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#### Abstract

$E V A ®$ is a performance metric that calculates the creation of shareholder value and is a registered trademark of Stern Stuart, New York. EVA® has been widely adopted by management when making decisions to increase productivity, where to invest new capital and which underperforming assets to liquidate. EVA® is also widely used by investors and analysts as a measure of company performance when deciding on which shares to invest in.

While extensive research was done on $E V A ®$ and share price performance internationally, the aim of this research was to determine whether a positive EVA® leads to growth in its share price, specifically for retail and banking shares listed on the Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE). The banking and retail sector was selected as both these sectors experience favourable growth in terms of turnover during decreasing interest rate periods and unfavourable growth during increasing interest rate periods. Thus EVA® was selected as one of the better performance measures to use to show true operating performance.

Statistical tests were done on turnover growth rates, EVA® growth rates, EVA® and Turnover, EVA® and Share Price growth and finally EVA® and other common performance measures. Common performance measures were limited to Price/Earnings, Earnings Per Share, Return On Assets and Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortisation.


After analysis of the results, it was found that turnover growth rates were statistically similar for the banking sector during the period 1998 to 2007, but not
for the retail sector. Leading on from that it was found that share price correlates well with EVA® for the banking sector however not for the retail sector. The study also further revealed that none of the common performance measures correlated well with EVA for both the banking and retail sector.
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## CHAPTER 1 - PROBLEM DEFINITION

### 1.1 Title

A comparative analysis of Economic Value Added (EVA ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) by South African banking and retail companies listed on the Johannesburg Securities Exchange.

### 1.2 Overview

The global economy is no longer theory, it is reality. It has its own dynamic and reality. Although interactions are largely invisible, the effects of disruptions can be felt worldwide (Ohmae, 2005). The impacts of international interaction can clearly be seen. One only needs to look at the sub-prime crisis, liquidity, inflationary pressures on net exports, and the resultant effect of interest rates cycles.

The South African Reserve Bank's policy on inflation targeting when setting interest rates, coupled with the international sub-prime crisis provides a good basis for selecting the banking and retail sector for review. There is a direct impact on these two sectors performances when interest rates are altered.

Looking at the prime overdraft interest rate graph in figure 1 below, it can be seen that the interest rate has been steadily dropping for the period 1998 to 2005, with a slight rise up to 2007. However, during this period share prices for retail and banking companies have been steadily increasing as can be seen from the data provided in tables 1 and 2 below. These tables also reveal that
individual companies, within both the banking sector and retail sector, have varying degrees of successes.

Figure 1: Prime overdraft interest rates for 1998 to 2009


Data source: South African Reserve Bank

Table 1: Share price performance for SA retail companies

| COMPANY | Average Annual Growth in share <br> price (1998-2007) | Growth in share price (1998- <br> 2007) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Woolworths | $38 \%$ | $258 \%$ |
| PicknPayHoldings | $21 \%$ | $367 \%$ |
| PicknPayStores | $20 \%$ | $335 \%$ |
| RexTruform | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| ShopriteHoldings | $19 \%$ | $207 \%$ |
| SparGroup | $35 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| TradeHold | $-6 \%$ | $-40 \%$ |
| Truworths | $26 \%$ | $551 \%$ |
| Verimark | $257 \%$ | $220 \%$ |
| Nictus | $10 \%$ | $-45 \%$ |
| JDGroup | $13 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| KingConsolidated | $131 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| LewisGroup | $-7 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| MassMart | $48 \%$ | $883 \%$ |
| MrPrice | $20 \%$ | $-100 \%$ |
| NewClicks | $14 \%$ | $154 \%$ |
| African\&Overseas | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Cashbuild | $50 \%$ | $1484 \%$ |
| CMH | $34 \%$ | $127 \%$ |
| Foschini | $28 \%$ | $246 \%$ |
| AdvTech | $28 \%$ | $63 \%$ |

Data source: McGregor BFA, 2007

Table 2: Share price performance for SA banking companies

| COMPANY | Average Annual Growth Rate <br> $(\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 7 )}$ | Growth in share price <br> $(\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 2 0 0 7 )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Standard | $25 \%$ | $574 \%$ |
| ABSA | $18 \%$ | $184 \%$ |
| Capitec | $87 \%$ | $3116 \%$ |
| Firstrand | $13 \%$ | $146 \%$ |
| Mercantile | $-1 \%$ | $-83 \%$ |
| Nedbank | $7 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| RMBHolding | $14 \%$ | $134 \%$ |

Data source: McGregor BFA, 2007

Ballow (2004) showed that share price performance is not a true indication of the value of a company. Share price is dependent on the market, which is merely the aggregate of opinions of various investors and analysts. These investors and analysts might overlook sources of future growth and revenues.

Although it is important for companies to monitor share price performance, it is equally important for companies to identify and monitor true economic performance. One of the methods that can be used is Economic Value Added (EVA®).

Worthington (2004) indicates that EVA® proponents claim that it is the only true metric that ties directly to a share's intrinsic value while Taub (2003) indicates that calculating economic profit, as opposed to accounting profit provides a better understanding as to whether assets are managed well enough to make a profit.

### 1.3 Problem

EVA® is a performance metric that calculates the creation of shareholder value and is a registered trademark of Stern Stuart, New York. It can be calculated as Net Operating Profit After Tax minus a charge for the opportunity cost of the capital invested. "The firm pioneered the development of its proprietary EVA® (Economic Value Added) framework," and says, "Economic Value Added is the financial performance measure that comes closer than any other to capturing the true economic profit of an enterprise. EVA® also is the performance measure most directly linked to the creation of shareholder wealth over time (Ferguson, Rentzler and Yu, 2005).

Keef and Roush (2003) further note that the majority of literature on EVA® found in professional journals can be assessed as being supportive of the concept. Keef et al (2003) further state that it is hard to escape the conclusion that EVA® meets the corporate need for an accounting-based measure that correlates with shareholder wealth creation.

Whether EVA® can be used to evaluate future share performance has been widely debated. According to Griffith (2006), firms with positive EVA®'s will lead to higher shareholder returns and firms with a zero EVA® will just meet investor expectations. Ferguson et al (2005) identified research that indicates EVA® is a reliable guide to the firm's value and that $\mathrm{EVA} ®$ can be used to enhance future earnings predictions.

Ferguson et al (2005) also identified research that argues EVA® is just another piece of accounting information, and it has become less relevant to stock returns and stock price changes. Keef et al (2003) found no relationship existed between EVA® and stock market performance in their sample of Canadian agribusiness firms.

A major drawback in calculating EVA® is that Stern Stewart has never fully revealed the theoretical basis for their adjustments when calculating EVA® mainly due to proprietary information they provide to their clients. This provides complexity to the problem in that there are up to 164 possible adjustments to accounting numbers, but only a few are really necessary in practice (Keef and Roush, 2003).

The above contradictory findings indicate that a relationship between a listed firm's growth in Economic Value Added (EVA®) and its share price has not been established.

### 1.4 Aim

The aim of this research is to determine the EVA® for the period 1998 to 2007 for companies listed in the banking and retail sectors of the Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE) and to identify if similar EVA®'s were created during this period within each sector. A further aim would be to determine if there is a relationship between EVA® and turnover growth rates, EVA® and share price
growth rates, as well as EVA® and other common performance measures, within the respective sectors.

This research is a replication study and is being built on a study done by Johannes J. Prinsloo at The Gordon Institute of Business Sciences (GIBS) titled: "A comparative analysis of economic value created by South African mining companies in a growing platinum industry." The main difference is that EVA® was calculated on platinum mining companies and not retail and banking companies.

## CHAPTER 2 - THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 The reasons for measuring EVA®

Ward and Price (2006) stated that the ultimate measure of a business is whether it is creating or destroying wealth for shareholders. Value creation is an economic, not an accounting concept, and, therefore, stock exchange returns will have to be taken into account. The objective of shareholders expecting an increase in share price should not be any different from that of the company's management. However, managers do not really know if the decisions they make are adding value to the company. Hence the use for EVA® (Ward and Price, 2006).

Jalbert and Landry (2003) highlight the following overall advantages and disadvantages of EVA®:

## Advantages:

- Explicitly considers the cost of capital
- Allows projects to be viewed independently
- Capitalises expenses that have multi-period benefits
- Provides detail of corporate performance beyond that obtained from market-determined measures


## Disadvantages:

- Computations are complex and difficult
- Difficult to allocate EVA® among divisions
- Is not market determined

Kudla and Arendt (2000) further highlight the following advantages and strategies for an EVA® management system in table 3:

Table 3: Advantages and strategies for EVA®

| Advantages of an EVA® Management system | Strategies for increasing EVA® |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Aligns the interests of managers and shareholders. <br> - Increases the motivation of managers and employees by encouraging them to act like owners. <br> Links manager and employee performance evaluation with compensation. <br> - Provides benefits to all stakeholders, including employees, customers, shareholders and suppliers. | - Increase the return on existing projects. <br> - Invest in new projects that have a return greater than the cost of capital. <br> - Use less capital to achieve the same return. <br> - Reduce the cost of capital. <br> - Liquidate capital or curtail further investment in substandard operations where inadequate returns are being earned. |

EVA® can also be used for the following purposes:

- To set organisational goals
- Performance measurement
- Determining of bonuses
- Communication with shareholders and investors
- Motivation of managers
- Capital budgeting
- Corporate valuation
- Analysing equities

While taking the above into consideration, Keef et al (2003) state that an increase in EVA® on a period by period basis has only a small link with change in market price. However, if ways to increase EVA® are implemented this will ultimately lead to an increase in share price. Thus Stern (2006) stresses that examining the market's assessment of a company's EVA® "should be considered a litmus test of the company's internal plans."

There are only three ways in which to increase a company's EVA®:

- Increase productivity
- Invest new capital in wealth generating projects
- Liquidate underperforming assets

Bardia (2008) noted that there are only three ways in which EVA® can be increased. Firstly, if operating profit grows without employing more capital. Secondly, if further capital is introduced in profitable growth projects. Finally, if activities that do not cover the total cost of capital employed are discontinued.

From the above three points, one can gauge a further benefit of EVA®. It eliminates the confusion created when a firm uses multiple performance measures such as return on equity, earnings per share, return on investments
and net profit margins. $\mathrm{EVA} ®$ is designed to focus on the top three value drivers and the cost of capital while establishing a basis for incentive compensation and communications with the firm and the investment community ((Kudla and Arendt, 2000). It should be noted that management buy-in is a key pre-requisite for EVA® to work as a performance measure.

Leading on from the above points, Stern (2006) goes on further to state that "EVA® is a company's strategic plan translated into value," meaning that when $E V A ®$ is declining, a strategic plan can be implemented before unsolicited takeover bids are made.

### 2.2 Calculation of EVA®

Firer, Ross, Westerfield and Jordan (2004) state that EVA® is calculated as "Net Operating Profit After Tax" less the "Cost of Capital", mathematically disclosed as follows:
EVA® = NOPAT - Cost of Capital

The Cost of Capital is further defined as the "Capital Invested" multiplied by the "Weighted Average Cost of Capital", or:
Cost of Capital = Capital * WACC

The WACC is actually the weighted average cost of equity and the after-tax cost of debt, or:

$$
\text { WACC }=[(\% \text { Debt of TF x Kd) }+(\% \text { Equity of TF } \times \mathrm{Ke})]
$$

Where: TF = Total Financing Cost
Kd = After-tax Cost of debt
T = Effective tax rate
Ke = Cost of Equity

Furthermore, in order to calculate the cost of equity (Ke), the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), takes into consideration the risk free rate of return (RFR) plus the market risk premium (MRP), which is the difference between the return expected in the market place and the best risk-free investment, multiplied by beta. The CAPM model is mathematically stated as follows:

$$
K e=R F R+B(M R P)
$$

Firer et al (2004) indicate that beta tells us how much systematic risk a particular asset has relative to an average asset, as the expected return on an asset depends only on that asset's systematic risk. Firer et al (2004) goes on further to state that the definition of a systematic risk is a risk that influences a large number of assets, each to a greater or lesser extent while Chernoff (2006) identifies CAPM as a simple equation to express the concept that higher risk accompanies higher rates of return.

Laubscher (2002) defines risk as the extent to which the returns on shares have covariance with the returns on the market which is measured by beta. Beta is the measure of a company's sensitivity to the rise and fall of the economy over time. Moyer, McGuigan and Kretlow (2001) goes on further to say that beta measures the volatility (i.e. the fluctuations in price) of a share and estimates how the expected returns on a share will move relative to the movement in the returns on the market portfolio.

Beta is crucial to the CAPM because it brings together investors' expectations of returns with those of the markets. According to Bowie and Bradfield (1997), it was found that the CAPM can explain JSE share returns and that the evidence supports the beta/return relationship. This empirical evidence provides support to the use of the CAPM model.

EVA® implies that if a company's NOPAT is more than its cost of capital then the company is adding economic value to shareholders. Thus EVA® represents residual income. This is income that is left after investors earn their minimum rate of return which compensates them for the risk incurred by investing in the company (Kudla and Arendt, 2000).

### 2.3 Limitations to EVA®

Sparling and Turvey (2003) state that investors make investment decisions based on corporate results and expected corporate results. For many, the concept of EVA® is foreign. Others, who understand the general principles of
$E V A ®$, still must ask whether $E V A ®$ will be of value in selecting different stocks. A wider move to EVA® would have to be preceded by improvements in investor understanding of EVA®, increased standardization in the application of EVA® and more transparency in the adjustments made by firms using EVA® (Sparling and Turvey, 2003).

Brewer, Gyan and Hock (1999) highlight the following limitations to measuring EVA®. The first is "Financial Orientation". EVA® is a computed number relying on financial accounting methods of revenue recognition and expense recognition. Thus managers can manipulate these numbers by altering their decision making process.

The second is "Size Differences". Brewer et al (1999) indicate that EVA® does not control size differences across plants or divisions. A larger plant will tend to have a higher EVA® relative to the smaller one and while $E V A ®$ is more effective than Return On Investments (ROI) at aligning plant managers' goals with corporate goals, it does not account for size differences across organisational units.

The use of CAPM is a crucial part in the calculation of EVA® and studies conducted by Fama \& French (2006) concluded that CAPM had "fatal problems throughout the 1926 to 2004 period." The study highlighted the fact that beta doesn't significantly explain firm size which is imported to expected returns.

### 2.4 Return on Equity and EVA®

De Wet and Du Toit (2006) states that Return on Equity (ROE) is perhaps one of the most widely used overall measure for corporate financial performance and is calculated by taking the profit after tax divided by the book value of the company's equity. Equity would only consist of ordinary share capital plus share premium and reserves. ROE can be mathematically disclosed as follows:

$$
\text { ROE }=\frac{\text { Earnings }}{\text { Sales }} \times \frac{\text { Sales }}{\text { Assets }} \times \frac{\text { Assets }}{\text { Equity }}
$$

Thus to improve ROE, profitability has to be improved. However, this may not improve shareholder value. De Wet et al (2006) state that shareholder value is created when the equity returns of a company exceed the cost of that equity, or it can be explained as the present value of all future cash flows, less the cost of debt.

De Wet et al (2006) highlight some fundamental flaws when using ROE as a measure of performance. Firstly, earnings can be manipulated legally within the Generally Accepted Accounting Practices (GAAP) framework via change in accounting policies.

Secondly, ROE is calculated after the cost of debt, but before taking into account the cost of own capital. Thus ROE increases with more financial gearing, as the return on borrowed funds is higher than the cost of borrowing. The danger here is that gearing beyond a certain point increases financial risk and may cause the value of the company and share price to fall (De Wet and Du Toit, 2006).

Thirdly, looking specifically at asset turnover in calculating ROE, it should be noted that this ratio is affected by inflation. Although sales immediately reflect the impact of inflation, the book value of assets, which includes a mixture of various ageing assets, does not adapt quickly to the effects of inflation. De Wet et al (2006) noted that during the 1970's, a study was done on companies included in the Standard and Poor's index and that 400 companies with decreased earnings actually reported increased ROE's. Markets were not mislead by improved company ROE's based on asset turnover and gearing. Consequently market returns during that period were poor.

It is further argued that ROE is a short term performance measure and should not be used when in search of long term growth opportunities as a company can still improve its ROE while earning a return that is below its weighted average cost of capital (WACC), and destroying value.

De Wet et al (2006) highlighted Miller and Modigliani's theory and model on capital structure which used various levels of WACC, from $0 \%$ to $80 \%$ of net assets. The results showed that ROE can be increased by using more debt, even at very high levels, relative to equity. However, in contrast, EVA® is highest at a moderate level of long term debt ( $40 \%$ of assets) and, furthermore, it is at this financial structure where the WACC is the lowest, and where the value of the firm will be the highest.

### 2.5 Earnings per share, Price/Earnings and EVA®

The earnings per share is expressed on a net profit per share basis while the price earnings ratio indicates what the market is prepared to pay for a share based on its perception of future earnings (Firer et al, 2004).

Ehrbar (1998) highlights that "companies can manipulate their stock prices by manufacturing earnings numbers is hubris at best, and leads to dishonesty at worst. Ehrbar (1998) continues to state "the practice of constantly trying to please Wall Street with the right earnings number causes corporations to do all manner of dumb things."

The major criticisms of earnings per share is that there is pressure on firms to make suboptimal decisions and employ questionable practices for calculating and report earnings per share (Sparling and Turvey, 2003). This measure also doesn't provide a clear understanding of the variables that drive value such as operating margins, cost of capital and competitive advantage (Ehrbar, 1998).

### 2.6 The impact of EVA® on share price performance

Stern (2006) states that share markets are smart because share prices contain valuable information about a firm's expected future performance expressed as the growth in EVA®. Therefore whenever management expect to increase EVA®, which means return on capital exceeds the required return for risk, shareholders will prefer to let their money ride on share ownership (Stern, 2006). In light of these statements, research has been done on EVA® to
provide evidence as to whether the calculation adds value to shareholders, or not.

Kyriazis and Anastassis (2007) analysis of EVA® using companies on the Athens Stock Exchange (ASE) found that although EVA® is a useful performance evaluation tool, it need not necessarily be more correlated with shareholders' value than established accounting variables (e.g. net income, operating income).

Athanassakos (2007) found various differing views on EVA® and its impact on share price performance. Evidence was found that EVA® varies greatly over time and is significantly correlated with accounting variables. This was also contradicted when Athanassakos (2007) found further evidence of a study that shows changes in EVA® explain more of the variation in ten-year stock returns than do changes in earnings, and significantly more of the variation in five year returns. During this study, it was also found that EVA® is a lagging indicator that looks into a company's past performance and provides no information of a company's future performance.

### 2.7 The South African retail sector

## Descriptors of the retail sector

The South African retail sector displays intense competition. This can be seen with the lower pass-through effect by wholesalers resulting in narrower margins
for retailers. This competition is leading to greater integration between wholesalers and retailers as well as product innovation with the coupling of retail services with financial products such as store credit cards. However, overall personal income growth sustained through positive economic factors continue to bolster growth in the long run (Standard Bank, 2007).

## Growth of the retail sector

The Standard Bank economic report (2007) continues to state that the retail sector, which generally records positive growth in the region of $13 \%$ to $15 \%$ of GDP, has benefitted from the countries healthy consumer fundamentals over the past few years until 2007 as disclosed in figures 2 and 3 below. However in 2007, due to inflationary pressure, the interest rate increases has resulted in a decrease in consumer's disposable income and therefore an overall drop in retail profitability as disclosed in figure 3.

Figure 2: Real Economic Growth (wholesale and retail trade)


Data source: Standard Bank Group Economics (The South African Economy by sector, 2007)

Figure 3: Retail performance statistics


Data source: Standard Bank Group Economics (The South African Economy by sector, 2007)

### 2.8 The South African banking sector

## Descriptors of the banking sector

South Africa has a well developed banking system that sets it apart from many other emerging economies. The sector is characterised by a good regulatory and legal framework backed by sophisticated risk-management systems and corporate governance structures. The banking sector is considered to be the eighth most developed among world economies with populations in excess of 20 million individuals (Standard Bank, 2007).

South Africa's political transformation and staggered relaxation of exchange controls and liberalisation of African economies has meant that SA has become an increasingly valuable entry port to Africa (South African Business guidebook, 2006). This bodes well for the SA banking industry as it is well positioned to provide global financial services.

The companies listed in the banking sector on the JSE comprise seven companies. The South African banking sector analysis (2007) indicates that currently there are five major banking groups. Standard, Nedcor, Absa, Firstrand and Investec dominate the South African banking sector collectively controlling $89.4 \%$ of total banking assets in the country while other local banks controlling another 2\% and the balance controlled by international banks.

## Growth of the banking sector

This sector is undeniably the jewel in South Africa's economic crown. From figure 4 below it can be seen that the financial sector has grown from $10 \%$ of GDP in 1960 to more that $22 \%$ in 2006 (Standard Bank, 2007). However in a favourable macro-financial environment, it constituted as much as 40\% of GDP growth.

Figure 4: Real economic growth, Financial Sector


Data source: Standard Bank Group Economics (The South African Economy by sector, 2007)

Due to the favourable conditions existing in this sector, a number of entities have increased investment spending to take full advantage of the demand boom. However, this increase in competition has eroded some of the level of overall sector profitability.

The South African banking industry experienced significant growth during the period 2003 to 2006 with the bulk of revenue arising from taking deposits from the public and corporate sector. In that period the industry experience higher asset growth than the global banking industry.

Even though Kershoff and Thompson (2008) indicate that for the first quarter of 2008 the country's banking sector finds itself in an environment of rising interest rates and falling shares as a result of the turmoil in world financial markets, the following key findings up to the year 2006 were identified in the South African banking industry:

- The year-on-year (YOY) banking industry deposits in South Africa increased by $24.4 \%$ in 2006 as compared to a $19.8 \%$ YOY hike in 2005.
- During 2002-2006, banking loans grew at a compounded average growth rate (CAGR) of $18.03 \%$ due to lower interest rate and rising consumer expenditure in South Africa.
- The number of credit card purchases increased at a CAGR of $13.18 \%$ between 2002 and 2006.
- Rising disposable income, along with other factors, will drive the South African banking industry deposits to grow at a projected CAGR of 4.8\% by 2011.
- During 2007-2011, net interest income of the South African banking industry is expected to surge at a CAGR of $13.19 \%$ due to rising interest margins and soaring return on banking investments.


### 2.9 Conclusion

From the above, it can be seen that for the period 1998 to 2007 the banking and retail sectors has experienced favourable growth in line with positive economic trends. During this period both industries have become more competitive and hence the need to demonstrate real returns for shareholders. The literature review has identified $E V A ®$ as one of the better performance measures to show true operating performance and shareholder wealth creation.

Furthermore, it would be reasonable to expect the companies listed in the banking and retail sectors of the JSE to add economic value in line with the trend of the share price highlighted in tables 1 and 2 of chapter 1.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to show that companies listed in the banking and retail sector of the JSE were able to add value for shareholders during the period 1998 to 2007 . This will be done by correlating EVA® to share price and turnover as well as EVA® to other performance measures.

## CHAPTER 3 - RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

From the literature review, with the economic data provided on the retail and banking sector, within the local economy, it can be reasonably assumed that growth rates within each sector should be able to unlock shareholder value.

In this case Economic Value Added (EVA®) has been selected as the measure to use for unlocking shareholder value. The reason for using EVA® and not any of the other more common performance measurement ratios such as return on equity, return on investments and earnings per share has been discussed within the literature review.

The following research hypotheses have been designed based on the review carried out thus far. The hypotheses were designed to test if similar growth rates were experienced by companies within the same sector. Furthermore, tests were done to identify the correlation between $E V A ®$ and share price performance within the banking and retail sector.

## Hypothesis 1a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that turnover growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that turnover growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

## Hypothesis 1b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that turnover growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

## Hypothesis 2a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$H_{A}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

## Hypothesis 2b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$H_{A}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

## Hypothesis 3a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth for banking companies is zero.
$H_{A}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth for banking companies is not zero.

## Hypothesis 3b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth rates for retail companies is zero.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth rates for retail companies is not zero.

## Hypothesis 4a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and share price growth for banking companies is zero.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and share price growth for banking companies is not zero.

## Hypothesis 4b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and share price growth for retail companies is zero.
$H_{A}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and share price growth for retail companies is not zero.

## Hypothesis 5a

$H_{0}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the banking sector are zero.
$H_{A}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the banking sector are not zero.

## Hypothesis 5b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the retail sector are zero.
$H_{A}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the retail sector are not zero.

Hypotheses are tested using the following statistical techniques:

- $5 \%$ error level
- Two-tailed t-tests
- Regression analyses


## CHAPTER 4 - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### 4.1 Research Design

This research compared the share price performance of companies listed on the JSE to the economic value created. The research also attempted to investigate specific correlations between various accounting ratios and share price performance.

Since numerical data was used, a quantitative research approach was required for this study. According to Leedy (1993, p139) "The nature of the data and the problem for research dictate the research methodology".

Furthermore, the specific quantitative methodology used was secondary data analysis which had the advantage of being immediately available at a low cost (Leedy, 1993).

This method was also appropriate as it will not uncover conclusive evidence but it will indicate whether there is or is not a relationship between EVA® and share price growth (Zikmund, 2003).

### 4.2 Population of relevance

A population can be defined as any complete group of people, companies, hospitals, stores, college students, or the like that share some set of characteristics (Zikmund, 2003). In this case the population of relevance
included all retail and banking shares listed on the main board of the JSE between 1998 and still listed in 2008. All calculations relating to EVA® and any share price data was extracted from the McGregor's BFANet database.

### 4.3 Sampling method and size

Since the retail and banking sectors included a small number of shares it was decided to select all the shares within each sector as the sample size. Thus $100 \%$ of the population within these sectors was chosen.

### 4.4 Data collection process

Financial information relevant to the calculation of $\mathrm{EVA®}$ as well as share price performance was extracted from the McGregor's BFA database.

Standardised annual financial statements and supporting financial and performance information for banking and retail shares for the period 1998 to 2008 was used from the McGregor BFA database. Standardised statements, instead of normalised financial statements, were used for research purposes. This was done in order to make the financial results of the companies listed in the retail and banking sector of the Johannesburg Securities Exchange comparable. The standardisation of the financial information is done by the Bureau for Financial Analysis thus making the information complete and accurate.

Companies listed on the JSE often report according to the rules and regulations set out in Generally Accepted Accounting Practices (GAAP) which leads to different ways of reporting financial statements based on individual company interpretation. Hence it is important to note that certain figures in the income statement and balance sheet were changed according to set rules and standards during the standardisation process, which will differ from the company's actual listed financial statements.

Further supporting information was also extracted from various internet websites. Economic data such as interest rates were obtained from the South African Reserve Bank (SARB) published reports. Further retail and banking industry information was obtained from sector reports published on various banking websites.

### 4.5 Data analysis

Excel was used in order to calculate EVA® and any other valuations required. Models and templates were used in order to standardise the dataset of the individual companies included in the sample. The EVA® calculations for the banking sector and retail sector are included in appendix 1 and 2 respectively. The growth rates for the hypotheses 3 to 5 are included in appendix 3 and 4 for the banking sector and retail sector respectively.

## Actual Calculation of EVA®

EVA® was calculated in accordance to Firer, Ross, Westerfield and Jordan (2004) as indicated in section 2.2, where:
EVA® = NOPAT - Cost of Capital

Net Operating Profit After Tax (NOPAT) was calculated using McGregor's BFA database and adding back the tax portion. The annual tax rate was used for each company. Ward and Price (2006) states that since NOPAT is a true cash measure, book-keeping entries which do not affect actual cash flow must not affect NOPAT.

Thus NOPAT was further adjusted for book entries which do not affect actual cashflow. These adjustments included the adding back of intangible assets as well as goodwill amortised. Goodwill, being the difference between the cost of an investment acquisition and its book value. The accounting convention is to amortise goodwill annually until it is written off. However goodwill was added back for EVA® calculation purposes.

The calculation for the cost of capital was done in accordance with section 2.2. The following assumptions were made:

- The five to ten year South African government bond rate of $9.37 \%$ was used as the risk free rate.
- Actual beta's were obtained from the McGregor's BFA database.
- An estimate of $6 \%$ was used for the market risk premium (MRP).
- The market value of equity was used.
- The book value of debt was used after applying the after-tax interest rate.

Table 4: Example of the template used for calculating EVA®

| EVA ${ }^{\text {® }}$ STANDARD BANK | 2007 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, $R$ |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT - TAX |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBIT) | 65,379,000 | 52,433,000 |
| - Tax on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 19,613,700 | 15,729,900 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 45,765,300 | 36,703,100 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 315,000 | 278,000 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 315,000 | 263,000 |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 15,000 |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 46,080,300 | 36,981,100 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 40,992,000 | 34,201,000 |
| =-Tax saved on interest | 12,297,600 | 10,260,300 |
| After tax cost of debt | 28,694,400 | 23,940,700 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 1,086,623,000 | 851,563,000 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 2.64\% | 2.81\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |
| $K e=C A P M=R f+(B \times M R P)$ |  |  |
| Rf ( Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.53299 | 0.61617 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 12.57\% | 13.07\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, $K$ |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 68,502,000 | 55,244,000 |
| Ke (\%) | 12.57\% | 13.07\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 8609290.259 | 7218744.529 |
| Debt: |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 1,128,404,000 | 925,665,000 |
| Kd (\%) | 2.64\% | 2.81\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 29797708.81 | 26023991.26 |
| =WACC (Rand) | 38406999.07 | 33242735.78 |
| =WACC (\%) | 3.2\% | 3.4\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |
| NOPAT | 46,080,300 | 36,981,100 |
| - Cost of Capital | 38,406,999 | 33,242,736 |
| EVA | 7,673,301 | 3,738,364 |

## Statistical Analysis

For statistical analysis, NCSS computer software was used.

Box plots were initially used when comparing turnover growth rates. Analysis of variance, or ANOVA, was selected to further test the hypotheses. ANOVA is a technique to determine if statistically significant differences in means occur between two or more groups (Zikmund, 2003).

More specifically, the Kruskal-Wallis, one-way ANOVA test was done where normality was rejected. The Kruskal-Wallis test is used where three or more groups or populations are compared and the underlying population does not have to be normally distributed (Zikmund, 2003).

Linear regression was used when comparing growth rates between EVA® and share prices or other common performance measures. The resultant correlation coefficient (r) was analysed as follows:

- Where $r$ is +1.0 , there is a perfect positive correlation
- Where $r$ is -1.0 , there is a perfect negative correlation
- Where $r$ is 0 , there is a no correlation


### 4.6 Research Limitations

The research conducted had the following limitations:

- The research was only conducted on shares listed on the JSE in the retail and banking sectors. Therefore, it will not represent all shares listed on the JSE.
- The statistics were run on all companies within the retail and banking sector at a sector level. The research will not comment on individual companies within these sectors but rather on the sector as a whole.
- The research was done on the above shares from 1998. Therefore it will not take into account other time periods.
- When analysing the data, type 1 and type 2 errors was not reduced as the sample size could not be increased.
- Corporate activities such as mergers and acquisitions were considered as the normal course of business and therefore were not specifically excluded from the analysis.


## CHAPTER 5 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Company turnover growth rates

The year-on-year turnover for individual companies is graphically presented below in figures 5 for banking and figure 6 for retail.

Figure 5: Turnover for banking companies


Data source: McGregor's BFA database

Figure 6: Turnover for retail companies


The graphs indicate that turnover showed similar trends in line with real economic growth as presented in section 2.7 and 2.8 and, furthermore, it appears that the turnover growth rates are similar within the banking sector and retail sector. However, according to the box plot diagrams below, it appears that turnover growth rates of companies are more similar within the banking sector than the retail sector.

Figure 7: Box Plots of Turnover growth rates (Banking Sector)


Legend:

| B_1 Standard | B_2 ABSA | B_3 Capitec | B_4 Firstrand |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B_5 Mercantile | B_6 Nedbank | B_7 RMBHolding |  |

Figure 8: Box Plots of Turnover growth rates (Retail Sector)


Legend:

| R_1 Woolworths | R_2 PicknPay <br> Holdings | R_3 <br> PicknPayStores | R_4 RexTruform |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R_5 Shoprite | R_6 SparGroup | R_7 Tradehold | R_8 Truworths |
| R_9 Verimark | R_10 Nictus | R_11 JDGroup | R_12 KingCo |
| R_13 LewisGroup | R_14 | Massmart | R_15 MrPrice |
| R_17 African\&Overseas | R_18 Cashbuild | R_19 CMH | R_20 Foschini |
| R_21 AdvTech |  |  |  |

However, hypothesis testing was used in order to determine if the turnover growth rates are statistically comparable. The results of which are presented below under Hypothesis 1 for retail and Hypothesis 2 for banking.

Note that Pick ' $n$ Pay holdings was excluded from further testing as the groups true operational performance is included in Pick 'n Pay Stores results.

## Hypothesis 1a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that turnover growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that turnover growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong at a $95 \%$ confidence level.

## Hypothesis 1b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that turnover growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$H_{A}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that turnover growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong at a $95 \%$ confidence level.

## Statistical Test:

ANOVA was selected for statistical testing purposes as there were more than two sub-groups. Normality was rejected when performing statistical tests for turnover growth rates and therefore the Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA test was selected. The results are displayed below in table 5 for banking and table 6 for retail.

Table 5: NCSS Statistical output for banking turnover annual growth rates

| Tests of Assumptions Section |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Test | Prob |  |  |
| Assumption |  | Value | Leve |  |  |
| Skewness Normality of |  | 3.6878 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Kurtosis Normality of R |  | 5.0950 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Omnibus Normality of |  | 39.5587 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Modified-Levene Equal | e Test | 2.7917 | 0.01 | 47 Re |  |
| Kruskal-Wallis One-Way ANOVA on Ranks Hypotheses <br> H0: All medians are equal. <br> Ha: At least two medians are different. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | DF |  | Chi-Square (H) | Prob Level | Decision(0.05) |
| Not Corrected for Ties | 6 |  | 2.901455 | 0.821110 | Accept H0 |
| Corrected for Ties | 6 |  | 2.902848 | 0.820938 | Accept H0 |

Table 6: NCSS Statistical output for retail turnover annual growth rates


## Test Result:

## The Banking Sector

The Kruskal Wallis test resulted in a probability of 0.82 as presented in table 5 above. Thus the null hypothesis cannot be rejected.

## The Retail Sector

The Kruskal Wallis test resulted in a probability of 0.007 as presented in table 6 above. Thus the null hypothesis can be rejected.

## Conclusion:

## The Banking Sector

The null hypothesis was not rejected at the $95 \%$ confidence level. Therefore it can be concluded that there is no significant difference between the means of the turnover growth rates for companies in the banking sector respectively. This result is in line with what was discussed in section 2.8.

## The Retail Sector

The null hypothesis was rejected at the $95 \%$ confidence level. Therefore it can be concluded that there is a significant difference between the means of the turnover growth rates for companies in the retail sector respectively. This result is not in line with what was discussed in section 2.8. This could be as a result of the different types of companies listed within the retail sub-sectors which include food and drug retailers, as well as general retailers.

### 5.2 EVA® for respective companies

The Economic Value Added (EVA®) was calculated for each company as of listing date in the banking and retail sector on the Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE). The calculations were done in line with the guidelines explained in chapter 4. The detail calculations are appended in appendix 1 for banking and appendix 2 for retail. The final EVA® values are presented in table 7 for banking companies and table 8 for retail below companies.

Table 7: Economic Value Added for SA Banking companies

| COMPANY | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABSA | -170,629 | -368,943 | -462,894 | 134,743 | -1,295,575 | -516,609 | -857,346 | 4,518,262 | 1,621,712 | 1,531,865 |
| Capitec |  |  |  | -112,001 | -25,461 | -15,910 | -20,968 | 16,971 | -100,628 | -409,068 |
| Firstrand | -1,602,460 | -5,473,311 | -3,970,803 | -4,043,047 | -4,549,329 | -5,846,549 | -4,300,640 | -3,227,669 | -186,927 | -1,037,022 |
| Mercantile | -20,443 | -40,817 | -217,948 | -117,045 | -332,678 | -62,319 | -211,743 | -32,901 | -15,202 | 3,166 |
| Nedbank | 441,829 | 302,696 | 2,573,644 | $-1,850,421$ | -2,004,867 | -2,380,704 | -1,262,236 | -655,914 | -424,027 | 23,511 |
| RMBHolding | -611,820 | -1,355,914 | -1,319,013 | -2,628,354 | -2,094,157 | -2,032,498 | -2,399,071 | -3,101,773 | -4,663,095 | -5,965,675 |
| Standard | 4,371,254 | 3,665,992 | -410,057 | -1,136,321 | 443,578 | 2,068,388 | $-1,484,473$ | 771,041 | 3,738,364 | 7,673,301 |
| Average | 401,288 | -545,049 | -634,512 | -1,393,207 | -1,408,356 | -1,255,171 | -1,505,211 | -244,569 | -4,258 | 260,011 |

Table 8: Economic Value Added for SA Retail companies

| COMPANY | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AdvTech | -21,691 | 130,128 | -40,414 | -41,919 | 33,737 | -16,194 | -8,178 | -2,755 | 27,158 | 26,016 |
| African\&Overseas | 3,420 | -4,867 | -3,744 | -9,890 | -9,063 | -2,193 | -8,446 | 7,762 | -2,760 | -684 |
| Cashbuild | -189,958 | -41,473 | -221,899 | -1,033,665 | -238,795 | -2,095,440 | -353,740 | -5,409,838 | -335,634 | -640,341 |
| CombinedMotorHoldings | -65,953 | -26,444 | -105,835 | -345,839 | -444,140 | $-1,613,051$ | -2,708,030 | -4,950,815 | -6,551,334 | -9,462,822 |
| Foschini | -69,151 | -112,977 | -106,135 | -170,974 | -144,059 | -21,889 | 87,744 | 371,540 | 611,973 | 723,266 |
| JDGroup | 12,095 | -37,815 | 95,780 | -2,416 | -101,880 | -287,556 | 101,905 | 322,935 | 493,142 | -19,069 |
| KingConsolidated | -15,633 | 29,774 | -5,896 | -6,757 | -8,611 | -15,608 | -4,734 | -3,381 | -1,462 | -4,318 |
| LewisGroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83,549 | 105,042 | 306,747 |
| MassMart |  |  | -1,583,002 | -955,694 | -27,375 | -282,223 | -79,005 | 105,606 | 14,653 | -92,406 |
| MrPrice |  | -7,072,510 | -1,211,279 | -54,392 | -47,595 | -284,039 | -86,701 | -4,145,404 | 208,301 | 255,187 |
| NewClicks |  | -39,617,154 | -15,331,862 | -200,568 | -654,781 | $-1,713,263$ | -503,108 | -12,936,450 | -770,563 | -571,167 |
| Nictus | -5,456 | -5,687 | -1,448 | -1,096 | -7,731 | -5,550 | -22,994 | -11,476 | -16,305 | -18,442 |
| PicknPayStores | -38,582 | -489,906 | -39,748 | -60,248 | 158,514 | 36,502 | 132,754 | 491,626 | -266,677 | 315,505 |
| RexTruform | -9,448 | 164 | -4,991 | -13,298 | -11,757 | -5,464 | -11,198 | 7,115 | -1,801 | -2,076 |
| ShopriteHoldings | -603,107 | -805,353 | -2,472,376 | -4,641,539 | $-4,882,690$ | 98,082 | -20,658,657 | 162,675 | 32,977 | -18,329,637 |
| SparGroup |  |  |  |  |  |  | 265,835 | 196,185 | 126,531 | -70,745,541 |
| TradeHold |  |  | -100,972 | -1,314,808 | -711,667 | -278,198 | -99,101 | -165,563 | -283,922 | -15,864 |
| Truworths | 69,903 | 38,206 | -39,567 | 110,970 | 188,448 | 216,150 | 364,906 | 464,236 | 628,536 | 805,981 |
| Verimark |  | -4,049 | -1,171 | 633 | -3,489 | -4,360 | 305 | 2,374 | 6,298 | -3,529 |
| Woolworths |  | -996,117 | -1,116,207 | -2,535,028 | -1,488,576 | 92,964 | 165,865 | 404,818 | 410,524 | 325,925 |
| Average | -77,797 | -3,063,505 | -1,238,376 | -626,474 | -466,751 | -343,407 | -1,232,873 | -1,250,263 | -278,266 | -4,857,364 |

Hypothesis testing was used in order to determine if the EVA®'s for the respective companies can be statistically compared. The results are presented below:

## Hypothesis 2a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$H_{A}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for banking companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong at a $95 \%$ confidence level.

## Hypothesis 2b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are similar.
$H_{A}$ : The alternative hypothesis states that EVA® growth rates for retail companies listed on the JSE are not similar.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong at a $95 \%$ confidence level.

## Statistical Test:

The normal ANOVA test was selected as there are more than two sub-groups and also both normality and equal variance were accepted. The tests of assumptions are presented below in table 9 for banking companies and table 10 for retail companies.

Table 9: NCSS Statistical output for banking EVA® annual growth rates


Table 10: NCSS Statistical output for retail EVA® annual growth rates

```
Analysis of Variance Report
Response:
R_1,R_10,R_11,R_12,R_13,R_14,R_15,R_16,R_17,R_18,R_19,R_2,R_20,R_21,R_3,
R_4,R_5,R_\overline{6},R_7,
R_8,R_9
Tests of Assumptions Section
```

Assumption
Skewness Normality of Residuals Kurtosis Normality of Residuals Omnibus Normality of Residuals Modified-Levene Equal-Variance Test

```
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Test & Prob & Decision \\
Value & Level & \(\mathbf{( 0 . 0 5 )}\) \\
-11.6963 & 0.000000 & Reject \\
8.6649 & 0.000000 & Reject \\
211.8848 & 0.000000 & Reject \\
1.8803 & 0.022603 & Reject
\end{tabular}
Analysis of Variance Table
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Source Term & DF & Sum of Squares & Mean Square & F-Ratio & Prob Level & Power
(Alpha=0.05) \\
\hline A ( ...) & 20 & \(1.431042 \mathrm{E}+09\) & 7.155209E+07 & 1.99 & 0.011164* & 0.977851 \\
\hline S(A) & 134 & \(4.809005 \mathrm{E}+09\) & \(3.58881 E+07\) & & & \\
\hline Total (Adjusted) & 154 & \(6.240047 \mathrm{E}+09\) & & & & \\
\hline Total & 155 & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## Test Result:

## The Banking Sector

The resultant probability from the ANOVA test performed was 0.25 , as disclosed in table 9. Therefore the null hypothesis cannot be rejected.

## The Retail Sector

The resultant probability from the ANOVA test performed was 0.01 , as disclosed in table 10. Therefore the null hypothesis can be rejected.

## Conclusion:

## The Banking Sector

Since the null hypothesis cannot be rejected at the $95 \%$ confidence level, it can be concluded that the difference between the means of the EVA® growth rates of companies in the banking sector are similar.

## The Retail Sector

Since the null hypothesis was rejected at the $95 \%$ confidence level, it can be concluded that the difference between the means of the EVA® growth rates for companies in the retail sector are not similar.

### 5.3 EVA® and turnover

Growth rates in turnover and EVA® were compared. This was done to determine if there was any statistically significant correlation between EVA® growth rates and turnover growth rates.

Linear regression was used to determine if there were statistically significant correlations between EVA® growth and turnover growth.

## Hypothesis 3a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth for banking companies is zero.
$H_{A}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth for banking companies is not zero.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

Statistical Test:

Linear regression was used to test for correlation.

## Test Result:

The results of the linear regression are presented below in table 11.

Table 11: NCSS Regression Analysis for banking companies

| Linear Regression Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameters | Null Hypothesis (H0) | T-Value | Probability <br> Level | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Reject $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{o}}$ <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| EVA Growth vs. Turnover Growth | Slope is zero | 0.6051 | 0.5475 | 0.0799 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. Turnover Growth <br> (Excl. Outliers) | Slope is zero | 1.8831 | 0.0652 | 0.2504 | No |

## Conclusion:

The results obtained from the linear regression indicate that there is statistically insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis for EVA® growth vs. Turnover growth for banking shares listed on the JSE. This result holds true even when the outliers are removed from the test. This result suggests that there isn't a significant correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth for the banking shares listed on the Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE).

## Hypothesis 3b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth rates for retail companies is zero.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth rates for retail companies is not zero.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong (95\% confidence level).

## Statistical Test:

Linear regression was used to test for correlation.

## Test Result:

The results of the linear regression are presented below in table 12.

Table 12: NCSS Regression Analysis for retail companies

| Parameters | Null Hypothesis (H0) | T-Value | Probability <br> Level | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Reject Ho <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EVA Growth vs. Turnover Growth | Slope is zero | 0.2436 | 0.8079 | 0.0198 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. Turnover Growth <br> (Excl. Outliers) | Slope is zero | -0.8411 | 0.4016 | -0.0683 | No |

## Conclusion:

The results obtained from the linear regression indicate that there is statistically insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis for EVA® growth vs. Turnover growth for retail shares listed on the JSE. This result holds true even when the outliers are removed from the test. This result suggests that there isn't a significant correlation between EVA® growth and turnover growth for the retail shares listed on the Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE).

### 5.4 EVA® and company share price

Annual year end share prices for companies listed in the banking and retail sector are presented below in figure 9 and figure 10 respectively, while table 13 and 14 indicates the annual percent change is share price together with
average annual growth rates and cumulative growth rates for banking and retail shares respectively.

Figure 9: Banking share price performance (1998 to 2007)


Data source: McGregor's BFA database

Figure 10: Banking share price performance (1998 to 2007)


Data source: McGregor's BFA database

Table 13: Share performance of banking companies

| COMPANY | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | Average Annual Growth Rate | Cumulative Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard | 52\% | 24\% | -2\% | 7\% | 25\% | 60\% | 18\% | 25\% | 17\% | 25\% | 574\% |
| ABSA | -24\% | -22\% | 32\% | -17\% | 18\% | 46\% | 112\% | 21\% | -2\% | 18\% | 184\% |
| Capitec |  |  |  | 103\% | 124\% | 148\% | 111\% | 23\% | 9\% | 87\% | 3116\% |
| Firstrand | -30\% | 9\% | 19\% | -8\% | -1\% | 32\% | 39\% | 21\% | 34\% | 13\% | 146\% |
| Mercantile |  | -59\% | -65\% | -61\% | 36\% | 0\% | 133\% | -26\% | 31\% | -1\% | -83\% |
| Nedbank | 26\% | 27\% | -26\% | -2\% | -44\% | 19\% | 23\% | 35\% | 5\% | 7\% | 34\% |
| RMBHolding | -33\% | 4\% | 54\% | -27\% | -4\% | 49\% | 38\% | 15\% | 33\% | 14\% | 134\% |

Data source: McGregor's BFA database

Table 14: Share performance of retail companies

| COMPANY | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | Avg Annual Growth Rate | Cumulative Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woolworths |  | -38\% | 17\% | 24\% | 38\% | 20\% | 45\% | 33\% | 54\% | 24\% | 258\% |
| PicknPayStores | 60\% | 18\% | -19\% | 22\% | 33\% | 36\% | 31\% | 11\% | -12\% | 20\% | 335\% |
| RexTruform | -10\% | -10\% | -47\% | 16\% | 14\% | 19\% | 50\% | 9\% | 3\% | 5\% | 15\% |
| ShopriteHoldings | -24\% | -15\% | -15\% | 36\% | -27\% | 58\% | 56\% | 68\% | 37\% | 19\% | 207\% |
| SparGroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24\% | 45\% | 35\% | 80\% |
| TradeHold |  |  | 7\% | 0\% | 18\% | -9\% | -8\% | -12\% | -36\% | -6\% | -40\% |
| Truworths | 9\% | -13\% | -4\% | 9\% | 32\% | 32\% | 80\% | 25\% | 68\% | 26\% | 551\% |
| Verimark |  | -75\% | 100\% | -90\% | 200\% | 900\% | 1137\% | -54\% | -63\% | 257\% | 220\% |
| Nictus | -55\% | -40\% | 167\% | 75\% | -46\% | -7\% | -14\% | -25\% | 33\% | 10\% | -45\% |
| JDGroup | -17\% | 21\% | -15\% | -57\% | 84\% | 49\% | 65\% | -15\% | 2\% | 13\% | 42\% |
| KingConsolidated | -81\% | -13\% | -60\% | -13\% | 0\% | -29\% | 140\% | 1250\% | -17\% | 131\% | 13\% |
| LewisGroup |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62\% | 19\% | -42\% | 13\% | 92\% |
| MassMart |  |  |  | 53\% | 55\% | 46\% | 43\% | 9\% | 83\% | 48\% | 883\% |
| MrPrice | 37\% | -58\% | 62\% | 5\% | 60\% | 58\% | 79\% | 37\% | -37\% | 27\% | 284\% |
| NewClicks | 45\% | 37\% | -18\% | -29\% | 2\% | 15\% | 13\% | 18\% | 40\% | 14\% | 154\% |
| African\&Overseas | 4\% | 27\% | -50\% | 5\% | 5\% | 44\% | 13\% | 5\% | -16\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Cashbuild | -16\% | -6\% | -32\% | 103\% | 204\% | 73\% | 71\% | 9\% | 47\% | 50\% | 1484\% |
| CMH | 43\% | 16\% | 36\% | 0\% | 57\% | 111\% | 161\% | -80\% | -41\% | 34\% | 127\% |
| Foschini | -36\% | 19\% | -64\% | 33\% | 56\% | 75\% | 93\% | 60\% | 12\% | 28\% | 246\% |
| AdvTech | -53\% | -79\% | -17\% | 46\% | 117\% | 55\% | 71\% | 48\% | 61\% | 28\% | 63\% |

Data source: McGregor's BFA database

When reviewing the tables above, no overall trend could be identified when comparing average annual growth rates and cumulative growth rates between companies in both sectors.

However in chapter 2 it was highlighted that EVA® supporters, promote EVA® as a good proxy for share price growth. This was supported by studies which indicated that EVA® correlates well with share price growth. Therefore, linear
regression was used to test if there was any significant correlation between EVA® and share price growth. The test was performed specifically on banking and retail shares listed on the JSE.

## Hypothesis 4a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and share price growth for banking companies is zero.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and share price growth for banking companies is not zero.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong ( $95 \%$ confidence level).

## Statistical Test:

Linear regression was used to test for correlation.

## Test Result:

The results of the linear regression are presented below in table 15.

Table 15: NCSS regression analysis output for Share Price vs. EVA® in the banking sector

| Parameters | Null Hypothesis ( $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{)}$ | T-Value | Probability <br> Level | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Reject $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{o}}$ <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| EVA Growth vs. Share Price growth | Slope is zero | 2.5178 | 0.0146 | 0.3164 | Yes |

## Conclusion:

The results obtained from the linear regression indicate that there is statistically enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis and therefore suggests that is significant correlation between EVA® and share price growth for companies listed within the banking sector of the JSE.

## Hypothesis 4b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between EVA® growth and share price growth for retail companies is zero.
$H_{A}$ : The null hypothesis states that the regression coefficient of correlation between $E V A ®$ growth and share price growth for retail companies is not zero.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong (95\% confidence level).

## Statistical Test:

Linear regression was used to test for correlation.

## Test Result:

The results of the linear regression are presented below in table 16.

Table 16: NCSS regression analysis output for Share Price vs. EVA® in the retail sector

| Parameters | Null Hypothesis $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ | T-Value | Probability <br> Level | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Reject $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{o}}$ <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EVA Growth vs. Share Price growth | Slope is zero | 0.0710 | 0.9435 | 0.0058 | No |

## Conclusion:

The results obtained from the linear regression indicate that there is statistically insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis and therefore suggests that there is insignificant correlation between EVA® and share price growth for companies listed in the retail sector of the JSE.

### 5.5 EVA ${ }^{\circledR}$ and other performance measures

Investment decisions are made using a wide range of performance measures or indicators which are calculated from company annual financial reports. These include Price/Earnings ratio, Earnings per share, Return on Assets, and Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortisation (EBITDA). Not all these measures address the cost of capital in the same way. That is why it is important to determine how $E V A ®$ correlates with these measures as $E V A ®$ is a true measure of economic value added as it accounts for the cost of capital.

Appendix 3 and 4 indicates the list of measures along with the actual numbers that were used to test against EVA®. The calculations were all obtained from the McGregors BFA database. Linear regression was used to test if there was any significant correlation between EVA® and the conventional measures. The test was performed specifically on banking and retail shares listed on the JSE.

## Hypothesis 5a

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the banking sector are zero.
$H_{A}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the banking sector are not zero.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong (95\% confidence level).

## Statistical Test:

Linear regression was used to test for correlation.

## Test Result:

The results of the linear regression are presented below in table 17.

Table 17: NCSS regression analysis output for EVA® vs. common performance measures in the banking sector

| Parameters | Null Hypothesis $\mathbf{( H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ ) | T-Value | Probability <br> Level | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | Reject Ho <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EVA Growth vs. P/E Growth | Slope is zero | -0.1057 | 0.9160 | -0.008 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. EPS Growth | Slope is zero | -0.1756 | 0.8609 | -0.0143 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. ROA Growth | Slope is zero | 0.2576 | 0.7970 | 0.0210 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. EBITDA | Slope is zero | 0.2651 | 0.7913 | 0.0216 | No |

## Conclusion:

The results obtained from the linear regression indicate that there is statistically insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis and therefore suggests that there is insignificant correlation between $E V A ®$ and other conventional performance measures within the banking sector.

## Hypothesis 5b

$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the retail sector are zero.
$H_{A}$ : The regression coefficients of correlation between EVA® and other common performance measures of companies listed in the retail sector are not zero.

Significance Level: The null hypothesis will be rejected if there is a less than $5 \%$ chance of being wrong (95\% confidence level).

## Statistical Test:

Linear regression was used to test for correlation.

## Test Result:

The results of the linear regression are presented in table 18.

Table 18: NCSS regression analysis output for EVA vs. common performance measures in the retail sector

| Parameters | Null Hypothesis (H0) | T-Value | Probability <br> Level | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Reject H. <br> (Alpha=0.05) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EVA Growth vs. P/E Growth | Slope is zero | -0.1290 | 0.8975 | -0.0105 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. EPS Growth | Slope is zero | -0.1799 | 0.8575 | -0.0146 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. ROA Growth | Slope is zero | -0.1852 | 0.8533 | -0.0151 | No |
| EVA Growth vs. EBITDA | Slope is zero | 0.1166 | 0.9074 | 0.0095 | No |

## Conclusion:

The results obtained from the linear regression indicate that there is statistically insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis and therefore suggests that there is insignificant correlation between EVA® and other conventional performance measures.

## CHAPTER 6 - CONCLUSION

During the research process, it has been established that EVA® is widely used by investors and analysts as a measure of company performance when deciding on which shares to invest in. Furthermore, it has also been established that extensive research was done on EVA® and share price performance with varying views on whether EVA® leads to share price growth.

The aim of this research was to determine whether a positive EVA® leads to growth in its share price, specifically for retail and banking shares listed on the Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE) by performing statistical tests on turnover growth rates, EVA® growth rates, EVA® and Turnover, EVA® and share price growth and finally $E V A ®$ and other common performance measures.

### 6.1 Turnover Growth Rates

Hypothesis testing was used to determine if the companies in the retail and banking sectors were able to grow their turnovers in line with each other as well as the overall sector as indicated in section 2.7 and 2.8.

After analysing the results, this study found that companies within the banking sector were able to grow its turnover rates at statistically similar rates whereas companies within the retail sector were unable to grow its turnover rates at statistically similar rates.

### 6.2 Economic Value Added (EVA®)

Based on the above finding where turnover growth rates were found to be statistically similar for the banking sector while not for the retail sector, it was expected that EVA® growth rates would be statistically similar for the banking sector, but not for the retail sector. Hypothesis testing was done and it was indeed found that EVA® growth rates for the banking sector were statistically similar while not for the retail sector.

Thereafter, statistical testing was done comparing the EVA® growth rates to the turnover growth rates for the banking and retail sector of JSE. No statistical correlation could be found between EVA® growth and turnover growth rates for both, the banking sector and the retail sector.

### 6.3 Economic Value Added and Share Price

Hypothesis testing was also done to identify whether there is a correlation between EVA® and share price growth. Young and O'Byrne (2000) acknowledge that shareholders make funds available to companies with the expectation of gaining a return. Based on this, it would be expected that share price growth would correlate well with EVA®.

Linear regression was used to determine the correlation between share price and $E V A ®$ for the banking sector as well as the retail sector. Significant correlation was found between EVA® and share price within the banking sector
however there was insufficient statistical evidence to confirm correlation between EVA® and share price within the retail sector.

### 6.4 Other performance measures

Since most companies still rely on other more common performance measures as a tool for analysis, further statistical tests were done between EVA® and these measures. Common performance measures were limited to Price/Earnings (P/E), Earnings per share (EPS), Return on Assets (ROA) and Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortisation (EBITDA). It was found that the correlation between EVA® and these more common performance measures were statistically insignificant for both the banking sector and retail sector.

### 6.5 Recommendation

While taking the above statistical data analysis into consideration, it is recommended that EVA® be used as indicator for predicting future performance of share prices within the banking sector however not for the retail sector of the Johannesburg Stock Exchange.

However it should be noted that analysis was only performed on banking and retail shares listed on the JSE for the period 1998 to 2007. Furthermore corporate activities such as mergers and acquisitions were considered as the normal course of business.

### 6.6 Future Research

This study specifically focused on EVA® within the banking and retail sector of companies listed on the Johannesburg Securities Exchange (JSE) for the period 1998 to 2007 . It would be interesting if future research was to be conducted on other sectors within the JSE or if the time period of the research was to be expanded.

Statistical analysis was also performed on the banking and retail sector in its entirety. It would be interesting if research was done on individual companies within subsectors. For example, companies within the retail sector are subdivided into food and drug retailers as well as general retailers. This could possibly be the reason why no significant correlation was found between EVA® growth and share price growth in the retail sector.

It would also be of interest to identify correlations of EVA® and share price in a high interest rate environment and a low interest rate environment as the interest rate plays a vital role in the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and the calculation of the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) which in turn plays an integral role in calculating EVA®.
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## APPENDICES

## 1 EVA calculations for banking shares listed on the JSE

| EVA® STANDARD BANK | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBIT) | 65,379,000 | 52,43,000 | 39,87,000 | 36,50,000 | 36,20, 200 | 29,216,000 | 24,28, 000 | 19,887,00 | 18,255,00 | 18,346,000 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 19,613,700 | 15,729,900 | 11,961,300 | 10,95,200 | 10,82,100 | 8,764,800 | 7,285,500 | 5,966,100 | 5,476,500 | 5,503,800 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 45,765,300 | 36,70, 100 | 27,909,700 | 25,52,800 | 25,34,900 | 20,451,200 | 16,99,500 | 13,92,900 | 12,778,50 | 12,842,200 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash ltems) | 315,000 | 278,000 | 689,000 | 257,000 | 448,000 | 278,000 | 95,000 | 0 | 2,815,000 | 36,000 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 315,000 | 263,000 | 268,000 | 157,000 | 236,000 | 113,000 | 30,00 | 0 | 0 |  |
| +Amortistion of godwill | 0 | 15,00 | 421,000 | 100,000 | 212,000 | 165,000 | 65,00 | 0 | 2,815,000 | 36,000 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 46,080,300 | 36,981,100 | 28,598,700 | 25,80,800 | 25,92,900 | 20,729,200 | 17,094,500 | 13,92,900 | 15,593,500 | 12,878,200 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Afier tax Cost of INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 40,92,000 | 34,201,000 | 25,71,000 | 23,75,000 | 25,35,000 | 20,69,000 | 16,15, 1000 | 13,46,000 | 14,52, 000 | 15,916,000 |
| $=$-Tax saved on interest | 12,297,600 | 10,26,300 | 7,713,000 | 7,126,500 | 7,607,700 | 6,209,100 | 4,847,700 | 4,039,500 | 4,357,200 | 4,774,800 |
| After tax cost of debt | 28,694,400 | 23,940,700 | 17,997,000 | 16,628,500 | 17,751,300 | 14,487,900 | 11,311,300 | 9,425,500 | 10,166,800 | 11,141,200 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 1,086,623,000 | 851,563,00 | 568,343,000 | 446,868,000 | 474,022,000 | 328,78,000 | 294,368,000 | 220,275,000 | 263,177,000 | 246,049,000 |
| Effective Interest Rate, , Cd | 2.64\% | 2.81\% | 3.17\% | 3.72\% | 3.74\% | 4.41\% | 3.84\% | 4.28\% | 3.86\% | 4.53\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ke}=$ CAPM $=R f+(B \times M R P)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf( Goverment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.53299 | 0.61617 | 0.88515 | 0.7808 | 0.6038 | 0.6215 | 0.6228 | 0.6572 | 0.6263 | 0.6320 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equit, Ke | 12.57\% | 13.07\% | 12.28\% | 14.05\% | 12.99\% | 13.10\% | 13.11\% | 13.31\% | 13.13\% | 13.16\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULLTE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPPTAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 68,50,000 | 55,24,000 | 40,236,000 | 38,921,00 | 35,105,00 | 32,53, 000 | 30,686,00 | 21,927,000 | 20,354,00 | 13,790,000 |
| $\mathrm{Ke}(\%)$ | 12.57\% | 13.07\% | 12.28\% | 14.05\% | 12.99\% | 13.10\% | 13.11\% | 13.31\% | 13.13\% | 13.16\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 8609290.259 | 7218744.529 | 4941342.924 | 5470268.708 | 4561075.048 | 4261709.627 | 4021953.511 | 2919202.58 | 2672052.388 | 1814999.317 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 1,128,404,000 | 925,665,00 | 722,747,000 | 586,490,000 | 511,731,000 | 363,644,000 | 369,775,000 | 266,694,000 | 239,586,000 | 147,789,00 |
| Kd (\%) | 2.64\% | 2.81\% | 3.17\% | 3.72\% | 3.74\% | 4.41\% | 3.84\% | 4.28\% | 3.86\% | 4.53\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 29797708.81 | 26023991.26 | 22886316.47 | 21824003.88 | 19163436.51 | 16023911.93 | 14208867.67 | 11411754.84 | 9255455.244 | 6691946.754 |
| =WACC (Rand) | 38406999.07 | 33242735.78 | 27827659.39 | 27294272.59 | 2372451.56 | 20285621.56 | 18230821.18 | 14330957.42 | 11927507.63 | 8506946.07 |
| $=$ Wacc (\%) | 3.2\% | 3.4\% | 3.6\% | 4.4\% | 4.3\% | 5.1\% | 4.6\% | 5.0\% | 4.6\% | 5.3\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 46,80,300 | 36,981,100 | 28,588,700 | 25,80, 800 | 25,792,900 | 20,729,200 | 17,094,500 | 13,92,900 | 15,593,50 | 12,878,200 |
| - Cost of Capital | 38,406,999 | 33,242,736 | 27,827,659 | 27,294,273 | 23,724,512 | 20,28,, 22 | 18,23,821 | 14,33,957 | 11,927,508 | 8,506,946 |
| EVA | 7,673,301 | 3,738,364 | 771,041 | -1,484,473 | 2,068,388 | 443,578 | -1,136,321 | -410,057 | 3,665,992 | 4,371,254 |


|  | 教 | UNIVER UNIVE YUNIB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EVA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ABSA | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 50,211,000 | 34,507,000 | 44,275,000 | 25,87,000 | 25,940,000 | 17,676,000 | 18,32,000 | 17,357,000 | 24,001,00 | 19,35,000 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit ate effective Tax rate of $30 \%$ ) | 15,063,300 | 10,35,100 | 13,882,500 | 7,586,100 | 7,782,000 | 5,302,800 | 5,497,800 | 5,207,100 | 7,200,300 | 5,805,600 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 35,147,700 | 24,154,900 | 30,992,500 | 17,700,900 | 18,158,000 | 12,373,200 | 12,882,200 | 12,149,900 | 16,80, 700 | 13,546,400 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash ltems) | 85,000 | 103,000 | 177,000 | 49,000 | 96,000 | 176,000 | 76,000 | 658,000 | 63,000 | 118,000 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 85,000 | 103,000 | 70,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59,000 | 0 | 0 | 24,000 |
| +Amortisation of godwill | 0 | 0 | 107,00 | 49,000 | 96,000 | 176,000 | 17,00 | 658,000 | 63,00 | 94,000 |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 35,232,700 | 24,257,900 | 31,169,500 | 17,749,900 | 18,254,000 | 12,549,200 | 12,904,200 | 12,807,900 | 16,863,700 | 13,664,400 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 36,233,000 | 23,246,000 | 30,133,000 | 19,183,000 | 21,467,000 | 16,133,000 | 14,708,000 | 15,843,000 | 21,336,000 | 16,992,00 |
| --Tax saved on interest | 10,869,900 | 6,973,800 | 9,039,900 | 5,754,900 | 6,440,100 | 4,839,900 | 4,412,400 | 4,752,900 | 6,400,800 | 5,097,600 |
| After tax cost of debt | 25,363,100 | 16,272,200 | 21,093,100 | 13,428,100 | 15,026,900 | 11,293,100 | 10,295,60 | 11,090,100 | 14,935,200 | 11,894,400 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 543,565,000 | 419,056,00 | 344,464,000 | 241,418,000 | 229,907,000 | 220,854,000 | 172,942,000 | 157,250,00 | 150,125,000 | 137,026,000 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 4.67\% | 3.88\% | 6.12\% | 5.56\% | 6.54\% | 5.11\% | 5.95\% | 7.05\% | 9.95\% | 8.68\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ke}=\mathrm{CAPM}=\mathrm{Rf}+(\mathrm{B} \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf( Goverrment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\%/ |
| Beta (B) | 0.60483 | 0.65261 | 0.53286 | 0.69743 | 0.62193 | 0.62621 | 0.61961 | 0.64129 | 0.62726 | 0.62859 |
| MRP ( $6 \%$ = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 13.00\% | 13.29\% | 12.57\% | 13.55\% | 13.10\% | 13.13\% | 13.09\% | 13.22\% | 13.13\% | 13.14\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 42,687,000 | 34,935,000 | 26,100,000 | 19,909,000 | 17,454,000 | 15,110,000 | 14,283,000 | 12,028,000 | 12,064,000 | 10,83, 000 |
| Ke (\%) | 13.00\% | 13.29\% | 12.57\% | 13.55\% | 13.10\% | 13.13\% | 13.09\% | 13.22\% | 13.13\% | 13.14\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 5548874.593 | 4641345.321 | 3280028.76 | 2698581.332 | 2286752.391 | 1983527.286 | 1869338.469 | 1589833.066 | 158433.194 | 1423624.826 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 603,334,000 | 463,419,000 | 381,667,00 | 286,015,000 | 252,198,000 | 231,965,000 | 183,097,000 | 165,628,000 | 157,292,000 | 142,982,000 |
| Kd (\%) | 4.67\% | 3.88\% | 6.12\% | 5.56\% | 6.54\% | 5.11\% | 5.95\% | 7.05\% | 9.95\% | 8.68\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 28151960.81 | 17998842.34 | 23371209.18 | 15908664.73 | 16483857.06 | 11861247.44 | 10900148.45 | 11688960.78 | 15648209.68 | 12411404.41 |
| =WaCC (Rand) | 33700835.4 | 22636187.66 | 26651237.94 | 1860724606 | 18770609.46 | 13844774.72 | 12769456.92 | 13270793.85 | 17232622.88 | 13835029.24 |
| $=\operatorname{wacc}(\%)$ | 5.2\% | 4.5\% | 6.5\% | 6.1\% | 7.0\% | 5.6\% | 6.5\% | 7.5\% | 10.2\% | 9.0\% |
| STEP 5:CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 35,232,700 | 24,257,900 | 31,169,500 | 17,749,900 | 18,254,000 | 12,549,200 | 12,904,200 | 12,807,900 | 16,863,700 | 13,664,400 |
| - Cost of Capital | 33,700,835 | 22,636,188 | 26,651,238 | 18,607,246 | 18,770,609 | 13,84,775 | 12,76,457 | 13,270,794 | 17,232,643 | 13,835,029 |
| EVA | 1,531,865 | 1,621,712 | 4,518,262 | .857,346 | -516,609 | -1,295,575 | 134,743 | -462,894 | -368,943 | -170,629 |




| EVA ${ }^{\text {Q M }}$ ERCANTLIE | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 412,543 | 288,418 | 179,624 | -106,763 | 100,322 | -97,207 | 207,579 | 115,746 | 492,736 | 404,093 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 123,763 | 86,525 | 53,887 | -32,029 | 30,097 | -29,162 | 62,74 | 34,724 | 147,821 | 121,228 |
| = NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 288,780 | 201,893 | 125,737 | -74,734 | 70,225 | -68,045 | 145,305 | 81,022 | 344,915 | 282,865 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 3,716 | 3,108 | 4,798 | 6,651 | 6,255 | 23,400 | 12,500 | 32,234 | 8,546 | 19,070 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 3,716 | 3,108 | 4,798 | 6,651 | 6,255 | 23,400 | 12,500 | 244 | 8,613 | 1,977 |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,990 | -67 | 17,093 |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 292,496 | 205,001 | 130,535 | -68,083 | 76,480 | -44,645 | 157,805 | 113,256 | 353,461 | 301,935 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 250,012 | 189,044 | 113,144 | 107,036 | 161,152 | 363,572 | 288,513 | 338,299 | 378,508 | 386,624 |
| $=-\mathrm{Tax}$ saved on interest | 75,004 | 56,713 | 33,943 | 32,111 | 48,346 | 109,072 | 85,054 | 101,490 | 113,552 | 115,987 |
| After tax cost of debt | 175,008 | 132,331 | 79,201 | 74,925 | 112,806 | 254,500 | 198,459 | 236,809 | 264,956 | 270,637 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 3,783,539 | 3,568,336 | 2,675,078 | 2,147,779 | 1,884,154 | 1,921,314 | 3,308,514 | 3,715,741 | 3,164,329 | 2,523,292 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 4.63\% | 3.71\% | 2.96\% | 3.49\% | 5.69\% | 13.25\% | 6.00\% | 6.37\% | 8.37\% | 10.73\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=$ Rf $+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf ( Goverrment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.63128 | 0.43498 | 0.82763 | 0.64123 | 0.6338 | 0.6344 | 0.6843 | 0.6484 | 0.6502 | 0.6543 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 13.16\% | 11.98\% | 14.34\% | 13.22\% | 13.17\% | 13.18\% | 13.48\% | 13.26\% | 13.27\% | 13.30\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 839,914 | 667,418 | 550,179 | 500,939 | 163,899 | 183,500 | 536,736 | 653,051 | 899,448 | 329,050 |
| Ke (\%) | 13.16\% | 11.98\% | 14.34\% | 13.22\% | 13.17\% | 13.18\% | 13.48\% | 13.26\% | 13.27\% | 13.30\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 110513.1964 | 7995.8755 | 78872.45105 | 66211.0112 | 21589.89079 | 24178.74905 | 72328.22198 | 86597.92173 | 118704.8593 | 43750.33157 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 3,865,870 | 3,781,800 | 2,856,203 | 2,220,129 | 2,061,594 | 1,991,930 | 3,376,254 | 3,838,881 | 3,291,139 | 2,597,794 |
| Kd (\%) | 4.63\% | 3.71\% | 2.96\% | 3.49\% | 5.69\% | 13.25\% | 6.00\% | 6.37\% | 8.37\% | 10.73\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 178816.6379 | 140247.0562 | 84563.35201 | 77449.12738 | 117209.1468 | 263854.311 | 202522.4407 | 244606.197 | 275573.6551 | 278627.545 |
| =WACC (Rand) | 289329.8343 | 220202.9317 | 163435.8031 | 143660.1386 | 138799.0376 | 288033.0601 | 274850.6626 | 331204.1187 | 394278.5143 | 322377.8765 |
| $=$ WACC (\%) | 6.1\% | 4.9\% | 4.8\% | 5.3\% | 6.2\% | 13.2\% | 7.0\% | 7.4\% | 9.4\% | 11.0\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 292,496 | 205,001 | 130,535 | -68,083 | 76,480 | -44,645 | 157,805 | 113,256 | 353,461 | 301,935 |
| - Cost of Capital | 289,330 | 220,203 | 163,436 | 143,660 | 138,799 | 288,033 | 274,851 | 331,204 | 394,279 | 322,378 |
| EVA | 3,166 | -15,202 | -32,901 | -211,74 | -62,319 | -332,678 | -117,045 | -217,948 | -40,817 | $-20,443$ |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NEDBANK | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT $=$ EBIT - TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBIT) | 36,000,000 | 24,39,000 | 19,975,00 | 17,988,00 | 20,552,000 | 19,042,00 | 12,864,000 | 17,394,000 | 13,48,000 | 18,262,000 |
| - Tax on Operating Profit (ate effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 10,980,000 | 7,319,700 | 5,992,500 | 5,396,400 | 6,165,600 | 5,712,600 | 3,859,200 | 5,218,200 | 4,044,000 | 5,478,600 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 25,620,000 | 17,079,300 | 13,982,500 | 12,591,600 | 14,386,400 | 13,329,400 | 9,004,800 | 12,175,800 | 9,436,000 | 12,78,400 |
| NOPAT EVA Adiustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 431,000 | 508,000 | 424,000 | 769,000 | 1,803,000 | 523,000 | 148,000 | 254,000 | 1,355,000 | 0 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 431,000 | 438,000 | 423,000 | 395,000 | 0 | 22,000 | 101,000 | 3,000 | 25,00 | 0 |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 70,000 | 1,000 | 374,000 | 1,803,000 | 501,000 | 47,000 | 251,000 | 1,330,000 | 0 |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 26,051,000 | 17,587,300 | 14,406,500 | 13,360,60 | 16,189,400 | 13,852,400 | 9,152,800 | 12,429,800 | 10,791,000 | 12,783,400 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFtER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 27,855,000 | 17,55,,00 | 14,705,00 | 16,258,00 | 21,333,000 | 17,522,00 | 11,918,000 | 10,504,000 | 11,901,000 | 15,305,000 |
| -Tax saved on interest | 8,356,500 | 5,267,400 | 4,411,500 | 4,877,400 | 6,399,900 | 5,256,600 | 3,575,400 | 3,151,200 | 3,570,300 | 4,591,500 |
| After tax cost of debt | 19,498,500 | 12,290,600 | 10,293,500 | 11,380,600 | 14,933,100 | 12,265,400 | 8,342,600 | 7,352,800 | 8,330,700 | 10,713,500 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 410,550,000 | 348,684,000 | 286,930,000 | 293,038,000 | 244,407,000 | 235,655,00 | 168,646,000 | 133,919,000 | 113,576,000 | 103,539,000 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 4.75\% | 3.52\% | 3.59\% | 3.88\% | 6.11\% | 5.20\% | 4.95\% | 5.99\% | 7.33\% | 10.35\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=R f+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf (Goverrment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.45315 | 0.58224 | 0.45823 | 0.42988 | 0.48888 | 0.48781 | 0.46420 | 0.46569 | 0.77464 | 0.47308 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 12.09\% | 12.86\% | 12.12\% | 11.95\% | 12.26\% | 12.30\% | 12.16\% | 12.16\% | 12.22\% | 12.21\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 35,106,000 | 30,467,000 | 26,66, 000 | 21,899,000 | 15,556,000 | 20,286,00 | 16,111,000 | 16,615,000 | 13,75, 200 | 9,219,000 |
| Ke (\%) | 12.09\% | 12.86\% | 12.12\% | 11.95\% | 12.26\% | 12.30\% | 12.16\% | 12.16\% | 12.22\% | 12.21\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 4243929.234 | 3919104.265 | 3232117.452 | 2616772.827 | 1906426.69 | 2494536.455 | 1958322.157 | 2021071.627 | 1680321.356 | 1125501.989 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 458,663,000 | 399,796,000 | 329,768,000 | 309,143,000 | 272,731,000 | 256,738,000 | 182,843,000 | 142,703,000 | 120,083,000 | 108,396,000 |
| Kd (\%) | 4.75\% | 3.52\% | 3.59\% | 3.88\% | 6.11\% | 5.20\% | 4.95\% | 5.49\% | 7.33\% | 10.35\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 21783559.87 | 14092223.09 | 11830296.27 | 12006063.47 | 16663676.97 | 13362730.54 | 9044898.852 | 7835084.031 | 8807982.744 | 11216068.79 |
| =Wacc (Rand) | 26027489.11 | 18011327.36 | 15062413.72 | 14622836.29 | 18570103.66 | 15857266.99 | 11003221.01 | 9856155.659 | 10488304.1 | 12341570.77 |
| $=$ WACC (\%) | 5.3\% | 4.2\% | 4.2\% | 4.4\% | 6.4\% | 5.7\% | 5.5\% | 6.2\% | 7.8\% | 10.5\% |
| STEP 5:CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 26,051,000 | 17,587,300 | 14,406,500 | 13,360,600 | 16,189,400 | 13,85,400 | 9,152,800 | 12,429,800 | 10,791,000 | 12,783,400 |
| - Cost of Capital | 26,027,489 | 18,011,327 | 15,062,414 | 14,622,836 | 18,570,104 | 15,85, 267 | 11,003,221 | 9,856,156 | 10,488,304 | 12,341,571 |
| EVA | 23,511 | -424,027 | -655,914 | -1,262,236 | -2,380,704 | -2,004,867 | -1,850,421 | 2,573,644 | 302,696 | 441,829 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ NEDBANK | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before interestand Tax (EBT) | 1,416,00 | 1,139,100 | 1,911,600 | 561,900 | 198,200 | 217,80 | .75,100 | 476,300 | 248,700 | 575,684 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit ate ffective Tax rate of30\%) | 425,70 | 311,730 | 357,480 | 168,50 | 59,460 | 65,40 | -22,530 | 142,890 | 74,610 | 172,705 |
| =NOPAT(Before Adjustments) | 991,830 | 727,370 | 834,120 | 393,330 | 138,740 | 152,460 | .52,50 | 333,410 | 174,090 | 402,799 |
| Nopat eva Adiustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 5,500 | 6,800 | 4,100 | 225,000 | 218,500 | 136,100 | 170,000 | -3,300 | 0 | 0 |
| +Intangible Assets Writen Off | 5,500 | 6,800 | 4,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +Amortistion of goodwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225,000 | 218,500 | 136,100 | 161,500 | -3,300 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 997,330 | 734,170 | 838,220 | 618,330 | 357,240 | 288,50 | 117,430 | 330,110 | 174,090 | 402,79 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THECOSTOF OEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After tax Cost of interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (lncome statement) | 296,100 | 232,400 | 29,800 | 26,300 | 33,100 | 29,300 | 51,400 | 60,80 | 111,100 | 36,724 |
| $=$ Tax saved on interest | 88,830 | 69,720 | 8,940 | 7,880 | 9,930 | 8,790 | 15,420 | 18,240 | 3,330 | 11,017 |
| After tax cost of debt | 207,270 | 162,680 | 20,860 | 18,10 | 23,170 | 20,510 | 35,80 | 42,56 | 71,70 | 25,707 |
| Long Term Loans + Shortterm interest bearing borrowings | 748,900 | 541,700 | 544,500 | 577,100 | 629,200 | 574,300 | 406,900 | 195,300 | 346,200 | 100,000 |
| EFfective Interest Rate, Kd | 27.68\% | 30.03\% | 3.83\% | 3.19\% | 3.68\% | 3.57\% | 8.84\% | 21.79\% | 22.46\% | 25.71\% |
| STEP3: CALCULATETHECOST OF EQUIT, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=$ Rf $+($ ( $\times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf( Goverment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| ${ }^{\text {Beta ( }}$ (B) | 0.51195 | 0.59821 | 0.53971 | 0.5417 | 0.5479 | 0.5569 | 0.5465 | 0.5483 | 0.5449 | 0.5504 |
| MRP ( $6 \%$ = expected growt) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equit, Ke | 12.44\% | 12.96\% | 12.61\% | 12.62\% | 12.66\% | 12.71\% | 12.65\% | 12.66\% | 12.67\% | 12.67\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity(Balance Sheet) | 40,881,600 | 29,920,00 | 29,87,300 | 22,90,100 | 17,99,200 | 18,035,000 | 20,02,300 | 10,14,500 | 9,625,300 | 3,94,214 |
| Ke (\%) | 12.44\% | 12.96\% | 12.61\% | 12.62\% | 12.66\% | 12.71\% | 12.65\% | 12.66\% | 12.67\% | 12.67\% |
| Costof Equity/(Rand) | 5088366.027 | 387757.225 | 3763225.187 | 2895086.5 | 2278222.641 | 2292477.319 | 2532297.96 | 1283865.593 | 12194663.38 | 500458.3975 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt(Bananc Sheet) | 6,780,600 | 5,060,50 | 4,614,100 | 3,834,200 | 3,228,300 | 2,526,800 | 2,407,200 | 1,676,100 | 1,382,400 | 2,00,997 |
| Kd (\%) | 27.68\% | 30.03\% | 3.83\% | 3.19\% | 3.68\% | 3.57\% | 8.84\% | 21.79\% | 22.46\% | 25.71\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 1876339.02 | 1519738.121 | 176677.9082 | 122314.3684 | 111515.7517 | 90239.71443 | 212855.8761 | 365257.6344 | 310540.8666 | 514340.8832 |
| =Wacc(Rand) | 6993005.047 | 5397265.346 | 3939993.095 | 3007400.869 | 2389738.933 | 2382717.033 | 2745783.836 | 1694123.228 | 153000.254 | 1014799.281 |
| $=\operatorname{WacC}(\%)$ | 14.6\% | 15.4\% | 11.4\% | 11.3\% | 11.4\% | 11.6\% | 12.2\% | 14.0\% | 13.9\% | 17.1\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 997,330 | 734,170 | 838,220 | 618,330 | 357,240 | 288,50 | 117,430 | 330,110 | 174,090 | 402,979 |
| - Costof Capital | 6,963,05 | 5,397,265 | 3,399,993 | 3,017,401 | 2,389,738 | 2,382,717 | 2,745,784 | 1,649,123 | 1,330,00 | 1,114,79 |
| EVA | -5,665,675 | -4,66,095 | -3,101,773 | -2,39,071 | -2,32,498 | -2,994,157 | -2,628,354 | -1,319,013 | -1,35,914 | .611,820 |

2 EVA calculations for retail shares listed on the JSE


| EVA Woolworths | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBTT) | 1,790,900 | 1,489,500 | 1,245,800 | 1,045,400 | 864,300 | 661,100 | 474,400 | 389,900 | 461,488 |  |
| -Tax on Operating Profit ate effective Tax rate of $30 \%$ ) | 537,270 | 446,850 | 373,740 | 313,620 | 259,290 | 198,330 | 142,320 | 116,970 | 138,446 |  |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 1,253,630 | 1,042,650 | 872,060 | 731,780 | 605,010 | 462,770 | 332,080 | 272,930 | 323,042 |  |
| NOPAT Eva Adjustments (Add back Non Cash ltems) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| +Amortistion of goodwill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 1,253,630 | 1,042,650 | 872,060 | 731,780 | 605,010 | 462,770 | 332,080 | 272,930 | 323,042 |  |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COSTO F INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 378,700 | 243,900 | 152,700 | 108,700 | 87,400 | 661,100 | 474,400 | 389,900 | 461,488 |  |
| $=$-Tax saved on interest | 113,610 | 73,170 | 45,810 | 32,610 | 26,220 | 198,330 | 142,320 | 116,970 | 138,446 |  |
| After tax cost of debt | 265,090 | 170,730 | 106,890 | 76,090 | 61,180 | 462,770 | 332,080 | 272,930 | 323,042 |  |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 3,961,900 | 3,43,600 | 2,602,200 | 1,137,900 | 729,700 | 480,500 | 185,300 | 347,000 | 323,991 |  |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 6.69\% | 4.97\% | 4.11\% | 6.69\% | 8.38\% | 96.31\% | 179.21\% | 78.65\% | 99.71\% |  |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=$ Rf $+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf (Govermment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |  |
| Beta (B) | 0.69938 | 0.49924 | 0.51758 | 0.59649 | 0.57817 | 0.54787 | 0.56003 | 0.57064 | 0.56418 |  |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |  |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 13.57\% | 12.37\% | 12.48\% | 12.95\% | 12.84\% | 12.66\% | 12.73\% | 12.79\% | 12.76\% |  |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AvERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 3,889,400 | 2,634,200 | 2,116,400 | 2,873,200 | 2,433,400 | 2,377,900 | 2,360,000 | 2,104,600 | 1,915,940 |  |
| Ke (\%) | 13.57\% | 12.37\% | 12.48\% | 12.95\% | 12.84\% | 12.66\% | 12.73\% | 12.79\% | 12.76\% |  |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 446249.2143 | 325730.4205 | 264031.0587 | 372048.9441 | 312425.0777 | 300976.1236 | 300432.0046 | 269259.201 | 244379.4429 |  |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 7,195,600 | 6,162,000 | 4,947,100 | 2,899,200 | 2,380,900 | 1,713,600 | 1,432,200 | 1,423,800 | 1,077,938 |  |
| Kd (\%) | 6.69\% | 4.97\% | 4.11\% | 6.69\% | 8.38\% | 96.31\% | 179.21\% | 78.65\% | 99.71\% |  |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 481456.272 | 306395.113 | 203210.9442 | 193866.0058 | 199621.0251 | 1650369.765 | 2566675.532 | 1119878.196 | 1074779.288 |  |
| =WACC (Rand) | 927705.4863 | 632125.5335 | 467242.0029 | 565914.9499 | 512046.1028 | 1951345.888 | 2867107.536 | 1389137.397 | 1319158.731 |  |
| $=\operatorname{Wacc}(\%)$ | 8.8\% | 7.2\% | 6.6\% | 9.8\% | 10.6\% | 47.7\% | 75.6\% | 39.4\% | 44.1\% |  |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 1,253,630 | 1,042,650 | 872,060 | 731,780 | 605,010 | 462,770 | 332,080 | 272,930 | 323,042 |  |
| - Cost of Capital | 927,705 | 632,126 | 467,242 | 565,915 | 512,046 | 1,951,346 | 2,867,108 | 1,389,137 | 1,319,159 |  |
| EVA | 325,925 | 410,524 | 404,818 | 165,865 | 92,964 | -1,488,576 | -2,535,028 | -1,116,207 | .996,117 |  |


| EVA ${ }^{\ominus}$ RexTruform | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 23,297 | 19,848 | 28,867 | 7,762 | 17,742 | 6,668 | 3,717 | 17,282 | 22,502 | 21,921 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit ate effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 6,989 | 5,954 | 8,660 | 2,329 | 5,323 | 2,000 | 1,115 | 5,185 | 6,751 | 6,576 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 16,308 | 13,894 | 20,207 | 5,433 | 12,419 | 4,668 | 2,602 | 12,097 | 15,751 | 15,345 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 49 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 49 |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 16,308 | 13,894 | 20,207 | 5,433 | 12,419 | 4,668 | 2,602 | 12,097 | 15,815 | 15,394 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 12 | 57 | 339 | 333 | 123 | 39 | 57 | 135 | 0 | 248 |
| $=-$ Tax saved on interest | 4 | 17 | 102 | 100 | 37 | 12 | 17 | 41 | 0 | 74 |
| After tax cost of debt | 8 | 40 | 237 | 233 | 86 | 27 | 40 | 95 | 0 | 174 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,118 | 1,03 | 505 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.03\% | 0.00\% | 34.38\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUTY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=R f+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf ( Goverrment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.34775 | 0.1886 | -0.00739 | 0.03432 | 0.14082 | 0.08909 | 0.06421 | 0.08211 | 0.09406 | 0.08237 |
| MRP (6\% = expectec growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 11.46\% | 10.50\% | 9.33\% | 9.58\% | 10.21\% | 9.90\% | 9.76\% | 9.86\% | 9.93\% | 9.86\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 160,467 | 149,450 | 140,387 | 173,678 | 175,072 | 165,825 | 162,985 | 163,020 | 157,550 | 146,377 |
| Ke (\%) | 11.46\% | 10.50\% | 9.33\% | 9.58\% | 10.21\% | 9.90\% | 9.76\% | 9.86\% | 9.93\% | 9.86\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 18383.90186 | 15694.6412 | 13092.0143 | 16631.26634 | 17883.46774 | 16424.17858 | 15899.6044 | 16078.10069 | 15651.55132 | 14438.91114 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 37,609 | 33,508 | 34,876 | 32,892 | 43,371 | 28,927 | 28,339 | 33,340 | 35,678 | 30,261 |
| Kd (\%) | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.03\% | 0.00\% | 34.38\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1010.465042 | 0 | 10402.59327 |
| $=$ Wacc (Rand) | 18383.90186 | 15694.6412 | 13092.0143 | 16631.26634 | 17883.46474 | 16424.17858 | 15899.6044 | 17088.56573 | 15651.55132 | 24881.50441 |
| $=$ WaCC $(\%)$ | 9.3\% | 8.6\% | 7.5\% | 8.1\% | 8.2\% | 8.4\% | 8.3\% | 8.7\% | 8.1\% | 14.1\% |
| STEP 5:CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 16,308 | 13,894 | 20,207 | 5,433 | 12,419 | 4,668 | 2,602 | 12,097 | 15,815 | 15,394 |
| - Cost of Capital | 18,384 | 15,695 | 13,92 | 16,631 | 17,883 | 16,424 | 15,900 | 17,089 | 15,652 | 24,842 |
| EVA | $-2,076$ | -1,801 | 7,115 | -11,198 | -5,464 | -11,757 | -13,298 | -4,991 | 164 | -9,448 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® Shoprite Holdings }}$ | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 1,791,684 | 1,524,311 | 946,008 | 842,654 | 666,304 | 595,506 | 369,725 | 387,840 | 240,998 | 327,753 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 537,505 | 457,293 | 288,802 | 252,796 | 199,891 | 178,652 | 110,918 | 116,352 | 72,299 | 98,326 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 1,254,179 | 1,067,018 | 662,206 | 589,858 | 466,413 | 416,854 | 258,808 | 271,488 | 168,699 | 229,427 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 15,493 | 15,674 | 26,151 | -144,949 | -146,169 | -69,319 | .65,154 | 1,701 | 3,309 | 3,316 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 15,493 | 14,388 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +Amortistion of goodwill | 0 | 1,286 | 26,151 | -144,949 | -146,169 | -69,319 | -65,154 | 1,701 | 3,309 | 3,316 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 1,269,672 | 1,082,692 | 688,357 | 444,009 | 320,244 | 347,535 | 193,654 | 273,189 | 172,008 | 232,743 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COSTOF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 83,444 | 89,610 | 47,646 | 29,936 | 63,214 | 47,339 | 24,877 | 61,400 | 102,515 | 46,485 |
| $=-$ Tax saved on interest | 25,033 | 26,883 | 14,294 | 8,981 | 18,964 | 14,202 | 7,463 | 18,420 | 30,755 | 13,946 |
| After tax cost of debt | 58,411 | 62,727 | 33,55 | 20,955 | 44,250 | 33,137 | 17,414 | 42,980 | 71,761 | 32,540 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 25,206 | 671,267 | 784,388 | 5,833 | 0 | 31,230 | 17,374 | 64,059 | 347,151 | 171,356 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 231.73\% | 9.34\% | 4.25\% | 359.25\% | 0.00\% | 106.11\% | 100.23\% | 67.09\% | 20.67\% | 18.99\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=$ R $f+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pf (Goverment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.71086 | 0.62248 | 0.38497 | 0.40266 | 0.53024 | 0.48509 | 0.45074 | 0.46718 | 0.48331 | 0.77158 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 13.64\% | 13.10\% | 11.68\% | 11.79\% | 12.55\% | 12.28\% | 12.07\% | 12.17\% | 12.27\% | 12.20\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPTIAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 3,691,269 | 3,085,332 | 2,155,951 | 2,168,672 | 1,770,007 | 1,492,622 | 1,466,730 | 1,330,971 | 1,122,470 | 1,884,776 |
| Ke (\%) | 13.64\% | 13.10\% | 11.68\% | 11.79\% | 12.55\% | 12.28\% | 12.07\% | 12.17\% | 12.27\% | 12.20\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 503310.4342 | 404329.0562 | 251811.1961 | 255598.8145 | 222161.6321 | 183301.8738 | 177099.4776 | 162020.3798 | 137725.7244 | 132337.1019 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 8,40,492 | 6,906,529 | 6,440,970 | 5,803,151 | 5,109,164 | 4,756,435 | 4,647,420 | 3,850,611 | 4,061,844 | 3,704,764 |
| Kd $/ \%$ ) | 231.73\% | 9.34\% | 4.25\% | 359.25\% | 0.00\% | 106.11\% | 100.23\% | 67.09\% | 20.67\% | 18.99\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 19095998.18 | 645385.2857 | 273870.227 | 20849966.71 | 0 | 5046923.264 | 4658092.963 | 2583544.245 | 839634.5002 | 703512.9682 |
| =Wacc (Rand) | 19599308.61 | 1099714.342 | 52568.4231 | 21103565.53 | 222161.6321 | 5230225.138 | 4835192.41 | 2745664.625 | 977360.2246 | 835850.0701 |
| =Wacc (\%) | 164.3\% | 10.5\% | 6.1\% | 264.7\% | 3.2\% | 83.7\% | 79.1\% | 53.\% | 18.9\% | 17.5\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 1,269,672 | 1,082,692 | 688,357 | 444,909 | 320,244 | 347,535 | 193,654 | 273,189 | 172,008 | 232,743 |
| Costof Capital | 19,599,309 | 1,049,714 | 525,681 | 21,103,566 | 222,162 | 5,230,225 | 4,835,192 | 2,745,565 | 977,360 | 835,850 |
| EVA | -18,329,637 | 32,971 | 162,675 | -20,658,657 | 98,082 | -4,882,690 | -4,641,539 | $\cdot 2,472,376$ | -805,353 | -603,107 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® S Sor G Group/As of Listing Year) }}$ | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interestand Tax (EBT) | 807,000 | 624,500 | 520,196 | 428,214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 242,100 | 187,350 | 156,059 | 128,464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 564,900 | 437,150 | 364,137 | 299,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash ltems) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,338 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 564,900 | 437,150 | 364,137 | 312,088 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COSTOF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 10,300 | 6,100 | 5,457 | 3,315 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Tax saved on interest | 3,090 | 1,830 | 1,637 | 995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| After tax cost of debt | 7,210 | 4,270 | 3,820 | 2,321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long Term Loans + Shorterm interest bearing borrowings | 400 | 65,100 | 108,624 | 309,348 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 1802.50\% | 6.56\% | 3.52\% | 0.75\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=$ Rf $+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pf (Goverment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beta (B) | 0.71141 | 1.00038 | 0.65089 | -0.30502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 13.64\% | 15.37\% | 13.28\% | 7.54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPPTAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 1,109,700 | 892,400 | 749,435 | 437,261 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke (\%) | 13.64\% | 15.37\% | 13.28\% | 7.54\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 151345.9906 | 137182.2267 | 999990.04433 | 32968.95469 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 3,947,800 | 2,644,200 | 1,946,824 | 1,770,440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kd (\%) | 1802.50\% | 6.56\% | 3.52\% | 0.75\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 71159095 | 173436.7742 | 68462.52207 | 13288.53253 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| =WACC(Rand) | 713104040.99 | 310619.0009 | 167952.5664 | 46252.48721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $=$ Wacc $(\%)$ | 1410.0\% | 8.8\% | 6.2\% | 2.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT <br> Cost of Capital | 564,900 71,310441 | 437,150 310,619 | 364,137 167,953 | 312,088 46,252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EVA | -70,745,541 | 126,531 | 196,185 | 265,835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| EVA ${ }^{\text {V }}$ erimark | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT - TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBIT) | 11,149 | 23,329 | 58,345 | 1,297 | -2,843 | 693 | 1,498 | -498 | -758 |  |
| -Tax on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 3,345 | 6,999 | 17,504 | 389 | . 853 | 208 | 449 | -149 | -227 |  |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 7,804 | 16,330 | 40,442 | 908 | -1,990 | 485 | 1,049 | -349 | -531 |  |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 272 | 292 | 203 | 0 | 44 | 43 | 2,515 | 0 | 0 |  |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 272 | 292 | 203 | 0 | 44 | 43 | 534 | 0 | 0 |  |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,981 | 0 | 0 |  |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 8,076 | 16,622 | 41,045 | 908 | -1,946 | 528 | 3,564 | . 349 | . 531 |  |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST O F INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 2,661 | 967 | 911 | 158 | 2,561 | 2,590 | 2,365 | 39 | 39 |  |
| =-Tax saved on interest | 798 | 290 | 273 | 47 | 768 | 777 | 710 | 12 | 12 |  |
| After tax cost of debt | 1,863 | 677 | 638 | 111 | 1,793 | 1,813 | 1,656 | 27 | 27 |  |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 25,308 | 15,996 | 851 | 2,828 | 21,372 | 15,315 | 15,553 | 33 | 313 |  |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 7.36\% | 4.26\% | 74.94\% | 3.91\% | 8.39\% | 11.84\% | 10.64\% | 82.73\% | 8.72\% |  |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $K \mathrm{Ke}=\mathrm{CAPM}=\mathrm{Rf}+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf( Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |  |
| Beta (B) | 1.05104 | 0.83586 | 0.14986 | 0 | 0.50919 | 0.37373 | 0.25819 | 0.28528 | 0.35660 |  |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |  |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 15.68\% | 14.39\% | 10.27\% | 9.37\% | 12.43\% | 11.61\% | 10.92\% | 11.08\% | 11.51\% |  |
| STEP A: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 48,589 | 61,305 | 72,141 | 4,948 | 2,653 | 16,375 | 10,308 | 649 | 28,579 |  |
| Ke (\%) | 15.68\% | 14.39\% | 10.27\% | 9.37\% | 12.43\% | 11.61\% | 10.92\% | 11.08\% | 11.51\% |  |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 7616.928254 | 8818.822338 | 7408.274716 | 463.6276 | 329.6389642 | 1901.524769 | 1125.547657 | 71.9200241 | 3289.32423 |  |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 54,185 | 35,361 | 41,719 | 3,563 | 24,844 | 17,872 | 16,960 | 907 | 2,624 |  |
| Kd (\%) | 7.36\% | 4.26\% | 74.94\% | 3.91\% | 8.39\% | 11.84\% | 10.64\% | 82.73\% | 8.72\% |  |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 3988.0828 | 1505.778869 | 31262.28707 | 139.3450495 | 2083.934063 | 2115.69938 | 1805.264579 | 750.3363636 | 228.8664537 |  |
| =WACC (Rand) | 11605.01105 | 10324.60121 | 38670.56179 | 602.9726495 | 2413.573027 | 4017.224148 | 2930.812236 | 822.2563877 | 3518.190684 |  |
| =Wacc (\%) | 11.3\% | 10.7\% | 34.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.8\% | 11.7\% | 10.7\% | 52.8\% | 11.3\% |  |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 8,076 | 16,622 | 41,045 | 908 | -1,946 | 528 | 3,564 | -349 | -531 |  |
| Cost of Capital | 11,605 | 10,325 | 38,671 | 603 | 2,414 | 4,017 | 2,931 | 822 | 3,518 |  |
| EVA | -3,529 | 6,298 | 2,374 | 305 | -4,360 | -3,489 | 633 | $-1,171$ | -4,049 |  |


| EvA ${ }^{\ominus}$ Nictus | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 10,649 | 6,425 | 6,072 | 7,390 | 11,406 | 7,045 | 4,016 | 3,300 | -2,315 | -1,726 |
| -Txx on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 3,195 | 1,928 | 1,822 | 2,217 | 3,422 | 2,114 | 1,205 | 990 | . 695 | -518 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 7,454 | 4,498 | 4,250 | 5,173 | 7,984 | 4,932 | 2,811 | 2,310 | -1,621 | -1,208 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | . 583 | 228 | 367 | . 757 | -237 | 244 | 323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +1ntangible Assets Written Off | 240 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | . 823 | 0 | 367 | . 757 | -237 | -226 | 323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 6,871 | 4,726 | 4,617 | 4,416 | 7,447 | 5,176 | 3,134 | 2,310 | -1,621 | -1,208 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AfTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 4,637 | 4,522 | 3,829 | 5,535 | 2,954 | 1,862 | 2,476 | 2,586 | 3,470 | 2,782 |
| $=$-Tax saved on interest | 1,391 | 1,357 | 1,149 | 1,661 | 886 | 559 | 743 | 776 | 1,041 | 835 |
| After tax cost of debt | 3,46 | 3,165 | 2,680 | 3,875 | 2,068 | 1,303 | 1,733 | 1,810 | 2,429 | 1,947 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 48,793 | 51,387 | 48,391 | 34,397 | 31,472 | 13,025 | 17,085 | 14,829 | 13,996 | 13,307 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 6.65\% | 6.16\% | 5.54\% | 11.26\% | 6.57\% | 10.01\% | 10.14\% | 12.21\% | 17.74\% | 14.63\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(\mathrm{B} \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf (Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | -0.36001 | 0.19633 | -0.2741 | 0.38985 | -0.01198 | 0.07502 | 0.04470 | 0.12440 | 0.05803 | 0.0754 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 7.21\% | 10.55\% | 7.73\% | 11.71\% | 9.30\% | 9.82\% | 9.64\% | 10.12\% | 9.72\% | 9.82\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 65,531 | 44,854 | 44,355 | 43,480 | 41,053 | 39,520 | 10,590 | 5,877 | 5,280 | 10,037 |
| Ke (\%) | 7.21\% | 10.55\% | 7.73\% | 11.71\% | 9.30\% | 9.82\% | 9.64\% | 10.12\% | 9.72\% | 9.82\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 4724.745781 | 4731.190949 | 3426.60117 | 5091.11668 | 3817.151046 | 3880.921798 | 1020.684089 | 594.5399327 | 513.1212745 | 985.9577152 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 309,489 | 264,604 | 228,688 | 198,141 | 144,290 | 90,193 | 31,642 | 25,913 | 20,36 | 22,292 |
| Kd (\%) | 6.65\% | 6.16\% | 5.54\% | 11.26\% | 6.57\% | 10.01\% | 10.14\% | 12.21\% | 17.74\% | 14.63\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 20588.41115 | 16299.40455 | 12666.66211 | 22318.72851 | 9480.26379 | 9025.532146 | 3209.945239 | 3163.414796 | 3553.405666 | 3262.301105 |
| =WACC (Rand) | 25313.15693 | 21030.5955 | 16093.26328 | 27409.84519 | 13297.41484 | 12906.45394 | 4230.629328 | 3757.781729 | 4066.52694 | 4248.25882 |
| $=$ Wacc (\%) | 6.7\% | 6.8\% | 5.9\% | 11.3\% | 7.2\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 11.8\% | 16.1\% | 13.1\% |
| STEP 5:CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 6,871 | 4,726 | 4,617 | 4,416 | 7,747 | 5,176 | 3,134 | 2,310 | -1,621 | -1,208 |
| - Cost of Capital | 25,313 | 21,031 | 16,093 | 27,410 | 13,297 | 12,906 | 4,231 | 3,758 | 4,067 | 4,248 |
| EVA | -18,442 | -16,305 | -11,476 | -22,994 | -5,550 | $\cdot 7,731$ | -1,096 | -1,448 | -5,687 | -5,456 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® }}$ D Group | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interestand Tax (EBT) | 1,651,000 | 2,134,000 | 1,876,000 | 1,351,000 | 867,000 | 475,000 | 510,000 | 435,000 | 431,000 | 413,000 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 495,300 | 640,200 | 562,800 | 405,300 | 260,100 | 142,500 | 153,000 | 130,500 | 129,300 | 123,900 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 1,155,700 | 1,493,800 | 1,313,200 | 945,700 | 606,900 | 322,500 | 357,000 | 304,500 | 301,700 | 289,100 |
| NOPAT Eva Adjustments (Add back Non Cash htems) | 38,00 | 33,00 | 20,000 | 15,600 | 19,100 | 14,900 | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 38,00 | 33,00 | 20,000 | 13,600 | 13,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| +Amortistion of godwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 5,400 | 14,900 | 0 | 140,000 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 1,193,700 | 1,526,800 | 1,333,200 | 961,300 | 626,000 | 347,400 | 357,000 | 444,500 | 301,700 | 289,100 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 187,000 | 152,000 | 205,000 | 207,000 | 259,000 | 176,000 | 113,000 | 91,200 | 83,000 | 68,00 |
| $=-$ Tax saved on interest | 56,100 | 45,60 | 61,500 | 62,100 | 77,700 | 52,800 | 33,900 | 27,360 | 24,900 | 20,400 |
| After tax cost of debt | 130,900 | 106,400 | 143,500 | 144,900 | 181,300 | 123,200 | 79,100 | 63,840 | 58,100 | 47,600 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 1,051,000 | 1,313,000 | 1,127,000 | 1,309,000 | 1,338,000 | 1,281,000 | 1,457,00 | 750,000 | 487,000 | 447,000 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 12.45\% | 8.10\% | 12.73\% | 11.07\% | 13.55\% | 9.62\% | 5.43\% | 8.51\% | 11.93\% | 10.65\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ke}=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pf (Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.77492 | 0.46132 | 0.33185 | 0.29535 | 0.39086 | 0.36885 | 0.36698 | 0.35076 | 0.36461 | 0.35805 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 12.22\% | 12.14\% | 11.36\% | 11.14\% | 11.72\% | 11.59\% | 11.45\% | 11.47\% | 11.56\% | 11.52\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPTTAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 5,698,000 | 5,304,000 | 4,462,000 | 3,755,000 | 3,293,000 | 1,939,000 | 1,877,000 | 1,686,000 | 1,574,000 | 1,167,000 |
| Ke (\%) | 12.22\% | 12.14\% | 11.36\% | 11.14\% | 11.72\% | 11.59\% | 11.45\% | 11.47\% | 11.56\% | 11.52\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 696268.2496 | 643795.2768 | 506932.282 | 418385.855 | 385780.2188 | 224712.0673 | 214951.3653 | 193460.8603 | 181917.5463 | 134418.3654 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 4,147,000 | 4,811,000 | 3,953,00 | 3,884,000 | 3,895,000 | 2,335,000 | 2,661,000 | 1,824,000 | 1,321,000 | 1,339,000 |
| Kd (\%) | 12.45\% | 8.10\% | 12.73\% | 11.07\% | 13.55\% | 9.62\% | 5.43\% | 8.51\% | 11.93\% | 10.65\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 516500.7612 | 388863.214 | 503332.2981 | 441009.6257 | 527775.4111 | 224568.306 | 144464.722 | 155258.88 | 157597.7413 | 142587.0246 |
| = Wacc (Rand) | 1212769.011 | 1033658.491 | 1010264.58 | 859395.4807 | 913555.6299 | 499280.3733 | 359416.0873 | 348719.7403 | 339515.2875 | 277005.39 |
| $=$ Wacc $(\%)$ | 12.3\% | 10.2\% | 12.0\% | 11.1\% | 12.7\% | 10.5\% | 7.9\% | 9.9\% | 11.7\% | 11.1\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 1,193,700 | 1,526,800 | 1,333,200 | 961,300 | 626,000 | 347,400 | 357,000 | 444,500 | 301,700 | 289,100 |
| - Cost of Capital | 1,212,769 | 1,033,658 | 1,100,265 | 859,395 | 913,556 | 449,280 | 359,416 | 348,720 | 339,515 | 277,005 |
| EVA | -19,069 | 493,142 | 322,935 | 101,905 | -287,56 | -101,880 | -2,416 | 95,780 | -37,815 | 12,095 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® }}$ Truworths | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interestand Tax (EBT) | 11,149 | 23,329 | 58,345 | 1,297 | -2,843 | 693 | 1,498 | -498 | -758 |  |
| -Tax on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 3,345 | 6,999 | 17,504 | 389 | . 853 | 208 | 449 | -149 | -227 |  |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 7,804 | 16,330 | 40,842 | 908 | -1,990 | 485 | 1,049 | -349 | -531 |  |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 272 | 292 | 203 | 0 | 44 | 43 | 2,515 | 0 | 0 |  |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 272 | 292 | 203 | 0 | 44 | 43 | 534 | 0 | 0 |  |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,981 | 0 | 0 |  |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 8,076 | 16,622 | 41,045 | 908 | -1,946 | 528 | 3,564 | -349 | . 531 |  |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COSTOF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AfTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 2,661 | 967 | 911 | 158 | 2,561 | 2,590 | 2,365 | 39 | 39 |  |
| -Tax saved on interest | 798 | 290 | 273 | 47 | 768 | 777 | 710 | 12 | 12 |  |
| After tax cost of debt | 1,863 | 677 | 638 | 111 | 1,793 | 1,813 | 1,656 | 27 | 27 |  |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 25,308 | 15,896 | 851 | 2,828 | 21,372 | 15,315 | 15,53 | 33 | 313 |  |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 7.36\% | 4.26\% | 74.94\% | 3.91\% | 8.39\% | 11.84\% | 10.64\% | 82.73\% | 8.72\% |  |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUTY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=R \mathrm{Rf}+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf (Goverrment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |  |
| Beta (B) | 1.05104 | 0.83586 | 0.14986 | 0 | 0.50919 | 0.37373 | 0.25819 | 0.28528 | 0.35660 |  |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |  |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 15.68\% | 14.39\% | 10.27\% | 9.37\% | 12.43\% | 11.61\% | 10.92\% | 11.08\% | 11.51\% |  |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 48,589 | 61,305 | 72,141 | 4,948 | 2,653 | 16,375 | 10,308 | 649 | 28,59 |  |
| Ke (\%) | 15.68\% | 14.39\% | 10.27\% | 9.37\% | 12.43\% | 11.61\% | 10.92\% | 11.08\% | 11.51\% |  |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 7616.928254 | 8818.822338 | 7408.274716 | 463.6276 | 329.6389642 | 1901.524769 | 1125.547657 | 71.9200241 | 3289.32423 |  |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 54,185 | 35,661 | 41,719 | 3,563 | 24,844 | 17,872 | 16,960 | 907 | 2,624 |  |
| Kd (\%) | 7.36\% | 4.26\% | 74.94\% | 3.91\% | 8.39\% | 11.84\% | 10.64\% | 82.73\% | 8.72\% |  |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 3988.0828 | 1505.778869 | 31262.28707 | 139.3450495 | 2083,934063 | 2115.69938 | 1805.264579 | 750.3363636 | 228.8664537 |  |
| =WaCC(Rand) | 11605.01105 | 10324.60121 | 38670.56179 | 602.9726495 | 2413.573027 | 4017.224148 | 2930.812236 | 822.2563877 | 3518.190684 |  |
| =WaCC (\%) | 11.3\% | 10.7\% | 34.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.8\% | 11.7\% | 10.7\% | 52.8\% | 11.3\% |  |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 8,076 | 16,622 | 41,045 | 908 | -1,946 | 528 | 3,564 | -349 | -531 |  |
| - Cost of Capital | 11,605 | 10,325 | 38,671 | 603 | 2,414 | 4,017 | 2,931 | 822 | 3,518 |  |
| EVA | $-3,529$ | 6,298 | 2,374 | 305 | -4,360 | -3,489 | 633 | -1,171 | -4,049 |  |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® King Consolidated }}$ | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 4,088 | 5,386 | 1,871 | 2,287 | -251 | -1,722 | -3,656 | -900 | -117,233 | -8,807 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 1,226 | 1,616 | 561 | 686 | -75 | -517 | -1,097 | -270 | -35,170 | -2,642 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 2,862 | 3,770 | 1,310 | 1,601 | -176 | -1,205 | -2,559 | -630 | -82,063 | -6,165 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash ltems) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 502 | 3 | 6 | 117,647 | 27,982 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 502 | 3 | 6 | 105,260 | 0 |
| +Amortistion of godwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,387 | 27,982 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 2,862 | 3,770 | 1,310 | 1,601 | . 176 | . 703 | -2,556 | . 624 | 35,584 | 21,817 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 2,865 | 2,245 | 2,275 | 2,936 | 6,84 | 4,001 | 1,223 | 1,314 | 1,284 | 1,736 |
| -Tax saved on interest | 860 | 674 | 683 | 881 | 1,885 | 1,200 | 367 | 394 | 385 | 521 |
| After tax cost of debt | 2,006 | 1,572 | 1,593 | 2,055 | 4,399 | 2,801 | 856 | 920 | 899 | 1,215 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 23,566 | 20,143 | 23,877 | 15,671 | 14,524 | 18,817 | 13,008 | 10,661 | 11,495 | 3,681 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 8.51\% | 7.80\% | 6.67\% | 13.11\% | 30.29\% | 14.88\% | 6.16\% | 8.63\% | 7.82\% | 33.01\% |
| STEP 3:CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{MRP})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf( Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.94342 | 0.11162 | 0.87256 | -0.07273 | 0.46372 | 0.34379 | 0.40183 | 0.28415 | 0.37337 | 0.35079 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 15.03\% | 10.04\% | 14.61\% | 8.93\% | 12.15\% | 11.43\% | 11.78\% | 11.07\% | 11.61\% | 11.47\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPPTAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 18,035 | 16,881 | 11,921 | 12,324 | 4,227 | 10,762 | 17,479 | 17,69 | 17,954 | 131,118 |
| Ke (\%) | 15.03\% | 10.04\% | 14.61\% | 8.93\% | 12.15\% | 11.43\% | 11.78\% | 11.07\% | 11.61\% | 11.47\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 2710.754282 | 1694.805133 | 1741.104966 | 1100.979329 | 513.679932 | 1230.39269 | 2059.02574 | 1956.827849 | 2084.503685 | 15045.4392 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 52,516 | 45,338 | 44,231 | 39,912 | 49,260 | 44,859 | 34,788 | 38,424 | 47,646 | 67,868 |
| Kd (\%) | 8.51\% | 7.80\% | 6.67\% | 13.11\% | 30.29\% | 14.88\% | 6.16\% | 8.63\% | 7.82\% | 33.01\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 4469.186031 | 3537.142779 | 2950.03005 | 5234.327254 | 14919.09171 | 6676.760445 | 2141.357981 | 3315.110703 | 3725.465402 | 22405.10557 |
| =WaCC (Rand) | 7179.940313 | 5231.947912 | 4691.135015 | 6335.306583 | 15432.76964 | 7907.153135 | 4200.560555 | 5271.938552 | 5809.969088 | 37450.54477 |
| = Wacc (\%) | 10.2\% | 8.4\% | 8.4\% | 12.1\% | 28.9\% | 14.2\% | 8.0\% | 9.4\% | 8.9\% | 18.8\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 2,862 | 3,770 | 1,310 | 1,601 | - 176 | . 703 | -2,556 | -624 | 35,584 | 21,817 |
| - Cost of Capital | 7,180 | 5,232 | 4,691 | 6,335 | 15,433 | 7,907 | 4,201 | 5,72 | 5,810 | 37,451 |
| EVA | -4,318 | -1,462 | -3,381 | -4,734 | -15,608 | -8,611 | -6,757 | -5,896 | 29,74 | -15,633 |



| Eva Mr Price | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT- TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 678,512 | 601,367 | 445,438 | 333,006 | 278,155 | 209,201 | 139,821 | 141,772 | 110,273 |  |
| -Tax on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 203,554 | 180,410 | 133,631 | 99,902 | 83,447 | 62,760 | 41,946 | 42,532 | 33,082 |  |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 474,958 | 420,957 | 311,807 | 233,104 | 194,709 | 146,441 | 97,875 | 99,240 | 77,191 |  |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 4,626 | 4,558 | 5,049 | 5,490 | 5,056 | 5,639 | 3,735 | 279 | 113,001 |  |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 4,626 | 4,558 | 5,049 | 5,112 | 4,688 | 4,124 | 3,409 | 27 | 113,001 |  |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 368 | 1,515 | 326 | 252 | 0 |  |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 479,584 | 425,515 | 316,856 | 238,594 | 199,765 | 152,080 | 101,610 | 99,519 | 190,192 |  |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST O F INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 6,311 | 12,762 | 35,731 | 36,460 | 37,706 | 16,304 | 17,379 | 2,796 | 10,299 |  |
| $=$ Tax saved on interest | 1,893 | 3,829 | 10,719 | 10,938 | 11,312 | 4,891 | 5,214 | 839 | 3,090 |  |
| After tax cost of debt | 4,418 | 8,933 | 25,012 | 25,522 | 26,394 | 11,413 | 12,165 | 1,957 | 7,209 |  |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 132,878 | 118,020 | 5,657 | 132,042 | 63,531 | 86,484 | 106,270 | 856 | 326 |  |
| Effective Interest Rate, , Kd | 3.32\% | 7.57\% | 442.14\% | 19.33\% | 41.55\% | 13.20\% | 11.45\% | 228.64\% | 2211.44\% |  |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf (Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |  |
| Beta (B) | 0.78329 | 0.73656 | 0.43546 | 1.0596 | 0.75373 | 0.74634 | 0.74878 | 0.82711 | 0.76899 |  |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |  |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 14.07\% | 13.79\% | 11.98\% | 15.73\% | 13.89\% | 13.85\% | 13.86\% | 14.33\% | 13.98\% |  |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 1,316,808 | 1,025,647 | 1,135,154 | 925,214 | 788,918 | 673,010 | 560,716 | 470,944 | 376,192 |  |
| Ke (\%) | 14.07\% | 13.79\% | 11.98\% | 15.73\% | 13.89\% | 13.85\% | 13.86\% | 14.33\% | 13.98\% |  |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 185271.4619 | 141430.1572 | 136022.7995 | 145513.9571 | 109599,3681 | 93198.56781 | 77730.30159 | 67498.84094 | 52606.44571 |  |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 1,176,854 | 1,001,192 | 978,483 | 930,126 | 900,712 | 806,852 | 683,738 | 543,769 | 326,036 |  |
| Kd (\%) | 3.32\% | 7.57\% | 442.14\% | 19.33\% | 41.55\% | 13.20\% | 11.45\% | 228.64\% | 2211.44\% |  |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 39126.02474 | 75784.17737 | 4326237.096 | 179781.2497 | 374204.2888 | 106475.6545 | 78271.17617 | 1243299.868 | 7210096.119 |  |
| =WacC (Rand) | 224397.4866 | 217214.3345 | 4462259.875 | 325295.2068 | 483803.6569 | 199674.2223 | 156001.4778 | 1310798.709 | 7262702.565 |  |
| $=$ WaCC (\%) | 9.0\% | 10.7\% | 211.1\% | 17.5\% | 28.6\% | 13.5\% | 12.5\% | 129.2\% | 1034.2\% |  |
| STEP 5:CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 479,584 | 425,515 | 316,856 | 238,594 | 199,765 | 152,080 | 101,610 | 99,519 | 190,192 |  |
| - Cost of Capital | 224,397 | 217,214 | 4,462,60 | 325,295 | 483,804 | 199,674 | 156,001 | 1,310,799 | 7,262,03 |  |
| EVA | 255,187 | 208,301 | -4,145,404 | -86,701 | -284,039 | -47,595 | -54,392 | $-1,211,279$ | $\cdot 7,072,510$ |  |


| EvA ${ }^{\text {® }}$ NewClicks | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 538,846 | 398,717 | 349,351 | 173,424 | 386,771 | 278,203 | 291,608 | 207,161 | 193,545 | 132,372 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit ate effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 161,654 | 119,615 | 104,805 | 52,027 | 116,031 | 83,461 | 87,482 | 62,148 | 58,064 | 39,712 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 377,192 | 279,102 | 244,546 | 121,397 | 270,740 | 194,742 | 204,126 | 145,013 | 135,482 | 92,660 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 6,361 | 6,386 | 17,464 | 275,118 | 23,119 | 11,996 | 1,702 | 43,065 | 0 | 125,609 |
| +Intangible Assets Writen Off | 6,111 | 5,132 | 650 | 650 | 0 | 650 | -21,850 | 43,65 | 0 | 125,609 |
| +Amortisation of goodwill | 250 | 1,254 | 16,814 | 274,468 | 23,19 | 11,346 | 23,552 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (Atter Adjustments) | 383,553 | 285,488 | 262,010 | 396,515 | 294,459 | 206,738 | 205,828 | 188,078 | 135,482 | 218,269 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THECOST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 54,993 | 68,589 | 56,964 | 78,886 | 88,760 | 70,684 | 44,185 | 20,956 | 22,817 | 23,232 |
| $=-$ Tax saved on interest | 16,408 | 20,577 | 17,89 | 23,426 | 26,628 | 21,205 | 13,256 | 6,287 | 6,845 | 6,970 |
| After tax cost of debt | 38,285 | 48,012 | 39,875 | 54,660 | 62,132 | 49,79 | 30,930 | 14,669 | 15,972 | 16,262 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 132,878 | 118,020 | 5,657 | 132,042 | 63,531 | 86,484 | 106,270 | 856 | 326 | 0 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 28.81\% | 40.68\% | 704.88\% | 41.40\% | 97.80\% | 57.21\% | 29.10\% | 1713.69\% | 4899.36\% | 0.00\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ke}=\mathrm{CAPM}=\mathrm{Rf}+(\mathrm{Bx} \times \mathrm{MRP})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf( Goverrment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.65897 | 0.58835 | 0.41069 | 0.51046 | 0.54212 | 0.51290 | 0.49404 | 0.51488 | 0.51599 | 0.50945 |
| MRP ( $6 \%$ = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 13.32\% | 12.90\% | 11.83\% | 12.43\% | 12.62\% | 12.45\% | 12.33\% | 12.46\% | 12.47\% | 12.43\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPPTAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 1,296,188 | 1,593,949 | 1,340,223 | 1,372,697 | 1,608,510 | 1,221,757 | 1,016,837 | 827,555 | 656,319 | 533,774 |
| Ke (\%) | 13.32\% | 12.90\% | 11.83\% | 12.43\% | 12.62\% | 12.45\% | 12.33\% | 12.46\% | 12.47\% | 12.43\% |
| Cost of Equity/ (Rand) | 172701.756 | 205621.0149 | 158603.8661 | 170664.1235 | 203037.4722 | 152077.3015 | 125419.2971 | 103107,4547 | 81816.19546 | 66330.6143 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 2,144,191 | 2,090,458 | 1,449,952 | 1,760,937 | 1,845,320 | 1,440,033 | 965,400 | 899,627 | 809,715 | 618,113 |
| Kd (\%) | 28.81\% | 40.68\% | 704.88\% | 41.40\% | 97.80\% | 57.21\% | 29.10\% | 1713.69\% | 4899.36\% | 0.00\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 782018.6476 | 850429.5597 | 13039856.11 | 728958.7299 | 1804684.677 | 709441.5707 | 280976.1861 | 15416832.23 | 39670819.04 | 0 |
| =Wacc (Rand) | 954720.4036 | 1056050.575 | 13198459.98 | 899622.8534 | 2007722.15 | 861518.8722 | 406395.4832 | 15519939.68 | 3975635.24 | 66330.6143 |
| $=\operatorname{WaCC}(\%)$ | 23.8\% | 28.7\% | 413.7\% | 28.7\% | 58.1\% | 35.0\% | 20.5\% | 898.6\% | 2711.6\% | 5.8\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 388,553 | 285,488 | 262,010 | 396,515 | 294,459 | 206,738 | 205,828 | 188,078 | 135,482 | 218,269 |
| - Cost of Capital | 954,720 | 1,056,051 | 13,198,460 | 899,623 | 2,007,722 | 861,519 | 406,395 | 15,19,940 | 39,75,635 | 66,331 |
| EVA | . 571,167 | -770,563 | -12,936,450 | .503,108 | -1,713,263 | .654,781 | -200,568 | -15,331,862 | -39,617,154 | 151,939 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {A African \& Overseas }}$ | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP P:CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 22,016 | 19,130 | 28,551 | 7,965 | 20,491 | 8,767 | 6,409 | 19,783 | 24,472 | 23,349 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit ate effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 6,785 | 5,739 | 8,565 | 2,390 | 6,147 | 2,630 | 1,923 | 5,935 | 7,342 | 7,005 |
| =-NOPAT(Before Adjustments) | 15,831 | 13,391 | 19,986 | 5,576 | 14,344 | 6,137 | 4,486 | 13,848 | 17,130 | 16,344 |
| Nopat eva adiustments (Add back Non Cash lems) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 29 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 29 |
| +Amortistion of godwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 15,831 | 13,391 | 19,986 | 5,576 | 14,344 | 6,137 | 4,486 | 13,848 | 17,184 | 16,373 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Affer tax cost of INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 12 | 57 | 339 | 335 | 124 | 39 | 57 | 137 | 180 | 0 |
| $=$-Tax saved on interest | 4 | 17 | 102 | 101 | 37 | 12 | 17 | 41 | 54 | 0 |
| After tax cost of debt | 8 | 40 | 237 | 235 | 87 | 27 | 40 | 96 | 126 | 0 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 3,855 | 3,353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,118 | 1,703 | 0 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 0.22\% | 1.19\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.08\% | 7.40\% | 0.00\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY,Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(\mathrm{BX} \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pf (Goverment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.13626 | 0.11883 | -0.10487 | -0.23147 | -0.020313 | -0.059456 | -0.104027 | -0.103816 | -0.071903 | -0.084800 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 10.19\% | 10.08\% | 8.74\% | 7.98\% | 9.25\% | 9.01\% | 8.75\% | 8.75\% | 8.94\% | 8.86\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPTIAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 159,736 | 148,253 | 139,845 | 175,686 | 178,808 | 168,638 | 164,374 | 163,259 | 156,727 | 146,185 |
| Ke (\%) | 10.19\% | 10.08\% | 8.74\% | 7.98\% | 9.25\% | 9.01\% | 8.75\% | 8.75\% | 8.94\% | 8.86\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 16273.20084 | 14948.32034 | 12223.54379 | 14021.81589 | 16536.38735 | 15199.79194 | 14375.88345 | 14280.43169 | 14009.17272 | 12953.74126 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 110,914 | 101,060 | 98,206 | 109,749 | 118,166 | 103,612 | 103,159 | 107,672 | 108,701 | 99,763 |
| Kd (\%) | 0.22\% | 1.19\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 3.08\% | 7.40\% | 0.00\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 24.6883113 | 1202.592902 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3311.656446 | 8042.469759 | 0 |
| =WACC(Rand) | 16514.88815 | 16150.91324 | 12223.54379 | 14021.81589 | 16536.38735 | 15199.79194 | 14375.88345 | 17592.08813 | 22051.64247 | 12953.74126 |
| $=\operatorname{WacC}(\%)$ | 6.1\% | 6.5\% | 5.1\% | 4.9\% | 5.6\% | 5.6\% | 5.4\% | 6.5\% | 8.3\% | 5.3\% |
| STEP 5:CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 15,831 | 13,391 | 19,986 | 5,576 | 14,344 | 6,137 | 4,886 | 13,848 | 17,184 | 16,373 |
| - Cost of Capital | 16,515 | 16,151 | 12,224 | 14,022 | 16,536 | 15,200 | 14,376 | 17,592 | 22,052 | 12,954 |
| EVA | . 684 | -2,760 | 1,762 | 8,446 | -2,193 | -9,063 | -9,890 | -3,74 | -4,867 | 3,420 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ cashuild | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interestand Tax (EBT) | 194,204 | 136,749 | 124,589 | 90,51 | 73,577 | 39,033 | 23,749 | 4,531 | 18,199 | 22,675 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit (at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 58,261 | 41,025 | 37,377 | 27,171 | 22,073 | 11,710 | 7,125 | 1,359 | 5,460 | 6,803 |
| =-NOPAT(Before Adjustments) | 135,943 | 95,72 | 87,212 | 63,400 | 51,504 | 27,323 | 16,624 | 3,172 | 12,739 | 15,873 |
| NOPAT Eva Adjustments (Add back Non Cash Items) | 1,795 | 1,734 | 1,265 | 220 | 134 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 128 | 121 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 1,333 | 1,734 | 1,025 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 128 | 121 |
| +Amortistion of godwill | 462 | 0 | 240 | 217 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 137,738 | 97,458 | 88,477 | 63,220 | 51,638 | 27,324 | 16,625 | 3,177 | 12,867 | 15,994 |
| STEP2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFTE TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 2,533 | 1,336 | 645 | 681 | 551 | 202 | 1,733 | 4,129 | 5,127 | 8,237 |
| --Tax saved on interest | 760 | 401 | 194 | 204 | 165 | 61 | 520 | 1,239 | 1,538 | 2,471 |
| After tax cost of debt | 1,773 | 935 | 452 | 477 | 386 | 141 | 1,213 | 2,890 | 3,589 | 5,766 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 1,645 | 1,454 | 47 | 492 | 63 | 178 | 279 | 3,105 | 14,917 | 5,546 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 107.79\% | 64.32\% | 960.64\% | 96.89\% | 612.22\% | 79.44\% | 434.80\% | 93.09\% | 24.06\% | 103.97\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATETHECOSTOF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $K \mathrm{C}=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf (Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.25589 | -0.09948 | -0.07766 | 0.05708 | 0.03396 | -0.02153 | -0.00204 | 0.01687 | 0.00682 | 0.0003 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 10.91\% | 8.77\% | 8.90\% | 9.71\% | 9.57\% | 9.24\% | 9.36\% | 9.47\% | 9.41\% | 9.37\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COSTOF CAPITAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 383,293 | 286,845 | 220,378 | 184,913 | 143,846 | 103,44 | 91,143 | 77,576 | 76,965 | 71,919 |
| Ke (\%) | 10.91\% | 8.77\% | 8.90\% | 9.71\% | 9.57\% | 9.24\% | 9.36\% | 9.47\% | 9.41\% | 9.37\% |
| Cost of Equity/(Rand) | 41799.40885 | 25165.25606 | 19622.54527 | 17959.63814 | 13771.44923 | 9559.193403 | 8588.959332 | 7347.387627 | 7243.095592 | 6738941851 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 683,086 | 634,223 | 570,318 | 412,219 | 348,453 | 322,968 | 239,594 | 233,902 | 195,756 | 191,615 |
| Kd (\%) | 107.79\% | 64.32\% | 960.64\% | 96.89\% | 612.22\% | 79.44\% | 434.80\% | 93.09\% | 24.06\% | 103.97\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 736279.5055 | 407926.6503 | 5478693.128 | 399399.9945 | 2133306.7 | 256559.973 | 1041761.582 | 217728.4865 | 47097.18498 | 199212.5728 |
| =Wacc (Rand) | 778078.9104 | 433091.9064 | 5498815.673 | 417359.6327 | 2147078.149 | 266119.1664 | 1050290.542 | 225075.8741 | 54340.28058 | 205951.5146 |
| $=$ Wacc $1 \%$ ) | 73.0\% | 47.0\% | 695.4\% | 69.9\% | 436.1\% | 62.4\% | 317.6\% | 723\% | 19.9\% | 78.1\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 137,738 | 97,458 | 88,477 | 63,20 | 51,638 | 27,324 | 16,625 | 3,177 | 12,867 | 15,994 |
| Costof Capital | 778,79 | 433,092 | 5,498,316 | 417,360 | 2,477,078 | 266,119 | 1,050,291 | 225,076 | 54,340 | 205,952 |
| EVA | .640,341 | -335,634 | -5,409,838 | .353,740 | -2,095,440 | -238,795 | -1,033,665 | -221,899 | -41,473 | -189,958 |


| EvA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Combined Motor Holdings | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1:CALCULATING NOPAT,R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interestand Tax (EBT) | 219,455 | 324,762 | 263,966 | 178,434 | 109,902 | 95,437 | 79,133 | 65,910 | 49,38 | 44,114 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 65,837 | 97,429 | 79,190 | 53,30 | 32,71 | 28,631 | 23,740 | 19,773 | 14,711 | 13,234 |
| =NOPAT(Before Adjustments) | 153,619 | 227,333 | 184,776 | 124,904 | 76,331 | 66,806 | 55,393 | 46,137 | 34,327 | 30,880 |
| NoPat eva Adjustments (Add back Non Cash lems) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,450 | 7,882 | 7,486 | 9,715 | 5,756 | 3,279 | 6,220 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,279 | O |
| +Amortisation of godvill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,450 | 7,882 | 7,486 | 9,115 | 5,756 | 0 | 6,220 |
| = NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 153,619 | 227,333 | 184,776 | 130,354 | 84,813 | 74,292 | 65,108 | 51,893 | 37,606 | 37,800 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFIER TAX COST OF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 52,690 | 42,26 | 23,498 | 12,533 | 15,417 | 17,673 | 14,768 | 8,106 | 3,48 | 6,150 |
| --Tax saved on interest | 15,807 | 12,758 | 7,049 | 3,760 | 4,625 | 5,302 | 4,330 | 2,432 | 974 | 1,845 |
| After tax cost of debt | 36,883 | 29,768 | 16,449 | 8,773 | 10,792 | 12,371 | 10,338 | 5,674 | 2,274 | 4,305 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 6,838 | 8,556 | 4,173 | 2,434 | 3,784 | 12,532 | 9,375 | 12,02 | 9,600 | 5,488 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 539.38\% | 347.92\% | 394.17\% | 360.44\% | 285.20\% | 98.72\% | 110.27\% | 47.28\% | 23.68\% | 77.60\% |
| STEP 3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(\mathrm{Bx} \times \mathrm{MRP})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf (Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | -0.12822 | 0.40262 | 0.25215 | 0.2973 | 0.20532 | 0.28871 | 0.26023 | 0.26225 | 0.25412 | 0.26633 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equit, Ke | 8.60\% | 11.79\% | 10.88\% | 11.14\% | 10.60\% | 11.10\% | 10.93\% | 10.94\% | 10.89\% | 10.97\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COSTOF CAPITAL, K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 484,837 | 450,306 | 478,430 | 365,581 | 272,229 | 225,937 | 189,437 | 160,553 | 123,501 | 103,556 |
| Ke (\%) | 8.60\% | 11.79\% | 10.88\% | 11.14\% | 10.60\% | 11.10\% | 10.93\% | 10.94\% | 10.89\% | 10.97\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 41699.27889 | 53071.8043 | 52067.05847 | 40719.80099 | 28935.71424 | 25084.0454 | 20708.03571 | 17570.0724 | 13455.11883 | 11357.97139 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 1,775,129 | 1,933,076 | 1,289,687 | 776,181 | 585,182 | 499,764 | 353,001 | 296,460 | 213,631 | 119,072 |
| Kd (\%) | 539.38\% | 347.92\% | 394.17\% | 360.44\% | 285.20\% | 98.72\% | 110.27\% | 47.28\% | 23.68\% | 77,60\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 9574741.578 | 6725995.253 | 5083523.985 | 2797663.735 | 1668928.548 | 493347.4641 | 390238.6109 | 140157.7514 | 50594,94183 | 92394.54339 |
| =Wacc (Rand) | 9616440.856 | 678667.057 | 5135591.043 | 2838888.536 | 1697864.262 | 518431.5095 | 410946.6467 | 157727.8238 | 64050.06066 | 103752.5208 |
| $=$ Wacc $1 \%$ ) | 425.5\% | 284.4\% | 290.5\% | 248.6\% | 197.9\% | 71.4\% | 75.6\% | 34.5\% | 19.0\% | 46.6\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 153,619 | 227,333 | 184,776 | 130,354 | 84,813 | 74,292 | 65,108 | 51,893 | 37,606 | 37,800 |
| - Cost of Capital | 9,616,441 | 6,778,667 | 5,135,591 | 2,838,384 | 1,697,864 | 518,432 | 410,947 | 157,728 | 64,550 | 103,753 |
| EVA | -9,462,822 | -6,551,334 | -4,950,815 | -2,708,030 | -1,613,051 | -444,140 | -345,839 | -105,835 | -26,444 | -65,953 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® Foschini }}$ | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interestand Tax (EBT) | 2,092,000 | 1,756,400 | 1,199,700 | 808,100 | 576,700 | 383,400 | 199,600 | 333,700 | 348,600 | 340,100 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit (ateffective Tax rate of 30\%) | 627,600 | 526,920 | 359,910 | 242,430 | 173,010 | 115,020 | 59,880 | 100,110 | 104,580 | 102,030 |
| =NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 1,464,400 | 1,229,480 | 839,790 | 565,670 | 403,690 | 268,380 | 139,720 | 233,590 | 244,020 | 238,070 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add back Non Cash htems) | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 6,500 | 5,300 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 200 | 100 | 0 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 100 | 0 |
| +Amortistion of godwill | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,500 | 5,300 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 1,465,900 | 1,229,480 | 839,790 | 572,170 | 408,990 | 271,480 | 142,820 | 233,790 | 244,120 | 238,070 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATE THE COST OF DEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COSTOF INTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charse (Income statement) | 104,700 | 79,100 | 58,400 | 55,50 | 63,500 | 100,600 | 43,200 | 23,400 | 37,70 | 43,00 |
| $=$-Tax saved on interest | 31,410 | 23,730 | 17,520 | 16,550 | 19,050 | 30,180 | 12,960 | 7,020 | 11,310 | 12,900 |
| After tax cost of debt | 73,290 | 55,370 | 40,880 | 38,850 | 4,450 | 70,420 | 30,240 | 16,380 | 26,390 | 30,100 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 1,020,500 | 805,000 | 556,100 | 321,300 | 356,800 | 489,600 | 406,800 | 126,400 | 90,800 | 142,100 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 7.18\% | 6.88\% | 7.35\% | 12.09\% | 12.46\% | 14.38\% | 7.43\% | 12.96\% | 29.06\% | 21.18\% |
| STEP3: CALCULATE THE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=$ Rf $+(B \times$ MRP $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rf( Govermment Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.64404 | 0.64709 | 0.41945 | 0.61876 | 0.58234 | 0.56691 | 0.54686 | 0.57872 | 0.56871 | 0.56530 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equit, Ke | 13.23\% | 13.25\% | 11.89\% | 13.08\% | 12.86\% | 12.77\% | 12.65\% | 12.84\% | 12.78\% | 12.76\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPPITAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 4,004,900 | 3,211,800 | 2,646,000 | 2,301,500 | 2,082,100 | 1,838,700 | 1,841,300 | 1,755,700 | 1,552,900 | 1,385,900 |
| Ke (\%) | 13.23\% | 13.25\% | 11.89\% | 13.08\% | 12.86\% | 12.77\% | 12.65\% | 12.84\% | 12.78\% | 12.76\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 530018.0778 | 425645.0797 | 314522.082 | 301095.1184 | 26784.5522 | 234828.6971 | 232946.1888 | 225472.2748 | 198495.3425 | 176865.6819 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 2,960,500 | 2,789,400 | 2,991,200 | 1,516,200 | 1,308,700 | 1,256,400 | 1,087,600 | 883,200 | 545,700 | 615,400 |
| Kd (\%) | 7.18\% | 6.88\% | 7.35\% | 12.09\% | 12.46\% | 14.38\% | 7.43\% | 12.96\% | 29.06\% | 21.18\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 212616.4086 | 191862.2087 | 153728.2072 | 188331.3725 | 163037.3178 | 180710.1471 | 80888.14159 | 114452.6582 | 158601.5749 | 130355.665 |
| =Wacc (Rand) | 742634.4864 | 617507.2884 | 468250.2892 | 48426.4909 | 430878.87 | 415538.8442 | 313794,3304 | 339924.933 | 357096.9174 | 307221.3469 |
| $=$ Wacc (\%) | 10.7\% | 10.3\% | 9.9\% | 12.7\% | 12.7\% | 13.4\% | 10.7\% | 12.9\% | 17.0\% | 15.4\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 1,465,900 | 1,229,480 | 839,790 | 572,170 | 408,990 | 271,480 | 142,820 | 233,790 | 244,120 | 238,070 |
| - Cost of Capital | 742,634 | 617,507 | 468,250 | 484,426 | 430,879 | 415,539 | 313,794 | 339,925 | 357,097 | 307,221 |
| EVA | 723,266 | 611,973 | 371,540 | 87,744 | -21,889 | -144,059 | -170,974 | -106,135 | .112,971 | .69,151 |


| EVA ${ }^{\text {® }}$ Advech | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 1: CALCULATING NOPAT, R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT = EBIT-TAX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit Before Interest and Tax (EBT) | 178,000 | 131,683 | 92,79 | 60,461 | 75,351 | -200,821 | 8,119 | 65,364 | -152,483 | 9,331 |
| -Tax on Operating Profit at effective Tax rate of 30\%) | 53,400 | 39,505 | 27,684 | 18,138 | 22,005 | -60,246 | 2,436 | 19,609 | -45,74 | 2,999 |
| =-NOPAT (Before Adjustments) | 124,600 | 92,178 | 64,995 | 42,323 | 52,746 | -140,575 | 5,683 | 45,755 | -106,738 | 6,532 |
| NOPAT EVA Adjustments (Add backNon Cash Items) | 1,068 | 586 | 0 | 0 | -6,453 | 245,363 | 24,126 | 17,060 | 287,353 | 34,960 |
| +Intangible Assets Written Off | 1,068 | 585 | 0 | 0 | 2,168 | 440 | 356 | 2,615 | 81,278 | 227 |
| +Amortistion of godwill | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -8,621 | 244,923 | 23,70 | 14,445 | 206,075 | 34,733 |
| =NOPAT (After Adjustments) | 125,668 | 92,64 | 64,995 | 42,323 | 46,293 | 104,788 | 29,809 | 62,815 | 180,615 | 41,492 |
| STEP 2: CALCULATETHECOSTOFDEBT, Kd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFTER TAX COST OFINTEREST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest charge (Income statement) | 3,131 | 3,860 | 5,147 | 4,463 | 23,938 | 41,275 | 11,615 | 36,417 | 17,072 | 4,718 |
| =-Tax saved on interest | 939 | 1,158 | 1,544 | 1,339 | 7,181 | 12,383 | 3,485 | 10,225 | 5,122 | 1,415 |
| After tax cost of debt | 2,192 | 2,702 | 3,603 | 3,124 | 16,757 | 28,993 | 8,131 | 25,492 | 11,950 | 3,303 |
| Long Term Loans + Short term interest bearing borrowings | 10,896 | 18,144 | 23,200 | 35,181 | 78,551 | 134,163 | 129,250 | 157,043 | 74,532 | 6,049 |
| Effective Interest Rate, Kd | 20.11\% | 14.89\% | 15.53\% | 8.88\% | 21.33\% | 21.54\% | 6.29\% | 16.23\% | 16.03\% | 54.60\% |
| STEP 3:CALCULATETHE COST OF EQUITY, Ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ke $=$ CAPM $=\mathrm{Rf}+(\mathrm{Bx} \times \mathrm{MRP})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pf (Government Bonds) | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| Beta (B) | 0.46285 | 0.24165 | 0.5947 | 0.77125 | 0.51763 | 0.53133 | 0.60374 | 0.60599 | 0.56467 | 0.57643 |
| MRP (6\% = expected growth) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Cost of Equity, Ke | 12.15\% | 10.82\% | 12.94\% | 14.00\% | 12.48\% | 12.56\% | 12.99\% | 13.01\% | 12.76\% | 12.83\% |
| STEP 4: CALCULATE THE WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPTIAL,K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity (Balance Sheet) | 416,180 | 328,228 | 286,898 | 252,598 | 213,941 | 180,502 | 439,487 | 455,344 | 262,642 | 246,476 |
| Ke (\%) | 12.15\% | 10.82\% | 12.94\% | 14.00\% | 12.48\% | 12.56\% | 12.99\% | 13.01\% | 12.76\% | 12.83\% |
| Cost of Equity (Rand) | 50553.80078 | 35557.22097 | 37120.64201 | 35357.40505 | 26690.80849 | 22667.35091 | 51100.18367 | 59221.69059 | 35507.94228 | 31619.39882 |
| Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt (Balance Sheet) | 244,093 | 201,777 | 194,658 | 170,528 | 167,803 | 224,673 | 232,535 | 271,108 | 105,891 | 57,811 |
| Kd (\%) | 20.11\% | 14.89\% | 15.53\% | 8.88\% | 21.33\% | 21.54\% | 6.29\% | 16.23\% | 16.03\% | 54.60\% |
| Cost of Debt (Rand) | 49098.62593 | 30048.58102 | 30229.88397 | 15143.01824 | 35795.95104 | 48384,16443 | 14627.6659 | 44007.42488 | 16978.47645 | 31563.3342 |
| =Wacc( Rand) | 99652.42671 | 65605.80199 | 67350.52598 | 50500.42329 | 62486.75953 | 71051.51534 | 71727.84957 | 103229.1155 | 50486.41872 | 63182.73248 |
| $=$ Wacc $1 \%$ ) | 15.1\% | 12.4\% | 14.0\% | 11.9\% | 16.4\% | 17.5\% | 10.7\% | 14.2\% | 13.7\% | 20.8\% |
| STEP 5: CALCULATE EVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOPAT | 125,668 | 92,764 | 64,995 | 42,323 | 46,293 | 104,788 | 29,809 | 62,815 | 180,615 | 41,422 |
| Costof Capital | 99,652 | 65,606 | 67,351 | 50,500 | 62,887 | 71,052 | 71,728 | 103,229 | 50,886 | 63,183 |
| EVA | 26,016 | 27,158 | -2,755 | -8,178 | -16,194 | 33,737 | -41,919 | -40,414 | 130,128 | -21,691 |
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## 3 Growth rates for banking shares listed on the JSE

| Year | Turn Over Growth Rate | BankName | Bank | EVA Growth Rate | Share Price Growth Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { P/E Growth } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | EPS Growth Rate | ROA Growth Rate | EBITDA Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 22.59\% | ABSA | 2 | -1.162248875 | -0.237990196 | -0.332446809 | 0.14154073 | 0.123222749 | 0.233564536 |
| 2000 | -24.08\% | ABSA | 2 | -0.254649099 | -0.221614667 | -0.22310757 | 0.001937359 | -0.312236287 | -0.26907876 |
| 2001 | 3.15\% | ABSA | 2 | 1.291088512 | 0.32107438 | 0.082051282 | 0.22043184 | -0.047034765 | 0.057807013 |
| 2002 | -2.96\% | ABSA | 2 | -10.61514843 | -0.167031592 | 0.084123223 | -0.231317666 | -0.232832618 | -0.036467116 |
| 2003 | 43.46\% | ABSA | 2 | 0.601250745 | 0.176492677 | -0.351912568 | 0.813809687 | 0.34965035 | 0.453292496 |
| 2004 | -2.03\% | ABSA | 2 | -0.659563237 | 0.459623364 | 0.119730185 | 0.303977273 | -0.141968912 | -0.023408099 |
| 2005 | 77.46\% | ABSA | 2 | 6.270056363 | 1.120708506 | 0.615963855 | 0.312505447 | 0.312801932 | 0.752909441 |
| 2006 | -23.65\% | ABSA | 2 | -0.641076078 | 0.214786554 | -0.07082945 | 0.307792754 | -0.358785649 | -0.225158284 |
| 2007 | 40.95\% | ABSA | 2 | -0.055403005 | -0.016042781 | -0.170511535 | 0.186241327 | 0.12482066 | 0.446717358 |
| 2002 | 294.19\% | Capitec | 3 | 0.772673085 | 1.032 | 2.538461538 | -0.426433915 | -0.31887456 | -0.108184886 |
| 2003 | 29.74\% | Capitec | 3 | 0.375121903 | 1.24015748 | 0.469202899 | 0.526086957 | 0.153184165 | 0.3989651 |
| 2004 | 51.18\% | Capitec | 3 | -0.317917446 | 1.481546573 | 0.711467324 | 0.448717949 | -0.011940299 | 0.567100514 |
| 2005 | 53.41\% | Capitec | 3 | 1.809387051 | 1.114022663 | 0.303314121 | 0.622418879 | 0.16163142 | 0.412923202 |
| 2006 | 34.64\% | Capitec | 3 | -6.929307085 | 0.233835846 | -0.084577114 | 0.347878788 | -0.025357607 | 0.661710555 |
| 2007 | 30.42\% | Capitec | 3 | -3.065161665 | 0.091501493 | -0.062801932 | 0.164568345 | -0.118078719 | 0.196177201 |
| 1999 | 323.39\% | Firstrand | 4 | -2.41556784 | -0.303974221 | -0.578713312 | 0.652173913 | 3.421296296 | -0.633784655 |
| 2000 | -24.27\% | Firstrand | 4 | 0.274515239 | 0.089506173 | -0.081632653 | 0.186403509 | -0.352879581 | 8.804577465 |
| 2001 | 6.98\% | Firstrand | 4 | -0.018193606 | 0.188385269 | -0.052873563 | 0.255083179 | -0.100323625 | 0.032680912 |
| 2002 | 25.63\% | Firstrand | 4 | -0.125223058 | -0.075089392 | -0.275889968 | 0.276877761 | -0.102517986 | 0.035124326 |
| 2003 | 30.47\% | Firstrand | 4 | -0.285145178 | -0.009020619 | -0.044692737 | 0.036908881 | 0.216432866 | 0.29346548 |
| 2004 | -7.46\% | Firstrand | 4 | 0.264413879 | 0.319895969 | 0.076023392 | 0.226918799 | -0.136738056 | -0.072727273 |
| 2005 | 16.10\% | Firstrand | 4 | 0.249491116 | 0.38817734 | 0.047826087 | 0.325475975 | 0.070610687 | 0.06442577 |
| 2006 | 12.74\% | Firstrand | 4 | 0.942085967 | 0.210787793 | 0.121369295 | 0.079343365 | -0.096256684 | 0.218421053 |
| 2007 | 44.11\% | Firstrand | 4 | -4.547728552 | 0.34056272 | 0.04440333 | 0.283269962 | 0.179487179 | -0.004319654 |
| 2000 | -65.18\% | Mercantile | 5 | -4.339594783 | -0.588832487 | 0.156650246 | -0.644329897 | -0.780798641 | 0.313165626 |
| 2001 | 54.02\% | Mercantile | 5 | 0.462966367 | -0.654320988 | -1.211243612 | -2.637681159 | 1.085271318 | 0.144724883 |
| 2002 | -92.42\% | Mercantile | 5 | -1.842299367 | -0.607142857 | 0.935483871 | -5.04159292 | -1.836431227 | -0.063732975 |
| 2003 | 539.46\% | Mercantile | 5 | 0.812675785 | 0.363636364 | -13.1875 | 0.903325033 | 2.006666667 | -0.536318862 |
| 2004 | -145.73\% | Mercantile | 5 | -2.397751409 | 0 | 0.436123348 | -0.772727273 | -1.867549669 | -0.162563309 |
| 2005 | -449.42\% | Mercantile | 5 | 0.844618401 | 1.333333333 | 17.0859375 | 1.145299145 | 2.34351145 | 0.413775724 |
| 2006 | 47.03\% | Mercantile | 5 | 0.537937137 | -0.257142857 | -0.514327343 | 0.529411765 | 0.231060606 | -0.160596518 |
| 2007 | 37.87\% | Mercantile | 5 | 1.208275006 | 0.307692308 | -0.171 | 0.576923077 | 0.355384615 | -0.007644394 |
| 1999 | -18.06\% | Nedbank | 6 | -0.314902948 | 0.264613831 | -0.02782194 | 0.300813008 | -0.332046332 | -0.021875 |
| 2000 | 19.81\% | Nedbank | 6 | 7.502408993 | 0.270477407 | 0.026982829 | 0.237304688 | 0.061657033 | 0.476038339 |
| 2001 | -25.19\% | Nedbank | 6 | -1.718988626 | -0.258326002 | -0.40366242 | 0.243883189 | -0.40199637 | -0.147186147 |
| 2002 | 48.38\% | Nedbank | 6 | -0.083465322 | -0.024570025 | 0.504672897 | -0.351522843 | 0.078907436 | -0.04822335 |
| 2003 | 13.43\% | Nedbank | 6 | -0.187462142 | -0.444280379 | 27.38509317 | -0.980430528 | 0.036568214 | -0.218666667 |
| 2004 | -14.91\% | Nedbank | 6 | 0.46980537 | 0.192560175 | -0.94051266 | 19.05 | -0.242876526 | 0.549488055 |
| 2005 | 8.20\% | Nedbank | 6 | 0.480355839 | 0.232372215 | -0.379926432 | 0.987531172 | 0.03046595 | -0.059471366 |
| 2006 | 20.84\% | Nedbank |  | 0.353531803 | 0.350420079 | -0.030508475 | 0.39272271 | 0.010434783 | 0.067915691 |
| 2007 | 48.04\% | Nedbank | 6 | 1.055446644 | 0.04646401 | -0.217657343 | 0.337837838 | 0.30292599 | 0.195175439 |
| 1999 | -69.57\% | RMBHolding | 7 | -1.216196248 | -0.328492393 | -0.498958333 | 0.34063745 | -0.605371901 | -0.971997115 |
| 2000 | 89.43\% | RMBHolding | 7 | 0.027214867 | 0.038105046 | -0.210672211 | 0.315007429 | 0.664921466 | 0.515151515 |
| 2001 | -78.11\% | RMBHolding | 7 | -0.992666777 | 0.538690476 | 0.205443371 | 0.276836158 | -1.149371069 | 0.26 |
| 2002 | 124.80\% | RMBHolding | 7 | 0.203243869 | -0.272082527 | -0.419519301 | 0.253982301 | 3.463157895 | 0.253968254 |
| 2003 | -1.94\% | RMBHolding | 7 | 0.029443179 | -0.04340124 | -0.084065245 | 0.043754411 | -0.226495726 | 0.607594937 |
| 2004 | 158.29\% | RMBHolding | 7 | -0.180355604 | 0.487037037 | 0.221917808 | 0.217714672 | 1.386740331 | 0.409448819 |
| 2005 | 105.13\% | RMBHolding | 7 | -0.292905989 | 0.384184309 | 0.060538117 | 0.304275403 | 0.75 | 0.111731844 |
| 2006 | -11.98\% | RMBHolding | 7 | -0.503364432 | 0.145299145 | 0.086680761 | 0.05406556 | -0.283068783 | 0.085427136 |
| 2007 | 34.18\% | RMBHolding | 7 | -0.279337994 | 0.331500393 | 0.027237354 | 0.296042003 | 0.118081181 | -0.009259259 |
| 1999 | 13.39\% | Standard | 1 | 1.432772483 | 0.517707663 | 0.071428571 | 0.415934388 | -0.370629371 | -0.003887942 |
| 2000 | -1.03\% | Standard | 1 | -1.111854411 | 0.236741621 | 0.05025641 | 0.178320232 | -0.027777778 | 0.093407475 |
| 2001 | 21.21\% | Standard | 1 | -1.771126987 | -0.017495712 | -0.168945313 | 0.181530899 | -0.122857143 | 0.215452323 |
| 2002 | 19.37\% | Standard | 1 | 1.390363615 | 0.067039106 | -0.094007051 | 0.177711738 | 0.223127036 | 0.207273898 |
| 2003 | 23.64\% | Standard | 1 | 3.662959789 | 0.249018325 | 0.057068742 | 0.181680545 | -0.106524634 | 0.23523727 |
| 2004 | 2.46\% | Standard | 1 | -1.717695262 | 0.59758973 | 0.293251534 | 0.235746316 | -0.114754098 | 0.010224728 |
| 2005 | 8.51\% | Standard | 1 | 1.519403738 | 0.17513939 | -0.032258065 | 0.213582167 | -0.109427609 | 0.089248518 |
| 2006 | 28.54\% | Standard | 1 | 3.848466041 | 0.24769746 | 0.047058824 | 0.192367934 | 0.026465028 | 0.308178876 |
| 2007 | 23.52\% | Standard | 1 | 1.052582491 | 0.170562577 | -0.051498127 | 0.234057798 | 0.023941068 | 0.246392294 |

## 4 Growth rates for retail shares listed on the JSE

| Year | Turn Over Growth Rate | Retailer | EVA Growth Rate | Share Price Growth Rate | P/E Growth Rate | EPS Growth Rate | ROA Growth Rate | EBITDA Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 0.343721333 AdvTech | 21 | 6.999183366 | -0.534013605 | -0.545228216 | 0.024590164 | -10.91148325 | -10.33881579 |
| 2000 | 1.683504895 AdvTech | 21 | -1.310572406 | -0.788321168 | -0.712591241 | -0.264 | 1.353125754 | 1.587861923 |
| 2001 | -0.069077196 AdvTech | 21 | -0.037220328 | -0.172413793 | 0.228571429 | -0.326086957 | -0.869446343 | -0.684686088 |
| 2002 | -0.08890784 AdvTech | 21 | 1.804817557 | 0.458333333 | 0.705426357 | -0.14516129 | -27.08900524 | -7.895180906 |
| 2003 | 0.033411476 AdvTech | 21 | -1.480011942 | 1.171428571 | 0.309090909 | 0.660377358 | 1.396949629 | 1.52835867 |
| 2004 | 0.173019284 AdvTech | 21 | 0.495017091 | 0.552631579 | 0.137731481 | 0.363636364 | -0.275025278 | -0.147196895 |
| 2005 | 0.160048365 AdvTech | 21 | 0.663081535 | 0.711864407 | 0.309257375 | 0.308333333 | 0.340306834 | 0.442322748 |
| 2006 | 0.255801886 AdvTech | 21 | 10.85701289 | 0.475247525 | 0.02020202 | 0.445859873 | 0.313215401 | 0.338783957 |
| 2007 | 0.159712527 AdvTech | 21 | -0.042076448 | 0.610738255 | 0.142421935 | 0.40969163 | 0.087559429 | 0.332982794 |
| 1999 | 0.114270807 African\&Overseas | 17 | -2.423353959 | 0.043478261 | -0.101928375 | 0.163157895 | -0.037205771 | 0.104783123 |
| 2000 | 0.118758586 African\&Overseas | 17 | 0.230778381 | 0.265625 | 0.668711656 | -0.242081448 | -0.206624606 | -0.118824048 |
| 2001 | -0.027571393 African\&Overseas | 17 | -1.641456941 | -0.503429355 | 0.705882353 | -0.708955224 | -0.669980119 | -0.467727517 |
| 2002 | 0.17861297 African\&Overseas | 17 | 0.083592146 | 0.049723757 | -0.404633621 | 0.764102564 | 0.343373494 | 0.16110178 |
| 2003 | 0.070672135 African\&Overseas | 17 | 0.758058756 | 0.052631579 | -0.509502262 | 1.145348837 | 1.114349776 | 0.696754036 |
| 2004 | 0.053128277 African\&Overseas | 17 | -2.852038411 | 0.44 | 4.108856089 | -0.718157182 | -0.593849417 | -0.377050298 |
| 2005 | -0.16338608 African\&Overseas | 17 | 1.918999041 | 0.128472222 | -0.582881907 | 1.706730769 | 3.253263708 | 1.226352091 |
| 2006 | 0.015999667 African\&Overseas | 17 | -1.355560126 | 0.052307692 | 0.691774892 | -0.378330373 | -0.357274401 | -0.219222542 |
| 2007 | 0.229946154 African\&Overseas | 17 | 0.752281651 | -0.159356725 | -0.561412487 | 0.917142857 | 0.089780325 | 0.151314754 |
| 1999 | 0.029153013 Cashbuild | 18 | 0.78167291 | -0.160714286 | -0.307326356 | 0.211796247 | -0.222857143 | -0.179860617 |
| 2000 | 0.033869936 Cashbuild | 18 | -4.350451572 | -0.05775076 | -10.06043956 | -1.103982301 | -0.782352941 | -0.530070755 |
| 2001 | 0.028221722 Cashbuild | 18 | -3.658265384 | -0.316129032 | 1.045178896 | 16.12765957 | 3.952702703 | 1.382242232 |
| 2002 | 0.142637887 Cashbuild | 18 | 0.768982203 | 1.033018868 | 0.32885906 | 0.53164557 | 0.272851296 | 0.464603278 |
| 2003 | 0.242355873 Cashbuild | 18 | -7.775056707 | 2.03712297 | 0.679292929 | 0.807162534 | 0.648445874 | 0.726249656 |
| 2004 | 0.172392408 Cashbuild | 18 | 0.831185865 | 0.734912147 | 0.357894737 | 0.277439024 | 0.029258778 | 0.240548986 |
| 2005 | 0.350817896 Cashbuild | 18 | -14.29326455 | 0.712461471 | 0.239202658 | 0.382259348 | 0.032849021 | 0.378772164 |
| 2006 | 0.227042666 Cashbuild | 18 | 0.937958663 | 0.088454616 | 0.031277927 | 0.055251799 | -0.056269113 | 0.138314945 |
| 2007 | 0.272271388 Cashbuild | 18 | -0.907857551 | 0.46680841 | 0.019064125 | 0.439869103 | 0.22294232 | 0.413806125 |
| 1999 | 0.16565055 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | 0.599039125 | 0.428571429 | 0.13363029 | 0.261058109 | -0.260458167 | 0.083033849 |
| 2000 | 0.377255181 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -3.002154747 | 0.162162162 | -0.125736739 | 0.330123796 | -0.002020202 | 0.353706062 |
| 2001 | 0.29100502 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -2.267719776 | 0.361627907 | 0.128089888 | 0.206825233 | 0.012145749 | 0.26415175 |
| 2002 | -0.021203875 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -0.284239752 | -0.004269855 | -0.179282869 | 0.211653813 | -0.11 | 0.138563521 |
| 2003 | 0.242816905 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -2.631855452 | 0.569468268 | 0.298543689 | 0.208981612 | -0.031460674 | 0.158058895 |
| 2004 | 0.383024512 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -0.678824766 | 1.114754098 | 0.289719626 | 0.641123135 | 0.235885538 | 0.593500175 |
| 2005 | 0.516979767 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -0.828198109 | 1.605167959 | 0.884057971 | 0.382284798 | 0.023779725 | 0.481099527 |
| 2006 | 0.344637396 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -0.323283917 | -0.803808768 | -0.125384615 | -0.775657555 | -0.104523227 | 0.246197932 |
| 2007 | -0.030119367 CombinedMotorHoldings | 19 | -0.444411604 | -0.405460061 | 0.058927001 | -0.438505747 | -0.288054608 | -0.291407093 |
| 1999 | 0.047930646 Foschini | 20 | -0.633763079 | -0.36201469 | -0.397922313 | 0.059233449 | -0.036951501 | 0.054660126 |
| 2000 | 0.052579247 Foschini | 20 | 0.060560905 | 0.189967105 | 0.084771193 | 0.097587719 | -0.241606715 | -0.002657807 |
| 2001 | 0.126204421 Foschini | 20 | -0.610914762 | -0.635798203 | -0.272475795 | -0.4995005 | -0.458498024 | -0.263824117 |
| 2002 | 0.103808086 Foschini | 20 | 0.157424136 | 0.326375712 | -0.244296578 | 0.754491018 | 0.820437956 | 0.539064857 |
| 2003 | 0.17954953 Foschini | 20 | 0.848056048 | 0.557939914 | -0.155974843 | 0.845278726 | 0.376102646 | 0.359270874 |
| 2004 | 0.136422203 Foschini | 20 | 5.008590161 | 0.7456382 | 0.195230999 | 0.461775586 | 0.244172494 | 0.332516222 |
| 2005 | 0.197120181 Foschini | 20 | 3.234384 | 0.934245134 | 0.274314214 | 0.517503163 | 0.19765808 | 0.429282545 |
| 2006 | 0.218362283 Foschini | 20 | 0.647125983 | 0.595594234 | 0.239726027 | 0.286826014 | 0.167383653 | 0.442534827 |
| 2007 | 0.124049688 Foschini | 20 | 0.181859093 | 0.12306119 | -0.026835043 | 0.153779698 | 0.037855946 | 0.188579226 |
| 1999 | 1\% JDGroup | 11 | -4.126623155 | -0.172853064 | -0.33243607 | 0.238864415 | -0.050970874 | 0.048818547 |
| 2000 | 60\% JDGroup | 11 | 3.53284494 | 0.211895911 | 0.192876344 | 0.01596207 | -0.207800512 | 0.036556854 |
| 2001 | -4\% JDGroup | 11 | -1.025225316 | -0.151621385 | -0.378591549 | 0.365792953 | -0.092009685 | 0.149682182 |
| 2002 | 8\% JDGroup | 11 | -41.16750489 | -0.572572314 | -0.333635539 | -0.358769932 | 0.005333333 | -0.051186017 |
| 2003 | 46\% JDGroup | 11 | -1.82248308 | 0.836858006 | 0.220408163 | 0.504440497 | 0.122015915 | 0.755827068 |
| 2004 | 52\% JDGroup | 11 | 1.354381931 | 0.494736842 | -0.028985507 | 0.540731995 | 0.405831363 | 0.546515362 |
| 2005 | 10\% JDGroup | 11 | 2.168999981 | 0.650528169 | 0.221584386 | 0.35 | 0.271300448 | 0.361622594 |
| 2006 | 20\% JDGroup | 11 | 0.527059216 | -0.151333333 | -0.273496241 | 0.168582375 | -0.002645503 | 0.141840366 |
| 2007 | 8\% JDGroup | 11 | -1.038668436 | 0.016967793 | 0.393272962 | -0.269823922 | -0.206896552 | -0.212822796 |



| Year | Turn Over Growth Rate $\quad$ RetailerName | Retailer | EVA Growth Rate | Share Price Growth Rate | P/E Growth Rate | EPS Growth Rate | ROA Growth Rate | EBITDA Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 11\% RexTruform | 4 | 41.017342514 | -0.104761905 | -0.215777262 | 0.14090287 | -0.0619469 | 0.090409554 |
| 2000 | 12\% RexTruform | 4 | 4 -31.46204347 | -0.095744681 | 0.402366864 | -0.35491607 | -0.24442539 | -0.144527313 |
| 2001 | -3\% RexTruform | 4 | $4-1.664248216$ | -0.466666667 | 1.332278481 | -0.77137546 | -0.77866061 | -0.521167138 |
| 2002 | 18\% RexTruform | 4 | $4 \quad 0.115894125$ | 0.158088235 | -0.398914518 | 0.92682927 | 0.75897436 | 0.245304683 |
| 2003 | 7\% RexTruform | 4 | $4 \quad 0.535233427$ | 0.13968254 | -0.537998495 | 1.46835443 | 1.37026239 | 0.750263435 |
| 2004 | 5\% RexTruform | 4 | $4-1.049365603$ | 0.192200557 | 1.903908795 | -0.58974359 | -0.53751538 | -0.320251355 |
| 2005 | -16\% RexTruform | 4 | 41.635378695 | 0.502336449 | -0.422882782 | 1.60416667 | 3.38829787 | 1.268862441 |
| 2006 | 2\% RexTruform | 4 | $4-1.253137053$ | 0.088646967 | 0.45675413 | -0.2528 | -0.34121212 | -0.207895186 |
| 2007 | 23\% RexTruform | 4 | $4-0.15266761$ | 0.034285714 | -0.358905937 | 0.6124197 | 0.08371665 | 0.147076942 |
| 1999 | 19\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | $5-0.335339607$ | -0.238679245 | 0.648107873 | -0.53796095 | -0.32075472 | -0.077382292 |
| 2000 | 7\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | -2.069929307 | -0.154894672 | -0.687516495 | 1.70422535 | 0.61111111 | 0.43473392 |
| 2001 | 6\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | -0.877359922 | -0.146627566 | -0.152871622 | 0.00694444 | -0.23872679 | 0.049213724 |
| 2002 | 13\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | $5-0.051954973$ | 0.360824742 | 0.11665005 | 0.21896552 | 0.59233449 | 0.424649881 |
| 2003 | 13\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | 51.02 | -0.273989899 | -0.108928571 | -0.18528996 | 0.04704595 | 0.115933708 |
| 2004 | 7\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | $5 \quad-211.63$ | 0.577391304 | 0.137274549 | 0.38715278 | 0.11494253 | 0.213537642 |
| 2005 | 12\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | $5 \quad 1.007874431$ | 0.55567806 | 0.033480176 | 0.50563204 | 0.04123711 | 0.129593068 |
| 2006 | 12\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | $5-0.797280945$ | 0.684620836 | 0.381074169 | 0.21945137 | 0.41314131 | 0.398330208 |
| 2007 | 16\% ShopriteHoldings | 5 | $5-556.8249016$ | 0.367269668 | -0.008024691 | 0.37832311 | -0.01656051 | 0.174663596 |
| 2006 | 25\% SparGroup | 6 | $6-0.355041235$ | 0.239159892 | 0.097982709 | 0.12834979 | -0.1059821 | 0.192984347 |
| 2007 | 28\% SparGroup | 6 | $6-560.1162759$ | 0.451612903 | 0.115485564 | 0.30125 | -0.11643836 | 0.295392954 |
| 2001 | 43\% TradeHold | 7 | 7 -12.02152962 | 0.070247934 | -1.857429719 | -2.24897119 | $-2.41556728$ | -1.453598136 |
| 2002 | -39\% TradeHold | 7 | 70.458729062 | -0.003861004 | -1.004683841 | 0.50329489 | $-1.76700839$ | -2.506273311 |
| 2003 | -10\% TradeHold | 7 | 70.609089459 | 0.182170543 | -0.442757009 | 0.18076285 | 0.77803974 | 0.886938178 |
| 2004 | -16\% TradeHold | 7 | 70.643774179 | -0.085245902 | 2.660728745 | 1.55060729 | 2.76783005 | 5.471775048 |
| 2005 | -3\% TradeHold | 7 | $7-0.670642478$ | -0.082437276 | 0.5221843 | -0.39705882 | -0.87038627 | -0.591047427 |
| 2006 | 21\% TradeHold | 7 | -0.714889529 | -0.1171875 | -1.354900705 | -3.48780488 | -4.49668874 | -1.259357927 |
| 2007 | -98\% TradeHold | 7 | 0.94412437 | -0.362831858 | -0.055956679 | 0.39656863 | 0.84659091 | 0.696166729 |
| 1999 | 11\% Truworths | 8 | -0.453437691 | 0.091068301 | 0.319593787 | -0.17302053 | -0.22412318 | -0.011285831 |
| 2000 | -9\% Truworths | 8 | -2.035607014 | -0.125200642 | -0.338614758 | 0.32269504 | -0.65435502 | -0.536987257 |
| 2001 | -5\% Truworths | 8 | 3.804630986 | -0.042201835 | -0.191649555 | 0.1849866 | 2.74322169 | 1.761521012 |
| 2002 | 24\% Truworths | 8 | 0.698177778 | 0.086206897 | -0.243861135 | 0.43665158 | 0.37409459 | 0.435723793 |
| 2003 | 11\% Truworths | 8 | 0.147004062 | 0.315696649 | -0.025755879 | 0.3496063 | -0.0744186 | 0.195306439 |
| 2004 | 18\% Truworths | 8 | 0.688207397 | 0.316353887 | 0.026436782 | 0.28354726 | 0.24455611 | 0.347071232 |
| 2005 | 21\% Truworths | 8 | 0.272205552 | 0.801425662 | 0.368421053 | 0.31636364 | 0.03606999 | 0.266923633 |
| 2006 | 16\% Truworths | 8 | 0.353915869 | 0.252119842 | -0.027823241 | 0.28729282 | 0.2618862 | 0.246434683 |
| 2007 | 27\% Truworths | 8 | 0.28231364 | 0.678103837 | 0.258417508 | 0.33369099 | 0.03705991 | 0.289855072 |
| 2000 | -51\% Verimark | 9 | 0.710813307 | -0.75 | -1.00005 | -3880 | -0.69994689 | 0.528183716 |
| 2001 | -59\% Verimark | 9 | 1.540448658 | 1 | 22 | 1.12554782 | 1.17275851 | 7.787610619 |
| 2002 | 12\% Verimark | 9 | -6.513893201 | -0.9 | 0 | -0.90349076 | -0.63291139 | -0.512385919 |
| 2003 | 90\% Verimark | 9 | -0.249503555 | 2 | -2.714285714 | -2.76595745 | -6.1182266 | -4.709893048 |
| 2004 | -72\% Verimark | 9 | 1.069942711 | 9 | -91.58333333 | 0.89156627 | 2.466795 | 1.53981982 |
| 2005 | 11468\% Verimark | 9 | 6.785258379 | 11.36666667 | 1.322532253 | 39.3333333 | 2.82874016 | 38.74566088 |
| 2006 | -10\% Verimark | 9 | 1.652848657 | -0.539083558 | 0.371162791 | -0.66376812 | -0.51379606 | -0.573036161 |
| 2007 | -13\% Verimark | 9 | -1.560317533 | -0.625730994 | 0.059023066 | -0.64655172 | -0.55586888 | -0.475158334 |
| 2000 | 7\% Woolworths | 1 | -0.120558379 | -0.382845188 | -0.300256082 | -0.11764706 | -0.28323699 | -0.093569559 |
| 2001 | 11\% Woolworths | 1 | -1.271107989 | 0.172881356 | -0.020128088 | 0.1962963 | 0.1281362 | 0.154003756 |
| 2002 | 23\% Woolworths | 1 | 0.412796955 | 0.23699422 | -0.091503268 | 0.3622291 | 0.29864972 | 0.303891108 |
| 2003 | 10\% Woolworths | 1 | 1.062451567 | 0.380841121 | -0.062692703 | 0.47272727 | 0.10519878 | 0.238397821 |
| 2004 | 12\% Woolworths | 1 | 0.784187788 | 0.204737733 | -0.006578947 | 0.21296296 | 0.00608744 | 0.171156313 |
| 2005 | 15\% Woolworths | 1 | 1.440646759 | 0.445224719 | 0.232891832 | 0.17175573 | -0.02640264 | 0.179705836 |
| 2006 | 16\% Woolworths | 1 | 0.014096383 | 0.334305151 | 0.170993733 | 0.14006515 | -0.03785311 | 0.166788249 |
| 2007 | 22\% Woolworths |  | -0.206077736 | 0.539694101 | 0.264525994 | 0.21714286 | 0.00939518 | 0.197908378 |

