$  values of 0.74 and 0.88 were visible on the bioautograms of extracts sprayed with *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* respectively in ethanol:methanol:water (40:5.4:4) solvent systems. There were at least three more polar inhibitory compounds against *E. faecalis* separated in benzene:ethanol:ammonium hydroxide (36:4:0.4) solvent system.

According to thin layer chromatography using *p*-anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid as spray reagent, most solvents extracted at least seven compounds but water extracted only one visible compound.

Tetrahydrofuran, methylene dichloride, and acetone extracted the largest quantity. The methylene dichloride and acetone extracts had the highest antibacterial activity against all the four test organisms. However, acetone was selected for extraction of *C. woodii* dried ground leaves because of its relatively low toxicity to test organisms and the ease of removal after extraction.

Acetone extracted 11% of 140 g of dried ground leaves. Group separation by solvent-solvent extraction was applied to the acetone extract. The complex extract was simplified by separating into six fractions and an interphase. The highest number of non-polar compounds was in the hexane fraction, followed by carbon tetrachloride and chloroform fractions. The highest quantity of extract, 32%, was also in the hexane fraction followed by chloroform (25.6%), butanol (11.7%), water (7.2%), 35% water in methanol (6.5%), and carbon tetrachloride (6.4%) fractions. The carbon tetrachloride fraction had the most complex mixture of compounds. The six fractions obtained inhibited the four test organisms to different degrees. Most of the bioactive compounds were in the chloroform and hexane fractions. The chloroform fraction had the highest relative antibacterial activity (almost 33 times higher than the water fraction). Generally, *S. aureus* was the most sensitive, followed by *E. faecalis*, *Ps. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*. There were at least six growth inhibitors of pathogenic

bacteria. A major active compound with  $R_f$  value of 0.67 in chloroform:ethylacetate:formic acid (20:16:4) and 0.74 in ethanol:methanol:water (40:5.4:4) solvent systems was present in all the fractions (except water fraction). Attempts were made to isolate and characterize this major active compound.

The chloroform fraction was subjected to silica gel 60 (63–200  $\mu\text{m}$ ) column chromatography using a chloroform and ethyl acetate mixture and 10% methanol in acetone to elute the column fractions. Further TLC analyses and column chromatographic procedures on the collected fractions led to the isolation of this compound. This was identified by nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectroscopy as combretastatin B5 (2', 3', 4-trihydroxyl, 3, 5, 4'-trimethoxybibenzyl) previously isolated from the seeds of *C. kraussii*. This compound has been found to have antimutagenic activity. The closely related combretastatin A4, the first of a new class of anticancer agents, is currently undergoing clinical trials.

The antibacterial activity of combretastatin B5 showed significant activity against *S. aureus*, *Ps. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis* and slight activity against *E. coli*. The MIC values of the isolated active compound for *S. aureus* was 16  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ , which compares favourably to the MIC values of 80  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and 160  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  for ampicillin and chloramphenicol in this test respectively.

The results obtained validate the use of *Combretum* species for the bacterial infections in traditional medicine. Further work, needs to be done to investigate the possible clinical value of combretastatin B5 and isolate and characterize other antibacterial compounds in *C. woodii*.



## SAMEVATTING

Om te bepaal wat die beste ekstraheermiddel is vir gedroogde *C. woodii* blare is, is tien vloeistowwe (heksaan, di-isopropieleter, dietieleter, metileendichloried, etielasetaat, tetrahydrofuraan, aseton, etanol, metanol en water) gebruik om antibakteriese verbindings te isoleer en karakteriseer. Die toetsorganismes was *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. Volgens bio-outografieresultate, het al die ekstrakte behalwe die waterekstrak die groei van *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* onderdruk. Daar was twee belangrike inhibeerders met  $R_f$  waardes van 0.74 en 0.88 in bio-outogramme ontwikkel in etanol:metanol:water (40:5.4:4). Daar was ten minste nog drie meer polêre inhibeerders van *E. faecalis* volgens bio-outogramme ontwikkel in benseen:etanol:ammoniumhidroksied (36:4:0.4). Met die uitsondering van die waterekstrak kon ten minste sewe verbindings na dunlaagchromatografie (DLC) en spuit met *p*-anysaldehyd-swavelsuur aangetoon word.

Tetrahydrofuraan, metileen dichloried en aseton het die grootste hoeveelheid ge-ekstraheer en laasgenoemde twee het die hoogste antibakteriese aktiwiteit teen die vier toetsorganismes gehad. Asetoon is gekies as ekstraheermiddel omdat dit 'n relatiewe lae toksisiteit vir die toetsorganismes gehad het en maklik verwyder kon word na ekstraksie.

Asetoon het 11% van die 140 g gedroogde fynge maalde blare ge-ekstraheer. Die ekstrak is deur vloeistof-vloeistof groepskeiding vereenvoudig na ses fraksies en 'n interfase. Die grootste getal nie-polêre verbindings was in die heksaanfraksie gevolg deur die koolstoftetrachloried- en chloroformfraksies. Die grootste hoeveelheid, 32% van die totaal, was ook in die heksaanfraksie gevolg deur die chloroform- (25.6%), butanol- (11.7%), water- (7.2%), 35% water in metanol- (6.5%), and koolstoftetrachloriedfraksies (6.4%). Die koolstoftetrachloriedfraksie het die mees komplekse samestelling gehad en al ses fraksie het die groei van die toetsorganismes tot 'n mindere of meerdere mate geïnhibeer. Oor die algemeen was *S. aureus* die sensitiefste gevolg deur *E. faecalis*, *Ps. aeruginosa* en *E. coli*. Daar was ten minste ses groei-inhibeerders van die bakterieë teenwoordig. Die sterkste inhibeerder het 'n  $R_f$ -waarde van 0.67 in chloroform:etielasetaat:mieresuur (20:16:4) and 0.74 in etanol:metanol:water (40:5.4:4) gehad. Hierdie verbinding was teenwoordig in al die fraksies behalwe die waterfraksie. Pogings is aangewend om hierdie verbinding te isoleer.

Die komponente van die chloroformfraksie is deur silikagel chromatografie (silika gel 60 (63-200  $\mu$ m) met 'n gradiënt van chloroform-etielasetaat en later 10% metanol in aseton geskei. Die suiwer verbinding is deur verdere DLC analise en kolomchromatografie geïsoleer. Die verbinding is deur kernmagnetiese resonansspektroskopie en massaspektroskopie geïdentifiseer as combretastatin B5 (2',3',4-trihidroksiel, 3,5,4'-trimethoksiebibensiel) wat voorheen geïsoleer is uit die saad van *C. kraussii*. Hierdie verbinding het antimitiese aktiwiteit gehad. Die naverwante combretastatin A4, die eerste van 'n nuwe klas antikanker agense ondergaan tans kliniese proewe.

Combretastatin B5 het sterk antibakteriese aktiwiteit teen *S. aureus*, *Ps. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis* en laer aktiwiteit teen *E. coli* gehad. Die MIC-waardes vir *S. aureus* was 16  $\mu$ g/ml, teenoor MIC waardes van 80  $\mu$ g/ml en 160  $\mu$ g/ml vir ampisillien en chlooramfenikol in hierdie eksperimente.

Die resultate ondersteun die etnobotaniese gebruik van *Combretum* spesies vir bakteriese infeksies. Verdere werk behoort uitgevoer te word op die moontlike kliniese waarde van combretastatin B5 en die isolering van ander antibakteriese verbindings in *C. woodii*.