Mixed *Theileria* infections in free-ranging buffalo herds: implications for diagnosing *Theileria parva* infections in Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*)
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**SUMMARY**

Buffalo-adapted *Theileria parva* causes Corridor disease in cattle. Strict control measures therefore apply to the movement of buffalo in South Africa and include mandatory testing of buffalo for the presence of *T. parva*. The official test is a real-time hybridization PCR assay that amplifies the V4 hypervariable region of the 18S rRNA gene of *T. parva*, *T. sp.* (buffalo) and *T. sp.* (bougavlei). The effect that mixed *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like infections have on accurate *T. parva* diagnosis was investigated in this study. *In vitro* mixed infection simulations indicated PCR signal suppression at 100 to 1000-fold *T. sp.* (buffalo) excess at low *T. parva* parasitaemia. Suppression of PCR signal was found in field buffalo with mixed infections. The *T. parva*-positive status of these cases was confirmed by selective suppression of *T. sp.* (buffalo) amplification using a locked nucleic acid clamp and independent assays based on the p67, p104 and Tpr genes. The incidence of mixed infections in the Corridor disease endemic region of South Africa is significant, while the prevalence in buffalo outside the endemic area is currently low. A predicted increase of *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like infections can affect future diagnoses where mixed infections occur, prompting the need for improvements in current diagnostics.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Corridor disease, East Coast fever and Zimbabwe theileriosis (January disease) are related disease syndromes of cattle caused by *Theileria parva* (Norval et al. 1992). The brown ear ticks *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*, *R. duttoni* and *R. zambeziensis* are considered to be the main vectors of *T. parva* with distribution ranges limited to Central, East and southern Africa (Lessard et al. 1990). In the case of East Coast fever and January disease, sick and recovered carrier cattle are infective to ticks, resulting in transmission of cattle-adapted *T. parva* to susceptible cattle. Corridor disease results when buffalo-adapted *T. parva* is transmitted from carrier Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) to cattle which die acutely, usually showing no carrier stages of the infection (Norval et al. 1991).

East Coast fever was introduced into South Africa, presumably from East Africa, in 1902 and was eradicated by 1956 through an extensive quarantine, systematic dipping and slaughter campaign (Theiler, 1904; Neitz, 1957). January disease was originally identified in Zimbabwe and was never considered to be present in South Africa (Neitz, 1957). While Corridor disease was first identified in Zimbabwe, its aetiology was elucidated in South Africa where it was first recognized in the corridor formed by the historic Hluhluwe and Umfolozi game parks (Neitz et al. 1955). Bovine carrier states are recognized for East Coast fever and January disease and were shown to occur under laboratory conditions for Corridor disease (Barnett and Brocklesby, 1966a, b; Koch et al. 1992; Neitz, 1958; Potgieter et al. 1988; Young et al. 1986). No carrier state could yet be confirmed for bovines under field conditions in South Africa (Potgieter et al. 1988).

Currently, the recognized Corridor disease endemic regions in South Africa include the Kruger National Park (KNP), Hluhluwe-Umfolozi Park (KwaZulu-Natal) and regions between and surrounding these areas (Potgieter et al. 1988). *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* are, however, widespread across the Northwest and Northern Provinces, as well as Mpumalanga, KwaZulu-Natal and the eastern parts of Eastern Cape (Estrada-Peña, 2003). The potential geographical range of *T. parva* can thus be much...
wider if infected vectors or buffalo are introduced into non-endemic disease regions. The expansion of the eco-tourism trade has made 'disease-free' buffalo a lucrative commodity with expansion of herds outside the endemic regions (Collins et al. 2002). This includes movement of 'disease-free' buffalo from endemic to non-endemic regions. Such buffalo need to be certified free of Brucellosis, Corridor disease, foot and mouth disease and tuberculosis by State Veterinarian authorities before relocation is allowed (Collins et al. 2002). As such, Corridor disease is a controlled disease and the movement of buffalo inside and outside the endemic regions is strictly regulated by the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (Animal Disease Act 1984, Act No. 35). This is mainly to prevent disease outbreaks among cattle and the potential establishment of a carrier state in cattle that will lead to a situation that resembles that of the original East Coast fever epidemic (Yusufmía et al. 2010).

With regard to Corridor disease testing, buffaloes were initially tested using the indirect fluorescence antibody test (IFAT) (Potgieter et al. 1988). This was expanded to include molecular testing using slot-blot hybridization technology based on amplification of the 18S RNA using a universal Theileria genus specific primer set (Allsopp et al. 1993; Collins et al. 2002). The latter test was subsequently replaced by a real-time hybridization PCR test that amplifies a 167 bp fragment from the V4 variable region of the 18S rRNA gene using a T. parva-‘specific’ forward and a Theileria genus-specific reverse primer (Sibeko et al. 2008). During the development of this test, a universal Theileria genus-specific primer set was initially used, but due to competitive PCR in mixed infections this set was replaced with the T. parva-‘specific’ primer set (Sibeko et al. 2008). However, this primer set also amplifies the related Theileria spp., T. sp. (buffalo) and T. sp. (bougasvlei), designated collectively as ‘T. sp. (buffalo)-like’ in the current study (Sibeko et al. 2008; Zweygarth et al. 2009). As such, the possibility for competition PCR still exists where mixed infections of T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites occur. We investigated whether mixed infections would be a relevant factor under southern African field conditions, taking into consideration the geographical distributions of T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites and T. parva, as well as parasitaemia levels for the different parasites in the buffalo host. The results show that mixed infections of T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites can have a considerable impact on accurate diagnosis of Corridor disease status in Cape buffalo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA extraction and real-time hybridization assay

Cape buffalo blood samples from private game ranches as well as National Parks in South Africa (the Marakele National Park (MNP) and the Kruger National Park (KNP)), were submitted to the Parasites, Vectors and Vector-Borne Diseases (PVVD) laboratory during 2008–2009 for routine T. parva diagnostic analyses by the real-time hybridization PCR assay (Sibeko et al. 2008). Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 μl of whole blood using the MagNa Pure Large Volume Kit and MagNa Pure LC (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was eluted in 100 μl of elution buffer and 2·5 μl (~ 15–50 ng/μl DNA) used per real-time hybridization assay as described (Sibeko et al. 2008). Briefly, reaction conditions included 4 μl of the LightCycler®FastStart DNA MasterPlus Hybridization mix (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), 1 U uracil deoxy-glycosylase (UDG) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), 0·5 pmol forward and reverse primers, 0·1 pmol each of the T. parva and Theileria genus-specific hybridization anchor and probe pairs at a final volume of 20 μl. Crossing-point (CP) values were calculated by the automated qualitative analysis mode of the LightCycler® 4.0 software (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

The real-time hybridization test employs 2 hybridization probe sets for T. parva (640 nm) and the Theileria genus (705 nm). Results obtained were designated according to the following protocol (Sibeko et al. 2008): negative samples do not show amplification or melting curves for the 640 or 705 nm channels (Fig. 1). T. parva-positive samples show amplification and melting curves in both 640 and 705 nm channels (Fig. 1). In the case where weak amplification and melting curves are observed for the T. parva-specific 640 nm probe, but significant signals are obtained for the 705 nm probe, the results are interpreted as being T. parva negative, but T. sp. (buffalo)-like positive (Fig. 1).

Estimation of parasitaemia in database samples

To estimate parasitaemia of T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites in buffalo blood samples, standard curves for the real-time hybridization assay were constructed. For this a 1101 bp fragment of the 18S rRNA gene for T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo) were amplified, respectively, using Theileria genus-specific primers 989 and 990 (Allsopp et al. 1993), from cloned and sequenced products for T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo). The PCR products were purified using the Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega) and quantified spectrophotometrically using a ND-1000 NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc). The DNA products were then diluted to give stock solutions of 10 ng/μl which corresponded to ~ 1 × 10^10 molecules/μl. This was calculated from the formula: Number of molecules = (Amount × Avogadro’s number)/(bp length × average bp mass)
with the average bp mass = 615 Da. Due to the fact that there are two 18S rRNA copies in the genome of *T. parva* (Gardner et al. 2005), this yields $5 \times 10^9$ genomic equivalents/μl. It can be assumed that *T.* sp. (buffalo)-like parasites will also have 2 copies of the 18S rRNA gene, as all *Theileria* thus far described, have this number (Pain et al. 2005). In the case of *T. parva*, the predominant number of piroplasms is 1 piroplasm per red blood cell in the carrier-state or even in animals with parasitaemia as high as 2–9% (Conrad et al. 1986). It is assumed that this would thus also be the lower limit for *T.* sp. (buffalo)-like organisms. It was also determined that *Theileria* in the piroplasm stages are haploid (Gauer et al. 1995). As such, a genomic equivalent (2 copies of the 18S rRNA gene) can be equated with 1 infected red blood cell. The mean red blood cell count for free-ranging African buffalo has been determined to be 10·0×10$^{12}$ RBC/L (Beechler et al. 2009). Given this, the 2·5 μl of DNA eluate used per assay is equivalent to 5 μl of whole blood, which would yield mean erythrocyte counts of 4·88×10$^7$ for buffalo. A theoretical percentage parasitaemia can thus be calculated where the number of genomic equivalents added per assay is known.

A 10-fold serial dilution range of the *T. parva* and *T.* sp. (buffalo) 18S gene stock solutions, that gives a range of 10–1×10$^7$ molecules, spans the known observed CP values (15–35 cycles) as obtained by real-time hybridization assay of *T. parva* diagnostic samples (personal observation). This corresponds to calculated parasitaemia percentage values of $1 \times 10^{-5}$–10 (Fig. 2A). Using the equations obtained from the curve fits, estimated parasitaemia can be calculated for all samples that have been previously assayed. In all cases, buffalo KNPI02, a known *T. parva*-positive infected buffalo used as gold standard positive control (Sibeko et al. 2008), was used as external control to estimate the consistency of the real-time hybridization assay. Mean CP values for the KNPI02 samples during the assays were 27·73±0·55 (n = 220 assays) which corresponds to a mean calculated parasitaemia of 0·0034%±0·0011 (range of 0·001–0·0085%). This corresponds well with previous empirical determinations of parasitaemia (0·002–0·009%) in this buffalo (Sibeko et al. 2008; Papli et al. 2010), and suggests that our method for the estimation of parasitaemia in buffalo blood samples is valid.

**In vitro simulation of mixed infections at relevant parasitaemia**

Amplified PCR template solutions that represent *T. parva* parasitaemias of 0·0001%, 0·001% and 0·01% were prepared using the stock solution previously used for construction of the standard curve. *T.* sp. (buffalo) PCR template was added to these to obtain 0·1 to 1000-fold *T.* sp. (buffalo): *T. parva* ratios and these mixed templates were analysed using the real-time hybridization assay.
Reverse-line blot of field and control buffalo samples

Reverse-line blot analysis was performed as described by Matjila et al. (2004) with the exception that the initial 10 cycles used for touchdown PCR decreased with 1 °C steps instead of 2 °C as reported. Probes for the detection of Theileria species in southern Africa were used (Matjila et al. 2008). These included probes for T. annulata, T. bicornis, T. buffeli, T. equi, T. mutans, T. parva, T. sp. (buffalo), T. sp. (duiker), T. sp. (sable), T. taurотragi and T. velifera, as well as a Theileria/Babesia catch-all. Samples that were negative for T. parva on the real-time hybridization assay were screened with RLB to identify control samples for T. annulata, T. buffeli, T. mutans, T. taurotragi, T. velifera, T. sp. (sable) and T. sp. (buffalo). In the case of T. sp. (bougasvlei) the sample was confirmed by sequencing and submitted to GenBank (Accession number: GU570997).

Conventional touchdown PCR based on protein genes

Conventional touchdown PCR was performed using an initial denaturation step at 94 °C (2 min), 10 cycles of a touchdown procedure that included 30 s denaturation at 94 °C, 30 s annealing starting at 68 °C and decreasing 1 °C with each cycle down to 59 °C, with 30 s extension at 72 °C. This was followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C (30 s), annealing at 59 °C (30 s) and extension at 72 °C (1 min). A final 7-min extension step at 72 °C was performed to ensure that all PCR products were fully extended. Reaction conditions included the use of 25 μl of GreenTaq Ready reaction mix (Promega), 2·5 μl of DNA template and 10 pmol of each primer up to a total volume of 50 μl.

Four different gene fragments specific for T. parva were amplified and included a portion coding for the N-terminal end of the p67 gene, p67N (GI number: 4106803; p67NF: CTA CGG AGG AAC AAC CAT TTT CTT CTA G; p67NR: CGA TGT AGT TTC ACC TGT GGA TGT TTT TCC), a portion coding for the C-terminal end of the p67 gene, p67C (GI number: 4106803; p67CF: ACA CCA GGA CGA GGA TCA TCA GGT AC; p67CR: GGT TTC ATT AGG TTC TTA GTT GGC CTC G) (Nene et al. 1996), a fragment of p104 (GI number: 4106803; p104F: CTC TCC CGA CAC CTG GAA AAC CAT TTT TTT TTC TCC), a portion coding for the C-terminal end of the p67 gene, p67C (GI number: 4106803; p67CF: ACA CCA GGA CGA GGA TCA TCA GGT AC; p67CR: GGT TTC ATT AGG AGC TGA AGG TGG TTG) (Nene et al. 1996), a fragment of the C-terminal trans-membrane conserved region of the Tpr1 gene (GI number: 71026055; Tpr1F: TGA CCT AGT GAT TCC CAC CAT GAT CA; Tpr1R: AAT GCT GCT AGG TTC TTA TTT CAG TTC) (Baylis et al. 1991; Bishop et al. 1997; Gardner et al. 2005). PCR products were analysed by conventional 2% agarose gel electrophoresis using standard TAE buffer and visualized using ethidium bromide. Representative samples for the different protein genes were cloned and sequenced to confirm the identity of the amplified products (results not shown).
For locked nucleic acid (LNA) suppression assays a LNA specific for T. sp. (buffalo) (TspB_LNA: CAGAcGgAGtTTAC-PH LNA, Exiqon Inc., Woburn, MA, USA) was included in the real-time hybridization assay at a concentration of 0·5 pmol. This approach is based on the use of an LNA PCR clamp with a melting temperature much higher than the extension temperature used during the assay. This prevents polymerase extension and hence specific suppression of template amplification (Ren et al. 2009).

RESULTS

Prevalence and distribution of T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites

Buﬀalo samples (n = 6928) submitted to the PVVD laboratory for T. parva diagnosis during the period of 2008–2009 were analysed to determine the current geographical distribution of buﬀalo testing positive for T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites in South-Africa. Approximately ~8·8% (n = 609) were positive at 705 nm and indicated both T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like infections. T. parva positive samples comprised ~3·8% (n = 261), while ~5% (n = 348) were T. sp. (buffalo)-like (Table 1). Both T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like infections had the highest prevalence in Limpopo and Mpumalanga provinces, with significant overlap with the geographical range of R. appendiculatus (Fig. 3). T. sp. (buffalo)-like infections is also prevalent in the Free State, North-West, Eastern Cape and Kwa-Zulu-Natal Provinces. T. parva positive samples were found at 22 localities, while T. sp. (buffalo) was found at 77 localities, indicating that the prevalence of T. sp. (buffalo)-like carriers is currently much higher than the prevalence of T. parva.

Parasitaemia ranges for T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites in buﬀalo

Competitive PCR suppression may occur if the parasitaemia levels of T. sp. (buffalo)-like organisms are similar or higher than that of T. parva in carrier animals. Parasitaemia levels were estimated from a calculation based on standard curve analysis derived from real-time PCR CP values (Fig. 2A). This indicated that parasitaemia for T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites is similar in buﬀalo, ranging from 0·000001 to 1%, with the majority of samples (>90%) falling between 0·0001% and 0·1% (Fig. 2B).

Locked nucleic acid-based suppression of T. sp. (buffalo) template

The suppressive effect of mixed infection on real-time PCR

Mixed infections were simulated by combining T. parva (0·0001%–0·01%) with T. sp. (buffalo) DNA template at 0·1 to 1000-fold T. sp. (buffalo) vs T. parva ratios (Fig. 4). T. parva signal (640 nm) was notably suppressed in the lower parasitaemia range (0·0001–0·001%), when T. sp. (buffalo) was present at ratios of 100:1 and higher (0·01–0·1%). At higher T. parva parasitaemia (0·01%), the suppression effect was not observed at the highest T. sp. (buffalo) ratio used (10:1) that corresponds to 0·1% parasitaemia. However, at a ratio of 100:1 (1% T. sp. (buffalo)) suppression was still observed (results not shown), although the incidence of this level of parasitaemia is not high within field samples (Fig. 2).

Mixed infections in field populations

The previous sections raised the questions whether mixed infections occur in the field and whether PCR suppression is present in these populations. Buffalo samples from 2 National Parks (KNP and MNP) were characterized for which 262 buffalo samples from KNP and 90 samples from MNP, respectively, were analysed for mixed infections of T. parva and T. sp. (buffalo)-like parasites. In both parks ~70% of all samples were T. parva positive (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of real-time hybridization PCR results

(Included are the total set of diagnostic samples analysed, as well as samples from KNP and MNP. Numbers of samples/percentages are indicated. aSamples detected by the Theileria genus-specific probe (705 nm positive). bT. parva-positive samples (640 nm positive, 705 nm positive). cT. sp. (buffalo)-like positive samples (640 nm negative, 705 nm positive.).)
Buffalo negative for *T. parva*, but positive for *T.* sp. (buffalo)-like parasites showed a prevalence of ~10% and 26% in KNP and MNP, respectively. The frequency distribution of the parasitaemia for *T. parva* and *T.* sp. (buffalo)-like samples follows a similar trend compared to the diagnostic samples (Fig. 2C). *T.* sp. (buffalo)-like samples are, however, still predisposed towards the higher end of the parasitaemia range, to such an extent that ratios of 10:1 or 100:1 might be possible at lower *T. parva* levels. In addition, National Parks samples seem to have higher parasitaemia compared to diagnostic samples.

*T.* sp. (buffalo), *T. mutans* and *T. velifera* were present in all Marakele samples as determined by RLB analysis (Table 2). In contrast, RLB analysis could only detect 64% *T. parva*-positive samples. In the case of the KNP, larger variations with regard to mixed infections were observed by RLB analysis, with 88% and 71% being positive for *T. mutans* and *T. velifera* and only 31% being *T. parva* positive (Table 2). In the case of *T.* sp. (buffalo) only 49% were positive by RLB analysis. The majority of the *T.* sp. (buffalo) samples originated from northern and south-eastern parts of the KNP, with a limited prevalence in the central regions (Fig. 3B).

Detection of *T. parva* based on protein gene markers

Four different conventional touchdown PCR assays were developed based on the p67 (N- and C-terminal domains), p104 and *Tpr* genes. Gene fragments amplified had sizes of 600 bp, 300 bp, 630 bp, 393 bp for p67N, p67C, p104 and *Tpr*, respectively (Fig. 5). The primer sets were specific for *T. parva* and control samples for *Theileria* species known to be found in buffalo and cattle did not show amplification (Fig. 5). These included *T. annulata* (Morocco), *T. buffeli* (18530), *T. mutans* (38815), *T. taurotragi* (18565), *T. velifera* (26063), *T.* sp. (sable) (28914), *T.* sp. (buffalo) (39503) and *T.* sp. (bougasvlei) (27071).

All protein gene PCR results showed a good correlation with the real-time hybridization test for *T. parva*-positive samples (Table 3). All the negative samples (no signal at 705 nm) on the hybridization test were also negative on the protein genes and most of these had infections of *T.* sp. (buffalo), *T. mutans* and *T. velifera* as indicated by RLB analysis (Table 3). In the case of the *Tpr* gene, all samples derived from MNP were negative for *T. parva* and hence the
low correlation. For the T. sp. (buffalo)-like samples the correlation was \(\sim 43\%\)–\(50\%\) for p67N, p67C and p104 and 74\% for \(Tpr\). Correlation between p67N, p67C and p104 was, however, 89–93\%. The difference between \(Tpr\) and the other genes is due to the negative status of the MNP samples based on the \(Tpr\) gene. This indicated that \(\sim 57\%\) of the samples in the test group (31 samples) that were negative on the hybridization assay were positive for \(T. parva\) with 3 different protein fragment markers.

Locked nucleic acid suppression of T. sp. (buffalo) in simulated mixed infections

Incubation of the T. sp. (buffalo) template with the LNA clamp showed that amplification was
suppressed by an average of 6 cycles (Fig. 6A). This corresponds to a decrease in parasitaemia of \(100^{\text{th}}\) fold. The LNA had no effect on amplification of *T. parva* (results not shown). When LNA was included in the mixed infection simulation, *T. parva* could be detected in all cases where 100:1-fold excess of *T. sp.* (buffalo) previously caused suppression (Fig. 4 and Fig. 6B and C).

**Locked nucleic acid suppression in buffalo samples**

The set of 31 samples that tested negative for *T. parva* using the real-time hybridization assay, but positive for the protein gene PCR’s were re-tested with added LNA (Fig. 7). The melting curves without LNA added show typical *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like profiles that are ambiguous to interpretation (Fig. 7A). In the presence of LNA, the profiles resolve to a *T. parva*-positive status (Fig. 7B), indicating that *T. sp.* (buffalo) suppression occurred in these samples.

**Comparison of the frequency distribution of the parasitaemia of these 31 samples to that of the *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like samples show a bias towards the higher end of the parasitaemia scale (Fig. 2C).**

**Potential for mixed infections within diagnostic samples**

The question is raised as to the extent of *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like suppression that occurs in diagnostic samples. The diagnostic sample set analysed in this study was also screened with the p67N PCR to detect possible false-negative samples. A correlation of 94% was obtained between the real-time hybridization and the p67N test for 603 samples screened (230 *T. parva* positive, 339 *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like positive). Thirty-three samples (5%) were negative on p67N, but positive on the hybridization test indicating that genotypic variations do occur in the primer regions of p67N for a limited number of samples. Only 1 sample was positive with p67N and negative for *T. parva* using the hybridization test. This sample was re-tested with all the protein genes as well as the hybridization test with added LNA and was confirmed to be positive for *T. parva*. The only other sample of a mixed infection submitted for diagnosis (outside of the dataset analysed) that showed suppression was a buffalo (28698) from the Corridor disease endemic region. This sample tested positive for all protein gene-based PCR’s as well as with the added LNA hybridization assay.

**DISCUSSION**

Cape buffalo from southern Africa can harbour *T. buffeli*, *T. mutans* and *T. velifera*, as well as *T. parva*, *T. sp.* (buffalo) and *T. sp.* (bougasvlei) (*Allsopp et al. 1999; Zweygarth et al. 2009*). This
makes accurate diagnosis at species level problematic using conventional approaches such as light microscopy or IFAT. DNA blotting and probe approaches that use universal *Theileria* primer sets, such as RLB or slot blotting have limited use for accurate diagnostics, as PCR competition in the case of mixed infections affect sensitivity (Allsopp et al. 1993; Gubbels et al. 1999). Slot-blot hybridization analysis indicated that 33% of buffalo from KNP were *T. parva* positive, even though 100% were positive in
the IFAT (Allsopp et al. 1999). This lack of correlation was confirmed in the current study, where the National Parks buffalo samples tested with RLB found only 27–64% of samples positive compared to \( \sim 70\% \) with the real-time hybridization assay. Even so, the protein gene assays in the current study detected an additional 10% *T. parva*-positive cases. The RLB analysis also indicated that buffalo from the National Parks are infected with 3 or more *Theileria* spp., including *T. sp.* (buffalo). Allsopp et al. (1999) found *T. sp.* (buffalo) in 54% of buffalo samples from ‘northern’ regions of the KNP which correlate with results from the current study.

Parasitaemias levels for *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like parasites have previously not been estimated to the extent described in this study. The ranges calculated for *T. parva* are indicated with those of buffalo-adapted *T. parva* in Cape buffalo and cattle with low piroplasm levels (<0.1%) in carrier animals (Brocklesby and Barnett, 1966; Barnett and Brocklesby, 1966a, b; Neitz, 1958). The frequency distribution ranges suggest that most *T. parva* samples from buffalo (>98%) should be readily detectable using the real-time hybridization assay, while the rest of the samples lie at the lower range of detection for real-time PCR. The sensitivity of the current hybridization test to detect *T. parva* in carrier buffalo is therefore adequate, although it should be noted that few infected animals might not be detected. This correlates with observations on the East Coast fever carrier state in cattle from Kenya, where a PCR based on the p104 gene was sensitive up to 1–4 parasites/ul of infected blood (2.8E^{-5} parasites/ul parasitaemia \( \sim \) similar to the hybridization assay), but failed to detect 4–6% of infected animals detected by a subsequent nested PCR (Odongo et al. 2010). Whether animals with such low parasitaemia (<E^{-6} parasites/ul) can effectively infect ticks remains to be determined. The possibility of higher false-positive rates using nested PCR should be considered. The latter study also found the prevalence of East Coast fever in asymptomatic cattle from endemic regions in Kenya to range from 37 to 42% (Odongo et al. 2010). This is significantly lower than the Corridor disease prevalence found in carrier buffalo using the hybridization assay (\( \sim 71\% \)), p67N (\( \sim 74\% \)), p67C (\( \sim 85\% \)) and p104 (\( \sim 79\% \)) genes. This could indicate that the prevalence of *T. parva* parasites in carrier cattle is lower than in buffalo. However, previous studies have indicated that in endemic situations the majority of cattle were exposed to *T. parva* and would presumably be carriers in a situation analogous to buffalo (Young et al. 1978, 1986). Alternatively, the p104 primer sets used for amplification might not detect all sequence variants for this protein gene, as was observed in the present study for all of the protein genes.

Of interest is the observation that buffalo from National Parks seem to have higher parasitaemia for
*T. parva* and *T.* sp. (buffalo)-like parasites than diagnostic buffalo. Buffalo from National Parks will be exposed to constant infected tick challenge, while ‘diagnostic buffalo’ are kept relatively tick free due to current game farming practices that relates to the breeding of ‘disease-free’ buffalo. Infection of the latter buffalo with *T. parva* is considered to be incidental and limited to single tick exposures. Parasitaemia in carrier-buffalo could therefore be related to the extent of infective tick-challenge. In this regard, the gold-standard positive control buffalo, KNP102, has maintained the same parasitaemia level for more than 5 years under tick-free conditions. How parasitemia levels would fluctuate in carrier-buffalo outside the vector endemic area remains to be investigated.

The MNP samples all tested negative for *T. parva* using the *Tpr* gene. The *Tpr* gene occurs as a multicopy locus (24 copies on chromosome 3) in the genome of *T. parva* with a variable 5′-end and a highly conserved 3′region (Gardner et al. 2005). This conserved region codes for transmembrane regions and has been suggested to be maintained by concerted evolution (Bishop et al. 1997). Any nucleotide substitutions in this region would be rapidly homogenized, so that rapid divergence of these sequences might occur in geographically isolated populations. Introduction of *T. parva* into MNP which is outside the endemic area for *T. parva* probably occurred as a single event that then spread throughout the resident buffalo population. A founder effect coupled to sequence variation in the *Tpr* primer areas (due to concerted evolution), could explain the lack of any positive samples in MNP with this gene.

*T.* sp. (buffalo) was originally identified in buffalo from East Africa and distinguished from *T. parva* based on differences in antigenicity and subsequent sequencing of its 18S rRNA gene (Conrad et al. 1987; Allsopp et al. 1993). This parasite was cultured in vitro and significant antibody cross-reactivity was observed with *T. parva* (Conrad et al. 1987). This might explain the observation that free-ranging buffalo tested previously were 100% positive on IFAT for *T. parva* (Allsopp et al. 1993). In addition, its close resemblance to *T. parva* in the 18S rRNA raised the question whether this was a variant strain of *T. parva* (Conrad et al. 1987; Allsopp et al. 1993). Subsequently, this parasite was detected in buffalo from the KNP that showed that *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like parasitaemia and their prevalence in buffalo are on average higher than observed for *T. parva*. The establishment of a *T.* sp. (buffalo) cell line from a buffalo of South African origin showed that on 18S level it is identical to the parasite described from East Africa (Zweygarth et al. 2009). *T.* sp. (bugasvlei) is a closely related parasite described in the latter study. Circumstantial evidence (sentinel cattle in contact with carrier buffalo) would suggest that both parasites are not infective to cattle (Potgieter, unpublished observation).

**Fig. 7.** LNA-based suppression in buffalo samples. Normal hybridization conditions are indicated on the left and the same samples with added LNA on the right, while the 640 nm and 705 nm channels are indicated at the top and bottom, respectively. Grey lines correspond to field samples, the solid black line to the *Theileria parva*-positive control and the broken black lines to negative controls.
The tick vectors and the geographical ranges for both parasites are unknown. Ticks found on buffalo in KNP indicated that potential vectors could be *Amblyomma hebraeum*, *A. marmoreum*, *Hyalomma truncatum*, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*, *R. evertsi evertsi* or *R. sinus* (Horak et al. 2007). The distribution patterns for these ticks largely overlap with that of *R. appendiculatus* in the Northwest and Northern Provinces, as well as Mpumalanga, KwaZulu-Natal and the eastern parts of Eastern Cape (Walker et al. 2000; Estrada-Peña, 2003; Horak et al. 2006a). If any of these ticks are vectors, mixed infections will be common.

The limited distribution of *T. parva*-positive signal by the presence of *T. sp.* (buffalo) template at concentrations relevant for field parasitaemia has been shown to occur in *vitro*. Evidence was also provided that this happens in free-ranging buffalo. Interestingly, those samples for which suppression of PCR signal was detected are also those that have *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like parasitaemia distributions that are higher than the *T. parva* distributions, which suggests that this is the basis for their misdiagnosis as supported by the LNA data. Thus far, the extent of suppression occurring due to mixed infections in routine diagnostics has been minimal (<0.5%). This is mainly due to the low incidence of *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like samples submitted for routine diagnostics (<10%), with the correlated low probability of finding mixed infections in such samples. The number of *T. parva*-free buffalo with *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like infections will probably increase in the future, as movement of such buffalo is not restricted by current legislation. This is reflected by the higher prevalence of *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like carrier animals at various sites identified in this study. Buffalo could remain life-long carriers, and where the vector for *T. sp.* (buffalo) is present, this would also lead to the spread of the parasite among buffalo populations. The geographical distribution data for *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like parasites also suggest that their respective vectors overlap geographically and that mixed infections can be expected to occur. Introduction of *T. parva* into a buffalo herd already infected with *T. sp.* (buffalo), could as such, potentially lead to cases which will not be detected using the current test. In an endemic situation where both *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo) occur, the current data suggest that at least 10% of *T. parva* infections might be misdiagnosed. While this might not be a problem of immediate concern, a more sensitive test able to accurately discriminate between *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like parasites, which is not affected by mixed infections will be required in the future.

In the case of cattle, the effect of mixed *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like infections on the hybridization test does not seem to be problematic, as out of ~2500 diagnostic samples none has presented a *T. sp.* (buffalo)-like profile (unpublished observation). This would imply that *T. sp.* (buffalo) and *T. sp.* (bougasvlei) do not infect cattle.

Mixed infections of *T. parva* and *T. sp.* (buffalo) can affect the diagnostic sensitivity of the hybridization PCR assay of Sibeko et al. (2008). In cases where this is suspected the current test could be supplemented by PCR assays based on protein genes. In addition, the use of an LNA which is able to suppress *T. sp.* (buffalo) can be incorporated into the test if mixed infections are suspected, or new diagnostic tests not affected by mixed infections can be implemented.
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