

# **Phosphorus Limitation as a Method of Cyanobacterial Bloom Control**

BY

GINA POCOCK

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Philosophiae Doctor**

**(Microbiology)**

In the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Department of Microbiology and  
Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

Supervisor: Prof. T.E. Cloete



I, the undersigned, hereby declare that the thesis submitted herewith for the degree of Philosophiae Doctor to the University of Pretoria, contains my own independent work and has not been submitted for any degree at any other institution.

Signed:

Date:

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the following people and institutions:

Prof. Eugene Cloete for his support, advice and motivation, without whom this work would not have been possible. Thank you for giving me the means to reach my goals and follow my dreams.

Dr. A. Karen J. Surridge for her unfailing support, guidance and invaluable assistance, especially with the DGGE work.

The University of Queensland, for use of their laboratories, and Dr. Fouad Haghseresht for giving freely of his time, knowledge and patience while I was under his mentorship in Brisbane

The members of the microbiology laboratories, especially Candice Johnston, Alicia van der Merwe and Heinrich Geyer, who were my daily inspiration

My family and friends, especially my husband Trevor Pocock, for unfailing love, support and belief in my ability to succeed

Africa Geo-Environmental Services, Phoslock Water Solutions, THRIP and Eskom for their financial support

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### CHAPTER 1

|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|--------------|---|

### CHAPTER 2

|   |    |
|---|----|
| LITERATURE REVIEW   | 6  |
| <b>1. Introduction</b>  | 7  |
| <b>2. Toxins</b>  | 8  |
| <b>2.1. Hepatotoxic cyclic peptides- microcystins and nodularin</b> | 9  |
| 2.1.1. Microcystins   | 9  |
| 2.1.2. Nodularin  | 13 |
| <b>2.2. Alkaloid toxins</b>   | 14 |
| 2.2.1. Saxitoxins   | 14 |
| 2.2.2. Anatoxins  | 14 |
| 2.2.3. Cylindrospermopsin   | 15 |
| <b>2.3. Lipopolysaccharides</b>                                     | 15 |
| <b>2.4. Toxin stability</b>   | 17 |
| 2.4.1. Microcystins and nodularins                                  | 17 |
| 2.4.2. Anatoxins  | 17 |
| 2.4.3. Saxitoxins   | 18 |
| 2.4.4. Cylindrospermopsin   | 18 |
| <b>2.5. Toxin removal</b>   | 18 |
| 2.5.1. Biodegradation of toxins                                     | 20 |
| <b>3. Why cyanobacteria become dominant</b>                         | 21 |
| <b>3.1. Nutrient physiology and the importance of the N:P ratio</b> | 21 |
| <b>3.2. Effect of zooplankton</b>                                   | 23 |
| <b>3.3. Buoyancy in cyanobacteria</b>                               | 24 |
| <b>3.4. Recruitment of resting stages</b>                           | 25 |
| <b>3.5. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and pH</b>                     | 26 |
| <b>3.6. Effect of trace metals</b>                                  | 27 |
| <b>4. Methods of control</b>  | 27 |
| <b>4.1. Biological control of cyanobacteria</b>                     | 27 |
| 4.1.1. Cyanophages  | 29 |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 4.1.2. Predatory bacteria   | 30        |
| 4.1.3. Fungal pathogens of cyanobacteria                            | 34        |
| 4.1.4. Field application of biological control agents               | 34        |
| <b>4.2. Chemical control of cyanobacteria</b>                       | <b>35</b> |
| <b>4.3. Control using turbulent mixing</b>                          | <b>36</b> |
| <b>4.4. Eutrophication management</b>                               | <b>37</b> |
| 4.4.1. Nutrient limitation  | 37        |
| 4.4.2. Chemical removal of phosphorus                               | 38        |
| 4.4.3. Physical sequestering of nutrients                           | 39        |
| 4.4.4. Phoslock <sup>®</sup> as a eutrophication management tool    | 39        |
| <b>5. Microbial community analysis</b>                              | <b>41</b> |
| <b>5.1. Culture independent assessment of microbial communities</b> | <b>42</b> |
| 5.1.1. Single-strand conformation polymorphism                      | 44        |
| 5.1.2. Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism            | 44        |
| 5.1.3. Amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis                 | 45        |
| 5.1.4. Reverse transcription PCR                                    | 45        |
| 5.1.5. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis                      | 46        |
| 5.1.5.1. Community diversity analysis using DGGE banding patterns   | 48        |
| 5.1.5.2. Limitations of DGGE  | 49        |
| <b>6. Conclusion</b>  | <b>52</b> |
| <b>7. References</b>  | <b>55</b> |

## CHAPTER 3

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| CHARACTERISATION AND KINETICS OF PHOSLOCK <sup>®</sup>         | 69        |
| <b>1. Introduction</b>   | <b>70</b> |
| <b>2. Materials and methods</b>                                | <b>72</b> |
| <b>2.1. Column tests</b>                                       | <b>72</b> |
| 2.1.1. The effect of pH on Phoslock <sup>®</sup> performance   | 72        |
| 2.1.2. Lake water with algal bloom                             | 73        |
| 2.1.3. Lake water with algal bloom treated at high dose ratios | 75        |
| <b>2.2. Beaker tests</b>                                       | <b>76</b> |
| 2.2.1. Effect of initial phosphorus concentration              | 76        |
| 2.2.2. Lake water  | 76        |
| <b>3. Results</b>  | <b>76</b> |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>3.1. Pseudo-second order model</b>                          | 76  |
| <b>3.2. Column tests</b>                                       | 77  |
| 3.2.1. The effect of pH on Phoslock <sup>®</sup> performance   | 77  |
| 3.2.2. Lake water with algal bloom                             | 81  |
| 3.2.3. Lake water with algal bloom treated at high dose ratios | 89  |
| <b>3.3. Beaker tests</b>                                       | 92  |
| 3.3.1. Effect of initial phosphorus concentration              | 92  |
| 3.3.2. Lake water  | 93  |
| <b>4. Discussion</b>   | 96  |
| <b>4.1. Column tests</b>                                       | 96  |
| 4.1.1. The effect of pH on Phoslock <sup>®</sup> performance   | 96  |
| 4.1.2. Lake water with algal bloom                             | 97  |
| 4.1.3. Lake water with algal bloom treated at high dose ratios | 98  |
| <b>4.2. Beaker tests</b>                                       | 98  |
| 4.2.1. Effect of initial phosphorus concentration              | 98  |
| 4.2.2. Lake water  | 99  |
| <b>5. Conclusions</b>  | 99  |
| <b>6. References</b>   | 100 |

## CHAPTER 4

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| PHOSLOCK <sup>®</sup> FIELD TRIAL  | 102 |
| <b>1. Introduction</b>   | 103 |
| <b>2. Materials and methods</b>  | 105 |
| <b>2.1. The site</b>   | 105 |
| <b>2.2 Calculation of Phoslock<sup>®</sup> quantity needed for treatment</b> | 105 |
| <b>2.3. Product application</b>  | 106 |
| <b>2.4. Sampling strategy</b>  | 106 |
| <b>3. Results</b>  | 108 |
| <b>4. Discussion</b>   | 117 |
| <b>5. Conclusion</b>   | 120 |
| <b>6. References</b>   | 121 |

## CHAPTER 5

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| ANALYSIS OF THE MICROBIAL COMMUNITY DIVERSITY IN PHOSLOCK <sup>®</sup><br>TREATED AND CONTROL AREAS OF HARTBEESSPOORT DAM USING PCR-<br>DGGE | 122 |
| <b>1. Introduction</b>   | 123 |
| <b>2. Materials and Methods</b>  | 126 |
| <b>2.1. Sampling and DNA extraction</b>  | 126 |
| <b>2.2. Polymerase chain reactions</b>   | 126 |
| 2.2.1. General bacterial PCR   | 126 |
| 2.2.2. Cyanobacterial specific PCR   | 127 |
| <b>2.3. DGGE</b>   | 128 |
| <b>2.4. Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis</b>   | 129 |
| <b>3. Results</b>  | 131 |
| <b>3.1. DGGE targeting filamentous cyanobacteria</b>   | 131 |
| <b>3.2. DGGE targeting unicellular cyanobacteria</b>   | 136 |
| <b>3.3. DGGE targeting all bacteria, including cyanobacteria</b>   | 141 |
| <b>4. Discussion</b>   | 148 |
| <b>5. Conclusion</b>   | 152 |
| <b>6. References</b>   | 154 |

## CHAPTER 6

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| THE CONTROL OF TOXIC CYANOBACTERIAL BLOOMS USING BIOLOGICAL<br>CONTROL IN THE FORM OF PREDATORY BACTERIA, ALONE AND IN<br>COMBINATION WITH PHOSLOCK <sup>®</sup> | 158 |
| <b>1. Introduction</b>   | 159 |
| <b>2. Materials and Methods</b>  | 161 |
| <b>2.1. Culture of bacterial strains</b>   | 161 |
| <b>2.2. Host cyanobacteria and cultivation</b>   | 161 |
| <b>2.3. Bacterial characterisation and identification</b>  | 162 |
| <b>2.4. Critical predator-prey ratio</b>   | 164 |
| <b>2.5. Collection, treatment and processing of environmental samples</b>  | 165 |
| <b>2.6. Effects of Phoslock<sup>®</sup> on bacterial growth</b>  | 166 |
| <b>2.7. Combined Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and bacteria treatment</b>   | 166 |
| <b>3. Results</b>  | 167 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 3.1. Bacterial identification                              | 167 |
| 3.2. Critical predator-prey ratio                          | 168 |
| 3.3. Effects of Phoslock <sup>®</sup> on bacterial growth  | 170 |
| 3.4. Combined Phoslock <sup>®</sup> and bacteria treatment | 171 |
| 4. Discussion  | 172 |
| 5. Conclusion  | 173 |
| 6. References  | 174 |
| <br>   |     |
| <b>CHAPTER 7:</b>  |     |
| THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISATION OF FLY ASH      | 176 |
| 1. Introduction  | 177 |
| 2. Materials and Methods                                   | 179 |
| 2.1. Fly ash samples                                       | 179 |
| 2.2. X-ray diffraction (XRD)                               | 179 |
| 2.3. X-ray fluorescence (XRF)                              | 180 |
| 2.4. The effect of fly ash on the pH of water              | 180 |
| 2.5. Leaching of fly ash                                   | 180 |
| 2.6. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of fly ash samples | 180 |
| 2.7. Particle sizing                                       | 181 |
| 3. Results   | 181 |
| 3.1. X-ray diffraction (XRD)                               | 181 |
| 3.2. X-ray fluorescence (XRF)                              | 181 |
| 3.3. The effect of fly ash on the pH of water              | 185 |
| 3.4. Chemical leaching of fly ash in distilled water       | 186 |
| 3.5. SEM of fly ash samples                                | 190 |
| 3.6. Particle sizing                                       | 192 |
| 4. Discussion  | 193 |
| 5. Conclusion  | 199 |
| 6. References  | 200 |
| <br>   |     |
| <b>CHAPTER 8:</b>  |     |
| THE FLOCCULATION OF CYANOBACTERIA USING FLY ASH            | 201 |
| 1. Introduction  | 202 |
| 2. Material and Methods                                    | 205 |





|                   |  |     |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| 2.1               | Fly ash samples  | 205 |
| 2.2.              | Cyanobacteria samples  | 205 |
| 2.3.              | Flocculation experiments   | 205 |
| 2.4.              | Re-growth experiments  | 206 |
| 2.5.              | Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of flocculated cyanobacteria                              | 208 |
| 2.6.              | SEM of etched samples  | 208 |
| 2.7.              | Phosphate adsorption study   | 208 |
| 3.                | Results  | 209 |
| 3.1.              | Flocculation experiments   | 209 |
| 3.2.              | Re-growth experiments  | 214 |
| 3.3.              | SEM of flocculated cyanobacteria   | 215 |
| 3.4.              | Phosphate adsorption study   | 220 |
| 4.                | Discussion   | 221 |
| 5.                | Conclusion   | 225 |
| 6.                | References   | 226 |
| <b>CHAPTER 9</b>  |  |     |
|                   | GENERAL DISCUSSION   | 228 |
| <b>CHAPTER 10</b> |  |     |
|                   | CONCLUSION   | 235 |
| <b>RESUMÉ</b>     |  | 238 |
| <b>APPENDIX A</b> |  | 241 |
| 1.                | Sequences obtained from DGGE bands in Chapter 5  | 241 |
| 1.1               | Partial 16S rDNA sequences obtained from from bands in the cyanobacterial specific DGGE gels | 241 |
| 1.2.              | Partial 16S rDNA sequences obtained from from bands in the general bacterial DGGE gel        | 245 |
| 2.                | Sequence of unknown bacteria (Chapter 6)   | 250 |
| <b>APPENDIX B</b> |  |     |
|                   | PRESENTATIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS RESEARCH                                    | 251 |