Co-occurring species of *Teratosphaeria* on *Eucalyptus*
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**Abstract**

A common leaf spot disease occurring on *Eucalyptus cladocalyx* and *E. lehmannii* in the Western Cape Province of South Africa is known from literature to be caused by the fungus *Coniothyrium ovatum*, which is a pathogen native to several eucalypts in Australia. Recent collections have shown that Australian material identified as *C. ovatum* is morphologically and phylogenetically distinct from the South African specimens, and that all these taxa would be better accommodated in the genus *Teratosphaeria*. South African specimens previously identified as *C. ovatum* were found to represent two species that co-occur in the same leaves and even spots and are described here as *T. juvenalis* and *T. verrucosa*. Furthermore, a fresh collection of *T. ovata* from *E. phoenicea* in Australia, is distinguished morphologically and phylogenetically from *C. ovatum* and its teleomorph, *Z. ovata*, and *Readeriella dimorpha*, which is also placed in *Teratosphaeria*. Although these leaf pathogens appear to be of minor economic importance, they are morphologically similar to two serious eucalypt canker pathogens, namely *T. gauchensis* and *T. zuluensis*, which predominantly cause stem cankers, but could also be found occurring in leaf spots on their own, or in association with some of the other species treated here. Further research is, therefore, required to develop molecular detection techniques for these taxa to enable researchers to rapidly distinguish the minor pathogens from the more serious quarantine pathogens that co-occur on leaves.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Prior to the application of culture-based and DNA sequence-based studies, host preference was the most important characteristic used to distinguish species of *Mycosphaërella* and their associated anamorphs (Crous & Braun 2003, Aptroot 2006). When detailed studies of ascospore germination patterns, anamorph states and DNA phylogenies emerged, it became clear that many species in the *Mycosphaërella* leaf disease complex could co-occur on the same lesions on a single host (Crous & Wingfield 1996, Crous 1998). In this regard, species in the *Teratosphaeria* complex are no different from those in *Mycosphaërella*, with many different taxa frequently co-occurring in the same leaf lesion (Crous et al. 2002a, b, 2007, 2008a, b, Crous & Groenewald 2005, Burgess et al. 2007, Arzani et al. 2008, Cheewangkoon et al. 2008) or substrate (Ruibal et al. 2008).

In the 1950s, an unidentified coniothyrium-like fungus was collected on leaf spots of *E. cladocalyx* and *E. lehmannii* in the coastal regions of the Western Cape Province of South Africa. A specimen of the fungus (PREM 49001), was later identified by Dr H.J. Swart as *C. ovatum* (Wingfield 1987). In a subsequent study, Crous et al. (1989) determined its ability to infect *Eucalyptus* leaves. A re-examination of the type material of *C. ovatum* and *C. parvum* led Crous (1998) to reduce *C. parvum* to synonymy with *C. ovatum* due to their similar morphology. Furthermore, based on the differences in morphology and symptomatology between Australian and South African collections Crous (1998) concluded that the South African collections probably represented an undescribed species, which could only be treated subsequent to clarifying the substantially confused phylogeny of the genus *Coniothyrium*.

*Coniothyrium* is typified by *C. palmarum* (*Pleosporales*) and is polyphyletic (Lennox et al. 2004, Verkley et al. 2004, Crous et al. 2006a, Damm et al. 2008, Marincowitz et al. 2008a). Species that resemble members of the *C. ovatum* complex belong to the *Teratosphaeriaceae*, and they have teleomorphs in *Teratosphaeria* (Crous et al. 2007).

The first potential link between *C. ovatum* and a teleomorph was made by Milgate et al. (2001), who regarded *C. ovatum* as the anamorph of *Teratosphaeria molleriana* (as *Mycosphaërella vespa*) (Hunter et al. 2006, Crous et al. 2007). In further studies, Cortinas et al. (2006b, a) showed that these *Teratosphaeria* anamorphs would be more appropriately accommodated in *Colletogloeopsis*, a genus that was subsequently emended to accommodate taxa with acervular to pycnidial conidiomata and 0–1-septate conidia, formerly in *Coniothyrium*, *Kirramyces* or *Phaeophleospora*. Contrary to Cortinas et al. (2006b, a), Andjic et al. (2007) chose to place all these anamorphs in *Kirramyces*, while Crous et al. (2007) used the older name, *Readeriella*.

Although uncertainty existed regarding the species status of the South African collections of *Coniothyrium ovatum* (Crous 1998), recent papers have failed to provide any consensus regarding an appropriate anamorph genus for this complex on *Eucalyptus*. The aim of this study, was thus to re-evaluate the taxonomic position of *C. ovatum* and similar taxa, based on their morphology and a phylogenetic analysis of DNA sequence data for the internal transcribed spacer region (ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2), and the large subunit (28S) of the nuclear rDNA operon.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Isolates**

*Eucalyptus* leaves infected with the fungus previously treated as *C. ovatum* were collected in the Western Cape Province of South Africa, as well as in the Northern Territory and the Australian Capital Territory in Australia. Single-conidial isolates were established
Table 1 Isolates included in this study for sequence analysis and morphological comparison. Sequences in round parentheses were not included in the phylogenetic analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Collector</th>
<th>GenBank Accession number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Catenulostroma macowanii</strong></td>
<td>CBS 111029; CPC 1488</td>
<td>Protea sp.</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(AY260096), FJ493199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cibisella dimorphospora</strong></td>
<td>CPC 13627</td>
<td>Eucalyptus canobolensis</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>B.A. Summerell</td>
<td>(FJ493182), FJ493200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cibisella nontingensis</strong></td>
<td>CPC 14508</td>
<td>Eucalyptus oblonga</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>B.A. Summerell</td>
<td>(FJ493183), FJ493201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colletogloeopsis sp.</strong></td>
<td>CPC 13675</td>
<td>Eucalyptus canobolensis</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>B.A. Summerell</td>
<td>(FJ493184), FJ493202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kiramycies corymbiae</strong></td>
<td>CPC 13125</td>
<td>Eucalyptus heryll</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>A.J. Carnege</td>
<td>(FJ493155), FJ493203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kiramycies viscidos</strong></td>
<td>CPC 13306</td>
<td>Eucalyptus sp.</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(FJ493186), FJ493204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pheoephleospora</em> concentrica</td>
<td>CPC 3615</td>
<td>Protea caffra</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>P.F. Cannon &amp; P.M. Kirk</td>
<td>(FJ493187), FJ493205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>Pheoephleospora</em> eugeniensis</em>*</td>
<td>CPC 15143</td>
<td>Eugenia uniflora</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>A.C. Afenas</td>
<td>(FJ493188), FJ493206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>Pleurophellus</em> flexuosus</em>*</td>
<td>CPC 13816</td>
<td>Eugenia uniflora</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>A.C. Afenas</td>
<td>(FJ493189), FJ493207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>Pleurophellus</em> sp.</em>*</td>
<td>CPC 2557</td>
<td>Eugenia uniflora</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>A.C. Afenas</td>
<td>(FJ493190), FJ493208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>Pleurophellus</em> sp.</em>*</td>
<td>CPC 2558</td>
<td>Eugenia uniflora</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>A.C. Afenas</td>
<td>(FJ493191), FJ493209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pheoephleospora</em>stonei</td>
<td>CPC 13330</td>
<td>Eucalyptus sp.</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(EF394856), FJ493210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Readeriella</em> guyanensis</td>
<td>CBS 117550; MUCL 46082</td>
<td>Leaf litter</td>
<td>French Guiana</td>
<td>C. Decock</td>
<td>(EU077900), FJ493211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Readeriella mirabilis</strong></td>
<td>CPC 12370</td>
<td>Eucalyptus globulus</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>I. Smith</td>
<td>(FJ493192), FJ493212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Readeriella novaezeelandiae</strong></td>
<td>CPC 13633</td>
<td>Eucalyptus delegatensis</td>
<td>Tasmania</td>
<td>B.A. Summerell</td>
<td>(FJ493193), FJ493213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria dimorphora</strong></td>
<td>CPC 14132</td>
<td>Eucalyptus baboyrides</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>M. Dick</td>
<td>(AY725576), FJ493214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria flexuosa</strong></td>
<td>CBS 111048; CPC 1199</td>
<td>Eucalyptus grandis</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>M.J. Wingfield</td>
<td>(FJ493194), FJ493216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria juvenalis</strong></td>
<td>CBS 110896; CPC 40;</td>
<td>Eucalyptus cladocalyx</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(AY725513, FJ493217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRY 13347</td>
<td>CBS 111149; CPC 23</td>
<td>Eucalyptus cladocalyx</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(AY725514, EU010924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRY 116427; CPC 10941</td>
<td>CBS 116427; CPC 10941</td>
<td>Eucalyptus sp.</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(AY725516, —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria ovata</strong></td>
<td>CPC 14632</td>
<td>Eucalyptus phoenicea</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>B.A. Summerell</td>
<td>FJ033538, FJ493218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria readeriellophora</strong></td>
<td>CPC 12920</td>
<td>Eucalyptus sp.</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>A.J. Carnege</td>
<td>(FJ493195), FJ493219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em><em>Teratosphaeria</em> sp.</em>*</td>
<td>CPC 12821</td>
<td>Eucalyptus nitens</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>A.J. Carnege</td>
<td>(FJ493196), FJ493220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria suttonii</strong></td>
<td>CPC 14597</td>
<td>Eucalyptus miniata</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>B.A. Summerell</td>
<td>(FJ493197), FJ493221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria toledana</strong></td>
<td>CPC 11279</td>
<td>Eucalyptus terticornis</td>
<td>Bolivian</td>
<td>M.J. Wingfield</td>
<td>(DQ303055), FJ493222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria veloci</strong></td>
<td>CPC 13020</td>
<td>Eucalyptus miniata</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>B.A. Summerell</td>
<td>FJ033538, FJ493223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teratosphaeria verrucosa</strong></td>
<td>CBS 113621; CPC 42</td>
<td>Eucalyptus cladocalyx</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(AY725515, —</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC 13294</td>
<td>CBS 113621; CPC 42</td>
<td>Eucalyptus cladocalyx</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(AY725515, EU010923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC 18</td>
<td>CPC 18</td>
<td>Eucalyptus sp.</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>P.W. Crous</td>
<td>(AY725517, EU010923</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 CBS: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelculturen, Utrecht, The Netherlands; CPC: Culture collection of Pedro Crous, housed at CBS; MUCL: Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium; CMW & CRY: Culture collection of Mike Wingfield, housed at FABI, Pretoria, South Africa.

2 ITS: Internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 together with 5.8S rDNA; LSU: 28S rDNA.
Morphology

Morphological descriptions were based on cultures sporulating on OA in vivo. Wherever possible, 30 measurements (× 1 000 magnification) were made of all taxonomically informative structures mounted in lactic acid, with the extremes of spore measurements given in parentheses. Colony colours (surface and reverse) were assessed after 1 mo on MEA and OA at 25 °C in the dark, using the colour charts of Rayner (1970).

RESULTS

Phylogenetic analysis

The manually adjusted ITS alignment contained 30 taxa (including the outgroup sequence) and, of the 464 characters used in the phylogenetic analysis, 256 were parsimony-informative, 34 were variable and parsimony-uninformative, and 174 were constant. Neighbour-joining analysis using the three substitution models on the sequence data yielded trees with identical topology and bootstrap values. The parsimony analysis yielded 49 equally most parsimonious trees, one of which is shown in Fig. 1 (TL = 637, CI = 0.776, RI = 0.887, RC = 0.688). The distance also had phaeophleospora-like anamorphs. Clade 3 contained sphaeriaceae showed that four clades could be distinguished in the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2), however, there are at least four well-defined clades within the Teratosphaeriaceae. Furthermore, based on its similar conidiogenesis to Kirrarmyces, with conidigenous cells ranging from mono- to polyphialides with percurrent thickening, to phialides with percurrent proliferation, the two genera were seen as synonymous. As can be seen in the present analysis (Fig. 2), however, there are at least four well-defined clades within the Teratosphaeriaceae. Although they are polyphyletic within the Teratosphaeriaceae, in the dark, using the colour charts of Rayner (1970).

Taxonomy

Phylogenetic analyses based on the LSU sequence data showed that four clades could be distinguished in the Teratosphaeriaceae. Clade 1 (Readeriella) also had Cibiessia and Nothostrasseria anamorphs, while Clade 2 (Batcheloromyces) also had phaepheospora-like anamorphs. Clade 3 contained only Teratosphaeria teleomorphs. Clade 4 (Teratosphaeria s.str.) had Kirrarmyces (incl. Colletogloeoepsis), batcheloromyces-like and Calenulostroma anamorphs. Crous et al. (2007) used a wider concept for Readeriella and recognised it as being polyphyletic within the Teratosphaeriaceae. Furthermore, based on its similar conidiogenesis to Kirrarmyces, with conidigenous cells ranging from mono- to polyphialides with percurrent thickening, to phialides with percurrent proliferation, the two genera were seen as synonymous. As can be seen in the present analysis (Fig. 2), however, there are at least four well-defined clades within the Teratosphaeriaceae. Although they are polyphyletic within the Teratosphaeriaceae, in the dark, using the colour charts of Rayner (1970).
Fig. 2 One of 1000 equally most parsimonious trees obtained from a heuristic search with simple taxon additions of the LSU sequence alignment using PAUP v. 4.0b10. The scale bar shows 10 changes, and bootstrap support values (69 % and higher) from 1000 replicates are shown at the nodes. Thickened lines indicate the strict consensus branches. The tree was rooted to Phaeobotryosphaeria visci (GenBank accession DQ377868).
is readily distinguishable, but also poorly understood. Teratosphaeria as defined by Crous et al. (2007) is thus heterogeneous, and will be separated into more natural units or genera as more taxa and collected are added to the analysis. South African isolates of ‘Coniothyrium’ ovatum represented two distinct species (Fig. 1). These taxa could also be distinguished from ‘Coniothyrium’ ovatum s.str. and each other based on their morphological and cultural characteristics. Furthermore, during the course of this study several additional species, morphologically similar to ‘C.’ ovatum, were collected from Eucalyptus hosts in Australia, which are also treated here as members of Teratosphaeria s.str., clustering in Clade 4 (Fig. 2).

**Teratosphaeria dimorpha** (Crous & Carnegie) Crous & Summerell, comb. nov. — MycoBank MB508347; Fig. 3


**Leaf spots** amphigenous, irregular blotches 2–5 mm diam, pale brown with a thin, raised border, and red-purple margin. **Conidio-mata** amphigenous, predominantly hypophyllous, pycnidial, substomatal, brown, globose, up to 90 µm diam; wall consisting of 3–4 layers of brown *textura angularis*; becoming large and acervular when cultivated on agar. Description on OA (CPC 14132). **Conidiogenous cells** brown, verruculose, aseptate, doliform to ampulliform, proliferating percurrently near apex, 5–10 × 3–5 µm; sympodial proliferation observed in culture. **Conidia** solitary, brown, aseptate, verruculose, guttulate, ellipsoidal to subcylindrical, apex subobtuse, tapering to a subtruncate or truncate base (1–2 µm wide), with inconspicuous marginal frill, (7−)8−10(−13) × (2.5−)3(−3.5) µm.

**Cultural characteristics** — **Colonies** on MEA flat, spreading, without aerial mycelium, and with feathery margin, iron-grey, reverse greenish black, reaching 30 mm diam after 1 mo; on OA flat, spreading, without aerial mycelium and uneven feathery margin, iron-grey to greenish black, reaching 30 mm diam after 1 mo.

**Specimens examined. Australia**, New South Wales, Rosewood, on leaves of *Eucalyptus* sp., native regeneration within *Pinus radiata* plantation, Carabost State Forest, Downfall Road, about 3 km north-west of Rosewood, Southern Highlands, Jan. 2006, A.J. Carnegie, CBS H-19739 holotype, DAR 77443 isotype, culture ex-type CPC 12919 = CBS 120086; New South Wales, Laurel Hill, on *E. nitens*, in eucalypt species trial established within *P. radiata* plantation, Bago State Forest, 20 km north of Tumbarumba, Southern Highlands, Jan. 2006, A.J. Carnegie, DAR 77444, culture CPC 12798 = CBS 120085; Australian Capital Territory, Canberra, Australian National Botanical Garden, adjacent to Crosbie Morrison Building, on leaves of *E. caesia*, Mar. 2007, B.A. Summerell, CBS H-20179, cultures CPC 14132 = CBS 124051, CPC 14133, 14134.

**Notes** — The present collection (CPC 14132–CPC 14134) was initially assumed to be a distinct species, as cultures did not form the dimorphic conidia typical of *T. dimorpha* (Summerell et al. 2006). The dominant conidial morphology, symptomatology, and ITS sequence data, however, proved to be identical with that of the type strain of *T. dimorpha* (CPC 12919).

Although phylogenetically distinct, *T. dimorpha* is morphologically very similar to *T. ovata*. It can be distinguished from the latter species by its somewhat narrower conidia 2.5–3.5 µm (*T. ovata* 2.5–4 µm) and faster growth in culture.

---

**Fig. 3** Teratosphaeria dimorpha (CPC 14132). a. Leaf spot; b. colony on OA; c, d. conidiogenous cells with sympodial and percurrent proliferation (arrows); e. conidia. — Scale bars = 10 µm.
Fig. 4 *Teratosphaeria juvenalis* and *T. verrucosa*. a. Leaf spot with mixed infection of both species; b–e. pycnidia of *T. verrucosa* on apparently healthy tissue, while those of *T. juvenalis* occur primarily in leaf spots (arrows). — f–l. *Teratosphaeria verrucosa* (CBS 113621): colony on PDA; g, h. sporulation on aerial hyphae; i. pycnidial wall with conidiogenous cells in vivo; j–l. conidia in vivo; m–q. *Teratosphaeria juvenalis* (CBS 110906); m. leaf spot associated with pycnidia; n, o. conidiogenous cells; p, q. conidia. — Scale bars = 10 µm.
Teratosphaeria ovatae similis, sed conidios longioribus et plus minusve subcyllindraceis.

Etymology. Name refers to the fact that this fungus occurs on juvenile Eucalyptus leaves often associated with coppice regrowth.

Leaf spots raised, medium brown, circular, up to 7 mm diam; border medium to dark brown, raised, with a red-purple margin. Description based on material in vivo: Conidiomata substomatal, pycnidial, amphiogenous, separate or aggregated, globose, up to 80 µm diam; wall of 2–3 layers of dark brown textura angularis. Conidiogenous cells ampulliform to doliform, hyaline to medium brown, smooth to verruculose, proliferating percurrently, with irregular annulations, 3–12 × 5–6 µm. Conidia ellipsoidal to subcyllindrically, apex subtupose, base truncate to subtruncate, (1–)2–3(–4) µm wide, generally widest at the median, thin-walled, guttulate, verruculose, (10–)11–13(–15) × (4–)5(–6) µm; basal marginal frill present; cultures sterile.

Cultural characteristics — Colonies on MEA erumpent, with moderate aerial mycelium and feathery margins; surface fuscose-black with olivaceous-grey aerial mycelium and submerged greyish sepia margin; reverse fuscose-sepia; colonies reaching up to 25 mm diam after 1 mo. On PDA erumpent, spreading greyish sepia margin; reverse fuscous-sepia; colonies reaching up to 25 mm after 1 mo. On OA erumpent, spreading, with moderate aerial mycelium and feathery margin; surface olivaceous-grey with patches of iron-grey and pale olivaceous-grey aerial mycelium; reaching up to 30 mm diam after 1 mo.


Notes — On average, conidia of T. juvenalis are longer than those of T. ovata and more subcylindrical in shape, with wider conidial hila. Conidia are thus more similar to those of T. molleriana, but distinct in being wider and having wider conidial hila than those observed in T. molleriana.

Teratosphaeria ovata (H.J. Swart) Crous & Summerell, comb. nov. — MycoBank MB508349; Fig. 4–5

≡ Coniothyrium parvum Crous & M.J. Wingf., 2004.


Description based on DAR 49461: Leaf spots amphiogenous, raised, medium brown, circular, 1–2 mm diam; border medium to dark brown, raised. Conidiomata substomatal, pycnidial, amphiogenous, separate or aggregated, globose, up to 80 µm diam; wall of 2–3 layers of dark brown textura angularis. Conidiogenous cells ampulliform to doliform or subcylindrical, proliferating percurrently and enteroblastically, 3–6(–14) × 4–6 µm. Conidia ellipsoidal, apex subtupose, base truncate, generally widest at or below the median, finely verruculose, (6–)7–10(–14) × 3–3.5(–6) µm; basal marginal frill present.

Description based on CBS H-20181: Leaf spots amphiogenous, irregular 1–5 mm diam, medium brown with a thin, raised border. Conidiomata amphiogenous, pycnidial, substomatal, brown, globose, up to 150 µm diam; wall consisting of 3–4 layers of brown textura angularis; becoming large and acervular when cultivated on agar. Description of CPC 14632 on OA. Conidiogenous cells brown, verruculose, aseptate, doliform to ampulliform, proliferating percurrently near apex, 5–15 × 3–4 μm; sympodial proliferation observed in culture. Conidia solitary, brown, aseptate, verruculose, guttulate, ellipsoidal to subcylindrical, apex subtupose, tapering to a truncate or truncate base (1–2 µm wide), with inconspicuous marginal frill, (6–)7–10(–12) × (2.5–)3(–4) µm.

Cultural characteristics — Colonies on MEA erumpent, uneven, moderate to sparse aerial mycelium, with patches of white, smoke-grey to olivaceous-grey, margins uneven; reverse iron-grey, reaching 20 mm diam after 1 mo; on OA spreading with fluffy white-pink aerial mycelium; outer region pale olivaceous-grey, margin olivaceous-grey, uneven; reaching 30 mm diam after 1 mo.

Notes — In the description of C. ovatum and C. parvum, Swart (1986) noted that the main difference between these species lay in their conidial dimensions. A re-examination of these specimens by Crous (1998) showed that conidia of C. parvum were (7–)8–10(–12) × 3–3.5(–4) µm, thus somewhat longer than those of C. ovatum (6–)7–9(–11) × 3–3.5(–4) µm. Re-examination of the type of C. ovatum in this study revealed some conidia of up to 14 µm long and 6 µm wide.
Based on phylogenetic comparisons of multi-allelic data, Hunter et al. (2006) reduced *M. vespa* and *M. ambiphylla* to synonymy under *M. molleriana* (= *Teratosphaeria*). *Teratosphaeria molleriana* has conidia that are $(7–)9–12(–13) \times (2.5–)3–3.5(–4) \mu m$ (Crous 1998), while those of *M. ambiphylla* are $(5–)10–15(–20) \times (3–)3.5–4.5(–5) \mu m$ (Maxwell et al. 2003). No ex-type culture is available of *M. vespa*, but cultures collected and identified as *M. vespa* produced conidia which were $(7.5–)9(–12) \times 2.5–3(–5) \mu m$ (Milgate et al. 2001). Although these anamorphs show considerable overlap with *T. ovata* in conidial dimensions, they differ by having more subcylindrical to ellipsoidal conidia, and generally tend to have wider conidial bases $(2 \mu m)$, than the narrower conidial bases of *T. ovata* $(1–2 \mu m)$. The present collection (CPC 14632) closely matches *T. ovata* in host symptoms and morphology. Although *T. ovata* was reported from several hosts by Swart (1986), none of these were *Eucalyptus phoenicea*, which grows in extremely different ecosystems than *E. dives* or *E. molleriana*, and thus we refrain from designating this collection as epitype, pending further collections.

Fig. 6 *Teratosphaeria ovata* (DAR 49461). a, b. Conidiogenous cells; c. conidia. — Scale bar = 10 µm.

Fig. 7 *Teratosphaeria ovata* (CPC 14632). a. Leaf spot; b. colony on OA; c, d. conidiogenous cells (arrows); e, f. conidia. — Scale bar = 10 µm.
Teratosphaeria veloci Crous & Summerell, sp. nov. — MycoBank MB508350; Fig. 8
Teratosphaeriae ovatae similis, sed cellulis conidiogenis angustioribus et coloniis olivaceo-griseis, incremen to in vitro (OA) citiore.

Etymology. Named after its fast growth rate in culture.

Leaf spots amphigenous, subcircular, 1–5 mm diam, pale brown with a thin, raised border and red-purple margin. Conidiomata amphigenous, pycnidial, substomatal, brown, globose, up to 120 µm diam; wall consisting of 3–4 layers of brown textura angularis; becoming large and acervular when cultivated on agar. Description on OA. Conidiogenous cells brown, verruculose, aseptate, dolliform to ampulliform, proliferating percurrently near apex, 4–8 × 2–3 µm; sympodial proliferation observed in culture. Conidia solitary, brown, aseptate, verruculose, guttulate, ellipsoidal to subcylindrical, apex subtruncate or truncate base (1–2 µm wide), with inconspicuous marginal frill, (6–)8–10(−11) × (2.5–)3(−3.5) µm.

Cultural characteristics — Colonies on MEA flat, spreading, with sparse aerial mycelium, folded on surface, and smooth margins; pale olivaceous-grey with patches of white and olivaceous-grey; margin smoke-grey; reverse iron-grey; colonies reaching 30 mm diam after 1 mo; on OA flat, spreading with sparse aerial mycelium, ellipsoidal to subcylindrical, apex submarginal, tapering to a subtruncate or truncate base (1–2 µm wide), with inconspicuous marginal frill, (6–)8–10(−11) × (2.5–)3(−3.5) µm.

Specimen examined. AustrAliA, Northern Territory, ENE Pine Creek, 13°40′49.0″S, 131°57′04.9″E, on leaves of E. miniata, 23 Sept. 2007, B.A. Summerell, CBS H-20182, cultures ex-type CPC 14600, 14601, 14602 = CBS 124061.

Notes — Teratosphaeria veloci is morphologically similar to T. dimorpha and T. ovata, but can be distinguished from those species based on its narrower conidiogenous cells and olivaceous-grey colonies that grow faster on OA than those of the other two species.

Teratosphaeria verrucosa Crous & M.J. Wingf., sp. nov. — MycoBank MB508351; Fig. 4, 5
Teratosphaeriae ovatae similis, sed conidiis latioribus, parietibus crassioribus et verrucosis.

Etymology. Name refers to the rough-walled conidia in this species.

Leaf spots absent, sporulating with long black cirri from submerged pycnidia in apparently healthy, green tissue, or occurring in lesions that are amphigenous, raised, medium brown, circular, up to 7 mm diam; border medium to dark brown, raised, with a red-purple margin, occurring in association with T. juvenalis. Conidiomata chiefly hypophyllous, substomatal, pycnidial, separate or aggregated, globose, up to 80 µm diam; wall of 2–3 layers of dark brown textura angularis. Conidiogenous cells ampulliform to doliform, hyaline to pale brown, finely verruculose, proliferating percurrently, with irregular anulations, 5–10 × 4–6 µm. Conidia ellipsoidal, apex submarginal, base truncate to subtruncate, generally widest at or below the median, thick-walled, verrucose, (7–)8–10(−15) × (4–)5(−6) µm in vitro, (6–)7–9(−10) × (4–)5(−6) µm in vivo.

Cultural characteristics — Colonies on MEA erumpent, spreading, with moderate aerial mycelium and feathery margins; surface olivaceous-grey with patches of iron-grey and pale olivaceous-grey; margin iron-grey; reverse iron-grey; colonies reaching up to 30 mm diam after 1 mo. On PDA erumpent, spreading with moderate aerial mycelium and feathery margins; surface iron-grey with patches of olivaceous-grey; reverse iron-grey; colonies reaching up to 35 mm diam after 1 mo. On OA erumpent, spreading with moderate aerial mycelium and lobate, smooth to feathery margins; surface olivaceous-grey with patches of iron-grey and pale olivaceous-grey; colonies reaching up to 35 mm diam after 1 mo.

Notes — *Teratosphaeria verrucosa* can be distinguished from *T. ovata* by its slightly wider conidia that have thicker walls, and that are more verrucose than the thinner-walled, ellipsoidal to subcylindrical, verruculose conidia of the other species treated here. Pycnidia and conidiogenous cells of *T. verrucosa* also form in the aerial mycelium, a fact which has not been observed in cultures of *T. juvenalis*.

**Coniothyrium canker of Eucalyptus**

Two species of coelomycetes are known to cause the serious disease of *Eucalyptus* known as *Coniothyrium* canker (Cortinas et al. 2006a, b). *Coniothyrium zuluense* was originally described from South Africa and has since been found in other African countries, as well as South and Central America, and South-East Asia (Roux et al. 2002, Aleму et al. 2003, 2004, 2005, Old et al. 2003, Cortinas et al. 2006a, b). The second species, *Colletogloeopsis gauchensis*, was described from eucalypt cankers in Argentina and Uruguay (Cortinas et al. 2006b). Subsequent to their description, their taxonomy has been confused and largely reflected the vibrant debates regarding the anamorphs of *Mycosphaerella* s.l.

Although it became clear that these species did not belong in *Coniothyrium* (Verkley et al. 2004) but were rather allied to the *Mycosphaerella* complex (Crous et al. 2004a, Aleму et al. 2005), taxonomists that have treated these fungi have disagreed regarding the most appropriate anamorph genus in the complex in which to accommodate them. Opinions have included *Colletogloeopsis* (Cortinas et al. 2006a), *Kirramyces* (Andjic et al. 2007) and *Readeriella* (Crous et al. 2007). Because the taxonomy of the anamorphs in this complex is confused and does not reflect any obvious patterns regarding morphological forms, we have chosen to provide a more stable solution to the nomenclature of these species by placing them in *Teratosphaeria*, their teleomorph genus. The following new combinations are thus proposed:

**Teratosphaeria gauchensis** (M.-N. Cortinas, Crous & M.J. Wingf.) M.J. Wingf. & Crous, *comb. nov.* — MycoBank MB508352


**Teratosphaeria zuluensis** (M.J. Wingf., Crous & T.A. Cout.) M.J. Wingf. & Crous, *comb. nov.* — MycoBank MB508353


**DISCUSSION**

The taxa treated in this paper represent asexual states of *Teratosphaeria* (Crous et al. 2007). Considerable controversy exists in recent literature regarding the anamorph generic names used for these species. For example, Cortinas et al. (2006a, b) treated them in *Colletogloeopsis*, Andjic et al. (2007) argued for *Kirramyces* and Crous et al. (2007) utilised *Readeriella*. There are reasonable arguments in all of these cases but none presents a clear harmony between morphological forms and phylogenetic relationships. Andjic et al. (2007), for example, show that there is a range in conidial septation from *Colletogloeopsis* (0–1-septate) to *Kirramyces* (> 1-septate). A problem here is that a single monophyletic clade on *Eucalyptus* is treated, while there are many other anamorphs in the group that show not such monophyly, and the kirramyces-like morphology on other hosts also clusters elsewhere. In contrast, Crous et al. (2007) provide a strong argument that most of these anamorph form genera have evolved more than once within the family *Teratosphaeriaceae*, and hence the oldest generic name *Readeriella* should be used. The latter approach lumps a large number of very different morphological forms under one name, and data obtained in the present study suggests that the *Readeriella* clade should be recognised as a separate genus within the *Teratosphaeriaceae*. The logical solution to this problem and one that will likely satisfy all taxonomists working with these fungi is to apply the approach used to resolve a similar problem in the *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Crous et al. 2006b, Marincowitz et al. 2008b, Phillips et al. 2008).

In that case, a single generic name, irrespective of whether it has been applied to an anamorph or teleomorph in the past, is used for each well-resolved phylogenetic clade. Crous et al. (2007) demonstrated that the taxa treated in the present study are anamorphs of the *Teratosphaeriaceae*, of which clade 4 applies to *Teratosphaeria* s.str. (1912), which is the oldest name available for these species. Further studies are underway to resolve the status of other anamorphs of *Teratosphaeria* and *Mycosphaerella*, and to determine what names are available for them (Crous et al. In prep.).

*Teratosphaeria ovata* (as *Coniothyrium ovatum*) has long been clouded in controversy. In South Africa, a disease associated with this fungus was first noticed in 1956, on material collected by Peter S. Knox-Davies, at Uniepark, Stellenbosch. From these, various collections, it is apparent that *T. verrucosa* and not *T. juvenalis* was, and still remains, the dominant species on these leaf spots. Interestingly, *T. verrucosa* can occur on healthy tissue apparently in a biotropic state, while *T. juvenalis* is associated with necrotic leaf spots. However, on older leaves both species co-occur on these necrotic spots, obscuring their separate identities.

It is surprising that mixed infections of *T. verrucosa* and *T. juvenalis* on the same lesions of *Eucalyptus* leaves in South Africa only became obvious after independent studies (at FABI in Pretoria, South Africa, and at CBS in the Netherlands). Until recently, however, we have been unable to address the taxonomy of these species, as uncertainty surrounded the generic and species status of *T. ovata*. Although we regard the present Australian collection from *E. phoenicea* as typical for *T. ovata*, we have refrained from designating it as epitype. This must await future collections on the original host, *E. dives*.

Little is known regarding the importance of *T. ovata* and the other taxa described in the present study to commercial forestry operations. In South Africa, however, *T. juvenalis* and *T. verrucosa* appear to be of minor importance, and largely restricted to non-commercial species of eucalypts that are planted as ornamental trees in the Western Cape Province. This is in contrast to two other similar *Teratosphaeria* species, namely *T. gauchensis* and *T. zuluensis* that are major canker pathogens of *Eucalyptus* in many parts of the world where these trees are grown as non-natives in plantations (Cortinas et al. 2006a, b). Although their origin is currently not known, they are regarded as important quarantine organisms in many countries. Thus research is required to develop molecular techniques that can
rapidly distinguish these morphologically similar pathogens, especially when they occur in mixed infections with other Terato-
sphearia spp. on Eucalyptus leaves.
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