

**Characterization and expression of an
endopolygalacturonase gene from a lupin
anthracnose fungus identified as *Colletotrichum
lupini* var. *setosum***

By

Hester C. Lotter

Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
BIOTECHNOLOGY

in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Science
School of Biological Science
Department of Botany
University of Pretoria
Pretoria

October 2004

Declaration

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that the work contained in this dissertation is my own original work and that I have not previously in its entirety or in part submitted it at any University for a degree.

Hester Catharina Lotter

Date

Table of contents

Summary	v
Opsomming	vii
Acknowledgements	x
List of abbreviations	xi
Index of figures	xiii
Index of Tables	xix
Aim of study	1
Chapter 1	3
Literature Review	
1. Introduction	4
2. The taxonomic riddle of the lupin anthracnose fungi	6
2.1. <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> according to Yang <i>et al</i> (1998)	7
2.2. <i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i> according to Talhinas <i>et al</i> (2002)	8
2.3. Nirenberg <i>et al</i> (2002) suggests two <i>forma specialis</i>	9
2.4. Koch (1996) suggests a new species	10
3. Polygalacturonase encoding genes	11
3.1. Polygalacturonase encoding genes in plants	11
3.2. Fungal polygalacturonase encoding genes	12
3.3. Isolation and characterization of:	
3.3.1. The endoPG genes from <i>Colletotrichum lindemuthianum</i>	15
3.3.2. The endoPG genes from <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> f.sp. <i>malvae</i>	16
4. Polygalacturonases as role players in pathogenicity	17
5. Polygalacturonases as defence response elicitors	20
6. Conclusion	22
Chapter 2	24
Taxonomic identification of <i>Colletotrichum</i> isolates associated with anthracnose in South Africa	
Abstract	25
2.1. Introduction	26
2.2. Materials and methods	28
2.2.1. Fungal isolates	28
2.2.2. Growth conditions	28
2.2.3. Microscopic analysis of conidia	29
2.2.4. ITS and β - tubulin PCR amplification	29

2.2.5. Phylogenetic analysis	32
2.3. Results	35
2.3.1. Colony morphology	35
2.3.2. Spore characteristics	42
2.3.3. PCR amplification of ITS and β -tubulin regions	46
2.3.4. Phylogenetic analysis	48
2.4. Discussion	61
Chapter 3	63
Cloning and Characterization of a full-length polygalacturonase gene from <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i>	
Abstract	64
3.1 Introduction	65
3.2 Materials and Methods	67
3.2.1 Determining PG activity	67
3.2.2 Genomic DNA extraction	67
3.2.3 Cloning an internal fragment of a <i>Colletotrichum</i> PG gene	68
3.2.4 Southern blot analysis of <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> SHK 2148	71
3.2.5 Resolving the complete PG gene sequence through inverse PCR	73
3.2.6 Isolation and characterization of the complete PG gene using PCR sequence data obtained from inverse PCR analysis	77
3.2.7 RNA extractions	78
3.2.8 Northern blot analysis	78
3.2.9 RT-PCR amplification	80
3.3 Results	82
3.3.1 Polygalacturonase (PG) activity	82
3.3.2 Genomic DNA Extraction	83
3.3.3 PCR amplification of an internal fragment of the PG gene	84
3.3.4 Southern blot analysis of the PG gene from <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i>	91
3.3.5 Inverse PCR	93
3.3.6 Isolation and characterization of the complete PG gene	98
3.3.7 Northern blot analysis	107
3.3.8 RT-PCR amplification	108
3.4 Discussion	112
Chapter 4	115
Heterologous expression of a Polygalacturonase gene from <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> in <i>Pichia pastoris</i>	
Abstract	116
4.1 Introduction	117
4.2 Materials and Methods	119
4.2.1 Ligation and cloning reactions	119
4.2.2 RNA extractions	119
4.2.3 RT-PCR amplifications	120

4.2.4	Cloning and characterization of an internal cDNA PG gene fragment	122
4.2.5	Construction of a full-length PG cDNA copy	123
4.2.6	Expression vectors	124
4.2.7	PG gene constructs for expression in <i>P. pastoris</i>	127
4.2.7.1	PCR amplification	127
4.2.7.2	PCR parameters and cycle	132
4.2.7.3	Construction of the PG gene constructs	132
4.2.7.4	Screening of transformants	133
4.2.8	Transformation of <i>P. pastoris</i>	133
4.2.9	PG activity assays	135
4.2.10	SDS PAGE analysis	135
4.2.11	Western blot analysis	136
4.3	Results	138
4.3.1	RNA extraction and RT PCR amplification	138
4.3.2	Construction of a full-length cDNA PG clone	141
4.3.3	PG gene constructs used for expression of the gene in <i>P. pastoris</i>	145
4.3.4	PG hybrid constructs	148
4.3.5	Transformation of <i>P. pastoris</i> and PG activity analysis	151
4.3.6	SDS-PAGE analysis of <i>Pichia</i> transformants	152
4.3.7	Western blot analysis of <i>Pichia</i> transformants	153
4.4	Discussion	156
Chapter 5		159
Concluding discussion		
References		163
Appendices		
Appendix A Buffers, Solutions, Reagents and Culture Media		169
Appendix B Molecular Gel Markers		174
Appendix C BSA Standard Curve		175
Appendix D Phylogenetic Tables		176
Appendix E Phylogenetic Tables		180

Summary

Endopolygalacturonases (PGs) are the first cell wall degrading enzymes that are produced when pathogenic fungi encounter the host cell wall (Albersheim and Anderson, 1971). The role that these enzymes play in pathogenicity has been investigated for numerous pathogenic fungi. Although the results are not conclusive, there is evidence for some fungi that these enzymes are significant for their pathogenicity. Furthermore, plants contain polygalacturonase inhibiting proteins (PGIPs) in their cell walls, which are able to inhibit PGs (De Lorenzo *et al*, 2001; 2002).

Colletotrichum SHK2148 is a pathogenic fungus causing anthracnose of lupin plants in South Africa. The identity of the fungus has been described as *Colletotrichum tortuosum* (Koch, 1996). However, this was based on morphological evidence only. Thus, the classification of the South African lupin- associated *Colletotrichum* isolates was re-assessed by comparing *Colletotrichum* SHK2148 on a morphological and molecular level to the recently described *Colletotrichum lupini* species (Nirenberg *et al*, 2002) as well as previously described *Colletotrichum acutatum* lupin anthracnose isolates (Talhinas *et al*, 2002). Based on the culture morphology, ITS and β -tubulin sequence data, it was concluded that *Colletotrichum* SHK2148 groups with *C. lupini*, more specifically, *C. lupini* var. *setosum*.

The fungus, renamed *Colletotrichum lupini* SHK2148, was evaluated for its PG activity in pectin media (pH 5) over a 12 day growth period by using an agarose diffusion assay. The specific PG activity reached its highest level after three days, whereafter it decreased. Previous studies performed at the ARC, revealed that the fungus produced PG activity and this crude activity was inhibited by a PGIP produced in apple. A study was launched to isolate and characterise the gene(s) responsible for PG production. PG gene sequences from *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* f.sp. *malvae* and *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum* were compared and conserved regions were identified from which primers were designed to amplify a fragment of a PG gene from *C. lupini* SHK2148. Inverse PCR was used to resolve the 5' and 3' sequences of the PG gene whereafter a complete copy of the gene was isolated from the genome of the

fungus and characterised. The isolated gene was approximately 1Kb, contained a single intron of 59 bp and was very similar to the PG gene from *C. gloeosporioides* f.sp. *malvae* (*cmpgII*) as well as one of the PG genes (*clpg2*) from *C. lindemuthianum*. Southern blot analyses revealed that the gene was present as a single copy in the genome of the fungus. The *in vitro* expression of the PG gene from *C. lupini* SHK2148, grown in pectin media (pH 5), was investigated via northern blot analyses as well as RT-PCR, which revealed that the gene was expressed in the same time period that the highest PG activity was observed. A full cDNA copy of the PG gene was isolated using mRNA harvested from mycelia that was grown for 4 days on pectin. The cDNA copy confirmed the predicted intron position of the previously isolated genomic PG gene.

Due to the unavailability of a full cDNA copy of the *C. lupini* SHK2148 PG gene at the time when expression studies were initiated, a complete cDNA copy was constructed by swapping an internal cDNA PG fragment with its counterpart in the complete genomic PG gene copy. The resulting cDNA PG copy was used as a template from which PG constructs were prepared for expression in *Pichia pastoris*. Constructs containing the PG gene with its native signal peptide, the PG gene with the α -MF signal peptide factor as well as hybrid constructs where the N terminal part of the mature PG proteins of *Fusarium moniliforme* and *C. lupini* SHK 2148 were exchanged, were transformed into *P. pastoris*. No PG activity was observed with an agarose diffusion assay for any of the *Pichia* clones. SDS-PAGE analyses were used to evaluate total protein isolations from the *P. pastoris* clones. The supernatant and cells of the clones were subjected to western blot analyses using antibodies directed against *Aspergillus niger* PG as well as *F. moniliforme* PG. The only positive hybridisation signal was observed between the *A. niger* antibody and a protein in supernatant extracts of the *P. pastoris* clones. However, the size of the hybridising band was very large. This could be due to glycosylation of the *C. lupini* SHK 2148 PG in *P. pastoris*, although the size increase is unusually large. The results indicated that it is unlikely that the *C. lupini* SHK 2148 PG was expressed in *P. pastoris* transformed with any of these constructs.

Opsomming

Endopolygalakturonase ensieme is van die eerste selwand degraderende ensieme wat plant patogeniese swamme produseer sodra hulle in kontak kom met plantselwande (Albersheim and Anderson, 1971). Die patogeniese rol van dié ensieme is al menigmaal ondersoek en alhoewel dit nog nie absoluut duidelik is nie, is daar wel gevalle waar die ensieme krities was vir die virulensie van sekere swamme (hoofstuk 1). Alhoewel, in plantselwande word poligalakturonase-inhiberende proteïene aangetref wat daartoe instaat is om endopolygalakturonases van swampatogene te inhibeer (De Lorenzo *et al*, 2001; 2002).

Colletotrichum SHK2148 is 'n patogeniese swam wat antraknose veroorsaak op lupiene plante in Suid Afrika. Die swamme is vroeër geklassifiseer as *Colletotrichum tortuosum* (Koch, 1996), maar die klassifikasie studie was slegs gebaseer op morfologiese kenmerke. Dus is die identiteit van die *Colletotrichum* SHK 2148 swam, wat antraknose van lupiene veroorsaak, geëvalueer deur die swamme op 'n molekulêre en morfologiese vlak te vergelyk met die onlangs geïdentifiseerde *C. lupini* isolate (Nirenberg *et al*, 2002) as ook vorige geïdentifiseerde *C. acutatum* isolate (Talhinas *et al*, 2002). Volgens die morfologiese, ITS en β -tubulin resultate, lyk dit asof die *Colletotrichum* SHK 2148 isolaat baie naby verwant is aan die *C. lupini* spesie of meer spesifiek een van die spesie se variante, *C. lupini* var. *setosum*.

Die PG aktiwiteit sowel as die proteïen produksie van die *Colletotrichum lupini* SHK 2148 swam, wat vir 'n 12 dag periode gegroei is in 'n pektien medium (pH 5), is onderskeidelik bepaal met 'n agarose diffusie en Bradford toets. Die hoogste PG aktiwiteit was waargeneem nadat die swam 3 dae in die pektien medium gegroei het, die aktiwiteit het daarna afgeneem. Vorige studies wat plaasgevind het by die LNR, het daarop gedui dat die *Colletotrichum* swamme, wat antraknose van lupiene veroorsaak, PG aktiwiteit besit en dat 'n ongesuiwerde PG ekstrak van *Colletotrichum* se aktiviteit geïnhibeer word deur PGIP wat uit appels geïsoleer is. Dus is 'n studie onderneem om die geen/gene te identifiseer wat verantwoordelik was vir die PG aktiwiteit. PG gene van *C. gloeosporioides* f.sp. *malvae* (*cmpgII*) en

C. lindemuthianum (*clpg2*) is met mekaar vergelyk om gekonserveerde gebiede te identifiseer vir die ontwerp van voorlopers wat aangewend is om 'n interne fragment van die PG geen te isoleer van die genoom van *Colletotrichum lupini* SHK 2148. Om die ontbrekende dele van die PG geen te bepaal is 'n inverse polimerase ketting reaksie (PKR) gebruik. Gevolglik is die volledige PG geen van die genoom van *Colletotrichum lupini* SHK 2148 geamplifiseer en gekarakteriseer. Die geen was ongeveer 1 Kb groot, onderbreek deur 'n 59 bp intron byna identies aan die *cmpgII* geen van *C. gloeosporioides* f.sp. *malvae* asook die *clpg2* geen *C. lindemuthianum*. Volgens die "Southern" klad, word die geen aangetref as 'n enkel kopie in die genoom van die swam. Die geen se *in vitro* uitdrukking is bepaal, in pektien medium (pH5), met behulp van 'n "Northern" klad en omgekeerde transkripsie polimerase ketting reaksie. Die geen word uitgedruk terselfdetyd as wat die hoogste PG aktiwiteit waargeneem is. Die geen word nie uitgedruk wanneer die swam vir 5 of 12 dae in die pektien medium gegroei het nie. 'n Volledige cDNS (kopie dieoksieribonukleïensuur) kopie van die PG geen is geïsoleer van die boodskapper ribonukleïensuur (mRNS) van die swam wat vir 4 dae in die pektien medium (pH 5) gegroei het. Die cDNS kopie het die posisie van die voorspelde intron in die genomiese PG geen kopie bevestig.

Aangesien nog geen cDNS PG geen kopie beskikbaar was tydens die gis uitdrukking studies nie, is 'n cDNS kopie gekonstrueer deur 'n interne cDNS PG fragment te ruil met die ooreenstemmende fragment in die genomiese PG kopie. Dié gekonstrueerde cDNS PG geen is as templaar gebruik waarvan PG konstruksie saamgestel is vir die uitdrukking studies in *P. pastoris*. Onder andere is 'n PG geen met sy eie seinpeptied, 'n PG geen met die α -MF (α -mating factor) seinpeptied sowel as hibried PG gene, waarvan die N-terminale van die volledige PG proteïene van *F. moniliforme* en *Colletotrichum lupini* SHK 2148 met mekaar omgeruil is, uitgedruk in *P. pastoris*. Geen PG aktiwiteit is waargeneem met die agarose difussie toets vir enige van die konstruksie se klone nie. 'n SDS-PAGE toets is gedoen om die proteïen isolasies van die klone te evalueer. Die supernatant sowel as die selle van die klone is gebruik in 'n "Western" klad analise met die teenliggame vir die PG gene van *A. niger* PG en *F. moniliforme*. Die enigste positiewe sein wat waargeneem is, was met die teenliggame van die *A. niger* PG en die supernatant van die klone. Die sein se bandjie

het baie groot vertoon. Glikosilering van die PG proteïen mag moontlik die grootte van die proteïen verhoog, maar waarskynlik nie tot so 'n groot mate nie. Die resultate toon waarskynlik dat geen van die PG konstruksies in *P. pastoris* uitgedruk is nie.

Acknowledgements

My sincere gratitude and appreciation to:

My supervisor, Prof. Dave Berger, for his advice and input on this project.

Dr. Adele McLeod, for her guidance, advice, inputs and encouragement.

Prof. Felice Cervone, Prof. Giulia De Lorenzo and Dr. Claudio Caprari at the “La Sapienza University in Rome, for valuable experience acquired in their laboratories.

CSIR, Bio/Chemtek, for financial assistance.

NRF, for financial assistance.

My family and friends, for their interest and support.

Oscar Stark for his encouragement and advice.

List of abbreviations

α -MF	alpha mating factor
AA	amino acids
ADA	agarose diffusion assay
AFLP	amplified fragment length polymerisation
AOX1	alcohol oxidase gene 1
ARC	Agricultural Research Council
BCIP	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate
bp	base pair
BSA	bovine serum albumin
cDNA	complementary DNA
CWDE	cell wall degrading enzymes
CreA protein	DNA binding protein involved in carbon catabolite repression
DIG	digoxigenin
dATPs	deoxy adenosine triphosphate
dH ₂ O	distilled water
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
EDTA	ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
EndoPGs	endopolygalacturonases
EtBr	etidium bromide
EtOH	ethanol
ExoPGs	exopolygalacturonases
FA	formaldehyde
GAP	glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
GFP	green fluorescent protein
IPTG	isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside
ITS	internal transcribed spacer region
kB	kilo base pair
kV	kilo volt
LB	Luria Bertani
LMW	low molecular weight
LRR	leucine-rich repeat
LSLB	low salt LB
MCS	multiple cloning site
MgCl ₂	magnesium chloride
mM	millimolar
M-MLV	Moloney Murine Leukemia Virus
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NBT	nitroblue tetrazolium chloride
ng	nanogram
OD	optical density
OGAs	oligogalacturonides
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PDA	potato dextrose agar
PGA	polygalacturonic acid
PGs	polygalacturonases
PGIPs	polygalacturonase Inhibiting Proteins
RAPD	random amplified polymorphic DNA
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RNAse	ribonuclease
ROS	reactive oxygen species
Rpm	revolutions per minute
RT-PCR	reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
Sec.	seconds
SNA	saltwater nutrient agar
SOE PCR	splicing by overlap extension by PCR
SSC	sodium chloride/sodium citrate
TAE	Tris-acetate ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
TCA	trichloroacetic acid
TE	Tris ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid
TNE	Tris-sodium chloride EDTA
TT	transcription termination
U	unit
UV	ultraviolet
µg	microgram
µl	microlitre
µM	micromolar
VCG	vegetative compatibility grouping
X-gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactoside
YPD	Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose
BMMY	Buffered methanol complex medium
HCl	Hydrochloric acid

Index of figures

	Page
Fig.2.1 Schematic representation of the ITS and β -tubulin regions as well as the primers used to amplify sections of these regions (Glass <i>et al</i> , 1995).	30
Fig.2.2 Culture morphology displayed when <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 (a) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> (b) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> (c) were grown on PDA in total darkness at 20 °C (top view of plates).	36
Fig.2.3 Culture morphology displayed when <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 (d) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> (e) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> (f) were grown on SNA, with strips of filter paper, in total darkness at 20 °C (top view of plates).	37
Fig.2.4 Culture morphology displayed when <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 (g) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> (h) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> (i) were grown on SNA, with strips of filter paper, under constant light at 20 °C (top view of plates).	38
Fig.2.5 Culture morphology displayed when <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 (j, k) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> (l, m) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> (n, o) grown on PDA under natural day night rhythms after 14 and 21 days (top view of plates).	39
Fig.2.6 Culture morphology displayed when <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 (p) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> (q) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> (r) grown on PDA under natural day night rhythms after 14 days (top view of plates).	40
Fig.2.7 Culture morphology displayed when <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 (s) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> (t) and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> (u) grown on PDA under natural day night rhythms after 21 days (bottom view of plates).	41

Fig.2.8	Spores presented for <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 grown under conditions A, B, C and D.	43
Fig.2.9	Spores presented for <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> grown under conditions A, B, C and.	44
Fig.2.10	Spores presented for <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> grown under conditions A, B, C and D.	45
Fig.2.11	PCR amplification of the ITS regions from <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148.	46
Fig.2.12	PCR amplification of the ITS regions from isolates <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> and <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> .	46
Fig.2.13	PCR amplification of β -tubulin regions from test isolates <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148, <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> and <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> .	47
Fig.2.14	Partial nucleotide alignment of a section of the ITS2 region of isolates <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148, <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> and <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> displaying a single base pair difference between the variants.	47
Fig.2.15	Nucleotide alignment of the ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 region from different taxa included in the study, which displays the variable positions.	51
Fig.2.16	Neighbour joining tree showing the reconstruction of the molecular phylogeny of different <i>Colletotrichum</i> isolates based on the ITS1, ITS2 and 5.8 S rDNA operon. <i>Neurospora crassa</i> was included as the outgroup. (Bootstrap values are indicated on the tree). The groupings of Talhinas et al (2002) are indicated in brackets.	53
Fig.2.17	Aligned nucleotide sequence of the β -tubulin region1 and β -tubulin region2 from <i>Colletotrichum</i> isolates and a <i>Neurospora crassa</i> outgroup displaying the variable positions.	54

- Fig.2.18** A neighbour joining phylogram displaying the reconstruction of the molecular phylogeny of *Colletotrichum* isolates based on the β -tubulin region using *Neurospora crassa* as outgroup. (Bootstrap values are indicated on the tree). The brackets indicate the groupings from Talhinas et al (2002). 58
- Fig.2.19** The parsimony strict consensus tree with bootstrap values, displays the reconstruction of the molecular phylogeny of different *Colletotrichum* isolates, based on the analysis of the β -tubulin region. (Bootstrap values are indicated on the tree). 59
- Fig.3.1** Schematic presentation of the inverse PCR method used for isolation of a full-length genomic PG copy from *C. lupini* SHK2148. 74
- Fig.3.2** Agarose diffusion assay displaying the PG activity obtained for three replicates A, B and C. 82
- Fig.3.3** PG specific activity of *C. lupini* SHK 2148, grown in pectin media, expressed as zone size/ amount of protein added. 83
- Fig.3.4** Genomic DNA isolated from *Colletotrichum* strains. 83
- Fig.3.5** Amino acid sequence alignment of the PG genes from *C. gloeosporioides* f.sp. *malvae* (*cmpgII*) and the two PG genes from *C. lindemuthianum* (*clpgII* and *clpgI*). 85
- Fig.3.6** PCR amplification of the internal PG gene fragment from *C. lupini* isolates SHK 788, SHK 1033 and SHK 2148. 86
- Fig.3.7** Gel purified internal PG PCR fragments. 86
- Fig.3.8** Plasmid maps of pPGint788, pPGint1033 and pPGint2148. 88
- Fig.3.9** *EcoRI* restriction analysis of both clones from pPGint788 and pPGint2148. 89
- Fig.3.10** Schematic representation of how sequence data from cloned PG fragments and directly sequenced PCR products were used to obtain the internal PG sequence. 90
- Fig.3.11** Nucleotide sequence of compiled internal PG fragment. 91
- Fig.3.12** Restriction enzyme digestion and electrophoretic separation of genomic DNA in preparation for Southern blot analysis. 92

Fig.3.13	Southern blot analysis of the PG gene from <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148 and <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 788.	93
Fig.3.14	Digestion of genomic DNA from <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148 in preparation for inverse PCR.	94
Fig.3.15	Inverse PCR performed on religated genomic DNA fragments from <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK2148 and pPGint788.	95
Fig.3.16	<i>EcoRI</i> restriction analysis of plasmid pPGinvHind10.	96
Fig.3.17	Schematic representation of pPGinvHind10 showing primer annealing sites and the different regions that were resolved by sequence analyses.	98
Fig.3.18	Compiled nucleotide and predicted PG gene sequence of <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> SHK 2148.	100
Fig.3.19	PCR amplification of the complete PG gene from the genome of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148.	102
Fig.3.20	<i>EcoRI</i> restriction analysis of pPGcompl1 – pPGcompl5, harbouring the putative complete PG gene of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148.	102
Fig.3.21	Plasmid map of pPGcompl1 harboring the complete PG gene.	103
Fig.3.22	Partial nucleotide alignment between the PG cDNA of <i>C. gloeosporioides</i> f. sp. <i>Malvae</i> and the complete PG gene cloned from genomic DNA of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148.	103
Fig.3.23	Nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequence of the complete PG gene of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148.	104
Fig.3.24	Amino acid sequence alignment of the PG gene from <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 with the amino acid sequences of various other fungal PG genes indicating the four conserved domains found in polygalacturonases.	105
Fig.3.25	RNA extractions of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148 grown on pectin from different time periods.	107
Fig.3.26	Northern blot analysis of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148 RNA samples, harvested from the fungus grown on pectin for different time periods, using the full-length PG gene as probe.	108
Fig.3.27	RT-PCR analysis of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148 cDNA sample grown on pectin for a time period of 4 days.	109

Fig.3.28	Colony PCR of analyses of clones, pPGcDNAcomplA-pPGcDNAcomplE, containing the putative complete cDNA PG copy using a complete primer set.	109
Fig.3.29	Colony PCR of analyses of clones, pPGcDNAcomplA-pPGcDNAcomplE, containing the putative complete cDNA PG copy using an internal primer set.	110
Fig.3.30	Plasmid map of pPGcDNAcomplA, harbouring the full cDNA PG fragment.	110
Fig.3.31	<i>EcoRI</i> restriction analysis of pPGcDNAcomplA and pPGcompl1 on a 2% TAE agarose gel.	111
Fig.3.32	Nucleotide alignment of a section of the genomic and full cDNA PG gene confirming the predicted intron.	111
Fig.4.1	Schematic presentation of the construction of a cDNA clone of the PG gene from <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> SHK 2148.	124
Fig.4.2	<i>Pichia pastoris</i> expression vectors: (www.invitrogen.com).	124
Fig.4.3	pPICZA multiple cloning site.	126
Fig.4.4	pGAPZ α A multiple cloning site.	126
Fig.4.5	SOE PCR (Warrens <i>et al</i> , 1997).	128
Fig.4.6	SOE PCR amplification steps creating the hybrid PG gene construct.	128
Fig.4.7	<i>F. moniliforme</i> PG gene sequence.	130
Fig.4.8	Complete PG gene sequence from <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148.	131
Fig.4.9	RNA extracted from <i>C. lupini</i> SHK2148 mycelia collected on day 3 after inoculation in pectin media.	18
Fig.4.10	PCR amplification of the β - tubulin gene from DNA and mRNA template of <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148.	139
Fig.4.11	RT-PCR amplification of an internal PG cDNA fragment with different PG gene specific primers.	140
Fig.4.12	Evaluation of plasmids pPGcDNAaint1- pPGcDNAaint9, isolated from clones, harbouring the internal cDNA PG fragment cloned into pPGEM-T-easy.	140
Fig.4.13	Plasmid map of pPGcDNAaint4 harboring the internal cDNA PG fragment.	141

Fig.4.14	Restriction analysis of pPGcDNAint1 and pPGcDNAint4 with <i>EcoRI</i> .	141
Fig.4.15	Colony PCR and <i>EcoRI</i> restriction analysis of pPGcompl1-1 and pPGcDNAint4-1 isolated from <i>E.coli</i> dam- strains.	142
Fig.4.16	Colony PCR amplification of clones transformed with the pPGcDNAcompl construct.	143
Fig.4.17	Plasmid map of pPGcDNAcompl7 harbouring the constructed cDNA PG copy.	144
Fig.4.18	Restriction digestion of pPGcDNAcompl7 and pPGcompl1 with <i>EheI</i> and <i>Eco47111</i> .	144
Fig.4.19	<i>EcoRI</i> restriction of pPGcDNAcompl7 and pPICzA.	145
Fig.4.20	Restriction analysis of PG construct I clones with <i>KpnI</i> and <i>XhoI</i> .	146
Fig.4.21	PCR amplification of the PG fragment from pPGcDNAcompl7 for the construction of the PG construct III.	147
Fig.4.22	<i>EcoRI</i> – <i>KpnI</i> and <i>EcoRI</i> – <i>XbaI</i> digestion of PG construct III clones.	147
Fig.4.23	PCR amplifications for the first set of the splicing overlap by extension PCR (SOE PCR).	148
Fig.4.24	PCR amplifications for the second set of the splicing overlap by extension PCR (SOE PCR) resulting in hybrid PG fragments.	149
Fig.4.25	Restriction analysis of hybrid PG clones.	150
Fig.4.26	PG activity analysed with an agarose diffusion assay, of <i>Pichia</i> cultures transformed with the PG constructs and PG hybrid constructs.	152
Fig.4.27	SDS PAGE analysis for <i>Pichia pastoris</i> clones transformed with different PG constructs.	153
Fig.4.28	Western blot analysis of the supernatant of <i>P. pastoris</i> clones containing different PG constructs. The blot was detected with an antibody directed against the PG of <i>A. niger</i> .	155
Fig.4.29	Western blot analysis of boiled <i>P. pastoris</i> cells containing different PG constructs. Blots were detected with an antibody directed against a PG of <i>A. niger</i> .	155

Index of tables

Table 1.1	Summary of classification views of lupin anthracnose associated <i>Colletotrichum</i> isolates.	7
Table 1.2	Characteristics of important PGs isolated from fungal sources.	15
Table 2.1	Growth conditions outlined for the morphological comparison of <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148 with <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> and <i>Colletotrichum lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> .	29
Table 2.2	Information of primers used in this study to amplify the β -tubulin and ITS regions of the isolates.	30
Table 2.3	Taxa included for the phylogenetic analysis of the ITS region	33
Table 2.4	Taxa included for the phylogenetic analyses of the β - Tubulin region.	34
Table 2.5	Percentage sequence divergence between different <i>Colletotrichum</i> isolates based on the ITS1, ITS2 and 5.8S rDNA region.	52
Table 2.6	The percentage sequence difference between the groups obtained in the ITS neighbour joining analysis.	48
Table 2.7	Percentage sequence divergence between different <i>Colletotrichum</i> isolates based on the β -tubulin region.	57
Table 2.8	The percentage sequence difference between the groups obtained in the β -tubulin phylogenetic analysis.	50
Table 2.9	Summary of the main morphological and molecular characteristics of <i>Colletotrichum</i> SHK 2148, <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>setosum</i> and <i>C. lupini</i> var. <i>lupini</i> .	60
Table 3.1	Information of primers used in this chapter.	69
Table 3.2	Steps used in the inverse PCR method for isolation of the full-length genomic PG copy from <i>C. lupini</i> SHK 2148.	75
Table 4.1	Primers used for RT-PCRs, sequencing reactions and the construction of the PG constructs.	122
Table 4.2	PG clones screened with colony PCR with different primer pairs and annealing conditions.	133
Table 4.3	Key features of PG constructs analysed prior to transformation of <i>P. pastoris</i> .	150

Aim of study

Lupins have been cultivated for at least 2000 years and of the more than 300 existing species only five are cultivated worldwide today. The plants are grown as both forage and grain legume in the USSR, Poland, Germany, South Africa and the Mediterranean, while Australia cultivates lupin primarily as an export product for European and Asian feed markets. Lupins are mainly used as feedstock for poultry and livestock, however, a human food market, which includes lupin flour, lupin pasta and hulls for dietary fiber, is also being developed in the USA (www.ext.nodak.edu/extpubs/alt-ag/lupin.htm). The annual turnover from the lupin industry in South Africa is approximately 60 million rands.

Lupin *spp.* however are highly susceptible to anthracnose. This disease is considered to be the most devastating disease of lupin industries, causing crop losses that range from 50% in narrow leafed lupins (*Lupinus angustifolius*) to 100% in white lupins (*Lupinus albus*) (Oelofse *et al.*, 2003). The identity of the anthracnose causing *Colletotrichum* isolates is still a much-debated issue. Thus, one of the aims in this study was to resolve the current taxonomic placing of the South African lupin anthracnose isolates.

Plant pathogenic fungi produce several extracellular enzymes that facilitate the invasion and colonization of the pathogens. Endopolygalacturonases are only one of these enzymes that are produced by the pathogen when it is cultivated on isolated plant cell walls as well as during the infection process (Albersheim and Anderson, 1971; Cooper *et al.*, 1981). The literature review (Chapter 2) discusses the importance of these enzymes as role players in pathogenicity and elicitors of the plant defense response. However, endo-PGs are inhibited by polygalacturonase inhibiting proteins (PGIP), which are leucine-rich repeat proteins associated with plant cell wall (Caprari *et al.*, 1993; Rha *et al.*, 2001; Di Pietro *et al.*, 1996). By inhibiting fungal PGs, PGIPs directly interfere with host cell wall degradation and provide a first line of defense against a fungal attack. Thus, PGIP genes provide a potential tool that can be used to increase the resistance of lupin plants through genetic modification.

Apple PGIP1, expressed in transgenic tobacco plants at the ARC-Roodeplaat, was able to inhibit PG activity from the *Colletotrichum* SHK pathogens (Oelofse *et al*, 2003). Thus the aim of the study was to isolate the gene(s) responsible for the PG activity and characterize them in terms of their copy number, similarity to other fungal PG genes and their *in vitro* expression on pectin. The subsequent aim was to express and purify the protein in a yeast system and then test the inhibition effectiveness of the purified apple PGIP1 against the purified *Colletotrichum* PG.

In this research project, Chapter 1 provides a literature review, which includes information on the characterization of the casual agent of lupin anthracnose, the important fungal endoPG gene families, the role that some of these endoPGs play in pathogenicity, the ability of PGs to elicit a plant defense response and the structural domains in both PGs and PGIPs and their inhibition interaction with each other are discussed. In chapter 2 the taxonomic identity of the South African lupin anthracnose isolates are re-evaluated and compared with the recent classifications of lupin anthracnose causing *Colletotrichum* isolates. The rest of the project, Chapter 3 and Chapter 4, includes molecular studies. Chapter 3 describes the *in vitro* PG activity of the fungus, the isolation and characterization of a single PG gene from *C. lupini* as well as the *in vitro* transcription of this gene. Chapter 4 discusses the construction and expression of a cDNA copy of the PG gene in *Pichia pastoris*. Chapter 5 contains the concluding discussion of the results obtained in this project.