



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

New Simplified Thermal and HVAC Design Tools For Building Designers

by

Michael Wayne Ellis

Thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR

in the

Faculty of Engineering
University of Pretoria
Pretoria

April 1999

Acknowledgements

I would like to take the opportunity to thank the following persons and institutions for their contribution towards the completion of this document.

Prof. E.H. Mathews thanks for his support, guidance and enthusiasm throughout this study.

The financial contributions of Transfer of Energy, Momentum and Mass International (Pty) Ltd. and the Foundation for Research Development are gratefully acknowledged. I would also like to thank the Department of Mechanical Engineering of the University of Pretoria for the use of their resources.

Thank you to all my colleagues at the Centre for Experimental and Numerical ThermoFlow for their input, suggestions, and criticism. Your friendship has made the last few years an unforgettable experience. I would like to particular thank Ivan Ox, Eugène van Heerden, Johan Buys and Deon Arndt for many hours of fruitful discussions. Thanks also to Derick Burger for his contribution in implementing some of the proposed ideas and Shawn Weggelaar for the mammoth task of proof reading and editing this document.

I am also very grateful for the loving support from my family and friends. Special thanks to my father and mother for providing me with many opportunities. This study is dedicated to my parents for their encouragement and support throughout my life.

Finally, all praise to the Lord for His grace and strength without which this study would not have been possible. Thank you Lord for your endless blessings.

Summary

Title : New simplified thermal and HVAC¹ design tools
Author : Michael Wayne Ellis
Supervisor : Prof. E.H. Mathews
Department : Mechanical and Aeronautical Engineering
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA
Degree : Philosophiae Doctor
Search terms : Preliminary building design, Thermal efficiency of buildings, Building energy rating, Architect design impact, HVAC selection, Computer design tools

Building designers are increasingly pressured to design buildings with high standards of energy efficiency, performance and comfort in the shortest possible time. Computer design tools have a tremendous potential for aiding designers in achieving the above design objectives. However, designers generally find the existing tools difficult to use. The potential of these tools is therefore still largely untapped, and the need for simplified design tools still exists.

Thermal efficiency of buildings and HVAC system selection are two areas that can greatly benefit from simple design tools. These aspects have a large influence on the socio-economic success of a building, but due to the complexity and time required for analysing the various options, they usually do not receive the necessary attention. A simplified thermal design and HVAC system selection tool was developed during this study to address this need.

Thermal efficiency of a building is largely determined by architectural design decisions made early in the design process. Detail required by existing simulation tools make them impractical for use by architects. Input complexity could however be significantly reduced for the new tool by applying Pareto's law of distribution. A sensitivity analysis was performed to identify the critical input parameters on which to focus.

An extensive verification study was performed to ensure that the simplification assumptions are valid and that the tool could be used with confidence. A South African building design rating

¹ Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning

scheme was also developed to further enhance the use of the tool. The scheme rates a building design depending on its HVAC system size requirements.

Ideally, HVAC selection also requires a detailed analysis to compare the various available systems. In practice, system selection is usually based solely on initial cost or the designer's experience. A simplified preliminary analysis can however be carried out by estimating system performance characteristics using expert knowledge. A preliminary rating and selection tool was developed by using a numerical ranking method as an expert system shell.

Selection criteria used as basis for comparing the various systems depends strongly on the building developer's requirements, and must therefore be taken into consideration. This is done by incorporating the whole design team in ranking the importance of attaining certain design goals. The selection tool thus further serves as a communication aid.

In order to demonstrate their function, the above simplified design tools were applied to design a hypothetical office building. Using these tools it was possible to perform an extensive building and system analysis without the need for detailed information. The required HVAC system size could be reduced by as much as 60% by applying the thermal analysis tool. The main benefits of the selection tool are that designers do not focus only on familiar systems, and aids designers in establishing the needs and requirements of the building developer.

It is believed that the new tools will contribute towards improving building efficiency and indoor comfort. This study shows that design tools need not be complex or difficult to use in order to be beneficial to designers.

Opsomming

Titel	:	New simplified thermal and HVAC design tools
Promotor	:	Prof. E.H. Mathews
Departement	:	Meganiese en Lugvaartkundige Ingenieurswese, UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA
Graad	:	Philosophiae Doktor
Sleutelterme	:	Voorlopige gebou ontwerp, Gebou termiese doeltreffendheid, Gebou energie klassifikasie, Lugreëlingstelsel seleksie, Rekenaargesteunde ontwerpsgereedskap

Daar word al hoe meer van moderne ontwerpers verwag om in die kortste moontlike tyd geboue met hoë energie effektiwiteitstandaarde en behaaglikheidsvlakke te ontwerp. Rekenaargesteunde ontwerpsgereedskap het die potensiaal om ontwerpers se taak te vergemaklik en dit met sukses af te handel. Die potensiaal word egter nog nie ten volle benut nie omdat meeste ontwerpers die gebruik van bestaande pakkette as veeleisend en moeilik ervaar. Daar bestaan dus nog 'n behoefte vir eenvoudige ontwerpspakkette.

Termiese effektiwiteit van geboue en die keuse van lugreëlingstelsel is twee areas wat kan baat by eenvoudige ontwerpspakkette. Die items het 'n groot invloed op die sosio-ekonomiese sukses van die gebou. Daar word egter nie altyd genoeg aandag aan die aspekte gegee nie omdat dit 'n komplekse en moeisame proses is om al die variasies te analiseer. 'n Eenvoudige termiese analise en lugreëling seleksie pakket was ontwikkel om in die behoefte te voorsien.

Termiese effektiwiteit van 'n gebou word grotendeels bepaal deur argitektoniese besluite. Bestaande analise pakkette is egter onprakties aangesien hulle baie detail benodig. Die nuwe termiese analise pakket is egter aansienlik vereenvoudig deur gebruik te maak van Pareto se distribusie wet. Die beduidende parameters was geïdentifiseer deur 'n sensitiwiteit analise uit te voer.

Die pakket is verder uitgebrei deur die ontwikkeling van 'n gebou ontwerpsklassifisering stelsel vir Suid Afrikaanse geboue. Effektiwiteit van die ontwerp word gegradeer volgens die grootte

van die benodigde lugreëlingstelsel. 'n Omvangryke verifikasie studie was gedoen om te verseker dat die nuwe pakket met sekerheid gebruik kan word.

Die keuse van 'n lugreëlingstelsel behoort ook gebaseer te wees op 'n breedvoerige analise om die toepaslikheid van die verskillende stelsels te vergelyk. In praktyk word stelselkeuse meestal gebaseer slegs op eerste koste of die ontwerpers se ervaring. Dit is egter moontlik om 'n voorlopige analise te doen deur stelseienskappe en werkverrigting af te skat gebaseer op stelsel kennis. 'n Voorlopige stelselkeuse pakket was ontwikkel wat gebruik maak van bogenoemde tegniek en 'n eenvoudige numeriese klassifisering stelsel.

Stelselkeuse word sterk beïnvloed deur die vereistes van die gebou se ontwikkelaar en die' moet dus ook in ag geneem word. Dit word gedoen deur die hele ontwerpspan te betrek in die bepaling van watter vereistes die belangrikste is om te behaal. Stelsels word geklassifiseer ten opsigte van hoe goed hulle die vereistes nakom. Die pakket dien dus ook as 'n kommunikasie hulpmiddel.

Die gebruik van die nuwe pakkette was gedemonstreer deur hulle aan te wend in die ontwerp van 'n tipiese kantoorgebou. Dit was sodoende moontlik om 'n gedetailleerde gebou en stelselanalise uit te voer. Die benodigde lugreëlingstelsel kon met 60% verklein word deur gebruik te maak van die termiese analise pakket. Die voordele van die stelselkeuse pakket se is dat meer stelsels maklik vergelyk kan word en dat die kommunikasie tussen die kliënt en ontwerper verbeter.

Die nuwe pakkette het die potensiaal om 'n groot bydrae lewer in die ontwerp van energie effektiewe en behaaglike geboue. Die studie toon aan dat ontwerpspakkette nie kompleks of moeilik bruikbaar hoef te wees nie.

Contributions of this study

The following main contributions were made by this study:

- A new thermal design tool was developed for use by architects. The tool addresses the need for incorporating architects in designing new energy efficient buildings. Its development followed an innovative approach towards reducing the input complexity hampering the use of existing thermal design tools.
- A new building thermal efficiency rating scheme was developed for South African residential and office buildings. Using this rating scheme designers can quickly compare and evaluate the efficiency of their design without the need for a detailed analysis.
- The thermal design tool was extensively verified in order to establish confidence and credibility in its use.
- A new preliminary HVAC selection tool was developed. It combines the simplicity of numerical ranking methods with the proficiency of expert systems. It also addresses the need for better communication between the different design team members.
- In the long run, the use of the new tools could potentially contribute greatly to the design of new energy efficient buildings without further complicating the existing design methodology.

Preamble

The main body of the thesis consists of eight chapters. Each of these chapters, with the exception of Chapter 8, is written in the form of a journal article including its own abstract, introduction, main body, conclusions and references. Each chapter therefore can be read on its own or in the broader context of the study. To improve readability, all appendices are included at the end of the document.

In Chapter 1 the needs and trends in building and HVAC design tools are identified. This formed the basis on which a new thermal design tool for architects and a simplified preliminary HVAC selection tool was developed in Chapters 2 and Chapter 5 respectively.

In Chapter 3 an extensive verification study was performed in order to verify that the simplification assumptions are valid, and to establish confidence in the use of the new thermal design tool. To further facilitate the use of the tool, a new South African residential and office building rating scheme is presented in Chapter 4.

In Chapter 6 the necessary system rating factors are provided for the preliminary HVAC selection tool.

The application of the two simplified design tools was demonstrated in Chapter 7, and Chapter 8 presents recommendations for further work.



Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	<i>i</i>
SUMMARY	<i>ii</i>
OPSOMMING	<i>iv</i>
CONTRIBUTIONS OF THIS STUDY	<i>vi</i>
PREAMBLE.....	<i>vii</i>
CONTENTS.....	<i>viii</i>
LIST OF FIGURES	<i>xii</i>
LIST OF TABLES	<i>xiv</i>
1. NEEDS AND TRENDS IN BUILDING AND HVAC SYSTEM DESIGN TOOLS	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF BUILDING DESIGN	3
1.3 COMPUTER APPLICATION IN DESIGN.....	5
1.4 INTEGRATED BUILDING DESIGN TOOLS.....	8
1.5 EXPERT SYSTEMS	12
1.6 PROBLEMS WITH EXISTING COMPUTER TOOLS	14
1.7 NEW DESIGN TOOL REQUIREMENTS.....	15
1.8 STUDY OBJECTIVES	17
1.9 CONCLUSION	18
1.10 BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	19
2. DEVELOPING A NEW SIMPLIFIED THERMAL DESIGN TOOL FOR ARCHITECTS	
2.1 INTRODUCTION	25
2.2 INTEGRATED BUILDING AND HVAC DESIGN.....	26
2.3 IMPORTANCE OF INITIAL DESIGN STAGES.....	27
2.4 THE ARCHITECT AND THERMAL DESIGN	28
2.5 THE NEED FOR A THERMAL DESIGN TOOL FOR ARCHITECTS	29
2.6 DESIGN TOOL REQUIREMENTS FOR ARCHITECTS	30
2.7 SIMPLIFYING THE INPUT REQUIREMENTS	31
2.8 CRITICAL INPUT PARAMETERS	32
2.9 PROGRAM INPUT.....	34
2.10 SIMULATION MODEL.....	38
2.11 OUTPUT CONSIDERATIONS	39



2.12	FEEDBACK FROM DESIGNERS.....	40
2.13	POTENTIAL IMPACT AND IMPLEMENTATION.....	41
2.14	CONCLUSION	41
2.15	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	42
3.	VERIFICATION AND TESTING OF NEW SIMPLIFIED THERMAL DESIGN TOOL	
3.1	INTRODUCTION	46
3.2	VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION OF DESIGN TOOLS	47
3.3	VERIFICATION OF THE NEW THERMAL DESIGN TOOL	47
3.4	DESCRIPTION OF THE 56 BUILDING ZONES.....	49
3.5	DESCRIPTION OF THE 103 VALIDATION STUDIES	54
3.6	EVALUATION OF THE PROPOSED DESIGN TOOL.....	55
3.7	LIMITATIONS OF THE DESIGN TOOL.....	63
3.8	CONCLUSION	63
3.9	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	64
4.	A SOUTH AFRICAN ENERGY RATING SCHEME FOR RESIDENTIAL AND OFFICE BUILDINGS	
4.1	INTRODUCTION	66
4.2	SIMPLIFIED PRELIMINARY DESIGN RATING SCHEME.....	67
4.3	REFERENCE BUILDINGS.....	67
4.4	CLIMATIC REGIONS.....	73
4.5	SCALING THE ENERGY RATING SCHEME	74
4.6	ENERGY RATING FOR SOUTH AFRICA.....	74
4.7	QUALIFICATION OF ASSUMPTIONS AND RATING SCHEME.....	77
4.8	CONCLUSION	78
4.9	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	79
5.	DEVELOPMENT OF A SIMPLIFIED PRELIMINARY HVAC SYSTEM SELECTION TOOL	
5.1	INTRODUCTION	81
5.2	THE NEED FOR AIR-CONDITIONING	83
5.3	AIR-CONDITIONING SYSTEMS.....	83
5.4	SELECTION GOALS AND CONSTRAINTS	89
5.5	SYSTEM SELECTION	90
5.6	EXISTING SELECTION TOOLS AND METHODS.....	91
5.7	A SIMPLIFIED PRELIMINARY SELECTION TOOL.....	92
5.8	SYSTEMS CONSIDERED FOR THE TOOL.....	93
5.9	DESIGN CRITERIA	94



5.10	CONCLUSION	95
5.11	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	97
6.	SYSTEM RATING FACTORS AND DESIGN CRITERIA	
6.1	INTRODUCTION	100
6.2	PRELIMINARY DESIGN INPUT	101
6.3	DESIGN CRITERIA	101
6.4	BUILDING RESTRICTIONS	102
6.5	AESTHETIC LIMITATIONS	107
6.6	SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS	110
6.7	AIR QUALITY AND FLOW RESTRICTION	111
6.8	BUILDING MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS	113
6.9	SYSTEM COST.....	114
6.10	PRELIMINARY DESIGN RESTRICTIONS.....	119
6.11	SUMMARY.....	120
6.12	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	124
7.	APPLICATION OF THE SIMPLIFIED DESIGN TOOLS	
7.1	INTRODUCTION	125
7.2	THERMAL ANALYSIS OF AN OFFICE BUILDING	125
7.3	ANALYSIS RESULTS	126
7.4	SYSTEM SELECTION.....	131
7.5	POTENTIAL IMPACT OF THE DESIGN TOOLS.....	133
7.6	CONCLUSION	134
7.7	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	134
8.	CLOSURE	
8.1	SUMMARY	135
8.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK.....	136
APPENDIX A	DEFAULT DESIGN DATA	
A.1	INTRODUCTION	138
A.2	MATERIAL DATABASE	138
A.3	STANDARD WALLS.....	140
A.4	STANDARD ROOFS	142
A.5	STANDARD FLOORS	144
A.6	DEFAULT DESIGN DATA	145
A.7	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	146



APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF THE VERIFICATION DATA	
B.1	SUMMARY OF BUILDING ZONES	148
B.2	SUMMARY OF THE DESIGN TOOL INPUT REQUIREMENTS	156
APPENDIX C	VERIFICATION RESULT	157
APPENDIX D	SELECTION MATRIX.....	261

List Of Figures

Figure 1.1 - Average office building operating cost per floor area corrected for inflation \$1/ft ² =\$10.76/m ²	3
Figure 1.2 - The impact different role players have on building life cycle cost.	4
Figure 1.3 - Typical IBDS structure.....	11
Figure 1.4 - Architecture of a typical expert system.....	13
Figure 2.1 - Integrated building design approach	27
Figure 2.2 - Typical graphic interface for selecting building construction assemblies.....	37
Figure 2.3 - Simplified user interface for specifying critical design data	38
Figure 3.1 - Comparison between measured and predicted mean temperatures for Test 1.....	57
Figure 3.2 - Comparison between measured and predicted mean temperatures for Test 2.....	57
Figure 3.3 - Comparison between measured and predicted maximum temperatures for Test 1....	58
Figure 3.4 - Comparison between measured and predicted maximum temperatures for Test 2....	58
Figure 3.5 - Comparison between measured and predicted minimum temperatures for Test 1. ...	59
Figure 3.6 - Comparison between measured and predicted minimum temperatures for Test 2. ...	59
Figure 3.7 - Comparison between measured and predicted temperature swings for Test 1.....	60
Figure 3.8 - Comparison between measured and predicted temperature swings for Test 2.....	60
Figure 3.9 - Distribution of the indoor air temperature phase shift errors for Test 1.....	61
Figure 3.10 - Distribution of the indoor air temperature phase shift errors for Test 2.....	61
Figure 3.11 - Cumulative error distribution for the difference between hourly measured and predicted indoor air temperatures for both test cases.	62
Figure 4.1 - Low-income residential building.....	69
Figure 4.2 - Medium-income residential building.....	70
Figure 4.3 - Residential occupancy density.....	71
Figure 4.4 - Typical required indoor temperature profile.....	72
Figure 4.5 - Climatic regions for South Africa.....	74
Figure 6.1 - Space requirement correlation for air-cooled all-air systems.....	102
Figure 6.2 - Space requirement correlation for water-cooled all-air systems	103
Figure 6.3 - Space requirement correlation for water-cooled air-water systems.....	103
Figure 6.4 - Space requirement correlation for air-cooled air-water systems	103
Figure 6.5 - Space requirement correlation for split systems	104
Figure 6.6 - Space requirement correlation for rooftop packaged units.....	104
Figure 6.7 - Mass correlation for water-cooled system cooling towers.....	106

Figure 6.8 - Mass correlation for air-cooled refrigeration machine	106
Figure 6.9 - Mass correlation for the outdoor unit of a split system.....	107
Figure 6.10 - Mass correlation for rooftop packaged units.....	107
Figure 6.11 - Outdoor volume correlation for water-cooled system cooling towers	109
Figure 6.12 - Outdoor volume correlation for air-cooled refrigeration machines	109
Figure 6.13 - Outdoor volume correlation for rooftop packaged unit.....	109
Figure 6.14 - Outdoor volume correlation for split system outdoor unit.....	110
Figure 6.15 - Cost versus capacity relation for air-cooled all-air systems	116
Figure 6.16 - Cost versus capacity relation for water-cooled all-air systems.....	116
Figure 6.17 - Cost versus capacity relation for water-cooled air-water systems	117
Figure 6.18 - Cost versus capacity relation for air-cooled air-water systems.....	117
Figure 6.19 - Cost versus capacity relation for split systems.....	117
Figure 6.20 - Cost versus capacity relation for window and console units	118
Figure 7.1 - Simulation variables used for the thermal analysis	126
Figure 7.2 - Cooling system requirements for different configurations of a 2500m ² office building located in Pretoria.....	127
Figure 7.3 - Heating system requirements for different configurations of a 2500m ² office building located in Pretoria.....	128
Figure 7.4 - Effect of thermal mass on HVAC system size.....	129



List Of Tables

Table 2.1 - The influence of various design criteria on the building load	34
Table 3. 1- Average and standard deviation for the global parameter	56
Table 4.1 - Energy rating factors for South African residential and office buildings	76
Table 5.1 - Design criteria and screening factors	96
Table 6.1 - Summary of system correlations equations and data	121
Table 6.2 - System ranking values	122
Table 7.1 - System ranking for the hypothetical building	133
Table A.1 - Material database	138
Table A.2 - Airspace thermal resistance.....	139
Table A.3 - Standard wall configurations.....	140
Table A.4 - Standard roof configurations.....	142
Table A.5 - Standard floor configurations.....	144
Table A.6 - Default design data for various building types	145
Table B.1 - Summary of the design tool input requirements.....	152
Table D.1 - Selection matrix.....	262