

i

A STUDY OF THE DYNAMICS OF ACADEMIC STAFF DEVELOPMENT AT THE MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN AFRICA IN AN ERA OF EDUCATIONAL TRANSFORMATION

By

Salochana Hassan

{MSc (Biochemistry), H.D.E., D.T.E. (cum laude), MEd. (Didactics)}

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Philosophiae Doctor In the Faculty of Education University of Pretoria

November 2003

Supervisor: Prof. W.J. Fraser

© University of Pretoria



I declare that the dissertation, which I hereby submit for the degree Philosophiae Doctor at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not previously been submitted by me for a degree at any other institution.

Strassan.

S. Hassan



iii

I WISH TO THANK THE FOLLOWING:

My supervisor, Professor W.J. Fraser, for his expert guidance, expertise, support, thoroughness and reliability.

My sister, Professor S. Chetty (Massey University, New Zealand), for her invaluable suggestions, guidance and moral support.

The participants of this study, for their time, effort and encouragement.

My daughter, Johara, for her useful comments, moral support, patience and copy editing parts of this dissertation.

The University of Pretoria and The Medical University of Southern Africa for financial assistance.

My creator, for giving me the strength and cognitive ability to manage this project.



DEDICATION

For Johara



ABSTRACT

A STUDY OF THE DYNAMICS OF ACADEMIC STAFF DEVELOPMENT AT THE MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN AFRICA IN AN ERA OF EDUCATIONAL TRANSFORMATION

BY

Salochana Hassan

Supervisor: Professor W.J. Fraser Department: Curriculum Studies Degree: Philosophiae Doctor

Recent global occurrences pertaining to the knowledge explosion, globalization and advances in technology are in one way or another affecting the functions of many higher education institutions, nationally and internationally. While there is a major drive to reshape the higher education landscape, few institutions are adequately geared towards making an optimum contribution to this type of change. The vision of practice that underlies the educational transformation agenda requires that most educators rethink their own practice, construct new classroom roles and expectations about learners and teach in ways they have, hitherto, never taught before. Unsurprisingly, most academics are under-prepared to cope with the demands of educational transformation and therefore, academic staff development in the andragogical applications of new technology, innovation and change, is fundamental to the process of educational transformation.

Against this background, this dissertation examines the multifaceted elements of educational transformation in higher education and their implications for tertiary educators, juxtaposed with the dynamics and pertinence of academic staff development. The epistemological perspectives that were applied were two-fold; comprising interpretative (qualitative) and positivist (quantitative) approaches. The



use of these empirical research methods helped explore the role and involvement of management, as well as the needs and perceptions of academic staff regarding academic staff development, contextualised at the Medical University of Southern Africa, within a climate of educational transformation. This was undertaken to assess the rationale for the non-responsiveness of management and academics towards the imperatives of educational transformation and the nexus with academic staff development.

The study demonstrated that a cacophony of constraints, mostly related to the fragmented nature of existing staff development initiatives, including a lack of finance and staff shortages, are restricting the meaningful implementation of educational transformation arrangements. Hence, altering the modus operandi of the activities of higher education institutions is not a task that can be easily accomplished. The demands of educational transformation relate not only to significant cultural shifts, but are labour-intensive and resource dependent as well.



KEY WORDS

Educational transformation

Academic staff development

Curricula innovations

Information and communication technologies

Interpretative

Positivist

Knowledge society

Quality assurance

Equity and redress

Scholarship



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT

TITLE PAGE	i
DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ABSTRACT	\mathbf{v}
KEY WORDS	vii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND ORIENTATION TO THE STUDY

1.1	Prea	amble	1
1.2	Bac	kground to the problem and problem statement	2
1.2.1	Soci	o-political change and transformation in education in a	
	knov	wledge-based society	2
1.2.2	Trar	nsition to Outcomes-based Education (OBE)	3
1.2.3	The	under-preparedness of tertiary educators for implementing	
	educ	cational transformation and innovation	4
1.2.4	Prob	blem statement	7
1.3	Res	earch questions	10
1.3.1	Mai	n research questions	10
1.3.2	Sub	research questions	10
1.4	Aim	and objectives	11
1.4.1	Mai	n aims	11
1.4.2	Gen	eral objectives	11
1.4.3	Spee	cific objectives	12
1.	.4.3.1	Specific objectives of the literature search	12
1.	4.3.2	Specific objectives of the qualitative study	12
1.	4.3.3	Specific objectives of the quantitative study	13
1.5	Нур	ootheses	14
1.6	Res	earch methodology	14
1.6.1	Res	earch approach	15
1.6.2	Met	hods of data collection	15
1.6.3	Rati	onale for choice of the target group	16

viii

PAGE

*	
4	
	UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
	UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
	YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

1.7	Need and justification for the study	17
1.7.1	Towards a justification for staff development	17
1.7.2	Does training and development of staff make any difference?	19
1.7.3	The rationale for determining the nature and character of staff	
	development for the achievement of academic excellence at	
	MEDUNSA	21
1.7.4	The changing nature of staff development	22
1.8	Clarification of terms	23
1.8.1	Staff/faculty development	23
1.8.2	Development	26
1.8.3	Staff/faculty	26
1.8.4	Change	27
1.8.5	Educational transformation	29
1.8.6	Educational innovation	32
1.8.7	The Medical University of Southern Africa	33
1.9	Programme of study	34
1.10	Conclusion	36
	References	37

ix



TRANSFORMATION, CHANGE AND INNOVATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR STAFF DEVELOPMENT

2.1	Intr	oduction		42
2.2	The	changing nature of higher education		43
2.2.1	Criti	cism against the slow pace of change		43
2.2.2	Cha	nging trends in higher education		45
2.3	Tecl	nnological advances		47
2.3.1	The	impact of technology on higher education		47
2.3.2	Spec	rific applications of technology in the classroom		48
	2.3.2.1	The use of electronic mail (e-mail) as a teaching/learning instrument		48
	2.3.2.2	The merits of web-based teaching		49
	2.3.2.3	E-learning initiatives		50
2.3.3	The	implications of technology on academic staff development		51
2.4	Cha	nges in the process of teaching and learning		53
2.4.1	The	paradigm shift from teaching to learning		54
2.4.2	The	impact of change in the teaching/learning process on staff		
	deve	lopment	55	
2.5	Exa	mples of curricula transformation and innovations		57
2.5.1	Outo	comes-based Education (OBE)		58
	2.5.1.1	What is Outcomes-based Education (OBE)?		58
	2.5.1.2	Outcomes-based Education (OBE) in South Africa		61
	2.5.1.3	Outcomes-based Education (OBE) and staff development		65
2.5.2	Prob	lem-based Learning (PBL) in higher education		68
	2.5.2.1	What is Problem-based Learning (PBL)?		69
	2.5.2.2	Problem-based Learning (PBL) in the context of medical education		70
	2.5.2.3	The implications of Problem-based Learning (PBL) for staff		
		development		74
2.6	Cha	nge and considerations for staff development		78
2.6.1	Strat	regies employed to effect change		79
2.6.2	The	change process in the context of education		80
2.6.3	Турі	cal responses to educational change		80



xi

.

2.7	Transformation in higher education: A national and		
	inte	rnational perspective	82
2.7.1	Tran	sformation of higher education in South Africa	82
2.	7.1.1	Apartheid and its impact on higher education	85
2.	7.1.2	A programme for transformation in higher education	86
2.	7.1.3	Curriculum and teaching/learning issues in the "new" South Africa	89
2.	7.1.4	SAQA, the NQF and quality assurance (QA)	91
2.7.2	High	ner educational transformation in New Zealand	97
2.7.3	High	ner educational transformation in the United Kingdom	99
2.7.4	High	ner educational transformation in Australia	103
2.8	Con	clusion	105
	Refe	prences	107



WHAT IS STAFF DEVELOPMENT, WHY IS IT NECESSARY AND HOW IS IT CONDUCTED?

3.1	Intr	oduction	116
3.2	Wh	y staff development is necessary	116
3.2.1	Staf	f development in a changing, transforming, technological,	
	post	modern society	118
3.2.2	Edu	cational transformation in South Africa and its impact on	
	acad	lemic staff development	122
	3.2.2.1	The concept of educational transformation and coping with change	123
	3.2.2.2	Equity, redress and development: Implications for staff development	124
	3.2.2.3	Distance education as an educational transformation issue	127
	3.2.2.4	Research as an educational transformation issue	130
3.2.3	The	paradigm shift from teaching to learning: Implications for staff	
	deve	elopment	132
3.2.4	Curr	icula innovations and implications for staff development	133
	3.2.4.1	Problem-based Learning (PBL) and Outcomes-based Education	
		(OBE)	134
	3.2.4.2	The impact of curricula innovations on academic staff development	135
3.2.5	Some	constraints faced by academic staff and implications for staff	
	develo	opment	138
	3.2.5.1	Lack of formal training	138
	3.2.5.2	Problems encountered by new faculty	141
3.2.6	The	employer/employee relationship	142
3.2.7	The	influence of quality on academic staff development	145
	3.2.7.1	Quality assurance and its impact on academic staff	145
	3.2.7.2	The link between scholarship and the quality of academics	146
3.2.8	A sy	on the sis of why staff development is necessary	146
3.3	What	at is to be developed?	148
3.3.1	The	concept of scholarship	148
	3.3.1.1	The definition of scholarship	149
	3.3.1.2	Boyer's categories of scholarship	149
	3.3.1.3	The implications of the promotion of scholarship for staff	

UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

		development	150
	3.3.1.4	The scholarship of teaching	150
	3.3.1.5	Research and teaching: The debate about the relationship	
		between the two activities	152
	3.3.1.6	Personal views on the scholarship of teaching	156
3.4	Mod	lels and Strategies for staff development, mainly in higher	
	edu	cation	157
3.4.1	Mod	lels of staff development	157
	3.4.1.1	A categorization of micro and macro models	157
	3.4.1.2	The input, process, output model	159
	3.4.1.3	The developmental and personal growth models	160
	3.4.1.4	The Readiness, Planning, Training, Implementation and Maintenance	
		(RPTIM) model	161
	3.4.1.5	The Job-embedded learning model	162
	3.4.1.6	The self-management model	164
	3.4.1.7	The individually guided model	165
	3.4.1.8	The problem-based learning model	166
	3.4.1.9	The prototypic human resource model	167
	3.4.1.10	The career lattice model	169
	3.4.1.11	The twinning model	170
	3.4.1.12	A synthesis and interpretation of staff development models	171
3.4.1	A brie	f overview of strategies and methods used in staff development	
	progra	mmes	176
	3.4.2.1	Methods used in the staff development process	176
	3.4.2.2	Strategies used in staff development	178
	3.4.2	2.1 Collaborative staff development	178
	3.4.2.	2.2 Peer observation and peer review of teaching for the	
		enhancement of academic quality	180
	3.4.2	2.3 Mentoring/coaching as a staff development approach	181
	3.4.2	2.4 Teaching Portfolios as a means of enhancing academic quality	182
	3.4.2.	2.5 Action research as a medium for staff development	183
3.5	Con	clusion	184
	Refe	rences	186

xiii



- ---

- -

CHAPTER 4

QUANTITATIVE STUDY: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND THE CONTENT VALIDATION OF THE SURVEY INSTRUMENT

4.1	Intro	oduction	193
4.2	Rese	earch design	194
4.3	The	research instrument	196
4.3.1	Defin	nition of a questionnaire	196
4.3.2	Ratio	onale for the choice of instrument	196
4.3.3	Ratir	ng Scale techniques	198
4.3.4	Ensu	ring the reliability of the questionnaire	200
4.3.5	Ensu	ring the validity of the questionnaire	201
4.4	The	content validation of the instrument	202
4.4.1	The	place of the needs analysis in the context of the	
	quan	titative study: A theoretical framework	203
	4.4.1.1	The need for a needs analysis	204
	4.4.1.2	Change and needs analysis	204
	4.4.1.3	Needs analysis instruments	205
4.4.2	The o	content validation of the self-administered	
	quest	ionnaire	207
	4.4.2.1	The widespread use of technology in education	210
	4.4.2.2	The impact of educational change on academics	210
	4.4.2.3	The demands of educational transformation in higher education	214
	4.4.2.4	The challenges of implementing curricula innovations	216
	4.4.2.5	Adapting to a paradigm shift from teaching to learning	219
	4.4.2.6	The enhancement of quality in higher education	220
	4.4.2.7	The influence of the scholarship of research and teaching on	
		academic staff development	224
	4.4.2.8	Changing trends in staff development: An analysis of models and	
		Strategies	227
	4.4.2.9	Time: The availability of academics to attend staff development	
		programmes	232
	4.4.2.10	Items identified as important through the interviews with	
		Executive Management, Management of CADS and the Deans	233



4.5	Piloting and pre-testing the main study	234
4.6	The selection of the study sample	235
4.6.1	Sample size	236
4.6.2	Target population	237
4.7	Administering the questionnaire	237
4.8	Analysis of the results	238
4.9	Conclusion	239
	References	239

xv



QUALITATIVE INVESTIGATION: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, DEVELOPMENT AND CONTENT VALIDATION OF THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULES

5.1	Preamble	242
5.2	Research design	243
5.3	Data collection method	244
5.3.1	Definition and explanation	244
5.3.2	Rationale for using interviews	244
5.3.3	Limitations of interviewing and how they were overcome	245
5.3.4	Ensuring reliability and validity in the construction of the	
	interview schedule	247
5	5.3.4.1 Reliability	247
5	5.3.4.2 Ensuring the validity of the interview schedule	248
5.4	The content validation of the semi-structured interview	
	schedule for the Executive Manager and CADS Manager	249
5.4.1	Introduction	249
5.4.2	Structuring the interview questions	250
5.5	Developing the interview schedule for the Deans	260
5.5.1	Preamble	260
5.5.2	Content validation of the interview schedules	261
5.6	Pilot studies	266
5.7	The study sample	267
5.7.1	Sampling	267
5.7.2	The target population	268
5.7	The main study: Conducting the interviews	269
5.8	Analysis of the results	270
5.9	Conclusion	271
	References	272



INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS OF THE QUALITATIVE STUDY CONDUCTED AMONG MANAGEMENT

6.1	Introduction	274
6.2	Results of the interview with the Management of CADS	274
6.2.1	Staff development policies and practices	274
6.2.2	Educational transformation and its impact on staff development at	
	MEDUNSA	276
6.2.3	The training and development of academics in the implementation	
	of novel curricula	276
6.2.4	The promotion of scholarship at MEDUNSA	277
6.2.5	The role of CADS in promoting quality assurance (QA) among academics	278
6.2.6	Training and development in the use of technology in the teaching/learning	
	Process	278
6.2.7	Distance education and equity as educational transformation issues at meso	
	level	278
6.3	Results of the interview with Executive Management	279
6.3.1	Staff development policies and practices	279
6.3.2	The implementation of educational transformation at institutional level	280
6.3.3	Curricula innovation as an element of educational transformation at	
	institutional level	281
6.3.4	The promotion of the scholarship of research and teaching at MEDUNSA	283
6.3.5	Assuring the quality of MEDUNSA academics	283
6.3.6	The application of technology in the teaching/learning situation	284
6.3.7	Distance education and equity as educational transformation issues	285
6.4	Synthesis, discussion and analysis of the interviews with the Executive	
	Management and Management of CADS	286
6.4.1	Synthesis and analysis of the findings of the interviews	286
6.4.2	Discussion of the results of the interview with the Management of CADS	290
6.4.3	Discussion of the results of the interview with Executive Management	290
6.4.4	Items for inclusion in the self-administered questionnaire	291



6.5	Res	ults of the interviews with the Deans	292
6.5.1	Wha	at MEDUNSA should be doing that it is not already doing	292
6.5.2	The	role of Deans in educational transformation	293
6.	5.2.1	The management of departments for the delivery of goals for educational	
		transformation	293
6.	5.2.2	Assistance given by Deans in the development of academics in an era of	
		educational transformation	294
6.5.3	Trai	ning of academic staff for Outcomes-based Education (OBE)	
	imp	lementation	294
6.	5.3.1	Effectiveness of MEDUNSA in preparing academics for Outcomes-based	
		Education (OBE) implementation	294
6.	5.3.2	Support, guidance and management strategies that Deans have in place for	
		Outcomes-based Education (OBE) implementation	296
6.5.4	The	enhancement of quality among academics	296
6.	5.4.1	Effectiveness of MEDUNSA in improving the quality of the academe	296
6.	5.4.2	The role of Deans in developing the quality of academics	297
6.5.5	The	use of technology in teaching and learning	298
6.	5.5.1	Effectiveness of MEDUNSA in training academics to use technology	
		in the teaching/learning process	298
6.5	5.5.2	Deans' perceptions of e-learning and computer-based programmes	298
6.5	5.5.2	Effectiveness of MEDUNSA in providing facilities for using technology	
		in the teaching/learning process	299
6.5.6	The	role of Deans in promoting innovative practices in teaching and	
	Lear	ning	300
6.5.7	The	promotion of scholarship	300
6	5.7.1	The role of Deans in promoting the scholarship of teaching	300
6.:	5.7.2	Managing staff to achieve research excellence	301
6.5.8	Sup	port for the development of women and blacks	301
6.5.9	The	mission and vision of Deans	302
6.5.10	Perc	eptions and expectations of CADS	302
6.:	5.10.1	Expectations of faculties regarding academic staff development	302
6.:	5.10.2	Attitude towards CADS	304
6.5.11	Lacl	c of resources	304
6.5.12	Criti	cisms levelled at the university	305
6.5.13	Perc	eived readiness of staff for educational change	305



6.5.14	The	impact of the merger on staff	305
6.5.15	Furt	her qualifications	306
6	5.15.1	Educational qualifications for educators	306
6	5.5.15.2	Encouragement of staff to further their qualifications in their	
		discipline	307
6.5.16	Disc	ussion of the interviews with the Deans	307
6.6	Inte	rview with the Heads of Department (HODs)	309
6.6.1	Wha	t MEDUNSA should be doing regarding academic staff	
	deve	lopment that it is not already doing	309
6.6.2	The	role of HODs in educational transformation	311
6	5.6.2.1	The management of departments for the delivery of educational	
		transformation	311
6	6.6.2.2	Assistance given by HODs in the development of academics in	
		an era of educational transformation	313
6.6.3	Trai	ning academic staff for Outcomes-based Education (OBE)	
	Imp	ementation	314
6	5.6.3.1	Effectiveness of MEDUNSA in preparing academics for	
		Outcomes-based Education (OBE) implementation	314
6	6.6.3.2	Support, guidance and management strategies that HODs have in	
		place for Outcomes-based Education (OBE) implementation	315
6.6.4	The	enhancement of academic quality	317
6	5.6.4.1	The effectiveness of MEDUNSA in improving the quality of the academe	318
6	5.6.4.2	The role of HODs in developing the quality of academics	320
6.6.5	The	use of technology in teaching and learning	321
6.	.6.5.1	The effectiveness of MEDUNSA in training academics to use technology	
		in the teaching/learning process	321
6.	.6.5.2	The effectiveness of MEDUNSA in providing facilities for using technology	
		in the teaching/learning process	322
	.6.5.3	The perception of HODs on e-learning and computer-based programmes	324
6.6.6		role of HODs in promoting innovative practices in teaching and learning	324
6.6.7.		role of HODs in promoting the scholarship of teaching and research	325
6.6.8	Supp	port for the development of women and blacks	328
6.6.9	The	mission and vision of the HODs	329
6.6.10	Othe	er categories and themes identified	331
6	6.10.1	Difficulty of attracting and retaining staff	331



.

	6.6.10.2 Perceptions and expectat	ions regarding academic staff development	332
	6.6.10.3 The impact of the merger	on academic staff	332
	6.6.10.4 Lack of facilities and fun	ding	333
	6.6.10.5 Perceptions and expectat	ions of CADS	334
6.6.	11 Discussion of the interviews	with the HODs	334
	6.6.11.1 The development of acad	emic excellence by HODs	334
	6.6.11.2 The development of acad	emic excellence through staff development	
	Programmes		335
	6.6.11.3 Preparing academics for	(Outcomes-based Education) OBE	335
	6.6.11.4 The mission and vision o	f HODs	336
	6.6.11.5 Equity and redress		336
	6.6.11.6 The promotion of quality	among academics	337
	6.6.11.7 The application of techno	ology in the teaching/learning situation	338
	6.6.11.8 The implementation of p	olicies related to staff development by HODs	338
6.7	Conclusion		339
	References		340



INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS OF THE QUANTITATIVE STUDY CONDUCTED AMONG ACADEMIC STAFF

7.1	Introduction	344
7.2	The demographic details of respondents	344
7.2.1	Age distribution	345
7.2.2	Gender distribution of academic staff	345
7.2.3	Race distribution of respondents	346
7.2.4	Distribution of qualifications of respondents	347
7.3	Perceptions towards educational transformation	348
7.4	The content for staff development programmes	349
7.5	The process of staff development programmes	352
7.6	The perceptions of attending staff development programmes	354
7.7	Perceptions about current staff development practices at	
	MEDUNSA	355
7.8	Innovative methods in teaching and learning	356
7.9	The need for training in Technology	357
7.10	Quality assurance in relation to the professional functions of	
	academics	357
7.11	Perceptions about training and development in Outcomes-based	
	Education (OBE)	358
7.11.1	Perceived knowledge and skills in Outcomes-based Education (OBE)	359
7.11.2	The need for training in OBE	360
7.12	The need for training in Problem-based Learning (PBL)	361
7.13	The scholarship of teaching	362
7.13.1	Knowledge and skills in respect of the scholarship of teaching	362
7.13.2	Perceptions of the reward system for teaching excellence	363
7.13.3	The prevalence of professional teaching qualifications	363
7.13.4	Teaching experience at a higher education institution	364
7.14	The scholarship of research	365
7.15	Management and leadership	366

	👙	xxii
7.16	Equity issues and implications for staff development	367
	••••••	
7.16.1	Personnel rank and qualifications of male and female staff	367
7.16.2	Race versus personnel rank of respondents	370
7.17	Further cross validation of the responses of the quantitative	
	investigation with the results of the qualitative study conducted wit	h
	management	372
7.17.1	A comparison of the quantitative data with the responses of the	
	Management of CADS and Executive management	372
7.17.2	A comparison of the quantitative data with the responses of the Deans	373
7.18	Discussion of the quantitative investigation	374
7.18.1	Perceptions about educational transformation	374
7.18.2	The need for training and development in the factors that drive	
	educational transformation	375
7	18.2.1 Knowledge of curricula innovations in higher education	375
7	7.18.2.2 Quality assurance of academic functions	376
7	.18.2.3 The application of technology in teaching/learning	376
7	.18.2.4 The scholarship of research and teaching	376
7.18.3	The content and process of staff development programmes	377
7.18.3	Cross validation and comparison of the responses in the qualitative	
	study with those in the quantitative study	377
7.18.5	Reliability checks of responses	378
7.19	Conclusion	378
	References	379

_ - - - -



SUMMARY OF THE MAIN FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLUSION

8.1	Intr	roduction	381
8.2	The	changing nature of higher education	382
8.3	Edu	cational transformation	383
8.3.1	Edu	cational transformation in South Africa	383
8.3.2	Edu	cational transformation in New Zealand, the UK and Australia	384
8.4	The	imperatives of educational transformation	386
8.4.1	The	need for innovative curriculum development	386
	8.4.1.1	Outcomes-based Education (OBE)	386
	8.4.1.2	Problem-based Learning (PBL)	387
8.4.2	The	application of technology in teaching and learning	388
8.4.3	The	paradigm shift from teaching to learning	389
8.4.4	Qua	lity assuring the teaching/learning process	389
8.5	A ra	ationale for this study	390
8.6	Mo	dels of staff development	391
8.7	Pro	blem statement, research question and objectives	391
8.7.1	Prot	blem statement	392
8.7.2	Res	earch question and objectives	392
8.8	Res	earch design, content validation and methodology	393
8.8.1	Res	earch design	393
8.8.1	Con	tent validation of the research instruments	393
	8.8.2.1	Content validation of the interview schedules	394
	8.8.2.2	Content validation of the self-administered questionnaire	394
8.8.3	Res	earch methodologies	394
	8.8.3.1	Methodology of the qualitative study	395
	8.8.3.2	Methodology of the quantitative study	395
8.9	A su	immary of results of the qualitative investigation	395
8.9.1	Res	ults of the interview with the CADS Manager	396
8.9.2	Res	ults of the interview with Executive Management	397
8.9.3	Rest	ults of the interviews with the Deans	399



8.9.4	Results of the interviews with the HODs	402
8.10	A summary of the results of the quantitative study	404
8.10.1	Educational transformation	404
8.10.2	Staff development programmes	404
8.10.3	Innovative methods in teaching and learning and innovative curricula	405
8.10.4	The scholarship of teaching and research	405
8.10.5	Equity issues at the institution	406
8.11	A synopsis of the outcomes of the qualitative and quantitative	
	Investigations	406
8.11.1	The implementation of educational transformation at meso level	406
8.11.2	The perceptions of staff development programmes at MEDUNSA	408
8.11.3	Curriculum development as an imperative of educational transformation	409
8.11.4	The promotion of scholarship at the institution	411
8.11.5	What is MEDUNSA doing to enhance academic quality?	412
8.11.6	The application of technology in teaching and learning	413
8.11.7	The promotion of innovative teaching/learning strategies	414
8.11.8	Addressing equity and redress	415
8.12	Addressing the hypotheses and answering the main research	
	questions	415
8.13	Contributions made by this study	420
8.14	Limitations of this research	422
8.15	Recommendations and implications	423
8.15.1	The factors that impact on educational transformation and staff development	
	and how they can be addressed	423
8.15.2	Further capacity building	427
8.15.3	Improving communication	429
8.15.4	The promotion of scholarship	429
8.15.5	Addressing equity and redress	431
8.15.6	Personal development for academic staff	431
8.16	Suggestions for future studies	431
8.17	Conclusion	433
	References	434



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1:	Hypotheses for this study	14
Table 1.2:	Methods of research employed	16
Table 1.3:	Descriptors that are used interchangeable with the term	
	faculty development"	24
Table 1.4:	Types and mechanism of change	29
Table 1.5:	A brief summary of the contents of the chapters in this	
	dissertation	36
Table 2.1:	Strategies for enhancing faculty buy-in	53
Table 2.2:	Three major forms of OBE	59
Table 2.3:	The types of outcomes used in OBE	62
Table 2.4:	SAQA's twelve critical cross-field outcomes (Adapted from	
	SAQA 1995:5)	62
Table 2.5	The three elements of assessment used in OBE (Tabulated	
	from the Curriculum Development Working Group 1999:13)	64
Table 2.6:	Strategies to effect change	79
Table 2.7:	Categories of people in response to change	80
Table 2.8:	Principles that would guide the process of transformation	88
Table 2.9:	Principles that would guide the process of curriculum	
	Planning	90
Table 2.10:	The National Qualification Frameworks (Adapted from the	
	NAP 2002:4-5)	92
Table 2.11	The recommendations of the Dearing Report for the promotion	
	of an Institute for Learning and Teaching	102
Table 3.1:	Using evolution in the teaching/learning environment (Adapted	
	from Brightman and Moran 2001:274)	119
Table 3.2:	A concise account of the common problems facing faculty	147
Table 3.3:	Boyer's categories of scholarship	149
Table 3.4:	The scholarship of teaching (Adapted from Trigwell et al.	
	2000:159-160)	152
Table 3.5:	The relationship between teaching and research (Adapted	
	from Coate et al. 2001:165)	155

xxv



YYV1
77 41

Table 3.6:	A summary of micro- and macro models of staff	
	development	159
Table 3.7:	Stages in the RPTIM model	161
Table 3.8:	Forms of job-embedded learning	163
Table 3.9:	A categorization of models based on the similarities	
	of their principles and activities	171
Table 3.10:	The planning activities of staff development	174
Table 3.11:	Implementation activities of staff development	175
Table 3.12:	Evaluation activities of staff development	175
Table 3.13:	Maintenance activities of staff development	176
Table 3.14:	Types of collegial conversations	179
Table 4.1:	Likert rating scale for a positive and negative item	199
Table 4.2:	A category of needs analysis methods (adapted from	
	McMahon and Merman 1996:718 and Engelberg	
	(in Engelberg 1991:217)	205
Table 4.3:	Issues that drive staff development	209
Table 4.4:	Response rate achieved with each reminder	238
Table 4.5:	The response rate for each faculty	238
Table 5.1:	Sampling techniques	267
Table 5.2:	Sampling of HODs	268
Table 5.3:	Target population for the qualitative study	269
Table 6.1:	Responses of the interview questions with Executive	
	Management and the Management of CADS	287
Table 6.2:	The mission and vision of the Deans	303
Table 6.3:	Perceptions regarding the effectiveness of MEDUNSA	
	in improving the quality of staff	318
Table 6.4:	Additional support given by HODs to promote the quality	
	of academics	320
Table 6.5:	Effectiveness of MEDUNSA in training academics to use	
	technology in teaching and learning	321
Table 6.6:	Effectiveness of MEDUNSA in providing facilities for using technolog	gy in
	teaching and learning	323
Table 6.7:	Reasons for not being able to implement e-learning and	
	computer-based programmes	324



Table 6.8:	Support for the development of women and blacks by HODs	328
Table 6.9:	The mission and vision of the HODs	330
Table 6.10:	Factors that impede the promotion of QA at MEDUNSA	338
Table 7.1:	The qualifications of respondents	348
Table 7.2:	Mode for variables related to educational transformation	348
Table 7.3:	Choices made for the content of staff development programmes	351
Table 7.4:	Preference for methods used in staff development programme	353
Table 7.5:	General perceptions regarding the scholarship of research	366
Table 7.6:	The personnel rank of male and female respondents	367
Table 7.7:	Personnel rank versus gender	368
Table 7.8:	Statistics for table of gender versus personnel rank	368
Table 7.9:	The academic qualifications of male and female staff	369
Table 7.10	Frequency table of race versus personnel rank	371
Table 7.11:	Statistics for table of race versus personnel rank	371



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	The MEDUNSA campus situated in Ga-Rankuwa (Pretoria)	33	
Figure 1.2:	An overview of chapters contained in this dissertation	35	
Figure 2.1:	Assessment methods used in PBL	74	
Figure 2.2:	A Mind map of the partial contents of chapter 2		
Figure 2.3:	A schematic diagram of a national and international perspective of		
	higher educational transformation	84	
Figure 3.1:	Factors that provide a rationale for staff development	118	
Figure 3.2:	Issues of educational transformation in South Africa to be addressed		
	by staff development	123	
Figure 3.3:	The relationship between staff, staff development and the		
	organization	144	
Figure 3.4:	The outputs of staff development	148	
Figure 3.5:	re 3.5: A model for the scholarship of teaching		
Figure 3.6:	5: Activities in a staff development process		
Figure 4.1:	Outline of chapter 4	194	
Figure 4.2:	Data collection methods using surveys (Adapted from Cohen and		
	Manion 1980:71; McBurney 1994:199-201; Robson 1997:49		
	and Horner 1995:33)	195	
Figure 4.3:	Needs analysis is determining the gap in outcomes (Adapted from		
	Kaufman and English 1979:8)	203	
Figure 5.1:	Outline of chapter 5	243	
Figure 5.2:	A template for developing the interview questions for the Deans	262	
Figure 6.2:	Techniques used by HODs to promote the scholarships of teaching		
	and research	327	
Figure 6.3:	Support by the HODs for the advancement of women and black		
	academics	337	
Figure 7.1:	Age distribution of academic staff	345	
Figure 7.2:	Gender distribution of respondents 3		
Figure 7.3:	Race distribution (n=105)	347	
Figure 7.4:	The choice of a time frame for a staff development model	353	
Figure 7.5:	Perceptions of effectiveness of current staff development practices	355	



Figure 7.6:	Perceptions of academic staff about PBL	362	
Figure 7.7:	Professional teaching qualifications of respondents	364	
Figure 7.8:	Intervals of the teaching experience of respondents	365	
Figure 8.1: The line of communication for the dissemination of information r		regarding	
	educational transformation issues	399	



LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A:	The covering letter and questionnaire for the needs analysis and	
	perception survey	435
APPENDIX B:	The covering letter and interview schedule for the Management of	
	CADS	451
APPENDIX C:	The covering letter and interview schedule for the Executive	
	Manager	454
APPENDIX D:	The covering letter and interview schedule for the Deans	458
APPENDIX E:	The covering letter and interview schedule for the HODs	460
APPENDIX F:	Reminders for the return of the self-administered	
	questionnaires	462
APPENDIX G:	Coded transcripts for the interviews with Executive	
	Management and the Management of CADS	465

XXX



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADC:	Academic Development Committee
APC:	Academic Planning Committee
AQF:	Australian Qualifications Framework
BEd:	Bachelor of Education
CADS:	Centre for Academic Development Services
CBAM:	Concerns-based Adoption Model
CBE:	Computer-based Education
CD:	Compact disc
CDC:	Curriculum Development Committee
CHE:	Council of Higher Education
DVC:	Deputy Vice-Chancellor
EHE:	Enterprise in Higher Education
ETQA:	Education and Training Quality Assurers
FETC:	Further Education and Training Certificate
FOTIM:	Foundation of Tertiary Institutions in the Northern
	Metropolis
HBU:	Historically Black University
HDE:	Higher Diploma in Education
HDI:	Historically Disadvantaged Institution
HEI:	Higher Education Institution
HEQC:	Higher Education Quality Committee
HOD:	Head of Department
HPCSA:	Health Professional Council of South Africa
html:	Hypertext markup language
Fe:	Expected frequency



		TUNIBESTIAL TA PRETURIA
	ICT:	Information and Communications Technology
	IPA:	Individualised Process Assessment
	MBChB:	Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery
	MDent:	Master of Dentistry
	MEd:	Master of Education
	MEDUNSA	A:Medical University of Southern Africa
	MEQ:	Modified Essay Question
	MMed:	Master of Medicine
	MPhill:	Phiolosphiae Master
	MRC:	Medical Research Council
	NAP:	New Academic Policy
	NCHE:	National Commission of Higher Education
	NCIHE:	National Commission of Inquiry in Higher Education
	NRF:	National Research Foundation
	NSBs:	National Standards Bodies
	NSPH:	National School of Public Health
	NQF:	National Qualifications Framework
	NZQA:	New Zealand Qualifications Authority
•	OBE:	Outcomes-based Education
	OSCE:	Objectively Structured Clinical Examination
	OSPE:	Objectively Structured Practical Examination
	PGCHE:	Postgraduate Certificate in Higher Education
	PBL:	Problem-based Learning
	QA:	Quality Assurance
	QAA:	Quality Assurance Agency
	QAANZ:	Quality Assurance Authority of New Zealand
	QAC:	Quality Assurance Committee
	QPC:	Quality Promotion Committee
	QVB:	Quality Validation Body

xxxii



ROM: Read only memory

RPTIM: Readiness, Planning, Training, Implementation, Maintenance

SAARDHE: South African Association for Research and Development in Higher Education

SAQA: South African Qualifications Authority

SAS: Statistical Analysis System

SAUVCA: South African Universities Vice-Chancellor's Association

SGBs: Standards Generating Bodies

UED: University Education Diploma

UK: United Kingdom

UNIN: University of the North

WPET: White Paper on Education and Training

www: World-wide web