## CHAPTER 6

## RESULTS

## DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLE PLOTS ON NGAMBA ISLAND

Figure 6.1 shows a map of Ngamba Island based on GPS measurements. The forested areas and those with herbaceous vegetation are indicated. Other landmarks, such as the fence dividing the chimpanzee area from the staff and visitors' area, as well as the centre points of the eight sample plots are also indicated.


Ngamba Island


Figure 6.1: Sample plots and vegetation zones on Ngamba Island.

## SAMPLE PLOTS ON NGAMBA ISLAND

Using the highest elevation of the island ( $\mathrm{S} 00^{\circ} 06288 / \mathrm{E} \mathrm{32} 39$ 178; $1195 \pm 5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) as a centre point, four different sampling quadrats were created (Figure 6.2). In each quadrat two sample plots were randomly selected:


Figure 6.2: Location of the four sample quadrats on Ngamba Island.

## Plot 1 -S $00^{\circ} 06256 / E 32^{\circ} 39005 ; 1160 \pm 1$ m (Table 6.1)

This plot is close to the western shore of the island and has two slopes: a slight ( $4.6-9.9^{\circ}$ ) east-westwards slope and a moderate ( $10.0-27.0^{\circ}$ ) north-southwards slope (Table 6.1). The undergrowth is dense, consisting mainly of Culcasia falcifolia - a creeper - and Commelina capitata. There are not many trees of $>6.00 \mathrm{~m}$ in this plot. The vegetation consists mainly of Aframomum angustifolium. Apart from the $>6.00 \mathrm{~m}$ height class, trees of all other height classes are present within the five meter squares. Very few dead trees; neither standing nor fallen, are prevalent. This plot seems to receive less wind than those on the eastern side and the vegetation on this side of the island differs from that on the eastern side. There are also fewer spider webs.

Plot 2 -S $00^{\circ} 06313 /$ E $32^{\circ} 39014 ; 1172 \pm 2 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 6.1)

This plot has a big open space in the centre with fallen dead trees covering part of the area. Almost no tracks are present. The plot has a moderate east-western slope (Table 6.1) and is close to the highest elevation on the island. The ground is covered with Culcasia falcifolia. On the northern side of the plot another open space is found where a number of spider webs occur. This plot contains some of the largest trees, many showing very high root buttresses.

Plot 3 - S $00^{\circ} 06152 / E 32^{\circ} 39169 ; 1170 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 6.1)

This plot is close to the northern forest border and to the staff/visitors area. The tracks from east to west and north to south are not particularly straight in this area and could therefore be only partly used to subdivide the plot into sampling squares. There are two slopes: a slight east-western slope and a moderate south-northern slope (Table 6.1). Albizia gumnifera and Aframomum angustifolium are the most prominent woody species. In general, the vegetation is quite dense but there are also some bare patches.

Plot 4 - S $00^{\circ} 06240 / E 32^{\circ} 39$ 150; $1176 \pm 5 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 6.1)

This plot is situated more towards the centre of the island and in close proximity of the highest elevation. There are two slopes: one marked ( $27.1-45.0^{\circ}$ ) south-northern slope and a second, moderate east-western slope (Table 6.1). Here again, only a few spider webs are seen. The north-southwards running track is almost invisible. Two fallen trees of quite huge
dimensions are lying almost in the centre of the plot. The main tree species is Albizia gumnifera while fig trees are also quite prominent. The undergrowth is relatively dense.

## Plot 5 - S $00^{\circ} 06197 / E 32^{\circ} 39$ 328; $1158 \pm 4$ m (Table 6.1)

This plot is close to the eastern shore of the island and its eastern 25 m border nearly touches the sandy beach. There is a steep ( $45.1-67.5^{\circ}$ ) west-eastem slope (Table 6.1). The ground is densely covered with Culcasia falcifolia and Commelina capitata. The southeastern square of the sample plot mainly contains Dracaena fragrans trees of different heights.

The chimpanzees seem to visit this area rather frequently. They seem to follow the existing track system and to diverge from there into the surrounding vegetation at different locations. They mainly pull out creepers and tear down leaves and branches, leaving them lying on the ground without eating them to a large extent. They also pull out the poles, used to mark the 5 m distances inside the plot area, quite frequently. Judging from the damage caused to the adjacent vegetation, the chimpanzees mainly follow the north-southwards running track and to a much lesser extent the west-eastwards running track, while hardly ever approaching the water in this area.

Plot 6 -S $00^{\circ} 06206 / E 32^{\circ} 39269 ; 1167 \pm 6 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 6.1)

This plot is deep inside the forest under a dense vegetation cover with hardly any light penetrating. There is no visible slope ( $0.0-4.5^{\circ}$ ) present (Table 6.1). The north-western square contains a large number of Dracaena fragrans of which many of the taller ones have been bent over towards the ground. Smaller sidebranches spread out from the bent stems. There is a closed ground cover of Commelina capitata.

Plot 7 - S 0006 338 / E $32^{\circ} 39$ 285; $1146 \pm 5$ m (Table 6.1)

This plot is also close to the eastern shore and contains a number of fallen dead trees. There is a moderate north-southern slope (Table 6.1). The foremost species are Aframomum angustifolium and Dracaena fragrans, many of which are dead or bent to the ground. A large number of spiderwebs and spiders are observed. The area becomes progressively open, i.e. containing less trees, towards the shore (different to plot 5).

The chimpanzees do not seem to frequent this area as regulary as some of the other plots. However, they have broken from the track into the adjacent vegetation in many places and caused a lot of destruction, especially within the Aframomum angustifolium and Dracaena fragrans stands, many of which have been bent or broken and seem to be dying.

## Plot 8 - S $00^{\circ} 06355 / E 32^{\circ} 39$ 203; $1148 \pm 6 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 6.1)

This plot is close to the south-eastern shore. There is a moderate north-west to southeastern slope (Table 6.1). Towards the north-western plot area a number of whitish-grey, solid rocks occur. The vegetation is predominantly made up of Aframomum angustifolium while Dracaena fragrans is not present at all.

After the chimpanzees have visited the area a lot of destruction is visible: many Aframomum angustifolium have been bent and broken and seem to be dying off.

Table 6.1: $\quad$ S / E-coordinates for woody vegetation on Ngamba and Nsadzi Island: Plot Number, altitude, slope and aspect of sample plots

|  | S/E-coordinates | Location | Altitude [m] $\pm$ STD |  | Slope [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | Category | Aspect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plot 1 | 00 $06242 / 32^{\circ} 39005$ | Centre | 1160 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06256 / 32^{\circ} 39005$ | South | 1162 | 3 | 14.4 | moderate | N |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06231 / 32^{\circ} 39007$ | North | 1154 | 2 | 14.4 | moderate | N |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06238 / 32^{\circ} 39019$ | East | 1161 | 2 | 5.4 | slight | W |
|  | 00 $066237 / 32^{\circ} 38990$ | West | 1158 | 1 | 5.4 | slight | W |
| Plot2 | $00^{\circ} 06313 / 32^{\circ} 39014$ | Centre | 1172 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06309 / 32^{\circ} 39030$ | East | 1179 | 4 | 12.6 | moderate | W |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06309 / 32^{\circ} 39000$ | West | 1172 | 5 | 12.6 | moderate | W |
| Plot 3 | $00^{\circ} 06152 / 32^{\circ} 39169$ | Centre | 1170 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06161 / 32^{\circ} 39166$ | South | 1172 | 10 | 23.4 | moderate | N |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06138 / 32^{\circ} 39168$ | North | 1159 | 4 | 23.4 | moderate | N |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06150 / 32^{\circ} 39181$ | East | 1172 | 14 | 5.4 | slight | W |
|  | 00+06 160/32우9 155 | West | 1169 | 10 | 5.4 | slight | W |
| Plot 4 | $00^{\circ} 06240 / 32^{\circ} 39150$ | Centre | 1176 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06251 / 32^{\circ} 39159$ | South | 1186 | 9 | 28.8 | marked | N |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06224 / 32^{\circ} 39145$ | North | 1170 | 8 | 28.8 | marked | N |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06236 / 32^{\circ} 39162$ | East | 1180 | 7 | 10.8 | moderate | W |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06240 / 32^{\circ} 39136$ | West | 1174 | 6 | 10.8 | moderate | W |
| Plot 5 | 0006 197/32 ${ }^{\circ} 39328$ | Centre | 1158 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06188 / 32^{\circ} 39316$ | West | 1173 | 2 | 52.4 | steep | E |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06$ 205/32${ }^{\circ} 39333$ | East | 1144 | 1 | 52.4 | steep | E |
| Plot 6 | 00006 206/32 ${ }^{\circ} 39269$ | Centre | 1167 | 6 |  | no slope |  |
| Plot 7 | 00 ${ }^{\circ} 06338 / 32^{\circ} 39285$ | Centre | 1146 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06318 / 32^{\circ} 39291$ | North | 1148 | 7 | 22.7 | moderate | S |
|  | 000006348/32 ${ }^{\circ} 39287$ | South | 1136 | 9 | 22.7 | moderate | S |
| Plot 8 | 00006 355/32 ${ }^{\circ} 39203$ | Centre | 1148 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | $00^{\circ} 066341 / 32^{\circ} 39207$ | North | 1152 | 17 | 18.7 | moderate | S |
|  | $00^{\circ} 06368 / 32^{\circ} 39213$ | South | 1142 | 9 | 18.7 | moderate | S |
| Highest Elevation | 00 ${ }^{\circ} 06$ 288/32${ }^{\circ} 39178$ |  | 1195 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Nsadzi Plot 1 | 00005 731/32037252 | Centre | 1214 | 32 | * | marked | N |
|  | 00 $005729 / 32^{\circ} 37252$ | South | 1237 | 0 | * | marked | N |
|  | 00005719/32 ${ }^{\circ} 37253$ | North | 1212 | 2 | * | marked | N |
| Nsadzi Plot 2 | 0000759/32 ${ }^{\circ} 37311$ | Centre | - | - | * | marked | N |

* measurements for Nsadszi Island are too inaccurate to determine slope

Slope categories: $0.0-4.5^{\circ}=$ no slope
4.6- $9.9^{\circ}=\quad$ slight slope
10.0-27.0 $=$ moderate slope
27.1-45.0 $=\quad$ marked slope
45.1-67.5 $=$ steep slope
67.6-100.0 $=\quad$ very steep slope

## DIMENSIONS OF SAMPLE SQUARES ON NGAMBA ISLAND

The dimensions of the respective sample areas for each height class in the eight sample plots are given in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2: $\quad$ Size of sample squares for each height class in the eight sample plots on Ngamba Island

| Height class [m] | Plot 1 |  | Plot 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Size [m] of largest square | Area [m²] | Size [m] of largest square | Area [m²] |
| > 6 | $15 \times 15$ | 900 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 |
| 4-5 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 |
| 3 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 |
| 2 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 1 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 0.5 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 |
| Standing dead | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 |
| Fallen dead | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 | $20 \times 20$ | 1600 |
| Height class [m] | Plot 3 |  | Plot 4 |  |
|  | Size [m] of largest square | Area [m²] | Size [m] of largest square | Area [m] |
| $>6$ | $10 \times 10$ | 400 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 |
| 4-5 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 3 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 2 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 1 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 0.5 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| Standing dead | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 |
| Fallen dead | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 |
| Height class [m] | Plot 5 |  | Plot 6 |  |
|  | Size [m] of largest square | Area [m ${ }^{2}$ ] | Size [m] of largest square | Area [m²] |
| $>6$ | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 4-5 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 3 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 2 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 1 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 0.5 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| Standing dead | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 |
| Fallen dead | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 | $15 \times 15$ | 900 |
| Height class [m] | Plot 7 |  | Plot 8 |  |
|  | Size [m] of largest square | Area $\left[\mathrm{m}^{2}\right]$ | Size [m] of largest square | Area [m²] |
| $>6$ | $10 \times 10$ | 400 | $15 \times 15$ | 900 |
| 4-5 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 | $10 \times 10$ | 400 |
| 3 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 2 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 1 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| 0.5 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 | $5 \times 5$ | 100 |
| Standing dead | $15 \times 15$ | 900 | $20 \times 20$ | 1600 |
| Fallen dead | $25 \times 25$ | 2500 | $20 \times 20$ | 1600 |

The majority of sample squares has the smallest possible size, i.e. $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~m}$ (or $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for the whole sample area per plot). This indicates a high density of trees in all height classes. In contrast, the sample squares of the two "dead tree" categories tend to be of the largest possible size, i.e. $25 \times 25 \mathrm{~m}$ (or $2500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ for the whole sample area per plot). Hence, the density of dead trees is on average much lower than that for living trees.

## WOODY VEGETATION ON NGAMBA ISLAND

## Density

## Density of plants over all height classes and growth forms

The density of plants per hectare over all height classes and vegetation types covers quite a wide range from 3100 plants per hectare in plot 2 to 18050 plants per hectare in plot 7 (Figure 6.3).


Figure 6.3: Number of plants per hectare over all height classes and vegetation types.
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Even though Commelina capitata (COMCAP) is not a woody species but a herb (Lind \& Tallantire 1962, Langdale-Brown et al. 1964, Lind \& Morrison 1974, Blundell 1982, Katende et al. 1999), it has been sampled and included under the former since it is very prevalent in three (plot 1, plot 5 \& plot 6) of the eight sample plots and is one of the selected food species by Ngamba Island chimpanzees (Marshall, 2000). It increases the number of plants per hectare from 6922 to 11922 in plot 1, from 7300 to 15500 in plot 5 and from 11000 to 12 700 in plot 6 (Figure 6.3). For all further calculations Commelina capitata is not considered, unless mentioned otherwise.

The mean density of the woody vegetation for the entire Ngamba Island is 9820 individuals per hectare (Figure 6.3 \& 6.4). The lowest densities are found in quadrat I, with 3100 (plot 2) and 6922 (plot 1) plants per hectare, respectively (Figure 6.3). Thus, the mean density is 5 011 plants per hectare for the whole of quadrat I, which is far below the mean for the whole island. The difference between the densities of the two plots is 3822 plants per hectare.


Figure 6.4: Percentage contribution of growth forms to overall density.
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Although quadrat I thus has a low density of woody plants per hectare throughout, this density varies widely between areas. With 5000 plants per hectare plot 1 has the second highest density of Commelina capitata (Figure 6.3).

Quadrat II has a mean density of 11912.5 plants per hectare, with 14700 (plot 3) and 9125 (plot 4) plants per hectare, respectively. The difference between the two sample plots is 5 575 plants per hectare and thus varies quite markedly. The two sample plots have the second (plot 3 ) and fourth (plot 4) highest density of plants and their mean density lies well above the mean for N gamba I sland (Figure 6.3). There is no C ommelina capitata in this quadrat.

Quadrat III has a mean density of 9150 plants per hectare which compares well with the mean for the whole island, with 7300 (plot 5) and 11000 (plot 7) plants per hectare, respectively. The difference between plots is 3700 plants per hectare and is thus the most homogenous of all the quadrats. Both plots in this quadrat contain Commelina capitata and with 8200 plants per hectare in plot 5 also the highest number of this species per hectare (Figure 6.3).

Quadrat IV has the highest mean density with 13218 plants per hectare and thus higher than the mean for the whole island. With 18050 plants per hectare plot 7 has the highest density of all plots, while plot 8 with 8386 plants per hectare has the fourth lowest density. The difference between the density in the two plots is 9664 plants per hectare indicating the highest variation In density of plants per hectare in this quadrat (Figure 6.3).

## Density of the different vegetation types

Figure 6.4 compares the mean density of the different growth forms on Ngamba Island. Trees are by far the most prominent growth form with $87 \%$ ( 8529 plants per hectare), while "sparse shrubs" (individuals with two to four stems) follow with 7\% (724 plants per hectare) and then "shrubs" (individuals with five or more stems) which only constitute 6\% (567 plants per hectare) of the woody vegetation. This pattern varies slightly between the individual sample plots, although the general trend stays the same (Figure 6.4).

In two of the plots (plot 4 and plot 5) the order of "sparse shrubs" and "shrubs" is reversed and with $12.06 \%$ respectively $8.22 \%$ also markedly above the mean for "shrubs" per hectare
(Figure 6.5). In both of these plots the percentage of trees is slightly lower than the mean percentage per hectare (Figure $6.4 \& 6.5$ ).


Figure 6.5: Plants per hectare according to growth form.
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In plot 8 the shrub component is with $27.72 \%$ much higher than in the other sample plots. The percentage of shrubs is about 1.7 times higher than the mean percentage per hectare and the percentage of sparse shrubs about 2.5 times (Figure $6.4 \& 6.5$ ).

In contrast to that plot 2 shows a high prevalence of trees ( $93.55 \%$ ), while sparse shrubs are hardly present and are with only $2.42 \%$ more than three times below the mean percentage per hectare. Similarly, even though shrubs are with $4.03 \%$ more prevalent than the former, they are still $1.74 \%$ below the mean percentage per hectare for the whole island (Figure 6.4 \& 6.5).

## Number of plant species

The mean number of different plant species (species richness) per sample plot is 11.63 (Figure 6.6). Plot 5 has the highest number of different species, namely 17, followed by plot 3 with 14 (Figure 6.6). The lowest number of different species occurs in plot 8 with 9 , while the remaining five plots all have between ten and eleven different species (Figure 6.6).


Figure 6.6: Species richness in each of the sample plots.
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## Braun-Blanquet classification

Using the Braun-Blanquet classification of the vegetation cover (see Chapter 5 - Analysis of data), the single woody species have been categorised as either differential, indifferent or companion species and the eight sample plots have then been combined according to their differential species (Table 6.3).

Table 6.3: Braun-Blanquet classification of Ngamba Island woody vegetation and combination of plots according to their differential species

|  | Community 1 |  |  |  |  | Community 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plot | 3 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Species | Braun-Blanquet Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Differential species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tetrorchidium didymostemon | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 3 | 2A |  |  |  |  |
| Galinera saxifraga | 3 | 2A | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ouratea hiemii |  | 5 |  | 2A | 1 | + |  |  |
| Macaranga monandra | 2 A | 2B |  |  | 2A |  |  |  |
| Alchornea cordifolia |  |  | 3 | $+$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| Dracaena fragrans | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2B | 4 | 2A |
| Psychotria peduncularis |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | 1 |
| Commelina capitata |  |  |  |  | 1 | + |  | + |
| Peddiea fischeri |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Indifferent species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albizia gumnifera | 2A | 2B | 2A | + | 2A | + | 2A | + |
| Guarea cedrata | 3 | 1 | 2B | 5 | 2B | 5 | 2B | 2A |
| Oxyanthus speciosus | 2B |  | 3 | 2B | 5 | 4 | + | 2B |
| Aframomum angustifolium | 2B |  | 3 |  | 2A | 2B | 2B | + |
| Palisota mannii | + |  | 1 |  | $+$ |  | 2B | 2A |
| Companion species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pachystela brevipes | 1 |  |  | + |  |  |  | 5 |
| Beilschmedia ugandensis |  | + |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Dictyandra arborescens |  |  |  |  | 2A |  |  |  |
| Clitandra cymulosa |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Oxyanthus subpunctatus |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Ficus species 1 |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| Nephrolepis biserrata |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| Canarium schweinfurthii | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unidentified species 1 | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clitandra species 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entandrophragma utile | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ficus ovata |  | 2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coffea canephora |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antiaris toxicara |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trichilia species 1 |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eugenia capensis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2B |
| Rinorea brachipetala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Canthium species 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Milettia dura |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Psychotria mahonii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Psychotria species 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Trilepsium madagascariense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| Clerodendrum formicarum |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Palisota schweinfurthii |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Oxyenthus speciosus var. stenocarpus |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2A |  |
| Ficus species 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |
| Ficus wildemanniana |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Two major plant communities could be established for the whole island (Table 6.3 \& Figure 6.7). The first community has Macaranga monandra, Alchornea cordifolia, Tetrorchidium didymostemon and Galinera saxifraga, as differential species and contains five of the eight sample plots, namely plot 1 , plot 2 , plot 3 , plot 4 and plot 8 (Figure 6.7). The second community has Dracaena fragrans, Psychotria peduncularis, Commelina capitata and Peddiea fischeri as differential species and contains the remaining three sample plots, namely plot 5 , plot 6 and plot 7 (Table 6.3 \& Figure 6.7). The following two communities can therefore be formed:

Community 1: $\quad$ Tetrorchidium didymostemon - Macaranga monandra moist evergreen forest
Community 2: $\quad$ Dracaena fragrans - Psychotria peduncularis moist evergreen forest

Figure 6.2 \& 6.7 show the distribution of these plant communities throughout the island. Community 1 occupies, with 25.76 hectare, the larger central and western part of the island, while community 2 occupies, with 16.64 hectare, the remaining smaller and eastern part of the forest-covered island area; community 3 represents the grassland community and covers altogether an area of 1.89 hectare (Figure $6.2 \& 6.7$ ).

From observations during the study period it seems that the chimpanzees favour community 2, since they visit (and destroy the vegetation of) the eastern area of Ngamba Island much more frequently


Figure 6.7: Braun-Blanquet classification of Ngamba Island Vegetation.

Figures $6.8-6.11$ indicate the mean density of woody species for the whole island. Since there are large differences in plant density, the species have been arranged in four different groups, namely (1) species with $>500$ plants per hectare, (2) species with $>100-500$ plants per hectare, (3) species with $>20-100$ plants per hectare and (4) species with 1-20 plants per hectare (Figures 6.8-6.11).


Figure 6.8: Mean density (plants per hectare) for species with $>500$ plants per hectare.
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Figure 6.9: Mean density (plants per hectare) for species with > 100-500 plants per hectare.
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Figure 6.10: Mean density (plants per hectare) for species with $>20-100$ plants per hectare.

| Key: | $\square$ | Alchornea cordifolia |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\square$ | Ficus ovata |
| $\square$ | Macaranga monandra |  |
| $\square$ | Coffea canephora |  |
|  | $\square$ | Eugenia capensis |
|  | $\square$ | Palisota schweinfurthii |
|  | $\square$ | Psychotria peduncularis |
|  | $\square$ | Canthium species 1 |
|  | $\square$ | Peilschmedia ugandensis |
|  |  |  |



Figure 6.11: Mean density (plants per hectare) for species with 1-20 plants per hectare.

| Ficus species 1 |
| :---: |
| Nephrolepis biserrata |
| Oxyanthus subpunctatus |
| Canarium schweinfurthii |
| Clitandra species 1 |
| Entandrophragma utile |
| Antiaris toxicara |
| Trilepsium species 1 |
| Millettia dura |
| Psychotria species 1 |
| Clerodendrum formicarum |
| Ficus species 2 |
| Oxyanthus speciosus var. stenocarpus |
| Rinorea brachypetala |
| "Unidentified species" |
| Ficus wildemanniana |
| Clitandra cymulosa |
| Psychotria mahonii |
| Trilepsium madagascariense |

There are only six different species in the first group, namely Aframomum angustifolium, Dracaena fragrans, Commelina capitata, Albizia gumnifera, Guarea cedrata and Oxyanthus speciosus (Figure 6.8). Only three of those species, namely Albizia gumnifera (1 238 plants per hectare), Guarea cedrata ( 1078 plants per hectare) and Oxyanthus speciosus (666 plants per hectare) are "real" tree species. All three of those species have been classified as 'indifferent species' in the Braun-Blanquet classification (Table 6.3). They are prevalent to different degrees in all eight sample plots (Oxyanthus speciosus only in seven) and hence seem to be distributed ubiquitously throughout the whole island (Table 6.3). They also constitute known food species for the Ngamba Island chimpanzees (Table 6.4).

Table 6.4: $\quad$ Number of plants per hectare of known Ngamba Island chimpanzees' plant food species

| Species | Plot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Aframomum angustifolium | 2700 |  | 5700 | 425 | 100 | 3700 | 5200 | 6100 | 2991 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dracaena } \\ & \text { fragrans } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 200 |  | 2300 | 4100 | 11075 |  | 2209 |
| Commelina capitata | 5000 |  |  |  | 8200 | 1700 |  |  | 1863 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Albizia } \\ \text { gumnifera } \end{array}$ | 133 | 5825 | 2300 |  | 50 | 100 | 50 | 1022 | 1185 |
| Guarea cedrata | 344 | 225 | 3350 | 1150 | 1075 | 1700 | 650 | 133 | 1078 |
| Oxyanthus speciosus | 2333 |  | 75 | 575 | 1250 | 600 | 300 | 297 | 679 |
| Pachystela brevipes |  |  | 300 | 100 | 900 |  |  |  | 163 |
| Tetrorchidium <br> didymostemon |  | 100 | 800 | 100 |  |  |  | 33 | 129 |
| Ficus species |  | 125 |  | 600 |  |  | 100 | 50 | 109 |
| Dictyandra arborescens | 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113 |
| Canthium species 1 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 13 |
| Culcasia falcifolia* | + | + |  |  | + |  |  |  | + |
| Total | 11410 | 6750 | 12725 | 2475 | 13975 | 11900 | 17375 | 7635 | 10531 |

- In this study only the presence (+) or absence of the creeper Culcasia falcifolia in a sample plot has been determined.

Aframomum angustifolium (2 931 plants per hectare) has the highest mean density of all plants (Figure 6.8). This underlines the role it plays as a food source for the Ngamba Island chimpanzees (Table 6.10). The same is true for the next two most prominent plants, namely Dracaena fragrans ( 2209 plants per hectare) and Commelina c apitata ( 1863 plants per hectare) (Table 6.4). Furthermore, all six plant species with the highest mean density per hectare are known potential food species for the Ngamba Island chimpanzees (Marshal 2000).

Six plant species are also represented in the second group, namely Ouratea hiernii (244 plants per hectare), Palisota mannii (200 plants per hectare), Galinera saxifraga (178 plants per hectare), Pachystela brevipes (163 plants per hectare), Tetrorchidium didymostemon (129 plants per hectare) and Dictyandra arborescens (113 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.9). Of those, three a re known to be potential food species for N gamba Island chimpanzees, namely Pachystela brevipes, Tetrorchidium didymostemon and Dictyandra arborescens (Table 6.4) (Marshall 2000). One of these species - namely Tetrorchidium didymostemon has been classified as a 'differential species' according to the Braun-Blanquet classification (Table 6.3). The other two, Pachystela brevipes and Dictyandra arborescens, have been classified as 'companion species' and have a very localized distribution throughout the island, only appearing in three or one sample plot respectively (Table 6.3). Altogether, $75 \%$ (9 out of 12) of the known food species of Ngamba Island chimpanzees are represented in the two high density categories of woody plant species (Figure $6.8 \& 6.9 \&$ Table 6.4).

Ten species are prevalent in the third group (Figure 6.10). The most prominent species here is Alchornea cordifolia with 95 plants per hectare, followed by Ficus ovata with 75 plants per hectare (Figure 6.10). Four species are still prominent in this category with 50 plants per hectare, namely Macaranga monandra, Coffea canephora, Eugenia capensis and Palisota schweinfurthii (Figure 6.10). The remaining four species, namely Psychotria peduncularis, Canthium sp.1, Beilschmedia ugandensis and Peddiea fischeri, all have less than 40 plants per hectare (Figure 6.10). Only Ficus ovata and Canthium sp. 1 are known food species of the Ngamba Island chimpanzees (Figure 10 \& Table 6.4) (Marshall 2000).

The largest number of species (19) is found in the fourth group (Figure 6.11). The main representatives here are Ficus sp. 1 and Nephrolepis biserrata (both with 16 plants per hectare), while 11 species have a mean density of 13 species per hectare each (Figure 6.11). Those are Oxyanthus subpunctatus, Canarium schweinfurthii, Clitandra sp.1,

Entandrophragma utile, Antiaris toxicara, Trichilia sp.1, Millettia dura, Psychotria sp.1, Clerodendrum formicarum, Ficus sp. 2 and Oxyanthus speciosus var. stenocarpus (Figure 6.11). The remaining six species all have mean densities below 10 plants per hectare (Figure 6.11). The three remaining Ficus spp. are part of this last density group (Figure 6.11). All four Ficus spp., namely Ficus ovata, Ficus wildemanniana, Ficus sp. 1 and Ficus sp.2, are collectively called Ficus spp. when referring to them as food species for the Ngamba island chimpanzees (Table 6.4).

## Density according to height classes and growth form

Trees in all eight sample plots have representatives in each of the six investigated height classes (Table 6.5-6.8). Sparse shrub and shrubs are absent in the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class.

Table 6.5: Density (plants per hectare) of woody vegetation according to height class and growth form in quadrat I

| Height <br> class | Quadrat I/ Plot 1 |  |  |  | Quadrat 1 / Plot 2 |  |  |  | Mean <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Tree | Sparse shrub | Shrub | Total | Tree | Sparse shrub | Shrub |  |
| > 6 m | 122 | 122 |  |  | 250 | 250 |  |  | 186 |
| 4-5m | 1100 | 800 | 300 |  | 250 | 250 |  |  | 675 |
| 3 m | 1300 | 1200 | 100 |  | 325 | 325 |  |  | 813 |
| 2 m | 2000 | 1900 | 100 |  | 600 | 600 |  |  | 1300 |
| 1 m | 1600 | 1600 |  |  | 1000 | 1000 |  |  | 1300 |
| 0.5 m | 800 | 600 | 100 | 100 | 675 | 475 | 75 | 125 | 737 |
| Total | 6922 | 6222 | 600 | 100 | 3100 | 2900 | 75 | 125 | 5011 |

Table 6.6: Density (plants per hectare) of woody vegetation according to height class and growth form in quadrat II

| Height <br> class | Quadrat II / Plot 3 |  |  |  |  | Quadrat II / Plot 4 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $>6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 200 | 200 |  |  | 125 | 125 |  |  | 163 |
| $4-5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 2100 | 2100 |  |  | 1200 | 1200 |  |  | 1650 |
| 3 m | 2600 | 2400 | 200 |  | 2200 | 2100 | 100 |  | 2400 |
| 2 m | 3200 | 3000 | 100 | 100 | 2900 | 1700 | 100 | 1100 | 3050 |
| 1 m | 3800 | 3700 | 100 |  | 1300 | 1100 | 200 |  | 2550 |
| 0.5 m | 2800 | 2300 | 200 | 300 | 1400 | 1400 |  |  | 2100 |
| Total | 14700 | 13700 | 600 | 400 | 9125 | 7625 | 400 | 1100 | 11913 |

Table 6.7: Density (plants per hectare) of woody vegetation according to height class and growth form in quadrat III

| Height class | Quadrat III / Plot 5 |  |  |  | Quadrat III / Plot 6 |  |  |  | Mean <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Tree | Sparse shrub | Shrub | Total | Tree | Sparse <br> shrub | Shrub |  |
| > 6 m | 700 | 700 |  |  | 500 | 500 |  |  | 600 |
| 4-5m | 275 | 275 |  |  | 1000 | 900 | 100 |  | 638 |
| 3 m | 700 | 700 |  |  | 1800 | 1400 | 200 | 200 | 1250 |
| 2 m | 925 | 825 | 100 |  | 1400 | 1100 | 300 |  | 1162 |
| 1 m | 1800 | 1400 | 200 | 200 | 3400 | 3100 | 200 | 100 | 2600 |
| 0.5 m | 2900 | 2300 | 200 | 400 | 2900 | 2500 |  | 400 | 2900 |
| Total | 7300 | 6200 | 500 | 600 | 11000 | 9500 | 800 | 700 | 9150 |

Table 6.8: Density (plants per hectare) of woody vegetation according to height class and growth form in quadrat $I V$

| Height <br> class | Quadrat IV / Plot 7 |  |  |  |  | Quadrat IV / Plot 8 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Motal <br> Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $>6 \mathrm{~m}$ | 425 | 425 |  |  | 111 | 111 |  |  | 268 |
| $4-5 \mathrm{~m}$ | 425 | 300 |  | 125 | 375 | 350 | 25 |  | 400 |
| 3 m | 600 | 200 | 300 | 100 | 1800 | 800 | 500 | 500 | 1200 |
| 2 m | 2800 | 2400 | 400 |  | 3100 | 2100 | 900 | 100 | 2950 |
| 1 m | 3600 | 3200 | 400 |  | 1900 | 1700 | 100 | 100 | 2750 |
| 0.5 m | 10200 | 9500 | 200 | 500 | 1100 | 1000 |  | 100 | 5650 |
| Total | 18050 | 16025 | 1300 | 725 | 8386 | 6061 | 1525 | 800 | 13218 |

## Quadrat I

Quadrat I has the lowest incidence of shrubs of all quadrats. Furthermore, these shrubs only occur in the lowest height class of 0.50 m and they are made up solely of Palisota mannii (PALMAN) in plot 1 and of Nephrolepis biserrata (NEPBIS) in plot 2, respectively (Table 6.5 \& Figure 6.12 \& 6.13).


Figure 6.12: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub (full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 1.

Key:
Palisota mannii
Ouratea hiernii
Aframomum angustifolium
Macaranga monandra
Dictyandra arborescens
Oxyanthus speciosus


Figure 6.13: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub (full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 2.

Key:
Nephrolepis biserrata
Oxyanthus speciosus
Ouratea hiernii

The sparse shrubs form of Ouratea hiernii appears in three height classes ( $2.0 \mathrm{~m}, 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ \& $4.0-5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in plot 1 and in one height class $(0.5 \mathrm{~m})$ in plot 2 , which has no sparse shrubs in any other height class. While Oxyanthus speciosus appears in both plots, plot 1 additionally contains sparse shrubs of Aframomum angustifolium, Macaranga monandra and Dictyandra arborescens (Table 6.5 \& Figure 6.12 \& 6.13).

The most widely distributed tree species in plot 1 is Oxyanthus speciosus with representatives in all six height classes (Figure 6.14). While Aframomum angustifolium is the most prominent species in the three lowest height classes, Oxyanthus speciosus is the species with the highest p revalence in the three tallest h eight classes (Figure 6.14). The number of plants per hectare increases about threefold from the 0.5 m height class, over the 1.0 m height class to the 2.0 m height class (from 600 plants per hectare to 1900 plants per hectare) (Table 6.5 \& Figure 6.14). It decreases again stepwise about 15 -fold towards the > 6.0 m height class (from 1900 plants per hectare to 122 plants per hectare) (Table 6.5 \& Figure 6.14). This plot contains seven different tree species with the highest number of species, namely five, in the $4.0-5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class, followed by four different species in the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class (Table 6.5 \& Figure 6.14).


Figure 6.14: Density of trees (plants per hectare) according to height class and species in plot 1.

Key: \begin{tabular}{rl}
$\square$ \& Macaranga monandra <br>
Alchornea cordifolia <br>

$\square$ \& | Albizia gumnifera |
| :--- |
| Guarea cedrata | <br>

\& | Dictyandra arborescens |
| :--- |
| Oxyanthus speciosus |
| Aframomum angustifolium | <br>

\& $\square$
\end{tabular}

The most widely distributed species in this plot with representatives in all six height classes of the tree category as well as in two of the sparse shrub classes is Oxyanthus speciosus with 2333 plants per hectare (Figure 6.12 - 6.14); while Aframomum angustifolium is with 2 600 plants per hectare the most prominent species (Figure 6.12-6.14).

Altogether ten different tree species are present in plot 2, with the 1.0 m height class showing the highest density per hectare ( 1000 plants) (Figure 6.15). The second highest, but $40 \%$ lower density of trees is in the 2.0 m height class with 600 plants per hectare. The three tallest height classes all have about half of this plant density per hectare, while the 0.5 m height class lies in between ( 475 plants per hectare) (Table 6.5 \& Figure 6.15). The highest number of different species, namely five, occurs in the 0.5 m height class. The number of species decreases towards the taller height classes with four different species each in the next four height classes and three different species each in the two tallest height classes (Table 6.5 \& Figure 6.15).


Figure 6.16: Density of trees (plants per hectare) according to height class and species in plot 2.

Key: | Clitandra cymulosa |
| :--- |
| Alchornea cordifolia |
| Tetrorchidium didymostemon |
| Pachystela brevipes |
| Oxyanthus subpunctatus |
| Ficus species 1 |
|  |
|  |
| Ouratea hiernii |
| Albizia gumnifera |
| Oxyanthus speciosus |
| $\square$ |

Although Guarea cedrata does not contribute to any of the shrub growth forms, it is the most wide spread species with representatives in all six height classes, followed by Oxyanthus speciosus with representatives in all but the 0.5 m height class (Figure 6.12, 6.13 \& 6.15).

Figure 6.16 summarises the mean number of plants per hectare over all species present, including dead plants, for quadrat I. Species that occur in both sample plots are indicated in a lighter green. Overall, 15 different species occur in quadrat 1 (Figure 6.16). The most prominent species of which is Oxyanthus speciosus with a mean of 1454 plants per hectare. This species is also prevalent in both sample plots and is quite evenly distributed throughout this quadrat. The second most prominent species is Aframomum angustifolium with 1350 plants per hectare. Since this species is only present in plot 1 its distribution throughout quadrat I is patchier. Guarea cedrata, as the third most prominent species with 747 plants per hectare, is again prevalent in both sample plots and thus also more evenly distributed in this quadrat. Although Dictyandra arborescens is found only in plot 1 it is still the fifth most prominent species with 450 plants per hectare. Albizia gumnifera and Ouratea hiernii are again prevalent in both sample plots and contribute a mean of 279 and 225 plants per hectare, respectively. The remaining nine species have mean densities of 100 or below plants per hectare and of those only Alchornea cordifolia is present in both sample plots. The "Standing dead" (XXXXXX) and "Fallen dead" (YYYYYY) plants account for two and 23 plants per hectare respectively (Figure 6.16).


Figure 6.16: Mean density (plants per hectare) per species in quadrat I.


## Quadrat II

Quadrat II has shrubs only in two different height classes and each of the height classes is made up of only one species, namely Palisota mannii (PALMAN) in the 0.5 m height class of plot 3 ( 300 plants per hectare); and in the 2.0 m height class of plot 3 and plot 4 Galinera saxifraga, (GALSAX) (100 plants per hectare) and Ouratea hiernii (1 100 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.17 \& 6.18). The mean number of shrubs per hectare for this quadrat is 750 and hence lies about 1.3 times above the mean density for this growth form for all sample plots (Table 6.5-6.8 \& Figure 6.5). Nearly 75\% of this number is contributed by the high density of Ouratea hiernii in plot 4 (Figure 6.18).


Figure 6.17: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub (full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 3.

Key:

## Galinera saxifraga

Palisota mannii
Aframomum angustifolium


Figure 6.18: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub (full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 4.

| Quratea hiernii |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Albizia gumnifera |  |
| $\square$ | Antiaris toxicara <br> Coffea canephora |
| $\square$ |  |

Sparse shrubs are distributed over the first four height classes in this quadrat (Table 6.6). In plot 3 all these height classes contain only representatives of Aframomum angustifolium, namely 200 plants per hectare in the 0.5 m and the 3.0 m height class, respectively, and in the 1.0 m and 2.0 m height classes 100 plants per hectare each (Table 6.6 \& Figure 6.17). In plot 4 this growth form has no representatives in the 0.5 m height class (Table 6.6). Albizia gumnifera and Coffea canephora (COFCAN) are represented in the 1.0 m height class (Figure 6.18), while Antiaris toxicara and Coffea canephora (100 plants per hectare each) are represented in the 2.0 m and 3.0 m height class, respectively (Figure 6.18).

With 13700 plants per hectare plot 3 contains the second highest density of trees of all eight sample plots (Table 6.5 - 6.8). Aframomum angustifolium (5 100 plants per hectare) is the most prominent tree in this plot, followed by Guarea cedrata ( 3500 plants per hectare) and Albizia gumnifera ( 2300 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.19). It also has the second highest number of d ifferent tree species, namely 13 , of all eight sample plots (Figure 6.14, 6.15, $6.19,6.20,6.24,6.25,6.29 \& 6.30$ ). Like in plot 2 the highest density of plants per hectare is in the 1.00 m height class ( 3700 plants per hectare) with a steady decline over the next three taller height classes (to 2100 plants per hectare in the $4.0-5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class), followed by a more than tenfold decline to the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class (from 2100 to 200 plants per hectare) (Table 6.6 \& Figure 6.19). The lowest number of different tree species is in the 0.5 m height class, namely three, followed by the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class with four different tree species, while all other height classes have either six or seven different tree species (Figure $6.19)$.


Figure 6.19: Density of trees (plants per hecatre) according to height class and species in plot 3.

| "Unidentified species" |
| :---: |
| Oxyanthus speciosus |
| Entandrophragma utile |
| Clitandra species 1 |
| Canarium schweinfurthii |
| Macaranga monandra |
| Dracaena fragrans |
| Pachystela brevipes |
| Tetrorchidium didymostemon |
| Galinera saxifraga |
| Albizia gumnifera |
| Guarea cedrata |
| Aframomum angustifolium |

With 7625 trees per hectare plot 4 lies below the mean number of 8529 trees per hectare for the whole island and has only the fifth highest density of trees per hectare of the eight sample plots (Table 6.5-6.8 \& Figure 6.5). With ten different tree species this plot has also a species richness below the average of all sample plots (Figure 6.14, 6.15, 6.19, 6.20, 6.24, $6.25,6.29$ \& 6.30). With 5725 plants per hectare Albizia gumnifera is the most prominent representative of this growth form and it has representatives in all six height classes (Figure 6.20). Ficus ovata (FICOVA) follows far behind with 600 plants per hectare as second most prominent species (Figure 6.20). While Ouratea hiernii, Guarea cedrata, Galinera saxifraga and Coffea canephora follow suit with $300,225,200$ and again 200 plants per hectare each, respectively (Figure 6.20). The remaining four species have between $75-100$ plants per hectare in this growth form (Figure 6.20). The 3.0 m height class with 2100 plants per hectare has the highest density of trees, while all other height classes have densities of between 1000 to 1700 plants per hectare (Table 6.6 \& Figure 6.20 ). Only the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class has a more than tenfold lower density with only 125 plants per hectare (Table 6.6 \& Figure 6.20 ). The highest number of different species can be found in the 2.0 m height class, namely five (Figure 6.20).


Figure 6.20: Density of trees (plants per hectare) according to height class and species in plot 4.

| Key: | Macaranga monandra <br> Trichilia species 1 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | Tetrorchidium didymostemon |
| Beilschmedia ugandensis |  |
| $\square$ | Galinera saxifraga |
| $\square$ | Coffea canephora |
| $\square$ | Guarea cedrata |
| $\square$ | Ouratea hiernii |
| $\square$ | Ficus ovata |
|  | Albizia gumnifera |

Figure 6.21 summarises the mean number of plants per hectare over all species present, including dead plants, for quadrat II. Twenty different species are present in quadrat II, five of which - Albizia gumnifera, Guarea cedrata, Galinera saxifraga, Tetrorchidium didymostemon and Macaranga monandra - appear in both sample plots and thus seem to be more evenly distributed throughout the quadrat (Figure 6.21). The mean number of plants for this quadrat is 11913 per hectare (Table 6.6). The most prominent plant in this quadrat is Albizia gumnifera with 4063 plants per hectare (Figure 6.21), followed by Aframomum angustifolium with a density of 2850 plants per hectare and hence 0.7 times the density of the former species. Aframomum angustifolium is also only present in plot 3 indicating a more patchy distribution throughout the quadrat (Figure 6.21). Another prevalent representative is Guarea cedrata with 1788 plants per hectare (Figure 6.21). Also important a re Galinera saxifraga and Ouratea hiernii with 700 plants per hectare each, as well as Tetrorchidium didymostemon ( 450 plants per hectare), Ficus ovata ( 300 plants per hectare) and Coffea canephora (200 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.21). All remaining species contribute between 12-150 plants per hectare (Figure 6.21). An important feature in this quadrat is the high mean number of "Fallen dead" trees with 79 plants per hectare which occur mainly in plot 4 (Figure 6.21).


Figure 6.21: Mean density (plants per hectare) per species in quadrat II.

| ALBGUM | = | Albizia gumnifera | ENTUTI | $=$ | Entandrophragma utile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFRANG | = | Aframomum angustifolium | TRISP1 | = | Trichilia species 1 |
| GUACED | = | Guarea cedrata | OXYSPE | $=$ | Oxyanthus speciosus |
| GALSAX | = | Galinera saxifraga | QQQQQQ | = | Unidentified species |
| OURHIE | = | Ouratea hiernii | XXXXXX | $=$ | Standing dead tree |
| TETDID | $=$ | Tetrorchidium didymostemon | YYYYYY | = | Fallen dead tree |
| FICOVA | $=$ | Ficus ovata |  | = | Plant species present |
| COFCAN | = | Coffea canephora |  |  | in both sample plots |
| PACBRE | = | Pachystela brevipes |  | = | Plant species only |
| PALMAN | = | Palisota mannii |  |  | present in one |
| DRAFRA | = | Dracaena fragrans |  |  | sample plot |
| MACMON | = | Macaranga monandra |  |  |  |
| ANTTOX | = | Antiaris toxicara |  |  |  |
| BEIUGA | = | Beilschmedia ugandensis |  |  |  |
| CANSCH | = | Canarium schweinfurthii |  |  |  |
| CLISP1 | $=$ | Clitandra species 1 |  |  |  |

## Quadrat III

The only representative of the shrubs growth form in plot 5 is Palisota mannii in the two lowest height classes ( 400 and 200 plants per hectare, respectively). In plot 6 three species constribute to the shrub growth form, each of them in a different height class, namely Palisota schweinfurthii ( 0.5 m ), Oxyanthus speciosus ( 1.0 m ) and Aframomum angustifolium $(3.0 \mathrm{~m})$ (Table 6.7 \& Figure 6.22 \& 6.23).


Figure 6.22: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub ((full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 5 .

| Key: | $\square$ | Palisota mannii |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\square$ | Dracaena fragrans |
| $\square$ | Aframomum angustifolium |  |
| $\square$ | Oxyanthus speciosus <br>  <br>  | Eugenia capensis <br>  |
|  | Millettia dura |  |
|  |  |  |



Figure 6.23: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub (full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 6.

Key: 图 Oxyanthus speciosus
图 Aframomum angustifolium
죽 Palisota schweinfurthii
Peddiea fischeri
Aframomum angustifolium

While plot 5 has representatives of the sparse shrub growth form in the three lowest height classes, this growth form is represented in plot 6 in the four height classes from 1.0 m to 4.0 - 5.0 m (Figure $6.22 \& 6.23$ ). There are six different species in plot 5 , each of them only represented in one height class, namely Guarea cedrata, Millettia dura (both in the 0.5 m height class), Eugenia capensis, Oxyanthus speciosus (both in the 1.0 m height class), Aframomum angustifolium and Dracaena fragrans (both in the 2.0 m height class) (Figure 6.22). In plot 6 only two species are present in the sparse shrub growth form, namely Aframomum angustifolium and Peddiea fischeri, the latter of which only occurs in the 4.0 5.0 m height class (Figure 6.23).

Thirteen different tree species are present in plot 5 (Figure 6.24). The number of plants declines steadily from the 0.5 m height class to the $4.0-5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class (from 2300 to 275 plants per hectare), the latter of which contains only one-tenth of the number of trees of the former (Table 6.7 \& Figure 6.24). Even though plot 5 has the highest number of different tree species it is with only 6200 trees per hectare far below the mean number for all sample plots (Table $6.5-6.8$ \& Figure 6.14, 6.15, 6.19, 6.20, 6.24, 6.25, 6.29 \& 6.30). The $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class of plot 5 is represented by Pachystela brevipes ( 600 plants per hectare) and Eugenia capensis (100 plants per hectare). Both of these species occur again only once in any of the lower height classes (Figure 6.24). The most prominent tree species in this plot is Dracaena fragrans ( 2250 plants per hectare) followed by Oxyanthus speciosus (1 150 plants per hectare). The 2.0 m height class with nine different tree species contains the greatest species richness in this plot (Figure 6.24).


Figure 6.24: Density of trees (plants per hectare) according to height class and species in plot 5.


In contrast to plot 5 , plot 6 has with 9500 trees per hectare a density which is higher than the mean density of all sample plots (Table $6.5-6.8$ \& Figure $6.14,6.15,6.19,6.20,6.24$, $6.25,6.29 \& 6.30$ ). Even though, this plot only contains eight different tree species (Figure 6.25). Here again Dracaena fragrans is the most prominent tree species with 4100 plants per hectare, followed by Aframomum angustifolium with 2800 plants per hectare. All but the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class contain either three or four different tree species (Figure 6.25). The highest tree density with 3100 plants per hectare is in the 1.0 m height class, followed by 2 500 plants per hectare in the 0.5 m height class. All other height classes have a density below 1500 trees per hectare. The $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class has, with 500 plants per hectare, the lowest density of all height classes and consists of only one species, Guarea cedrata (Table 6.7 \& Figure 6.25).


Figure 6.25: Density of trees (plants per hectare) according to height class and species in plot 6 .

| Key: | Albizia gumnifera <br> Psychotria peduncularis <br> $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | Ouratea hiernii |
| $\square$ | Clerodendrum formicarum |
| $\square$ | Oxyanthus speciosus |
| $\square$ | Auarea cedrata |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Figure 6.26 summarises the mean number of plants per hectare over all species present, including dead plants, for quadrat III. There are 20 different species present in this quadrat and the mean number of plants per hectare over all live vegetation types is 9150 (Table 6.7 \& Figure 6.26). The four most prominent species, which also occur in both sample plots and are thus rather evenly distributed throughout the quadrat, are Dracaena fragrans (3 200 plants per hectare), Aframomum angustifolium (1 775 plants per hectare), Guarea cedrata (1 388 plants per hectare) and Oxyanthus speciosus ( 925 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.26). Four less prominent species, which are present in only one sample plot and hence show a more patchy distribution throughout quadrat III, are Pachystela brevipes ( 450 plants per hectare), Palisota mannii ( 300 plants per hectare), Eugenia capensis ( 200 plants per hectare) and Palisota schweinfurthii (200 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.26). All remaining species have a density of only 100 plants per hectare or below (Figure 6.26). "Fallen dead" trees are conspicuous with 46 plants per hectare (Figure 6.26).


Figure 6.26: Mean density (plants per hectare) per species in quadrat III.

| DRAFRA | $=$ | Dracaena fragrans | PSYMAN | = | Psychotria mannii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFRANG | = | Aframomum angustifolium | TRIMAD | = | Trilepsium |
| GUACED | = | Guarea cedrata |  |  | madagascariense |
| OXYSPE | = | Oxyanthus speciosus | XXXXXX | = | Standing dead trees |
| PACBRE | = | Pachystela brevipes | YYYYYY | = | Fallen dead trees |
| PALMAN | = | Palisota mannii |  | $=$ | Plant species present |
| EUGCAP | = | Eugenia capensis |  |  | in both sample plots |
| PALSCH | = | Palisota schweinfurthii |  | = | Plant species only |
| CANSP1 | = | Canthium species 1 |  |  | present in one |
| PSYPED | = | Psychotria peduncularis |  |  | sample plot |
| ALBGUM | = | Albizia gumnifera |  |  |  |
| CLEFOR | = | Clerodendrum formicarum |  |  |  |
| MILDUR | = | Millettia dura |  |  |  |
| OURHIE | = | Ouratea hiernii |  |  |  |
| PEDFIS | = | Peddiea fischeri |  |  |  |
| PSYSP1 | = | Psychotria species 1 |  |  |  |
| BEIUGA | $=$ | Beilschmedia ugandensis |  |  |  |
| RINBRA | = | Rinorea brachypetala |  |  |  |

## Quadrat IV

The shrub growth form in plot 7 is restricted to the $0.5 \mathrm{~m}, 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and $4.0-5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class. While the former is solely occupied by Palisota mannii ( 500 plants per hectare), Aframomum angustifolium is represented in both of the taller height classes, both times with 100 plants per hectare. Dracaena fragrans ( 25 plants per hectare) is the second representative in the $4.0-5.0$ m height class (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.27). In plot 8 Palisota mannii and Aframomum angustifolium are the only representatives of the shrub growth form, the former only in the 0.5 m height class, the latter in the $1.0 \mathrm{~m}, 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ and 3.0 m height class, contributing 500 plants per hectare to the tallest height class (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.28).


Figure 6.27: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub (full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 7 .

Key:
Dracaena fragrans
Aframomum angustifolium
Palisota mannii
Dracaena fragrans
Aframomum angustifolium


Figure 6.28: Density (plants per hectare) of sparse shrub (full colour) and shrub (chequered colour) according to height class and species in plot 8.

Key:
Palisota mannii
Aframomum angustifolium
Oxyanthus speciosus
Aframomum angustifolium

The sparse shrub growth form is represented in plot 7 in the first four height classes with Dracaena fragrans only occurring in the 3.0 m height class, while Aframomum angustifolium is represented in all of these height classes (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.27). In plot 8 Aframomum angustifolium is again the dominant sparse shrub with representatives in the 1.0 m up to the 3.0 m height class, and being most prominent in the 2.0 m height class with 900 plants per hectare (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.28). In the $4.0-5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class only Oxyanthus speciosus is represented as a sparse shrub, with 25 plants per hectare (Figure 6.28).

With nine different tree species, which altogether contribute 16050 plants per hectare, plot 7 is the sample plot with the highest density for this growth form, having nearly twice as many trees per hectare as the mean for all sample plots (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.14, 6.15, 6.19, 6.20, $6.24,6.25,6.29 \& 6.30$ ). The highest number of trees occurs in the 0.5 m height class with 9 500 plants per hectare or $59 \%$ of the 16050 trees. Of those 7700 trees are represented by Dracaena fragrans alone (Figure 6.29). There is a decline to about one-third of this density to the 1.0 m height class, with 3200 plants per hectare and Dracaena fragrans again being the most prominent representative ( 2100 plants per hectare) (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.29). The 2.0 m height class has a similar density ( 2400 plants per hectare) but now with Aframomum angustifolium as main representative ( 1500 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.29). The three remaining height classes all have below 500 trees per hectare, with the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class being the most prominent ( 425 plants per hectare). In this height class Guarea cedrata is the most prominent representative ( 350 plants per hectare), which occurs otherwise only in the 0.5 m height class ( 300 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.29).


Figure 6.29: Density of trees (plants per hectare) according to height class and species in plot 7.

Key: | Albizia gumnifera |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Peddiea fischeri |  |
| Psychotria peduncularis |  |
| Oxyanthus speciosus var. stenocarpus |  |
| $\square$ | Ficus species 2 |
| $\square$ | Oxyanthus speciosus |
| $\square$ | Guarea cedrata |
| $\square$ | Aframomum angustifolium |
|  | Dracaena fragrans |

In plot 8 Aframomum angustifolium is the most prominent of all eight represented tree species. It is mainly represented in the three lowest height classes, being most prominent in the 2.0 m height class ( 1800 plants per hectare). There is a more than two-fold increase in density from the 0.5 m to the 2.0 m height class (from 1000 to 2100 plants per hectare) (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.30 ). This is followed by a sharp drop to the 3.0 m height class ( 800 plants per hectare) caused mainly by the sharp decline in density of Aframomum angustifolium (from 1800 to 100 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.30). The two tallest height classes have below 400 plants per hectare each, with the $>6.0 \mathrm{~m}$ height class having the lowest density of this height class of all sample plots, i.e. 111 trees per hectare (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.30). This small number is still represented by four different species, namely Guarea cedrata, Tetrorchidium didymostemon, Albizia gumnifera and Oxyanthus speciosus (Figure 6.30 ). This plot has a tree density of only 6061 plants per hectare, i.e. the second lowest number of trees per hectare of all sample plots, and hence below the mean density for trees per hectare (Table 6.8 \& Figure 6.5).


Figure 6.30: Density of trees (plants per hectare) according to height class and species in plot 8 .

| Key: | Galinera saxifraga <br> Tetrorchidium didymostemon <br> $\square$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\square$ | Ficus wildemanniana |
| Guarea cedrata |  |
| $\square$ | Oxyanthus speciosus |
| $\square$ | Alchornea cordifolia |
| Albizia gumnifera |  |
| Aframomum angustifolium |  |

Figure 6.31 summarises the mean number of plants per hectare over all species present, including dead plants, for quadrat IV. There are 14 different species present in this quadrat and the mean number of plants per hectare over all live vegetation types is 13218 plants per hectare (Table 6.8). The two most prominent species are Aframomum angustifolium with 5 650 plants per hectare and Dracaena fragrans with 5538 plants per hectare (Figure 6.31). The former occurs in both sample plots and hence, again seems to be more evenly distributed throughout this quadrat (Figure 6.31); while the latter only occurs in plot 7, which indicates a more patchy distribution throughout the quadrat but at the same time a particularly high density of plants per hectare where it occurs (Figure 6.27-6.30). All other species have far lower densities in this quadrat. The next five important species are Albizia gumnifera ( 536 plants per hectare), Guarea cedrata (392 plants per hectare), Alchornea cordifolia (313 plants per hectare), Palisota mannii (300 plants per hectare) and Oxyanthus speciosus ( 249 plants per hectare) (Figure 6.31). Of those, only Alchornea cordifolia and Oxyanthus speciosus occur just in one of the sample plots (Figure 6.31). The remaining seven species all have densities of 50 plants per hectare or below (Figure 6.31). The number of "Dead Trees" is rather prominent in both categories with 41 "Fallen dead" trees per hectare and 44 "Standing dead" trees per hectare, and a more or less equal distribution of those trees in both sample plots (Figure 6.31).


Figure 6.31: Mean density (plants per hectare) per species in quadrat IV.

| AFRANG | $=$ Aframomum angustifolium |
| ---: | :--- |
| DRAFRA | $=$ Dracaena fragrans |
| ALBGUM | $=$ Albizia gumnifera |
| GUACED | $=$ Guarea cedrata |
| ALCCOR | $=$ Alchornea cordifolia |
| PALMAN | $=$ Palisota mannii |
| OXYSPE | $=$ Oxyanthus speciosus |
| FICSP2 | $=$ Ficus species 2 |
| OXYSTE | $=$ Oxyanthus speciosus var. stenocarpus |
| PSYPED | $=$ Psychotria peduncularis |
| PEDFIS | $=$ Peddiea fischeri |
| FICWIL | $=$ Ficus wildemanniana |
| TETDID | $=$ Tetrorchidium didymostemon |
| GALSAX | $=$ Galinera saxifraga |
| XXXXXX | $=$ Standing dead trees |
| YYYYYY | $=$ Fallen dead trees |
| $\square$ | $=$ Plant species present in both sample plots |
|  | $=$ Plant species only present in one sample plot |

## "Tree" density corrected for Aframomum angustifolium

Although, Aframomum angustifolium is classified as a herb (Lind \& Tallantire 1962, Langdale-Brown et al. 1964, Katende et al. 1999) for the purpose of this study its singlestem representatives were listed under "Trees". Figure 6.32 shows the number of trees in each sample plot without single-stem Aframomum angustifolium.

Compared to Figure 6.5 the sequence of plots according to tree density changes as follows: from plot $7-\operatorname{plot} 3-\operatorname{plot} 6-\operatorname{plot} 4-\operatorname{plot} 1-\operatorname{plot} 5-\operatorname{plot} 8-\operatorname{plot} 2$ to $\operatorname{plot} 7-\operatorname{plot} 3-\operatorname{plot} 4$ - plot $6-\operatorname{plot} 5-\operatorname{plot} 1-\operatorname{plot} 2-\operatorname{plot} 8$.

While the first two plots - both containing Aframomum angustifolium - hence keep their respective ranks the sequence of the next six plots changes pair wise. The following three pairs, e.g. plot 6 and plot 4, change places among each other since, after subtracting the plants per hectare of Aframomum angustifolium, the remaining tree density falls below the one of the plot directly following, i.e. plot 4 is now ahead of plot 6 .

The three plots $(2,4,5)$ not containing Aframomum angustifolium are distributed more or less on a straight line from the west to the east of the island (Figure 6.1).

While plot 2 and plot 4 are both characterised by wide-open spaces (see this Chapter Description of sample plots) and are therefore also more exposed to wind, plot 5 has a dense and closed tree cover adjacent to the eastern shore of the island (Figure 6.1)


Figure 6.32: Number of woody "Trees" in each sample plot after correcting for Aframomum angustifolium.

Key:
Number of subtracted Aframomum angustifolium
Number of "Real Trees"

