

**Dry matter production, intake and nutritive value of certain
Indigofera species**

by

TLOU JULIUS TJELELE

**SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE**

M. Inst. Agrar. (Animal Production)

**DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL AND WILDLIFE SCIENCES
FACULTY OF NATURAL AND AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES**

**UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
PRETORIA**

June 2006

DECLARATION

I, Tlou Julius Tjelele, declare that this dissertation, for the degree M. Inst. Agrar. (Animal Production) at the University of Pretoria, has not been submitted by me for a degree at any other University.

.....
T.J Tjelele
Pretoria

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ABSTRACT

UITTREKSEL

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

1.	INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1	Description of <i>Indigofera</i> species	2
1.2	Chemical composition of <i>Indigofera</i> species	3
1.3	Factors affecting mineral contents of the plants	4
1.3.1	Soil pH	4
1.3.2	Stage of maturity	4
1.3.3	Climatic conditions	4
1.4	Environmental adaptation	4
1.5	Nutritive value	5
1.5.1	Factors which influence nutritive value	5
1.5.1.1	Plant maturity	5
1.5.1.2	Environment	5
1.5.1.3	Genetic variation	6
1.5.2	Measurement of nutritive value	6
1.5.2.1	Total collection	6
1.5.2.2	Marker techniques	6
1.5.3	Methods for predicting nutritive value	6
1.5.3.1	Database selection	7
1.5.3.2	Development and evaluation of prediction equation	7
1.5.3.3	Biological procedure	7
1.5.3.4	Physical procedure	7
1.5.4	Anti-nutritive and toxic factors in forage tree legumes	8
1.5.4.1	Strategies for managing anti-nutritive factors	8

1.6	Voluntary feed intake	8
1.6.1	Intake of legumes	9
1.6.2	Factors which influence feed intake	10
1.6.2.1	Psychological factors	10
1.6.2.2	Physiological factors	10
1.6.2.3	Animal size	10
1.6.2.4	Physical factors	10
1.6.2.4.1	Plant structure	10
1.6.2.5	Dietary factors	11
1.6.2.5.1	Fibrous compounds	11
1.6.2.6	Environmental factors	11
1.6.2.6.1	Effects of heat	11
1.6.2.7	Effects of climate and season on forage quality	11
1.6.2.7.1	Temperature	11
1.6.2.7.2	Water	11
1.6.2.7.3	Soil	12
1.6.2.7.4	Defoliation and diseases	12
1.6.3	Utilization and beneficial effects of forage legumes	12
1.7	General description of <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	12
1.7.1	Environmental adaptation	13
1.7.2	Chemical composition	13
1.7.3	Herbage productivity	14
1.8	Limitations and problems	14
1.8.1	Non-toxic secondary plant compounds	14
1.8.2	Tannins	14
1.8.3	Lignin	15
1.8.4	Toxic-compounds in plants	16
1.8.5	Mimosine	16
1.8.6	Indospicine	16
1.8.7	Saponins	16
1.9	Hypothesis and objectives	17

CHAPTER 2

2.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	18
2.1	Introduction	18
2.1.1	Study location	18
2.1.2	Sample collection site	19
2.1.3	Sample preparation	19
2.2	Leaf: stem ratio	19
2.3	Chemical analysis	19
2.3.1	Dry matter determination	19
2.3.2	Ash determination	20
2.3.3	Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) determination	20
2.3.4	Nitrogen and crude protein determination	21
2.3.5	Organic matter (OM) determination	21
2.3.6	<i>In vitro</i> digestibility of organic matter (IVDOM) determination	21
2.4	Minerals	22
2.5	Voluntary intake trial	23
2.5.1	Feeding of experimental animals	23
2.5.1.1	Feed samples	24
2.5.1.2	Faeces samples	24
2.6	Statistical analysis	24

CHAPTER 3

3.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	25
3.1	Dry matter production	25
3.1.1	Leaf DM yield	25
3.1.2	Stem DM yield	26
3.1.3	Total DM yield	27
3.2	Leaf to stem ratio	28
3.3	Chemical composition	29
3.3.1	Ash concentration	29
3.3.1.1	Leaves	29
3.3.1.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	30
3.3.2	Crude protein concentration	31

3.3.2.1	Leaves	31
3.3.2.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	33
3.3.3	Neutral detergent fibre concentration	34
3.3.3.1	Leaves	34
3.3.3.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	35
3.4	Digestibility	36
3.4.1	<i>In vitro</i> digestibility of organic matter (IVDOM)	36
3.4.1.1	Leaves	37
3.4.1.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	37
3.5	Minerals	38
3.5.1	Macro elements	38
3.5.1.1	Calcium concentration	38
3.5.1.1.1	Leaves	39
3.5.1.1.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	40
3.5.1.2	Phosphorus concentration	40
3.5.1.2.1	Leaves	40
3.5.1.2.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	41
3.5.1.3	Magnesium concentration	42
3.5.1.3.1	Leaves	42
3.5.1.3.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	43
3.5.2	Micro elements	43
3.5.2.1	Copper concentration	44
3.5.2.1.1	Leaves	44
3.5.2.1.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	45
3.5.2.2	Zinc concentration	46
3.5.2.2.1	Leaves	46
3.5.2.2.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	47
3.5.2.3	Manganese concentration	47
3.5.2.3.1	Leaves	48
3.5.2.3.2	Edible components (leaves and fine stems)	48
3.6	Voluntary feed intake and digestibility	48
3.7	Chemical composition of forage	50
3.7.1	Crude protein concentration	50
3.7.2	Neutral detergent fibre concentration	51

3.7.3	<i>In vitro</i> digestibility of organic matter	51
3.8	Intake and digestibility of lucerne, <i>Indigofera</i> species and <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	51
3.8.1	Organic matter intake (OMI)	52
3.8.2	Digestible organic matter intake (DOMI)	53
3.8.3	Neutral detergent fibre intake (NDFI)	54
3.8.4	Organic matter digestibility (OMD)	55
3.8.5	Neutral detergent fibre digestibility (NDFD)	55
 CHAPTER 4		
4.	GENERAL DISCUSSION	57
4.1	Dry matter production	57
4.2	Leaf to stem ratio	57
4.3	Chemical composition	58
4.3.1	<i>In vitro</i> digestibility of organic matter (IVDOM)	59
4.3.2	Minerals	59
4.3.2.1	Macro elements	59
4.3.2.2	Micro elements	59
4.4	Feed intake and digestibility	60
 CHAPTER 5		
5.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	61
5.1	Summary and conclusion	61
5.2	Recommendations	63
 REFERENCES		64

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere appreciation goes to the following who made this study a success:

- My study leader; Prof. W.A Van Niekerk for his guidance, mentorship and suggestions.
- Prof. N.F.G Rethman; Co-study leader for his advice and encouragement.
- Mrs. M. Trytsman (ARC-RFI) for her personal support and encouragement throughout this project.
- Mr. Abubeker Hassan (PhD. Student); for his assistance with the field trial
- Mr. R.J Coertze for help with the statistical analysis
- The Agricultural Research Council (RFI) and University of Pretoria for financial assistance.
- Mrs. E. Ferreira and her team with the laboratory analysis.
- My parents, uncle and his wife, brother and friend (Louisa Matoane) for their unwavering support and patience.
- Mr. Jan Manganye and his team (ARC, RFI) for their technical assistance.
- My God and Savior for wisdom, strength, courage and grace to study.

ABSTRACT**Dry matter production, intake and nutritive value of certain *Indigofera* species****by****T.J Tjelele**

Study leader: Prof. W. A Van Niekerk
Co-leader: Prof. N.F.G Rethman
Department: Animal and Wildlife Sciences
Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences
University of Pretoria
Pretoria
Degree: M. Inst. Agrar. (Animal Production)

The objective of the study was to evaluate the dry matter production, intake and the nutritive value of *Indigofera* species. The dry matter yield, leaf:stem ratio, chemical composition, voluntary intake and digestibility of *Indigofera* species were determined. The leaves as well as the leaves and stems (<3mm) of five different *Indigofera* species (*I. amorphoides*, *I. cryptantha*, *I. costata*, *I. viciodes* and *I. arrecta*) were harvested. There was a greater total dry matter yield during autumn 2004 from *I. amorphoides*. However, no significant differences were obtained between all the species over the seasons.

There were significant differences between all the species in autumn with a lower proportion of leaves than in spring, except for *I. arrecta*, which had the same leaf: stem ratio in both seasons. During spring, *I. amorphoides* and *I. cryptantha* generally had a higher proportion of leaf material than other species. There were significant differences between all the species for the leaves as well as leaves and stems (<3mm) as a result of advancing maturity and decrease in leaf: stem ratio with respect to ash, crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF) concentration and *in vitro*

digestibility of organic matter (IVDOM). Despite a decrease in leaf: stem ratio, all the species had an adequate CP concentration for optimal animal production. All the minerals (macro and micro elements) found in this study, in both years, will satisfy the nutrient requirements of sheep. However, all mineral elements in this study appeared to decrease with ageing of the plants and decline in leaf: stem ratio, except for Mn concentration, which increased with ageing of the plants.

Lucerne, which was used during the intake study as a control, had a significantly higher organic matter intake (OMI) and digestible organic matter intake (DOMI) than *Indigofera* species and *Leucaena leucocephala*. However, there were no significant differences between *Indigofera* species and *L. leucocephala*. Intake levels in this study for *L. leucocephala* and *Indigofera* species would be insufficient for maintenance requirements of grazing sheep. The relatively lower IVDOM for *Indigofera* species and *L. leucocephala* compared to that of lucerne was because of a higher NDF concentration. Despite the relatively high NDF concentration, *Indigofera* species appeared to be a good fodder because of its high CP and Ca, P, Mg, Cu, Zn and Mn concentrations.

UITTREKSEL

Droë materiaal produksie, inname en voedingswaarde van sekere *Indigofera* spesies

deur

T.J Tjelele

Studieleier: Prof. W.A Van Niekerk
Medeleier: Prof. N.F.G Rethman
Departement: Vee- en Wildkunde
Fakulteit Natuur- en Landbouwetenskappe
Universiteit van Pretoria
Pretoria
Graad: M. Inst. Agrar. (Animal Production)

Die doel van die studie was om die droë materiaal produksie, inname en die voedingswaarde van *Indigofera* spesies te ondersoek. Die droë material opbrengs, blaar:stam verhouding, chemiese samestelling en verteerbaarheid van *Indigofera* spesies is bepaal. Die blare sowel as die stamme (<3mm) van vyf verskillende *Indigofera* spesies (*I. amorphoides*, *I. cryptantha*, *I. costata*, *I. vicioides* and *I. arrecta*) is geoes. 'n Hoër totale droë material opbrengs is van *I. amorphoides* gedurende herfs 2004 geoes. Geen betekenisvolle verskille is egter tussen die spesies vir die verskillende seisoene aangeteken nie.

Daar was betekenisvolle verskille tussen al die spesies in herfs met 'n laer blaar verhouding as in die lente, uitgesonderd *I. arrecta* wat dieselfde blaar:stam verhouding in beide seisoene gehad het. Gedurende die lente het *I. amorphoides* en *I. cryptantha* oor die algemeen 'n hoër verhouding blaar material as die ander spesies getoon. Daar was betekenisvolle verskille tussen al die spesies vir die blare sowel as die blare en stamme (<3mm) weens volwasse wording en die afname in blaar:stam verhouding met verwysing na as, ruproteïen (RP), neutraal bestande vesel (NDF)

konsentrasie en *in vitro* verteerbaarheid van organiese materiaal (IVVOM). Ten spyte van 'n afname in blaar: stam verhouding het al die spesies voldoende RP konsentrasies vir optimale diereproduksie getoon. Beide die makro- en mikro-elemente vir beide jare, sal aan die voedingsbehoefte van skape voldoen. Alle minerale elemente wat in die studie geanaliseer is, se konsentrasie het verlaag soos die plante verouder het en soos die blaar:stam verhouding afgeneem het, behalwe vir die Mn- konsentrasie wat met veroudering verhoog het.

Medicago sativa, wat as 'n kontrole in die inname proef gebruik is, het 'n betekenisvolle hoër organiese materiaal inname (OMI) en verteerbare organiese materiaal inname (VOMI) as die *Indigofera* spesies en *Leucaena leucocephala* getoon. Daar was egter geen betekenisvolle verskille tussen die *Indigofera* spesies en *L. leucocephala* nie. Inname van *L. leucocephala* en die *Indigofera* spesies was onvoldoende vir onderhoud van skape. Die relatiewe laer IVVOM van die *Indigofera* spesies en *L. leucocephala*, in vergelyking met lusern, kan toegeskryf word aan die hoër NDF konsentrasies in eersgenoemde. Ten spyte van die relatiewe hoë NDF konsentrasie blyk dit asof die *Indigofera* spesies 'n goeie ruvoer is aangesien dit beskik oor hoë RP sowel as hoë Ca, P, Mg, Cu, Zn en Mn konsentrasies.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Characterization of forage tree legume species	2
Table 1.2	Example of non-toxic plant compounds of tannins present in forage and browse legumes	15
Table 2.1	Average temperature and rainfall for Hatfield Experimental Farm	18
Table 3.1	The leaf DM yield (g/plot) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	25
Table 3.2	The stem DM yield (g/plot) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	26
Table 3.3	The total DM yield (g/plot) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	27
Table 3.4	Leaf:stem ratio of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	28
Table 3.5	The ash concentration (%) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	29
Table 3.6	Variations in ash concentration with age (years) in lucerne	30
Table 3.7	The crude protein concentration (%) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	31
Table 3.8	Effect of stage of maturity on nutrient content of lucerne	32
Table 3.9	The crude protein requirements of different classes of ruminants	33
Table 3.10	The neutral detergent fibre concentration (%) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	34
Table 3.11	Effect of stage of maturity on nutrient content of lucerne forage	35
Table 3.12	The <i>in vitro</i> digestibility of organic matter (%) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	36
Table 3.13	The calcium concentration (%) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	38

Table 3.14	Nutrient requirements based on NRC and ARC for various ruminant species	39
Table 3.15	The phosphorus concentration (%) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	40
Table 3.16	Variation in mineral composition with age (days) of <i>Leucaena Leucocephala</i>	41
Table 3.17	The magnesium concentration (%) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	42
Table 3.18	The copper concentration (mg/kg) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	44
Table 3.19	Threshold concentrations of macro-elements in forage for ruminants	45
Table 3.20	The zinc concentration (mg/kg) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	46
Table 3.21	The manganese concentration (mg/kg) of leaves and edible component (leaves and fine stems) of five <i>Indigofera</i> species	47
Table 3.22	Chemical composition of lucerne, <i>Indigofera</i> species and <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	50
Table 3.23	Intake by sheep of lucerne, <i>Indigofera</i> species and <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	52
Table 3.24	Digestibility of lucerne, <i>Indigofera</i> species and <i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> utilized by sheep	55