

ANTAGONISM OF *Bacillus* spp. TOWARDS

Microcystis aeruginosa

Jabulani Ray Gumbo

Submitted in fulfilment of part of the requirements for the degree of

Philosophiae Doctor

In the Department of Microbiology & Plant Pathology,
Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences,
University of Pretoria,
Pretoria

November 2006

Promoter: Prof T.E. Cloete

Table of Contents

DECLARATION.....	VII
SUMMARY.....	VIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	X
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XI
LIST OF TABLES.....	XIV
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XV
PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS.....	XVII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
Abstract.....	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	9
2.1.1. Eutrophication.....	10
2.1.2. The study area.....	12
2.2. <i>MICROCYSTIS</i> DOMINANCE DURING EUTROPHICATION.....	15
2.2.1. Introduction.....	15
2.2.2. Toxicity of cyanobacteria.....	17
2.2.2.1. Cyanobacterial metabolites.....	18
2.2.2.2. Neurotoxic alkaloids.....	19
2.2.2.3. Hepatotoxins.....	19
2.2.2.4. Irritant toxins- Lipopolysaccharides.....	20
2.2.3. The fate of cyanobacteria toxins in aqueous environment.....	20
2.2.3.1. Challenges to drinking water utilities.....	21
2.2.3.2. Bacterial degradation of microcystins.....	24
2.2.4. Current methods used to manage harmful algal blooms.....	24
2.2.4.1. Chemical algicides.....	24
2.2.4.2. Mechanical removal.....	25
2.2.4.3. Nutrient limitation.....	25
2.2.4.4. Integrated biological water management.....	26
2.3. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOMS.....	26
2.3.1. Introduction.....	26
2.3.2. The use of microorganisms to control cyanobacteria blooms.....	28
2.3.3. Predator-prey ratios.....	31

2.3.4. Mechanisms of cyanobacterial lysis.....	33
2.3.4.1. Contact mechanism.....	33
2.3.4.2. The release of lytic enzymes and extracellular substances.....	35
2.3.4.3. Antibiosis after entrapment of host.....	36
2.3.4.4. Parasitism.....	37
2.3.5. Field applications of biological control agents.....	38
2.4. <i>BACILLUS MYCOIDES</i> AN EMERGING BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENT.....	40
2.5. FLOW CYTOMETRY FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF VIABLE <i>MICROCYSTIS</i> CELLS	42
2.5.1. Introduction.....	42
2.5.2. Light scattering measurements.....	44
2.5.3. Fluorescence measurements.....	45
2.5.3.1. Principles of Fluorescence.....	45
2.5.3.2. Natural autofluorescence.....	47
2.5.4. Fluorescent stains.....	48
2.5.4.1. Determination of dual cell activity.....	49
2.5.4.2. Determination of membrane integrity.....	52
2.6. CONCLUSIONS.....	56
 CHAPTER 3: THE ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF PREDATORY BACTERIA FROM A <i>MICROCYSTIS</i> ALGAL BLOOM	58
Abstract.....	59
3.1. INTRODUCTION.....	60
3.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	62
3.2.1. Plaque formation on <i>Microcystis</i> lawns.....	62
3.2.2. Cyanophage check.....	63
3.2.3. Isolation of predatory bacteria.....	64
3.2.4. Lytic activity of bacterial isolates on <i>Microcystis</i>	64
3.2.4.1. Culturing host cyanobacteria.....	64
3.2.4.2. Culture of bacterial isolates.....	64
3.2.4.3. Culture of <i>Bacillus mycoides</i> B16.....	64
3.2.4.4. Bacterial viable plate count.....	65
3.2.4.5. Experimental set up.....	65
3.2.4.6. Cyanobacteria cell counting.....	65
3.2.5. Identification of predatory bacteria.....	65

3.2.6. Different predator:prey ratios and their effect on <i>Microcystis</i> survival.....	66
3.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	66
3.3.1. Cyanophage check.....	66
3.3.2. Plaque formation on <i>Microcystis</i> lawns.....	67
3.3.3. Isolation of predatory bacteria.....	68
3.3.4. Lytic activity of bacterial isolates on <i>Microcystis</i>	69
3.3.4.1. Effect of isolate B2 on <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	69
3.3.4.2. Effect of isolate B16 on <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	71
3.3.5. Identification of predatory bacteria.....	73
3.3.6. The effect of different predator-prey ratios on <i>Microcystis</i> viability.....	74
3.4. CONCLUSIONS.....	80
 CHAPTER 4: LIGHT AND ELECTRON MICROSCOPE ASSESSMENT OF THE LYTIC ACTIVITY OF PREDATOR BACTERIA ON <i>MICROCYSTIS</i>	81
Abstract.....	82
4.1. INTRODUCTION.....	83
4.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	85
4.2.1. Evaluations of cyanobacteria-bacteria interactions in a solid media/phases (plaques).....	85
4.2.1.1. Scanning Electron Microscopy.....	85
4.2.1.2. Transmission Electron Microscopy.....	85
4.2.2. Evaluations of cyanobacteria-bacteria interactions in liquid phases.....	86
4.2.2.1. Experimental set up.....	86
4.2.2.2. Light microscopy-wet mounts.....	86
4.2.2.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy.....	86
4.2.3. Algicide disruption of <i>Microcystis</i> cell membranes.....	86
4.2.4. Ultrastructural changes in <i>Microcystis</i> cells during lysis after exposure to <i>B. mycoides</i> B16.....	87
4.2.4.1. Preparation of freeze dried <i>B. mycoides</i> B16.....	87
4.2.4.2. Experimental set up.....	87
4.2.4.3. Transmission Electron Microscopy.....	88
4.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	88

4.3.1. Evaluations of cyanobacteria-bacteria interactions in solid media/phases (plaques).....	88
4.3.2. Evaluations of cyanobacteria-bacteria interactions in liquid phases.....	94
4.3.3. Algicide disruption of <i>Microcystis</i> cell membranes.....	97
4.3.4. Ultrastructural changes in <i>Microcystis</i> cell during lysis after exposure to <i>B. mycoides</i> B16.....	99
4.3.5. Behavioural changes in <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 during the lysis of <i>Microcystis</i>	102
4.3.6. The mechanism of lytic action of <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 on <i>Microcystis</i>	104
4.4. CONCLUSIONS.....	107
 CHAPTER 5: FLOW CYTOMETRY MEASUREMENTS ON <i>MICROCYSTIS</i> CELLS AFTER EXPOSURE TO PREDATORY BACTERIA.....	
Abstract.....	108
5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	109
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	110
5.2.1. The determination of particle size range.....	112
5.2.2. Optimising the staining of <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	113
5.2.2.1. Preparation of fluorescent dyes.....	113
5.2.2.2. Flow cytometric analysis.....	113
5.2.2.3. Separate staining of <i>Microcystis</i> samples.....	114
5.2.2.4. Simultaneous staining of <i>Microcystis</i> samples.....	114
5.2.2.5. Effect of copper and <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 on <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	115
5.2.3. Preliminary assessment of <i>Microcystis</i> after exposure to <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 predator bacteria.....	115
5.2.4. Predator-prey interactions as determined by FDA/PI staining under static conditions.....	115
5.2.4.1. Preparation of lyophilized predator bacteria.....	116
5.2.5. The effect of <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 on <i>Microcystis</i> in a turbulent environment.....	116
5.2.5.1. Statistical analysis.....	117
5.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	117
5.3.1. Determining particle size range.....	117

5.3.2. Optimizing the staining of <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	119
5.3.2.1. Separate staining of <i>Microcystis</i> cells with FDA and PI	119
5.3.2.2. Simultaneous staining of <i>Microcystis</i> samples.....	123
5.3.2.3. Effect of copper and <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 on <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	126
5.3.3. Preliminary assessment of <i>Microcystis</i> after exposure to <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 predator bacteria.....	127
5.3.4. Predator-prey interactions as determined by FDA/PI staining under static conditions.....	128
5.3.4.1. Predator-prey interactions as determined by FDA staining.....	128
5.3.4.1. Predator-prey interactions as determined by PI staining.....	131
5.3.5. The effect of <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 on <i>Microcystis</i> in a turbulent environment.....	132
5.4. CONCLUSIONS.....	138
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES.....	139
6.1. ISOLATION OF PREDATORY BACTERIA AND ITS IDENTIFICATION.....	140
6.1.1. Isolation and identification of predator bacteria.....	140
6.1.2. A simple predator prey model and ratio.....	142
6.1.3. Adaptation of predator bacteria to different environments.....	143
6.2. THE MECHANISM OF LYTIC ACTION OF <i>B. MYCOIDES</i> B16 ON <i>MICROCYSTIS</i>	144
6.3. FUTURE RESEARCH.....	145
6.3.1. <i>In situ</i> biological control of a <i>Microcystis</i> algal bloom.....	146
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	148

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis, which I hereby submit for the degree *Philosophiae Doctor* at the University of Pretoria, Pretoria has not been previously submitted by me for a degree at another university.

J. R. Gumbo

Date

SUMMARY

Freshwater resources are threatened by the presence and increase of harmful algal blooms (HABs) all over the world. The HABs are sometimes a direct result of anthropogenic pollution entering water bodies, such as partially treated nutrient-rich effluents and the leaching of fertilisers and animal wastes. *Microcystis* species are the dominant cyanobacteria (algae) that proliferate in these eutrophic waters. The impact of HABs on aquatic ecosystems and water resources, as well as their human health implications are well documented. Countermeasures have been proposed and implemented to manage HABs with varying levels of success. These control measures include the use of flocculants, mechanical removal of hyperscums and chemical algicides. The use of flocculants such as Phoslock™ is effective in reducing the phosphates in a water body thus depriving nutrients that are available to cyanobacteria. The mechanical option entails the manual removal of hyperscums thus reducing the numbers of cyanobacteria cells that may be the inoculum of the next bloom. The major disadvantage of these two measures is cost. Copper algicides have been used successfully to control HABs in raw water supplies intended for potable purposes. The major disadvantages are copper toxicity and release of microcystins from lysed cyanobacteria cells. Algicides accumulate in the sediments at concentration that are toxic to other aquatic organisms and may also cause long-term damage to the lake ecology. In some studies, microcystins have been implicated in the deaths of patients undergoing haemodialysis. Therefore there is an increasing need to reduce the use of chemicals for environmental and safety reasons. Thus, the development of environmentally friendly; safe non-chemical control measures such as biological control is of great importance to the management of HABs. Some papers, describe bacteria, which were isolated from eutrophic waters, such as *Sphingomonas* species with abilities to degrade microcystins and *Saprospira albida* with abilities to degrade *Microcystis* cells. Further research is required to evaluate whether these bacteria are antagonistic towards cyanobacteria. Ideally, a combination of strategies should be introduced; that is, combine predatory bacteria that directly lyse the cyanobacteria with microcystin degrading bacteria that then 'mop up' the released microcystins.

The major objective of this study was to isolate organisms that have a similar antagonistic properties; determine their mechanism of action and then develop a model to account for the interaction between the predator and prey as the basis for the development of a biological control agent.

During the screening for lytic organisms from eutrophic waters of Hartbeespoort dam, seven bacterial isolates were obtained. Based on electron microscope observation, two of the isolates were found aggregated around unhealthy *Microcystis* cells. These were identified as *Pseudomonas stutzeri* strain designated B2 and *Bacillus mycoides* strain designated B16. Based

on efficiency and efficacy experiments *B. mycoides* B16 was a more effective antagonist than *P. stutzeri* B2. Furthermore the *B. mycoides* B16: *Microcystis* critical ratio was found to be 1:1 in 12 days. Thus altering the predator-prey ratio by increasing the predator bacteria numbers reduced the *Microcystis* lysis time to six days. The *B. mycoides* B16 managed to reduce the population of alive *Microcystis* cells by 85% under turbulent conditions and 97% under static conditions in six days. The increase in predator bacteria numbers coincided with a decrease in growth of *Microcystis*.

The study on the interactions of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and *Bacillus mycoides* B16 indicated a series of morphological and ultrastructural changes within the cyanobacteria cell leading to its death. These are summarised in a conceptual model that was developed. The predatory bacteria, *B. mycoides* B16 attached onto the *Microcystis* cell through the use of fimbriae and or exopolymers. During this attachment the bacteria released extracellular substances that dissolved the *Microcystis* cell membrane and interfered with the photosynthesis process. The presence of numerous bacterial cells that aggregated around *Microcystis* cell provided a 'shade' that reduced the amount of light (hv) that reached the *Microcystis* cell. In response to these adverse conditions, the *Microcystis* cell did the following: It expanded its thylakoid system, the light harvesting system, to capture as much light as possible to enable it to carry out photosynthesis and it accumulated storage granules such as phosphate bodies, glycogen and cyanophycin and swollen cells. Other researchers have also reported the swelling phenomenon prior to cell lysis but did not account for what might be the cause. During the course of the lysis process the *Microcystis* cell underwent a transition stage that involved changes from alive (with an intact membrane) to membrane compromised (selective permeability), to death (no membrane) and eventual cell debris. Due to the depleted *Microcystis* cells, the *B. mycoides* B16 (non-motile, non-spore former) formed chains, i.e., exhibited rhizoidal growth in search of new *Microcystis* cells to attack.

In conclusion, the present evidence in this study suggests that *B. mycoides* B16 is an ectoparasite (close contact is essential) in its lysis of *Microcystis aeruginosa* under laboratory conditions. These findings that *B. mycoides* B16 is a predatory bacterium towards *Microcystis aeruginosa* need to be further evaluated under field conditions in mesocosm experiments (secluded areas in a lake) to determine the possibility of using this organism as a biological control agent.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the contributions of the various persons and organisations towards the successful completion of this study.

- My promoter, Prof T.E. Cloete for his guidance, support, encouragement throughout my study tenure. Many thanks for the final review of my thesis.
- National Research Fund for funding the project and financial support through the Grant Holder Linked fellowship.
- University of Pretoria for financial support through the Post-Graduate Bursary.
- Water Research Fund for Southern Africa for initial financial support.
- Mr Allan Hall, Laboratory of Microanalysis and Microscopy, University of Pretoria for assistance electron microscopy, constructive ideas and directions. 'Bugs do not think'.
- Prof GJJ van Zyl and Ms Jaqui Sommerville, Department of Statistics, University of Pretoria with assistance with analysis and statistics, research design and constructive ideas.
- Prof R. Anderson, Dr R. Cockeran, Dr H Steel, Department of Immunology, University of Pretoria with technical assistance with flow cytometry and constructive ideas.
- Dr Tim Downing, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University for provision of *Microcystis aeruginosa* PCC7806.
- Ms Van Ginkel of DWAF for the provision of water samples from Hartbeespoort dam.
- Ms G. Ross carried out the identification of isolates B2 and B16 and predator prey ratio experiments as part of her BSc (Hons) degree in the Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology. Many thanks.
- Fellow students and staff of the Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, for unselfish assistance rendered during this study.
- Ms Sandra van Wyngaardt of the Department of Biochemistry, for unselfish assistance rendered during this study
- Our parents for their unfailing support, inspiration and encouragement during my career.
- I am grateful for the love, trust and understanding of Tanatsa Gumbo, Tendai Gumbo and Farai Gumbo and Ms Prisca Mutsengi.
- I am indebted to special friends Dr Maryam Said and Dr Thantsha Mapitsi for endless constructive discussions, ideas and support.
- My heartfelt gratitude to friends and family. Thank you. God bless.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Distribution of <i>M. aeruginosa</i> algal blooms in South Africa.....	3
Figure 2.1: Occurrence of <i>Microcystis</i> in Hartbeespoort Dam from 1990 to 2004...	11
Figure 2.2: Location of Hartbeespoort dam (Harding et al., 2004).....	14
Figure 2.3: <i>Microcystis</i> algal blooms in winter of 2005 and summer of 2006.....	14
Figure 2.4: Maximum microcystin levels in raw water analysis for Hartbeespoort dam.....	22
Figure 2.5: Schematic optical arrangement of the Beckmann Coulter Epics Alter® flow cytometer.	43
Figure 2.6: Forward and side scatter approximation (Murphy, 1996).....	44
Figure 2.7: The absorption and emission of light during fluorescence.....	45
Figure 2.8: The absorption wavelength of propidium iodide (PI) is at 535 nm.....	46
Figure 2.9: The absorption wavelength of fluorescein fluorescence is at 473nm...	47
Figure 2.10: A diagrammatic model of a <i>Microcystis</i> cell illustrating the enzymatic deacetylation of acetate molecules (red circle) of FDA.	51
Figure 2.11: The structures of RNA/DNA fluorescent stains.....	54
Figure 3.1: Analysis for cyanophage activity on <i>Microcystis</i> lawns.....	67
Figure 3.2: Appearance of plaques on <i>Microcystis</i> lawns after 30 days of incubation.....	68
Figure 3.3: <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> PCC7806 cell counts after exposure to isolate B2.....	70
Figure 3.4: <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i> PCC7806 cell counts after exposure to isolate B16.....	72
Figure 3.5: (a) Cotton-like spread colonies and (b) <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 SIN type...	74
Figure 3.6: The effect of predator-prey ratio on <i>Microcystis</i> viability and changes in predator numbers: (a) 1:1 ratio and (b) 1:10 ratio.....	76

Figure 3.7: The effect of predator-prey ratio (1:100) on <i>Microcystis</i> viability and changes in predator numbers:.....	77
Figure 3.8: The effect of predator-prey ratio on <i>Microcystis</i> viability and changes in predator numbers: (a) 1:1000 ratio and (b) 1:10000 ratio.....	78
Figure 4.1: Experimental design for testing of algicides.....	87
Figure 4.2: SEM micrograph of plaque zone (insert) showing interactions of plumb rod-shaped bacillus (red arrow) and <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	89
Figure 4.3: Distribution of bacteria within the plaque area and control area.....	91
Figure 4.4: Distribution of unattached bacteria within the plaque area.....	92
Figure 4.5: TEM micrographs showing interactions between bacteria and <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	93
Figure 4.6: Light and electron micrographs of treated and control samples.....	95
Figure 4.7: SEM micrographs showing the <i>Microcystis</i> interaction with <i>B. mycoides</i> B16.....	96
Figure 4.8: SEM indicating the morphological changes on <i>Microcystis</i> cell membrane.....	98
Figure 4.9: TEM micrographs showing ultrastructural changes in <i>Microcystis</i> cells within 2 h of incubation with predator bacteria.....	100
Figure 4.10: TEM micrographs showing ultrastructural changes in <i>Microcystis</i> cells within 23 h of incubation with predator bacteria.....	101
Figure 4.11: SEM images of <i>Bacillus mycoides</i> B16.....	103
Figure 4.12: Conceptual model summarizing the fate of a <i>Microcystis</i> cell during lytic action by <i>B. mycoides</i> B16.....	106
Figure 5.1: Calibration of instrument-particle size exclusion.....	118
Figure 5.2: <i>Microcystis</i> control sample after staining with FDA.....	120
Figure 5.3: <i>Microcystis</i> control sample after staining with PI.....	122
Figure 5.4: Colour compensation in resolving the PI (emission) and FDA (emission) interference (Davey, 1994).....	123
Figure 5.5: <i>Microcystis</i> control sample dual stained with FDA and PI.....	125
Figure 5.6: Evaluation of copper algicide and predator bacteria on <i>Microcystis</i> cells	126

Figure 5.7: Dual stained <i>Microcystis</i> sample after exposure to <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 ..	128
Figure 5.8: Changes in <i>Microcystis</i> cell numbers after exposure to <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 and controls under static conditions.....	129
Figure 5.9: PI fluorescence illustrating changes in <i>Microcystis</i> cell numbers after exposure to <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 and control samples under static conditions.....	131
Figure 5.10: A typical two parametric plot illustration of <i>Microcystis</i> population heterogeneity on 6 d.....	133
Figure 5.11: Changes in population levels of alive <i>Microcystis</i> cells in <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 treated and control samples under turbulent conditions.....	134
Figure 5.12: Changes in population levels of dead <i>Microcystis</i> cells in <i>B. mycoides</i> B16 treated and control samples under turbulent conditions.....	136
Figure 5.13: Increase in Predator bacteria numbers (colony forming units/m ^l) coincided with the decrease in <i>Microcystis</i> cells.....	137

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Physical and hydrological characteristics of the Hartbeespoort dam....	13
Table 2.2: Colony shapes for different types of <i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	15
Table 2.3: Factors that favour dominance of <i>Microcystis</i> in Hartbeespoort dam....	16
Table 2.4: Presence of nutrients in Hartbeespoort dam sediments.....	16
Table 2.5: Distribution of Cyanobacterial toxins and their genera.....	18
Table 2.6: Reduction of cyanobacterial toxins with different water treatment process	23
Table 2.7: Lysis of cyanobacteria by different bacterial pathogens.....	30
Table 2.8: Biological control involving <i>B. mycoides species</i>	41
Table 2.9: Characteristics of different fluorescent stains and their applications in flow cytometry.....	50
Table 3.1: Characteristics of selected microbial herbicides.....	62
Table 3.2: Mineral composition of modified BG 11.....	63
Table 3.3: Basic characteristics of seven bacterial isolates.....	69
Table 3.4: Characteristics of bacterial isolates B2 and B16.....	73
Table 3.5: Different predator: prey ratios.....	75
Table 5.1: Independent Levene t-test analysis of <i>Microcystis</i> numbers mean (treated and control samples) under static conditions.....	130
Table 5.2: Independent Levene t-test analysis of <i>Microcystis</i> cell numbers (treated and control samples) under turbulent conditions.....	135
Table 5.3: One sample t-test, showing t values and associated (p) probabilities....	136

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABSA	American Biological Safety Association
BCECF-AM	2',7',-bis(2-carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-carboxyfluorescein acetoxymethyl ester
BCECF	2',7',-bis(2-carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-carboxyfluorescein
Calcein-AM	Acetoxymethyl ester
CDC	Centre for Diseases Control
CFDA	Carboxyfluorescein diacetate
CFDA-AM	Carboxyfluorescein diacetate acetoxymethyl ester
CTC	5-cyano-2,3,-ditolyl tetrazolium chloride
CSE	Chemunex, Maisons-Alfort, France
CYN	cylindrospermopsin
DiOC6	3,3'-dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodide
DiBAC4	bis-(1,3-dibutylbarbituric acid) trimethine oxonol
DEAT	Departments of Environmental Affairs and Tourism
DWAF	Department of Water Affairs and Forestry
DWAF, RQS	Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Resource Quality Services
DWA	Department of Water Affairs
EA	ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION
EEC	European Economic Community
FDA	fluorescence diacetate
FITC	fluorescein isothiocyanate
FISH	fluorescent <i>in-situ</i> hybridisation
FSC	forward scatter
Geosmin	trans-1, 10-dimethyl-trans-9-decalol
GMOA	Genetically Modified Organisms Act (Act 15 of 1997)
HAB	Harmful algal blooms
HRE	Host range expansion
HS	Host switching
HWAG	Hartbeespoort Water Action Group
LPS	Lipopolysaccharides
Microcystins-LR	Microcystins- (L for leucine and R for arginine)
MC	microcystins
2-MIB	2-methyl isoborneol
MRC	South Africa Medical Research Council

NDA	NATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
NH₄	ammonium
NO_x	nitrates/nitrites
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998)
NEMBA	National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004)
NEMP	National Eutrophication Monitoring Program
NWA	National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998)
NIWR	National Institute of Water Research
NIH	National Institute of Health
NHMRZ/	National Health and Medical Research Council, Agriculture and
ARMCANZ	Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand
PSP	Paralytic shellfish poisons
PO₄P	phosphates
P	Phosphate levels
PAR	photosynthetically available irradiance
PI	propidium iodide
PMT	photomultiplier tube
PS II	photosystem II
Reglone A	diquat, 1,1-ethylene-2, 2-dipyridilium dibromide
Rh123	rhodamine 123
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
Simazine	2-chloro-4,6-bis(ethylamino)-s-triazine
SRP	soluble reactive phosphorus
TEM	transmission electron microscopy
TP	Total phosphorus
TSA	Tryptone Soy Agar
TSB	Tryptone Soy Broth
WTP 1	WATER TREATMENT PLANT NUMBER 1
WTP 2	Water treatment plant number 2
WHO	World Health Organization

PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Published articles

1. Gumbo JR, Cloete TE, and Hall AN, (2006). Elucidation of the mechanism of cyanobacteria lysis of *Microcystis* after exposure to *Bacillus mycoides*. Proceedings of the *Microscopy Society of Southern Africa*. 36: 38.
2. Gumbo JR, Cloete TE, and Hall AN, (2004). The Algicidal effect of predatory bacteria on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Proceedings of the *Microscopy Society of Southern Africa*. 34: 34.

Peer-reviewed conference proceedings

Gumbo JR, and Cloete, TE, (2007). Preliminary assessment of *Bacillus mycoides* as a biological control agent for *Microcystis* blooms in Harmful Algae 2007. **Accepted** for publication in Proceedings of the XIIth International Society on the Study of Harmful Algae, Conference.

Articles submitted for publications

1. Gumbo JR, Ross G, and Cloete, TE, (xxxx). Biological Control of *Microcystis* dominated harmful Algal Blooms. **Submitted** to the Journal of Harmful Algae.
2. Gumbo JR, Ross G, and Cloete, TE, (xxxx). The isolation and identification of predatory bacteria from a *Microcystis* algal bloom. **Submitted** to the Journal of Water SA.

Articles in preparation

1. Gumbo JR, and Cloete, TE, (xxxx) Chapter 4: Electron Microscope Assessment of the lytic activity of bacteria on *Microcystis*. In preparation.
2. Gumbo JR, Cloete, TE, Van Zyl GJJ, Sommerville J, (xxxx) Chapter 5: Flow cytometry measurements on *Microcystis* cells after exposure to predatory bacteria. In preparation.

Published abstracts, oral and poster presentations at conferences

1. Gumbo JR, and Cloete TE, (2006). A flow cytometric technique to assess viable and membrane compromised cells of *Microcystis aeruginosa* upon predation by a biological control agent: *Bacillus mycoides*. (Oral presentation). International Conference and Exhibition on Water in the Environment. 20-22 February. Stellenbosch, South Africa.

2. Gumbo JR, and Cloete TE, (2006). A flow cytometry technique to assess viability of *Microcystis aeruginosa* cells following bacterial infection. (Oral presentation). The 14th Biennial Congress of the South African Society for Microbiology. 10-12 April. Pretoria, South Africa.
3. Gumbo JR, and Cloete TE, (2006). Flow cytometry in conjunction with dual staining assesses viability of *Microcystis* cells after exposure to bacteria. (Poster presentation). The 12th International Conference on Harmful Algae. 4-8 September. Copenhagen, Denmark.
4. Gumbo JR, Cloete TE, and Hall AN, (2006). Elucidation of the mechanism of cyanobacteria lysis of *Microcystis* after exposure to *Bacillus mycoides*. Proceedings of the *Microscopy Society of Southern Africa*. 36: 38. (Oral presentation). 29th November to 1st December. Port Elizabeth, South Africa.
5. Gumbo JR, and Cloete TE, (2004). Bacterial Predation on Harmful Algal Blooms: An Alternative Biological Control Option? XI Harmful Algal Bloom (HAB) Conference in Cape Town, South Africa. 14-19 November 2004.
6. Gumbo JR, Cloete TE, and Hall AN, (2004). The Algicidal effect of predatory bacteria on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Proceedings of the *Microscopy Society of Southern Africa*. 34: 34. (Oral presentation). 30th November to 1st December. Pretoria, South Africa.
7. Gumbo JR, Emslie L, Cloete TE, (2003). Control of cyanobacteria through lytic bacterial/cyanobacterial interaction. IWA Conference Water: Key to Sustainable Development in Africa. Cape Town, South Africa. 14 – 19 September 2003.
www.iwaconferences.co.za/abstracts/waterp/abstract%20Emslie%20Gumbo%20Cloete.doc

Awards

Second best student poster at The 12th International Conference on Harmful Algae. 4-8 September, 2006. Copenhagen, Denmark.